1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
2	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK : STATE OF NEW YORK
3	BOARD OF TRUSTEES
4	PUBLIC HEARING REGARDING THE PROPOSED
5	ADDITION OF PROVISIONS REGARDING
6	NET METERING TO THE VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
7	ELECTRIC SERVICE TARIFF
8	Ct at i an One Final and
9	Station One Firehouse
10	Third and South Streets
	Greenport, New York 11944
11	April 27, 2023
12	7:00 p.m.
13	The primit
14	BEFORE:
15	KEVIN STUESSI - MAYOR
16	
17	MARY BESS PHILLIPS - DEPUTY MAYOR/TRUSTEE
18	PATRICK BRENNAN - TRUSTEE
19	LILY DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON - TRUSTEE
20	JULIA ROBINS - TRUSTEE
21	
22	JOSEPH PROKOP - VILLAGE ATTORNEY
23	JEANMARIE ODDON - VILLAGE DEPUTY CLERK
24	STEPHEN GAFFGA - VILLAGE TREASURER
25	

1	(The Public Hearing was Called to Order at 7 p.m.)
2	MAYOR STUESSI: I'd like to open the public
3	hearing regarding the proposed addition of
4	provisions regarding net metering to the Village of
5	Greenport electric service tariff, with any
6	approved changes to be filed with the New York
7	State Power Authority, as it remains open.
8	Before we have the audience, Jeanmarie, I
9	believe you have one letter to read into the
10	record.
11	DEPUTY CLERK ODDON: Yes, I to. This letter
12	is from Lawrenzo Heit at 235 Linnet Street,
13	Greenport, New York 11944.
14	"Dear Mayor and Trustees,
15	I am writing to urge you to pass the Net
16	Metering Resolution currently before the Board. I
17	would like to thank the Board and Paul Pallas for
18	pushing this environmentally important resolution
19	forward.
20	I first spoke to the Board two years ago
21	detailing how the current billing procedure
22	combined with Greenport's low cost per kilowatt
23	discouraged solar generation installation. As
24	environmental science tells us, it is critical for
25	all of us to do all we can to reduce our carbon

footprint immediately. The current proposal will maintain Greenport's low cost electricity rate, while allowing solar producers to gain the benefit of using all the energy they produce in a year.

By enacting policies that encourage carbon-free electricity production, Greenport is also looking to the future of higher electricity demand that will inevitably occur with the increase in electric cars, heat pump usage and population growth. These local solar producers will lessen Greenport's reliance on outside sources for its energy needs.

I would like to suggest changing the reconciliation date stated in the current policy to April 1st rather than January 1st, if this could be done without requiring another delay for public comment. This very small change will significantly reduce the number of checks back and forth between Greenport Utilities and solar producers.

By using January 1st, the Village is zeroing the annual electric at the very time that the monthly solar production will be the lowest.

Therefore, most solar producers will have a negative balance for the next few months, requiring them to pay for their usage above their production

for the month. The solar producers will then produce excess electricity during the longer days in the spring, summer and fall. Greenport will then have to pay those producers for those extra kilowatt come December 31st. This increased administrative time could be greatly reduced by changing the zero date to April 1st.

Thank you for your support of the green energy production."

MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Anybody else want to speak?

BETH HASKELL: Beth Haskell, 59 Washington Avenue. I will reiterate exactly what Lawrenzo said. We produce as solar producers a great deal of electricity once we get past the darker months of the year. I have just over the winter completed my project to make my house totally electric, so including my electric car. I am not producing very much carbon, or using very much carbon, and that was a goal of mine in moving to Greenport. I'm very happy that we're considering net metering, because that just makes it work really well.

The way I sized my solar installation was so that it basically covered everything in my house as an electric house. So if you were to move this

reconciliation date to April 1st, April is the first month that I start being in a positive. I just checked my record, and this month in March, I used twice as much electricity than I produced. In April, I produced twice as much electricity than I used. And so I will continue to produce through the months to November, about midway through November, usually, given average sunshine and averages over the years.

In the summer -- and what I want to stress is in the summer months, that electricity is being sold by Greenport at retail to my neighbors.

You're getting the benefit of it. You don't have to buy from an outside source at a high price and you get to pass it on to our neighbors.

