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(The meeting is called to order at 6:02 p.m.)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Good evening, folks. This is the Village of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals regular meeting.

Item No. 1 is the Motion to accept the Minutes of the October 20th, 2022, Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Could I make a comment about the Minutes?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MEMBER GORDON: There is, on page 22 of last month's meeting, there is a statement by the lawyer, Counsel Connelly, that $I$ think is not right. It says: Something would get to you without being ready for a public hearing. But obviously that is not always, can't always happen. But I think he means something would get to you ready for a public hearing.

MEMBER GORDON: The context is about a desirable process for bringing the application to us for acceptance and review, and I think you were talking about, you said, it's up to the higher powers that be. Ideally, something would get to you without being ready for a public hearing. You couldn't have said that.

MR. CONNELLY: Right. Something should get to the Board ready for public hearing, but sometimes that doesn't happen.

MEMBER GORDON: Right. So it should either say something should get to you without -no, but still, it says "without being ready." So if you just take out the words "without being," then it says something would get to you ready for a public hearing. I just, since it's the opposite of what I think you said, I thought I should bring it up. MR. CONNELLY: I appreciate that. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item No. 2 is a Motion to schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for December 20th, 2022, at 6:00 PM, at the Station One Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York 11944. So moved.

MEMBER REARDON: Second. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And $I$ vote aye.
Item No. 3, is 440 First Street. This public hearing has been cancelled and it's at the request of the applicant. And after we do the rest of the stuff on, $I$ believe after we do the rest of the stuff on this agenda, we'll talk about scheduling a future date, okay? So moved.

Is that okay?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item No. 4 is 446
Sixth Street. This is a public hearing regarding the area variances applied for by Anne Pettibone and Robert Riccobono.

The applicants propose to add a 5'x10' deck on the rear side of the property, as well as new windows and doors on the rear elevation of the property. This property is located in the $R-2$ (One and Two-Family Residential District).

There are some -- I'll read them. The plan shows a front yard setback of 27'2". This would require an area variance of $2^{\prime \prime} 10$ ".

The plans show a side yard setback of 2'8" and this would require an area variance of 7'4".

This plan shows a combined side yard setback of 17'9", and this would require an area variance of 7'3".

The plan also shows an accessory building with a setback of 1'4" from the property line. This would require an area variance of 3'8".

The SCTM\# is 1001-6-3-10.
Is the applicant here?
MS. SAMUELS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do you want to tell us something?

MS. SAMUELS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just tell us your name and address for the stenographer, please.

MS. SAMUELS: My name is Kay Samuels, I'm the applicant.

I think as we saw today, the deck itself is 5'x10'. It's not located in the setback, and it shouldn't disturb any other square footages of the overall building itself. Just the deck as it stands on the side of the building.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, do any of the

1 members have any questions for the applicant?

MEMBER GORDON: I'm curious. Was this a pre-existing nonconforming building? It appears to have been a pre-existing nonconforming building. So what we are doing here is making it conforming? Or -- I don't understand. Nothing -you say nothing is going to be changed of the basic structure, and I believe you, but I don't really understand why we are making a judgment on a structure that has already been approved, or not approved, because it happened before the zoning code affected it.

MS. SAMUELS: So, the disapproval letter basically stated that, you know, the building itself had to be legalized since it was in the setback on those three or four sides, as mentioned previously. So, and since, you know, the deck is increasing the nonconformity of the building in a certain respect, since we are adding square footage on, although exterior, we needed to legalize the entire building, since it was nonconforming.

MEMBER GORDON: I guess I have a question for the housing department. Will this, if we approve this, will it no longer be a pre-existing nonconforming building?

EO BOLANOS: It will be conforming. Everything will be legitimized on it.

MEMBER GORDON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You good?
MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Is there anyone from the public that would like to speak? MS. WADE: Randy Wade, Sixth Street. I totally support the changes, and they are so minor, you should all be so lucky to have such a lovely neighbor. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Wait. To the north or to the south?

MS. WADE: The north.
(Board members/audience laughter).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Randy, I'm only

```
kidding.
```

Okay, I'm going to -- would anybody else from the public like to speak?
(No response).
I apologize jumping the gun here.
I'll make a motion that we close this public hearing.

