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1 (*The meeting was called to order at 6:05 p.m.*)

Item No. 1 is -- and also, just to explain to the public. Under normal circumstances there's five members to the Zoning Board. Since one of the members has passed, the Mayor is considering a replacement. And one of our members mentioned last month that he had some personal stuff to do this evening. Since the meeting was changed from tomorrow to today, he wasn't able to -- because of the Village election tomorrow, he wasn't able to do it then.

So we have a quorum, we're going to push forward. So, Item --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chair, if I may just add one -- one other comment that would go to anyone that's on the Go-To-Meeting. Any comments on any particular application should be sent in via the chat function; at the appropriate point $I$ will read off those comments.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, for those that the camera wasn't on the Village Administrator. Anybody that's listening at home that's participating via Go-To-Meeting, any comments, you'll submit them via the chat function and at the appropriate time he'll relay them to us for consideration. All right?

Anything else? Okay. Anything else?
MEMBER CORWIN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Item No. 1 is
motion to accept the minutes of the February 16th, 2021 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MEMBER CORWIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Item No. 2 is a motion to approve the minutes of the January 19th, 2021 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MEMBER CORWIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Item No. 3 is a motion to schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for April 20th, 2021, at 6 PM at Station One Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York, 11944. So moved.

MEMBER CORWIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Item No. 4 and Item No. 5 we're going to put a pin in for this month because -- because of some administrative stuff, the paperwork just didn't get out in time. So Item No. 4 and Item No. 5 for findings and determinations on Washington Avenue and Sixth Street, we're going to take up next month.

Item No. 6 is 511 Carpenter Street. It's a public hearing regarding the area variances applied for by Jenna and Donald Williams, represented by Isaac Rae Studios. This property is located in the $R-2$ (One and Two-Family) District and is located in the Historic District.
(1) The plan shows a proposed third floor

1 addition. This would require an area variance 2 for a third story.
(2) The plans shows the lot coverage is 2,505 square feet, or $52 \%$. This would require an area variance for a $22 \%$ lot coverage increase.
(3) The plan shows the front yard of the two-story building setback to be 1-foot, 6-inches; this would require a front yard setback area variance of 28 -feet, 6-inches.
(4) The plan shows the front yard setback of -- The plans show the front yard setback of 1-foot, 6-inches, this would require a side yard -- that's not right, but I'm going to read it anyway. The plan shows a front yard setback of 1-foot, 6-inches, this would require a side yard setback area variance of 8 -feet, 6-inches.
(5) The plan shows the front yard setback of 1 -foot, 6 -inches on the north side and 3 -feet, 4 -inches on the south side. This would require a combined side yard setback area variance of 20-feet, 4-inches.
(6) The plan shows the front yard setback of 5-feet, 6-inches. This would require a rear yard setback area variance of 24-feet, 6-inches. The Suffolk County Tax Map No. Is

1 1001-4-3-32.
I'm going to ask the Clerk; this was
noticed?
MS. AURICHIO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And we have the
mailings?
MS. AURICHIO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We didn't ask for
expanded mailings with this or anything, right?
MS. AURICHIO: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. We have a
mailing to 510 Carpenter LLC, Post Office Box 389, Cutchogue, NY, 11935. We have John Woodhouse, Post Office Box 360, Orient, NY, 11957; John Kerbs, 510 Main Street, Greenport, NY, 11944; Breakwater Properties, 4317 Bergen Avenue, Mattituck, NY, 11952; Rebecca Miller, 512 Carpenter Street, Greenport, NY, 11944; Waloski \& Weinman, Carol Waleski Weiman, 516 Main Street, Greenport, NY, 11944; Jeffrey Rosa, 297 Burkran Road, Locust Valley, NY, 11560; Kevin Heaney, 790 Riverside Drive, New York, NY, 10032; Jenna Williams, 13 Hansen Place, Sea Cliff, NY; Deborah Siegel, 151 West Neck Road, Southampton, NY, 11968; Maria Cardalena, 8402 4th

1 Avenue, Brooklyn, NY, 11209; 502 Carpenter LLC, 2 Post Office Box 389, Cutchogue, NY; J. Mac Cato, 3500 Main Street, Greenport, New York, 11944.

MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Name and address for the stenographer.

MS. WILLIAMS: Hi. I'm Jenna Williams.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: Hello. Isaac Clay Coffey from Isaac Rae Studio, the architect.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anything you want to tell us?

MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: Sure. So we'd just like to go through and kind of address the disapproval notice.

So, for the first plans in the Building Highway Department for the area variance, the max height of the building, there's never been a change to the max height of the building. So the addition to the third floor is interior to the space. So the envelope, kind of vertical envelope of the building is going to remain the same. There's no dormer proposed, there's no

1 addition of the ceiling, it's just an interior 2 renovation to make a habitable third floor.

That habitable third floor will have a new stair, it will have -- that is kind of an egress code required stair, plus it will also have a full sprinkler system to make that third floor habitable. And the building plan is being put into habitable square footage calculations as the ceiling height that's in there and we have over $50 \%$ of the square footage of the habitable room that is about seven feet or taller.

For point two, the lot coverage requirements, the max lot coverage. So we are proposing an addition of 20 square feet in lot coverage, so it would take it from 2005.05 to 2005.25. The percentage of coverage would stay the same because of the -- it's such a minor addition. That 20 -square foot addition would connect it to structures on site. The reason we're proposing to do that is to try and legalize the ground floor as a habitable space. The current ground floor is not habitable.

So by creating this internal space and applying for the variance, we're hoping to combine the two spaces through this vertical

1 envelope and kind of create a contiguous space there.

The front yard setback. Again, we're not proposing becoming more non-compliant, so we're not proposing to build out the front yard in any way that was not wanted. The connector setback is 26 feet from the street. Also it doesn't need a front yard setback, but it's less than what's currently there.

The side yard setback. So the side yard setback, again, this is a very similar thing. We're not proposing adding to the side of the building, it's just about trying to make the existing building envelope and wide.

The combined side yard setback -- again, we're not proposing changing the footprint, we're just, you know -- proposing changing the footprint to the interior of the building between the two buildings.

For the rear yard setback, that setback currently -- there's a deck in that setback that's non-compliant, existing, preexisting non-compliant. The goal is to reduce the size of that deck. The deck is an 8-foot 6 deep and would be, you know, I think like seven feet less

1 than what's currently there. So the goal is to basically re-add that deck in a more compliant way so that that rear yard setback would basically be -- at least on the deck would be greater than what it currently is.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is -- while you're here, my question for the Building Department would be is the non-compliant deck, the deck that was built without permit, is that computed -- was that computed into the square footage of lot coverage?

MR. CLAY COFFEY: It's not a roof structure, so it's not part of the contiguous roof structure. So it's not -- it's not in our lot coverage calculation currently.

The lot coverage calculation is for the roof structure property.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So the answer's no.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: Our lot coverage calculations for the roof structure is the structures that are contiguous inside of the roof. The deck was not part of that calculation.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is the new deck?
MR. CLAY COFFEY: The new deck is not part of that calculation.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do we have the square foot for the new deck?

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Yes. It is 20-foot-6 by 8-foot-6, including a new stair that goes down.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You must have a high opinion of my ability to do that math in my mind.
(*Laughter*)
MR. CLAY COFFEY: I don't have it off the top of my head either.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So $8 \mathrm{x} 20 ?$
MR. CLAY COFFEY: Yeah, it's roughly --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: 160,170 square feet?
MR. CLAY COFFEY: Something like that, yeah, 240.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
And the other thing I would ask is -- at the site inspection we kind of touched base on this about do we have any idea why that ground floor -- and I believe we asked the Building Department. If we have any idea why that ground floor was desig -- we understand the accessory building, why that was considered non-habitable space. I mean, that was mentioned in the -- on the CO, that it would be non-habitable studio space. But the ground floor of the building is

1 designated non-habitable space. Do we have any 2 idea why?

The concern -- my concern, I can't speak for my colleagues. My concern would be did the Zoning Board, back in the day, see something, whether it be great on gas or toxic chemicals, or it used to be a laundry mat or something that would designate that spot non-habitable. Do you have any information for us?

MS. WILLIAMS: We do not. We FOIL requested through the Building Department and the minutes were not located. So, we also are interested into figuring out the rationale, but it seemed like it's a bit of a mystery.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the Building Department?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I thought we were able to find the minutes; I apologize, we didn't get them to your attention. I had a conversation with the code enforcement officer who reviewed them and it indicated that it was -- there was no specific reason other than the space was going to be used for studio, I believe. But it was at the request of the applicant as opposed to some condition that was set as part of the approval,
as I understand it.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. And -- okay, thank you.

MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there any member from the public that would like to speak to this application? Name and address for the stenographer.

MS. MILLER: Hi, guys. Rebecca Miller. 512 Carpenter street, Greenport, New York. Hi.

I just wanted to express my support for this application. It's great to see investment and the love for this property that has stood largely empty on our street for a long time, so I hope that you'll let it move ahead.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MR. HEANEY: Yeah, my name is Kevin Heaney, 506 Carpenter Street. I'm very happy to hear that the third floor expansion is not going outside of the envelope. But I do want to bring to the attention that there is no parking for this facility at this point in time.

This will be moving into being a two-family house, 502 Carpenter Street, which is now two-family with seven cars parked in his

1 backyard, one parked on -- in front of the house
2 itself. The other --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Excuse me. I'm sorry. You said this property would be going to two-family?

MS. WILLIAMS: No
MR. HEANEY: There's a second access being built in to the third floor.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: No.
MR. HEANEY: -- egress staircase. When it turns over, we are faced with the likelihood of it becoming a two-family house.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry if I misunderstood.

MR. HEANEY: Okay. I'm concerned that
there is no arrangement made for what is already a bloated street. That's it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: Can I quickly add something else --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Of course.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: -- just to clarify?
So the proposal is for a single-family
residence. It's not proposed to be a two-family residence. The egress stair is interior to the
space, so there's no exterior stair that would go to the third floor. It's purely an interior egress stair that legalizes what's already there. There's an existing shift ladder that doesn't work, so that's where the egress stair is. But the space is contiguous, there's no provision to create a separate exterior entry.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I just think the concern was that if and when it ever -- we know you guys are going to stay in Greenport forever.

MS. WILLIAMS: Yep.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But if and when it ever turns over, you know, the outside staircase to the deck with access to the second floor would also give them access to the loft area.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: We'd also be also required then to come back to the Zoning Board to be turned into a two-family --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We certainly understand that, but we understand the concern of the neighbors also.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: I understand.
MEMBER CORWIN: Just to follow up on that. I don't --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Mr. Coffey? I'm sorry.

MEMBER CORWIN: I don't believe it would require going back to the Building Department to call it a two-family and somehow fit two families in there. So that brings up the question would the owner be willing to have a covenant that says it's just a one-family house. Because the zoning allows two families.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Understood. I just -- I wonder because of the point that you brought up that it doesn't have current on-street parking, if by then making it a two-family dwelling would also trigger a use variance --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It wouldn't be a use variance, but it would --

MR. CLAY COFFEY: An area variance.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It would be -- it would be required that the -- to go to a two-family, you would have to comply with both parking.

And while you're here, my question to you was that -- I think everyone's willing to admit that this is a preexisting, non-conforming building; correct? Dinny?

MEMBER GORDON: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And it has a conforming use. But the Village puts a dollar figure on

1 repair or on damaged or fire damaged on these buildings, and if the dollar figure is at $50 \%$ or more than $50 \%$ of the cost of the renovation, you would have to comply with bulk and parking.

So the concern -- we're all familiar with Carpenter Street. The concern on Carpenter Street is -- could be for the neighbors parking. You know, so that's something that we want to be up front with you about that we're going to have to consider, you know.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: And, I mean, I think we understand that. I think what we're just -- what we're proposing is a single-family use.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: We're not proposing a two-family use.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MEMBER CORWIN: But you're not interested in the covenant.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Well, I would have to confer with the homeowner.

