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(The meeting was called to order at 6:03 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This is the
Zoning Board of Appeals Regular
Meeting.
Item Number 1 is a motion to accept the minutes of the September 21 , 2021 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MS. GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. REARDON: Aye.
MR. KAUFMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

Item Number 2 is the motion to approve the minutes of the August 17, 2021 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MR. KAUFMAN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. REARDON: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

Item Number 3 is a motion to
schedule the next Zoning Board of
Appeals meeting for December 21, 2021
at 6:00 p.m. at the Station One
Firehouse, Third and South Street,
Greenport, New York 11944. So moved.
MR. REARDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. KAUFMAN: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

Also, I apologize, we're one member short tonight. Connie Solomon had some health things going on, so it will just be the four of us tonight.

Item Number 4 is 148 Bay Avenue. It's a motion to accept the application, schedule a public hearing, and arrange a site visit for the application of Bridget and Eric Elkin for the property located at 148 Bay Avenue, Greenport, New York 11944.

This property is located in the $R-2$, One and Two Family District, and it is
located in the Historic District. This property requires area variances. The Suffolk County Tax Map Number is 1001-5-2-15. Is the applicant here? MR. ELKIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Would you like to tell us about your application? MR. ELKIN: Sure, I'd be happy to. So the application is really to take the -- we've owned the home for -- my name is Eric Elkin, 148 Bay Avenue. I'm there with my wife and two kids, and we've been in the property for almost two years. When we bought it it had been converted into essentially a two family. I'm not sure how that process went to get it to that point, but we started by removing some of the elements to make it more comfortable as a one family environment, and close to a year into it we realized it was a challenging property to meet the needs of our family. So we solicited the help of a local architecture firm and went about trying to reconfigure it to
meet the needs. And the application really was focused on two things, bringing more light into the space, you know, that stretch of homes are quite close together, it has kind of a row house feel almost, and then from a community and neighborhood prospective we wanted to preserve the architectural integrity of the home and we wanted to restrain our plans so that they didn't infringe further on existing setbacks. So we are asking for a bit more lot coverage, and we are extending certain exterior walls to meet where existing walls already are, but in general we hope you guys find it to be a pretty nonintrusive and thoughtful proposed change.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Before
I ask the members -- I'll ask the
members, do you have any questions for the applicant?

MR. REARDON: The only thing I
noticed by looking at the plans was
that the architect had marked your
north elevation your south elevation and had mixed up those two elevations, so you might just pass that on, but otherwise I don't have any questions about the plans.

MR. ELKIN: Yes. Thank you.
MS. GORDON: You have not yet been before the Historic Preservation Commission?

MR. ELKIN: Correct.
MS. GORDON: You will do that
after this part of the process?
MR. ELKIN: Correct. And in the proposed changes I would just note that the facade itself will not be changing in any meaningful way. That's not to undermine the fact that we're going to be in front of the Historic Board, but yeah.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I just have a couple of procedural questions with the EAF. On your EAF you -- one of the questions is does the proposed action require a permit from any other government agency? And actually you
say no, but then you list Building Department, Historic Preservation, and Zoning Board should be there also. We're not going to hold the application up for these things, just maybe just do a new EAF. The other one is is the proposed action permitted under the zoning regulations? You answered yes. You wouldn't be here if it were. MR. ELKIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the last one has to do with storm water discharge, will storm water discharge be directed -- it says will the proposed action create storm water discharge? You answered yes, which -and then it says to answer question $B$, which is will storm water discharge be directed to established conveyance system, runoff, and storm drains, and you replied yes, storm draining and gutters will be installed and connected to the structures, existing storm water runoff drainage system. I looked on the plans, I didn't see any dry wells.

MR. ELKIN: There's, of course, wells in the street. As far as dry
wells for the property, I have to get back to you on that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the
Building Department will explain this
to you, the problem is that you can't
-- you have to contain your storm
water. You can't say well, it goes into the street. MR. ELKIN: There are existing dry
wells currently on the property, I believe, but I'll get back to you. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I didn't see them on the plans, but that's fine. We're not going to hold it up for that.

Anybody else? Anything?
MR. REARDON: No.
MS. GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to
make a motion we accept this
application. So moved.
MS. GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. KAUFMAN: Aye.

MR. REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There's just one more little bit of business. We're going to set a public hearing for 6:00 on December 21st.

MR. REARDON: 5:30.
MS. GORDON: The site visit we should make at 5:00. I mean, it's the shortest day of the year.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Let's do this, let's set the public hearing first, and then we'll decide what time to have the site visit. We're going to set the public hearing for 6:00 on December 21st. We set them all at 6:00. And we're going to have to do a site visit, and I'm guessing we want to do a site visit?