MEMBER ZEMSKY: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye. We'll discuss that in a couple of
seconds.
Right now is Item No. 5. This is 117
Main Street. This is a Motion to accept the application, to schedule a public hearing and possible site visit for the area variance applied for by Gustavo Acero on behalf of Encanto Crepes LLC.

Is the applicant here?
(No response).
The applicant proposes to add a $2^{\prime} x 2^{\prime}$ PVC sign and to replace existing signage. As per Village Code 150-15L. (4), the code limits sign size to two square feet. This would require an area variance of two square feet. The property is located in the Waterfront Commercial District and is also located in the Historic District.

The SCTM\# is 1001-5-4-35.2.
I don't know what "Item" means after that. And the applicant is not here? There's no
applicant for this?
(No response).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have a couple of concerns about this application. I would ask the members also, I thought if the applicant was here we could discuss it, perhaps reach a compromise to kind of get it off our plate. But since he's not here, and -- do you have an application for this?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't have an application. Okay, what are we thinking?

MR. NOONE: Do you want to see a copy?
(Handing) .
I believe the applicant was supposed to appear before the Zoning Board last month, and couldn't make it. I'm not sure if this is a question of miscommunication, $I$ told the applicant. He knew he was to appear this month.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. I
remember he asked that his public hearing be adjourned. He couldn't -- not his public hearing. His application, be put on hold until he could appear. He's on the agenda. I thought he would be here. But, I'm --

MEMBER GORDON: I would like to ask a

1 question that maybe you have the answer to, or maybe 2 the Building Department does.

If what is needed is two more feet, are we talking about one foot on one side of the sign and one foot on the other side?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think what we are looking for is for a sign, for an irregular-shaped sign, the code says, that you enclose it in the largest rectangle. So the rectangle -- I did the math someplace -- the rectangle would be to enclose it. The rectangle would, it would double the size of the permitted sign. It's a two-sided sign. It would double the size of the permitted sign.

If the applicant was here and we could talk to him and the sign -- for the people in the audience, the sign is a circle -- so if we could reduce the diameter of the circle two inches, the sign would basically be legal, and it would not have to come in front of us.

Aside from all that, you know, I
thought we could get out from under this application, but apparently not.

I have a problem with the application, we have no EAF for it, and also it's an LLC. And normally we require that they list the members of

1 the LLC just so there is no conflict of interest with Members of the Board, in case, you know, we know somebody or do business with somebody on that LLC.

So I would appreciate some thoughts from the members. My inclination is that we hold this application over to next month. But, I'm open.

MEMBER GORDON: Is it not possible to ask the Building Department to deal with it?

I mean --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: To deal with what, the request?

MEMBER GORDON: Well, you say that if it were two inches, if it were two inches smaller, it would conform. I wonder if this is worth our time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't know. We are supposed to be here at six o'clock Tuesday night. I mean, you know, we're here.

I would rather not put our work on to the Building Department. If the applicant wants to listen to the suggestion or tell us no -- he has the right to appeal. We have a notice of disapproval. He has the right to appeal. If he's willing to compromise, we could put it all to bed, but since he's not here.

MEMBER GORDON: Will we have a site visit to see the sign?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There is no sign. The last time -- without getting too involved in this -- the last time we had an application from a business that is in the immediate proximity of this business, for a like sign, that applicant brought the sign with her. So this applicant, before he gets permission to hang that sign, he can't hang it. So he would have to bring it here.

I think we should only concern
ourselves with the dimensions. The sign itself, I think this is in the Historic District, I think it would have to go to the Historic. They would decide. Here it is. They would decide if it's appropriate or not. I have no opinion about the sign itself except that it's too big.

So my suggestion, or my inclination, is
to put this application on hold, to put it on next month's agenda and we'll make sure that the applicant is notified. What do we think?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I agree. I think the main question is why do you need to make it larger. If the code says two square feet. That's what we need him here to ask, to see what he has to say.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We all know that. Without any opinion on the application itself, but we all know merchants, the bigger the better. You know, the more people that could see the sign, the better people like it. So that's probably the reasoning behind bigger is better.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Maybe it's cheaper. It doesn't matter. He has a right to be here, we have to ask him the question. So I think it needs to be put on hold.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Folks, what do we think?

