1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
2	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK : STATE OF NEW YORK
3	BOARD OF TRUSTEES
4	PUBLIC HEARING AND PUBLIC MEETING
5	ON LOCAL LAW OF 2023
6	CREATING SECTION 150-51
7	OF THE GREENPORT VILLAGE CODE
8	x Station One Firehouse
9	
10	Third Street
11	Greenport, New York 11944
12	April 20, 2023
13	6:00 p.m.
14	
15	BEFORE:
	KEVIN STUESSI - MAYOR
16	MARY BESS PHILLIPS - DEPUTY MAYOR/TRUSTEE
17	PATRICK BRENNAN - TRUSTEE
18	LILY DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON - TRUSTEE
19	JULIA ROBINS - TRUSTEE
20	
21	
22	JOSEPH PROKOP - VILLAGE ATTORNEY
23	PAUL PALLAS - VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR
24	JEANMARIE ODDON - VILLAGE DEPUTY CLERK
25	STEPHEN GAFFGA - VILLAGE TREASURER

1	moratorium, which is to pause and make sure that we
2	have a plan for the waterfront, and we move forward
3	with anything development-wise by knowing what we
4	want it to look like in the Village of Greenport.
5	So I'm very much in support of the moratorium.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you, sir. Would
7	anybody else like to speak?
8	LEUEEN MILLER: Good evening. Leueen Miller,
9	424 Fourth Street. And I would just like to add my
10	support to the moratorium, and reiterate the
11	comments made by the earlier speaker, that I think
12	it's a good thing for Greenport. I understand it's
13	approved for two months, with a possible extension,
14	and, hopefully, that will give enough time to take
15	effect and produce some plans for the future.
16	Thank you.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. Thank you for
18	speaking.
19	LISA GILLOOLY: Hi. Lisa Gillooly,
20	178 Sterling Street. I, too, am in support of the
21	moratorium. I wish you all well. I understand the
22	committees have been working, and I think it's a
23	really big step forward in the development of our
24	downtown in a way that we can all input into. So,
25	yes, keep going. Thank you.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you very much.
2	TRICIA HAMMES: Hi. Tricia Hammes, 603 Main
3	Street. I actually feel a little silly being up
4	here, because everybody knows my views, but and
5	I think, obviously, I support the moratorium, I
6	supported it since it first came up. And I think I
7	feel doubly silly, because it seems to me that the
8	election was a resounding voice in the community in
9	support of it. So I am all for it, and I look
10	forward to working with everybody moving forward to
11	get the code revised, and get the LWRP updated and
12	move on. Thank you.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. Thank you.
14	RANDY WADE: Randy Wade, Sixth Street. I
15	support the moratorium. And I was a witness to
16	very productive Code Committee meetings, where you
17	had ideas, and you had ideas, and the Lawyer had
18	had ideas, and Mary Bess had ideas, and it was a
19	lot of progress was made, and I'm sure more can be
20	made. So thank you for working on this.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you very much. Is
22	there anybody else that would like to speak?
23	You're fast. I clocked you at about seven seconds
24	from that back row to the podium.
25	MARY YOURCH: Pretty good.

	Public Hearing 4/20/23 5
1	MAYOR STUESSI: That's impressive.
2	(Laughter)
3	MARY YOURCH: Hi. I'm Mary Yourch,
4	15 Stirling Cove, Greenport, and I totally support
5	the moratorium. We have to be careful not to have
6	anything more happen like happened to us. Thank you.
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you very much. Are
8	there any other comments from the public?
9	(No Response)
10	MAYOR STUESSI: With that, I'll make a motion
11	to close the public hearing. May I have a second?
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
13	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
14	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
15	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
17	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye.
19	Any against?
20	(No Response)
21	MAYOR STUESSI: The motion carries.
22	With that, I'm going to make a motion to open
23	the public meeting of the Board of Trustees in
24	regards to the discussion of Local Law 2023,
25	creating Section 150-51, and adopting the