In the -- if we were to reconcile this at December 31st, which is the way the proposal is now, you would pay me back in a couple of cents per kilowatt. It would be probably some nominal amount that I would get a check for, and then I would be paying for electric in January, February and March, when I'm not producing as much as I use.

It just makes sense across the board, both for the Village from an accounting point of view, and for the solar users to get it reconciled in a

more favorable time, April 1st, May 1st, whatever works for the Village.

MAYOR STUESSI: I was going to ask you if
May 1st might be acceptable over April 1st, because
that presents some challenges, at least relative to
what's happening in the Village.

BETH HASKELL: I really don't think it makes a difference, because the credits will start coming up during the month of April. I mean, I think most people's systems, starting in April, they start gaining. So I don't think it -- yeah, I think it would work out fine any time in the spring, basically, so.

MAYOR STUESSI: Stephen, can you speak to the conversation that was had with Paul regarding potentially moving this from December 31st to May 1st?

TREASURER GAFFGA: Yeah, we had a -- we had a couple of conversations and a conversation with Trustee Dougherty-Johnson about it, and when you run the numbers, it actually -- it's correct. If you -- if you zero it out at the end of December and start in January, you know, the total net -- the total amount of kilowatts, the credit at the end of the year is the same. However, what we're

1	doing is we're requiring them to pay us, and then
2	we end up paying them back at the end of the year.
3	So I don't think it makes a big difference
4	one way or the other to us. I think we could zero
5	it out at the end of March to start on April 1st,
6	or we could zero it out at the end of April to
7	start on May 1st. I don't think it makes a
8	difference. I think it would ultimately probably
9	make more sense, but I don't want to speak for the
10	Board, to do it in the spring and to carry it
11	forward, so that we're it's just less, and
12	it's and we're not burdening the solar customers
13	with having to pay us and then having to write a
14	check at the end of the year as well, so it is less
15	transactions.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Stephen, I have a
17	question. Our budget year ends May 31st, so
18	May 1st would be
19	TREASURER GAFFGA: No, budget ends at the end
20	of May.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, it ends May
22	yeah, end in May, but the checks would you're
23	stating that May 1st would be the cutoff for
24	zero going book to zero on that?
25	TREASURER GAFFGA: It depends. Whatever

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And then would their
2	checks be issued in May?
3	TREASURER GAFFGA: We would do it from that
4	month that they're billed in, because not everybody
5	that has solar is all in the same sector. The way
6	the Village bills, we have we bill one sector.
7	I mean, so
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That okay, that's what
9	I was going to ask.
10	TREASURER GAFFGA: depending on when their
11	bill ends, that's when we would zero it out for
12	that month.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I thought,
14	okay.
15	TREASURER GAFFGA: And we would run it, we
16	would run the check or issue, you know, a credit
17	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Credit.
18	TREASURER GAFFGA: at that month, right
19	after that. And I don't think it would be too
20	burdensome administratively to do that.
21	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
22	TREASURER GAFFGA: So it doesn't make a
23	difference if we do it for the March it seems
24	like April might be the target date that we want to
25	do this.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
2	TREASURER GAFFGA: So the suggestion would be
3	for the April bills, that we would then zero it
4	out.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, and that would carry
6	through to May.
7	TREASURER GAFFGA: And see what you know,
8	and start from there.
9	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You know, we're getting
10	close. Okay. That's what I was wondering, because
11	we're not not everybody is on the same cycle.
12	TREASURER GAFFGA: Correct.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: Anything else you'd like to
15	add, Ms. Haskell?
16	BETH HASKELL: Thank you.
17	POPPY JOHNSON: Poppy Johnson, 130 Ludlam Place.
18	I just want to echo really what Lawrenzo said and
19	what Beth said. And I do think the idea of doing
20	it in the spring, I don't care whether it's April
21	or May, makes more sense, because I really think,
22	you know, the idea is to make it as easy as
23	possible, because what we want is more people to
24	use more solar power and less dirty stuff polluting
25	the atmosphere and things. So I'm all for anything

