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CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Good evening. It is 5:00 p.m. on June 16th, 2022. Thank you for attending the Village of Greenport Historic Preservation Commission meeting at the Third Street Firehouse. My name is Jane Ratsey Williams and I am the newly appointed chairperson of the Historic Preservation Commission.

Would the other members of the Commission please introduce yourselves?

MS. BORRELLI: I'm Roselle Borrelli.
MR. MCMAHON: Dennis McMahon.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.
We have a quorum.
If $I$ may have a moment, it is a great honor for me to follow in the footsteps of Karen Doherty, who served on this board since April 2019 and as chair since 2020. Karen's attention to detail and her knowledge of Village Code gave amazing structure to our meeting. It was a passion of hers to preserve the history of this beautiful village and she showed it with every application and hearing that came before her.

Along with this change, it is also our pleasure as a board to welcome Janice Claudio, who was appointed by the Commission and the mayor and the Board of Trustees at their May 24 th meeting. Janice will fill the opening
created by the resignation of Board Member Lori Mei. Lori had served since April 2019 as well on this board. She was an amazing researcher and could organize materials and group thoughts better than most. Lori always spoke concisely and with great respect. Both women set high standards for our board.

Greenport has been a treasured home base for both of these women, but now their lives have taken a turn in a new direction and into a new state. We wish both women contentment and great adventures. We will miss both of them as dedicated friends and co-members of this Commission and thank them for all they have taught us.

Janice had a long-time previous commitment for this date, so she will be in person at her first meeting in July. I would like then to take the time properly to introduce her. Thank you.

Item No. 1 on the agenda: Motion to accept and approve the minutes of the May 19th, 2022 Regular Meeting. Do I hear a second?

MEMBER BORRELLI: I'll second. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All in favor? MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye. MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Aye. Motion accepted.

Item No. 2-817 Main Street. Discussion and possible motion on the application of Peter Saitta of SINY Dermatology. The applicant seeks approval to add a privacy fence on the southern property line between the rear parking area and the adjacent property. SCTM\# 1001-2.-1-25.

Is someone present?
MS. LAU: Yes.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. Could you go to the microphone, please, and introduce yourself with your name and address.

MS. LAU: Hi. My name is Olivia Lau. I'm here representing the owner of the property. I represent the architect of record, Heitler Architects. Our address is 15 West 36th Street, New York, New York 10018.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.
MS. LAU: So like you mentioned, Jane, we're here today presenting an additional privacy fence on the property, and this was discussed at the previous Planning Board meeting. So because this is an addition to the appearance of the property, we were asked to come back to the Historic Preservation Commission to get your approval.

In addition to this privacy fence, other items that were talked about at the Planning Board meeting were removing a fence at the north property line in order
to keep the existing trees between our property and the adjacent neighbor, as well as adding a sign within our property indicating that there should be no left turn onto Webb Street as people exit out of the rear parking area.

So we were asked to provide additional documentation at this meeting about the fence. So I have hard copies of the actual application form that was submitted, as well as examples of that fencing that's being proposed, and, for reference, photos of the fence that was already approved by the Historic Preservation Commission at previous meetings, and that fence is going up along the front of the property.

And just to let everyone know, we're also continuously updating plans based on comments that we're receiving from the Suffolk County Department of Health Services. So I have the most current plans for you as well. But the pertinent information for your consideration is not being changed on these plans, it's just some information for the Health Department's review. So I can give this out to you guys (handing documents).

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.
Does anyone have any questions?
I have a comment. I like the idea of removing
the fence so that the trees can grow properly. I think
that's a nice idea. But $I$ am a little confused. I thought the application was for the south side fence of the driveway, not the front of the house.

MS. LAU: That's correct. The fence that is at the front of the house or that's being proposed for the front of the house is already approved, and that's just in the package so you have a reference as to what that fence will look like.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, fine. I just wanted to clarify that.

Do you have samples of what the sign will look like?

MS. LAU: We do have, in the package that you have, a drawing of what that sign will look like.

MEMBER MCMAHON: That's pretty rudimentary.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Perfect. Sorry, I missed that. And that would be put on your property versus on Webb Street?