MS. WILLIAMS: We would be willing to discuss it. It's not ideal to add a covenant onto the property, but we have no intention of selling. We moved our family up here to raise

1 them, so we will be using --

MR. CLAY COFFEY: I think she said she would have to -- her husband is not here today, so I think she would have to --

MS. WILLIAMS: We'd have to discuss that.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Understandably; that's certainly understandable. Okay, thanks.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chairman? If I may. This is unrelated to the application. I've been requested by the folks that are on the Go-to-Meeting platform for the Board Members to speak a little closer to the microphone, please.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sorry. Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak to this application? (Brief Pause). Anyone at home?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I have no comments from the public on this application, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What's the pleasure of the Board? Do we want to -- do we want to close this? The options are we can close this public hearing, we can keep the public hearing open for

110 days and accept written comments, or we can 2 keep the public hearing open and wait for an 3 answer for the applicant to discuss between the 4 -- the two applicants to discuss whether they're

MEMBER GORDON: Well, I'm struck that we don't have any letters that object, we don't have any serious overall opposition to the project. Perhaps we should close the hearing. Unless -actually, I'd like to know if David feels strongly enough about the covenant requirement, that's a reason to keep it open.

MEMBER CORWIN: I don't see any reason to keep the public hearing open.

MEMBER GORDON: Then I make a motion to close the public hearing.

MEMBER CORWIN: I will second it.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Item No. 7 is 511 Carpenter Street.
Discussion and possible motion on the area

1 variances applied for by Jenna and Donald
2 Williams to the property located at 511 Carpenter
3 Street, Greenport, NY, 11944. The Suffolk County
4 Tax Map No stays the same at 1001-4-3-32.
5 Comments?

MEMBER GORDON: My only question really is -- perhaps I should have asked --

MS. MAHONEY: Can you speak into the microphone, please?

MEMBER GORDON: Sorry. I should have asked this when the architect was there, and maybe he'll come back. I'm unclear about the use of the accessory building, and I guess I would like to know a little more about that to have a sense of the whole.

Some of these variances are for modifications of that little building and, you know, all we saw was a very small space with dogs barking.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Right. So that space is -- they have five kids and that space is really considered as an extra bedroom for the kids and also a kind of playroom for the kids as well.

So, the reality of that structure, it's to

1 make it a bedroom and kind of playroom for the 2 kids

MS. WILLIAMS: Sorry, just to clarify.
It's just a spare bedroom so that if our parents came to visit they could stay there. It would not be an every day bedroom, but mostly a playroom, den, you know, living space for children.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Which kind of makes sense, but on the plans that's not how it's reflected. On the plans I see a third living room. I'm -- there's some big houses in Greenport and not many people have three living rooms. Could you kind of explain that for us?

MS. WILLIAMS: Well, we have a living room in the main part of the house, I don't know the third one that you're referencing. But this area, maybe it would be more accurately described as a den or a playroom.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the only --
MS. WILLIAMS: A living room for kids.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The only reason I bring it up is because it's on your plan.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Sure, and I think that it's -- I mean, maybe it's a naming thing that we

1 can relabel for you. I mean, essentially what
2 their desire is is that it's a flex space for
3 their kids and a playroom for their kids.

MS. WILLIAMS: I see, yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That it might become, you know, a short-term rental or --

MS. WILLIAMS: I understand. That's not our intention. And also, to alleviate that concern, hopefully, the space connecting the two house would make it -- you know, so it's all one space. It would be -- it's not like an easily apportioned area, and there's no kitchen in there, you know.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, there is a kitchen now, isn't there?

MS. WILLIAMS: There's a sink but there's no kitchen.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: There's no proposed kitchen or a kitchenette in that space at all. So the plans show just a bathroom, a bedroom and another flex-room that we can relabel as kids playroom.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, you just have to understand from this --

MS. WILLIAMS: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We kind of see this a lot. And from this Board's point of view, the fact that you have a small connecting space, everybody's been in hotel rooms that have adjoining rooms, the door looks and what happens in the other room doesn't happen in the next-door room. So the fact that there's a small connecting space doesn't -- doesn't --

MS. WILLIAMS: It doesn't erase that, sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, it doesn't ease our fears also.

MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So that's something we'll -- I think maybe we should talk about. Anyone else have anything? David? Dinny?

MEMBER CORWIN: Well, the one thing would be good if you could address is the question of
parking.
MS. WILLIAMS: So, there's no actual place to create parking on the lot, the building takes up basically the entire lot. We did add that little parking slip, sort of cutout in front of the house to try to alleviate that concern, but we don't have a lot of other options open to us.

MEMBER CORWIN: What about turning the building to the south into a garage; is that something you'd consider?

MS. WILLIAMS: We had not considered that. We do, again, have five kids, and so space is a premium to us and we would like to keep it as the kids' play space.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, it -- I'm sorry, David.

MEMBER CORWIN: It's all right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: In all fairness to the Village, it is a 5,000 square foot house. And you do live on a small block with -- in a village that parking is a premium, especially during adverse conditions and stuff.

I don't want you to think that we're opposed to this project. You know, if I'm giving you an opinion, please. But we do have to look

1 out for the -- there are some questions that
2 we're obligated to answer. There's a five-question test that we're obligated to answer and this is going to come up in that. So, you know, better to ask it now and square it away now and see what you're willing to compromise with than to do it later.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: As a single-family house with preexisting, nonconforming parking, there -it's -- I mean, I guess that's how we would like for it to be used, right, it's a single-family house with preexisting non-conforming parking. I'm not sure that the square footage of the house increases the parking load.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, if we're going to go by that portion of the Code, we weren't going to -- we weren't going to technically enforce that portion of the Code, 150-20. If -- you know, it is a pre-existing, non-conforming house with a conforming use. The Code says you're not allowed to enlarge it. So by adding that studio space, by adding that walkway, you're actually enlarging the property which technically would be in violation of the Code.

So for us to ask you what are you going to
-- not that we're going to opine about it one way or the other, we're going to give our opinion about it one way or the other, but I think it's reasonable for us to ask you that. And if you want to bring that up, then we'll talk about that.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: I think our only point is that it said single-family; it's a single-family house. There's no proposed -- they're not proposing to rent it, they're not proposing to do it as a two-family.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, we understand that and we believe you. We believe you with that. But also, we're also -- everyone in this room is intimately familiar with that part of Greenport, that street to have -- we have to assume you own a car?

MS. WILLIAMS: We do.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Most people own a car.
MEMBER GORDON: Only one?
MS. WILLIAMS: No, we own two cars.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So now you have to have two cars -- you've got to get two cars off the street on a street that has no parking on one side of it. Actually, your side, I don't believe

1 there's parking on that side of the street.

MS. WILLIAMS: You're correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, it is a concern for us. I think it's something we might have to think about a minute or two.

MEMBER GORDON: I think it's a wonderful project with lots of possibilities and a few little problems. But I do think the parking is a little problem and that we should -- we should regard this with some balance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Restrict them to one car?
(*Laughter*)
MEMBER GORDON: (Laughter) No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David?
MEMBER CORWIN: I don't know at this point in time. I need more input.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the public hearing is closed.

MEMBER CORWIN: I don't think it was going to come from the public hearing. We're just going back and forth with the owner now.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, let me ask you, is there a compromise that can think that would -- is there something -- is it -- I don't want to

1 sound flippant about it; is it like your way or 2 the highway?

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Well, I think -- so I think there's a couple of things that are at play. That side building is not designed as a garage currently.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we don't know what it was designed for when it was built.

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Well, it doesn't have garage doors on it. It's not like we're -- it's not an easy conversion necessarily for the homeowner. Some of the things that we've been trying to do is be very budget minded on how we're approaching renovation, right. So, part of that is by not increasing certain aspects of it, right, $I$ think if you look at the floor plans, they're pretty smart in terms of what we're actually proposing.

The -- if we were to convert that into a garage, then we're basically tearing off the entire front of that building and reconstructing it so that it can accept a garage door which it doesn't currently have.

So I think he -- I mean, there is some -I mean, there is some financial impact that would

1 be -- you know, they would have to consider.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is the construction continuing at the house now? Is the reno -- is there any renovation going on at the house now? We saw some --

MR. CLAY COFFEY: They've repaired heating and are working on heating because the existing system is failed, but there's no current construction happening in the house. They've painted, they've, you know, decorated, but there's no -- you know, the downstairs build-out was what it was when they bought it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I thought I read in your narrative that you inherited it.

MS. WILLIAMS: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No?
MR. CLAY COFFEY: They inherited a non-conforming space.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
(*Laughter*)
MR. CLAY COFFEY: It's not -- they didn't inherit the property.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, I -- for some reason it was conveyed to me that you inherited the property.

Well, let me ask you this. And -- would it be an undue hardship for you, for you to wait -we have 62 days to make this decision.

MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We never do, we never take it. We never take 62 days to make a decision, but we do have it. Would it be an undue hardship for you for this Board to take an additional 30 days to let the fourth member come, add his input and -- would that be something you would be open to?

We're -- I'm asking you because we would like to be fair.

MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You know, and right now there seems to be some question about how this variance -- these variances would go. Perhaps with 30 days more time for this Board to consider it with the input from the fourth member, we can come to a --

MEMBER GORDON: Would we have another site visit, or a site visit for the fourth member?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't think -- I don't think we would be -- we would need it. Jack, I'm sure if we got in touch with the

```
applicant --
```

MS. WILLIAMS: Yeah, of course.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It wouldn't be unreasonable for them if he requires it, if he needs it. So, before we -- these three members decide anything, would that be something for you to --

MS. WILLIAMS: Yeah. I mean yes, we would be open to that. We are eager to, of course, move the project along. But if we think that the fourth member is going to help the process, then I think --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Only because it would give -- only because it would give us -- you know, as simple as this application might seem, there are some things that we have to consider that -- and we do have to consider the neighbors.

MS. WILLIAMS: Yep.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We do have to consider the Village. The five questions that we're going to -- that we're required to ask, the five-question test, parking is going to be part of it and the size of the property is going to be part of that, how it fits into the neighborhood is part of that.

If it's not an undo hardship, I would -- I would like to perhaps, you know, give you our decision next month. Were you going to say something?

MEMBER CORWIN: (Shook head no.)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And we would have the input of the fourth member, or we could vote tonight; I'm not sure -- I'm not sure how that would work for you guys.

MS. WILLIAMS: Yeah, we can make it 30 days, just to get everyone comfortable.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry, the public hearing is closed.

MS. MILLER: (Inaudible)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But we're -- we're not that strict here, if you have something you would like to say.

MS. MILLER: Oh, I just was wondering if you extended it if you were going to reopen the public hearing.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. No, we're just asking the applicant if it would be an undue hardship for her to wait 30 days --

MS. MILLER: Yes, I understood that.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- for our decision;

1 even though by law we're allowed 62 days to make 2 the decision.

MS. MILLER: I understand.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: Would we be allowed to supply additional information in that period of time?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Absolutely.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: All right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Absolutely, as the applicant

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The public hearing is closed, so the public don't get a shot at it anymore.

MS. WILLIAMS: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But as the applicant, any information that you --

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Okay, great.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're willing to give us to help us make the right decision --

MR. CLAY COFFEY: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- would be appreciated, so.

MS. WILLIAMS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Thank you.

MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: Great. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is that okay?
MEMBER CORWIN: I'm okay with that, yes.
MEMBER GORDON: Yeah.
MR. CLAY COFFEY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MEMBER CORWIN: Good night.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I had an agenda.
Since everyone is still here, I'm guessing this is what we're waiting for.

Item No. 8 is 123 Sterling Avenue. A
public hearing regarding the area and use variances applied for by 123 Sterling Avenue Corp., represented by Paul Pawlowski. The property is located in the Waterfront Commercial District and is located in the Historic District. This property requires an area and use variance.
(1) The uses that are proposed require the modification of the Stipulation of Settlement, and the approval of the Board of Trustees, Planning Board, Zoning Board of Appeals, and the Sterling Neighborhood Association must approve the changes in the uses on the premises that are not conforming with the Stipulation of

1 Settlement.

2
(2) The plans show proposed conversion of stipulated approved garage space on the first floor being converted into an outdoor parking -an indoor parking garage. Indoor parking garages are not a permitted use, conditional use or a special use in the (W-C) Waterfront Commercial District. This would require a use variance.
(3) The plan shows a proposed conversion of stipulated approved open space on the second floor being converted into storage space. I believe --

MEMBER GORDON: Excuse me. Can I interrupt?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Of course.
MEMBER GORDON: This is not -- this number three item is no longer relevant, as we have been asked to change that so that -- because the plans to keep the storage -- what would have been the storage space on the second floor open, which improves circulation and eliminates one of the variances and is generally, I think, what we all support.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I was going to say --
MEMBER GORDON: I'm sorry

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: (Laughter) I was going to say that the applicant withdrew the one use variance for the conversion of that open space on the second floor to storage space.
(4) And the fourth thing is the reduction of Waterfront Commercial use is an expansion of the variance relief that was granted by the Zoning Board of Appeals in conjunction with the Stipulation of Settlement and requires an area variance.