MR. KAUFMAN: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And it gets dark.

MR. ELKIN: We're flexible.
MS. GORDON: 4:30 is the latest.
MR. KAUFMAN: I would do it at 4:00.

MS. GORDON: Is that okay with others?

MR. REARDON: I'll make myself available.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We'll do the site visit at 4:00.

MR. ELKIN: Same day, the 21st?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Same day. The only thing we would ask you to do, or the architect, or the contractor, is just to stake out the proposed area, the variance.

MR. ELKIN: Sure.
MS. GORDON: The third story, you're turning two and a half stories into three stories; is that what you want to do?

MR. ELKIN: The actual roof line wouldn't change on the third story. So it's currently --

MS. GORDON: So there's nothing to mark out?

MR. ELKIN: Exactly. For the third floor there would be nothing to mark out. For the second story, that
line would be shifted. We can make a note of how that would change.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That will be a Building Department issue, the amount of square feet.

MR. KAUFMAN: It's bumping out to a uniform plan, right, if you stake the --

MR. ELKIN: Exactly. That's not a problem. There's an existing wall, which will help. There's a small bump out back as well.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's it.
Thank you.
MR. ELKIN: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item Number 5
is 220 Fifth Avenue. This will be a continuation of the public hearing
regarding the area variances applied
for by Ian Crowley and Angelo
Stepnoski. The applicants propose to subdivide the property located at 220 Fifth Avenue, Greenport, New York
11944. The proposed subdivision would allow for the construction of a
two-story dwelling on the parcel known as Suffolk County Tax Map Number 1001-4-8-7. This property is located in the R-2 One and Two Family District and is not located in the Historic District. The variances that are required are listed on the agenda. So we're going to reopen the public hearing. Is there anyone from the public that would like to speak?

MS. WINGATE: Hi. Eileen Wingate, Quiet Man Studio. September's meeting was left open so that we would do a little bit more research. In the meantime, we asked -- we're back here because I have submitted amended plans.

We sat in September and listened to some folks complain about parking. While there always was enough parking for a single family house on the site,
we stepped back and took a look at it and realized that the existing house had very limited parking. So in the amended plan you'll see that the existing house and the new house would
share a driveway. By relocating the driveway, we're providing more parking for the existing house, sharing a driveway, providing enough parking for the new house, and we're repairing a fairly bad situation that exists at the sidewalk level. So once upon a time exactly where we'd like to put this curb cut there was a big old tree, and in putting in the new curb cut for the shared parking for four or five cars we would be repairing village sidewalks and taking care of that little situation there. Further, other than the parking, we heard a lot about sewer and all that kind of stuff. I put in a FOIL request to the Village Clerk. I was told that since 2010 there hasn't been a single complaint or repair or any work done on the sewer on Fifth Avenue, so we're pretty sure that the sewer on Fifth Avenue is fine and ready for another single family house. And those are really the only changes that we've
made.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have one --
I have one problem with the new -- and
I apologize, $I$ kind of missed it at the
last public hearing that you attended, and our code doesn't allow for three cars in a row in a driveway.

MS. WINGATE: There's no three cars, there's two.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The old house, the existing house.

MS. WINGATE: The existing house only has parking for one car right now.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But the new plan shows a driveway, a 10 foot wide driveway, 60 feet long, and you're proposing to put three cars there to satisfy the off street parking.

MS. WINGATE: Two cars. We can get five cars. We can get more if we start making it bigger. I don't know where the code says that, John.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to read it to you.

MS. WINGATE: Good. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to read it. Areas computed as parking spaces, areas which may be computed as open or enclosed off street parking spaces include any private garage, carport, or other area available for parking, other than a street or a driveway. However, a driveway within a required front yard for a one or two family residence may count as one parking space. So the two cars that you have behind the western most car are going to need designated spots. Also about access, unobstructed access to and from the street shall be provided. Such access shall consist of at least 10 feet wide parking lane for a space, areas less than 20 spaces. So that's going to have to be addressed at some point. MS. WINGATE: Okay. But we're doubling the existing parking for the existing house now, and we're providing adequate parking for the new house. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But, well, the
second car at the new house is not permitted either. The eastern most car at the new house wouldn't be permitted either because you can't park the car in the driveway, only one car in the driveway.

MS. WINGATE: What about one car
in the garage and one car in the driveway? I can't even -- that's mind boggling. I have to digest that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Eileen, it's not that I'm making it up.

MS. WINGATE: I understand.
You've read it to me, now I'd like to read it and ponder it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All I'm saying is that's something that's going to have to be addressed.

MS. WINGATE: We will address. Okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's as far as the parking and whatever, the sewer, that's all $I$ have right now.