MS. LAU: Correct. The sign will not be visible from outside the property. It's just a sign for people that are exiting the driveway onto the street.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I think it's a very
courteous and a very smart move.
MEMBER BORRELLI: I'm wondering. I see so many fences, and I can't exactly remember what we approved.

Was it the horse slat fence type looking fence? Was it this one? (Indicating document)

MS. LAU: Yes. The slat fence was approved for the front of the house, correct.

MEMBER BORRELLI: This one?
MS. LAU: Correct.
MEMBER BORRELLI: So now the part that we're actually fencing now is in the back?

MS. LAU: Correct.
MEMBER BORRELLI: And is this that?
MS. LAU: Yes, the privacy fence which does not have the open slatting. Because at the Planning Board meeting the neighbor that is adjoining our property raised concern about seeing headlights from the cars in their property. So in order to head that off, we propose either this closed privacy fence or also a brick wall to shield views from the rear parking area. And so we would ask consideration to approve possibly both materials, a brick wall or this privacy fencing. What we want to know is if we do intend to build a six-foot tall brick wall along the southern property line, would that be approved? If not, would this privacy fence be approved instead?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: And the height of the privacy fence --

MS. LAU: Would also be six feet tall.

MEMBER MCMAHON: Is that a ZBA issue, a six-foot fence? On what, the rear?

MS. LAU: Yes.
MEMBER MCMAHON: The rear of the property is fine, I believe.

MEMBER BORRELLI: Six feet in the back is okay.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Paul?
MR. PALLAS: Yes, six feet is okay in the back.
How far out would it extend? Would it extend all the way to Webb Street?

MS. LAU: Correct. The fence would extend to Webb Street, and at Webb Street there is already an existing fence and gate to the driveway.

MR. PALLAS: Tentatively, I would say yes, that it would be acceptable from a zoning perspective. I would just need to confirm this corner lot. It's not really a corner lot, though.

MS. LAU: That's correct. The corner lot is our neighbor.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Would that hold true for the privacy fence, the height of it, since it's also six feet?

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, right. The maximum is four feet in the front yard. It's six feet everywhere else.

So I just have to make sure. I have to look
at the survey and think about whether that particular -It's like an access road, more than anything else, to the property, whether that qualifies still as a rear yard and not a front yard, because it's Webb Street facing. I'm not quite sure how else I would define it. That's why I'm saying tentatively it should be fine. If not, we would have to figure out where it would have to step down.

MS. LAU: So, I'm sorry. Just to clarify. The new fence or brick wall that we're proposing is not along Webb Street, it just ties into the existing fence.

MR. PALLAS: It's perpendicular, I am aware.
MEMBER BORRELLI: I have a question. So I have this drawing over here and I'm wondering if you could -- You're talking about that like access road that's gonna hit Webb that you're doing a privacy fence here for, or are you talking about doing it through the entire backyard?

MS. LAU: The privacy fence will be here (indicating) So if you go to the next sheet --

MEMBER BORRELLI: So I've got a clear visual of what I'm talking about here?

MS. LAU: Yes. This privacy fence will start from where this post is and then extend along --

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: The cemetery is here.

MEMBER BORRELLI: So then on this side are you putting in -- Where are you taking down a fence? I'm getting confused.

MS. LAU: So along this north property line are existing trees, and so we had originally proposed a fence along this property line. But, because we want to keep the trees, we are now proposing to eliminate that fence.

MEMBER BORRELLI: Okay, so there's no fencing here. So then the fencing starts about here, right? MS. LAU: Correct.

MEMBER BORRELLI: Which is their garage that's back there, this little house back there. So that's starting here and it's coming down here and it's going up to Webb?

MS. LAU: Correct. And this was in response to our neighbor's concern about seeing the cars from their property.

MEMBER BORRELLI: Okay, great.
MEMBER MCMAHON: Is there any reason why you would consider a brick wall? Where did brick come into the thought process?

MS. LAU: So the owner of the property, Peter Saitta, has a preference for a brick wall, just the aesthetics of it, and the security concerns as well. So that's why we're asking that to also be considered
and approved. And then, once we get the approval, we can decide if we want to proceed with the brick wall or with the privacy fencing.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I can see the concern for the neighbor.