MEMBER ZEMSKY: I agree. Put it on
hold.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack?
MEMBER REARDON: Yup.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, so --
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Just a question. Can
the Building Department tell him or her or them, that if they make it two inches smaller, it will conform?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think once --
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Or do they know that already?
know that.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: The notice said two-square feet, right? So you could do the math and figure out --

MEMBER REARDON: They know it already, otherwise they would not be here.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes, it's really just, you know, power squared, so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, a circle. I thought it was a square, so.

I'm sure they are going to know that we are not acting on this tonight. I believe the Building Inspector will be their contact. He could let them know what happened here tonight. They can either ask for us to accept this application and/or agree with the suggestion.

But the one thing I will say, that maybe the Building Department can, is we are going to need a couple of things. We are going to need the names of the people that are part of the LLC, and we are going to need an EAF from them. We don't have an EAF. Do you have one?

MEMBER GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We are going to need an EAF, and Environmental Assessment Form.

Can anybody think of anything else?
(Negative response).
MEMBER REARDON: They need to come and make their justification.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And a good suggestion would be to bring the sign.

So, I'm going to make a motion that we put this application off until next month, with those requests being forwarded to the applicant. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Item No. 6 is a Discussion and possible motion on the area variances applied for by Anne Pettibone and Rocco Riccobono on the property located at 446 Sixth Street, Greenport, New York 11944.

The SCTM\# remains the same at 1001-6-3-10.

Folks, do you have anything to say
about this?
MEMBER GORDON: It's a very small piece. It's a sizeable lot. Large enough lot. I would be in favor of moving right to the questions.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I agree. I don't think there is anything, any problems with this. It's small and it's outdoors, you know, it's very small. It doesn't really change any character or anything. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The deck. Absolutely. I think the deck is almost a non-issue. But, Jack, anything?

MEMBER REARDON: NO, I'm ready to roll. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to make a motion that the Zoning Board declare itself lead agency for the purposes of SEQRA. So moved.

MEMBER REARDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I make a motion that this is a Type II action. So moved.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second that.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

All right, the Zoning Board has a balancing test that we do, that we are required to do, and we'll do that now.

The first question in this balancing test is whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood, or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of this area variance. Dena?

MEMBER ZEMSKY: I don't see that as an issue, so, no.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.
MEMBER GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack?
MEMBER REARDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no.
Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than an area variance. Dena?

MEMBER ZEMSKY: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.
MEMBER GORDON: No.
MEMBER REARDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no. Whether the requested area variance is substantial.

MEMBER ZEMSKY: No.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.
MEMBER GORDON: No.
MEMBER REARDON: No, it's not.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no also.

Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district.

MEMBER ZEMSKY: No.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.
MEMBER GORDON: No.
MEMBER REARDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no.
Whether the alleged difficulty was self created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

Dena?
MEMBER ZEMSKY: No.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.
MEMBER GORDON: No.
MEMBER REARDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no.
We are not going to go through this for each and every; we are going to take them collectively?

MR. CONNELLY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right, I'm going
to make a motion that we approve the listed area variances. So moved.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye. Thank you.

Moving on --
MEMBER GORDON: There is not much to move on to, right?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I did want to say something about Item No. 3, about First Street and a future date.

I'm not inclined to put a date to this tonight without like hearing from --

MR. CONNELLY: It was adjourned because a notice of public hearing didn't list all the relief that was requested in the application. At the tail end of the application, if this Board were to find in favor of the applicant, then there would be area variances that would be needed to legalize the existing carriage house. So that was part of the application.

That was not noticed in the public hearing, so that's why, by the time we corrected the public notice, it was too late to get it posted into the Suffolk Times for this evening.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Was that -- I don't have the application in front of me. I got tired of carrying it around.

Was your request about area variances included in the original application? I don't remember it being in there.

MR. CONNELLY: It was in the amended application. It was also in the amended notice of disapproval from the Village. So that's why it can go forward tonight because, like I said, the notice of public hearing was already posted in the paper,

1 and it wasn't time to correct it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right, so this evening we would have to schedule a new public hearing.

MR. CONNELLY: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The applicant would be required to eventually stake out his property and notify the neighbors because the mailings --

MR. CONNELLY: Right. I think we caught the error in time before they sent out the mailings to the neighbors.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So what public notice did the neighbors get?