MS. WINGATE: Any other questions?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Any other
members have any questions?
MS. GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak?

MS. HOEG: Yes, hi, good evening. Karen Hoeg. I am here on behalf of the applicants, Twomey, Latham, Shea. And I just wanted to go over a little bit of the history since this has been kicking around a little bit since June. On June 30, 2021 there was a notice of disapproval, which was initially based upon two variances that were needed for this newly created parcel that was being proposed. It was for a lot width variance of 11 feet, and a minimum lot area requirement of $2,152.61$ square feet. The first hearing on the application was August 17th. The public hearing was held open. An ajournment was requested by the applicant who wanted to amend the application to address comments at the August meeting. The ajournment request
was ignored or denied by the Board, and the public hearing went on without them being present on September 21st. And I have to say with all my years of experience in real estate and land use before municipal boards, I've never had a Board proceed to an application without the applicant being present after requesting an ajournment.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All those
times, was all those times that you haven't experienced this, was the Zoning Board or any statutory board given ample notice?

MS. HOEG: Yes. And my
understanding is that the Board did have ample notice, and the request was -- we don't need to go into that now.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But we mentioned it. You mentioned it, we should address it.

MS. HOEG: Sure. So my
understanding is that the Board did
have ample notice. I've actually
appeared before boards the night of the
hearing and said look, I apologize, I need some more time, and I've been given the ajournment. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, in defense of this Board, in defense of this Board and our decision, we've had neighbors come to us and tell us that they've made arrangements to be there that night. The meeting -- our compromise was that the meeting would be held open so there would be no harm, no foul to the applicant. It was videotaped. There are minutes to review. I'm not sure -- it's a public hearing for everyone from the public.

MS. HOEG: Understood. I think
that -- so I understand what you're saying. So we've submitted the revised application. Ms. Wingate has discussed that. One of the things that I noticed in the new notice of disapproval is that there were additional five variances that were added to the notice of disapproval all relating to the preexisting nonconforming house and
shed. These structures are not to be touched in any way. We have no intentions of touching them, they're going to remain as is. So I did want to point that out to the Board. That being said, I wanted to address some of the area variance standards set forth in Village Code 150.26 as they relate to the application. The property is located in an R-2 zone where one and two family residences are permitted. The property contains preexisting structures, which I said are not going to be disturbed. The existing house is set back 16.4 feet from the street and the shed is in a preexisting nonconforming location. Existing coverage is $26.2 \%$ and the proposed lots when divided will have coverage of roughly $21.5 \%$ percent.

This is all in the application, and this is all well below the allowable 30\% for a one family dwelling.

Turning to the variance standards, the ZBA has the power upon which to
grant variances taking into
considerations the benefits of the application if the variances were granted and weighed against the detriments to the health, safety, welfare of the community and the neighborhood. And here the benefits to the applicant outweighs any detriment to the community. The requested variances are to divide the lot into two, part of a subdivision. Current lot size is 10,700 square feet and the proposal is to divide the lot into two equally sized lots. The majority of homes have lot widths of 50 feet on Fifth Avenue and in the Village. An inventory of the immediate neighborhood was attached to the application, including photographs, and reflects that over $58 \%$ or 34 properties of the properties in the neighborhood have nonconforming lot sizes, and $100 \%$ of the homes on Fifth Avenue itself have nonconforming front and side yard setbacks. This analysis confirms that
there are many substandard lots in the Village. An undesirable change in the neighborhood will not be created by granting the area variances. As of right now, the applicant can tear down the existing structures and build a house of 3,200 square feet, which would be out of character for the neighborhood and loom over neighboring parcels. And pursuant to Village Code Section $150.13(d)(3)$, we would also be able to move a house of 3,200 square feet closer to the road, maybe even as close as 20 feet. Ms. Wingate has submitted the photographs, and the photographs also show the distances to the street on various properties. Due to lot constraints and the preexisting structures on the lot, there's no other method feasible for the applicant to pursue, other than the area variances. The proposed house was designed to meet front, rear, and side setbacks creating only two variances for the proposed lot 4, which relate to lot size and lot
width. These variances are not substantial. The proposed house is consistent with height, roof pitch, and general size of those within the neighborhood, and lot coverage is also proposed to be in compliance. It's our position that -CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that last part. MS. HOEG: Sure. Lot coverage is
in compliance. There will be no adverse effect or impact on the physical and environmental conditions in the neighborhood. The existing lot has 100 foot of frontage and is the largest frontage on Fifth Avenue, and the applicant has taken great care in proposing a house on the lot in conformity with the character of the neighborhood. Traffic and parking concerns were raised at a prior public hearing and will be discussed at a
future time also with the Planning
Board should the ZBA grant these
variances. And as I said, the
applicant has presented a thoughtful application creating two equally sized lots and has proposed a house and lots in keeping with the character of the neighborhood. We're available to answer any questions that the Board may have, and I'm assuming that there are people from the public who also wish to speak.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do you have the date of the previous public hearing?