MEMBER MCMAHON: I do, too.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: It's awfully close to his house, the backyard of his house.

MEMBER MCMAHON: Well, they're requesting it, so --

MS. LAU: Yes, this is a neighbor request.
MEMBER BORRELLI: I'm just wondering, though. I don't even know about historically speaking. I'm not sure. But how is a brick -- It's gonna look almost fortress-like. I'm wondering, how is a brick wall gonna come off of Webb Street? You're going to see one side of a brick wall and it's gonna come in at an angle and go halfway down the back yard. It's a little odd-looking to me, as if you're bricking in -- I don't know. I think a regular fence is something that most everyone is used to seeing, you know, and something that follows more along in the pattern of what Greenport is, pretty much.

I don't think I've ever seen a brick wall used in Greenport anywhere. I'm trying to think, but --

MEMBER MCMAHON: A combination of brick or stone and --

MEMBER BORRELLI: A six-foot high brick wall? MEMBER MCMAHON: It's very close, very close. I think one of the things that we try to do and was a part of prior meetings was to take a look at what is in the neighborhood in regard to fencing and try to emulate or at least try to bring in the same kind of feel. Brick might have a little bit more of a -- I mean brick can be beautiful, $I$ mean it can be patterns and inlaid. But I have a feeling that a wood fence might be a little more appropriate, and certainly a lot cheaper, just speaking from a contractor's point of view.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Would the neighbor consider trees as fencing?

MS. LAU: So there is existing hedges and some trees between our property and the neighbors, but their concern is the headlights from the cars that can shine through the trees and hedges.

MR. PALLAS: If I may, I just want to confirm that what was presented here as a requirement by the Planning Board is accurate. The Planning Board did require, as part of their site plan approval, as a result of a hearing, a solid privacy fence.

MR. PALLAS: At that height, yes.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, if they approved it. MR. PALLAS: That's only the physical nature of it and the height. The aesthetics of it is entirely -CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'd like to propose that -I certainly understand that a brick wall would take care of all these concerns. But, as Roselle said, I can't think of another high brick wall in all of Greenport. And, oddly, we have a brick company here for a very long time. And, as a matter of fact, I think there are only three brick houses. So I would be in favor of a wooden fence for privacy. I think it would give the neighbors privacy. It would be more attractive from their backyard, rather than just looking at stonework.

MEMBER MCMAHON: Yeah, I have to say the feel
is a little --
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: More in keeping. MEMBER MCMAHON: More in keeping.

MEMBER BORRELLI: And I think more in keeping with Greenport. Brick walkways, brick used in decorative patterns, that's great, you know. Like we said, we've had SAGE brick. For hundreds of years, well, at least a hundred and sixty something years we've had Brick Cove Marina. So we do brick. But we don't do six-foot tall, I don't think, brick walls to separate neighbors. You
know? So --
And the fence that you were going to do in
the front was not wood but it was some brand new
beautiful -- if $I$ remember correctly. So I am all in favor of continuing that. Even though it's not slats, it would be more solid, but I'm sure that would be beautiful.

MS. LAU: Yes, that's right. So the privacy
fence that we're proposing will be the same material as the slatted fence at the front of the property. And this material is an engineered vinyl that looks and feels like real wood, but it has extremely long durability and very easy to maintain.

MEMBER BORRELLI: It didn't look like plastic, which is something that --

MEMBER MCMAHON: It's a composite, which we approve of most of the time, composite products.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I believe all three of us agree that the look of this fence is much more characteristic, and I think the neighbors would appreciate it, and I think would add to the line of the driveway as well. All right?

MS. LAU: And then the other items that were on the application, such as removing the proposed fence on the north property line and installing the No Left Turn sign, those also --

MEMBER BORRELLI: I think the No Left Turn is perfect because most people don't know that Webb is a one-way, and you don't want to cause confusion as they pull out. I think that's perfect.

MEMBER MCMAHON: And removal of the fence as well is all good, I think that is perfect.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All right. So I'd like to put it out for a vote by the board for three things: The No Left Turn sign, that will be on the owner's property. And thank you for that. It's a nice courtesy. We also believe that taking down the fence and letting the trees be the border is a lovely idea, it will make the trees grow better and be much more natural. And, instead of a brick wall, we approve a wooden or composite fence as you presented in other plans.