1	that will move us forward into the future.
2	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Would anybody
3	else like to be heard? Ms. Rivera.
4	DEBORAH RIVERA PITTORINO: Hi.
5	MAYOR STUESSI: Hello.
6	DEBORAH RIVERA PITTORINO: Deborah Rivera
7	Pittorino, 310 North Street. So a couple of things
8	that I wanted to point out.
9	This has been a long discussion. I've been
10	talking to the Village probably for the last seven
11	years about solar and net metering. So this has
12	been something I've been researching for a very
13	long time, and that I feel very, very strongly
14	about.
15	I know that there's a concern about fires
16	from solar panels. And I was doing some research
17	and I found that every year in the United States
18	there's there are 125,000 fires from natural gas
19	leaks, and then there are significantly more from
20	what they call oil burner backfiring. So I think
21	that I don't know how if anyone here belongs
22	to the Fire Department, but does anyone know how
23	many fires we've put out from solar in Greenport?
24	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: If I may, I think the
25	issue, my understanding is the issue is not about

1	the solar panels creating fires, I think it's about
2	the firefighting. There's some risk in
3	firefighting, like operations on a roof where
4	there's a panel over the roof shingles. I think
5	that's the concern. And I could be wrong, but I
6	don't think there is a concern about the panels
7	themselves.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I
9	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Battery storage is a
10	different issue, which has its own hazards, but
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think most of the
12	concern has been with the, you know, training and
13	understanding how to safely protect the firemen to
14	put out that type of a situation, similar to the
15	situation that happened with the battery cars in
16	the recent situation on the causeway.
17	DEBORAH RIVERA PITTORINO: Sure.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think it's been more
19	that issue than the actual panels itself. I think
20	it's been dealing with protection for the Electric
21	Department, when it has to deal with something in
22	cutting it off for other operations that are going
23	on in the area. I think it's dealing with how to
24	set a process to fight a fire that's dealing with

solar panels. I think that that's been primarily

25

most of the Fire Department's concern. I think
that that's pretty -- Patrick, I think, had pretty
much hit that one. It's a process of how to safely
protect not only the firefighter, but the homeowner
as well.

DEBORAH RIVERA PITTORINO: Sure. Okay. So it's about, then, training, education and training, which is something we should definitely make sure that happens.

And last, but not least, I find it interesting that two of the largest solar parks in the world are in the Middle East, Abu Dhabi and Dubai. And I went for a tour of the solar park in Dubai a few months ago, and I asked the lady, I said, "Don't you find it ironic that two of the largest solar parks in the world are in the Middle East in oil-based economies?" She said, "We understand as oil-based economies that our resources are finite, and we don't want to be oil-based economies, we want to be knowledge-based economies." So they have built an entire technological infrastructures around their renewable energy.

And I think that as educated people, we have to look at the future and realize that oil won't

1	last forever, the fossil fuels won't last forever,
2	and we need to be prepared, and we need to prepare
3	our young people for this. So in light of this, I
4	really hope that you support it and that this gets
5	passed. Thank you.
6	MAYOR STUESSI: Thank you. Would anybody
7	else like to speak this evening?
8	(No Response)
9	MAYOR STUESSI: No? Are there any other
10	discussion points from the Board or questions for
11	Stephen?
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have a question for
13	for the Village Attorney. The date changing, is
14	that a major change or a minor change?
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think it's a substantive
16	change. So what if you wanted to do that, what
17	I would recommend you do is to adjourn the meeting
18	to a specific adjourn the public hearing to a
19	specific date, and and then, at least 10 days
20	before that date, make the change and adopt it on
21	that date. If you wanted to do you could do
22	that, for instance, at the work session, we could
23	schedule a meeting at the work session.
24	MAYOR STUESSI: But even the date change on
25	the true-up is substantive enough that we couldn't

1	to happen the problem is if we put it over to
2	comply with the local Home Rule Law to with the
3	operation of law having to do with adopting it with
4	the change at a subsequent date, that has the
5	potential of moving the whole thing back a year,
6	actually. So what I because and there will
7	be safety there will be public concerns by doing
8	that, if the Board wants to move ahead with this.
9	So I so I recommend that the Board that
10	the Mayor makes that the resolution will make
11	reflect that the Mayor makes that determination,
12	and that for that reason, you're adopting it with
13	that change tonight, if that's what you want to do.
14	MAYOR STUESSI: I'm sorry you have me
15	confused.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Confused.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: Are you suggesting that it's
18	better for me to do it tonight, or better for us to
19	take the 10 days?
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If you believe that it's a
21	matter of public interest to adopt it tonight
22	MAYOR STUESSI: Well, it's a matter of public
23	interest, but I don't think it's a matter of public
24	safety, really.
25	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Then I think you should