MS. HOEG: I do. The date of the prior public hearing was September 21st, and the date prior to that was August 17th.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Thank you.

MS. HOEG: You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone else from the public that would
like to speak?
MS. KEHL: Joanne Kehl, 242 Fifth
Avenue.
I do have a question in reference
to -- first of all, I'd like to thank Paul Pallas in reference to reviewing the Village Code, 150-21 for the nonconforming building with conforming uses. In turn, the entire subdivision of both lots are included, not just the vacant lot. This generated a new Building Department notice of disapproval and created eight total variances. One of the things I'd like to talk about is today's meeting is called a continuation of a public hearing. I do not understand why this is not a new hearing as the application and the site plans have been greatly revised, a new notice of disapproval was issued by the Building Department, the neighbors were sent registered mail, and the hearing was again placed in the legal notices for the Suffolk Times. The legal notice does not state that it is a continuation, revision, update, or correction. Don't get me wrong, I don't think anyone wants to repeat what was stated at the last two
hearings of 220 Fifth Avenue
subdivision. I am double checking that this continuation is the process and will not be rejected at any point due to this the change.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Let's ask the attorney.

ATTORNEY CONNELLY: It's a
continuation. The public hearing is
already open. At the last public
hearing it was determined that
additional variances were needed. The additional variances were noticed. As you stated, the letters were sent to the neighbors. Just for economy of time, go forward as a continuation. You don't need to start the whole process over.

MS. KEHL: I totally agree. I was concerned because if it was not -- you know, it was separated I would request that the minutes from the last two hearings be added in, but if we're all good and there's no issue, but $I$ would normally have requested that the
minutes of the August 17, 2021 and the September 21st minutes for the 220 Fifth Avenue subdivision be entered into the record for this hearing, but I think we're good.

I would also like to add this is a subdivision. This is originally on the first hearing it was here and -- this is off the top of my head, sorry. It was all about just the one lot. So when I requested an interpretation for the 150-21 because I felt that there was and we determined that there should have been a subdivision. A subdivision is not just one lot of one piece of property of the lot, it's a subdivision. We're including every piece of property that's included in a subdivision, the existing house and the lot. So therefore that's the reason of the interpretation, and when we're only focusing in on just the house, the separate lot, that's not what this hearing is all about. This is about a subdivision. I want to make that
clear. I mean, I know you all know, I just want to make sure that we're all talking about the subdivision.

I would also like to add Chief Miller is not -- ex-Chief Miller, excuse me, fire chief is not here tonight, but $I$ would like to enter into record some past due minutes. I would like to ask that the $Z B A$ minutes to be entered into this hearing from the 221 and 238 Fifth Avenue subdivisions of September 20, 2016, October 18, 2016, February 21, 2017, and March 21, 2017. Basically in short, Fire Chief Miller at the time, and he's now an ex-fire chief, at the time testified that there was -- there is congestion and additional cars parked on the street that the ladder truck that needs about 20 feet to operate to get the outriggers out to stabilize the truck. The area is cluttered and it's not a safe area. He testified that he would not want to have to send his men in to fight a blaze, not to mention all the
surrounding houses that could go up at the same time. Putting a lot on men -putting a lot of men's life in danger, the possibility of losing one structure, but possibly two or three. Overcrowding the Village is not a good thing. He states he sees a real problem with fighting fire and even rescue calls. Houses are on top of each other on Fifth Avenue. He further states that you just got to start thinking about the life and the property and the safety of this community. He has responded to calls on Fifth Avenue numerous time, had to wait for cars, almost sideswiped a car with his mirror. It's definitely a public safety issue. He spoke about trying to get a truck into the back and cars being in the way and in the driveway. He spoke of carrying the five inch supply from the trucks, that is nothing small and needs room to
work. He stated health and safety issues, spoke of 20 to 30 mile an hour
winds, the house burning, the embers start, this can catch other houses on fire. Chief expressed to not create more area problems or additional risks. He had great concerns for life and the safety of our community. In addition to the safety concerns from our community members, these are large safety issues that are expressed by our Fire Chief. I encourage everyone and all the ZBA members to read the minutes of the previous Fifth Avenue subdivisions. The properties may be different, but the same problems remain and have gotten worse. I'd like for you to please hear our concerns as neighbors and the community around us and deny this subdivision, the eight variances for this entire subdivision is excessive. Thank you. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Before I ask anybody else to come up, we have a letter from a neighbor that we should have asked before -- we should have read before the public
started to come up. Unless there's a neighbor that would like to read the letter from their neighbor, if not, we have to do it.

