1	(The Meeting was Called to Order at 7 p.m.)
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I'll call the meeting
3	to order with the Pledge to the Flag.
4	(Pledge of Allegiance)
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Is Wayde Manwaring here?
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Wayde's not here.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Albie is supposed to be
9	here? Was he there? Albie's supposed to be here.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. Well,
11	right now, we don't have anybody from the Fire
12	Department, so we'll move on to the next report
13	and Wayde oh, I didn't even notice she was out
14	of the room. I'm sorry, Lucia.
15	(Laughter)
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: With everything else going
17	on, I didn't realize that.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Do you want me to check in
19	the Chief's office and see if he's in there?
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: If you want to. I mean
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, it's up to you.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Pete will go check. I
23	mean
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: I think he knows what time

1	the meetings are, but that's and you were out
2	for the ladies room. I did not even notice that
3	with the people up there.
4	MS. BRAATEN: It's okay.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: So I'm sorry. We did the
6	Pledge of Allegiance, that's all we've done.
7	MS. BRAATEN: Okay, no problem.
8	(Laughter)
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
10	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Sorry, Mayor. Sorry,
11	Board.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
13	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Hello.
14	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Good evening.
15	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Good evening. How are you?
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. What have you got for us?
17	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: We handed in all the
18	important reports that we needed to, and business
19	is all taken care of that Mary Bess took care of
20	this morning, so we're all set.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: You have no requests, nothing
22	to discuss with the Board?
23	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: No requests, no requests
24	this month.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The I.T. situation is

you spoke to Paul today? TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, Paul and I spoke this morning. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, okay, great. So that's the only thing that we have. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The other thing I forgome is that I'm not sure you're aware that the Paul and the crew fixed how many fire hydrants? ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's seven or eight, I think, hydrants. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go were in rare form.	
this morning. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, okay, great. So that's the only thing that we have. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The other thing I forgous is that I'm not sure you're aware that the Pa and the crew fixed how many fire hydrants? ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's seven or eight, I think, hydrants. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	
CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, okay, great. So that's the only thing that we have. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The other thing I forgo is that I'm not sure you're aware that the Pa and the crew fixed how many fire hydrants? ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's seven or eight, I think, hydrants. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	
that's the only thing that we have. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The other thing I forgous is that I'm not sure you're aware that the Page and the crew fixed how many fire hydrants? ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's seven or eight, I think, hydrants. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The other thing I forgote is that I'm not sure you're aware that the Page and the crew fixed how many fire hydrants? ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's seven or eight, I think, hydrants. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	
is that I'm not sure you're aware that the Page and the crew fixed how many fire hydrants? ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's seven or eight, I think, hydrants. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	
and the crew fixed how many fire hydrants? ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's seven or eight, I think, hydrants. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	шТ
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's seven or eight, I think, hydrants. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	1 U I
11 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's seven or 12 eight, I think, hydrants. 13 CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. 14 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have - 15 there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	
eight, I think, hydrants. CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have - there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	
13 CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, that's great. 14 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have 15 there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	
14 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I didn't have -	
there's wasn't an opportunity last night, you go	
	-
16 were in rare form.	ıys
17 CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Do we have a list of	
18 those numbers by chance, or no?	
19 ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No. I actually die	ln't
20 know that, so I have to catch up	
CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh, okay.	
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: with Mike.	
CHIEF DE KERILLIS: So maybe, just on tha	
respect, then, just if you'll forward me or	t
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure.	t

1	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: And Wayde. That's all we
2	have, Mayor.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
4	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Yep.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Other than that, Mayor,
7	the Fire Department purchased the new chairs.
8	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Thanks for the chairs,
9	they're awesome.
10	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Yep.
11	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: These are killer.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Good on you.
13	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Yeah, yep, all right.
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. If you have no
15	requests and nothing to bring up
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If you could just hang
17	on for just one minute.
18	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Yeah, sure.
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I have a couple of
20	add-ons that I don't want to miss.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. That's it
22	for the Fire Department. We'll go on to the
23	Village Administrator report.
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
25	So the two items that are added on here that relate

1	to the Fire Department, the roof at the firehouse,
2	plus the roofs, several roofs at the wastewater
3	plant, pump and pump stations. That will be
4	going out into the paper probably about two weeks
5	from now. Probably, probably won't make it for the
6	December meeting, but certainly for the January
7	meeting for the time for bid opening. And so
8	the that's the schedule, if you want to
9	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: So bidding will start
10	somewhere around that time?
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right after your
12	no, the bidding is already
13	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Oh.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We just have to finish
15	the spec, put it in the paper, and that should go
16	out in about two weeks.
17	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Okay.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And then that will be
19	returnable in time for the January meeting.
20	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Great.
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And then it will be
22	awarded at the January meeting.
23	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: So we're thinking
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: As soon as it's
25	awarded in February, we should be able to

1	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Okay, great.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, the
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. Well, roofing, you're
4	not going to do it in February when it's really
5	cold.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Oh, no.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: You're going to wait for
8	roofing until April
9	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Right. And I was going
10	to say
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: or whatever. But
12	everything will be in place by that at that
13	point, so we'll be ready to go. So, okay, I
14	just
15	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: That's okay.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't want you saying that
17	it's going to be done in February, because nobody
18	wants to do a roof in February
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: if they want it to last 40
21	years.
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right.
23	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: And we have Washington's
24	Birthday, too, so.
25	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. It doesn't seal well

1	either, you know, it doesn't seal well.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: No, you got to wait until
3	it's a little warmer.
4	(Laughter)
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: To get all the paperwork
6	done, the bidding and everything else taken care
7	of, get the contract signed.
8	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Good.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: And then do the work, you
10	know, mid April to end of April, beginning of May.
11	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Good.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
13	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: I'll tell the Officers
14	tonight that they can expect that.
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yep. The second item
16	is the for the I.T. for the computers here. We
17	have specs that I'll send over to Chief Manwaring
18	tomorrow and we can disseminate it to you guys.
19	You let me know. I understand that you guy want to
20	take a look at the specs for the computers.
21	We'll you guys return that to us, we'll get that
22	process started as soon as we approve the specs for
23	the computers.
24	I think that's all I have.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You know, the other thing

1	is the engineering RFP report.
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. The contract
3	I'm sorry. The architects will be getting back the
4	final version of the contract to me, hopefully,
5	next week. He said he would do his best to get it
6	to me next week. We can get that signed and get
7	that process started. I'll set up a meeting with
8	you folks and myself.
9	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Great. Thank you very
10	much, Paul.
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Okay.
12	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Thank you very much,
13	everyone.
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
15	CHIEF DE KERILLIS: Have a good night.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
17	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Take it easy now.
18	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just a few more
19	add-ons before I get to the regular items that I
20	have listed. I believe a notice was sent out about
21	the paving at Sterling. Milling will be tomorrow,
22	and paving, hopefully, early next week for Sterling
23	Avenue and Sterling Street.
24	Bucket trucks. I went through the specs that
25	were the the crews had prepared. It looks fine

to me. I'm going to sit down with them again tomorrow, update the pricing. It's all off of State contract, so it shouldn't be a problem. I just have to get -- refresh the prices, because they don't -- they don't hold them for more than, I think, 30 days, a very short time frame. So I'm going to update the pricing, and, hopefully, get a resolution on for approval for the December meeting.

I apologize. The next item, net metering. I'm working through some requested edits from the Power Authority. I should be able to finish that in the next couple of weeks and get that out to everybody for review on the -- on the tariffs for that. We can probably set that up again for discussion in the December meeting.

Oh, Sandy Beach sewer project, I had a meeting this week with the engineering firm that's working on that. They're working through the pricing in terms of how to allocate it between the Village and the marinas. As you remember, the marinas are picking up a part of it, we're picking up a part of it. We have funding for our part through grants -- I'm sorry, through the funding from Sandy Beach residents. The grant money would

be spread over the entire project. I mean, once that's concluded, I'll send it out to you all, and we can discuss that and see how we want to move forward or not, depending on the ultimate balance.

I do have one -- will have one resolution.

I'm going to Albany to meet with NYSERDA to discuss funding, IIJA funding, Federal funding that's going to be flowing through NYSERDA. It's primarily energy related, but it will give me an idea of how that process will work for other agencies as well, once money gets freed up for other things, for example, the bulkhead, if there's anything available there for us. It will just give me an idea what the process is going to be.

On my regular items here, microgrid project, they are working through on the distribution piece. They're working through essentially punch list items on that.

The battery here for here, the batteries are in -- in, but the rack is still delayed. We just got an update today that they're further delayed until February, unfortunately. I have requested them to look into alternatives.

I think I had reported earlier that the alternative, because it's purchased as a unit, the

1	batteries and the battery rack, it gets it's UL
2	listing rating from the combined system. So if
3	they get a different rack setup, then they have to
4	get a new UL approval, which is a bit tedious. But
5	they're going to look into it anyway at the State,
6	because they're becoming concerned that this delay
7	is just going to keep repeating itself. And the
8	funding agency, GOSR, the Governor's Office of
9	Storm Recovery is also becoming a little concerned.
10	So that will you know, probably by the end of
11	the year, that should be almost the only thing left
12	to do on the entire microgrid project.
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: How long is the extension
14	on that was until the end of 2023?
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. I got a
16	clarification on that. That's not the construction
17	phase. They were when they expressed their
18	concerns, that I thought because I brought up
19	their letter and it did say September, actually, of
20	2023. And he said, well, no, that's just the
21	ultimate deadline for funding, but that's not
22	construction completion. Construction completion
23	is through the end of this year.
24	They don't see a problem with getting it
25	extended and we're going to have to get it

1	extended, but they wouldn't extend the other date,
2	the September date, because that's when the
3	that's actually all Sandy money, and after that
4	date, that will not be available.
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
6	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So one way or another,
7	it has to be completed, regardless, by that time.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Have we made progress
9	with Verizon and Cablevision, Optimum
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, they continue
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: on getting their stuff?
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. They continue
13	to work on poles. You know, it's a process.
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Very small amounts.
15	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, they understood.
16	They're doing
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: The second poles that are all
18	around next to everything. We're done with our
19	high power stuff up top. The rest of it is all
20	waiting on these other companies. And, you know,
21	to send two trucks out here when you've got to do
22	200 poles, it's going to take years.
23	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Some of them, some of
24	the poles are actually our responsibility, and
25	that's part of the punch list item from Haugland.

1	So now that they're back in town, I expect that a
2	lot of those are going to come out quickly
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
4	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: from their end. So
5	that will help visually the amount, will definitely
6	knock down the amount significantly.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And Haugland was in front
8	of my house today. So it takes them about 20
9	minutes to do whatever they're doing, that's what
10	they explained to me, so.
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, yep.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What was
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: They were on Carpenter the
14	other day. We were down like 20 minutes.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah. I wasn't down at
16	all, they just got it completed.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And we had Verizon on our
18	poles before, but they're running fiberoptic cable
19	now, aren't they?
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No. The fiber that's
21	being installed now, I believe, is as far as I
22	know, it's Cablevision, it's not Verizon.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's Cablevision?
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's Cablevision, yeah.

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. But then Verizon
2	trucks are here as well. What are they doing?
3	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: They have to transfer
4	their facilities from the poles to the new poles,
5	the old poles to the new poles.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So they okay. They did
7	have original
8	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: service here as well,
10	Optimum. So there are two contractors
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct, two
12	different
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: working on the poles.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, yes. The ferry
15	queue project status, we continue to work through
16	the comments with the DOT. The bigger biggest
17	open item, really, is the lease agreement with the
18	MTA. We just sent them an updated survey that
19	delineates all the different lease parcels. There
20	was one that I think they wanted changed. We
21	really didn't quite understand. I got
22	clarification from them. That was sent to them.
23	They have to prepare some documents that actually
24	get approved up in Albany, and there's a 90-day
25	waiting period. It's all part of the Public

1	Authorities Law is the part of the process for
2	that.
3	So moving that's all moving along. I
4	don't there's no funding issue. We I think
5	there's plenty of funding for it. Again, once
6	that's all done, we'll get an updated engineer's
7	estimate. We'll see about the match, our portion
8	of it, and see how we are going to move forward
9	MR. SWISKEY: They're giving out free money
10	here tonight?
11	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: forward for that.
12	Any questions on that?
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: On the ferry queue?
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: No.
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So the last item I
17	have is the Ice Rink. I don't really have a lot to
18	say. I did send a really detailed memo out to you
19	all. Basically, the open question is do we want to
20	reduce the size, do we want to relocate? And I
21	gave you some costs for keeping the same size for
22	a two different solutions for a cover. So I
23	there's really nothing more for us to do at this
24	stage, so just waiting for
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. We're planning on

1	putting it up right after the Christmas Parade and
2	tree lighting and all.
3	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Actually, Mayor,
4	that's going to change, so that we're actually
5	doing it a week earlier. We're doing it before the
6	parade. There's staffing issues. Sorry, I
7	apologize.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well
9	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That just happened
10	today, I just found out about it today.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It will be up after
13	Thanksgiving, then.
14	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It will be up the
15	week the week after Thanksgiving, yes. So then
16	they'll start, and then we'll just
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So let me just I
18	just want to get clear in the process. The
19	Ice Rink is going up for this year just as it
20	normally does?
21	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What you're looking for is
23	what are we going to do with it next year?
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes. There's two,
25	there's two steps. So the first, the first thing,

1	as I as I had mentioned, just to keep it as it
2	is, we need a new mat system and we need to get new
3	anchors. The total, combined total for both of
4	those things I think is going to approach \$200,000
5	for the mat system and the anchors. So that's just
6	to keep it as it is. Is doesn't extend the season.
7	It may buy us a couple of more days, but not
8	significant improvement in time.
9	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Would that investment help
10	us in the future? Would it be a one-time thing
11	that would be null and void if we were to remove it
12	in the following year?
13	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't know, but I
14	could find that out. That I don't know. Once I
15	speak once you folks all let me know what the
16	game plan is here, then I can certainly start
17	asking some of those detailed questions. I think
18	the short answer is yes, but, again, the
19	relocating it might I wouldn't say require, but
20	it might be beneficial to redesign it altogether,
21	so that we have a more permanent mat system,
22	instead of a temporary one. So it potentially
23	could make it, you know
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, just to be clear,
25	okay, we have time to get the facts and the

1	figures, and then we have time to get input from
2	the public as to how they feel about it. I mean,
3	it's great for us all to think about it, but at
4	what point are we going to get public comment on
5	where they think the Ice Rink should be? It's up
6	to us.
7	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, that's all I want to
9	know.
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: But, I mean, I will
11	I will say that, you know, there's really
12	there's no more facts and figures. I'm going to
13	get a some pricing for reducing the size. I
14	forget what size I can reduce it to, but just one
15	other size. And I'll let once I get those
16	numbers, I'll bring that to you, of course. But
17	beyond that, you have all the data that I have.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There wouldn't be any
20	other information for me to provide at this stage.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So, Paul, I know that, you
23	know, like in construction, sometimes you reduce
24	size, but it doesn't necessarily reduce the cost by
25	half, you know. You still have, you know,

components and labor, and everything like that. So we wouldn't necessarily assume that if you made the size smaller, that it would make us -- have a significant impact on cost for a tent or a cover, or something like that?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Well, I think it -- I think it would in this case. I mean, I don't know what the break points are for a cover, but, you know, these tents, there's a significant difference in price for the one that would be like a typical wedding size tent and the one that we're looking at, you know, significant difference, you know, by an order of magnitude, almost, so I do think that it would. I haven't gotten that pricing, until I get pricing for the rink itself, but, you know.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: The cost that you quote for the size, I can't -- I figure you would need monthly, is, you know, crazy money.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: And the permanent structure, I mean, I call it a permanent, but it's really not. The bubble system does get dismantled every season, which in and of itself is problematic. In discussions with these manufacturers, it takes a crew of about 12 people to dismantle it. We don't -- we don't have 12

1	people to do that work, you know, and it takes
2	about a week to do that even with 12 people. This
3	is a significant infrastructure
4	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Really?
5	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: in addition to the
6	covering itself. There's mechanicals that go in
7	there, because it's air and heated, so that the
8	it doesn't freeze up, so yeah.
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So those bubbles really
10	aren't meant to be used for, you know, a four-month
11	installation, they're meant to go up permanently?
12	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, no, it can it
13	is no, I'm sorry, maybe I wasn't clear. It is
14	meant to be taken down every season.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It is? Okay.
16	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It can be taken down
17	every season, yes.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: But a just can you
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: A lot of people.
20	TRUSTEE ROBINS: A significant amount of
21	labor.
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Each time that you put
23	it up and take it down, yes.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: And just so everybody is

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

clear, we're going to have input from the public and people, if we want to make a permanent change and make an investment in putting something up on Moores Lane. There's been talk about that. People said, you know, put it up there with all the other stuff we're doing and the improvements on Moores But if we're going to make a permanent change to that and make -- I think I mentioned last month that Port Jeff has -- their rink is over the top of a parking lot, you know, and the stuff is all in the ground. A lot of that, the mat and everything else doesn't have to be taken up each year, rolled up, stored, put away, brought back It gets nicked, it gets banged, it does out. whatever.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: If we were going to move it to Moores Lane, it would be a big financial investment on the part of the Village taxpayers to go and to do that. So we would have input on that. We would have a public hearing, do we want to really go and move it to Moores Lane, or do we want to keep it down there.

But we've always known from the beginning that it's not a money-maker down there. We do it

for the good of the people, the tourists, the local residents from -- you know, all the way from up west out to here that use it. So we just need to, you know, rationalize which way do we want to go, and one -- you know, that's why we're setting it up this year and going through this season.

We've had discussions. I had the meeting with the Town, Paul and myself, and we went up and we talked to them about doing something else. So, you know, we're going to -- the future of where the rink will end up being will be something that we will have, you know, a meeting on and discussion from the public, the people that use it, the hockey clubs, everything else.

As Paul and I have discussed, the Hockey Clubs say, "Well, it's not NHL regulation size, we don't want to use it," you know. But if you look at the prices, to go from 100-foot bubble, which I researched a lot of stuff on this, to 150-foot bubble is around \$250,000 difference in price. So the extra 50 feet with the structure and everything else makes a big difference, but we get a lot of revenue from the hockey clubs. So we're going to make it smaller and they're not going to use it, then we're not going to get the revenue. So

1	that's all part of getting prices and figuring out
2	what we're going to do.
3	But to make a permanent move from where it's
4	been for the past 18 years to somewhere else will
5	be something that's going to be discussed by the
6	public, either with a questionnaire, meetings, a
7	separate public hearing on it you know, not a
8	public hearing, just a public comment on it and
9	all, and just ask for information, where do we want
10	to see this over the next 20 years. Before we make
11	any big investment on either location, we need to
12	know that and know what the costs are going to be,
13	and then we'll go from there, if that clears it up
14	at all. You know, that's
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But either way, am I
16	incorrect that we need to spend \$200,000 to just
17	have this season ahead of us?
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no, no.
20	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, this year we're
21	fine.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: We're using what we have now.
23	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay. So that
24	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That would be for next
25	season.