1	take the 10
2	MAYOR STUESSI: So I'd rather take the 10
3	days. I would hope the audience would understand
4	that as well. Better to be safe than sorry and
5	take the 10 days and then vote on it.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So let's Joe,
7	let's walk this through again, okay, because we've
8	had different versions of everything, okay?
9	Regardless of what the Village Administrator says,
10	we have to make sure that we have a record of doing
11	this the right way so it's never challenged, okay?
12	So you're suggesting that we close this public
13	hearing
14	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: or leave the public
16	hearing open?
17	ATTORNEY PROKOP: You'll adjourn it to a
18	specific date.
19	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
20	ATTORNEY PROKOP: And then you do not need
21	another public notice.
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
23	ATTORNEY PROKOP: If you adjourn it to a
24	specific date tonight, you do not need another
25	public notice. But, however, the

1	MAYOR STUESSI: But we need 10 days
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Of it being
3	MAYOR STUESSI: before we can do that.
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Can
5	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, the law has to be out
6	for 10 days.
7	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Can I
8	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yes.
9	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: inter say a
10	couple of things? One, I think this public hearing
11	has been open since February, I believe, so I think
12	there's been quite a bit of time. I also think
13	that when it was decided that it would be a public
14	hearing, there was discussion that it didn't
15	actually have to be a public hearing, which the
16	Board then chose to make it a public hearing, which
17	I think was a good thing to get public input. But
18	it's been a long time. It's also the people who
19	have had solar and have solar now have been now for
20	almost a year not being credited for their extra
21	production, even though they've they were
22	before, although I guess that was not legal, but it
23	was the way the Village was doing things and was
24	telling people they were doing things. So I think
25	there is some urgency, and I understand things take

1	a little time.
2	I also would say that even when and if this
3	is passed, it still has to go to the New York Power
4	Authority, which is going to take, I think
5	Mr. Pallas said, probably a couple three or four
6	months, he thinks, but that's not for sure, also.
7	So I would encourage us to move ahead in whatever
8	way makes the most sense. But those are those
9	are my comments.
10	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And my concern is when it
11	gets to the New York Power Authority, they'll be
12	looking for a resolution from us that have we've
13	had the public hearing, and we have come to an
14	agreement, because we've had several changes from
15	the suggestions from the audience.
16	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right. Actually,
17	that was if you're finished.
18	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So
19	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Just one other
20	point is that we have we made a few other
21	changes that I don't know if they were substantive.
22	I know we made the change of from 100% to 110%.
23	So anything we should make sure we're doing it
24	the same way for every everything. And I
25	understand that some changes may be substantive and

1	come may not but
	some may not, but
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: But do we have a final
3	version that reflects those changes?
4	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I don't think we
5	have a written one, no, no.
6	TREASURER GAFFGA: I think, I think all of
7	the challenge that were made previously, from my
8	understanding from my conversation with
9	Administrator Pallas is correct, is that all of the
10	changes were then put into the document that was
11	posted online, but it was of the
12	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Not the date, the
13	date changed, is all is the only thing that's
14	TREASURER GAFFGA: But the only thing we're
15	talking the only additional change now we're
16	talking about would be moving the reconciliation
17	date for the actual bills and customers themselves.
18	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, is this this isn't
19	a change in the code, right, this is a change in
20	our tariff?
21	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Uh-huh.
22	TREASURER GAFFGA: This is well, it's not
23	in a this is the proposed tariff. NYPA would
24	still have to approve it after the Board approves
25	this.