Is there a vote, please?
MEMBER BORRELLI: I'll second that.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All those in favor?
MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Aye.
Motion passed. Thank you.
MS. LAU: Thank you very much for your time.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: In the 1970s there was a group here called the Cultural Resource Center, and they went around door-to-door and did research on houses. It was called the Fordham House then. (Handing document) I thought you might be interested in that. MS. LAU: Yes. Thank you. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: The next item is Item No. 3-455 Main Street. Discussion and possible motion on the application of Deborah Schade on behalf of Palmer Victoria, LTD. The applicant seeks approval to paint the exterior of the building with Benjamin Moore Alpine White, an example of which has been provided. This is SCTM\# 1001-4.-7-19. Is anyone here representing them?

My concern, which I would like to discuss with the board, is the color I certainly approve of. It's the exact color the building is now. But the application does not indicate whether it is for the barn in the rear of the property or for the main house. I think that needs to be clarified. We approve of the color, I believe. MEMBER BORRELLI: Yes, we do. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All three of us, for either building?

MEMBER MCMAHON: I would agree, yes.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So I would like to vote on that with a stipulation, then. We accept the motion of the application of Deborah Schade, 455 Main Street,
to paint the exterior of the building on the property with Benjamin Moore Alpine White. We would like it indicated that we accept this for either building on the property.

We'd like to set a restriction. Paul?
MR. PALLAS: It would just be the standard condition, that any other work would require them to come back to the Historic Preservation Commission for approval.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.
So we'd like to add a stipulation that if any other work is being done, such as painting the shutters, the front door or any trim, that they come back to the Commission with samples of those paints.

May I take a vote, please? I'd like to make a motion to vote on this proposal.

MEMBER BORRELLI: I'll second it.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All in favor?
MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Aye.
Motion passed. Thank you.
Item No. 4-768 Main Street. Discussion of possible motion on the application of Karina Joslin on behalf of the Church of the Holy Trinity. The applicant
seeks approval to perform various exterior improvements as per the attached Project Detail. And I might add, it is very detailed. SCTM\# 1001-2-3-5.

I open this up for discussion. Is there anybody here? Peter, you're representing them? Would you stand, please, and go to the microphone and tell us your address.

MR. CLARKE: Hello. How are you all?
My name is Peter Clarke, $C-l-a-r-k-e$, and $I$ live at 806 Main Street. However, I am not here as a Village resident. I'm here as a member of the church. And I'm also not here on behalf of the Board of Trustees.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Understood.
MR. CLARKE: I volunteered for a subcommittee with Karina, and another Village resident, Cynthia Brennan, who lives on First Street, and we formed a garden committee for Holy Trinity Church. I'm very sorry that Karina and Roger can't be here today, unfortunately. And, for the record, the last name is correctly spelled J-o-s-l-i-n.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. So noted.
MR. CLARKE: A little bit of background. This property has been in the Village a very, very long time and is a historic pillar of the Village. The neglect to it was significant for some time. And I'm going to say that with the -- it's not called the installation. At
any rate, Roger Joslin has accepted and is installed as the rector -- again not the correct word -- in the Church. And he's ultimately brought nice new life into the parish. And we have some new members and a lot of desire to maybe undo and continue to improve the property to its former appearance.

There's been innumerable modifications made to the property over the years, too many to go into today. But, of course, being a church, it has all its records, and I've been able to see the history of the buildings and what they looked like, which is very interesting.

The decision to form this committee was primarily to improve the front lawn of the separate building called the Parish Hall, which is directly south to the church building itself. They are connected by a breezeway between the two, but the church is a separate building north of the Parish Hall. And the open property in question on the plan -- Well, in respect to the board and how you like to function, I've done a lot of talking. Maybe I should slow down and see what you would like from me and what you'd like me to review and cover.

I have samples of materials. The large foldout that you have, $I$ think that is maybe attached, that would be the easiest way to envision without me using a lot of
words. That's the survey which will then tell you what part of the property it's on, if there's any question. And then the color rendering, which Cynthia did for us, really specifies the location and what really is the driving force of the project.