1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: The mat and anchors
2	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We don't add any
3	changes, correct.
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: that you mentioned are
5	not required for this year?
6	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We're able to get by.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: We'll get by this year, but
8	it's the original system was like a 10-year
9	system that was put up. We've gotten 18, this will
10	be 19 years out of it. It's at its life span of
11	the mat and the other part of what we're doing. So
12	before we make any big investment, either at
13	either location, we need to find out what we want
14	to do permanently with what's going on and the
15	location of it.
16	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Very good. So you don't
17	really need an answer to these questions tonight.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: No. It's really
19	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Not tonight.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: The rink is going up and
21	we're going to have the season down at Mitchell
22	Park this year, and we're moving straight forward
23	with that, and they're going to set it up and get
24	it ready to go. Hopefully, we get some cold
25	weather and we could have some really good ice, and

everybody could use it more than last year, but that part we don't know. But we know the long-term solution is to cover the ice and do something else, something more permanent in the ground that's going to keep the ground frozen and keep the ice away from the rain and the sun that hits it, or putting a cover over it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. It's just that it was a little confusing, and that's why I brought it up, is that to make it clear that this year it is going to go up, that this is preplanning for next year, which does have to have public input, because it's the community's Ice Rink and they should have the input as to --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- where it is and --

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- we would not make any decision without having input from the people that use it, and, you know, monitor it, and go down there and enjoy it.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I mean, it is encouraging to hear that your meeting was well received with the Town and that they were receptive. And, you know, it's logical that they want us to have a longer term plan before they make a commitment.

1 MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh. 2 TRUSTEE CLARKE: So until that time, it's the 3 status quo. 4 MAYOR HUBBARD: We're going to get through this season, then we're going to start working on 5 6 everything else and get more prices, and we'll be 7 discussing that in the spring. And, hopefully, 8 we'll have ice through March and we'll talk about it after that. 9 10 TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yeah. I just want to make -- the only thing that I think is important to 11 12 the public is making sure that they really 13 understand that the situation and the availability may not be any greater than it was last year, 14 because I received so many complaints and there was 15 16 so much negative comments about it, and, you know, it generated more complaints than good will, at 17 18 least as far as I'm concerned, last year, from what 19 I heard. And so I just want make sure everybody knows what to expect, that there's no miracle 20 21 that's going to happen this year for this to be up 22 and running, you know, without any stop from when it's put in, and then the holidays when people want 23 24 it, and, you know, just manage expectations. 25 MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. We're very dependent

1	on Mother Nature. Hopefully, she cooperates and we
2	get a really cold winter. If this is any
3	indication right now, it's pretty chilly out there,
4	it's going to be cold all this week. We didn't
5	have these cold temperatures during the night in
6	the month of January last year when we were trying
7	to make ice.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Down into the twenties at
10	night, which is what's forecasted for the next ten
11	days, you know, with a high of 40. You know, we
12	were getting 60 degree days with a low of 42, and
13	whatever ice we made when it was cold was melting
14	on us. So, you know, not that I want a really
15	frigid winter, but, you know.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It would be good for the
17	bay scallops.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Okay.
19	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: You're welcome. Anything for
21	Paul?
22	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That's all for me.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. That's all for the
24	Village Administrator. Interim Village Treasurer,
25	Stephen Gaffga.

1	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Good evening,
2	Mayor and the Board. I have no additions to my
3	report. Just some going to my report, just have
4	a few budget amendments, to go over some
5	housekeeping items. I'm just moving some funds
6	around to fund the Ice Rink expense, is one of
7	them, and also moorings next year, to put the
8	moorings in.
9	Two other things are I have the lease
10	amendment for Verizon, to redo the lease that we
11	currently have with them, because it was expiring
12	next year. It's a re-term five-year lease. So I'm
13	really excited about that, keep them on our cell
14	tower.
15	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Nice.
16	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: And also to
17	approve the NYCLASS that I had talked about in the
18	September work session. Just to remind everybody,
19	NYCLASS is an investment pool, it's a municipal
20	investment pool. And there's a lot of
21	municipalities on Long Island, fire districts,
22	school districts, that all that put their money
23	into that. It's a daily it's a daily
24	investment. So what we put in there, you know, we
25	can transfer money daily, and it has better

1	interest rates than the banks are offering right
2	now.
3	So I'm very I have a positive feeling
4	about it. I think it's something that can
5	certainly help the Village, you know, put a little
6	bit more money back in their coffers. I'm not
7	going to invest a whole lot of our money, somewhere
8	between, I would say, 10, 20% at the most of our
9	total funds to go in there.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Stephen, thank you for the
11	listing of the municipalities that are part of
12	this. And I do know that you mentioned in your
13	email that we need to update our investment policy.
14	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Yeah, just some
15	you know, there's some housekeeping items in the
16	investment policy that need updating, some names,
17	you know, names of the banks.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, okay.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, I noticed a couple of
20	banks that changed.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
22	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: The main the
23	meat and potatoes of it doesn't really need any
24	change, just some housekeeping stuff
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

1	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: that was a
2	little outdated.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That was my question.
4	0kay.
5	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And the fact that they're
6	secure investments, that's fine, not risky.
7	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: No.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Not crypto.
9	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: No, it's not
10	crypto. Does anybody have any questions on it?
11	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No, sir.
12	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So I just want to comment
13	that CLASS, I think I was just thinking, CLASS
14	has been well, CLASS has been making a
15	marketing basically, what I believe is a
16	marketing drive among municipalities trying to
17	increase its customer base. And at the same time
18	that Stephen was asking me to look over the
19	documents that we had received, I received calls
20	from other villages to look over documents that
21	have been provided. It must have to do with the
22	interest rates right now, which where there's
23	money can be borrowed from depositors at a low
24	rate, although CLASS is higher than still higher
25	than most banks, and lent out at a greater rate for

1 these companies to make money.

I reviewed CLASS, the CLASS documents that Stephen provided, that were -- that were given to him by CLASS. I think that the -- largely, the investments that CLASS makes are suitable for the New York State requirements, that there are in municipal investments.

There are a couple of things I want to bring to your attention. Some of the -- they disclose that some of the investments that they make have maturities of between one and two years. So there was a question the last time that we had a financial crisis in -- not having -- I'm not making this comment specific to CLASS, but some investment vehicles that were available to municipalities. The municipalities were made whole, but it took some time to get your money out.

So I had mentioned to Stephen, and I'll just mention the same thing, it looks like Stephen is on top of this, and I'm confident, based on what he said, that he'll manage this correctly. But I just want to mention that probably money should not be put in this that we -- you know, we need immediately as pure liquid fund. It should be considered to be a longer term --

organized sort of cooperative of municipalities, similar to NYMIR, only it's more profit oriented than NYMIR is. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit into this, because we have had them give us financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	1	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Yeah, and
what my longer term plan is, is to put some of our longer term money that sits, you know, in there to earn better interest rates. ATTORNEY PROKOP: But it is a, you know, well organized sort of cooperative of municipalities, similar to NYMIR, only it's more profit oriented than NYMIR is. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit into this, because we have had them give us financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: situation.
longer term money that sits, you know, in there to earn better interest rates. ATTORNEY PROKOP: But it is a, you know, well organized sort of cooperative of municipalities, similar to NYMIR, only it's more profit oriented than NYMIR is. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit into this, because we have had them give us financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	3	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: That's exactly
ATTORNEY PROKOP: But it is a, you know, well organized sort of cooperative of municipalities, similar to NYMIR, only it's more profit oriented than NYMIR is. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit into this, because we have had them give us financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	4	what my longer term plan is, is to put some of our
ATTORNEY PROKOP: But it is a, you know, well organized sort of cooperative of municipalities, similar to NYMIR, only it's more profit oriented than NYMIR is. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit into this, because we have had them give us financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	5	longer term money that sits, you know, in there to
organized sort of cooperative of municipalities, similar to NYMIR, only it's more profit oriented than NYMIR is. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit into this, because we have had them give us financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	6	earn better interest rates.
similar to NYMIR, only it's more profit oriented than NYMIR is. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit into this, because we have had them give us financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: But it is a, you know, well
than NYMIR is. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit into this, because we have had them give us financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	8	organized sort of cooperative of municipalities,
11 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit 12 into this, because we have had them give us 13 financial information before, so 14 INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. 15 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. 16 INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues 17 with it. 18 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they 19 were good. Okay. So let's get back to this 20 maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. 21 INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. 22 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have 23 funding that we do have that's in long-term 24 situations. And how much of a percentage are you	9	similar to NYMIR, only it's more profit oriented
into this, because we have had them give us financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	10	than NYMIR is.
financial information before, so INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where does Munistat fit
INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	12	into this, because we have had them give us
15 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. 16 INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues 17 with it. 18 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they 19 were good. Okay. So let's get back to this 20 maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. 21 INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. 22 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have 23 funding that we do have that's in long-term 24 situations. And how much of a percentage are you	13	financial information before, so
INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	14	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I called Munistat.
with it. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	16	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: They had no issues
were good. Okay. So let's get back to this maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	17	with it.
20 maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned. 21 INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. 22 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have 23 funding that we do have that's in long-term 24 situations. And how much of a percentage are you	18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So they
INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	19	were good. Okay. So let's get back to this
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	20	maturity timeline that Joe just mentioned.
funding that we do have that's in long-term situations. And how much of a percentage are you	21	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Uh-huh.
situations. And how much of a percentage are you	22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know that we have
, ,	23	funding that we do have that's in long-term
	24	situations. And how much of a percentage are you
25 thinking of putting in?	25	thinking of putting in?

1	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Total across all
2	of the funds, that is we have roughly, I think,
3	just estimating this off what I remember, is around
4	\$13 million total, and I'm not going to put any
5	more than 10 or 20% based off, you know, what our
6	needs are at the time. In the wintertime, that
7	number will be a little lower, because we have less
8	money coming in in the winter. And summertime, you
9	know, when we have a new budget and we have more
10	revenue coming in, that's when more money can get
11	put over there.
12	You know, I would manage it on a monthly
13	basis, you know, and it's a daily you know, I
14	could take money I could put money in daily, I
15	could take money out daily, depending on what our
16	needs are. As long as I get my request in,
17	that's I can get it out.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So it is similar to the
19	money market idea that we currently have on our
20	financial statements, where usually the bulk of the
21	property tax money goes into the beginning of the,
22	you know, tax year.
23	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Yes, that's in
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is that the money you're
25	talking about or

1	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Some yes, some,
2	you know, across all of our funds, so A, E, F and
3	G, you know, our sewer, water, electric. I would
4	put some of our General Fund money over there, yes,
5	because right now, our money market fund is earning
6	.05%
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Correct.
8	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: interest, and
9	this is right now earning 3.5, because it's based
10	off of the Fed.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right. So we
12	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: It's a drastic
13	difference right now, and if they increase rates
14	again, that will go up a little bit more. Now
15	this, it won't stay this way forever, it will go
16	back down.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
18	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: But, you know,
19	it's something that I think could be beneficial for
20	our some of our longer term investments, like
21	the Ice Rink and, you know, other things that we're
22	going to need money for in the future.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So in reference to
24	the Electric Fund, since we have another governing
25	agency over that, that is not a problem with that?

1	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: No.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I'm just checking,
3	because we have a good relationship with them, and
4	I don't want to see that
5	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Yeah, and
6	anything, anything I do, I would check it, you
7	know.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So let me ask you
9	this. How are you going to keep us up to date on
10	this particular activity? Is it going to start
11	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I'm going to put
12	it
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: showing up in the
14	report?
15	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Yes.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
17	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: I'm going to be
18	it will be part of like, you know, where I put in
19	the financials.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Correct, okay.
21	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: You'll see it in
22	there with the banking information. So it will be
23	in there, I'll list it.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
25	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's going to be a

1	resolution on the agenda to approve this?
2	INTERIM TREASURER GAFFGA: Yes, I have a
3	resolution on it.
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Anything else for the
6	Treasurer?
7	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No, that's good.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Village Clerk.
9	CLERK PIRILLO: Good evening, everyone.
10	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Good evening.
11	CLERK PIRILLO: Hi. My report is abbreviated
12	as well. Just going back to the investment policy,
13	my office has already begun working on it. The
14	format that we have for the investment policy is a
15	little bit older and it's a PDF, so we've already
16	converted that today into a Word document. And I
17	will make the make the requested edits and work
18	with the Treasurer to make sure that we have
19	everything complete, so that it's done well before
20	the Org Meeting, okay, but that's already in
21	progress.
22	Going to my report, the LOSAP service fee
23	agreement, I just want to make you aware that that
24	was the second iteration. We did receive a fee
25	agreement that I rejected and later negotiated. So

1	that the rates that are quoted to us that you see
2	are a little bit higher than last year, but not as
3	high as they would have been. The rates that we
4	initially were quoted were not a lot dollar wise,
5	but they were very high percentage wise, and I
6	didn't think that was acceptable. So just to let
7	you know, those are negotiated rates, okay?
8	Again, on my report, under Agreements and
9	Contracts, the contract with DeAl was fully
10	executed on the 14th and of this month.
11	And I have only one addition, and that is for
12	a public assembly permit application that was
13	submitted by Linda Kessler on behalf of the
14	Greenport BID for the use of the Firehouse on the
15	10th of December for a letter-writing and
16	ornament-creating event.
17	And that's all I have.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Sylvia.
19	CLERK PIRILLO: Yes.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Do you have the renewal
21	for Granicus, which is our system that we use for
22	the agendas and minutes? And I'm assuming it's
23	also for the videoing on the live the live video
24	that's going on right now. Is that part of this
25	contract?

1	CLERK PIRILLO: I believe that the streaming
2	is included in that, yes, and that has not
3	increased, that's the same price as last year.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So this is this is a
5	system that is there any updating to the
6	Livestreaming system?
7	CLERK PIRILLO: There is updating. I'm
8	working with them right now, because they have
9	suggested that we purchase an enhanced encoder.
10	It's a few thousand dollars. I would like to
11	know before we jump into that, I'm sure the
12	Treasurer would like to know, what the what the
13	enhancement is, what the enhancements are. I don't
14	want to spend \$2,000 and not know what this new and
15	improved encoder does for us, so my Deputy is
16	working on those answers.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. So that
18	was not part of this negotiation?
19	CLERK PIRILLO: That's correct.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
21	CLERK PIRILLO: Anything else?
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Anything else for the Clerk?
23	(No Response)
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
25	CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.

1	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Straightforward.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. The Village Attorney
3	report.
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Good evening.
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Good evening.
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So a few things I wanted to
7	mention in so I don't forget, I have one or two
8	things that I need to bring to your attention that
9	I briefly need an answer on in executive session.
10	Please, if we could just stay a few minutes, I
11	would appreciate it.
12	Since the last meeting, the following has
13	developed and I've been working on. We continue
14	with discussion with Cablevision regarding the
15	renewal of the agreement, the franchise agreement
16	that we have with Cablevision. We there's some
17	information that the Village that we're putting
18	together to bring to their attention, and we're
19	hoping to, hopefully, get something for maybe as
20	soon as the next meeting to bring to you to review.
21	And, possibly, we would then have a public hearing,
22	if it meets your approval, and vote on it after the
23	public hearing, if that's if it's agreeable.
24	I in my report, I there's a couple of
25	things I wanted to mention regarding Local Laws

1	before I get into the discussion about the
2	moratorium. I the first is that we as you
3	heard, we I had done editing of the LWRP, and
4	we I have reviewed the we've gotten some
5	information, and, also, I've reviewed the status
6	with the New York State Department of State, and
7	we're hoping to I'm hoping to be able to report
8	back to the Board in detail as far as what exactly
9	would need to be done procedurally to bring the
10	to at least start the process of formalizing the
11	LWRP, if that's something that you request me
12	doing, if you agree to do that.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Joe, before you go on, so
14	has the Department of State agreed to take and
15	review the draft that you just finished going
16	through and fixing the errors in?
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Not as of yet, because
18	there's that last section that needs to be
19	correct there's that last section where the font
20	needs to be corrected and we're working on that.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know, but I understand,
22	and I appreciate all the work on it. But my
23	question is, is
24	ATTORNEY PROKOP: They haven't agreed to it
25	in person.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They haven't, they haven't
2	agreed to taking this draft because of the length
3	of time since its original public gathering of
4	information, and all the work that went into it
5	that got to this point?
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: We're more at this
7	point, we're more involved in the discussion about
8	the process, rather than having them seeking an
9	answer as to whether or not they'll accept this
10	draft. And I didn't know that the Board whether
11	the Board wanted to do that or not, but I will ask
12	that question and report back to the Board.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Wouldn't you have to have a
14	public hearing on that draft before you submit it
15	to the State?
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: We're
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: To have public input on it?
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That's one of the things
19	we're discussing with the State, and that would
20	also be up to the Board.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, back in 2014, we
22	passed a resolution that says, "Resolution
23	approving the LWRP final draft document for release
24	to New York State Department of State." So, I
25	mean, we must have done back in 2014, we must

have moved that ahead so that it was sent up to them. Because the reason they sent it back to us was because of the disparity in the printing, and it was in the wrong format. So that's why I'm asking, is if they're going to accept, once you get complete with it -- we already -- we already passed back in 2014 to send it on to -- up to them to be a approved -- to review, excuse me, review, that's why I'm asking.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I have similar questions.

I'm trying to get as educated as I can in terms -especially with the public's interest in calling
for a new version, an updated version before this
version is finalized. I'm trying to understand
whether it's required for us to finalize and finish
this before we can revise and submit another one.

And I'm not very clear at all on what's required by
the State, and what's required and incumbent on us
to accomplish in order to comply with the rules
around this particular planning document.