1	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: That's why I
2	think it was originally said that we didn't
3	actually have to have a public hearing about it.
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Then I think what I
5	think what I would recommend, since since the
6	agency has to sign off on this, is I think that
7	what you should you I recommend that you
8	approve it with that change, and to send the
9	language to send the language to the State to
10	get their approval on it and move it along that
11	way. I think that that would be okay to do.
12	MAYOR STUESSI: So you're entirely
13	comfortable with us approving that tonight,
14	contrary to what you said just a minute ago?
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, it's not a change in
16	the code.
17	MAYOR STUESSI: I don't need to remedy this
18	as a public emergency?
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, it's okay, I don't
20	think you have to do that. It's not a change
21	it's not going to be a change in our code, it's
22	going to be a change in this proposed tariff. And
23	because of that, and because it's subject to
24	further excuse me, further subject to State
25	approval, what I recommend you do is to approve it

1	with that change, if that's what the Board would
2	like, and then and to move it ahead for review
3	and approval by NYPA.
4	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Joe, I have a question.
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah, so do I.
6	TRUSTEE ROBINS: Having read the tariff today
7	and going over it with Paul Pallas, I don't
8	understand how this date, this date has any effect
9	on the tariff. It's not really in the language of
10	the tariff, is it
11	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I just I
12	have
13	TRUSTEE ROBINS: in terms of when the
14	credit is paid to the consumers? Is it in it, the
15	date?
16	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: It's in the
17	language of the proposed policy change, yeah. I
18	mean, I'm not I would assume it will be added to
19	the tariff.
20	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: If the credit remains at
21	the end of any calendar year. If okay. Under
22	the customer credit
23	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Do you have that document
24	that she's reading?
25	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It said

1	DEPUTY CLERK ODDON: No.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Here. You want to
3	hear it?
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Can I see the copy?
5	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Here, you take it, okay.
6	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: All we're
7	changing in that is the from the calendar year
8	to April 1st.
9	MAYOR STUESSI: Going to be changing the
10	calender hear to whatever the date
11	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: April 1st.
12	TREASURER GAFFGA: Yeah, to April to March.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, that's why saying
14	the 31st.
15	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Yeah.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: All right. So this is
17	Mr. Pallas has been spearheading this, and what
18	it what it ends up is this is a this
19	is basically the tariff is basically in the form
20	of a contract. So what I for that reason, what
21	I think we could do what I recommend you do once
22	again is if you wanted to approve it tonight, I
23	think you could approve it with that change in the
24	date, and the resolution would be with that change,
25	and also subject to final approval by NYPA.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Okay. So then let
2	me ask you a question. That and, Stephen, maybe
3	you can answer this. That proposed tariff that we
4	have attached that was for the public hearing, NYPA
5	reviewed that before it came back to us?
6	TREASURER GAFFGA: Well, they've been I
7	mean, they were
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They've made recommendations,
9	correct?
10	TREASURER GAFFGA: Correct, yeah. And Paul
11	is I mean, his work
12	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Look, I'm not trying to
13	put this off. I just want to make sure
14	TREASURER GAFFGA: No, yes.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: that it's done the
16	right way, because I don't want it floating back,
17	because we've had those experiences because we
18	didn't follow a process.
19	TREASURER GAFFGA: I understand completely.
20	And Administrator Pallas, you know, he was in
21	all of his conversations with New York Power
22	Authority, and creating and drafting this tariff,
23	that this is really not this, this particular
24	change makes no difference one way or the other to
25	the actual substantive meat and potatoes of the

1	tariff, so to speak. This is just when do you
2	issue the credit, and it's and it seems and ${\bf I}$
3	agree with the solar customers, that it would make
4	more sense to begin in the spring and run it
5	through, through the end of the spring.
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
7	TREASURER GAFFGA: So the end of the winter.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, and I agree with
9	you on that, okay? But what I'm saying is reading
10	the tariff, where it says the customer credits, it
11	says
12	MAYOR STUESSI: Calendar year.
13	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Calendar year. So, you
14	know, does that have to be put into the tariff that
15	it's the specific date? That's where I'm coming
16	from.
17	TREASURER GAFFGA: It would what we would
18	need to do is move it from calendar year to April
19	to March, and that gives us the leeway that the
20	bills in April will be that's when they start,
21	and that's when, you know
22	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And the tariff has to
23	reflect that?
24	TREASURER GAFFGA: It will, yes. That's
25	you just need to change that date in the tariff.