The Suffolk County Tax Map No is 1001-3-5-16.4 and 16.5. I'm going to ask the Clerk, this was properly noticed?

MS. AURICHIO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And we have the mailings?
(Brief Pause)
Don't you have these on a sheet of paper? I'll just read these. Joseph Flotteron; am I getting that right?

MS. ALLEN: Flotteron.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
MS. ALLEN: Flotteron, that's right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Joseph Flotteron, 127 Sterling Avenue, Greenport, NY,

1 11944; Christine McCabe, 128 Sterling Avenue,
2 Greenport, NY, 11944; 123 sterling LLC, Post
3 Office Box 1086, Westhampton Beach, NY; Priscilla
4 Johnson, 130 Ludlam Place, Greenport, NY; Village
5 Of Greenport, 236 3rd Street, Greenport, NY;
6123 Sterling Avenue LLC, Post Office Box 1086,
7 Weshampton Beach, NY; James Getches, 5251 Country
8 Squire Way, Fort Collins, Colorado -- tough
9 commute. Alexander Ciaputa?

MS. SCHNEPEL: Ciaputa.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ciaputa, One Scarsdale Road, Tuckahoe, NY; Richard Harper, 143 Sterling Avenue, Greenport, NY; James Kelley, 844 Sudbury Road, Concord, MA; James Gleason, 132 Kroemer Avenue, Riverhead, NY; George Limperis, 264 Liberty Street, San Francisco, CA; Charles Edwards, 139 Sterling Avenue, Greenport, NY; Greenport Gardens LLC, 5720 Route 25A, Wading River, NY, 11792; Nancy M Tumavick -- am I getting that right -- Trust, C/O Frank Polistena, Post Office Box 667, Mattituck, NY, 11952; Isaac Israel, 889 Harrison Avenue, Riverhead, NY. And we read those before. Thank you.

Is the applicant here?
MR. PAWLOWSKI: Good evening. Paul

1 Pawlowski, 123 Sterling. I'm here to answer any 2 questions you may have to clear up the 3 application before you.

We're here asking for the parking relief along Sterling Avenue and to amend the approved garage to allow for indoor parking. As you know, we removed the request for second floor storage. So as the applicant and owner, I'm here to answer any questions you have.

And our goal is -- it's simply to improve the site, not only for ourselves as the owners but for the future owners within the property, the neighborhood and also Village residents. We feel what we're asking for will improve traffic flow, will improve just overall navigation to the dinghy dock and the neighborhood itself.

We feel the curbs, sidewalks and green space will be a great improvement. We could meet the code requirements for all Building Department aspects when it comes to ventilation, fire sprinklers, alarms.

And we fully understand and respect that there's been a lot of time, effort, emotions and decisions made since 2003, '04, '07, on.

1 So, however I can answer any questions, I'm happy 2 to do so. And we have a common goal with the SNA 3 to improve the site that's before us. And again, 4 I'm here to answer any questions you may have.

1 privatized so that there would be a barrier and 2 it would sort of be deadened.

So the majority of the Village is residentially zoned, so there really isn't that much Waterfront Commercial left and it's really on the water. There's some that should not be called out on First Street where they don't have any waterfront access, but this is an actual waterfront property.

So my question is since part of the proposed -- one of my many questions. Part of proposal is to divide up to either use the private parking as an accessory to the residential, but also to divide up two-thirds of the ground floor space into 12 units to be sold to a market rate unit with a private bathroom, with a sink in a kitchen, with no outside entryway except the shared entry-way with the residential.

So to me, that sounds like an accessory to residential use; they would require a variance from you. My question is are you going to be waiting for the Planning Board to determine if you should decide on that variance, or is this something that you, in your own judgment, would

1 be able to say to the applicant if it's not just 2 about the parking. If you're going to make it private and residential, the whole first floor, then that would all need to be considered by the ZBA.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The applicant's plan shows the 12 suites on the first floor. The building -- the building -- the Description of Uses of the building -- and this is the applicant's document. The Description of Uses of the building is the building will have Waterfront Commercial operation on the first floor.

The reason behind the use variance is, is that residential accessory parking is not an approved or conditional use in the Waterfront Commercial District. That's the reason. Not indoor parking, outdoor parking; that's the reason for the use variance.

The applicant's plans shows 12 Waterfront Commercial units on the first floor; am I getting that right?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Twelve. The Zoning Board has to assume that that's his plan, to rent that to Waterfront Commercial tenants. We would

1 leave it to the build -- in my opinion, I'm going
2 to talk to my colleagues about it that still have
3 a better answer for you. I would leave it to the
4 Building Department to decide if whoever is
5 renting one of those Waterfront Commercial units
6 is, in fact, a Waterfront Commercial operation,
7 be it boat sales or yacht broker or whatever one 8 of the 12 --

MS. WADE: The Developer has already stated publicly that each unit will be offered for sale to a resident. So each unit at 600 feet, or whatever, is going to be much smaller than the residential use. So if there's an owner of an apartment and they have -- they also own a small space that's on the ground floor that's accessible through the interior, how is that not an accessory to the residential use? And not -it wouldn't be open to the public.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Because it would have to have a permitted or a conditional use for them to get a CO for it.

MS. WADE: He's calling it a yacht club. Can a yacht club be an individual private use of that space to be like kind of a guest suite or whatever; that's my question.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I -- Randy, right now we're kind of -- I'll be honest with you, I'm not sure if a yacht club can be -- how many people or what it takes to become. I don't know what it takes to become a yacht club; I would leave it to the attorney.

MR. CONNOLLY: There's no definition in the Code for a yacht club, so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I would leave it to the attorney, the Zoning Attorney and the Village Attorney and the Building Department to square that away. Yacht club is a permitted use.

MS. WADE: I would say look at the definition of residential, look at the definition of home office, look at the definition of office that goes in the --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we're looking at the definition of Waterfront Commercial. We're looking at what --

MS. WADE: And if it's privately owned to be privately used, I would say that's a home office. You would have to look -- if that's the similar thing that would be to the Code. You couldn't -- you can call, you know, a horse a duck, a duck a horse, you can call anything

1 anything you want. But to be -- to carry it through logically, you would be looking at things in the Code that would be similar and it would be a home office.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I'm looking at -I'm looking at what's required under the modified plan in the stipulation and it says, "The building will have Waterfront Commercial operations on the" front -- "on the first floor." Whoever rents those units are going to have to satisfy someone that they're a legitimate Waterfront Commercial operation.

MS. WADE: It might have to be the Planning Board.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It doesn't say --
MS. WADE: That's my question. Maybe it's the Planning Board that gets more involved in these details then.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I would ask the --
MR. CONNOLLY: If it's not going to be used for a commercial Waterfront -- Waterfront Commercial use, it would be a violation of the site plan.

MS. WADE: Right.
MR. CONNOLLY: It would be, you know, an

1 enforcement issue at that point.

MS. WADE: Okay.
The other thing is as for the angled parking on-street, that -- the Stip said that this is not the be-all and end-all to reviews, that it should have gone through -- you know, it was expected it would go through further reviews. So, the idea that angled parking going across, whether there's a sidewalk or not, would have ever been approved in 2007 indicates it was not looked up for safety. And I can assure you it has not been looked up for safety now and it'll be something that the Planning Board really should do as part of the site plan review.

So to say that it's -- I don't think it's really within the power of the developer to give up that angled parking because it's something that -- you know, the -- a municipality can decide. It's a ministerial action to decide what is safe on the street and where the curb cuts should be. And so that's why, you know, that's not really a trade-off or anything. Though, I would be sympathetic to, you know, trade-offs with on-street outdoor parking to accommodate whatever is needed, but not -- you know, angled

1 parking should not be there period, and nor 2 should indoor parking in Waterfront Commercial 3 for the reasons that I stated.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Did -- I lost track. I thought somebody was talking over you, I'm sorry. The decision of this Board --

MS. WADE: YeaH.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- on the use variance will determine if that angled parking stays or if the indoor parking will be -- that 5,000 square feet of Waterfront Commercial space will become residential accessory use. That's the --

MS. WADE: I'm sorry, I spent 20 years looking at environmental impact statements, only the transportation sections of them and also trying to design streets that would not require an environmental impact statement and $I$ know that these are ministerial actions that can be determined at any time. And you're deciding it or not, the angled parking needs to be eliminated period.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But not by this Board.
MS. WADE: Not by this Board. You always say yes or no to what's in front of you, $I$ totally understand that. But you did say the

1 angled parking will stay if you don't allow it to 2 go into the building and I'm telling you --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, there'd be no modification to the -- if this Board decides -Just so we're all on the same page. If this Board decides that the use variance fails, the modification to the stipulation, as far as this Board's concerned, would not be approved.

What happens after that as far as angled parking is -- is up to the --

MS. WADE: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Whatever deal -- I don't even know what the option is after that, to be honest with you.

MS. WADE: It's very simple, it could either be the Village engineer, Paul Pallas, or it could be the Planning Board that would say -and by the way, there is a SEQRA determination that said pedestrian safety would not be impacted, and yet having all of the cars crossing that sidewalk, you can't say pedestrian safety would not be impacted. So --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we're not the lead agency. Normally I thought we would have been.

MS. WADE: Well, a determination was already made way back when. And all I'm saying is that would be moot if we were to look at it. Because pedestrian safety is affected, and either Paul Pallas or the Planning Board should decide We're not going to allow angled parking, thank you very much

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The -- okay.
MS. WADE: I understand --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What's in front of this Board is the four-question test of a use variance. Does this application rise to the level of granting a use variance? That's what it's about.

MS. WADE: Right, right. But I don't think you have to imagine that there can't be more compromises made through the Boards. You know, there can be some concessions or whatever, and you could still deny that you have indoor parking in the garage. The parking can be dealt with separately, you could send it back. You know, the Planning Board's going to be looking at it, they can figure this out.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. But you're asking -- (laughter). We could certainly -- at

1 the end of this process we could certainly make comments.

The Planning Board obviously is going to get a record of this meeting; they should anyway. I'm kind of curious why there was no coordinated review with this. Maybe I'll ask the Village Administrator?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It will be sent out shortly, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So that -- okay.
So, there will be --
MS. WADE: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Because it's an Unlisted Action, because it's adjacent to a Historic District, the standards of $25 \%$ of what it would normally be, so, under SEQRA. So, the building is 45,000 square feet?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: (Inaudible).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Fifteen thousand each floor?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Forty-two thousand.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So any project 100,000
square feet in a village less than 100,000 people, you reduce that to $25 \%$, so 25,000 square feet. An Unlisted Action becomes a Type I

1 Action, so, and a Type I Action requires a 2 coordinated review.

MS. WADE: Oh, that's why you're asking about it. That's a good point. That's a very good point.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you
MS. WADE: Thank you. Oh, you're so smart. Okay, sorry to take up so much time. I think I made my point. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? Name and address for the stenographer.

MS. MUNDUS: My name is Pat Mundus. My address is 182 Sterling Street in Greenport.

And first of all, I would just like to say thank you to Mr. Pallas and to the other Village Administration for putting together this in-person/Go-To-Meeting hybrid. People have been begging for this for the last year and I thank you very much for pulling it together.

I have been on Sterling Street for
13 years. That intersection where Sterling Street and Sterling Avenue come together, I assume you were there today on a review, you saw it with your own eyes. That intersection,

114 years ago when this site plan was approved, 2 was a very different place. There was not 3 hardscaping, there were no curbs on the side 4 where there's a new house with landscaping 5 plants, fences, there's now a fence on the other 6 side.

And I just want to clear something up. Everybody keeps talking about angled parking on Sterling Avenue; I don't think that's exactly correct. Here is a copy of the site plan from the original stipulation; it's probably stapled in the back of your packet there, the Stipulation Agreement. It shows parking head-on in what is now presently a no parking zone already, there's no parking there. Straight in, so that means that any of these 12 cars that, if this scheme is not altered, would have to back directly into the intersection of this. This is taken from the roof; I hope you saw that today or yesterday, whenever you were visiting.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We didn't go on the roof --

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- today.
MS. MUNDUS: Well, can $I$-- is it okay for

1 me to step forward?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MS. MUNDUS: Okay. Here's a photograph
taken from the roof, straight down onto the intersection. That yellow portion of the curb is this yellow portion of the site plan. So -sorry I had to get close to give you those. So what I'm --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're all vaccinated.
(*Laughter*)
MS. MUNDUS: Good. So what I'm saying is 12 cars on the intersection, backing in, pulling out and backing in all day long, is going to make an already impossible intersection a hundred times more impossible.