So I'm looking for a better understanding of -- we weren't sure, like Trustee Robins is asking, if we need another public hearing when it comes back. So I'm just trying to get a tighter grip, so we can share with the public exactly like

1	where we are, since this is such a hot topic, if
2	you will.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: You know, and what is the
5	protocol, and what can we expect in terms of future
6	protocol, and dates and events to happen.
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So there's two things. The
8	first is, as Trustee Phillips said, I agree with
9	the position with her statement about the
10	status. It was sent to the State, more or less,
11	for final review. However, there were there
12	were changes in the form of corrections that were
13	made to that document. And although we were you
14	know, I can report to you that they were not
15	substantive, they were just corrections of current
16	donors and things like that, they were made.
17	And the second thing is that the you know,
18	due to the passage of time, that may be another
19	that may be an objection that the State has, but
20	we're looking into that. I'm just I'm just
21	reporting to you that we are looking for these
22	answers now.
23	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
24	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't I don't have the
25	answers tonight, but we

1	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Got it.
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It is we didn't it's
3	not dropped after the last meeting. I'm hoping to
4	be able to report back to you these the process
5	that's going to be necessary.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Would prior experience
7	suggest that in this resubmission and corrected
8	formatting and errors being fixed, that then there
9	could be a content review, and that in that content
10	review, it could get kicked back for things to be
11	changed? And if that were the case, would we then
12	engage in a change process whereby, again, you
13	would need to involve the public hearing and that
14	sort of thing before it were returned again to the
15	State, or is that something I should wait on to
16	understand at another time?
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, I'm hoping to give
18	you your options, more or less a decision for you,
19	so you could
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: You know, you'll have
22	you'll know what your options are based on what the
23	State reports back to us.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: If you go down the LWRP
25	review and approval process document that's on

Department of State, after it's been presented to 1 2 them, the next step is for the Department of State 3 to review and comment on the preliminary draft 4 LWRP. And then they circulate this document to the 5 Department of State's Counsel Office, and then to 6 the Coastal Consistency Review Unit for review. And then they'll be sending back comments, which is 7 8 why I asked where we were last month, because that, 9 that would be the next step. But, as Joe just 10 mentioned, it's going to be a matter of whether 11 they're going to accept the draft at this point 12 because of the length of time it's taken to go from 13 A to B. TRUSTEE CLARKE: 14 Got it. 15 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. 16 TRUSTEE CLARKE: So there's still a lot of unknowns here. 17 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, there's a lot of 18 19 questions that still need to be answered, and I'm 20 sure with COVID up in Albany and the Department of 21 State, I'm sure there's been delays and things are 22 behind, and, hopefully, Joe will get an answer sooner than later. But these -- you know, this is 23

part of the process, and this is part of what the

public needs to know what's going on, you know,

24

25

1	because there's a lot of speculation and there's a
2	lot of you need to redo, and whatever. Well, we're
3	in we're in a catch-22 as to where we need to be
4	until the Department of State gives us guidance.
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's my understanding
7	of it.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And we're working with a
9	dated document at this point and
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: What's that?
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: We're working with a dated
12	document. I mean, it's 2014 to 2000-almost-23 now,
13	so
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, but the document was
15	completed and submitted to them and they rejected it.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: And so that was on them, not
18	on us, because we had a grant money, we had stuff.
19	We did all the paperwork, we did everything else.
20	The State changed the format of what they wanted
21	the stuff to be sent to them in 2015, and didn't
22	get back to what they really wanted until 2017. So
23	we've been working on it and they still wouldn't
24	accept it. So we don't know.
25	Joe's working on correcting the deficiencies

that they said they wouldn't accept it for. Once he has that done, then if they accept what's re -- they receive, then they'll tell us what we need to do from that, as Trustee Phillips just said, with the guideline. Right now, he's just working on straightening out the document from what they had, you know, because we had it all done with the grant and everything else and the consultants.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's done.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We did all the work. We did exactly what they said they wanted and them they changed their format. So they rejected it because of their protocol, not ours.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We did everything right at that point. We had everything together. We did all the public hearings, the meetings, the stuff at the school, the round-tables, we did all of that, you know. So everything was done properly at that point. Now it's more them changing the game plan in the middle of the game, and something different --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Moving the goal posts.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, moving the goal posts, whatever, you know. It's any different analogies

1	you want to use. So now we're trying to correct
2	that to get it back to them to try to then move
3	this forward. And then if we need a public
4	another public hearing, or we want to change
5	things, we can do that. But they at least they
6	have to look at the document that we made to their
7	specifications at that time. They changed it and
8	now there's been issues trying to get it
9	straightened out to what they would accept.
10	So, hopefully, with these corrections that
11	Joe is working on, along with Paul, that they'll
12	accept it, they'll review it and say, "This is what
13	we need to do now," and they'll tell us exactly
14	what we need to do, so we're in compliance and
15	we'll do whatever needs to be done to finalize
16	this.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, that, you know
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That makes sense.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Just a little background on
21	where it was and where we were, and we're working
22	on it.
23	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I just want to mention, the
24	work the work that the Mayor is talking about
25	that he's supporting us in is not on the is not

on like the work session cycle. My goal is to get 1 2 you an answer right now, you know, not for the December work session. So, hopefully, we'll get 3 4 something to you shortly.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okav.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I had made a recommendation regarding fines, in particular, the zoning chapter. And I know that there's other discussions about the zoning chapter, but I think that -- I just want to mention that at some point, hopefully, I'll be able to get through the entire code to review fines. But, right now, I just want to note -- make you aware that I think that the -- we need to address that section of the Chapter 150 with some kind of priority. The fine section is very limited and outdated.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Do you have some examples, Joe, what you're talking about?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah. I gave -- well, actually. I think it would be similar to -- what I'd be recommending, which is in my report, is similar to what's in the rental law. It basically would be similar to -- parallel to the fines and other relief that's contained in the rental

code chapter.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Was that a that was a
2	document that you gave us, was it last month or the
3	month before?
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think it was last month.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: But I'll get that to you
7	again. So it's actually Page 2 of my report, the
8	whole thing is there. And it was tailored, this
9	language was tailored for the Chapter 150, but it's
10	basically
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, here it is, okay.
12	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's basically a copy.
13	It's basically identical to the rental law chapter,
14	just so it matches that.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So what you're saying is
16	the first violation in this chapter within an
17	18-month period.
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, I think that the
19	you might consider having the fines stepped up, you
20	know, if there's repeat offenses. There's one
21	thing as an example, and then the per diem
22	provision should be needs to be updated. And,
23	also, what the the provision about authorizing
24	the Village Attorney to go to Supreme Court to get
25	a restraining order or injunction, that language

1	also should also be updated.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. And you're talking
3	about No. 7, "The Village may make an application
4	for a search warrant upon authorization by the
5	Board of Trustees of the Village and bylaw". Okay.
6	I'm a little confused as to I understand the
7	Board of Trustees of the Village, but and bylaw?
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: And no it's by law.
9	It's not bylaw. It's not bylaw, it's by law.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Sorry.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's okay. It just
13	it just didn't make sense to me, I'm sorry. But
14	the fines that you're talking about, for the first
15	time, the violation is not less than 250, but no
16	more than 1500? That's a decision, you're working
17	within that range?
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, just a this is
19	just an example.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. No, I know, this
21	is just a suggested amount, that's all. I'm
22	just
23	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It would be within that
24	range, and it doesn't even you know, based on
25	there's a lot of discretion that's involved here.

1 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. 2 ATTORNEY PROKOP: So, you know, if it's an agreement with the court, I mean, it could even be 3 4 adjusted, so. But if it was -- this is --5 basically, if there was a -- if there was a trial, 6 if we could not reach a resolution with the person 7 receiving the notice, then -- if we could not reach 8 a resolution and it was court ordered, then the minimum would be 250. But often we do reach a 9 resolution, and, you know, based on circumstances, 10 11 it could -- that number could be negotiated or 12 resolved. You know, in general, we're looking for 13 compliance. 14 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I would hope so. MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. But in today's numbers 15 16 and figures, with the cost of everything else, the cost of attorneys when this was written 20 years 17 18 ago compared to now, everything costs a lot more. 19 And we need to adjust the penalties and the fines 20 for people that do stuff illegally and they get 21 caught on it, and they just say, "Well, I'll just 22 pay the bill," and that's been said numerous times. 23 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, we've all heard had. 24 MAYOR HUBBARD: And the bill -- yes, we all 25 hear it, so the bill should be higher. And we need

1	to just adjust that accordingly with, you know, the
2	recommendation from Joe, who's in court for us
3	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: True.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: that, you know, these
5	numbers 20 years ago meant one thing, but we know
6	everything has gone up so high now, that those
7	numbers should be doubled or tripled, and just
8	don't do what's wrong. Comply with the code and do
9	it properly and you won't get any fines and
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I know. I'm just
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: So that's where he's coming
12	with that, because he's in court with the stuff.
13	And, you know, they're like, well, that's really
14	cheap. But, you know, a person does something
15	illegally and they get off with \$150, they're like,
16	okay, that was just the cost of doing business on
17	one weekend, you know.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I understand. No, I
19	just
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: So that's why we need to, you
21	know, address that and try to update that more
22	sooner than later on that part, just so we can
23	get put together something complete and to do
24	that.
25	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: These

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So is that whoops, I'm
2	sorry, go ahead.
3	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: These are 20
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's something, Joe,
5	you're asking to go out for public hearing, a
6	resolution to go out to public hearing for
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, whenever
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: on this agenda?
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes, whenever you get ready
10	to. I'd like you to I'd like you to be to
11	look at that, and then maybe give me some feedback,
12	and then I'll create a Local Law. We could go out
13	to public hearing on the Local Law. Maybe next
14	month we'll talk about that, or you could do it
15	now, it's up to you, if you want to.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Will you have the information
17	available to the Board and the public before we
18	have a public hearing in December?
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'll have the I could
20	have a Local Law, you know, ready by Monday, and
21	then we could put it on the agenda to set a public
22	hearing in at the December meeting.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. Well, we could vote
24	to have the public hearing at our November meeting.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, that's what I'm

1	asking, that you put a resolution on for November.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: But, you know, to notice the
4	public
5	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I would do a public notice.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: To notice the public hearing,
7	we need to have something available for people of
8	what it's going to be.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: So it probably should give
11	him time to do that, with the holiday coming up and
12	everything else. Let's get everything together to
13	all of us and the public, and then schedule a
14	public hearing. In December, we'll vote to
15	schedule a public hearing for January.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Thank you.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Is everybody
18	comfortable with that?
19	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Absolutely.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That's what I had thought,
21	yeah.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, okay. No, I'm just
23	just clarifying the timeline on it, you know.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm just asking, because
25	you we all know what the costs are, and it's a

1	point that you brought up. So I just wanted to
2	know, you know
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: what the timeline was.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: With the holiday next week
6	and all that, let's just give him time to get
7	everything together to us, and then we'll review it
8	at the December work session and then schedule the
9	public hearing for January.
10	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. So I wanted to just
11	before again, one more thing before we get into
12	the next discussion. There's been a couple of
13	comments in our in the Board's discussions, and
14	I've been asked questions about, providing
15	standards for the Planning Board to make decisions,
16	you know. So the question about, you know, what
17	is decisions that are, you know, quote-unquote,
18	in the best interest of the Village. And, you
19	know, I think that we the Village is very
20	fortunate now that it has an excellent Planning
21	Board.
22	And we traditionally, the Board of
23	Trustees sets the policy, the policies for the
24	Village and for, you know, the the laws that are
25	adonted are really formulated by the Board of

Trustees, and the Planning Board, the Planning Board's job, really, is to apply those policies in the decisions that they make. So that's just a general overall statement. So the broader questions about what's in the best interest of the Village really would come to the Board of Trustees for the policies and laws that are adopted, and then applied by the -- by the Planning Board.

So we could give the Planning Board criteria in laws and policies, but, really, the -- it's the Planning Board that's at the forefront of this, you know, in the application of those policies in the decisions that they make.

But does anybody have a question about that?

I just wanted to mention that, because I have been asked that question a couple of times.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I mean, our

Planning Board goes by what the code says, and they
have public hearings to gather how the community
feels about projects. They have the presubmission
conferences that puts the information out. So what
kind of criteria would we be developing other than
what's already in existence? I mean --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'm not sure that you need any, but I've been asked that in question -- in our

discussions that we've had about Chapter 150 in the past. I -- when it came to certain portions that we're considering changing, there was -- there were questions to me about how the Planning Board would know how to apply, you know, what was in -- like if we use the term, I guess, what was in -- what is in the best interest of the Village, the question is how the Planning Board applies that term.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I mean, I can use myself as an example. In Section 150-16, the language is the Planning Board may require an owner to deposit a payment in lieu of parking. You could take issue that the -- setting the boundaries of criteria are not subject to the word "may", and it should be that the Planning Board --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Shall.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: -- "should" or "shall", rather than "may", and then allow other tools for discretionary payment within the Board. But it's -- in my understanding, it's just setting the code up so that the guardrails or the parameters are logical for interpretation.

If it's -- if we really intend that the decision of whether or not -- and I can't help but get straight to this piece of the code, because

this is what we've been working on and it's the most familiar to me at this time. If we really think that the entire responsibility on deciding whether or not an application is required to pay anything or not, then I think putting the word "may" in there is fine. But if our expectation is in setting code and law for the Village for our Boards to follow, I think "may" is an incorrect word to put into this code. I think we should be more explicit that we expect, or that the Planning Board shall or will do this. "May" allows a set of discretion that's so wide that another 10 years could go by and no one will get charged anything.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think that's what I'm bringing up, is -- and we are the ones who set that. So if we need to change the wording in it, that's what we need to be putting on the table. You know, if everybody is not happy with the word "may expect", then we've got the code in front of us, and that's -- you know, we're the policy-makers and we're the ones in control of the code.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, I agree with that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I think that -- you know, that's why I'm getting to say that I don't think you can give them a set of criteria, you need

1	to look at the code and give the code as the
2	guidelines for them.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right, but the code the
4	code is what provides the criteria, if you will.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, but that's what
6	we're that's our job.
7	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm having an even harder
10	time with not the word "may", but the but the
11	term, you know, "In the best interest of the
12	Village." I mean, I'd find that extremely
13	troubling and difficult if I was a Planning Board
14	member.
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Well
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I mean, what is? What is?
17	I mean, it's up to them to decide what is in the
18	best interest of the Village, or is that a question
19	that should come back to the Board of Trustees?
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I believe that there are
21	words in our code that do establish or suggest what
22	some of the criteria is for the best interest of
23	the Village. They're right up front in the zoning
24	chapters. You know, are they as tight as they
25	should be this day and age or not? Do they need

enhancement? Perhaps, but, you know, it's -
there's a lot to go through in there, but there are

parameters that are outlined.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I see it can go either way, that expression.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Of course, of course. But if you -- in the best interest for the Village would imply that we would look deeper into guidance for the Planning Board and guidance for the overall zoning chapter, which is stated in the front. I mean, when we were first starting to go through this, they were in our notes, because, if you look at it -- well, I'm not going to dig for that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: It does, it does talk about the wellbeing of residents and businesses to coexist, the -- encouraging the free movement of traffic and pedestrians, that they not be restricted in any way. That any undue congestion or constriction or -- I mean, there are -- they're not specific terms, but they're general things about quality of life that are wide parameters for someone to use to guide their judgment. Certainly, we could revisit those at any time. But I'm not sure if we are -- if I took us off topic on what

1 you wanted to --ATTORNEY PROKOP: No. this is --2 3 TRUSTEE CLARKE: -- convey to us in terms of 4 criteria. 5 ATTORNEY PROKOP: We kind of came in, you 6 know, indirectly into what the next topic was, but thank you. 7 8 I just wanted to mention one thing about this discussion about "may" or "shall", because I think 9 that this is important. And maybe I'm missing 10 11 something, but I -- there's -- I think the logic to 12 that is different from what is being discussed. other words, when the Planning Board gets a project 13 and the Building Department or the Planning Board 14 determines that there's a need for, say, 20 parking 15 16 spaces, that the requirement is 20 spaces, if the language says may grant a waiver, then the -- then 17 18 the project -- that's a limitation on the size of 19 the project, basically. You know, if, say, the Planning Board doesn't grant the 20, 20 spaces. 20 21 if the -- if the language continues to say may 22 grant a waiver of "X" number of spaces, then the Planning Board can make a decision as to basically 23 24 the scope of the project indirectly by this

dimensional, this dimensional requirement of the

25

1 parking, or the bulk requirement of the parking, 2 because that's an impact.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

One of the criteria that the Planning Board can apply is the impacts on the Village, on the neighborhood, on traffic, on parking, on services that we need to provide. Those are all criteria that the Planning Board can take into consideration. And if the Planning Board determines that the impacts would be too great, or, you know, for the project that's applied for, and that a different version of the project with lesser impacts is more appropriate, one of the ways that the Planning -one of the tools the Planning Board has is not to grant all the waivers. You know, not to just take the parking requirement and multiply it on the table and come up with the figures, here's the dollar value that you need. That's not what my goal was in writing any of this, and I -- and Ihope that it's not the Village's goal, but, rather, it's just a tool that the Planning Board has --TRUSTEE CLARKE: I understand. ATTORNEY PROKOP: -- in its review of these

projects, one of the tools that it has.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: So my example, in trying to understand criteria, is probably not, not a good

one, because in this instance, you're right, the 1 word "may" allows the Board to decide that the 2 3 project is not in the best interest to the Village, 4 and no waiver will ever be granted at all. Whereas, if it said "will" or "shall", it doesn't 5 6 give them that latitude. 7 So I'm going to withdraw my comment on that, 8 because one of the things that I finally realized 9 is that I misunderstood the concept of waiver for at least three or four months now in our 10 discussions. I thought waiver was a reference to 11 12 the ability to -- there's another word for it. It's similar to like amnesty or -- rather, I 13 thought waiver referred to a concept of granting --14 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: An abeyance? 15 16 TRUSTEE CLARKE: An abeyance, some sort of a gift, if you will. You need 20, the grandfathering 17 18 has gone away. We love this project, we're going 19 to grant you a -- in my mind, waiver meant a gift of 10 spaces, and we're only going to charge you 20 21 for 10. 22 So a lot of comments that I've received about 23 the law that we've been working on, I couldn't understand the comments that were being made to me, 24 25 because I misunderstood the law from the beginning.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

So I've been operating in, unfortunately, an inaccurate interpretation of what we've been trying to do.

So then, in reviewing the example that came to us from the Chairman of the Planning Board, and looking at each example, it became very clear to me that I misunderstood the intent of the word "waiver", and that "waiver" basically meant that if no parking was provided, that the waiver referred to what they could request payment for in lieu of the actual parking. And that, then, since that waiver was restricted to -- I thought the 50% number was tied to the idea of this amnesty or gift, so that it would mean that if I came forward and needed 20 spots, that the Planning Board would be able to gift me 10, if they really thought this was a great thing for the Village, and that I would only have to pay for 10. But, in reality, as I understand it today, the waiver would be that I would pay for 10, and then if I still needed the additional 10 for my project, I still have to go to the Zoning Board and ask for a variance for the other 10. So now I understand that every project that would come before the Planning Board would by default, if it involved parking, would have to go

1 to the ZBA.

So, all of a sudden, none of this feels right to me anymore. And it's an eleventh hour confession to tell you that I didn't understand it, but I don't know if we're all on the same page about these terms and where we're going. Clearly, other people were, because they made comments about it and it didn't make sense. I didn't understand the comments, because I thought it meant something else.