MS. GORDON: I do have a question.
The letter from Diane Peterson, has
that already been read?
MS. PETERSON: No, I am here, so I wouldn't mind reading it. MS. GORDON: Should we have her read her own letter? CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Why don't we do the letter for the person that's not here.

MS. GORDON: All right. This is a letter from Ian Wile.

Mr. Saladino and the members of the ZBA, I understand that there is a revised proposal for the application at 220 Fifth Avenue. While I am pleased that there is some consideration regarding the nonconforming parking spaces at the current unit and future expansion, most of my objections remain the same. Principally we adopted
building and zoning codes on purpose.
There was a reason we were trying to
leave behind the crammed in narrow
nature of the existing ad-hoc
development. Meeting the nature of the neighborhood via building beyond maximum sizes on minimum lots is not a way forward. Part of my neighbor's building site is on my property.

Following the nature of the existing structures, would this be an acceptable proposal?

Our zoning codes help point us in
a direction where the houses have adequate light penetration,
ventilation, and open space for outdoor use. Do not as a matter of course override the complex and thorough process by which these were established.

We see plenty of attempts to continue to shoehorn an oversized project into a small space but very little attention spent on trying to reduce the project size to make it fit
better. This is a problem of the applicant's creation, not a fault of the code, nor should it be a surprise or hardship as is often stated.

In terms of the parking/driveway solution presented, this solves one problem while making new ones. A reduction in open/non-hardscaped spaces for children and recreation as specified, it is not clear if the existing curb cut would be closed. Also what provisions are made for the day when a fence between the properties is desired? In sharing a common line and curb cut with zero setback, this is not representative of a plan forward.

I ask you again to let the code do the work, and if it should be revised for comprehensive changes then let's get to work on that. Until then, it is the guide by which we are intended to make our decisions. People need to know that that means something.

As there are more applications for this street coming down the pipeline,
it seems worth reminding.
I respectfully request that this
letter be read and entered officially
into the minutes of the meeting
11/16/21. Respectfully, Ian Wile.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you,
Dinni.
Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? MS. PETERSON: Thank you. I did e-mail the letter because $I$ wasn't sure if $I$ was going to be here in time. Diane Peterson, 228 Sixth Avenue. This e-mail is submitted to state my objections to the granting of any variances in regards to this item for public hearing, which is Number 5, 220 Fifth Avenue, where the result allow for the subdivision of the property on 220 Fifth Avenue into two substandard nonconforming lots.

The variances requested are numerous and extensive in nature, none of which adhere to the Zoning Codes for the Village of Greenport, codes
specifically put in place to prevent the overdevelopment of properties within the Village limits and to preserve the nature of our Village. As stated in previous meetings, the list of concerns is long and has been covered by several members of the Fifth Avenue/Sixth Avenue block of residences. These include but are not limited to overpopulation of the street, increased and continued strain on our utilities, unsafe driving and parking on Fifth Avenue, quality of life issues for the current residents of the street.

Most importantly, if the variances are granted for this project to move forward, neither lot would be in conformity to the Zoning Code already on the books. Therefore they should not be considered, and they should not be granted.

And just a note about the utilities, the sewer, and the street, and the water, those of us who have
lived in this area for 35 or 40 years know that the condition is not great. And they may not have had anything recently, a problem with anything recently there, but there is an issue with what's going on in the street. My father-in-law worked for the Village in the Sewer Department 40 years ago, retired 35 years ago and has since passed on, but even in that time nothing substantial has been done to any of the infrastructure in the streets as far as water and sewer.

They were not good then, they're not good now. We know that. So just wanted to make a note of that. It wasn't necessarily ready for another housing project. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak?

MR. WEISKOTT: Jack Weiskott, 229
Fifth Avenue.
I just had a couple of things to address. You guys heard pretty much
everything that we've had to say about this. I would just like to say that there are nonconforming houses on Fifth Avenue as there are in the entire Village of Greenport because they were all built before there were any Zoning Codes, some as far as back as the early 1800's. Our house is a late 1800's house, there were no Zoning Codes then.

That's why --
MS. GORDON: Can you speak a
little louder so that the people in the back can hear you?