And I'm the last person who would generally agree in allowing Commercial Waterfront to be used for indoor parking, because I'm a maritime professional myself. But in this case, because we're talking about a bad plan from 14 years ago being built already, it's the lesser of two evils, really. And getting that parking anywhere else is, in my opinion, a necessity.

Right now if you drive through that intersection and you meet the UPS truck, just two

1 trucks, one has to stop and back up so they can 2 go through. So try to take that scenario and 3 have 12 cars backing out into that intersection 4 and it's poor planning, period.

The thing about the commercial waterfront, to expand a little bit on what Randy was talking about, the elevation of the ground floor is only 7.2, it's not legal for any residential use at all, period.

In the mediation and the letter of support that the SBNA, which $I$ was a hard worker on that, we insisted on deep covenant that makes the ground floor absolutely positively not to be used for residential.

And then what $I$ understand from Mr. Pawlowski is that there is going to be an offering agreement, I think it's called. I'm not sure about the legal, correct words, but that also is going to be written into a long-term deed covenant. So there's no way that anyone could use those as apartments. If they do, it's illegal, shut town, he could get sued, period.

Now, 12 units on the remaining 10,000 square feet, if this plan's allowed to remove 5,000 for parking, 10,000 square feet is a

1 substantial amount of Commercial Waterfront.
2 So that allows me to be okay with reducing that 35,000 or 4,000 , whatever it is, feet for parking

4 because still 10,000 feet remains. That to me 5 shows that the intention and the spirit of the 6 Commercial Waterfront usage is being met. I 7 don't know what it's going to be either; mini 8 yacht clubs, artist studios? Those are all 9 permitted uses, what he's talking about. associations. There's one 200 feet down the street from me, calls himself a yacht club.

There's the Poor Man's Yacht Club, right next door to the property we're talking about. Who knows? (Laughter). Are they legitimate? Are they what we normally perceive as a yacht club with a flag pole and shooting colors every day at sunset? No, but they're clubs. They function as a yacht club whether they're a formal flag-waving American yacht club or not. People meet there, that's what Paul is trying to say.

1 Those units are going to be for artist studios, 2 for clubs, whatever they're going to be used for.

Now, parking. What I don't understand is the parking requirements for a Commercial Waterfront is required, I am assuming, for how, many square feet requires one parking place?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Three hundred.
MS. MUNDUS: Three hundred, okay. But --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Three hundred square feet is --

MS. MUNDUS: One parking place equals 300 square feet, okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But --
MS. MUNDUS: So here's the semantics problem, as $I$ was trying to explain last month. If Mr. Pawlowski is going to have the same people own Commercial Waterfront space -- 300 feet, one parking place -- they are exactly the same people who are also residents who are required, again, to have a certain amount of parking places for residential.

That also allows me, in my own code of ethics, to say okay, they're already the owners of both properties. I mean, Greenport sooner or later is going to have to deal with this parking

1 thing instead of kicking the can down the road.
2 I know it's not in the Code. I know how
3 difficult it is for everybody to be the first
4 board to have to make a decision on something
5 that's not explicitly in the Code for you to
6 follow. But I'm just saying, you know, as you
7 consider this whole thing, please, please look at
8 it as the lesser of all evils. That's all.
9 Thank you very much
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just to respond. First of all, I don't think we've looked at ourselves as the first board to have to deal with this.

As luck would have it, as luck would have it, any decision that this Board makes for a use variance is mandated by New York State Village Law. So, depending on the narrative that we receive from the applicant, that'll be -- we can either do it or be enjoined from doing it by New York State Law. So it's not like we're going to be breaking new ground here. It's --

MS. MUNDUS: Well, I guess what I meant to say is that you would be breaking new ground philosophically in Greenport, because nobody has talked about indoor parking --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, this Board -- I
could tell you right now, this Board's not prepared to violate the law.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So --
MS. MUNDUS: But here's the -- this is a semantics thing.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: (Laughter) No it's not.
MS. MUNDUS: Okay. There's nothing in our present Code that address indoor parking; correct?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This is not about indoor parking. It's about a resident -- it's about residential accessory parking --

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- in the Waterfront Commercial District.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And there is something in our Code about that.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay. So it has nothing to do with the indoor portion of this dialogue.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't see it that -I don't see it that way.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Me personally; my

1 colleagues might have a different opinion.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But to me, it's the -it's delusion of Waterfront Commercial property for a use that's not permitted or conditioned. MS. MUNDUS: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Or even accessory.
MS. MUNDUS: The other part of the lesser of all evils discussion from my point of view is that if those 12 parking places are not allowed to be indoor in that Commercial Waterfront space, they're going to be somewhere else on the property.

We've spent the last two years trying to work with the developer to make the project less of an impact on the neighborhood. That means that those parking places, as Paul's plan is -Mr. Pawlowski's plan is right now, have included more hedges, more fencing, more green space.

We -- you know, our group, SBNA, has been negotiating and talking and voting amongst ourselves, the rules of democracy take a long time. All of our members are just really interested in the least amount of impact on the neighborhood; more green space and less parking
in our intersection is the bottom line, that's what we're hoping for.

So thank you very much for considering this difficult problem.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MEMBER GORDON: Could I ask a question, Pat?

MS. MUNDUS: Yeah.
MEMBER GORDON: Perhaps I didn't really understand your Description of where the parking -- where the parking would be, where the cars would back out into the street; that's something I care a lot about, too.

But it seemed to me, as we made the site visit, that what $I$ saw was a substantial space in front of the area where the indoor parking would occur, where the cars who are along the side could exit and not -- you know, that there was really quite a lot of space and that they wouldn't be exiting backing out. Why do you think they would have to back out?

MS. MUNDUS: I was going by the original stipulation site plan which shows the parking places head-on on Sterling Avenue across where it's presently -- where is the thing I just gave
you?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Here.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: I'll explain that in detail.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay. Here's how it is in the original stipulation. Right here, see how they're all head-in right here? The parking is physically on the 123 Sterling property, but they have to park, drive straight in through the intersection. This yellow line that I put on the drawing is right here on the photograph. So in other words, right here are all a line of head-in parking places. You see how narrow that is. In order to get out of this parking place you have to back out, straight out. It's not even angled which would make it a little easier.

MEMBER GORDON: You can't go down here? Well, okay.

MS. MUNDUS: Unless the Village is talking about maybe making one of those streets one-way and then it ties into the problem of the previous application.

MEMBER GORDON: I'm truly asking for
information.
MS. MUNDUS: Yes.

MEMBER GORDON: Because it seemed to me when we looked that the cars would simply go up here and come out there, but --

MS. MUNDUS: This is the stipulation.
MEMBER GORDON: Perhaps we can --
MS. MUNDUS: This is what's been approved.
MEMBER GORDON: Okay. Well, maybe we can get Mr. Pawlowski to address this. Because I agree, it's very, very important --

MS. MUNDUS: Yes.
MEMBER GORDON: -- that people not back out into that narrow space.

MS. MUNDUS: I mean, my street, I can barely get out of my driveway, never mind just the way things are without -- it's tiny, it's basically a de facto --

MS. MAHONEY: Can you speak into the microphone, please.

MS. MUNDUS: Excuse me. What $I$ was saying is that Sterling Street, as it is now, without the extra burden of this project, is already a de facto one-way street.

If a landscaping truck, a UPS truck, even the mail truck stops, everybody has to stop till he moves because you can barely get around him.

1 So, we're building a big monster here if you let that parking pull out into the very intersection on Sterling Street.

MEMBER GORDON: Okay
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there any -- Paul, before --

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Maybe we'll let the public. Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak?

MR. MACKEN: Yes. Hi. Yes, my name is Frank Macken, 138 Sterling Avenue. I would just like to point out that the project is on Sterling Avenue, not Sterling Street. Sterling Avenue is quite a bit wider. However, I have to say that the -- this project, as you all know, has been very controversial. It has never gone before the Zoning Board, it has never gone before the Planning Board for review, it's the largest building ever built in Greenport, it's never been subject to these kind of reviews.

So, that hangover from a sketch of a stipulation that was done in 2007 which shows angled parking in front of the building, as Randy pointed out, would probably not be approved,

1 simply just because it's impractical where you 2 have the two streets, the narrow Sterling Street 3 and Sterling Avenue coming together.

8 In fact, he doesn't want it there and it very 9 likely would not be there because Planning would 10 not review it or would not allow it and nobody 11 actually wants it there.

I also want to point out that nowhere at any time on any of the renderings that the developer has presented over the last two years, at least two years, has shown that parking there.

So, it's a bit of a false flag to say that removing this on-street angled parking, you know, indoors is the only solution, because it's not really a problem in any case.

The issue which is before you is the -- as you said, is whether you allow commercial, Waterfront Commercial space to be converted into residential accessory space. And I would just like to point out that there is ample parking on the site, in any case. You don't need an indoor space.

But curiously enough, Mr. Pawlowski, on his latest site plan proposal, has actually removed an equal number of parking spaces from the

1 parking area along Ledlum place, there are 12
2 parking spaces which he now proposes to replace 3 with green space; I mean, a large mound of green 4 space and trees and who knows what the hell else.

5 But I think parking is a lot more important for 6 this.

And so, I mean, this whole -- the only reason he wants to put indoor parking in there is so he can make an extra buck when he's selling his apartments upstairs. And the only reason -and also, this thing about the indoor parking, whet you've got here is -- this is a very -- this goes back to the stipulation. It was achieved after legal battles and neighborhood battles where people are concerned, there was going to be a high rise boat storage there. Please remember that this was a working site, a working waterfront site less than 20 years ago.

So, we're -- what we're talking about here is crucial for the remaining Waterfront Commercial space -- areas in Greenport. Whatever you decide will be a precedent. What's being proposed here at the moment is a lot of those 5,000 square feet of what he calls a garage, and that's debatable, your -- is the other 10,000

1 square feet which is to be divided into these 12
2 little units. So you've got 12, it's like, you
3 know, Seven Dwarfs, 12 little yacht clubs. I
4 mean, it's absurd. And to have them sold along
5 with the units upstairs is just -- that is
6 residential accessory.

1 rammed down our throats on the basis that it's a
2 legal stipulation that cannot be changed and it's 3 for a mixed-use building, bah, bah, bah, bah. So

4 now we have the developer who this is the fourth
5 time that he's come. He came in here and said,
6 I'm building the stipulation, I've got the right
7 to build it, and four times he has tried to amend
8 it. He wanted to get rid of -- he wanted to
9 expand the residential units, he wanted to get
10 rid of the affordable housing and he wanted to --

MR. PAWLOWSKI: (Inaudible).
MR. MACKEN: Please. And he didn't, these are -- and he was shot down each time. So now he's back trying to convert this -- the whole thing with that 10,000 square feet. Normally what you have when you have a residential building, as everybody knows, has any idea about real estate, is that that's commercial space. Then you give it to a broker, the broker tries to rent it out and you get various people proposing different types of business which then come before either you or the Planning Board, certainly.

I mean, Mr. \{Mueller\} from the Industry Standard, or whatever it's called now, he had to

1 go before the Planning Board to get approval for
2 a -- for a name change, just to change the name.
So, I mean, this is -- we have to preserve the Code. We have to maintain the Code and we have to make sure that when we call it Waterfront Commercial, we're damn sure that what's going in there is Waterfront Commercial, not some vague, you know, Oh, my wife's a potter, I'm an artist or this or that; you know, a vague kind of definition that nobody knows what it's going to be. And everybody knows that an artist studio is basically an Air BNB unit, especially if it's got a bathroom and a kitchen.

So that then comes out to enforcement; whose going to enforce it? You know, I mean, are we going to hire agents to go around investigating the different units and stuff like that? I mean, it's just absurd. You've got 12 different bathrooms in this place. You know, there shouldn't be more than like two or three to serve a -- in normal commercial spaces like that they have shared bathrooms for people that -- and the other thing is that the only access to this, unlike every other building which is a mixed-use, the only access to the so-called commercial space

1 as he proposes it is through the residential
2 lobby.

8 There are no doors on the water side, there's no
9 access to the water side from the building.
10 There's no access to the street other than
11 through the residential lobby.
And the other thing, one other thing I'll point out is that there are -- if you look at that building now, from the original plans and the original stipulation, it has been altered. There are no doors other than a stair exit door.

The building has been altered, we've been told that there are no approved plans and apparently -- you know, the one who is supervising it is the engineer who actually works for the developer, his company has worked for the developer. So it's not being supervised, the plans are been altered numerous times. Whole stairways have been moved and that's never come up for review.