So I'm sorry for bringing it up at this late date, but I think it's very important that we're all on the same page and know exactly what we're talking about, and I didn't. The idea of having every project that involves parking having to go to both Boards, I mean, if somebody wanted to go to the Board of Zoning Appeal to appeal a decision that the Planning Board made, that would be one thing. But to be forced to go every time, because the Planning Board doesn't have the jurisdiction to manage the parking in its entirety within the Planning Board -- maybe I don't fully understand the way the two Boards are supposed to work together, but it doesn't make sense to me that every project that required parking would have to

go through both Boards in order to have resolution.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, the code, the code actually provides that appeals or review of this waiver provision go to the Board of Trustees. So I don't think it's clear that every application would go to the ZBA, but I don't know, I don't know the number of the applications that we're talking about. I don't know, you know, because I'm not -- I'm not working with the Boards. You know, I reviewed these applications --

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Sure.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: -- and I follow what's going on with the Boards, but I'm not sure that -- of the number that it would actually be, is what I guess I should say. But I'm more concerned that when somebody comes along with a project that has -- is determined to have a significant parking requirement and they don't have any -- either they don't have any parking, or they have very limited parking, I'm more concerned that we have a code that protects the right -- the ability of the Planning Board to not grant a waiver, because they think that the -- you know, maybe the -- maybe the projects isn't -- the scope of the project isn't proper for a number of reasons. You know, if

1	parking is one of the issues, then there's likely
2	to be other impacts that are up for discussion.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So that just so let me
4	be clear on that. So by not granting the waiver
5	for an application, that gives the Planning Board
6	the ability to deny the application?
7	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yeah.
8	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I just want to be
10	clear on that.
11	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: And I think that's one
12	of the strengths in the code, is that we have an
13	effective plan, right? And this says may grant
14	may grant or may whatever is not by right, so they
15	can decide to do as they see fit. Like we put them
16	on there, and, you know, we're entrusting them to
17	do the right thing for the Village, and I think
18	they do.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
20	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: You know, but I think,
21	to Peter's point before, I'd be hesitant to remove
22	any words, "may", or "best interest of the
23	Village". Planning Board are residents of the
24	Village, they have a really good idea what's in the
25	best interest of the Village. And, again, as long

1	as and they do understand this, right? What
2	they may do is not a by-right. Like if I want to
3	open up, I don't know, whatever, some wild store in
4	town and they don't think that's in the best
5	interest of the Village, they can say so.
6	I think to remove their I would be very
7	hesitant to answering them in any way, and to like
8	corral them into the decisions that we see fit
9	here, because, again, right, if you have to go I
10	don't know. If I open up a store that needs 20
11	parking spots, well, if I'm investing enough to
12	open this store wherever it is, I have really no
13	problem at all with them going through Planning and
14	then to Zoning, and then back, like that doesn't
15	bother me in the least. You know, I think it gets
16	more people involved in it and I think that makes
17	the process better. That's my own thought.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, as I said, you know,
19	if there is a denial of the application, the
20	applicant has the right to go to the Zoning
21	Board
22	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Of course.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: to appeal it, okay, or
24	to change it, to go through the process.
25	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Of course.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I think that's where I
2	was trying to get to before, is we already have
3	this process.
4	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: This is what it is now.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: This is what it is now.
6	Yes, the code is our responsibility to take a look.
7	And we should have the discussions as to what Julia
8	is saying and Peter is saying, is we need to review
9	that.
10	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: This document goes back to
12	19 whatever. I mean, the code has had some hit
13	and not hit and miss, I don't want to use that
14	word. It has had some changes.
15	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Of course.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: There are some more
17	changes that we all can foresee. The biggest thing
18	is when the ZBA starts getting more applications
19	that are for the same item, then that should be
20	kicking into the Village Board to say we need to
21	take a look at the code and see what's wrong with
22	it. You know, that's
23	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I don't want to
24	say I'm I'm not trying to say I'm
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no, no. That's why

1	I'm saying that's how it should work.
2	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure, absolutely.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's how it should work,
4	and I think that it is set that way. I think that
5	we have a Planning Board now who are people who
6	have actual you know, they're they take an
7	interest. They're extremely involved in the
8	community, they listen to what people say.
9	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Absolutely.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I think that they
11	I'm glad to see it. And our ZBA is working in a
12	way that it should be. So for the first time in a
13	long time, it's nice to see the activity of people
14	volunteering to do those Boards.
15	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Absolutely.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I do understand that
17	sometimes they feel pressured because of that key
18	word or key words, that for the best interest of
19	the Village.
20	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I understand.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's a heavy duty lift,
22	because we all feel it all the time.
23	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Without question.
24	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I did want to also say this
25	is this is I don't want to give an opinion on

1	this tonight, it's not a formal opinion I wanted to
2	say. But I just want to mention that not all
3	Planning Board decisions are automatically
4	reviewable to the ZBA. Our code has that one
5	provision that I mentioned otherwise, and some
6	decisions go to court, you know, would go to court,
7	it wouldn't go to the ZBA. So it's actually a
8	combination of different things that are involved.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think the word you're
10	using is Article 78.
11	(Laughter)
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: But you also state in this
13	particular draft that the appeal would be to the
14	Board of Trustees, not to the Zoning Board.
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Which is the way which
16	is what it says now. That's what the code
17	provides.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's already in the
19	current code, which brings me to this. We received
20	the new copy this morning.
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right. So I wanted to
22	we've been actually work the reason why it came
23	up is we've been working on this for a few weeks
24	and we've been getting we constantly get
25	comments from Board Members and the public,

suggestions, good suggestions that we have been trying to keep in these laws as we keep them -- you know, present them to you.

So we got -- we received comments regarding the parking law, and there was -- some of the comments that we received from the parking law we wanted to put into another update and at least get that front of you, you know, not to discuss tonight, because you just got it, but for future discussion. And the changes were that -- there was a comment that -- the Management decided that it wanted to keep this Section 150-12 and 150-16 and -- in our discussions, and we --

(Outside Audio Interference)

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: What's that noise?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sounds like something weird's going on.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: And the connecting word between those two, it would -- the concept was supposed to be that -- the parking calculation was supposed to be the greater of 150-12 or 150-16, and the connecting language in the law that we were looking over made it look like it could be interpreted to be additive, not alternative, or greater than. So I changed that language, so it's

1	clear now that it's the greater of either 150-12 or
2	150-16. There was another comment, which I forgot
3	when the music started. There was another comment.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Noise ordinance, noise
5	ordinance.
6	(Laughter)
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: There are one or two other
10	comments that we thought that are valuable that
11	were made by either a Trustee or a member of the
12	public that were oh, I changed the triggering
13	for what triggers the parking requirement on an
14	existing existing tenancy or building.
15	So Paul and I spent a good amount of time
16	going over this and kicking it around, and we
17	wanted to make the language wasn't clear. It
18	just the language just got muddled in the
19	discussions that we had over time, so we simplified
20	and tried to tried to simplify and clarify what
21	will actually trigger a you know, whether it's a
22	change in use or a building permit, or whatever.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is that 150-12(C) that
24	you're talking about here?
25	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, I think so.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes. So what actually
3	triggers a parking the a recalculation of the
4	parking for a building, at least for a building.
5	So that was changed. And I think that was
6	basically it.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So you want us to take a
8	look and think about 150-12(C)?
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, that's our that's
10	our suggestion for the basis of the parking. So
11	now to get to get into the real discussion, so
12	that I received some comments regarding a
13	moratorium.
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, just before we
15	get to that, let me just finish.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: I just Trustee Robins, you
18	had said that, you know, you don't think the
19	Planning Board knows what's in the best interest of
20	the Village, that we should be doing that.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I didn't say no, I didn't
22	say that. I just said
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's what this
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, I said that it puts a
25	lot of pressure on them to make that determination,

1	that's all. I didn't say that they don't know,
2	aren't able to do that. But I just thought that it
3	was
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. No, I just wanted to
5	just clarify, because you said that should be our
6	responsibility, not theirs, but they are the
7	Planning Board and that's where the stuff goes.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yes, I get that. You know,
9	I was only commenting that this
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: You know, the situation, not
12	that to take it away from them. I'm just saying
13	that, you know
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I thought it was
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: So the whole discussion, we
17	can decide what we want the code to read
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: and how to do that, if you
20	think that's going to satisfy what you feel is in
21	the best interest of the Village.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Or is there something else
24	that you were planning on to come up that's in the
25	best interest of the Village?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I have not been able to come up with better language than what is in there right now.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I was just trying -- I just wanted to clarify that, you know, just to make sure where we are with it, so there's not something else, you know, that we need to work on with that.

And, also, just to be clear, the Planning Board doesn't have to offer a waiver.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No.

MAYOR HUBBARD: You know, so if the project comes in on these 20 parking spots, to say, "Well, you can do 10 parking spots by paying for it and that's all you're going to get." So you need to modify the project to fit the parking that's there, and they do not -- they're not required to offer a waiver or send it to Planning Board -- I mean, to the Zoning Board or anything else. They could just say, "In the best interest of the Village, that's too big a project for what it is, and you need 10 parking spots, you have six, you pay for four," and that's it, and there is no other waiver. And you need to make the project fit the guidelines of the property and the interest of the Village.

25 That's --

1	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: And I agree. I agree.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: No, that's my interpretation
3	of it. It doesn't they're not they don't
4	have to do the waiver for the additional 10, or
5	whatever. They could say, "Tone down your project.
6	Instead of 30 room, you can only have 15 rooms."
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Whatever. They can do that
9	by right of what's in the code and just say, "We're
10	not going to give you a waiver, so you have to do
11	your project to fight the size of what you have."
12	If you have six, you pay for four, and you're done,
13	and that's your 10 parking spots.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think that's
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Is that correct, Joe.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Very well said.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Is that it? Yes. Thank you.
19	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I agree. But that's the
20	thing, it's not like a waiver is not granted by
21	right.
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that's why I just
23	wanted to be sure we're on it right now.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: That actually helps, I
25	appreciate it.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
2	TRUSTEE CLARKE: And then if we could spend
3	just a few more minutes to help me make sure I
4	understand everything, since I seem to lag behind.
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: No, that's fine.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, it's confusing at
7	times.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: The what is the logic of
9	switching from what's the logic of having a cap,
10	both in the existing law or in the proposed law?
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: In a cap on?
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Waiver request is capped at
13	20 spaces, and will be changed
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right.
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: to being capped at 50%.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, the idea is that it
17	limit it's a way of limiting the amount of
18	waiver that could be granted, could be granted, and
19	that?
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Because it could be a
21	project that comes up that we all support that
22	would require by code, you know, 100 parking
23	spaces. And, you know, what do you do with that
24	project if we think it's a good project for the
25	Village? If it's capped at 50% and the waiver is

1	given
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Then the project could
3	so what would happen is
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: then the project would
5	proceed to the Zoning Board for the additional
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, it would proceed
7	excuse me, I'm sorry, I interrupted.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: That's fine.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It would proceed to the
10	Board of Trustees.
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: For the additional variance?
12	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: It would come to us and we
14	could say
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: You know what I'm thinking
16	of? I'm thinking of in the event somebody actually
17	wants to renovate the Arcade, and we establish
18	that, or to renovate and reestablish the
19	Auditorium, both which are blighted projects in the
20	business community that would everyone, I think,
21	residents and business people alike would welcome
22	any chance for those properties to enjoy a new
23	life, and not have them be hamstrung by parking
24	regulations that we might put on other projects,
25	such as just a store, a bar, a restaurant, or a

1	club, you know.
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So the way the language
3	is
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Or a motel or a hotel, or
5	things of that nature.
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: The way the language is,
7	the waiver, the available waiver is 50%, and that
8	would be the available waiver for the Board of
9	Trustees, also. So we'd have to put language in
10	there that and the Board of Trustees in its
11	discretion could make could grant a larger
12	waiver. That language the language would have
13	to be modified if you wanted to have a provision
14	that the Board of Trustees could grant a larger
15	waiver.
16	TRUSTEE CLARKE: You know, otherwise, some of
17	those projects would not be able to be completed.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct, and those buildings
19	would deteriorate the way they are.
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: And that would be really
21	unfortunate for us to, after all this work, end up
22	with a code that would, you know, limit the
23	potential development of properties that are crying
24	for development.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You need to okay. So

1	what you're saying here, Joe, is this particular
2	piece of the any decision of the Planning Board
3	as to the granting or denial of a grant of payment
4	in lieu of parking pursuant to this section may
5	appeal to the Village Trustees only within 60 days
6	of the filing of the Planning Board's decision. So
7	you're suggesting putting some type of a language
8	in there to not for us, the Planning the
9	Village Board not to exceed a certain percentage?
10	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, no. Right now, the
11	Village right now, the Planning Board is limited
12	to the suggestion way back, when we started
13	talking about this, was to limit the waiver that
14	could be granted. So now we're talking about there
15	may be a project that we don't want to limit it.
16	And the so I was suggesting that we might want
17	to put language in where the Planning the Board
18	of Trustees, on an application, could grant a
19	larger waiver as an alternative to the Planning
20	you know, giving it leaving that discretion
21	you know, that burden on the Planning Board.
22	Because, otherwise, the Planning Board then would
23	have they we wouldn't have a limit. The
24	Planning Board on every application would be
25	appealed to make to grant the full waiver. It's

up to the Board. I mean, these are just things 1 2 we're discussing. Right. No, I'm just -- as an 3 MAYOR HUBBARD: 4 example that Peter had just brought up, if you take the Greenport Auditorium, they go to the Planning 5 6 Board with the stuff that they've already had 7 discussions about and stuff they wanted to do with 8 it, and the Planning Board says, you know, you have 9 zero parking on the property with what you're 10 putting in, with the handicapped bathrooms in the back and everything else, he has zero parking, 11 12 okay, so there is no waiver. 13 TRUSTEE CLARKE: (Shook Head No) 14 MAYOR HUBBARD: You need to go to the Village Board to decide if you're going to do the project. 15 16 There's no waiver or anything else. You have to come to the Village Board, and the Village Board, 17 18 as in the best interest of the Village and the 19 development, can just say, "You have no room for 20 onsite parking, but we want this building to be 21 rehabbed and make it into a cultural center," like 22 they had talked about, and we could just say, "There is no parking requirement for you." 23 24 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Or we'll grant, yeah. 25 MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, the word "waiver" just

1	sounds really bad. We could just you know, we
2	could just say for the betterment of the Village
3	and to protect this property and make something
4	happen there. We could just say, "We are going to
5	allow you to go forward with the project with
6	approval of the Planning Board and parking is not
7	an issue on that project."
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That would have to be
9	provided for in the code.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's right.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I'm saying.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Would it be like a special
14	exemption waiver?
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's a special
18	exemption.
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: A special permit, yeah.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I'm
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That's what it would be, a
22	special permit.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That has to be in the
24	code.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So if we could do

word that in there, so that we could just say -because we know the piece of property, you know,
and if they put the handicapped bathrooms in the
back, they have room for two cars. Right now they
have room for four cars. And you're never going to
be able to have a place that's going be able to
house 100 people inside there with the multiple
venues. With the original proposal that was put
forward, they would never be able to do that. So,
really, parking on that piece of property, it's
landlocked. You know, the Temple is right next
door, there's an alleyway that's 18 inches wide,
there's no other place to put parking there.

So for the betterment of the Village, we could say special exemption, whatever term you want to do, that properties that appeal that way, the Planning Board says, "We like your project, but you need to go to the Village Board to deal with your parking issue," and then we deal with the parking issue and then we take care of that. And then the Planning Board does their job and takes car of all the other particulars on the site plan and everything else, but the parking issue is taken out of that as being deemed best interest of the Village --

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's right.
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: and we move forward.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, as part that.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Same with the Arcade. You
5	know, two perfect examples, because they have no
6	parking at all, but we want to see something happen
7	with those properties and get them functional.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yeah, absolutely.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And it also it also
10	if you're thinking through the whole process, it
11	also gives the Planning Board the opportunity to
12	really get into the description and what's within
13	the project. And if they know that parking is an
14	issue, that can get put onto another Board to make
15	a decision. They can get into the other parts of
16	it without you know, with having the opportunity
17	to have another Board respond to the parking issue,
18	if that is for the betterment of the community.
19	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So just a request for, you
20	know, just another moment to try and understand.
21	In our proposed legislation, how a project moves
22	through on a parking decision, and where and when
23	does the Zoning Board of Appeals come in versus the
24	Board of Trustees? Just if you could just give me
25	an example so that I can understand it.

1 ATTORNEY PROKOP: So I think that --TRUSTEE CLARKE: On an application to final 2 3 resolution. 4 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes. I just want to again say that all this is -- you know, needs to be put 5 6 down on paper and drafted, and then I don't want to 7 give a formal opinion until we -- you know, we have 8 time to think this through and come up with those 9 recommendations. 10 But, however, in general, I think that the 11 calculation of the parking requirement, you know, 12 whatever, things like that are things that would be 13 appealed to the ZBA. That's an example of 14 something I think could probably be kept appealable

TRUSTEE CLARKE: So the Building Department states that it needs 20 spaces. The Planning Board supports the project, thinks it's a great project for the Village, recognizes that that project has

to the ZBA. So, in other words, if the Building

Department comes up with 20 spaces required, that

calculation of determination -- as a determination

example of something that could be appealed to the

of the Building Department would probably be an

might be something that could be appealed.

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ZBA.

1 no actual parking, does the maximum waiver that 2 they can, which is 10 spaces, and charges 3 appropriately, as they are guided to, for those 10 4 Do they then kick it to the ZBA with the 5 recommendation that the ZBA, that -- do they put 6 their recommendation that the ZBA grant the other 10, because they think this is a project that's 7 8 good for the Village? No. that's what we wanted 9 ATTORNEY PROKOP: to stay away from. I think the -- I think that's 10 11 the appeal to the -- the determine -- the decision 12 about a waiver is a -- would go to the Trustees. 13 I'm more -- I was mentioning more about the 14 application of the determinations of the area limitations -- dimensional limitations, and 15 16 normally --17 TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm mostly focused on 18 parking. 19

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, the bulk -- I was going to say bulk limitation, which is parking. So what the Building Inspector reported -- there's an initial determination that 20 spaces are required, and that somebody wants to appeal that calculation, because they think that really -- it has nothing to do with waivers. They think that really only 10

20

21

22

23

24

1 spaces should be required. I think that that's a 2 possibility of something that could go through the 3 ZBA. 4 TRUSTEE CLARKE: Got it. So then an appeal 5 for a greater waiver would not go to the ZBA, it 6 would go to the Board of Trustees. 7 ATTORNEY PROKOP: The way our code is 8 written. 9 TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay. Thank you, I got it. I appreciate that. I appreciate everyone in the 10 11 audience being patient with me. 12 MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Continue on. ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, thank you for that 13 14 discussion, it was very helpful. So the next thing, the next thing is to talk 15 16 about the moratorium. So when we -- when the idea of the moratorium came up, I mentioned that there 17 18 were three things, three components for the moratorium, that if you wanted to go forward, that 19 we needed. One was the length of time that the 20 21 moratorium would exist. The second one was the 22 reason for the moratorium, or what's going to -basically, what's going to happen while the 23 24 moratorium is in place. And then the third thing 25 is what the moratorium is going to be, what it's

going to be a moratorium on.

So I recently had -- I know the Trustees had been working on this, and I recently got comments from them, which I appreciate. And it looks like the comments that I received so far are talking about a six-month moratorium, if I'm not mistaken. Please correct me. And then the other -- the common comment was also that during the moratorium, there should be a committee. The idea was to form a committee that would consider changes in the Zoning Code during the moratorium. One of the comments was specific to consider changes in the Commercial Dist -- I'm sorry, I guess they both were, both comments were to consider changes in the Commercial Districts. And so that would be the purpose or the charge, I guess, for this committee.