MR. WEISKOTT: I'd like to say
that the result or the cause of so many houses that exist already in the

Village and on Fifth Avenue to be
nonconforming to the code is because they were built before there was any code. The code was created in order to prevent the overcrowding of our
Village. And that's I think a very
strong reason that we're trying to save
our street. To say that any
subdivisions on our street wouldn't
have an adverse effect is strictly an opinion. In the opinion of the people that live on the street, it would have a very adverse effect. It would be deleterious. We're already overcrowded on our street. We already can hardly drive down the street without having to pull off to the side to let someone pass us, which happens all the time. As far as sewage and drainage goes, if we get more than an inch of rain there's a lake across the street until it drains. So that's not perfect either. And furthermore, the granting of substantial variances such as this would create a precedent to lead to more projects with substantial
variances. The minimum lot width variance on this is $17 \%$, a reduction in 17\%. The combined side yard setback is a reduction of $25 \%$ of the requirement. The front yard setback is $45 \%$ more than the variance allows. And the square footage that is required in the code, the square footage that's being
requested is $25 \%$ of what's allowed in the code, which to me those are big numbers. I feel like the Zoning Board is created to help bridge some of the gaps and some of the small intricacies that can happen when someone is trying to do a project and maybe they need a foot here or a foot there, or a couple of square feet or a hundred square feet, but not two thousand square feet. I feel it's excessive, and that's our street's opinion. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Third street.

It's been spoken about tonight about how Fifth Avenue and the Village, like he just said, have nonconforming
lots, and the code was changed, and I've been at many a hearing where it's been brought up that the Village is
trying to avoid more nonconforming
lots. I'm not against people putting in more housing, but you're going to
take a property which has a dwelling on it, which is conforming, you're going to break it and you're now going to have not one but two nonconforming lots. The Village has been trying to get away from doing that. As far as parking, as someone who has lived in a place where there's more than one family living there, I'm like actually laughing going okay, you're going to have two two family homes, this one works night shift, this one works mornings, who parks where, and then who has to get up out of their sleep to move their car to let somebody else back out? I think that's the reasoning behind not using a single driveway unless there were allotted slots, unless you're pulling in and there's actual slots for each car to be able to get in and out of. To me, that's a huge hazard. If there's children that are on the property as well you have multiple cars between two homes, this has been brought up on other places
where they've tried to do this, that's another safety issue. We went through -- Joanne gave the dates of when we had these other hearings. There were two separate properties on Fifth Avenue, all of this has been batted around, my major one is the fire safety. Every time I come into this building and I look, I remember that night. I remember it like it was yesterday, and it was 1977. But to me, that was like yesterday. And I don't ever want to see something put up that puts any of our men and women in harm's way. That road is -- I'm a school bus driver. If I have to go Fifth Avenue I'm like oh, my God, no, please, and my bus isn't as big as the truck. So you have to think of safety, not just a conforming lot or a nonconforming lot. There are major issues on that road to begin with. It's all been rehashed, you can go back through all the other public hearings, and I wish something different could be done, but to me I don't feel this
should be passed. Like I said, don't go from a conforming building to two nonconforming buildings. Two other ones on Fifth Avenue have been denied for this. I don't want to see what's going to happen if this gets approved and that one doesn't. It's a major safety issue especially in that section of Fifth Avenue. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak?

MS. KEHL: Joanne Kehl, 242 Fifth Avenue. My apologies for not mentioning before, the sewer repair there have been several repairs on Fifth Avenue. If you drive down Fifth Avenue you'll see the cuts down there. I have been here $I$ think about 11 years on Fifth Avenue, so $I$ know of at least five repairs that had been done. The
homeowner had taken on the
responsibility, it was up to Mr. Skrezick (phonetic) to notify the

Village, and why it was said tonight there are no records of it, that has to be semi or all untrue because in order for you to cut through, the Village has to be aware of it, so I'm not sure where the data came from. And then -but also when Mr. Skrezick (phonetic) also went to these properties to repair them and he's also mentioned that there had been several issues, the sewer lines are damaged and are very, very bad. We actually have people here tonight that have sewer issues. So I know that there is -- there are issues with the sewer. Thank you. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. MS. HOEG: I just wanted to make a point -- hi, Karen Hoeg again. I hear what the neighbors are saying. They're concerned about the sewer and the utilities. I mean, these are areas where the Planning Board, should the Board vote to approve these variances, that the Planning Board will get into, the Engineering Department will get

| 1 | referrals as part of the subdivision |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | process. I think it's a little unfair |
| 3 | of people to talk about, you know, |
| 4 | issues with sewers needing repairs, who |
| 5 | needed what done without the |
| 6 | information or any kind of factual |
| 7 | documents to support that. I just |
| 8 | wanted to bring that to the Board's |
| 9 | attention. I hope they keep that in |
| 10 | mind as part of the presentation. |
| 11 | CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I would ask |
| 12 | you, you keep alluding to that process |
| 13 | should be in front of the Planning |
| 14 | Board. You don't think the Zoning |
| 15 | Board should consider increased |
| 16 | density? |
| 17 | MS. HOEG: No, I think it's part |
| 18 | and parcel. I think the Zoning Board |
| 19 | has certain jurisdiction within the |
| 20 | Village Code as well as the Planning |
| 21 | Board. I do also think the Planning |
| 22 | Board will also have another public |
| 23 | hearing and they have a review process |
| 24 | as well, internal referrals to fire |
| 25 | safety, as well as the Engineering |