So basically we're saying -- and I have to point out that SBNA does not represent the people on Sterling Avenue and does not represent our views. We had a meeting with them when issue of mediation came up and this was on the table and

1 we said No, you cannot approve this. You cannot
2 support the division into indoor parking and
3 these divided things. They said, Oh, okay. No,
4 we won't do that; and then here they are.
5

8 about Greenport going forward. You know, if this
9 is allowed to go ahead, it will drive his
10 proposal and similar proposals. This is just the
11 first, and in ten years time we'll look back and 12 say That was the one. That's the one that drove 13 a stake through the Waterfront Commercial Code 14 and made it possible for all of -- in a domino

So, I mean, basically this is -- I just feel this. I mean, me and my neighbors just feel that it's crucial, and anybody who really cares effect, all the rest of the waterfront. Now they're working waterfront to be converted into residential.

Basically what Mr. Pawlowski has come up with is an all-residential building; residential parking, residential spaces be there -- no, all residential spaces, those little units in there. It's just -- it's just absurd. That's basically -- people need to remember, and I urge my colleagues from -- my neighbors from the SBNA to remember, Waterfront Commercial is not a dirty

1 word. Waterfront Commercial is what makes
2 Greenport different and it means jobs. Other 3 than a parking attendant, he's got nothing to

4 offer. We're talking about jobs. We've had to
5 fight to get the -- to get them to agree to support affordable housing on-site.

So, I mean, this is -- this won't -- after everything that we've gone through with this -you know, over the last, whatever, 14 years or more with this building, the very least that Greenport should get out of this is viable, new Waterfront Commercial space.

We actually have an adjacent property owner who runs a waterfront business and he wants to rent space in there. He's just one of many. It's not going to be heavy, it'll be like marine use but it has to be marine use. It has to be marine related, it can't be just somebody writing something on a piece of paper and saying Oh well, you know, I have an interest in boats or pictures of boats or something like that; I mean, that's just absurd.

So it has to mean something. If we're going to defend and preserve Waterfront Commercial, it has to mean something. Thank you.

1 Thank you for listening.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Is there anyone else that would like to speak?

MR. HARPER: I do. I'm Rick Harper, I'm coowner of 143 Sterling Avenue, adjoining lot. And I have one thing I just want to stay before I start into this. When we talk about these stipulations on some of these approvals, I just want to make sure everybody understands what I say. None of this is an admission that any of this stuff is valid, that it was properly done, it was all in place and everything was properly done and that the current owner has the right to use the stipulation and to build his property. That's another issue, we're going to focus on what was happening here..

I got a notice in the mail, registered mail. It states that there's a proposal for a use variance to change the use from Waterfront Commercial to -- it says indoor parking because indoor park is not a permitted use under the Code. I assume that's all correct; if he wants to say that, that's fine.

Then it goes on to say that what we're really doing here is we're just changing a

1 variance that was granted in the stipulation back
2 in 2007. And my understanding is a variance
3 requires a showing, a demonstration of undue
4 burden of compliance with the Zoning Law; is that 5 correct?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Where -- what information do you have that says --

MR. HARPER: You read it out before. It's the last paragraph on this. I don't have glasses, $I$ can't read it to you (laughter).

MR. PAWLOWSKI: It's the legal notice that was sent out.

MR. HARPER: The legal notice.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, the notice for the public hearing.

MR. HARPER: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The notice for the public hearing said there was a variance issued in 2007?

MR. HARPER: Yes. There was never a variance issued for Mr. Resnik, no. Mr. Resnik couldn't get a variance because he couldn't show undue hardship, and neither can this owner.

Resnik already had a plan to build a boat rack, a boatyard there; it was economically

1 viable. He was willing to go along with that. 2 He was going to do that and then the neighbors 3 opposed it and then he said what he really wanted 4 which was residential development, but there was 5 never a variance granted for that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have to be honest with you, if it says that, and since it's over my name, I'm kind of embarrassed by that because I'm one here to admit that no variance was ever issued.

MR. HARPER: Okay. What I really was trying to get to is this is couched in terms of, Well, I'm just changing the variance from one variance to another variance, and that means we can just use the hardship that the original variance applicant got. We didn't show much, we didn't have to. He got this whole thing through without going through the whole variance process, and we just want to perpetuate that.

Now, the second thing is we've been shown this. Where's the hardship? He stood up and made a statement here; where's the hardship? Isn't that the heart of this? There's supposed to be a hardship with complying with the law? I don't care what benefit you bestow on the

1 neighbors or what you think you're doing for the 2 neighbors, you've got to show a hardship. 3 How many times has he said, I'll build the 4 stipulation? Four, at least. Forget about it;

5 I'll build the stipulation, I can do it, it's 6 economically viable. And we've already built the 7 thing without these approvals.

8 Where is the hardship? And who's going to 9 demonstrate that it's here? Are we going to 10 contrive it? We didn't have to do it before 11 because we had a settlement of a lawsuit; that was not a variance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We have -- we have brung the applicant, now -- so everybody here understands, the question of self-created hardship --

MR. HARPER: Yep
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- is a lot different for an area variance than it is for a use variance.

MR. HARPER: Use variance is supposed to be difficult to obtain, correct?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Use variance has a very, very high standard to meet.

MR. HARPER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, when you say where's the hardship, right now we don't have an answer to that because the public hearing is still going on. We have the applicant's narrative. When this public hearing closes, this Board will discuss the four questions for the use variance test that the applicant provided us. And by New York State Village Law, if they don't meet the standard, this Board is obligated to deny the variance.

MR. HARPER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If they do meet the standard -- I don't want to --

MR. HARPER: I understand, you haven't talked about this yet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But if they --
MR. HARPER: We haven't seen any evidence yet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we have the applicant's narrative --

MR. HARPER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- and we're going to discuss that at some point in the proceedings, I'm assuming after the public hearing closes. So, that's one of the questions the applicant
will have to answer; is this a self-created hardship.

MR. HARPER: Can I ask another question along the same lines? Suppose there was nothing on that property today and the developer came in with this entire plan, with the parking downstairs, with this variance in it, a variation in it, would this Board entertain a use variance for that?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I can't speak for my colleagues. I can't speak for the Board, but I would have a hard time --

MR. HARPER: Thank you. So it shouldn't be any different --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- to be honest with you.

MR. HARPER: -- doing this or doing that, correct? There should be the same consideration?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We can't cast -listen, we -- nobody here was on -- were you on the Zoning Board in 2007?

MEMBER CORWIN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Nobody was here on the Zoning Board in 2007. What happened in 2007 happened -- for whatever reason, happened in 2007.

MR. HARPER: You believe that --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Boards that came after that -- both Planning, Zoning, HBC -- operate in a different manner. We don't pay -- questionable decisions vote, we take -- that's how this Board operates.

MR. HARPER: This is an aberration, I get that. It's not a variance, we all agree to that. No variance was granted. And I'm not exactly sure what this is; maybe we'll find others deep in thought on it. But --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There is a variance in question here. There is a variance applied for here.

MR. HARPER: There is a variance that has been applied for.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Applied for.
MR. HARPER: And it's a use variance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, this, too;
there's an area variance and a use variance.
MR. HARPER: The use variance is the one that's the most concerning, I think, because they're supposed to be tougher to get. A lot more consideration goes into this because you're
basically taking the written Code without showing any -- why it shouldn't apply.

And this is almost like it's a nonconforming use. You can't go outside the corner of what you've done. There's more like that than there is a variance.

I think the Board should take that into consideration, that this was never approved. This was put through as part of the stipulation. Not everybody agreed with it, not everybody agrees with it today. It was done, there wasn't a lot of input from people into it. And, again, this hearing like this was bypassed through that whole process. We may not even be here today if that hadn't happened.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That -- (laughter).
MR. HARPER: (Laughter).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not going to offer my opinion on that, but that's your opinion. That certainly is -- and you're certainly entitled to it.

MR. HARPER: Thank you. And as Mr. Macken said, this has been going on an incredibly long time. And --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we've all been
here an incredibly long time (laughter).
MR. HARPER: I got that. And, you know, when this property was sold --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, we're well aware.
MR. HARPER: When this property was sold there was immediately, Let's do something else; let's figure out how to do that; let's amend the stipulation, and that's been subject to some controversy as to whether that's even doable or not, legally. Is that how you fix this? Do you amend the stipulation? Do you have to go though a whole process, a whole new application?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The thing -- well.
MR. HARPER: And we never had a forum to bring that issue up before, because it's never been before this Board before, until now.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, this Board is charged with what's in front of it on the Notice of Disapproval, that's what we're going to take into consideration. Third story, condos in Waterfront Commercial and a host of other things. We're not going to open up the stipulation.

MR. HARPER: That's fine. That's fine.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're going to take into consid -- the only thing we're going to take

1 into consideration are the things that are on this Notice of Disapproval. Whatever happens with that, with those variances, would either make -- our approval of a modification would send it -- if we approve the variances it would go to the next step, it would go to Planning, HBC and the Village Board. If this -- if these variances aren't sustained, then our approval --

MR. HARPER: Oh, yeah. Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- would become moot. MR. HARPER: Right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There would be no approval of the stipulation, but only because it's tied in to the variance, the relief that the applicant's asking from this Board.

MR. HARPER: Right. No, and that's what you should be acting on, what's in front of you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. HARPER: I think you'd be hard pressed to find the harm. Okay, thank you

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak?

MR. BRENNAN: Good evening. My name is Patrick Brennan, I live at 620 First Street and I
also operate a Waterfront Commercial business here in the Village.

I'm here before you tonight in support of both the SBNA and the project developer, and that's because over the past six months my wife and I have been working as voluntary mediators between the SBNA and the developer.

And just a couple of things I want to go over, but first $I$ want to echo Pat Mundus' thanks to the Board and to the Clerk and to the Administrator for making this kind of dual meeting in-person and virtual meeting.

The context of the Stipulation of Agreement is important. And I understand from last month's meeting that you kind of wanted to -- if $I$ understood you correctly, you wanted to tease apart the Stipulation from the variance discussion a little bit. But the context of the Stipulation and the history of this project is important, and what's permitted on that site and what's currently being built is a direct outcome of that Stipulation Agreement.

So, that agreement prescribed a very specific development, a building, a site plan, the mixed-use aspect. And that agreement was

1 crafted by the Village and it was endorsed by the then property owner, the SBNA, the Village Trustees, the Planning Board and the Zoning Board of Appeals.

Now, I just want to explain the mediation, because you might say why would anyone want to mediate a dispute between the developer and the Neighborhood Association. When I watched the August, 2020 Trustees meeting, there was a breakdown in communication between the developer and the SBNA. And I thought that's a shame, because if there's an opportunity to improve on this project and those parties can't communicate, then it's going to be a missed opportunity; a missed opportunity to make the Village better.

And that Stipulation Agreement specifically requires the SBNA and the developer to reach agreement for any proposed modifications; so either it's a modification before your Board or the Planning Board, they have to be in agreement. And these public hearings are not an ideal forum for communities to reach consensus on things, because this is a difficult format, it's not really a discussion.
So, my wife and I suggested to the

1 developer and the SBNA and some members of the 2 Village Trustees that perhaps mediating would 3 facilitate a more constructive dialogue and try

4 to restore some trust among the parties. But
5 ultimately the goal would be to try to improve 6 the outcome of this project for the entire 7 Village.

8 Our goals for mediation was really just to 9 encourage the best possible outcome, and those 10 outcomes included identifying what the project 11 challenges were -- I'm not going to get into the 12 details because I sent you this earlier today -13 identifying the project opportunities; but most

14 importantly, identifying what was a shared 15 interest between the SBNA and the developer.

And the parties put a lot of work into trying to reach consensus. There was a lot time

1 invested between the developer and the SBNA, and 2 within the SBNA itself to try to discuss these 3 items and develop their priorities and reach 4 areas of compromise.

There was always an understanding that the Village has its process and all the Village Boards would ultimately weigh in on any proposal. But it would also be more productive if the SBNA and the developer could try to reach a basic understanding outside of one of these meetings, prior to Board review.

So for the most part, what is being asked of you today, what's being contemplated as modification, are a result of that mediation, a result of the understanding of agreement that was reached between SBNA and developer. I just want to make sure that your Board was aware of the work that was put into that between the two parties and that they're trying to compromise and come up with something that's satisfactory to all. And also understanding that there's a larger Village interest at hand and that this Board -- this Board would be looking out for that.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You -- and that's

1 laudable. But you realize that any agreement 2 between two private parties doesn't effect how 3 this Board reacts or acts upon the Code. The

4 Code effects the 2300 people of Greenport, not 5 the 20 people that live on Sterling Street who signed or belong to that particular organization. Our responsibility is to the entire Village.