And -- but the third thing about what -- I really -- I haven't gotten the third with definity, the third thing, component, which is what exactly is going to be stopped during the moratorium period, you know, what this is going to be a moratorium on exactly. So, you know, maybe it's obvious to you, but I need some kind of feedback that I can put into a law and a resolution, please.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Julia, go ahead, because

1	you
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. I don't know if I
3	want to read it, or just give you the high points,
4	but I'll read it.
5	I propose that the Board of Trustees adopt a
6	Local Law to commence a moratorium that pauses the
7	issuance of building permits and site plan
8	approvals, so that the Village can conduct a
9	comprehensive review of current permitted and
10	conditional uses in the Waterfront Commercial,
11	Commercial Retail and general commercial districts.
12	The moratorium should clearly define what outcomes
13	we are looking to achieve, and the duration should
14	be tied to the meeting of these goals.
15	I'd like to see the creation of a Waterfront
16	Advisory Committee to seek input from the community
17	on updating the LWRP. The committee should include
18	Village residents and businesses, the Village
19	Board, Village Management, Planning Board, the ZBA,
20	the Conservation Advisory Committee, and the
21	Historic Preservation Commission.
22	Updating the LWRP is going to be a lengthy
23	process, and I don't think that it's realistic that
24	it can be achieved within the scope of the

moratorium. I believe we are at a crossroads now,

1	and that if we don't do something to define what we
2	want this Village to be, it would be too late so.
3	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So what are you what is
4	that that you're reading from?
5	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I wrote this.
6	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So where can I have a
7	copy of it?
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Thank you.
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So I'm not looking for
11	necessarily a six-month moratorium. I think
12	there if there are very specific goals about
13	what we are trying to achieve, and if they're
14	achieved within less time, that's fine, or beyond
15	that. But I'm not putting a you know, saying,
16	well, we have to get it done in six months. It
17	really should be based on what we achieve, if we
18	achieve the goals that we're attempting at this
19	time.
20	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Just for if I may for
21	clarification. You said stop permits and
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I was going to ask you.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Building permits and
24	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'm trying to figure out
25	where

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: you know, applications.
2	I have copies for you guys.
3	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Permits and
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Applications before the
5	Statutory Boards.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You're talking about
7	applications.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Applications.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Ones that are already in.
10	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Stop everything, okay.
12	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: And you want to stop
13	them in Commercial, in Waterfront Commercial?
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: All three Commercial
15	Districts.
16	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: All three, okay.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think, to expand on the
18	goal, one of the goals is to review the usages that
19	are the uses that are within those, those
20	districts. And I'll be honest with you, in
21	thinking it through, parking kind of comes along
22	with the uses. We struggle with that.
23	I also had suggested that this mor the
24	moratorium have a committee of the Mayor, a
25	member you know, a member from each of the

Statutory Boards, a member from the Business
Improvement District, a business owner who is not
on the BID Board, either one or two Village
residents, and someone who is very familiar with
the water -- you know, the Commercial Waterfront.
I think that's an important component.

The length, I think we're -- that is something that if we have set goals of dealing with the discussion on the uses and what's entailed with them, as I said, parking, it goes throughout all the zones and all the uses. And I know that we're talking on one portion of it, but I think that, to make it clear, it's an overall, overall look at the uses and their projected requirements, parking.

But I also feel that -- and, as I said before, I'm torn, because we have pushed forward with the Commercial Waterfront discussions and we've pushed forward with the parking. But we are at a situation where development is based upon our Village Code. That's what everybody relies on for looking at the criteria.

I don't want to use the word "investment", because I think that just dilutes, dilutes the goal. The goal is to have us, as the policy-makers, really take a look and have a

discussion and reach out to the public.

If we were able to have started this a couple of months ago, I think that the time frame could --would be the six months. I'm -- at this point,
Joe, I rely on you to tell me exactly. You know, reviewing the uses and the discussion, can that be done in three months? I mean, I know what -- if you get a committee together to work it and to push it to get it done, it could probably get done. But I -- you know, it's -- I just don't want to fall back on where we've moved forward with some of the discussions on all of this, all of the commercial areas.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Can you give a sliding scale on length of time, or does it have to be established a finite date or duration?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So it should be a finite date, but the date can always be extended if need be. I think the three months -- we're far enough into this that I think the three months probably -- probably a good goal would be three months. And then if we -- if you approach that and it looked like additional time was necessary, it could be extended.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: For how long?

1	ATTORNEY PROKOP: As long as you
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As long as
3	ATTORNEY PROKOP: You know, as long as you're
4	making due diligence, you can extend it.
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, one, we'll have to
7	schedule public hearings. You know, a big
8	component of this is hearing from the public on
9	this. I think that they are engaged and very
10	interested in what we're doing right now.
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So a resolution to establish
12	a moratorium would be subject to public hearing
13	before it could be voted on?
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes, that's my
15	understanding, is it not?
16	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm not I'm not clear on
17	that.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is it the resolution
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: We have to write the law to
20	be able to schedule a public hearing.
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, right. So this is
22	all done by Local Law. So the moratorium itself is
23	actually created by Local Law, so we would have
24	to
25	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Got it.

1	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Basically, this last thing
2	that you're telling me tonight, I'm now going to
3	draft a Local Law with these components in it, and
4	a resolu and a resolution adopting it, and there
5	will be a public hearing on that Local Law.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So that in itself would take
7	at least another two months from now.
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, it
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I don't think three months
10	is realistic, to be honest with.
11	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Three months for a
12	moratorium?
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Well, I mean, three months
14	wouldn't start ticking until which time that it
15	was
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The law the public
17	hearing, we'd have the public hearing on that.
18	TRUSTEE CLARKE: And then it's passed
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's adopted.
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: and adopted.
21	MAYOR HUBBARD: It would have to pass, and
22	then the State would have to review it, and then
23	make they would notify us that the Local Law was
24	accepted, and, at that point, that's when you would
25	start the moratorium.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Right. So we had -- the ATTORNEY PROKOP: other part of this is the Local Law has to be -when we think we have the final form of the Local Law, it has to be sent to Suffolk County Planning, and also circulated to the local municipalities in New York State, and the county -- and other parts of the county. But it may be in Suffolk County Planning for 45 days, 45 days in advance of the proposed adoption date, and then we -- the County has those 45 days to comment on the moratorium. If the County has a change in the moratorium that they'd like to make -- the moratorium itself can be approved or is required to be approved by a simple majority, which would be three members of the Board. If the County puts -- if the County determines that it doesn't like the moratorium, or wants to make a change in the Local Law that the Board doesn't agree with, then you would need a super-majority to override that, which would be four votes. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I thought.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So that --

23 TRUSTEE CLARKE: Four, not five.

24 ATTORNEY PROKOP: Four out of five. But the 25 main, the main thing is that we have to -- you have

> Flynr, Stenography & Transcription, Service (631) 727-1107

1 to allow yourself 45 days to get it to the County. 2 Most often, the County gives it -- gives their -and something at the Village level, most often the 3 4 County will respond right away, it doesn't make you 5 wait the 45 days. 6 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That doesn't -- that 7 doesn't fall under the local jurisdiction 8 resolution that Suffolk County passed with the Planning Board years ago? 9 10 ATTORNEY PROKOP: No. that was -- that covers 11 area variances, residential area variances. 12 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okav. 13 ATTORNEY PROKOP: This would be a change -the moratorium is a change to the Zoning Code, so 14 it has to go to the County. 15 16 TRUSTEE CLARKE: So my thoughts are that, firstly, I would feel more comfortable if a 17 18 majority, a greater majority of the Board were in favor of doing this. That's one, one concern or 19 20 thought that I have. I especially would feel more 21 comfortable if the Mayor were on board with 22 planning and voting this in, because to involve the 23 Boards and the Administration, and everything that 24 would need to be done. I think we would need to 25 have a commitment from the Executive to really help

Ι

1	get this done in an effective way. To try and
2	marshal the resources and make it happen without
3	that support I think would be very difficult, and
4	think it would draw out the time period, not that
5	I'm not in support of it.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think we just
7	okay. Let's get to the basic question here, okay?
8	The basic question is, for me, I want to hear from
9	the public whether they want this to happen, so
10	that we can deal with the development within the
11	Village of Greenport that everyone is concerned
12	about. My understanding is that to get to that
13	step, we have to have that resolution in order to
14	create the public hearing, correct?
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: On the moratorium, yeah.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: On the moratorium. The
17	rest of it is really a process that's going to
18	start if we get yay or nay or input from the
19	community, and then that we make a decision as to
20	the Board as to whether to move forward with it.
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I understand.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. An that's where I
23	think
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So that don't don't put
25	the cart before the horse, let's just

1 Right. That's what I TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: 2 think, is that, for me -- and I heard the word "political courage" the other day, and I believe we 3 4 all have political courage around this table, is to listen to the community and get their input. 5 6 I strongly -- you know, I don't want it --7 you know, I don't want to hear the rumors anymore, 8 I don't want to hear this or that. I want the 9 community, this Village community to come to us and say how important, why they moved here, what they 10 11 want saved, what they think could be changed, and 12 move it forward as a goal to protecting, okay, and 13 I do mean protecting, what we have left of open waterfront for Commercial Waterfront activities, 14 15 okay? 16 Now Commercial Waterfront activities, yes, fish -- commercial fishing is a portion of it, but 17 18 commercial fishing is an umbrella word. includes the baymen, the aquaculturists. We have 19 in the industry, we have seaweed now being 20 21 developed out into the areas and being grown. 22 Those are different commercial fishing entities than what the traditional LWRP did back in the 23 24 1992's, okay? But there's also the fact that we

have boat builders, we have machine mechanics, we

1 have other entities.

Steve Clarke's, the shipyard, is the biggest incubator that I know. It has dock builders, it has some commercial fishing, it has aquaculturists in it, it has carpenters, it has -- and that's what I'm talking about. That is what a working waterfront is. It is not just, you know, the old adage of the sticks down at the Railroad Dock, okay? They're going to be there, okay, it's just the industry is changing. And that's what I want people to be aware of, of what a working waterfront is, and that's where I'm coming from. It's not hotels and motels. It's not -- in all honesty, restaurants are difficult to deal with, but there needs to be a discussion with that, okay?

That's where I'm coming from with a moratorium, okay? But we've got to get to the first step, and the first step is to getting a resolution, so that we can get the public comment, and that's now where I'm going to stay, okay?

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Understood. Thank you.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I think, too, like I see what you're saying and I agree that, you know, we want to save it, absolutely, of course. I just -- I think people need to understand that if we were

1	to pass if we were to write legislation for a
2	moratorium, let's say, I don't know, so Joe gets us
3	to it around Christmastime, whatever that is,
4	right?
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I understand.
6	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: All right. So we then
7	have public input for how many months?
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: We'd have one public hearing.
9	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Just one?
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's all you need to have.
11	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That's all you need to
12	have, but I don't think that that fills what you're
13	looking for. You want everybody to come? I mean,
14	I'm just asking.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, no. You know, the
16	moratorium could also create a document. We
17	could and we could create a document that goes
18	out to every resident to give their opinion on
19	you know, to get input on stuff, okay?
20	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just, you know
22	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: No, no, and I'm not
23	trying to please, I'm just trying to look for a
24	time limit.
25	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.

1	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: But I don't think that
2	we're going to come get the greatest civic
3	participation three days before Christmas. You
4	know, maybe we are, but I don't think I would
5	imagine this would go on for a couple of months,
6	right, couple, three months. We're up to 90 days.
7	And then we submit it to Suffolk County, and we got
8	to wait for them for 45 days. So we're looking at
9	one, two, three, four, five months before this
10	could even be enacted. I mean, just so people
11	understand, like, it's not going to if this were
12	to pass, it takes a certain amount to time just to
13	go to through the machinations.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, as I said, that's
15	why I'm torn, because we already have moved forward
16	on the Waterfront Commercial.
17	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes, so
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But looking through it,
19	and if you're thinking and this is a big-picture
20	thought, okay, it's not a definite, but we have
21	part of the Historic District is all along Main
22	Street, but we don't have it continuing on Front
23	Street. We'd have the opportunity to hear about
24	that, okay?
25	We have the issue of building heights,

because we were discussing accessory apartments, okay? Well, how many three-story buildings do we really want in our -- in our Village, okay? That's another issue, okay?

Parking, we have issues with parking. We know what the issues are. We could talk it to death, to be honest with you. We're never going to have enough parking in the Village of Greenport, okay? You can observe it any time of the day, but in summertime, everything is full, and we need to -- we need to start protecting the Business District. We need to protect the residential areas from having the Business District keep spreading out with parking into their areas.

I mean, that's -- those, those are the big-picture things that I'm looking at, and I think, you know, they're here. We've been tiptoeing around them for the last year, perhaps, and development is still going to keep going. So while we're talking all this stuff, either we need to come to some decisions on some stuff and move it forward, but, in the meantime, it is -- it is a topic in the Village that everyone -- obviously, we can see tonight, and I've always said it, if there's a hot topic in the Village of Greenport,

1	every meeting we have is going to have a roomful of
2	people, okay? When there's no roomful of people,
3	then there's no hot topic.
4	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Of course.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, you know, that's where
6	I'm coming from, okay?
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I wanted to say two things.
8	Is everyone can I say it?
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Go ahead.
10	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. The first is that a
11	lot of work went in a lot of work went into I
12	think this is restating what Trustee Phillips said,
13	that a lot of work went into getting to where
14	getting the Board to where you are now, you know,
15	the Local Law you're considering. And I don't
16	think that the discussion now about a moratorium
17	necessarily should stop that work, you know, could
18	continue while you're talking about a moratorium.
19	The second think I wanted to clarify was
20	the second thing is I want I'd like to clarify,
21	no building permits and no site plan
22	applications so no site plan applications would
23	be basically in the commercial zones. But do you
24	want to have no site plan, no site plan
25	applications in the Waterfront Commercial District,

1	since that's what you're discussing, or a portion
2	of that District, or just no site plan applications
3	in any Commercial District? And the question
4	would
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: All three.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I said all three.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: She said all three.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'd say all three, right,
9	Mary Bess?
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, it would be all
11	three.
12	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's open for discussion.
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just I just you
16	know, I as I said, the topic's out here and I
17	think it's time that we
18	TRUSTEE CLARKE: All three makes sense to me.
19	If that's going to happen, that would make sense.
20	The only thing that I'm not sure as fully fleshed
21	out, as Joe may need, would be the reason,
22	objective, and what we are requiring be completed
23	exactly by that time. You added you had some
24	comments, and Mary Bess added more, but to have a
25	really completely comprehensive, you know, list of

exactly what our expectations are.

You know, you just brought in some new additional subjects in terms of infringement of business parking into residential areas, building heights, things that we haven't even begun to discuss. So I think that has to be very well defined, so we understand the scope, which then helps us understand the resources required and the lift of getting this done and the time required. That's the only additional comment I would make.

I don't think that we have that as finite and all in one place yet of what we'd look to accomplish. And I think that that is really the meat by which anyone, including other Board Members, could decide whether to be on board with this or not. I mean, I do think the devil is in the details of what we plan to achieve, as well as the -- to your point, Mary Bess, or Julia, I forgot which one of you said it, that being realistic, that having a new LWRP written, approved and issued within six to 12 months is not going to happen. So we have to develop a realistic scope and a realistic set of tasks, but it should be one that's relatively exhaustive in terms of describing it.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ourselves, decide on which way we want to vote or speak about it unless you know exactly what you're going to get out of taking this action?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I could just -- I know you said, you know, we might be able to do this in three months, or whatever. It's been three weeks and tonight is the first time I got any response back of what the goal, objective and accomplishment you want from the moratorium to be. It's three months (sic) since you passed a resolution at our last regular meeting, and you were supposed to work with the Village Attorney to put something together of what you wanted. That's how the motion that was passed at the last meeting, and I'm just getting paperwork today, three weeks later. I mean, we're not going to get this done in a three-month time period if it takes three weeks to give us your thoughts and ideas of what you want the moratorium to be.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I was under the impression that we were going to come to the meeting tonight and decide what we wanted to do in terms of scheduling a public hearing on this, not that -- and that was just last month that we passed that resolution.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: That's what I said, three
2	weeks ago.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: That was three weeks ago.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Oh, three weeks? Okay. I
5	thought you said three months ago.
6	MAYOR HUBBARD: No, three weeks ago.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: All right.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. And, I mean, I haven't
9	had a chance to even read this, because you gave it
10	to me during the meeting, and, you know, I'm not
11	going to ignore everybody else and try to read it
12	and not pay attention to what's going on, so I'll
13	read that tomorrow. But, you know, the information
14	you gave to the Village Attorney, you gave it to
15	him yesterday at 1:38. He had no information
16	before that to start working on a proposed Local Law
17	or something that we could all discuss together of
18	what the goals, the objectives, the guidelines he
19	said you need to have for a moratorium. So, you
20	know
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. In fairness, I have
22	been in discussion with the Village Attorney since
23	it just it was a verbal discussion and I put my
24	thoughts in yesterday, if that's what you're
25	referring to.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But I need to clarify
3	that, because I did have discussions with him,
4	which was verbally, in order to clarify in my mind
5	certain things that he suggested, okay? So,
6	Julia
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I spoke with the Village
8	Attorney as well yesterday, with Joe.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: But, okay, yesterday. No, I
10	understand that.
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: But I was really under the
12	impression that this was something we were going to
13	be discussing at the work session tonight, that
14	this is where we
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: But if you want to go back to
16	the resolution that's passed, I have a copy of it,
17	it said for you to get together and work with the
18	Village Attorney to put together a proposal for a
19	Local Law, which is the first step of scheduling a
20	public hearing, and that was what you passed in the
21	resolution last month. So, okay.
22	If you were waiting until tonight, that's
23	fine, so you waited until tonight. We can't wait
24	three weeks or a month every time we want to have a
25	discussion on something. You know, if there's time

1	to sit down with the Village Administrator, the
2	Village Attorney, sit down and have a meeting with
3	them, talk to them, go over the stuff you want, get
4	the information out to all of us so we could have a
5	conversation, that's all.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm assuming that all the
7	usages in each of the three zones would be
8	completely reviewed and revised in the moratorium.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That is what I'm
10	suggesting.
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, that's what I'm
12	saying.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I'm
14	suggesting.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Permitted and conditional
16	uses.
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So I think if
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If you're going to stop
20	building permits, I just suggest you have some kind
21	of relief valve for, you know, emergency, you know,
22	emergency work, so.
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, of course, you know,
24	yes, I'm not, you know
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So let's put this on the

	Work Session 11/17/22 114
1	table.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's not verbatim.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: You mean, in terms of
4	emergency work being exempt?
5	TRUSTEE ROBINS: If something has to be
6	built, you know.
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: To preserve, to preserve
8	property
9	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Safety.
10	ATTORNEY PROKOP: basically.
11	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right, safety.
12	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Safety or preserve
13	property.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, when you're
15	discussing building permits, let's take a step
16	back. Are you discussing building permits that are
17	applications that need to go before the Statutory
18	Boards, or are you talking about all building
19	permits together, as far as anybody who's going
20	into the Building Department to put a permit in for
21	a fence, or a permit for this, or a permit for
22	that?
23	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, no. I mean, we're
24	talking commercial, not residential.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well

1	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Like a new window or
2	something.
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Huh?
4	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: They might need a new
5	window or something.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, that would be, you
7	know
8	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: A building permit.
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I would consider that more
10	of an emergency, anything that would compromise the
11	property, versus discretionary work, you know, that
12	would be
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm assuming that anything
14	in the Residential District is exempt from this
15	moratorium.
16	TRUSTEE ROBINS: It's not. This would refer
17	to the
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, but
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Just look at it all.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. But what I'm saying
21	is you will have commercial properties, and that's
22	what I want to get a clarification about, that
23	would be coming in to have a sign permit, okay, or
24	they need to repair something within the building,
25	or you know, are those the permits you're

1	talking about, or are we talking about those that
2	are applications that are already before the
3	Statutory Boards?
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: For new development,
5	basically, applications for new development, not
6	for preexisting, you know, remedial work on
7	existing businesses and stuff on that
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: So emergency maintenance
9	slash emergency slash I mean, that would be
10	exempt.
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. A customer comes
12	I mean, an applicant comes in, they have fit the
13	criteria to open their retail store, okay, and this
14	is what I want a clarification, because I'm
15	misunderstanding, maybe. They came in, they didn't
16	need to go to the Planning Board, okay? They fell
17	through where it's an existing building, but they
18	want a new sign. Is that going to fall under the
19	moratorium? Is that what you're talking about,
20	Julia, or are you just talking about applications
21	that go before that are currently in action
22	before the Planning and the Planning Board at
23	the moment as being delayed? I guess that's the
24	word you want to say.
25	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yes. I'm not talking about