Department where some of these issues would come out as well. My point was just that, you know, we're talking about we FOILed the information regarding the sewers needing repairs, none were done in a certain period of time. If there were complaints, we couldn't find anything. So when people talk about that there were repairs and, you know, their neighbor had to have this done or that done, I think that there needs to be some type of factual documentation to support that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we have unsolicited testimony from the neighbors.

MS. HOEG: Understood. Just wanted to make a point of it. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone else from the neighborhood that would like to speak?

MR. STEPNOSKI: Angelo Stepnoski,
I'm one of the applicants.
I just want to point out a couple of things. We are going to do
something, absolutely. If we can't get a small single family house, we will apply for what we're allowed to do, maybe take the two family house and expand it, and it's going to create the same absolute thing we have or even intensity it.

The other thing I wanted to bring up is this whole thing is all not us, we don't want Jimmy Olinkiewicz to have it. I'll say the name, the elephant in the room is Jimmy Olinkiewicz. Everybody -- they don't want us to get it because they're afraid he'll get it. I just wanted to put that on the public record and also say that we will do something. We'll make a giant storage building, but that piece of property is going to be available.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sure if you square it away with the Building Department, whatever your intentions are, it doesn't have to be tonight -MR. STEPNOSKI: I just want to let all the people here know that something
is going to happen. They may deny the single family dwelling, which is going to be least obtrusive thing we could possibly do.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, then if you decide to do that $I$ guess you'll see them all at the Planning Board meeting for that public hearing.

MR. STEPNOSKI: Just letting you know. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? I'm going to make a motion we close this public hearing. So moved.

MR. REARDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MR. KAUFMAN: Aye.
MS. GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote
Aye.
As is our custom, what I think
we'll do, if it's okay with the
members, we'll go through the five questions, the balancing test before we
vote on them. We'll discuss each question and that could be our narrative for anyone that wants to -perhaps for someone that wants to -ATTORNEY CONNELLY: I think you might want to ask the applicant if they want you to vote on it since there's only four members here. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I understand that, but without getting into the other member's business, the other member had health issues in California, and it was explained to me secondhand by a neighbor who was contacted by Connie that she definitely wouldn't be here tonight and perhaps not next month or the month after. So if we're looking for a five member vote, the applicant should understand that it might not be for a while. We're going to give the applicant the courtesy of making that decision. MS. HOEG: We would like the opportunity to have a five member board.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I'll ask the attorney --

ATTORNEY CONNELLY: That's their right. If it's a two-two vote, that's a denial. So they have the right to have a five member vote.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So let me ask you this, do we keep the public hearing open or do we close the public hearing and with permission --

MS. GORDON: We already voted.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We could
reopen it. We could vote to reopen the public hearing and keep it open, or we can allow it to remain closed and with permission -- which you would be foolish not to give it to us, to extend the time limit past the 62 days, if needed.

MS. HOEG: I think that would be fine. If the Board needs an additional 62 days from the date of tonight's closed hearing, I think the applicant would be willing to grant that to have the five member board.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What are we thinking here?

MR. REARDON: I think we go with what the applicant is looking for. I would reopen the meeting and table the issue until we have five members.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We have 62 days. We don't even have to do that. We have 62 days to make a decision. We can put this decision off for at least 62 days, for at least two meetings, and then if we need more time, the applicant can request it, and I don't see any reason why not to give it to them until the member is here, until Connie shows up again. Am I getting that right?

ATTORNEY CONNELLY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth, what are we doing?

MR. KAUFMAN: I think that's what we need to do.

MS. GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have another question for the attorney. Does --
since the public hearing is closed and we agreed that we wouldn't vote until the fifth member is present; is that what we agreed to?

MR. REARDON: Yes.
AUDIENCE MEMBER: We agreed to 62
days unless we ask for more.
MS. HOEG: They have 62 days to --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: 62 days is --
AUDIENCE MEMBER: 62 unless we ask for more?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It would be crazy not to ask for more.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Right. Just to be clear.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, what $I$ was going to ask -- thanks for reminding me, but what $I$ was going to ask is since we did close the public hearing and we agreed not to vote until the fifth member was present, does that preclude -- does that stop us from having any discussion on the application?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So having said all that, Item Number 6 is moot. Discussion and possible motion on the area variances.