MR. BRENNAN: Yeah, I completely understand that, and I agree with that. But despite what this Board decides, they are obligated to reach an agreement between the two parties. So both things have to happen for any modification on this project. Your Board or any other board would have to agree or permit it and those two parties have to reach agreement; that's what the stipulation requires, so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This Board looks at it -- we're single-focused, we're single-minded. Our focus is not what effects -- not the negotiation process between the SBNA and the developer or not the site plan review by the Planning Board; our job is to look at zoning. So, if everything, if all the dominos line up and zoning is approved, then we understand.

We certainly understand the process that

1 all the signatories of the Stipulation have to 2 sign off on any modification. But like good 3 union members that we are, we don't cross crafts, 4 we don't get involved with the SBNA, we don't get 5 involved with Planning or Historic, and certainly 6 not the Village Board.

8 obligation, we understand the responsibility that

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I didn't even get it

1 today. I apologize, I didn't check my mail.

MEMBER GORDON: But you say, "The very basic building plans and elevations are somewhat vague on design intent."

MR. BRENNAN: Yes.
MEMBER GORDON: And that seemed to me to be -- you know, when we put that together with the fact that we -- there's a lot of old data that we don't have. We are then put, I think, in a position of having a very, very narrow set of influences to consider when we're looking at this.

And that drives us back to, you know, Sections 150, 1180 C, D, E, F and G. And I'm, in a way, just reinforcing what John was saying, that we're stuck with this.

MR. BRENNAN: Uh-huh.
MEMBER GORDON: But I think the work that you did also contributes to that by saying we are -- we are given here a vagueness as to the intent of what was really supposed to happen.

It's old, it's vague, so we're sort of, you know, up a shit creek
(*Laughter*)

MR. BRENNAN: Understood

MEMBER GORDON: Sorry! Sorry, it just popped out (laughter).

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What did you say? (Laughter).

MR. BRENNAN: Understood. Thank you
MEMBER GORDON: So, sorry.
MR. BRENNAN: Good night. Thank you
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure. Is there anyone else that would like to speak?

MS. MUNDUS: Can I speak again?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're going to let
Chatty speak first. And just to -- just to warn everybody. I know everybody wants to speak, I know we're going to let everybody, that's the way this Board works, everybody will get their say. But after Chatty speaks we're going to stop five minutes, let the stenographer --

MS. MAHONEY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- and let the Board perhaps use the bathroom. Name and address for the stenographer.

MS. ALLEN: Yep. Chatty Allen, 3rd Street. I'm in support of this variance with the parking garage. I mean, we had an application prior that we're talking about parking in the same

1 neighborhood.

I mean, I grew up on these streets. I grew up back in the 60s when we were a true working waterfront. Unfortunately, not so much. You know, and it's sad, as someone that's been here since the 60s, you know, to see our waterfront dwindle. And some things come in that, no, I don't agree with.

But this project I have been following since Mr. Pawlowski took it over. A lot of meetings I went home very upset by things that are being said, accusations that are being thrown out, people basically being said -- told they're lying that, No, that's not what you're going to do. You know, everyone's here to follow what's in the Code and what they're supposed to be doing.

I know that curve, try doing it in a school bus, not an easy intersection to go through or even to turn on to Carpenter Street. Those streets are very -- you know, I would not want to have to back a car out. I lived on Sterling Street, I don't know, 25, 30 years ago. My Aunt and Uncle were on Sterling when I was a little kid. I never liked pulling in their driveways

1 because backing out -- you know. So you can get 2 parking where it's safer and have more greenery.

I mean, I took a ride one day just to see what this project looked like, because I haven't been in that neighborhood in a while, and to me it's coming along nice. And it actually took me back to when we first started these meetings and people were complaining about the height and everything. And I'm heading and I'm going, Wait, those houses are even taller than what this building is. I couldn't even see the building til I almost got to the curb because of the height of the new houses that are there; to me they're new, they weren't there when $I$ was a little kid.

The downstairs, if it's supposed to be Waterfront Commercial, that's what will be in there, otherwise he's going to be taken to court. That's what -- you know, if that's what's approved, that's what's going to be there.

I like the idea of getting cars away from the street. The less traffic you have the better. I commend him. Everything that's been thrown at him, he comes back and tries to work with that neighborhood. And yeah, it's going to

1 be difficult getting out of Waterfront Commercial
2 because unfortunately we don't have that much
3 here anymore. And that's a sad fact, you know,
4 especially from someone who grew up. You know, I
5 used to go out on the fishing boat when $I$ was,
6 you know, I think from like eight years up. You
7 know, working on the water, going scalloping. We
8 don't see that as much anymore because other
9 things have come in and taken away from our
10 waterfront.
11

12

25 Village. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
We're going to take a few minutes here, 10 minutes, so everybody can -- we apologize but the public hearing is still open. I expect one or two people will -- the applicant will rebut some of the stuff. We're going to give the stenographer a chance to relax a few minutes and perhaps the Board will use the mens room, the facilities. So we're adjourned for ten minutes?

MEMBER CORWIN: Five.
(*Laughter*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're adjourned for 10 minutes. For approximately five minutes, but don't worry about it if it's more than five.
(*The meeting was recessed at 8:01 p.m.*)
(*The meeting was reconvened at 8:10 p.m.*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Folks, David told me our ten minutes is up. So Chatty last spoke. Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? Name and address for the record; for the stenographer, please.

MS. SCHNEPEL: Ellen Schnepel, 165 Sterling Street, and I'm Chair of the SBNA. I can't speak as articulately as my colleague Pat Mundus has,

1 but I would like to speak on some issues that 2 relate to the history of the project that may not 3 be known.

8 families. The number of people in the

18 Maybe one or two did not want it, one or two out 19 of the whole association. And of those one or 20 two, one served on our Legal Engineering 21 Committee for a time after saying he wasn't in

First of all, in terms of our Neighborhood Association, we're not just a few people who are NIMBYs. We have an association of about 60 members, 50 to 60 members, 40 of whom may be association are somewhat fluid. Whenever you have any kind of neighborhood activity, neighborhood activism, there are people who come and go, there are people who are very vocal, particularly in criticism, and they don't want to do any work. Okay? So the project's up and going and now we hear the criticism.

The mediation that took place was something that was agreed to democratically by our members. favor of the mediation; and the other sat on the Design Committee even though this person was not in favor of mediation. So this whole thing of, you know, there's a group that's not behind this

1 mediation is moot.

Many of us took part in it, it was democratically run, it took time. We've been working on this since September. Our objective at that time was not to bring the building down. The building was already being constructed.

We faced the 2007 Stipulation Agreement, the cards were dealt. What we wanted in terms of the mediation was the best possible for our neighborhood that we could get under some very difficult circumstances.

There was no way that we were going to go back to a fish factory, there was no way we were going to go back to an empty lot. And the neighbors that complained and want to buy the property now had 12 friggin years to do that and didn't. All this criticism is coming after the fact. No one came forward, raised the money. We looked into Peconic Land Trust, all sorts of environmental groups, to purchase this property to do something with it that would be good for Greenport and for our neighborhood; no one came forward.

The Stipulation Agreement had been signed, sealed and delivered in 2007. Had Raskin built

1 it when he thought he would in 2007 , we wouldn't
2 be here. The market went south, Raskin didn't
3 want to build if he couldn't make any money. And
4 in 2018 he came back with a larger project, we
5 fought it, and in 2019 the property was purchased
6 by Mr. Pawlowski.

8 aesthetics of the building better for the 9

11 favor of the affordable and we let that be known 12 to the developer that that would have to be 13 written in to some kind of covenant.

So that's where we are at this moment. I don't see us going back in time. I think we're at a point where Pat very cogently spoke in favor of the parking off the lot into the garage. That will ameliorate that particular part of Sterling Avenue, it will get the problem of parking off of that lot right there and the aesthetics will change with trees and so forth.

I've heard complaints; Why should we approve -- this is the Neighborhood Association, a couple of members; Why should we approve parking inside? It'll just increase the value of

1 the property, increase the value of the condo.
2 And my response is, So you'd rather have it look 3 terrible outside just so you wouldn't have an 4 aesthetically pleasing or more valuable property. 5 We all, whether it's Sterling Avenue or Sterling

7 appropriate to the neighborhood as best it can 8 be. Street, want something that's going to be

Already there are problems with traffic. I think there was a letter sent by John Mansini of Sterling Street to the Board of Trustees to that effect. I think all of us would like a traffic study to make sure what we should do in terms of improving the traffic flows and patterns that will happen with it once the residents are in there. But I think the Neighborhood Association was very much in favor, democratically decided that we were in favor of parking inside. Yes, there was no parking inside and anywhere else in Greenport, but parking is a major issue in the Village. And if the Village doesn't deal with it now, believe me, you're going to be dealing with it down the road.

Pushing 12 spaces or 12 cars into a garage to make the outside more aesthetically pleasing

1 with landscape and diminishing Waterfront
2 Commercial by 4,000 square feet; to my way of
3 thinking, we're not giving up a lot. Greenport
4 is not giving up a lot. The rest of the space
5 will be Waterfront Commercial, the Village will
6 make sure it's Waterfront Commercial, and so far
7 the conditional uses of that or how it can be 8 used are stipulated in the Code and it will be 9 followed.

So just in closing, I want to say our Neighborhood Association supports these changes. And though we know that we don't decide on it, we wanted this approval and review process. We wanted it to go through the Board of Trustees, the ZBA, the Planning Board, we wanted it and we also said we will abide by how you decide. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
Is there anyone else that would like to speak? State your name for the record.

MS. MUNDUS: Pat Mundus, Sterling Street, 182 Sterling Street again.

I just -- it occurred to me that this is not just -- this is like a soul searching moment for Greenport, because I hate to tell you but the

1 ship has already sailed on this Commercial
2 Waterfront thing. Okay?

If Greenport had wanted, in the last decade since this Stipulation Agreement was brought -drawn up, to continue real commitment to working waterfront, they would not have eliminated everything.

I'm from Montauk, I can tell you exactly what's required for working waterfront. Okay? It's -- you have to have fuel, you have to have commercial dockage, you have to have ice houses, you have to have loading docks and all that stuff. Greenport has decided, way before we all came together, that that was not part of their priorities.

The Code is taking a long time to catch up; it still hasn't caught up. Because I would like to remind everybody that the permitted uses for a Commercial Waterfront, number one on the list, public and private yacht clubs, marinas and docking facilities. It goes on to all the other things that, yes, we have the romantic attachment to our working waterfront roots; fishing, shucking, that's with an $S-H$, shucking.
(*Laughter*)

Shellfish processing, seafood plants, it goes on and on. That ship has sailed, that's never going to happen.

That particular piece of property is unique not just because it's in the middle of a residential neighborhood, which Greenport has a lot of those problems -- Commercial Waterfront with residential areas -- you can't even use the waterfront there. It has a face dock that's right on the inlet, $I$ don't know how many other meetings we've gone to where we discuss how can that property be used. It can't really be used as Commercial Waterfront.

So, for anybody who says that an artist studio, private club, yacht club, it's right here in the Code, okay. I don't think we're asking for, you know, an exception to the Commercial Waterfront. It's never going to be fishing, it's never going to be fish processing. What they were thinking 14 years ago is completely different than the economic and the societal forces in Greenport today. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What -- what -- just to respond to that from a resident's point of view, not from a member of the Zoning Board's point of

1 view, is that -- first of all, that argument
2 shouldn't be made here, it should be made at the
3 Village Board meeting because we don't legislate 4 here, number one.

MS. MUNDUS: That's true. But I'm hoping that there are people who are watching this on television, and I think that's a really important crux of the problem that we're dealing with with our Code problem.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The second point I would like to make is the Code. The Waterfront Commercial District, as unimportant as you tried to make it right now with what you're saying as far as the ship sailing, it's still defiable, it's still the barrier to unfetted development of the waterfront. There are -- and until it's changed, it'll remain that way.

There are properties, three acres at a ship yard, two-and-a-half acres that a current law firm might decide they don't want to run a restaurant anymore, they might want to put condominiums there in the same community as the ship yard. It's the Waterfront Commercial that protects the Village from that unfetted development.