1	people that are coming in to put a sign up and
2	things like that, no.
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So there has to be a
4	clarification as to okay. So what's your
5	suggestion as far as that wordage?
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right, yes.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You're talking about
8	site you're talking about pending site plans?
9	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Pending site plans and
10	permits for related to. I mean, first, first is
11	the permit, you deny the permit and going for the
12	site plan, correct?
13	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No. Site plan is when
14	you're approved.
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: The site plans in the
16	Historic
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: You get the permit after
18	the site plan is approved.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Oh, okay.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So that would stop any
21	presubmission applications, correct?
22	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes. If that's how it's
23	worded, yes.
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Presubmission, okay.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: And you also said current

1	applications that are in now before the Boards, you
2	want to stop them; is that correct?
3	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I'm asking.
4	I'm asking if that's
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that's what I'm asking
6	her now.
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Permit, permitted and
8	conditional uses. I didn't specify it. I did not
9	specify it, so I'm hoping to.
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Well, you had
11	said that at the last meeting. You said you wanted
12	to have it on any applications that are before the
13	Boards now, that you wanted to stop them. Is that
14	your intention?
15	TRUSTEE ROBINS: No. As I said, I've changed
16	it in here, I left that open for discussion here,
17	not include that.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, but okay. Well,
19	discussing that, is that your intention, to stop
20	the any applications that are before the Boards
21	now?
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I have that in my notes,
23	too, would it affect existing applications in
24	progress in process, or would it not?
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, what if I'm if

1	I'm reading this correctly, originally, the thought
2	was to only deal with potential new applications
3	for the moratorium, okay? They already have some
4	before them that the Planning Board has already had
5	the process where they they're not in the actual
6	public hearing stage, they're still in the
7	presubmission stage. So that's where my question
8	is coming in.
9	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, so that's a that's
10	a question that is there's a you know, the
11	problem is that I'm going to I don't want to say
12	something that could affect potential litigation.
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Right. And I don't
14	ATTORNEY PROKOP: And I'm right on I'm
15	right on the verge of saying that, so I'd rather
16	not, because
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: What is the status of
18	presubmission in terms of the process of getting a
19	site plan approved? You know, where does it stand
20	in line in terms of
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, that's something that
22	I if I say something, it could affect potential
23	litigation, and I'd prefer not to say it. I'm
24	sorry, I don't want to
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

1	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't want my
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
3	ATTORNEY PROKOP: statements to be Exhibit A.
4	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's why I'm asking it,
5	because we have some that are in presubmission.
6	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Could it be as simple as
7	saying that any project in process that has not yet
8	reached findings and determination is subject to
9	the moratorium?
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think that's why he's
11	avoiding the subject.
12	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, to be honest with you.
14	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I get it, but I'll avoid it
15	too, then.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: I mean, this says site plan
17	approval. So anything that's before that, they
18	cannot issue a site plan approval for the
19	applications that they have. So the I think
20	it's three or four applications would be halted
21	when this passes.
22	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I mean, so to so to make
23	a recommendation, rather than yeah. So
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: No, no, I understand the
25	legal point of what you're dealing with, I got

1	that. It's just with trying to work out the
2	wording of what they want in this Local Law that
3	you have to write to vote on to schedule a public
4	hearing on. So that's why I'm asking you, Trustee
5	Robins, is that your intention?
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yes.
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So she wants to stop
8	everything that's going on in Planning and Zoning
9	now in all three Commercial Districts.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have a tough time with
11	that one. I'm sorry, I have I have to bring it
12	up, okay?
13	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The applicants, they're in
15	presubmission. They're being reviewed by the
16	Village by the Statutory Boards, who we have to
17	trust that they're going to do their job with the
18	applications they have before them. I think the
19	goal was to deal with whatever new applications
20	have the potential of coming in. So that was the
21	original intent of reviewing the codes and dealing
22	with the word "development" that everybody has a
23	quandary about within the Village of Greenport.
24	So, Julia, is that something that you can back down
25	from?

1	TRUSTEE ROBINS: No, I mean, I'm not
2	nothing is carved in stone, okay? I'm really
3	coming from what I think is in the best interest of
4	the Village at the moment, all right? If you think
5	that that should be removed from my request, you
6	know, that those preexisting projects be are
7	exempt from this, basically, you know, I'm willing
8	to consider that.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Peter, how do you feel
10	about that?
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm not sure.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
13	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm not sure. I think I
14	have some I have to I'd have to think about
15	that to give you a clear answer.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We'll let it stand, I
18	guess.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Any other
20	discussion on that topic with the Village Attorney?
21	(No Response)
22	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Thank you for your time.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Do you have anything
24	else, Joe?
25	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, sir.

1	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. Reminder:
2	The public hearing regarding a proposed Local Law
3	of 2022 amending parking regulations remains open.
4	We've already discussed that topic and everything
5	else, it's still an open public hearing. But the
6	Village Attorney take discussions from this
7	evening, work on that and try to review and come up
8	with something solid that we could try to move that
9	forward for next month.
10	Okay. The public hearing/public comment
11	period regarding the Community Development Block
12	Grant opportunities for the Village of Greenport
13	for Fiscal Year 2023 remains open.
14	Anybody on the Board have any discussion?
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I've given Stephen some
16	ideas other than what
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: we discussed, so.
19	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Now this still is an
20	open public hearing. We had one letter about this.
21	Would you like to come up and speak on the public
22	hearing part of it?
23	LILY DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Sure.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: On CDBG Grant opportunity.
25	LILY DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I'm still here.

1 Lily Dougherty-Johnson, 51 Washington Avenue. I wrote to you all, because I wasn't sure 2 3 when you were going to take public comment. So my 4 idea just came out of the Planning Board work session, that if we could do a survey of parking as 5 6 it is now, like how much parking does each business 7 downtown actually -- would they require, even 8 though they were grandfathered, and what do they 9 actually have. And then if you could compare that to all the public parking, then you'd actually have 10 11 an idea of do we have enough parking or do we not. 12 And I know Mary Bess responded that there was a parking survey done, but everything has changed. 13 And I know this is a big job, which is why I 14 thought it could possibly use some CDBG funding. 15 16 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As I said, Lily, I have to pull up that report, because I do know that was an 17 extensive --18 19 TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Parking study? Parking study. There was 20 TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: 21 some comments in that. There also was a lot of 22 guidance in things that we should pursue as far as dealing with parking, so -- but, no, it's a great 23 24 idea, it's a great idea. And I thought that --25 TRUSTEE CLARKE: There was a survey, I

1	remember, of
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And there was a survey, yeah.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: the parking. I don't
4	remember there being a calculation of the
5	businesses at that time and what they would
6	technically require. So if you looked at every
7	business in the district, added up all their
8	approved site plans, and took out the
9	grandfathering and calculated the total, I think
10	that would be a very interesting study.
11	LILY DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Well, I would not
12	just look at the site plan, but like what they
13	currently are now, like if they have "X" amount of
14	employees now.
15	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.
16	LILY DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Which I know could
17	be slightly hard information to get, but
18	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Is that the 2006 study,
19	Mary Bess; 2006, right?
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, 2009.
21	TRUSTEE ROBINS: 2009? Still probably not
22	that
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Whether that's the CDBG
24	grant, I'm not sure, but it's a great idea to think
25	about.

1	LILY DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I looked at a lot of
2	them, and a lot of them are about planning, you
3	know, that and I don't know if you can enjoin
4	that into something larger, like you were talking
5	about with the parking lots and alleys, so that's a
6	great project, too, but
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's something
8	you know, that's the Consortium is that's
9	the Suffolk County Consortium is the one that
10	sets the criteria for the CDBG that we're talking
11	about for this grant.
12	LILY DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But there are other
14	opportunities.
15	LILY DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah, right, there
16	might be other grants. Thank you.
17	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Anybody
18	else want to speak about the CDBG grant?
19	(No Response)
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, because we need to
21	we've got to close the public hearing, because
22	Stephen needs to do that, because he has to get the
23	paperwork in. And so I would offer a resolution to
24	close the public hearing on the Community
25	Development Block Grant opportunities for Fiscal

They're going to work on getting that all set up and get ready for the tree lighting next week.

Deb Pittorino is sponsoring a salsa dance for Dances in the Park. It's going to be on July 10th. She stepped up. She wanted to see salsa, and she wanted to see if she could do something, so I want to thank her for doing that. It's a big help. We always go for sponsors during the year, she set it up early. The Village Clerk has already talked to the band, they've got all kind of setup. So it's going to be Salsa in the Park on July 10th, weather providing, and, you know, permitting. And so I just want to thank her publicly for doing that.

The milling, Paul talked about the paving on Sterling Street and Sterling Avenue. They're going to do the milling tomorrow, get everything prepped. The sidewalks, curbs, everything else are done. Some of the spoils from that are up on Moores Lane. That's going to be part of the wave when DeAl comes back out. They're working on some other smaller sidewalk places, so we've had complaints about issues, they're going to be working on that.

And then, hopefully, it's nice weather for paving on Monday and Tuesday, and that whole area will be finished off. Connects into Main Street,

which was done, and Carpenter Street, which was done the other way. So it just closes that whole loop up, and it will make it a lot nicer down there.

Also, we have -- we're working on a deal with the old Christmas trees that we pick up and collect, of taking them out to Orient State Park and dropping them over their bluff, as to try to help beef up the border and everything else, keep the sand in place and all. So it's something we had done a couple of years ago. We're working on making a connection to do that again, something the Tree Committee wanted to do for a long time. They were like, "We'll take them up to 67 Steps and throw them over the cliff," but we can't just do that without somebody saying you could do it on their property.

So this is something that's been talked about and discussed, you know, it's one of the Tree Committee goals. And the Village Clerk has been working with them to get a contact person from down at the Orient State Park, but throw them over. It just kind of helps hold the sand in and everything else in winter storms when everything gets picked up. So I think that's a positive move for the

1	environment, and keeps stuff out of the landfill,
2	and everything else
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: What's the typical timing
4	and dates of that tree collection?
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Usually, it starts New Years
6	through Little Christmas.
7	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Okay.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm not sure of the date of
9	Little Christmas, but
10	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Good.
11	CLERK PIRILLO: It's usually the 6th.
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. But that's the time
13	that a lot of people, once it gets to be
14	New Years, people start taking their trees down and
15	they get put out there. Some straggle a lot
16	longer, we do see some coming out at the end of
17	February, but the bulk of them are out earlier. So
18	from New Years to Little Christmas, which is like
19	the 18th of January, or something like that.
20	Okay. That's all I had on that. We'll go to
21	Trustee Robins' report.
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I got a couple of things.
23	There were two BID meetings. And I'm sorry I
24	missed the Carousel meeting this month, I don't
25	have a report on that. The BID met yesterday. The

discussion was related to building a new website.

This will be done by a three-step process for

design, architecture and maintenance. The cost

will be a significant part of their budget, and

they will be looking for sponsors to help with the

cost.

The push is on to get the Christmas lights up, the tree lights downtown. The Village has generously offered \$8,000 in a one-time payment toward the additional cost this year due to the fact that the lights burned out and need to be replaced.

The Halloween Village, the Scavenger Hunt was a big success, and they are looking forward to the Christmas Snow Globe contest in the Village this year.

We had a Gate meeting this morning with Paul Pallas, and the topics discussed were an update on the ferry project on Third Street.

Also, there are 30 spruce trees that need to be planted that were in the large planters in the parklets. The BID is basically giving them to the Village. So Dave Abatelli is going to reach out to the Tree Committee to make arrangements to have them delivered to the road barn for planting in

1	designated locations.
2	Rich Vandenburgh says he has volunteer help
3	from landscape contractors to help plant the trees,
4	so we're not being requested to do any labor.
5	There was also an update on the installation
6	of the Ice Rink this year, we discussed that and
7	options moving forward.
8	So that's all I have. Thank you.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Trustee
10	Phillips?
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Green Hill Cemetery, I
12	know that at one point we had discussed, or the
13	Historic Commission had come to us wanting to know
14	what project to start working on, and I believe we
15	all leaned toward Green Hill Cemetery. So I would
16	really like to do whatever to help them along in
17	getting that project moving forward. You know, I
18	don't know if they need to just be told that
19	that's from the Village Board that that's what
20	we want them to start working from their survey
21	that they did, to continue
22	MAYOR HUBBARD: I thought we had already told
23	them that, to move it forward.
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I thought so, too,
25	but I haven't seen anything come from any direction

1	on it. And the Chairperson kind of asked me about
2	it, so that's why I'm asking.
3	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, I think
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: There was a resolution, I
5	believe.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We passed a resolution
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: for it, yeah.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. So just
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
11	MAYOR HUBBARD: Whatever assistance they
12	need, they need to come and talk to us and tell us
13	about it.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I will I will get
15	back to them on that.
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
17	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I think, I think
18	there's been some significant turnover in that, in
19	that Board, so I think several of the members
20	weren't even there. So it might have been that's
21	probably
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I think that's
23	that's why she asked me about it.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The other thing is I

1	received an email this afternoon that I forwarded
2	on to everyone. It's the apparently, it's Long
3	Island Sound Resilience Grant Writing Assistance
4	Program. Apparently, there is the opportunity
5	funding for reaching out to present a project, or
6	present a project to have a grant writer do the
7	work, or have it paid for by the Long Island
8	Sound this program. So I'm going to listen in
9	on it, Paul. I don't know if you have time, but
10	ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I haven't gone through
11	to see if I have comments, I'm sorry.
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. It just it's
13	we have Clark's Beach up there. Maybe we can get
14	something out of it to do something, to at least
15	clean it up periodically.
16	And that's pretty much it. Most of my stuff
17	was discussed already.
18	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Trustee
19	Martilotta.
20	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I apologize if I keep
21	leaving. Most recently, the dog wet the rug.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, dear.
23	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That's why my phone has
24	been going off. My kids are home. My son's trying
25	to babysit his siblings.

1	(Laughter)
2	MAYOR HUBBARD: We no. Everything else we
3	talked about this evening. That's all I have.
4	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Trustee Clarke.
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I have nothing further
6	for tonight. I have nothing further for tonight.
7	Thank you, Mr. Mayor.
8	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. Okay.
9	We're going to open it up to public to address the
10	Board on any topic. And we will have an executive
11	session at the end, just so everybody knows, for a
12	litigation report from the Village Attorney. Go
13	ahead, Mr. Swiskey.
14	WILLIAM SWISKEY: Thank you, George. It's
15	been a long meeting. Bear with me for a moment.
16	William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street.
17	There's several things I'd like to comment
18	on. First is I agree with Mr. Prokop and the
19	Mayor, that we have to go slow on this parking
20	thing, because it seems like it's just going in
21	any, any direction.
22	Now my opinion is, like George mentioned, you
23	granted Planning Board permission to say grant up
24	to 12 exemptions. Anybody wants more than that, it
25	has to come to the Village Board. That will settle

the issue fine and clear, you know what I mean?
It's just black and white and it's fairly simple.

And as far as the public service, like the Opera House, I know they came back and to saying, all right, you want to grant them more space, but you have to remember, this thing will be open on a Friday or a Saturday, when your businesses need the maximum parking. And those 100 cars they're going park, they're going to take space from your commercial enterprises. So that's all I got to say on that.

As far as a moratorium, I'll tell you what, this looks like an artillery shell that hit the top of a tree and started to tumble, you don't know where it's going to land. I think you ought to just kill it. You don't need a moratorium. That's my opinion, and that's the opinion of a lot of people I speak to.

Now, granted, there's a small vocal group, and there always is, pushing their point, but I think if you did a reasonable survey of people in the Village, people who have been here for a while, it's crazy, and I would just say forget it.

And finish your LWRP, send it up to Albany.

If they send it back and they want additions or

1 additional public hearings, then you know. But get 2 it moving, it's been seven years. 3 All right. Now to a couple of other issues 4 that I have besides those. Recently, you increased 5 the fee at the Carousel, because, quote, "We had 6 Carousel expenses that had to be met." Yeah. 7 You're proposing transferring \$22,500 from the 8 Carousel to support the Ice Rink and to support the 9 Now, granted, I know the Carousel is -buoys. 10 compared to expenses and what it takes in, gives you your biggest profit margin, even compared to 11 12 the Marina. But why don't you take some of this money from the Marina, which, quote, people say, 13 well, it makes a lot of money? Why are you taking 14 it all from one fund? I would not pass that. 15 16 would -- where else can I get money? Because you raised the fee, supposedly for expenses at the 17 18 Carousel, and you're taking that money, which is a lot of money, maybe 5% of the income of the 19 Carousel, and you're moving it to other places. 20 21 That just doesn't seem right to me. And I don't 22 know if anybody agrees or not, but I think it's an 23 issue. 24 All right. Now let me see here. Excuse me 25 for a minute. I would ask the Village next, before

1	you consider anymore sidewalk or paving work, to
2	look at Johnson Place, which between Fifth and
3	Sixth Street next to the park, that's a mess. You
4	could push the fence back a couple of feet, move
5	the bushes, make it a little bit wider, so you
6	could provide parking there, along there, and you
7	get an additional maybe 20 spaces, if you did the
8	calculation right. But that's up to you. Maybe
9	you want to have Mr. Pallas look at it and see if
10	it's feasible.
11	And what is it? One more thing here. Oh,
12	and I was with the rate study, all right, you're
13	going to do a rate study, but I would urge this
14	Board, even though legally you may not have to, if
15	you're considering any rate increase in the
16	Electric Fund, have a local public hearing. Don't
17	require where I have to go to Westchester to speak
18	against it, you know what I mean?
19	All right. Thank you.
20	MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you.
22	WILLIAM SWISKEY: And good luck.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, you, too.
24	PETER HARRIS: Good evening. Peter Harris,
25	212 Knapp Place, Greenport. I have a couple of

1	things.
2	The Jitney ferry, at your last work session
3	back in October, it was a very lengthy discussion.
4	How much is the Village going to have to put in
5	dollar and cents-wise to make to make that thing
6	happen, Mayor?
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: I didn't hear the beginning
8	part. Which part
9	PETER HARRIS: How much, how much dollars and
10	cents is the Village going to have to put in to
11	make the Jitney ferry come to the
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, okay, okay. I didn't
13	hear the Jitney part, excuse me for that.
14	PETER HARRIS: Okay.
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Right now, there's no money
16	from the Village being put in until they come back
17	with a business plan, which is we're waiting for
18	them to get the they're out getting prices right
19	now and coming back to us.
20	PETER HARRIS: Well, first of all, I, as a
21	I'm not a Village resident, lifelong Greenporter,
22	though, that's a that's a business opportunity
23	for them. This Village and the residents and the
24	taxpayers should not be putting in one red cent.
25	MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

PETER HARRIS: Okay? I can tell you, as your former Harbor, Harbor Patrol, the dock, the transient dock that the Village currently owns, it's not -- you're not going to be able to use that dock. That dock is -- that dock was designed and was meant for a boat to come in and tie up, one or two people to get off, traverse up the gangway to get onto land.

When -- if you're -- I mean, I believe I heard the gentleman from Hampton Jitney say, "Well, it's probably going to only be about 15 people at a time." Well, the size and the scope and the width of the current dock that we use, if you get any kind of weather and that -- and those docks, they're constantly bobbing up and down. And let's face it, if you -- there's going to be some people that are coming off that ferry that have been at eateries, or pubs, and what have you, and their footing is not going to be as steady as some. The last thing you wanted to do is go into the drink, okay?