Right now there is fallow flower beds and a green lawn in this space, which is roughly a $55 \times 50$ foot rectangle in front of the Parish Hall, which, as I said, runs immediately south on Main Street from the church. And the desire to create a welcoming space and a courtyard type feeling for the church with this property was the initial impetus to get us started on forming a garden committee to improve this section. We envision using it for coffee hour in nice weather after church, where people can come out of church and be in an area to gather, instead of always gathering inside. And the desire to make it a masonry type construction, permeable, for this space, was selected, surrounded by plantings, none of which are there right now, would create a space that would not only benefit the church and its members but really, I think, make an enormous improvement to the community, because this would be a public space for anyone really 24 hours a day.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: It would create a great streetscape.

MR. CLARKE: It would certainly improve that particular portion of it.

The primary application is in masonry, lighting, paths and plantings, most of which is largely outside of anything that was there or is historic. There's no change to any facade or any structure at all in the application.

The two pieces that require, I think, the most attention, from the Historic Board's view, are the rebuilding of the stoop which leads to the entrance to the church, which is adjacent to this area, and the ADA-accessible ramp which leads up the sidewalk to the Parish Hall in question. Those two pieces will require a building permit because of their nature. And they are both existent, so nothing's being added that isn't already there.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Have you gone before other boards before this?

MR. CLARKE: No, I don't believe we're required to.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: For the ramp you don't need to?

MEMBER BORRELLI: I'm gonna start with my thoughts, and see what you think. Is that okay?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Sure.

MEMBER BORRELLI: All right. So first said, I love it. I think it's beautiful. Traditionally and historic-wise it's perfect. It's a very formal courtyard or formal garden setting in a very formal church setting. I love that, too. I love the plantings. I love the walkways. I love the brick. I love the circle with the brick pathway. I think all of that is really going to be stunning.

The benches, I think, that's a lovely thing for a church to have. And I know that they hold services sometimes on Sundays outside there, and they put the tent up and the whole thing, and it's just grass. So I think, as far as service to the church, it's going to be phenomenal. As far as the scape, as Jane was saying --

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Streetscaping.
MEMBER BORRELLI: Beautiful.
I love the sign. I think that's great.
Now, all that said, I've got my concerns. So as I go through your little pamphlet here -- The brick is great, the way that it's positioned, that circular brick. Beautiful, just beautiful, especially for a courtyard.

My first concern is the railing. I'm just going to give you all my concerns, and then maybe if somebody wants to talk about it. I don't want to take
up too much. But the railing, the welded looking pipe railing, I don't know how traditional that looks for the church.

I don't know what I think about decomposed granite because I'm not sure exactly if I've ever seen it or not.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: May I ask where it was going to go, the decomposed granite?

MR. CLARKE: Of course. Do you want to take each issue one at a time maybe, or do you want me to make a list?

MEMBER BORRELLI: I'm just going to go through each one, and then you guys can -- The fountain. I'm a little concerned about the fountain. I love the placement of it. If it's right in the middle of that circle, I think that's beautiful, super traditional, very historical. But the shape of the fountain is so modern, to me, that $I$ think that $I$ have a concern how it's going to mix with the very traditional historic church behind it with a very modern fountain in front of it. So I have an issue with that.

The same thing I would say of the walkway lighting, because it's so modern. I'm not quite sure how that fits in with the church. You know, I'm used to seeing like maybe lanterns or gaslights or something
like that in that type of setting. But that lighting looks very modern. Other than that, I think I'm done.

MR. CLARKE: Very good. Let me just get up to speed in my packet and look at what you're talking about in terms of the railing.

I have a different photograph that didn't make it into this packet because, unfortunately, Karina and Roger were unable to come. That is not in scope for what we are planning.

MEMBER BORRELLI: Okay. So this pipe isn't going to be --

MR. CLARKE: That pipe is not what we are proposing. I'm sorry.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: May I ask what you are suggesting?

MR. CLARKE: I sure can. I've got a photo of it. May I be permitted to get a photo from my phone?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yes, please.
MR. CLARKE: We really focused on hand-made forged iron in our thoughts and want to use Northfork Welding to make just very simple non-ornate but satisfactory railings for the requirement. These are fabrications, just examples that they made for other clients that I took a couple photos of. So it's going to be measured and hand-made, forged, and flat, just
made simple and clean.
MEMBER BORRELLI: Perfect. I was just worried about it, too, because they look so big.