MR. NOONE: Since the process was halted, I think perhaps that there were public notices mailed out, or so $I$ was told. We also prepared a placard, both signs of the corner house, for both sides of the house. But the process stopped abruptly upon -- I send a letter to the owner or the representative, or both, actually, and I give a list of neighbors, and they have a pretty good list of neighbors, they are a corner house, of neighbors, and I explain about the placard and the return receipt requested, and the public. And it was so short, Rob can tell you, it was so shortly

1 after that that went to them, that they were contacted. Rob?

MR. CONNELLY: I actually contacted Mr. Prokop and I got involved later on in the process. MR. NOONE: Yes, I know a lawyer was contacted. So, as far as, it would not matter anyway, $I$ believe Rob can speak of this, you have to send out new public notices anyway. The whole process would have to begin again.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, that was basically, there is going to be a new public notice published in the newspaper.

MR. CONNELLY: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There will be new mailings.

MR. CONNELLY: Correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: With the corrected public notice.

MR. CONNELLY: Exactly.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the applicant would be notified of this Board's decision of the time of the public hearing.

MR. CONNELLY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the site
inspection, what we would require for a site
inspection, right?
MR. CONNELLY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, I'm guessing we could do that.

All right. So, folks, what do we think? As far as the site inspection --

MR. CONNELLY: I don't know if there is really anything to stake out. The carriage house is --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the application is for, the applicant's contention that it's two separate pieces of property. So I would like to see the boundary. Can we ask him to mark the boundary?

MR. CONNELLY: Yes, the property line, sure.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we'll ask him to stake out the boundary. And it's tough not having the application in front of me. And the carriage house, what are we -- I honestly forgot what is involved with the carriage house.

MR. CONNELLY: I believe it's just to legalize.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The same footprint.
MR. CONNELLY: Same footprint. To
legalize it as a single-family residence.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So no increase in footprint.

MR. CONNELLY: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, so we don't need the carriage house, anything.

MR. CONNELLY: I wouldn't think so.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So all we need is for him to stake out the property line.

Okay, can we make that request?
MR. CONNELLY: Yes. Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the last thing would be a site inspection. Today's site inspection was kind of darkish and chilly.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: What kind of Zoning Chairman are you?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm a sissy Zoning Chair. What can I tell you.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Let the record reflect.
(Board members laughing).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm an old guy that gets cold.

I guess we would have to ask the applicant's permission, since we are only looking at

1 a property line, if we could ask the applicant's permission to trespass at like our convenience. We all live close and, you know, if he staked out the property line we would not have to set a four or ○'clock or three or o'clock or any o'clock site inspection. We could just agree.

MR. CONNELLY: He gives owners's authorization with the application.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: To trespass? We've never gone to an owner's property or an applicant's property without asking permission first.

MR. CONNELLY: I can request that --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, before you do that, let's see if the members are okay with that.

MEMBER ZEMSKY: I guess if it fits our schedule. Daylight is so scarce.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, that's what I'm kind of thinking. It's a month from now. It will be a month from now. It will be December 20th, and we have from -- he has to put the placard out ten days before?

MR. CONNELLY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So he's going to be at least notified, at a minimum. So we have those ten days or two weeks to get there at our leisure.

1 What do we think? Or we can just set a --

MEMBER GORDON: Well, occasionally when we make site visits, we ask questions of the owner or the applicant, and we would not be able to do that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Actually, we are not supposed to do that.

MEMBER GORDON: We're not supposed to ask questions? No, I mean questions about what has been staked out, where the boundaries are, and actually in this case, $I$ would think that would be a relevant question.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: It's relevant facts, what are we looking at. I think this would probably benefit being done the same way as we have always been doing. As much as I don't want to go earlier in the day, I think we should probably just do it the way we always do it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm okay with either way. I just thought it would be easier to go --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I just think this would benefit from a traditional approach.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack, what do you think?

MEMBER REARDON: I benefit from a group
visit. I think we generate good questions and interact with the homeowners better in that situation, so that's what $I$ would like to do.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: So, if it's the shortest day of the year, we do it the day of the meeting, then we've got to do it at like 3:00.

MEMBER REARDON: Sunset is 4:24 that day.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: How do you know that?

MEMBER REARDON: We were talking about it, so I looked it up.

MEMBER GORDON: The wonders of modern technology.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't have a problem, folks, either way. You know, whatever we decide is fine with me.