I just -- I just feel this is -- this is not something realistically that the taxpayers of the Village of Greenport really need, because you're going to find that many people are going to come by

car, if they -- and they're going to be taking up numerous parking places and be over in Sag Harbor. They're not going to be here. They're coming to use that ferry to take them to Sag Harbor, because they don't want to deal with the traffic to go to Sag Harbor.

So I just don't realistically see what true advantage -- I mean, some business people in this community are going to say, "Yeah, but what about the people that are going to come from Sag Harbor to come here?" They're going -- I just -- from my personal standpoint, I don't see what the benefit, true benefit is, and this Village needs to pay zero dollars. If you do accept the plan, zero. Make them -- if they want to play, make them pay, not the taxpayers of this Village.

My second item, I was here a month ago and I addressed this Board, and I'm just going to read. You will recall, I addressed this Board at the October work session meeting. At that meeting, I was a representative of the Phenix Hook and Ladder Company of the Greenport Fire Department, who were seeking permission to donate a mature holiday evergreen tree to be planted in Mitchell Park. This was to be a gift to all Greenport residents to

be admired, not only as a holiday tree with lights in December, but, moreover, to enjoy its natural beauty 12 months of the year.

To the dismay of the members of Phenix Hook and Ladder Company No. 1, I received a phone call from Paul Pallas, it was either October 31st or November 1st, in which he stated that he had polled the five Village Board Members as to our offer, and he stated that three members had voted no to our offer, without any reasoning given or the names of the dissenting Trustees.

Surprisingly, when I opened the November 3rd edition of the Suffolk Times, and there was a headline that stated "A Christmas Tree for Mitchell Park." Since that edition of the Suffolk Times came out, I have had much positive feedback from numerous residents pertaining to our donation offer. I would like the three dissenting voters against the tree to, please, give me and the residents of Greenport their reasoning for voting no.

I'm no Johnny-Come-Lately, I was born and raised as a Greenporter, have served for over 51 active years protecting this Village as a volunteer firefighter. I was a member of the committee for

1	Mitchell Park, where a contest was held for a
2	winning design of the park that we have today; was
3	a member to bring the Northwell Grumman Carousel to
4	Greenport.
5	I'm not looking to do something that will end
6	up lining my pockets with money. I'm just a
7	concerned Greenporter speaking for something I feel
8	strongly about to have a tree be placed in Mitchell
9	Park.
10	Would any one of the three, and I don't know
11	who you are, give me why you voted no?
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Pete, I'm going to read
13	the email that I sent to Sylvia, okay?
14	"Thank you for reaching out on the phone this
15	afternoon. As a followup to our conversation, the
16	offer from Phenix Hook and Ladder to fund planting
17	a permanent Christmas tree in Mitchell Park is a
18	generous offer to the Village Community. The
19	placement within the park is what I believe should
20	be the Village Board's decision."
21	I didn't refuse it, I just said that it
22	should be the Village Board's decision where to put
23	this tree.
24	George Hubbard, Sr. was honored by a tree
25	that's sitting over in Mitchell Park that at one

point I was under the impression was going to be the Christmas tree, okay?

I also have talked to a fair few people and they do not want the view -- they want the open space in Mitchell Park. I think the Christmas tree and the offer is wonderful. I just felt that it needed to be a Village Board decision as to where it was going to be placed. So if that's a clarification as to what my words were, they're written words.

PETER HARRIS: Mary Bess, like I said, I had no idea. I got a phone call from Mr. Pallas that said there were three dissenting votes that said no. Now, if that is an untruth, then you need to address him.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's whatever -- I don't how it got handled, but for me, because you've asked the question as to who, what, when and where, that's my email and it's public record.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Pete, I'm going to read my email from October 24th as well.

"Hi, Sylvia. I am in support of the generous offer from Phenix Hook and Ladder to plant a permanent Christmas tree in Mitchell Park. I think the Board should have some input as to" -- "as to

1	the selected location prior to planting the tree.
2	Thank you, Julia." Okay?
3	PETER HARRIS: And
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: So we were misrepresented.
5	PETER HARRIS: And I and I thank you for
6	your comment. And 100%, we were not planning on
7	the ones to pick the location. We would we
8	would work together with the Board to, you know,
9	pick a proper location, so that it wouldn't totally
10	block the view of Mitchell Park. But it I
11	just it just I was taken aback that the phone
12	call that I got was five the Trustees, five
13	Trustees were polled and there were three
14	dissenting nos. And then the newspaper comes out,
15	"Christmas Tree for Mitchell Park." And I'm like,
16	really? Are you kidding me? How if that was
17	the if the nos were nos, how did this even get
18	in the paper?
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's something you'll
20	have to I'm sure no, go ahead Jack.
21	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: So I was a no, just so
22	you know. I talked to
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Sylvia.
24	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sylvia. I apologize, I
25	just and I said, you know, I thought it was an

incredibly generous offer, and I do. I just -- my 1 2 concern was that small trees become big trees, and 3 I just love seeing kids out there playing frisbee, 4 and football, and baseball, and everything else, and that was my concern. So I'm one of the three 5 6 maybe you're looking for. PETER HARRIS: And having -- having -- like I 7 8 said, having been an original member of the Mitchell Park Committee, I feel that there is 9 10 adequate open space that people can use, as well as 11 finding a location that a tree could be planted 12 that would -- again, one thing to be used in 13 December, but it would be there to be viewed 12 14 months of the year. TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Well, I had assumed, 15 16 Mr. Harris, I had assumed it would go where the tree is, right? I mean --17 TRUSTEE CLARKE: That's what I assumed as 18 19 well. And I also expressed my opinion. With all 20 due respect for your service, Mr. Harris, and my 21 hat's off to you for all of it, I did not see an 22 additional large tree as suitable at this time as a 23 permanent installation in the park, and that's my 24 opinion. I'm happy to share it with anyone who

would ask. I'm happy to write it to you

25

personally. I meant no offense to you or to the offer from Phenix Hook and Ladder, but I was asked my opinion and that is my opinion.

PETER HARRIS: Okay.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. And I'll add in my two cents on it. When the tree was planted down there in memory of my father, my family and myself personally were attacked and trashed on Facebook, and everything else, that, "Why is that going in Mitchell Park? Why is that down there? It's an open space for the public, not for anybody else." So when the topic came up for what myself and my family went through, I said I don't want to go through that again, and I said I'm not in favor it. And that's a personal reason for something that hit me hard when it happened and what I had to deal I had to delete accounts and everything with. else, because I was tired of hearing the BS, and that's how I feel.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I mean, the same thing went on when -- after the award was given to the designers of the park from your committee and your leadership. Then there was a lot of backlash against the installation of the Rotary clock that went in that had absolutely no stylistic

	Work Session 11/17/22 148
1	
1	coherence
2	WILLIAM SWISKEY: Bull.
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: with the design of the
4	park.
5	PETER HARRIS: I have no problem with that
6	clock being
7	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I'm not saying you did, I'm
8	saying that the public did.
9	WILLIAM SWISKEY: What public?
10	TRUSTEE CLARKE: The general public.
11	WILLIAM SWISKEY: No, it wasn't the general
12	public. I'll answer that one for you.
13	CLERK PIRILLO: Excuse us, please.
14	WILLIAM SWISKEY: You had a Mayor that didn't
15	want it, so when
16	MAYOR HUBBARD: Bill, no. Just let them
17	speak, Bill.
18	WILLIAM SWISKEY: All right.
19	PETER HARRIS: I thank you for your open and
20	honesty.
21	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Any time. Any time.
22	PETER HARRIS: The fact that like I said,
23	I can't say that I agree with yous.
24	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Of course not.
25	PETER HARRIS: But the fact that when I just
1	

1	got a phone call that said three nos, no rhyme, no
2	reason, no nothing, that kind of
3	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I understand
4	PETER HARRIS: turned me off
5	TRUSTEE CLARKE: I understand.
6	PETER HARRIS: that I didn't know where
7	the nos came from
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Right.
9	PETER HARRIS: what the reason were the
10	nos from.
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: In hindsight, it would have
12	been perhaps kind of me to have sent you a note, or
13	at least let you know what I was thinking.
14	PETER HARRIS: That, I you know, like I
15	said
16	TRUSTEE CLARKE: For that, I'm sorry.
17	PETER HARRIS: In my in my opinion, I feel
18	that there's room for a tree there, but I'm just
19	I'm just me, okay? And I'm a true Greenporter.
20	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Yes, sir.
21	PETER HARRIS: Thank you.
22	TRUSTEE CLARKE: You're welcome.
23	MAYOR HUBBARD: Go right ahead.
24	KEVIN STUESSI: Kevin Stuessi, 420 Clark Street.
25	Mr. Mayor, the Trustees, Vice-Mayor, number

one, I want to thank everybody for their time again, and their energy and their efforts. I've been talking to a lot of members of the community, and it's nice to hear you talk about your father and what was done at the park there.

One of the people I talked to was the head of the Planning Board when your father was Mayor, and worked on the original Local Waterfront
Revitalization Plan in 1986, I believe it was. And I was told that your father, together with the community and the Planning Board, were able to stop a major development that was going to completely change the entire waterfront, because of the efforts of your father and what was done. As part of that, that was the original Local Waterfront Revitalization Plan, which was then updated, and then subsequently updated, and hasn't been yet adopted.

I've talked to a number of people in the community. Well over 100 have signed on to a limited moratorium to hit pause in this Village. And they believe very strongly that we need to do this in order to get a handle on the overall parking situation, our codes within the Waterfront Commercial and Retail District, and to engage the

1	community.
2	And so I would ask you, Mr. Mayor and
3	Mr. Vice-Mayor, please, partner with the other
4	Trustees who voted for this at our last meeting
5	three weeks ago and work together to bring this
6	forward to our community, to, please, get the
7	public comment.
8	We don't need to belabor this any longer. We
9	need to simply put something together, write this
10	law, and get it to the next soonest available
11	meeting, whether it's the Monday after
12	Thanksgiving, or call a special meeting, and allow
13	the public to comment, because I promise you, I'm
14	going to come back here with 200 signatures and a
15	lot of people in this room, because they care.
16	They love this community, just like I know each one
17	of you do, and we need to do something now, we
18	can't wait.
19	And I would also ask, I see four members of
20	the Planning Board here, including our Chair, if
21	there was a perspective by them individually, and
22	also as a Board. Thank you very much.
23	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you.
24	MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish to address
25	the Board?

RICHARD VANDENBURGH: Very quickly. Richard Vandenburgh, 601 First Street. I just wanted to say thank you for approving the reimbursement for the Christmas lights. And I spoke with Paul this morning. We're working on, I guess, getting some additional information, but I just wanted to say thank you for that.

I heard from a lot of people that -- you know, I hear from a lot of people about how wonderful that is down there. The cost went up with -- as a result of some of those lights that burnt out last year. So thank you for that.

You know, I will also just -- another comment on that is just on the -- on the issue of the moratorium, you know, a lot of in-depth discussion. I know it's taxing for everybody involved, but I do feel like, you know -- while I do agree with Kevin's comments about the fact that we need to make sure that we have a comprehensive vision of everything that we want to do, it is going to require your -- you guys to kind of really come up with exactly what those specifics would be, because, ultimately, moratoriums are very scary things. We've got to have really clear deliverables in terms of what we expect to get out

of it, how long it's really going to take. So I think, you know, conservative estimates are always the most appropriate. So I urge you to continue that process and to be crystal clear. And I agree, I think it is important that you be unified in your decision.

So the public is absolutely willing to participate in that process. We had a meeting last night at the Library, there were roughly 30, 35 people there. You know, it definitely is so helpful to hear from the public in offering those opportunities for them to speak in a way that is not quite as formal as the public hearings or the work sessions. And so I encourage you to figure out ways to do that, because you do hear a lot of honest opinions. There is a lot of willingness to be engaged in that process. So, you know, making sure the objective's clear, communicating what the objective should be, identifying the resources, and then getting that engagement. So I encourage you to keep doing that hard work. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you.

PATRICIA HAMMES: Patricia Hammes, 603 Main

25 Street.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

In my individual capacity, I wrote a letter, I submitted it 2 1/2 weeks ago to this Board. I believe I had asked for it to be read into the record. I'll withdraw the actual request for it to be read into the record. I don't intend to extend the conversation on parking at this point. I would ask that it be attached to the minutes of the meeting, though, so that it is made part of the public record.

I stand by the comments in that letter. not going to go through them all again. It sounds like the Village Attorney has addressed at least I'm still very concerned about the one of them. 50% and how that exactly will work, and it's not clear to me that it's a legal matter. You can take away -- again, I'll defer to Attorney Prokop on this, but that you could actually take away the right of an applicant to apply for a variance to the ZBA. I thought that was right, that they always had under law to apply for a variance from the code. So if that's not the case, great. If it is, then that's going to need to be taken into account. But, at this point, you know, there's still work to be done on that law. I'm not going to put everybody through reading my three-page

letter. I will, though, reiterate the final point in it.

And in response to Kevin's question, I, both individually and as a Member of the Planning Board, support a moratorium. I would like to see that taken action on as quickly as possible. I don't see any reason why it has to take three months to do. I think that you need to draft a law, it doesn't have to be perfect. We've been discussing the parking law now for six months. There'll be public comment on it, and there's no reason why there couldn't be a special hearing on that. And the sooner it's done, the better to deal with applications that may be coming down the line.

I also believe, in my role as a Member of the Planning Board, the Planning Board, I believe, and Patrick can confirm this, has submitted a letter where it has generally indicated its support for a moratorium, subject to it being carefully and narrowly drafted.

And I think the Planning Board, we're working really hard to look at things that will help us do our job, and that will help and contribute to this Village. And I think we as a group think it's really important the LWRP be updated, whether

that's done now or even after it goes to the State, but to address the current state of play in the Village.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And I believe we also believe that there needs to be a comprehensive view of the Zoning Code, including the uses in the downtown area. And as part of that, Mary Bess has made this point, parking is going to come into that. So I strongly encourage this Board to act as quickly as possible to have a public hearing, see what the public has Maybe, maybe Mr. Swiskey is right and there's more people out there that will say they don't want a moratorium. But my sense, from talking to people in the community, is they want a stop on things, and they want there to be a Waterfront Advisory Committee put together with a variety of members from the community that work together with this Board to expeditiously update the Zoning Code, which hasn't been updated in over 20 years, and does not reflect the reality of what the businesses are in this community and the businesses that are coming into this community today.

So I -- and one final point. It's pretty clear, based on everything that happened tonight,

and on something that came up at the last Planning Board meeting that I wasn't at, but watched the tape on, that even with the six months of this code on parking that's being discussed, there's never been full understanding about what the terms were going to be among this Board of Trustees, and that's a real problem.

And so I appreciate all the work that you're doing, I really do. I think you're making a lot of progress, but I think you could do this a lot more effectively if you had a committee working just on this and you put a moratorium in place. And that's all what I will say. Thank you.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish to address the Board? Okay.

RANDY WADE: Randy Wade, Sixth Street,
Greenport. Thank you so much for taking us all
seriously. And I agree with you, that a six-month
moratorium would be really essential right now.

You were discussing how to create exemptions. And you might say actions with changes of a combined value of 100,000 for construction, number one. Number two, renovation without a change of use or outer size. And number three, where full

plans have been submitted to the Planning Board.

And I took the liberty of suggesting some goals, because you wanted to have more specific about what this was. I would say preserve -- number one, preserve the working waterfront.

Number two, ensure a diversity of business uses that meet the needs of residents and visitors.

Number three, preserve historic buildings and a vibrant Village character through the bulk schedule uses and other code changes. Number four, refine a reasonable parking policy. And number five, involve the community in the process.

And I love the idea of creating the work -- a Waterfront Advisory Committee that would be required for any changes to the LWRP, and would be very useful in being also a Code Committee. There could be subcommittees that would address some of -- you know, how to meet some of these goals, and actually do research on codes of other places, so that it could be done more efficiently, and meeting at least twice a month.

You know, it was so great that you were able to take time to do a third meeting one month, but to really get this done and to do it in a short time frame, you know. And if you do a six-month

moratorium and you're done earlier, you can certainly cancel the moratorium.

And when you -- yes, I know you have to go to County Planning, but when Southold passed their -- they did have to renotice for the, you know, McMansion legislation they just passed. But when they passed it, when it came back from the County and they passed it, it went into effect then, even though it has to go to the State for all this other stuff. So it can go into effect sooner than later.

The -- oh, and then -- well, the LWRP, what they told me over the phone is that the computer has registered something from Greenport that was way pre -- prior to '12 and -- but what they -- the person I talked to said is they like going back and forth. And it's only when the application is considered complete that it will then go for the -- I can't remember how many days I wrote you all, 60 days, maybe. And so what has probably happened is the Village was talking to a project manager, who's no longer there. They're trying to hire somebody for Long Island. And so it never really got to the point where it was considered a complete submission.

So, most likely -- and one of their questions

would be explain your public engagement. So you'd 1 2 be able to kill two birds with one stone. 3 Obviously, the Waterfront Advisory Committee 4 couldn't get everything done in six months, but 5 they could keep working on that. But the six 6 months would be the deadline to meet these goals of 7 the zone changes for commercial, which would be so 8 great.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And then, oh, I know you don't need more two cents from me, but the Ice Rink. Did you look in -- I like what you were saying, Mayor, about having something inground in a parking lot. And there's that parking lot that's the furthest away from the Arcade on South Street. I don't know if that's big enough, but it's certainly not that used in January through March, when an Ice Rink would be operating. And then, if you were able to keep the stuff in the asphalt of the parking lot, maybe that's something to look into, because if it's going to be a lot of money, then I don't think it should be the Village's responsibility. It's really people coming from all over, and it should be a Southold recreation facility on Southold land. But if we could do it here in a practical way, then I think that would be great.

1	And then I like people coming to Mitchell
2	Park with their kids and everything, so maybe the
3	BID could come up with winter wonderland ideas, or
4	a fire pit for making s'mores, or whatever. It
5	doesn't have to be an Ice Rink in Mitchell Park to
6	make Greenport lively in the winter, I don't think.
7	Thanks.
8	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you.
9	MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish to address
10	the Board?
11	(No Response)
12	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
13	CLERK PIRILLO: Mayor, I have a letter.
14	MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, that's right, you need to
15	read something into the record. I'm sorry.
16	CLERK PIRILLO: I do. I was asked by
17	resident Jeanne Cooper to read the following into
18	the record. Please forgive me, it's handwritten,
19	so it may not be as smooth as usual.
20	"Village Board Members, Mr. Mayor, thank you
21	for the opportunity to share my thoughts on the
22	future development within the Village.
23	In the early to mid '80s, 40 years ago, the
24	Village had critical decisions that would determine
25	the course for the next 40 years. Mayor George

Hubbard, Sr. recognized this and tasked the Village Planning Board to work with State of New York professionals, legal and planning experts to map a reasonable path forward for Village development.

Several waterfront residential developments had been developed, and further residential private development was being planned by developers. This included private residential development of 'Mitchell Property', quote-unquote, "including the docks. Powerful developers were in negotiations with the Mitchell Family.

The Planning Board drafted the original Waterfront Revitalization Plan, presented it to the Village Board, who bravely adopted and passed it into law. It allowed the Board to legally change zoning, which excluded residential projects in the WFC Zone, instituting requirements for water-dependent business along the WFC Zone, preserving opportunities for waterfront water dependent businesses' access to our waterfront. This was brave action on the part of the Village Board under George Hubbard.