MR. CLARKE: No. That is just, unfortunately, an error in our judgment in submitting that.

I was asked to present this today (showing image on phone). That's number one.

Number two. The granite is -- As you know, in Mitchell Park on the paths we used a decomposed type of very, very fine screening of bluestone which compacts and makes a porous but clean walking surface. It's not spongey.

In the color rendering, if you look at the central area, the brick center will not have any of this material, but there will be four quadrants developed from the pathways. The pathways, as well, will be in brick and outlined in brick. But those quadrants, each of the four of them were planning to be a decomposed granite in a neutral palate. We didn't want the bluestone palate, but we didn't want a white or gray palate. It's meant to be a combination of neutral grayish-sandish kind of color.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So this is all ground up? These are actually stones?

MR. CLARKE: Those are the stones that will be
used for this crushed application and that is the coloration of it.

MEMBER BORRELLI: So this will be like sandy? Is it like a sand type --

MEMBER MCMAHON: It's a screening. Typically it's used between brick and for walkways, as it is in Mitchell Park. The walkways going up is the same material, just a compacted --

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I'm just curious why the bluestone wasn't chosen since you have a gray palate over there.

MR. CLARKE: There is bluestone in the project. The center of the circle is bluestone. There may be some bluestone accents around the brick. But for the larger section we wanted to keep a lighter feeling that was closer to an impression of pea gravel rather than that sort of colder and more formal feeling of the gray.

It's completely subjective. I don't have any historical context for it, other than we wanted to keep -- But owing to the fact that there are elderly people that will be visiting, it has to be a hard surface that walkers can go on, wheelchairs can go on. We may at some times put out furniture on top of this, so it has to be tough enough to accept the wear and tear of furniture.

The fountain -- Is that enough information on the granite?

MEMBER BORRELLI: I think so.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Okay, the fountain. Is this the actual fountain?

MR. CLARKE: It is the fountain that we selected. It's not purchased. It's not built in. It is a completely separate item that anyone could buy and put on their property. There are many to choose from.

MEMBER MCMAHON: I don't mind the contemporary. There's a fine line between simplicity and being contemporary as well. So I think the fact that it doesn't have a lot of ornate qualities to it also is a cleaner look. You know, sometimes you go overboard with that and it takes away from all the rest of everything you're doing here, which is very ornate.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Right, which would be the sound of water.

MEMBER MCMAHON: That's right, meant to be a tranquil addition.

MR. CLARKE: The most compelling historic piece to that building on the facade, when you look at it, are the five very typical arched Episcopal windows across the front of the Parish Hall. And I'm not sure what style would go with that, but if you had a suggestion we'd certainly consider it.

MEMBER BORRELLI: Well, you know, I was back and forth with what Dennis said, in my mind, and thinking how is that going to look? And then I thought, you know, historically speaking, if you wanted to go with this type of fountain -- I mean it is certainly a Roman type of first baptisimal font, so, historically, it's going to be more historic than going back maybe two thousand years to get this historic fountain. So maybe it's not really a modern take on it, but it's maybe the oldest take on a fountain that we could probably do. So maybe I retract my historical statement. Maybe it's not 1830-40-50 but maybe the year one or so?

MR. CLARKE: The idea was that it could reflect baptism font and the idea of a basin that's acceptable. Not a cascading fountain where there's splashing, but more the idea that there's a pool that if it is good weather that either water can be drawn from that fountain and brought into the church or a person, a small person could actually be baptized right there. So that was one of the guiding principles.

MEMBER BORRELLI: Wonderful.
MR. CLARKE: The walkway lighting, I think to Dennis' comment, yes, it's clean, modern. We had a donation of them, frankly, and we're hoping that they would work. We're using very few. Are they clearly
detailed for you?
MEMBER BORRELLI: Yeah. Actually, if you're doing this exact fountain with that exact light, the light imitates where the water comes out of the fountain, so it kind of does work.