So as unimportant as it might seem to the neighbors on Sterling Avenue or Sterling Street and the delusion of it, to the Village of the whole, me personally, I think it's an important thing. And until it's changed --

MS. MUNDUS: I am fully -- thank you for your interpretation. I am fully aware that the bull work against condo and recreational use of the waterfront.

My house was in Commercial Waterfront. I personally to this day live next to a boat yard. I look out my kitchen window, what do I see? Three cranes. Okay? I am not opposed to Commercial Waterfront, and I don't like to be pigeon-hold either pro or against Commercial Waterfront. Because we all know that's the whole problem with the whole East End; Commercial Waterfront has been dissolved and used for residential use.

This is a situation that was already approved, it's already mixed-use. It's a hermaphrodite which forces us to really look at this project. It's not going to ever be commercial fishing there, it's not going to be most of the things on the Commercial Waterfront

1 use, because it was already a paradoxical plan in 2 the very first time that the thing was written.

Why anybody would think that both building, yacht yard, construction, any of that marine stuff, would be compatible with residential use right upstairs is mind-boggling. And here we are trying to solve the problems that were brought up 14 years ago.

I'm just saying, you know, for the greater good of the whole thing, which is not just Sterling Street and it's not just 20 people and it's not just a few people who are going to take advantage by having an art studio downstairs. That's part of -- that's part of the Code. It's in Commercial Waterfront, permitted uses.

So, I just hope that when you look at this conundrum, that you think about the whole big picture put together. More green space, less traffic, and you're still going to wind up with 10,000 square feet of Waterfront Commercial, which God knows what it could be used for on that property.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Hello, again.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You've been waiting a long time to get up there.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: I just want to clarify a few things. You had a question on the angled parking and how that really worked. Originally you thought they would come out of the east side of the building and go out.

If you remember on our site visit today that fence that -- that temporary fence that borded all of Sterling?

MEMBER GORDON: Uh-huh.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: That is where the 21 head-in, not angled, parking spaces would be. So you would pull a car in, it would face due south and it would be the north to south. The entire length of our building, from where you were standing all the way down to the dinghy dock would be those parking spaces, and that is what we were referring to as cars being parked and then reversing immediately onto Sterling.

With that said, the parking spaces are 18-foot long by Code. The back of the car -CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Wait, wait, wait. No, that's wrong.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Or 20-foot.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: Twenty-foot, sorry. Summer

1 '18, Summer -- it depends on the Village or town.
2 Twenty-foot, the back of the car would be
3 touching Sterling Street. So that -- I just want
4 to clear it up that those 21 spots would be
5 lining, not how it is today. How it is today,
6 there's a curb and cars sit parallel.

8 so how do you -- the thing that I thought Pat
9 raised was how do you get out of the parking
10 space? I mean, you come in to that north, south
11 and you're facing south and you're ready to
12 leave.

13

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yup.
MEMBER GORDON: So --
MR. PAWLOWSKI: You back in --
MEMBER GORDON: -- you back out onto the street?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct.
MEMBER GORDON: Or you back out into --
MR. PAWLOWSKI: So your car --
MEMBER GORDON: -- a little alley way.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: There's no alley way. As it's approved right now, the back bumper of the car is touching Sterling Avenue and then from the back bumper to the rest is on our property. How
we're proposing is completely different where it would curbing, green space, sidewalk and our internal property alley way.

MEMBER GORDON: Right, great. MR. PAWLOWSKI: So as it's approved it's 21 head-in parking spots.

Every single application before any Board of this village has been a Plan A which is approved and a Plan B which is proposed. So the the assumption that I'm only showing proposed, that is accurate, that's what I'm asking for. But I'm also identifying what's approved here. MEMBER GORDON: Sure, yes.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Period. It's in every application, you show what you're proposing. So there's nothing hidden here, everything is transparent.

MEMBER GORDON: Okay.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: I've been before certain boards; this is the first time, or last month was the first time I've been before the ZBA. Every single time that I've either been before a work session format or a planning board or a village board, it's to identify proposed changes. And every single one of those proposed changes still

1 had affordable housing component to it and a purpose to improve. Never once was that -- ever once in any meeting, in any minutes, proposed to be taken off the agenda. It's been on the agenda and been asked for to improve.

We are here today, as we were when I first bought the property in 2018 and a half, is for proposed amendments and not one of them was not discussed with the SBNA prior. Every single time we've come before you I've either met with them, come to some sort of an understanding, and every time it was withdrawn is because $I$ knew that it would not be supported by the Neighborhood Association at certain times. So why move forward? We need every signature.

We've had a mediator involved. Every single time there was a common goal to improve something that Frank Macken signed off on in the stipulation. He's a signature.

MR. MACKEN: No, no.
MS. SCHNEPEL: No, he's not.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: Not a signature?
No? Every single time we're here to
improve. And now we're here before the ZBA because I've made a commitment to go through the

1 process of every board, village, ZBA, Planning, 2 to get to this signature. And what we're here 3 for today is very, very simple. Your job is not 4 simple, but in terms of what we're asking for, 5 it's a parking amendment and a parking variance 6 and a use variance based on parking.

MEMBER GORDON: Yeah.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: Based on parking, in a garage that's already approved. And I was corrected today, it is a garage that's approved, not a storage garage, a garage that's already approved, and I fully understand that.

You're here as the ZBA to protect not Paul Pawlowski, not the SBNA, to the Village. I could guarantee you -- I've spent the last two years of my life, I've averaged 60 hours a week on the site and I have seen more Village residents go to that dinghy dock than any neighbor.

This is not about Paul Pawlowski or padding my pockets. I will live in one of these units. It's not about Paul Pawlowski the developer, it's about Paul Pawlowski the neighbor. Bought a property with permits intact and every single time I've been here to amend and approve. And we have a simple thing before us, because that's

1 what I've don;e. I've learned what was
2 desirable, what was not, what needed to be
3 respected. This Stipulation had been respected,
4 but there's always room for improvement and
5 that's why we're here tonight. And the
6 improvement lies between a use variance and a
7 parking request.
8 When it comes to use of this property, we 9 are not diluting it in the least. Those cars or 10 that kayak or that boat or that -- whatever it

11 has to do with that marina property will still be 12 in that approved garage, without effecting one 13 inch of the rest of the Waterfront Commercial

14 space. Okay?

As far as respecting Ms. Wade's and Frank, I fully -- this property is extremely scrutinized, and I understand the microscope I'm under. And I don't think the ship has sailed. I firmly believe that whether we want to admit it or not, what was thought about 14 years ago or however -- how long ago was actually progressive. Because it's not Sterling Cove that's all residential on the Waterfront Commercial, it is a mixed-use.

I could guarantee you that that first floor

1 will be used as a permissible use. And I'm
2 saying that because I understand the microscope
3 I'm under, and I'm not going to take the
4 \$16 million chance that I'm investing in your
5 Village to go against that law.

8 because that's my entire life on the line on one 9 property, and you think I'm going to take a 10 chance? I'm not. And I'm actually sick of the 11 accusations. And you're not here to answer or -12 you're here on a use variance. But I am

13 absolutely sick of the accusations that are put 14 before me.
And I will tell you this, and it's on record, that this will be used as a Waterfront Commercial space. And it is a hybrid; it's not Sterling Cove and it's certainly not all Waterfront Commercial. Because no one wants only Sterling Cove and only Waterfront Commercial has failed, the lumber yard failed, the oyster factory failed. And now we're 15 years later and it is only residential neighborhood and we're still protecting what the Village Board is here to do and that's Waterfront Commercial.

We will have a hybrid, we will have an incubator. I'm going to own one of these yacht clubs. And you know what? I cannot wait to invite the Village -- to have a maritime conference room. Whether it's for Wooden Boatworks or whether it's for Greenport High School that have a conference in my yacht club, or a neighbor that wants to host some sort of Waterfront Commercial conference.

I'm saying this publicly, I've written it. But I will tell you right now, I'm invested in your community. This project is a hybrid. This project does not mean the ship has sailed. This project means we can have the best of both worlds and that's what that prop -- that's what that entire neighborhood is. And we will be the first ones, the first property owner on that neighborhood to respect the Waterfront Commercial. Okay? There will be an active Waterfront Commercial of 10,000 to 15,000 square foot on that first floor. We're excited.

And yes, don't diminish the art studio or the gallery. Because I'll tell you right now, if you walk down Main Street there's a half of dozen of them that are doing very well, and the reason

1 why this place is so popular. But we will hit
2 every -- every -- we will identify Waterfront
3 Commercial, we will do what's permissible. But
4 more importantly than that, we will sustain
5 Waterfront Commercial, from now and for the
6 future, and it hasn't been ever sustained; we
7 will do that.
8
So I ask you, not for Paul Pawlowski, not for the SBNA, I ask you for the Village; give that person the opportunity to walk on the sidewalk and not behind 21 cars. And set the precedent to make the next developer that buys Stidd's, that buys Clarke's property to have indoor parking. Because if you don't, they're going to have to sit on the street and there's not going to be enough, and you could have both worlds.

This is my entire livelihood. I am a commercial landlord. And I know what it means to have a commercial tenant, I know what parking means. I know that we meet the Code for parking no matter what you decide.

MEMBER GORDON: Uh-huh.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: We are the exception to the Village when it comes to parking. We are

1 complete the exception. We meet the Code and 2 we're asking to improve the neighborhood so that 3 they don't have to look at 21 cars.

So yes, you have a huge job, and I think I meet every five questions to the letter of the law. And the hardship isn't just for Paul Pawlowski, it's for the neighborhood and the

And so this is an opportunity to set a massive precedent. I know the owner of Stidd's, and you know what? I probably will partner up with him and he's going to do the right thing, and I guarantee you you're going to want us to do indoor parking.

So, please, trust that I've come before every board to do the right thing, not only for myself. I'm a neighbor, whether you want it or not, and we're looking to improve and that's what we're going to do regardless. We're going to improve the dinghy dock, we're going to improve the landscaping, we're going to improve the green space; we're going to improve. And it's is the only thing after two years, $\$ 35,000$ a month holding time. At the end of day, as an applicant I'm only here for a parking variance and a use
variance. Why? To improve.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Paul, before you sit -(*Mr. Pawlowski sat down*)

Before you sit.
Has any member of this Board suggested that you were being less than honest on any of your applications?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Wasn't guided just -- these comments are towards everyone.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But this evening.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: You guys have been
wonderful. Thank you. But I heard a lot of accusations over the last few years and I'm done with it, as a neighbor

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As far as the last two years, we've seen you professionally 30 days ago.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yes, correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This Board was accused -- just to answer your question. I mean, I understand you've been going through this, $\$ 35,000$ a day, a week, or however long.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Whatever the cost is to you. It was suggested by some members from the municipality that there's too much foot-dragging

1 going on, there's too much -- the process was 2 changed just to get you in front of the Board

Nothing you've said just now -- we've done -- in defense of myself and my colleagues. We've disputed it when neighbors said you weren't going to do what you're going to do, we said we'd leave it up to the Building Department to do their enforcement, we'll leave it up to the attorney. So for you to suggest -- just to set the record straight.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Uh-huh

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's not the Zoning Board that held you up.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: No, not at all.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You came to us 31 days ago. It's not the Zoning Board that's costing you money, yet, maybe.
(*Laughter*)
It's -- so, I just wanted to get that on the record. And I do have a question for you.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Shoot.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We spoke this afternoon at the site visit and you told me that the required amount of parking that you had, you

1 needed was 61 spaces.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: So, on-site -- if I could just hit on that point -- we need three -- one space per 300 square feet. If we do get this approval for the parking garage area that would accommodate 12 spaces, we need 53 spots for the commercial space and the residential space. We have 60 physical spots, so we meet the Code by excess of seven if we get this variance.

To answer your question, it's not one per 150, it's one per 300 square feet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What -- when we spoke, we asked the total number of spaces on the property, and I believe the number was over 80.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Eighty-three spots currently.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So there's 83 spots -MR. PAWLOWSKI: That's 81 spots currently, 60 and 21 along Sterling.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Eighty-one spots currently.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And you need 61. So you could provide parking for the commercial aspect and the residents without using that 5,000
square feet?
MEMBER GORDON: No, no.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: No, not correct. No, the only way this --

MEMBER GORDON: You're only using the 21 spaces in the --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: No, no. To answer your question, if we -- the only way the Code requirement is at 53 is if you deem that parking, not commercial space. If we require that 12 spots of commercial space to be commercial space, then we would need an additional --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, where does the 81 come from?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: That is what we have approved currently today.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Without using that 5,000 square feet.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And that's including the 21 spaces.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, if you were to -and you say you need 61.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yup. I need 53 spaces by Code.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So what is it I'm not getting? Can't you remove that 22 spaces from Sterling and still meet the requirement that you have?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: No, then what do we call that 5,000 square foot parking garage?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Waterfront Commercial space.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Then I don't meet the requirement. It goes hand-in-hand.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You know, I just -I just --

MR. PAWLOWSKI: So we need 81 spots if you deem that 5,000 square foot commercial space versus commercial garage space for parking. So it's -- that's to answer your question.