1	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: All right.
2	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So one of the issues is
3	we and thank you, Stephen, for bringing that up.
4	So one of the issues is I think our customer we
5	have different cycles of customers
6	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.
7	ATTORNEY PROKOP: and not everybody has
8	the same date, so people are going to be truing up
9	at different times. I mean, we're not picking
10	it's actually their April bill, not their not a
11	March 31st date.
12	TREASURER GAFFGA: That's correct, because
13	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah, so it's a little bit
14	different, because otherwise we have to change our
15	whole accounting system for this.
16	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, no, I'm just but
17	what the question is, is if we could go ahead and
18	pass this, that document that we showed to the
19	public and to NYPA, okay, they're not going to come
20	back with us and say it's not acceptable.
21	TREASURER GAFFGA: They're not I'm
22	comfortable in saying they're not going to care
23	which
24	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
25	TREASURER GAFFGA: where we what are

the dates for this.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
TREASURER GAFFGA: That's really going to be
up to us and how and it seems to I believe
that they would agree that most of the other
electric producing companies, that there they
would start in the spring. They kind of I
believe in my conversations with Trustee
Dougherty-Johnson and others, that they kind of
give it to the customer, you can start when you
want, but most of most people start in the
spring.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So now I'm going to
ask, Joe, is that the first time you've been really
seeing any of this?
ATTORNEY PROKOP: I saw it when it first came
out. I haven't seen it
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Seen any continuation of it?
ATTORNEY PROKOP: I haven't seen the updates.
This is the I saw it when it first came out.
TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I think the only
other change was the 100 to 110%.
TREASURER GAFFGA: Yes.
TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: That's the only
change that's been made.

1	TREASURER GAFFGA: As far as I know.
2	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Well, then
3	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So with the language, we
4	have to be careful with the language because of
5	this what I'm discussing now with what I brought
6	up about Stephen, is this it's a I don't
7	think that the people can new customers, I don't
8	think they can pick their dates. I think everybody
9	has to
10	TREASURER GAFFGA: No, this would lock
11	this would lock it in for this. That's just
12	other that's other places do it that way. We
13	would be locking it into the April you know, the
14	April to March period.
15	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. But it's really the
16	April billing cycle. You have to give the
17	terminology that we need.
18	TREASURER GAFFGA: The terminology
19	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Whatever terminology you
20	use in the office has to go into this, because it's
21	in the April billing cycle.
22	TREASURER GAFFGA: The terminology would be
23	it would be reset at the April billing cycle.
24	ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay. Reset at the April
25	bill cycle, okay.

MAYOR STUESSI: Joe, can I ask you a question? Are you comfortable enough with it, looking at it again now, that we can approve this tonight with the change to the April billing cycle, or should we take the extra 10 days to make sure it gets one final pass, and the document is approved, and we do it then at our next meeting? I'm happy to do it tonight if we make certain it's not going to come back to haunt us.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think that -- the question that I have is the description of the -- this true-out -- this true-up period, you know, the reconciliation period. If Treasurer Gaffga is confident that we can come up with that language, then I'm okay with moving forward. But I just -- the Board really needs to vote on specific language, and we don't have -- we don't really have that language, to be honest with you. It's something other than March 31st. It's the -- it's the cycle that we have to reflect.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Then I'll make a comment.

I'm not really interested in making a vote that
this is an emergency situation, because I don't see
it as an emergency. So I'm perfectly comfortable
waiting the 10 days.

1	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But 10 days
2	really means
3	TRUSTEE ROBINS: And we can proceed
4	accordingly.
5	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: next month,
6	right? I mean, we're not going to have a meeting
7	in 10 days.
8	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, he said set a
9	specific date. There's no reason why we can't set
10	a special meeting to take care of this.
11	ATTORNEY PROKOP: You can
12	TRUSTEE DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: I mean, if it has
13	it take a month, it has to take a month. I was
14	just saying it's already been I mean, it's been
15	a long time. 2016 is what Paul Pallas said, so.
16	ATTORNEY PROKOP: So the way this is drafted,
17	and because we're dealing with a public hearing,
18	you can you can adjourn a public hearing to a
19	specific date. There will not be a 10 the way
20	that this is drafted, there will not be a 10-day
21	requirement, and then you could adopt it at that
22	on that date. I recommend that you do not make it
23	a brief period, that you go
24	MAYOR STUESSI: So tomorrow night's budget
25	meeting would not work.