	Public Hearing 4/20/23	6
1	resolution.	
2	With that, may I have a motion to open	the
3	<pre>public meeting?</pre>	
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.	
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Or second, please. Yes	,
6	thank you.	
7	TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'll second that. Than	nks.
8	MAYOR STUESSI: All in any questions	?
9	(No Response)	
10	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?	
11	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.	
12	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.	
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.	
14	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.	
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye.	
16	And against?	
17	(No Response)	
18	MAYOR STUESSI: The motion carries.	
19	With that, I'd like to open up the Board	d
20	discussion regarding this. I'd like to start	off
21	by saying that one of the things we have done	is we
22	have not just listened to the community, but w	we
23	have also listened to the Suffolk County Plan	ning
24	Board.	
25	The Suffolk County Planning Board, as yo	ou may

be aware, agreed that the moratorium should press forward. There were some certain considerations they asked us to take a look at in the draft of this. You'll see that we have separated the moratorium itself from tying it directly towards the LWRP. Our goal is to get the code updates done by the end of the summer before Labor Day, continue working on the LWRP. But the moratorium and the ability to lift it will not be tied towards any completion of the LWRP. But proceeding with the work, and as part of the Waterfront Advisory Committee, deeply engage in the community over the next several months, which is something we intend to do.

With that, I'll open it up to the Board for any discussion.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I mean, I think we all are pretty much in agreement. And, to be honest with you, I thought Suffolk County Planning Commission made a pretty strong argument in favor of the moratorium. The main difference we're disagreeing with them is on the timeline, and I don't agree with their two-month timeline. I think that the original six months with that two to three month extension is what we should be voting for.

1	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think that separating
3	the LWRP from the moratorium, since that is one
4	document that would take a fair amount of time to
5	complete, and just tying it to the code changes,
6	which I think we can move along quickly with the
7	process, once you've decided how you're going to
8	move along with the Waterfront Planning Commission,
9	as to how you're going to do these things. As, you
10	know, my understanding is, you want to spread some
11	responsibilities to some other
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Absolutely.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Please.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: We'll talk about that in just
15	a moment, you know.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right. I think that
17	will help move it along. And I'm looking forward
18	to completing the work that I started, along with
19	the other committee members. Okay?
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Great. Thank you. Lily or
21	Patrick?
22	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah, I'd say I agree with
23	Trustee Robins' and Trustee Phillips' comments.
24	The Suffolk County Planning Board did do really
25	actually a good job in helping us make the case

with the data that they provided.

I've been very personally invested in this process, I'm looking forward to continuing to work through it. I've said many times over the past few months that I think one of the best things about the moratorium was that it galvanized the community and got people focused on our planning and code needs.

I do feel like that we need to spend more time on the comprehensive planning aspect, so upgrading the -- updating the LWRP as part of that. I would have liked to have seen more time spent on planning before heading into a moratorium, but we are where we are. And I think we need to move forward with this, because we've already invested a lot of work in our various committees, and I think we have to push forward, get the code changes. The code changes are the kind of priority for me.

MAYOR STUESSI: Uh-huh.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And we may need more time than what Suffolk County Planning has suggested, but we just have to move very quickly. So we know that we were contemplating six months, right, for this. But because we have to refer our code changes to our own Planning Board, and I believe we

1	have to also send it back to Suffolk County
2	Planning for their approval, and we also have to
3	take the initiative to do more public engagement
4	and get out in front of the public. So there's
5	actually not a lot of time. Six months I think
6	realistically would be the shortest time period
7	to
8	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah, it's a tight schedule
9	to get it done, yeah.
10	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: that we could possibly
11	do this in. I think if we worked back from a
12	six-month timeline, we would probably end up
13	with maybe we have about a month left that we
14	can continue to contemplate these code changes,
15	because we need to get on to public engagement, and
16	then those referral processes, which are each going
17	to take some time.
18	So I guess I'd say onward. Let's I think
19	we need to work very quickly and very efficiently
20	at this as we move forward and
21	MAYOR STUESSI: Yeah. I'd note for the
22	public, one of the things that we built into this
23	as well is a progress report that we're going to be

months as part of this, so that we are giving them

doing with Suffolk County in the next several

24

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

an update, and they are better prepared when you do come in such that, you know, they're ready to accept it.

TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Yeah. Because I think that we haven't actually invested enough time in the planning process, I think the public should be aware that even as we work through the moratorium, and -- as we work through the moratorium and we get our code changes worked out, and then we all know we're talking about continuing with the LWRP, we have kind of disengaged it from the moratorium process, I think that's good. But I think that even beyond the LWRP, we have to spend more time on comprehensive planning, and to me, that might include things that are not actually included in the LWRP at this point. We've talked about this a little bit, housing, environmental sustainability. I think there's more work that the community is going to need to do.