Item Number 7 on our agenda is any Other Zoning Board of Appeals business that might properly come before this Board. Here is your chance, folks. Any questions? No. Mr. Kehl has a question.

MR. KEHL: Bob Kehl, 242 Fifth Avenue.

How is the public going to know when you're going to have the vote? If she shows up next one, we'll have to come to the next meeting just to see if you're going to vote or if it's going to be 62 days.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the public has no say so in the vote.

MR. KEHL: No, but we might like to be here for the vote.

ATTORNEY CONNELLY: It will be on the agenda.
the agenda. Why? Do you think you're going to be, like, angry with us and throw stuff in the audience if you don't like the way we vote? MR. KEHL: No, I'd just like to be here.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It will be on the agenda and at least 10 days or so before the meeting we should know one way or the other at least 10 days before whatever meeting. And again, just to remind the public, it's the Board's time for discussion, so we like it that you come, we like it that you're interested.

But having said that, Item Number
8 is a motion to adjourn. So moved. MR. KAUFMAN: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.
MR. REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye. Roll the credits.
(Whereupon the meeting was
adjourned at 7:02 p.m.)
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| 37:14 welcome 24:19 | zero 33:15 | 21 2:7 3:3 28:13,13 | $752: 5$ |
| welcome 24:19 welfare $21: 6$ | zero 33:15 zone 20:11 | 21.5 20:20 | 7:02 53:25 |
| welfare 21:6 wells 7:25 8:2,3,12 | zoning 1:4,21 2:4,8 | 21st 9:5,15 10:7 | 8 |
| went $4: 17,25$ 18:2 | 2:18 3:2 7:3,8 | $220 \text { 11:17,22 26:1 }$ | 853:17 |
| 41:2 43:8 | 18:13 32:1,13 | 27:2 31:20 34:17 |  |
| western 15:12 | 34:24 35:19 37:6 | 34:20 |  |
| WHEREOF 54:14 | 37:9 39:3 44:14 | 22128:10 |  |
| wide 14:15 15:17 | 44:18 52:6 | $22834: 13$ |  |
| width 17:16 23:1 | 0 | $22936: 22$ |  |
| 38:18 |  | $23828: 11$ |  |
| widths 21:15 | 1 | 242 24:23 42:13 |  |
| wife 4:12 | 12:6 | 52:11 |  |
| Wile 31:16 34:5 | 10 14:15 15:17 53:8 | 25\% 38:21 39:1 |  |
| willing 49:24 | 53:10 | 26.2 20:18 |  |
| winds 30:1 | 10,700 21:12 | $3$ |  |
| Wingate 12:11,11 | $10023: 15$ | 3 |  |
| 14:8,12,19,25 | 100\% 21:22 | $33: 1$ |  |
| 15:21 16:7,13,19 | 1001-4-8-7 12:3 | 3,200 22:7,12 |  |
| 16:24 19:19 22:14 | 1001-5-2-15 4:4 | $3017: 12$ 29:25 |  |
| wish 24:8 41:24 | 11 17:17 42:20 | 30\% 20:23 |  |
| WITNESS 54:14 | 11/16/21 34:5 | 34 21:20 |  |
| women 41:14 | 11944 1:9 3:6,23 | 35 36:1,9 |  |
| work 13:20 29:24 | $11: 24$ | 3rd 1:8 |  |
| 33:18,20 | $148 \text { 3:17,22 4:11 }$ |  |  |
| worked 36:7 | $\mathbf{1 5 0 - 2 1} 25: 3 \text { 27:12 }$ | 4 |  |
| works 40:12,12 |  | $43: 17$ 22:25 |  |
| worse 30:15 | $\begin{aligned} & 150.13(\mathbf{d})(\mathbf{3}) \\ & 150.2620: 9 \end{aligned}$ | 4:00 9:25 10:6 |  |
| worth 34:1 | $16 \text { 1:11 54:8 }$ | 4:30 9:23 |  |
| wouldn't 7:9 10:19 | 16.4 20:15 | 40 36:1,8 |  |
| 16:3 31:9 37:25 | $17 \text { 2:17 27:1 }$ | 45\% 38:22 |  |
| 48:15 51:2 | 17\% 38:19,20 | 5 |  |
| wrong 25:24 | 17th 17:20 24:16 | 5 11:16 34:17 |  |
| X | 54:15 | 5:00 9:8 |  |
| x 1:3,6 | $1828: 12$ 1800's 37:8,8 | 5:30 9:6 |  |
| Y | 1977 41:11 | 58\% 21:20 |  |
| yard 15:9 21:24 |  |  |  |