Potential developers of the 'Mitchell Property', quote-unquote, "were in final stages of purchase contracts with the Mitchell Family. Since

I chaired the Planning Board during this time period, and was elected to the Village Board when the Waterfront Revitalization Plan was adopted, I can say without hesitation it was a tense, very combative time. But the Village Board, under Hubbard, felt strongly that reaching a balance between tourism, light industry, commercial industries, commerce was essential to the health of the Village economy, the Village residents and, the Village identity and future.

The most known accomplishment of this action is State funding for Village (RR), fishing commercial dock; three active and thriving aquaculture businesses, STIDD's light industry, which manufactures renowned marine chairs, (customers include US Coast Guard, and high end yacht-makers such as Hinckley); and, of course, our Village jewel, Mitchell Park, and the Village docks.

It is an undisputable fact that Mitchell's Park would not exist if the Board under George Hubbard had not taken action. I compliment this current Board for recognizing that the Village is once again at an historic inflection point, preserving the opportunity balance, tourism,

commerce and waterfront-dependent commerce, that has been the Village history for 200 years.

I implore the Board to take a break from the development, a moratorium. Bring in legal zoning advisors and professional planners, concerned citizens, to re-up our plan for the next foreseeable decades. The Board will need professionals to help, because, much like the 1980s, you are going to need a solid unlitigatable plan.

I also urge all the Boards in Village not to be 'bullied', quote-unquote, (re: Remarks by developers calling Planning Board adversarial). The development requirements that exist are in place to protect our mile square Village from inappropriate development under current zoning (the rules). Those that come before you are requesting you change the rules for them. You have no obligation to change the rules that legally exist.

To say the '80s in the Village were tumultuous is an understatement. I believe this Board is facing similar times. I also believe this Board is up to the task of crafting an inclusive plan for the next coming 40 years, because, when

	Work Session 11/17/22 165	
1	it's gone, it's gone for good.	
2	Thank you for indulging me. Jeanne Cooper,	
3	former Planning Board Chair and Village Trustee."	
4	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you.	
5	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.	
6	CLERK PIRILLO: You're welcome.	
7	MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I'll offer a motion to	
8	adjourn to executive session at 9:55. So moved.	
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.	
10	MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?	
11	TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.	
12	TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.	
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.	
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.	
15	MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.	
16	Thank you, folks.	
17	(The Meeting was Adjourned to Executive	
18	Session at 9:55 p.m.)	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

	Work Session 11/17/22 166
1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4) SS:
5	,
6	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)
	I LUCIA DRAATEN a Count Depositor and Natony
7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and Notary
8	Public for and within the State of New York, do
9	hereby certify:
10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a true
11	and correct transcription of the proceedings taken
12	on November 17, 2022.
13	I further certify that I am not related to
14	any of the parties to this action by blood or
15	marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the
16	outcome of this matter.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
18	hand this 30th day of November, 2022.
19	
20	Lucia Braaten
21	Lucia Braaten
22	
23	
24	
25	

To: Mayor George Hubbard
Honorable Jack Martilotta, Deputy Mayor and Trustee
Honorable Peter Clark, Trustee
Honorable Mary Bess Phillips, Trustee
Honorable Julia Robins, Trustee

October 31, 2022

Ladies and Gentlemen:

I am writing today to provide public comment in respect of the proposed Local Law Amending the Parking Regulations of the Village of Greenport draft dated October 21, 2022 (a copy of which is attached to this letter for the avoidance of doubt) (hereinafter referred to as the "Proposed Amendment"). As a resident of the Village, I would like to express my view that the Proposed Amendment will be ineffective at alleviating any perceived parking deficit in the Village and will not result in any significant revenue to the Village with which to address any such perceived deficit. Moreover, the Proposed Amendment is unlikely to act as a deterrent to any intensification of use in any property located in the CR/WC zones of the Village – this will only be able to effectively be accomplished through revisions to the Zoning Code and permitted uses within those zones. Before amending the Zoning Code to eliminate the grandfathering exemption for parking, the Village needs to make specific findings based on actual data and facts that a parking deficit exists and tie those to a specific plan to address any such deficit – these findings and plan should also be tied into the Village's LWRP. I would note that the traffic and parking study recently submitted in connection with the hotel project proposed for 200 Main Street concludes that there are currently adequate parking resources available in the Village.

On a more specific level, I have the following comments on the Proposed Amendment:

1. On Monday or Tuesday, October 24/25, 2022, I reviewed the Village website looking for a draft of the Proposed Amendment (while it had previously been noticed in The Suffolk Times a draft of the law was not included in that notice). A draft was included on the Village website at such time and I reviewed it on line but did not print it out. Subsequently mid-afternoon on October 27th, in preparation for the Village Board public hearing on the Proposed Amendment, I returned to the Village website and printed out a copy of the Proposed Amendment. The draft of the Proposed Amendment that was available at that time was substantively different from that which had appeared on the website of the Village on October 24/25th. It is not clear at what point in time the drafts of the amendment were switched but it would be next to impossible for the public to have reasonably been expected to have appropriate notice and an opportunity to review and comment on the draft of the Proposed Amendment given this issue. Therefore, in order to ensure compliance with SEQR and Municipal Home Rule Law Section 20(5), the Village Board should close the current public hearing and schedule a new public hearing for the correct and final draft of the Proposed Amendment. In publishing the notice for this public hearing, the Village should include (as do other municipalities) the draft language of the Proposed Amendment so that all interested parties have the full opportunity to review and provide oral or written comment on the Proposed Amendment at or prior to the public hearing.

- 2. In addition, pursuant to Section 150-32 of the Village Code, any proposed amendment to Chapter 150 is required to be referred by the Village Board to the Planning Board for a report. This referral has not occurred with respect to the current Proposed Amendment. A prior proposed amendment concerning similar provisions of the Code (the "Prior Draft Amendment") was previously submitted to the Planning Board but given that the current Proposed Amendment differed enough from the Prior Draft Amendment to require a public hearing it should also be submitted for review and comment by the Planning Board in accordance with the requirements of Village Code.
- 3. The Proposed Amendment contemplates that the Planning Board may permit an owner of a business that becomes subject to parking requirements under the Code to make a payment in lieu of parking in an amount not exceeding 50% of the required parking spaces. This effectively creates a Code provision that will require every business that becomes subject to providing parking and that does not have the ability to provide the full amount of such parking to seek both a variance (for the 50% of the required parking spaces that they are not entitled to make a payment in lieu of) and the payment in lieu of waiver with respect to the other 50%. A variance in zoning and land use is by definition an exception to a rule it is not appropriate for a zoning code to have a rule that imposes a requirement to seek a variance. Moreover, to the extent that the Village Board is intent on using the parking provisions of the Zoning Code to provide for revenue to support parking infrastructure in the Village it makes no sense that an applicant is only applied to make a payment for 50% of the required parking but is entitled to (and, in fact required to if it wishes to move forward with its use) to seek a variance for free for the other 50%.
- 4. Notwithstanding the response to the inquiry posed by Richard Vandenburgh at the Board's meeting in respect of the proposed treatment of funds received as a result of the application of payment in lieu of parking, the Proposed Amendment is not entirely clear on how these funds will be treated. Specifically, the Section (Section 150-6G(1)(B) of the Proposed Amendment that contemplates an installment payment system in respect of payment in lieu of funds does expressly provide that such funds would be deposited into a special fund for use with respect to parking facilities but similar language is omitted from the general section relating to payment in lieu of (Section 150-6G(1)(A)) this should be clarified in the final draft of the Proposed Amendment to make it clear that any funds received by the Village pursuant to Section 150-6G will be deposited into a special account and only available to fund costs associated with public parking facilities.
- 5. There are a number of substantive issues with respect to the Proposed Amendment that result from a lack of clarity in how to determine what parking requirements will apply to a particular use and leave a significant amount of room for discretion by the Village administration in respect of determining whether a particular use will become subject to a requirement to provide parking which could expose the Village to potential litigation risk. Some of these issues include:

- a. The lead in to Section 150-12 still contains language that implies that Section 150-12 and 150-16 are "additive" ("Additional bulk requirements . . . are specified in Section 150-16") in nature while new Section 150-12(D) specifically seems to state the opposite as it provides that in instances where a use is specified in the table set forth in Section 150-16, the applicable requirements will be solely those contained in Section 150-16 if this results in a greater parking requirement for the intended use. Note that Section 150-16 does NOT include any requirement for parking in respect of employees (this has always historically been addressed in Section 150-12). The language in Section 150-12(D) of the Proposed Amendment also seems to ignore and fails to take into account the final sentence of Section 150-16A(1) relating to the Planning Board's determination of applicable off -street parking requirements for uses not otherwise identified in the related table, which provision has not been affected by the Proposed Amendment.
- b. New Section 150-12(c) indicates that applicable parking requirements are to be determined based off of what parking requirements would have applied if a business or use had been subject to the parking requirements prior to the adoption of the Proposed Amendment however given the language in Section 150-16 which provides that unlisted use categories are subject to "reasonable and appropriate off-street parking requirements as determined by the Planning Board" it will be impossible in many instances to determine what actual parking requirements would have applied to a business prior to adoption of the Proposed Amendment since no such determination will have been made with respect to many of the current uses and business in the CR zone.
- c. The Code provides no guidance as to the calculation of what constitutes an "employee" for purposes of calculating parking under Section 150-12 where businesses have both part time and full time as well as seasonal employees. How will parking requirements be addressed to take into account part time versus full time employees and seasonal employee fluctuations?
- d. Current Code is outdated in respect of its treatment of parking requirements particularly when it comes to the combining of eating and drinking establishments into one category where parking is determined solely by reference to "seats" which can easily be undermined by an establishment that is principally a drinking establishment by reducing the number of seats at a minimum applicable parking requirements for eating and drinking establishments should be revised to be based off of permitted occupancy levels.

Finally, I would like to state my support for the Village's adoption of a local law imposing a limited time moratorium with respect to the issuance of building permits/site plan approvals while the Village undertakes a comprehensive review and update of the current draft LWRP with community input (I note that even though the draft LWRP is dated 2014, the bulk of the data, information and conclusions contained therein are based on data/information and input received in 2010/2011 and thus is over 10 years old and fails to address the current residential and business demographics of the Village) and

further undertakes a comprehensive review and update to the Zoning Code to reflect the updated LWRP. I note that that the current governing document for planning and zoning purposes in the Village is the 1996 LWRP and the Village has significantly changed since that time. I believe that it would be most appropriate to address parking as part of this comprehensive review. I would call upon the Trustees to enact such a moratorium and immediately reestablish a Waterfront Advisory Committee including members of the public (both residents and businesses), the Village Board, the Village administration, the Planning Board, the Zoning Board of Appeals, the Conservation Advisory Committee and the Historic Preservation Commission to work to update the LWRP and Code on a timely basis during the period of the moratorium. I respectfully request that this letter be read by the Village Clerk at the appropriate time for public comment at the next meeting of the Village Board of Trustees and be officially entered into the record.

Thank you for your consideration.

Best regards

Tricia Hammes

603 Main Street Greenport, New York 11944 triciagrace@optonline.net

cc: Paul J. Pallas, P.E., Village Administrator Sylvia Lazzari Pirillo, RMC, Village Clerk

BOARD OF TRUSTEES VILLAGE OF GREENPORT NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING ON A PROPOSED LOCAL LAW OF 2022 AMENDING THE PARKING REGULATIONS OF THE VILLAGE OF GREENPORT

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the Board of Trustees of the Village of Greenport will conduct a Public Hearing on Thursday, October 27, 2022 at 7:00 p.m. at the Third Street Fire Station, Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York, 11944 regarding a proposed local law of 2022 amending the parking regulations of the Village of Greenport.

The purpose and subject of the proposed local law is to amend the parking regulations of the Village of Greenport.

The title of the local law is "Local Law of 2022 – A Local Law amending the parking regulations of the Village of Greenport."

Any interested party will be heard at the public hearing.

BY ORDER OF THE VILLAGE OF GREENPORT BOARD OF TRUSTEES Village Clerk Sylvia Lazzari Pirillo, RMC

LOCAL LAW NO. OF THE YEAR 2022

A LOCAL LAW AMENDING THE PARKING REGULATIONS OF THE VILLAGE OF GREENPORT BE IT ENACTED BY THE BOARD OF TRUSTEES OF THE INCORPORATED VILLAGE OF GREENPORT AS FOLLOWS:

- Section 1.0 Title, Enactment, Effective Date, Purpose and Intent.
 - 1.1 Title of Local Law
 - 1.2 Enactment.
 - 1.3 Effective Date.
 - 1.4 Purpose and Intent of Local Law.
 - 2.0 General Provisions.
 - 2.1 Amendment of Section 150-12(C).
 - 2.1 Amendment of Section 150-16(A)(1).
 - 2.2 Deletion of Section 150-16(A)(2)
 - 2.3 Amendment of Section 150-16(G).
 - 3.0 Severability.
 - 1.1 Title.

This Local Law shall be entitled "Local Law of 2022 Amending the Parking Regulations of the Village of Greenport.

1.2. Enactment.

Pursuant to Section 10 of the Home Rule Law and the Village Law of the State of New York, the Incorporated Village of Greenport, County of Suffolk and State of New York, hereby enacts by this Local Law of 2022, a Local Law of the Village of Greenport.

1.3. Effective Date.

This Local Law shall take effect on the filing of the approved Local Law with the Secretary of State of New York, which shall be within twenty (20) days after its approval by the Board of Trustees of the Incorporated Village of Greenport.

1.4 Findings, Purpose and Intent of Local Law.

The Board of Trustees hereby finds that it is necessary to amend the off-street parking requirements contained in Chapter 150 of the Greenport Village Code due to the fact that that the existing requirements were adopted in 1990, and there have been significant changes in the development of the Village, the uses in the commercial and residential districts, and the needs of the residents, workers, visitors and guests of the Village for parking in the more than thirty years that the existing regulations have been in effect. It is therefore necessary to amend the off-site parking requirements to protect the health, safety and welfare of the residents, workers, visitors and guests of the Village and, and the continued growth and success of the businesses and institutions of the Village.

The purpose and intent of this Local Law is to adopt amendments to the off-site parking regulations contained in Chapter 150 that will benefit the residents, guests, workers and visitors of the Village of Greenport and the businesses and institutions of the Village of Greenport by amending the parking regulations contained in Chapter 150 of the Greenport Village Code to address the need for parking in the Village, to promote the orderly development of the Village, to provide reasonable access to the services and benefits offered in the Village, and to provide the parking and access that is required for the workers, residents, guests, and visitors in the Village.

2.0 General Provisions.

2.1 Amendment of Section 150-12(C)

Section 150-12(C) of the Greenport Village Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

"150-12(C) Where for any property that at the time of the adoption of this local law is located in either the CR Retail Commercial District or the WC Waterfront Commercial District, or is a use in another district with a requirement to provide off-street parking, and for which there is (1) an alteration of a premises; (2) an application for building permit or site plan change or approval is applied for or required; (3) a building department inspection is applied for or required; or (4) a change in the use or nature of use of a property or portion of a property that requires a building permit, site plan approval, or building department inspection, for a change of use; the off-street parking requirement for the use of the property or portion of the property shall not be increased unless the off-street parking requirement for the use of the property or the subject portion of the property increases above the off-street parking requirement of the property or portion of the property as of the date of the filing of this local law with the New York State Secretary of State without regard to the exemption that was previously allowed for properties in existence as of July 1, 1991; whereupon the person or entity owning or occupying the property or portion of the property affected by the change or increase shall be required to provide off-street parking for the amount by which the off-street parking requirement for the property or portion of the property has increased above the amount that the off-street parking requirement that either was required as of the date of the filing of this Local Law or would have been required as of the date of the filing of the Local Law without taking into account any exemption that was previously provided for a property in existence as of July 1, 1991.

2.2 Creation of Section 150-12(D)

Section 150-12(D) shall be created to read as follows:

150-12(D) The off-street parking requirements contained in Sections 150-12(A) and 150-12(B) are the minimum off-street parking requirements for properties located in the residential and commercial zoning districts respectively, of the Village.

The off street parking requirements contained in 150-12(B) are the minimum off street parking requirements for properties located in the specified commercial districts of the Village. In the event that the use or uses of a property are contained in the table located in Section 150-16 of the Greenport Village Code, then the off-street parking requirement for a property or a use of a property or portion of a property shall be the greater of the off-street parking requirement as calculated by Section 150-12(A) or 150-12(B) and the off-street parking requirement as calculated by Section 150-16.

In the event that the particular use or uses are not specified in Section 150-16 but another use is listed that is similar to the use, then the greater of the requirement for that similar use or the requirement calculated by Section 150-12(A) or 150-12(B) shall be the applicable off-street parking requirement.

In the event that a building or property contains both residential and commercial uses; then the off-street parking requirement for the building, property or use shall be the combined off-street parking requirements for the uses as calculated pursuant to Section 150-12(A) and Section 150-12(B) and Section 150-16.

2.2 Amendment of Section 150-16(A)(1)

A. The first paragraph only of Section 150-16(A)(1) shall be amended to read as follows:

"§ 150-16(A)(1)

Parking and loading regulations.

- A. Off-street parking requirements. Off-street parking spaces, open or enclosed, are permitted accessory to any use, subject to the following provisions:
- (1) Schedule of parking requirements. Accessory off-street parking spaces, open or enclosed, shall be provided for any use as specified below. Any land which is developed as a unit under single ownership and control shall be considered a single lot for the purpose of these parking regulations. Reasonable and appropriate off-street parking requirements for structures and uses which do not fall within the categories listed below shall be determined by the Planning Board upon consideration of all factors entering into the parking needs of each such use.

2.3 Deletion of Section 150-16A(2)

Section 150-16A(2) of the Greenport Village Code shall be deleted and Section number 150-16A(2) shall be reserved for future use by the Village.

2.4 Amendment of Section 150-16(G) of the Greenport Village Code.

Section 150-16(G) of the Greenport Village Code shall be amended to read as follows:

"G. (1)(A) The Planning Board may-require an owner to deposit a cash payment in lieu of any parking requirements set forth in this section or § 150-12. The number of parking spaces for which a payment in lieu is granted by the Planning Board shall not exceed more than 50 % of the required parking spaces. The amount per space to be paid under a payment in lieu shall be \$1,000 for the first five spaces paid in lieu, \$2,500 for the sixth through the tenth paces paid in lieu, and \$5,000 for the eleventh space and every space thereafter;

- (1)(B) The Planning Board may grant a payment plan to the owner or entity responsible to provide off-street parking where a party may pay the payment in lieu amount to the Village, plus interest in the amount of ten percent (10%), in equal payment over up to ten years. Said funds will be deposited and maintained by the Village in a special fund and used by the Village for the construction, acquisition or maintenance of public parking facilities.
- (2) Any decision of the Planning Board pursuant to this section may be appealed to the Village Board of Trustees within 60 days of the filing of the Planning Board's decision with the Village Clerk.
- (3) The Planning Board may determine to not grant any waiver for any portion of the off-street parking requirement, as may be determined by the Planning Board to be in the best interests of the Village of Greenport.

3.0 Severability

In the event that any section or portion of this Local Law or Chapter shall be deemed void or not effective, the remaining provisions of this Local Law and Chapter shall remain in full force and effect.