1	ATTORNEY PROKOP: I probably would I would
2	not do that.
3	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay.
4	ATTORNEY PROKOP: And we we need input
5	from several people to get the language correct,
6	which we're not going to be able to do by tomorrow
7	night. So I would think that you're looking at
8	7 to 10 days to adjourn the meeting hearing to.
9	But you can't adjourn the hearing open, you'd have
10	to adjourn to a specific date.
11	TREASURER GAFFGA: But the work session is on
12	the 18th of May. So if you set it to that date,
13	you can vote on it right right then at the work
14	session.
15	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You could vote on it on
16	the 18th of May.
17	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Mr. Mayor, can I make a comment?
18	MAYOR STUESSI: Please.
19	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: I just want to echo Trustee
20	Robins. I think that this is not an emergency. I
21	know there's been a lot of time and effort into
22	this, and the public has been very patient, but I
23	do think it's necessary to get this just right.
24	And then we should take this time, get the language
25	crystal clear, and, you know, try to get it on the

1	18th agenda.
2	I'm for this proposal. I've paid a lot of
3	attention to this conversation, and I can't really
4	say I understand all the nuances of this, but I
5	know there's the timing issue with the
6	reconciliation. And to my mind, it's like the
7	public has been floating the Village, because the
8	public is being required to pay more up front in a
9	sense. It penalizes the person with the solar
10	panel system. So we're going to kind of reverse
11	that float by changing the timing of this, where
12	they're not going to be paying as much early in the
13	calendar year.
14	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.
15	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: And so my question for our
16	Treasurer is does that present any hardship for the
17	Village in terms of how you manage your cash flow?
18	TREASURER GAFFGA: No.
19	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: No, okay.
20	MAYOR STUESSI: And is that simply a function
21	of how few people are utilizing solar?
22	TREASURER GAFFGA: That's correct.
23	MAYOR STUESSI: Would it be a very different
24	story if we had 250 people using it?
25	TREASURER GAFFGA: I mean, if we had 200

1	it would make it a little more burdensome, yes.
2	But I do believe that we have the tools to be able
3	to do it, and especially since you have four
4	different billing sectors. There's a way to manage
5	it in that way, and we could I mean, we would
6	come up with a solution if it ever got to that
7	point, but we're not there yet. We only have, as
8	far as I understand it, 10 customers currently that
9	have solar panels out of close to 2,000 electric
10	customers.
11	MAYOR STUESSI: And the proposals to do
12	online billing, will that rectify any of the
13	billing sectors, or we'll still have the sectors,
14	but it won't really make processing payment that
15	much easier on the office?
16	TREASURER GAFFGA: No, we'll always have the
17	sectors, and the reason for the reason for the
18	sectors and why it was implemented that way is to
19	have cash flow coming in on a weekly basis, instead
20	of doing it all at once on a one-month basis.
21	MAYOR STUESSI: Okay.
22	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay. So this issue about
23	this proposal may I think you said earlier there
24	may be less transactions. Is that really material?
25	Because it's only a few transactions we're talking about.

1	TREASURER GAFFGA: I think it's material to
2	the customers, because
3	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: To the customers.
4	TREASURER GAFFGA: Yes, because they are
5	correct. If you if you start it in the winter,
6	they end up having to pay you for January, February
7	and March. Then they build up a credit that they
8	work off of until they have to then you know, we
9	write a check based off of what's left at the end
10	of December, and then you start that cycle over
11	again, where they have to pay you and then we
12	then we pay it back. And they're not getting the
13	full benefit of their solar production. So that is
14	correct, they are all correct when they state that.
15	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay. But it's not in
16	it's not a material difference in to the Village
17	itself?
18	TREASURER GAFFGA: Not to us, no.
19	TRUSTEE BRENNAN: Okay, all right. Well, I
20	think we should be making this as attractive as
21	possible to these customers, so I definitely
22	support this, but I would like to get it just right.
23	TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So Joe's recommendation is
24	to adjourn is it adjourn this, or leave it open
25	to

Flynr, Stenography & Transcription, Service (631), 727-1107

(The Hearing was Adjourned at 7:33 p.m.)

The motion carries.

23

24

25