MR. CLARKE: It does.
We're trying not to put them in symmetrical placings and keep as few as possible just for safety. I believe there's a total of only six or seven used and there's two entrances. There's the stoop to get up to the church. There's a railing. There's a side garden to go into, and then the two back benches. So that in twilight or evening you still could get into and sit down or use the property safely without any accidents. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So thank you for clarifying that.

I think the last one is the last picture, the walkway. Personally, I think this is way too busy, the ramp to the walkway. I think it's going to distract from your lovely fountain garden.

MR. CLARKE: I couldn't agree more with you. The examples are all concepts that we worked on that are in this submission, but the contractor that's been selected for the job works with the Long Island Diocese almost exclusively on masonry projects, and they have
come back to us to say that we don't need to use any poured aggregate in our budget, that they will make sure that everything is all brick and stone. So that was a budgetary approach.

This is the drawing that you referred to?
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yes.
MR. CLARKE: This was a budgetary approach to try and save money on material to eliminate the need for so much brick.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: It's understood. So now it'll be on the record.

MR. CLARKE: Do you have this detail sheet somewhere?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: I don't think we do.
MR. CLARKE: Let me present, if I may, these details which are more contemporary than the examples that were created originally.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Well, it has no surface, but -- oh, I see.

MR. CLARKE: The entire surface will be entirely brick.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: So we're all on the same page.

MR. CLARKE: Would you like a copy of this for your records?

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Yes, please. Will you give that to Amanda and she can do that. Thank you. The signs. The signs are already existing, aren't they, Peter? Or is there going to be a new fence post?

MR. CLARKE: The signage on the church now is on the facade and faces the street. It doesn't really -MEMBER BORRELLI: Stick out. MR. CLARKE: The proposal is completely different than what's there now and much more in keeping.

MEMBER BORRELLI: Are you going to put the sign on the side of the wall there?

MR. CLARKE: Yes. Bear with me one second. This is going to be a sign perpendicular to the street.

MEMBER BORRELLI: Perfect. That's great. So then as you're walking past you can actually read what the church is.

MR. CLARKE: You know, that hardware is not finalized yet. But, again, the idea is to keep it in a very traditional conforming approach on the signage. The bottom piece is meant to come off or go up if there's a special event. And then the official Episcopal sign would be on the wall, replacing the one that you are familiar with, Jane.

MEMBER BORRELLI: It matches the windows. It's all good.

MR. CLARKE: And the vestry is working on that because there are requirements for that that $I$ don't know.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Are there any other questions?

MEMBER BORRELLI: No. I think it's great.
MEMBER MCMAHON: Everything in a nice package.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you, Peter.
MR. CLARKE: It's a pleasure. My thanks to Karina and Cynthia, who did all the lion's share of the work. And we're very excited about getting started. We have funding in place and vendors selected. So it could be very exciting this year to see that come together.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you.

## Paul?

MR. PALLAS: I would just ask that the applicant provide an update of that drawing that incorporates the changes that were discussed here, and maybe label each area with what materials, for conformity, so we know what is what.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All right. So I'd like to make a motion to approve the application, 768 Main Street, as presented this evening by Peter Clarke. There
would be stipulations to upgrade the plan information to include the things we discussed, such as the texture of the ramp, the lighting, the railing and the signage. MR. CLARKE: Okay.

MEMBER BORRELLI: And taking off that cement and putting --

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: That was the brick. And, with those stipulations, I'd like to make a motion to approve.

MEMBER MCMAHON: I second it.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All in favor?
MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Aye. Motion approved.
MR. CLARKE: Thank you very much. And I'll
make sure we get that information for distribution to the board.

CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Thank you. It was a very detailed plan, and I thank you.

MR. CLARKE: Thank you very much for your help. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Is there any other historic business that needs to be discussed?

Thank you.
Item No. 6: Motion to schedule the next Historic Preservation Commission meeting for 5:00 p.m. on July 21,

2022 at the Third Street Fire Station. All those in favor? MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye. MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Aye. Motion carried. Thank you. Item No. 7: Motion to adjourn. Is there a second?

MEMBER BORRELLI: I'll second. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: All in favor? MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye. MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye. CHAIRPERSON WILLIAMS: Aye.

Thank you very much.
(Time noted: 5:50 p.m.)

*     *         * 
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