So we are going to make the site visit for December 20th. Is that a good day?

MR. NOONE: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: December 20th. And we are going to make the site visit for what time did we say?

MEMBER REARDON: Well, I can make 4:00. Is anybody else working that has an obligation?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I can do that.
MEMBER GORDON: Four is fine with me.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dena?
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we are going to make the site visit for December 20th, at 4:00 PM, and the request for the applicant will be the same, just to mark the boundary, and I think that was it, right?
(Board members affirmatively respond). So that's that.

Item No. 6 -- did I forget something? Because I forgot the mailings for the previous. I'll admit it for the camera, $I$ forgot to ask for the mailings.

MR. NOONE: I have the mailings.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We have the mailings. Well, I'm supposed to read them, but.

Item No. 7, is any other Zoning Board of Appeals business that might properly come before this Board. This is your chance, folks. This is your shot. Anybody have any questions?
(Negative response).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sometimes these things are like rhetorical.

MS. WADE: Well, yes. Thanks, for asking. Randy Wade, 6th Street, Greenport.

The Village Board has now, they have it under consideration, to do a moratorium and revisit the Waterfront Commercial Zone and maybe the Commercial Retail Zone, and I don't know if they've asked you for an opinion, but $I$ think it would be nice if you gave them an opinion that, of support, for such a thing, and that you would like to be involved in discussions about modifying the code, since you guys are kind of experts now. Thanks.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you, Randy.
To respond, no, the Board has not asked for anything from the Zoning Board.

As far as our opinion, if you tune in on Thursday nights you'll see that I don't have a problem with offering my opinion, but $I$ don't speak for the Board.

And until the Village Board asks our opinion, $I$ think we are just going to sit it out. That's my -- what do you guys think?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I agree.
MEMBER GORDON: I agree.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes. So, and also for us to offer an opinion, unlike the Planning

Board, the Planning Board is set up that it's their job to offer opinions about the health, welfare, safety of the Village. Our job is kind of like spelled out in black and white. So if we offer an opinion about something that later comes in front of us, then we would have to recuse ourselves or we would look, perhaps look biased.

So maybe it's best that the Zoning Board doesn't offer an opinion. If they ask our advice or opinion, we would be glad to give it to them. Other than that. So that's that.

And Item No. 8 is motion to adjourn.
So moved.
MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER ZEMSKY: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
Thank you, folks. Thank you, for coming.
(The time noted is 6:38 p.m.)
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| 27:18,22 28:6 | 13:13,18,23 15:15 | 446 4:14 15:21 |
| visits 26:3 | 16:23 17:9,21 | 5 |
| vote 4:5 8:5 15:17 | 18:4,14,25 19:16 |  |
| 16:25 17:16 18:1 | 25:15 28:4 30:18 | 5 8:8 |
| 18:8,18 19:4,18 | Zone 29:5,6 | 5'x10' 4:18 5:21 |
| W | zoning 1:5 $2: 3,6$ $3: 206: 119: 15$ | 6 |
| Wade 7:8,8,14 29:1 | 16:14 17:1 24:15 | 615:18 28:12 |
| 29:2 | 24:17 28:19 29:14 | 6:00 1:13 3:21 |
| Wait 7:12 ${ }^{\text {W }}$ | 30:8 | 6:02 2:1 |
|  |  | 6:38 30:22 |
|  | 0 | 6th 29:2 |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline 19: 23 \\ \text { wants } 11: 20: 16 \end{array}$ | 1 | 7 |
| Waterfront 8:21 | 12:5 | 728:19 |
|  | 1'4 5:8 | 7'3 5:6 |
| way $26: 15,18,20$ | 1001-5-4-35.2 8:23 | 7'4 5:3 |
| 27:16 31:15 | 1001-6-3-10 5:10 | 8 |
| WAYNE 31:7,21 | $\begin{array}{r} 15: 24 \\ \mathbf{1 1 7} 8: 8 \end{array}$ | 830:12 |
| we'll 4:10 8:6 12:20 | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l\|}  & 1178: 8 \\ \mathbf{1 1 9 4 4} & 1: 10 \\ 3: 23 \end{array}$ |  |
| 17:3 23:17 | 11944 1:10 3:23 15:22 |  |
| we're 11:18 26:8 |  |  |