And I want the public to understand that after we get through the moratorium, after we make our code changes and we're proceeding with the LWRP, we will likely have to do more code changes at the end of that process. It doesn't mean that we need a moratorium to do it, but that work that

1	we do in that planning process is going to really
2	inform the code and inform what we may or may not
3	do with the code at that time. So
4	MAYOR STUESSI: In the iterative over time,
5	right?
6	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I believe so. I just want
7	everyone to know that we're not done with this in
8	two months or six months. I think that we're going
9	to learn more as we continue this planning process,
10	and then we'll be back contemplating more code
11	changes, most likely.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Great. Lily?
13	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I don't have much
14	more to add, except that I'm in support, and I do
15	think we should move as quickly as possible.
16	MAYOR STUESSI: All right. Attorney Prokop,
17	do you have anything to add?
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I have a few comments to
19	add explaining technical points.
20	So the Suffolk County Planning Commission had
21	three conditions or comments regarding the referral
22	that we did on this Local Law. The first, the
23	first condition was that the moratorium be limited
24	to two months. And I believe that the as was
25	stated, the two months, even if tonight we were

moving ahead on something, the two months is really just not enough time to even get it through the different steps that we need to take.

So the -- so it's my recommendation that you adopt the Local Law as proposed, which has a six-month moratorium, and that you endeavor or determine that the -- it will be -- you'll tend to limit it to the six months and not use the extensions.

The other two comments that the Suffolk County Planning Commission had, one was that the Local Law not be tied -- the moratorium length should not be tied to the LWRP. As Mayor Stuessi and the Trustees expressed, it will -- it will not be. I've created adopting language for the Local Law tonight, if you choose to adopt it, and that language separates the term of the moratorium from the time that's necessary to work on the LWRP.

The third thing that the Suffolk County
Planning Commission had as a comment or a condition
is they wanted the Board of Trustees to adopt
findings of fact, which we -- were made available
to us to some extent by the staff of the Public -Suffolk County Public -- excuse me, Suffolk County
Planning Commission through a study that they did,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

and also through information that the Village
provided to them. And, again, the adopting
resolution that I had done, adopting language that
I had done does contain those findings of fact from
the from the memorandum of the Suffolk County
Planning Commission, and also from the material
that was provided to the Commission by the Village.

The other thing that I would like to mention is, initially, we had talked -- we had talked, discussed whether the adoption of the moratorium was a -- for purposes of SEQRA, was an Unlisted Action or a Type II Action. The adoption, the adoption of a moratorium is specifically a Type II Action under SEQRA. There were some -- there were some additional features of the original language which we're not adopting tonight, which is involving the LWRP, because we are going -- it's my recommendation that the Board not tie the moratorium to the LWRP as discussed. And for that reason. I think that the -- it's my recommendation that we determine that the adoption of the moratorium is a Type II Action for purposes of SEQRA, which is specifically provided in the SEQRA resolution -- SEQRA regulations. I think it's 6 NYCRR 617.4 C34 or 36. It specifically says that

a moratorium on land use is a Type II Action, so that's my recommendation. And those are my only comments, unless somebody has a question.

Oh, I technically -- I'm sorry, I apologize. I need to also point out that on the term of the moratorium, if you vote for the Local Law with a six-month moratorium, that's technically going to override the Suffolk County Planning Commission. That is provided for under New York State Law, and you are able to do that with a super-majority of the Board.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I only have one question, which is just that if we vote on it tonight, does it take effect immediately, or that like takes 10 days? And what is --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, there's a -- there's a few requirements that we need to do. So one is that it has to be sent to the State. And, also, there's -- to the extent that it amends our zoning law, which it does, we're talking as Chapter 150, 150-51, we -- I believe there's a requirement for publication also, so we're going to have to meet those two requirements. We've been working on that and we're set up to do that, if it is adopted.

TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: How long does

1	New York like notify or does the first part take?
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Go out to the State right
3	away, so in two, two or three days.
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Oh, okay.
5	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Business days.
6	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I have a question. Have we
7	already determined Lead Agency?
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: We determined Lead Agency
9	on December 2nd, 2022 and I we determined Lead
10	Agency on December 2nd, 2022. I sent a Notice of
11	Adoption of Lead Agency status to all the required
12	involved agencies, and also interested agencies.
13	And I sent another notice of the adoption of the
14	Local Law excuse me, of the public hearing on
15	the Local Law and the consideration of it 10 days
16	ago, as necessary. So I actually sent two notices
17	to cover the Board.
18	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: No one's challenged Lead
19	Agency, no one's wanted to no other agency has
20	asked to be lead agent?
21	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Nobody else has asked to be
22	Lead Agency. And one of the a representative,
23	he wasn't here on behalf of the Southold Town
24	Planning Board Planning Department, but somebody
25	from the Southold Town Planning Department did

1	speak at one of the public hearings and said that
2	he wanted to point out to us that it was a Type II
3	Action, which purely as a moratorium on land use I
4	agree with, if it's not tied with the LWRP.
5	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: For the benefit of the
6	public, Type II means there's no further
7	environmental review required.
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes, Type II is if it's
9	on a Type II list, it means that the New York
10	State has determined that it will not have a
11	significant negative impact on the environment, and
12	that, therefore, no further review of potential
13	environmental impacts is required.
14	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Thank you.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: Any other questions for
16	Attorney Prokop?
17	(No Response)
18	MAYOR STUESSI: With that, I'd like to make a
19	motion on the resolution of Local Law of 2023,
20	creating Section 150-1 51. May I have a second,
21	please?
22	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Any questions? All in favor?
24	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
25	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.

	Public Hearing 4/20/23 18
1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
2	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye.
4	Anyone against?
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Wait, one question.
6	Super-majority, do you have to do a roll call vote?
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: We need to know everybody's
8	vote, but we just if it's unanimous, we know
9	everybody's vote.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So there'll will be
12	everybody will be required recorded as a yes
13	vote.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If that's okay with
16	everybody.
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right. I just want
18	to double-check, that's all.
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: That will it will be
20	recorded as a roll call vote.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: Out of an abundance of
22	clarity, why don't we do a roll call vote?
23	(Roll Call by Mayor Stuessi)
24	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

1	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
2	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: And as the Mayor, Kevin
4	Stuessi, I vote aye as well. The motion passes
5	unanimously.
6	(Applause)
7	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. With that, we
8	also have public comments, if there is anybody else
9	that would choose to speak. I'm guessing the
10	audience spoke in the hearing just a moment ago.
11	Is there anybody that wishes to speak on this matter?
12	(No Response)
13	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay. With that, I am going
14	to briefly go over the updated Waterfront Advisory
15	Committee, which we will be communicating here very
16	shortly. We are going to be having a breakdown
17	that's a little bit different than what was done
18	under the last administration. Together with that,
19	I will serve as Chair of the Waterfront Advisory
20	Committee. Trustee Brennan will act as Vice Chair.
21	We will be having five different subcommittees this
22	time, which will include an LWRP Update Committee,
23	a Code Update Committee, Transportation, Parking
24	and Way Finding, and then we will be pulling off
25	Infrastructure from that and forming a new

1	committee under Harbor Management and Waterfront
2	Infrastructure. Together with that, we will have
3	Communication and Community Engagement. Together
4	with that, the members of these committees, which
5	is going to be a slightly smaller group, and will
6	be actively working, very disciplined, we'll talk
7	more about that in the coming week, to engage the
8	community, which is a very important part of this
9	process that had not yet been done, that we want to
10	be doing very quickly, together with all of the
11	Trustees and myself on the Board. We will have
12	Mr. John Saladino, Patricia Hammes, our new
13	Planning Board Chair, William Swiskey, Eric Elkin,
14	Elizabeth Talerman, Lisa Gillooly, Steve Clarke,
15	Andrew Wolf, Jeanne Cooper and Suzy Donovan. With
16	that do I need to make any type of motion on that?
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Why don't you have the
18	Board approve it, yeah. It's not it's not a
19	requirement.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it would be a good idea.
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: For the record, it would
24	make it clear for things to be
25	MAYOR STUESSI: All right. So for the

	Public Hearing 4/20/23 21
1	clarity on the record, I'd like to make a motion to
2	approve the Waterfront Advisory Committee.
3	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Second.
4	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
5	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
6	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
7	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
8	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye.
10	Great, motion carries. With that, I would
11	like to adjourn the public meeting. May I have a
12	motion or a second to adjourn the public meeting on
13	Local Law 150-51?
14	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Second.
15	MAYOR STUESSI: All in favor?
16	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Aye.
17	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Aye.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
19	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: Aye.
21	Against?
22	(No Response)
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Motion carries. Thank you
24	for attending, together with that.
25	(The Meeting was Closed at 6:21 p.m.)