And then the hardship becomes do we -- we need efficient parking for the commercial use and for the residential use. There's no way it's efficient to walk roughly 160-square foot -- 160 linear foot to the side door and over 300 feet to the front door from the rear parking lot. But to answer your question --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't do (Inaudible) and we don't do efficiency.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: But as far as Code, the only way we are in the code is if that five --4800-square foot of garage is deemed for parking spaces. If it's considered a parking space or a garage -- there's two different codes. So that 12-car space in that -- it would alleviate that responsibility of having that much parking requirements.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. And the last question $I$ have for you is -- it's related to parking, but -- looking at your plans, did you kind of read the Code?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Because I'm looking at -- I'm looking at your plans here and you have parking spaces -- none of these parking spaces meet Code, the indoor parking. They're 18-feet long, some of them are 8 feet 10 inches wide, some of them are less than 9 feet, some is 8 feet 9 inches.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: There's two sets of parking stall requirements, those do meet Code. There's two sizes that meet Code for parking.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What code is that?
MR. PAWLOWSKI: New York State and Village.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I thought I was
familiar with the Village Code. The Village Code says $10 \times 20$

MR. PAWLOWSKI: It depends on the use, then.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: (Laughter) I don't -you're going to have to show that to me someplace in the Code because --

MR. PAWLOWSKI: We will meet Building Department and Village Code if needed.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But if you go by these plans, if you go by these plans, you don't get 12 parking spaces.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: We do. So I will rectify that with the architects and the Building Department.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, thanks.
Oh, I forgot. Sorry.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chair, we have just at this moment only two comments, or -yeah, two comments. The first is from someone who is listed as dispatch; "Most people living down there do not" --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Wait, wait, wait. Are we going to take anonymous comments?

MS. ALLEN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Chatty says no
(*Laughter*)
I personally don't think we should. We've never taken anonymous comments before. Anybody that's ever made a comment to this Board at a public hearing had to identify themselves. So, as far as dispatch -- I don't know what dispatch means. Is that Mr. Dispatch or Benny Dispatch?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: All $I$ have is that.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Perhaps he'll call back or they'll call back. I'm not going to take an anonymous comment.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The second comment is from Katie \{Miraglio\}, 143 Sterling Avenue: "Hello, Mr. Pallas and members of the Board. I live on Sterling Avenue. I agree with Frank and Rick Harper as far as parking goes, there is a huge lot on Ludlam attached to the huge structure that was originally the parking lot. Also, it is imperative to keep a big enough Waterfront Commercial space to allow for a real Waterfront Commercial business. If we lose this Waterfront

1 Commercial, every piece of the waterfront in Greenport will turn into condos and Greenport will be lost forever. Given that this thing has been built already and should never have been approved in the first place, we should now take the steps to preserve what's left. Thank you. Katie \{Miraglio\}, 143 Sterling Avenue."

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Did Dispatch write back, or no?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I apologize to the folks at home. We don't allow that here, especially at a public hearing. And I don't -I think the members agree --

MEMBER GORDON: You're right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- you should at least identify yourself, you know.

So, the public hearing is still open. Anybody before we decide what we're going to do? Ms. Brennan. Name and address for the stenographer.

MS. BRENNAN: Hi. Cynthia Brennan --
MS. MAHONEY: Can you speak closer to the mic?

MS. BRENNAN: Oh, sure. Cynthia Brennan,

620 1st Street. Wife of Patrick Brennan who spoke before about being part of the mediating between Mr. Pawlowski and the SBNA.

Given our efforts in this for the past several months, $I$ am quite familiar with documents, both what had been approved previously and what's been proposed.

And to the question you had about the parking. The 81 previously approved parking spaces were really based on the full ground floor, the 1500 square feet divided by 300, and then 25 and a half spaces designated to the residential units. It also had been applied to the previously marina structure that had been approved and proposed. So, that also had parking.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The marina structure; we're talking about the storage building?

MS. BRENNAN: Yeah, the separate building that's not on the building permit anymore to be built. So that also had parking that was designated, so that brought it to the 81. So, obviously, since that separate building isn't there anymore, that reduced it, and then that reduction happening by essentially not counting

1 the indoor parking twice. So when you take -- if you put indoor parking, you wouldn't then also count it towards the overall parking requirements. Does that make sense?
(No Response)
One might assume. I mean, I guess you could. If you wanted to still call it Waterfront Commercial and count it towards parking requirements you could. But the assumption would be that if you're parking something there, you wouldn't then count that towards more parking. So that's how that notion brought it from roughly 81 as approved down to approximately 60, and that was just to -- because $I$ did spend a lot of time looking at those numbers.

And then the other thing $I$ just wanted to question really about was what $I$ think Rick, I don't remember your last name, brought up about this idea that the Zoning Board had previously approved a variance and, you know, as it was written in the denial. So I just -- it just puts a question out there as to what exactly that previous variance may have been and if there's sort of an assumption that one might assume that those 21 cars parked directly head-in on Sterling

1 Avenue would also have required some type of 2 variance for such a long curb cut.

So given that there were not variances granted when the stipulation was done 14 years ago, it just begs the question that there are probably a whole variety of things that could have required variances, but there is no --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You think?
MS. BRENNAN: -- actual language, or actual variances that were ever granted.

So I just think that there is this tricky situation with the Stipulation being the sort of default document that somehow has gotten itself to supercede any variances that didn't happen 14 years ago.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Stipulation -- if I'm getting it right, and perhaps you can correct -- the attorney, Rob, can correct me if I'm wrong.

The Description of Uses is not in dispute. I mean, these are the -- this is your document, this is the Description of Uses for the property.

MS. BRENNAN: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Stipulation just agrees -- just emphasizes, just acknowledges the
agreement between Village, Zoning, Planning, and it doesn't -- it doesn't list any land use in the Stipulation. It just agrees to the -- it just acknowledges that these five entities are signing Off on this modified plan that -- from the 2003 plan, or the 2001 --

MS. BRENNAN: '07.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- plan that became the modified plan in 2003, and then was signed off on by a judge that basically said, Well, if you guys agree, $I$ agree, you know.

So, the only thing that this Board has to go on is the Description of Uses that -- and that's what we'll use.

MS. BRENNAN: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I mean, and the only thing that effects us really is two, five and six; the building will have Waterfront Commercial operations on the front floor, on the first floor.

It goes on to explain prices and flip tax and that --

MS. BRENNAN: Oh, for the affordable units.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- doesn't effect us.
MS. BRENNAN: Right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's not land use. MS. BRENNAN: The one thing earlier in that Stipulation document, $I$ believe it's on page six, and --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I only have two pages.
MS. BRENNAN: Oh. Really? Oh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As far as the Description of the Uses.

MS. MUNDUS: That's separate from the Stipulation.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, I understand that.
MS. BRENNAN: The Description of Uses was an attachment to the full legal document of the Stipulation. And on page six of that document, which, if I'm correct, I think was written by the Village Attorney in 2007. It states that -- and this is in a section where it's describing the ZBA's part within this, because I believe that the ZBA had some legal action and this was part of how the Stipulation was arrived at. It states that this property is unique because of it being bisected by the WC District and the R-2 District.

So it acknowledges right in the Stipulation the uniqueness of this particular property which probably goes on to influence how and why this
particular stipulation came about. So --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the Residential District off Ludlam, that was the basis of an Article 78, $I$ believe, right? That it was a preexisting use.

MR. HARPER: It was a non-conforming use.
MS. BRENNAN: It was a non-conforming --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A preexisting, non-conforming use. So, that doesn't make what happened on this property unique.

MS. BRENNAN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Stipulation is what made this property. And I'm not even admitting it's unique --

MS. BRENNAN: Well, I think both -- yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- you know, because I don't want to -- I don't want to -- because we're going to have to talk about that, it's one of the questions.

MS. BRENNAN: Right. So, anyway, that was just a couple of thoughts I had. Thank you for your time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Yes?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chair. That individual identified themselves; 305 5th Avenue,

1 and the comments -- the comment is "Most people
2 living down there do not know that tractor trailers were always on those streets when plocks and Winter harbor fisheries were operating."

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: That is -- there are no further comments on the Board meeting at this time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
What -- what's the pleasure of this Board?
Are we going to close this public hearing? Are we going to --

MEMBER CORWIN: I make a motion that we close the public hearing.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
(*Laughter*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That answers that.
All in favor?
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
It's been a while, $I$ have an agenda here someplace.

There are a few things that -- Item No. 9 on the agenda is a discussion and possible motion

1 on the area and use variances applied for on 123
2 Sterling Avenue Corp, for the property located at
3123 Sterling Avenue, Greenport, NY, and the
4 Suffolk County Tax Map is still the same at
5 1001-3-5-16.4 and 16.5.

I apologize for the late hour, folks, but this is an important application and this is going to take a minute.

We all know that a use variance is of much, much higher standard than an area variance, and the Zoning Board doesn't have much latitude.

Just to -- just for the public, the members know this, I'm pretty sure the attorney knows this. This is from -- this is from -- this particular publication is from New York State Conference of Mayors \& Municipal Officials. These pages are from the Department of State, Coon's which is the outline, the --

MEMBER CORWIN: The Attorney to the Department of State.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The attorney who dictates how zoning boards are governed. Use variance; "A use variance has been defined as one which permits a use of land which is proscribed by zoning regulations, thus a variance which

1 permits a commercial use in a Residential
2 District which permits a multiple dwelling in a 3 district limited to single-family homes, or which

4 permits an industrial use in a district limited 5 to commercial uses is a use variance."
"A use variance shall mean that the authorization by the Zoning Board of Appeals for the use of land for a purpose which is otherwise not allowed or is prohibited by applicable zoning regulations."

It goes on to explain that these rules have been known by a famous case, the Otto Rules, and the first three questions that are part of the test are from -- codified from those rules. The last one, $I$ think the fourth requirement in the above language is based on a court decision.

So the first question is in terms of reasonable -- the applicant cannot realize a reasonable return. "No such use variance shall be granted by a Board of Appeals without a showing by the applicant that the applicable zoning regulations and restrictions have caused unnecessary hardship." That's the key to a use variance, unnecessary hardship.

```
"In order to prove such an unnecessary
```

1 hardship, the applicant shall demonstrate to the
2 Board of Appeals that for each and every permitted use under the zoning regulations for the particular district where the property is located the applicant cannot realize a reasonable return, provided that a lack of return is substantial as demonstrated by competent financial evidence; that the alleged hardship relating to the property in question is unique and does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood," which would mean that every other property in the Waterfront Commercial isn't subject to the same rules.
"That the requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood."

And lastly, the fourth question, "That the alleged hardship had not been self-created."
"It's noted that the overall statutory test for the issuance of use variances remains unnecessary hardship."

We have -- we have -- and now for the Board, we have Mr. Pawlowski's narrative. And question number one, we're not going to vote; we're going to talk about this first and then

```
vote on the floor?
```

MEMBER GORDON: That seem to me the right order of things.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. And this is the applicant's narrative. "In terms of reasonable return, we are currently approved for garage space that does not permit indoor parking." We've decided that indoor parking is not the issue here.

The effect -- "This effects that return on investment as if we are to permit parking, then we feel this will increase our chances to alter parking that is in close proximity to commercial and residential space. This request is with the area request to remove parking along Sterling Avenue for this specific area and use of the building, AKA garage. By limiting the garage space to storage only, it'll be roughly a $\$ 100,000$ negative impact."

I would ask my colleagues that if the applicant can rent -- refresh my memory, 12-units, 12 Waterfront Commercial units?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: (Nodded head in the affirmative).

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If the applicant can

1 rent 12 Waterfront commercial units in that
2 space, why wouldn't there be a reasonable return
3 to rent -- to expect a reasonable return if he 7 generate a reasonable return; am I getting that 8 right? was to rent four or five more units in that 5,000 square feet. So that 5,000 square feet, if used as Waterfront Commercial, would be able to

MEMBER GORDON: Well, then you would have to have more -- then, as I understand it, you would have to have more parking spaces. No, you could require -- you could then give him a variance on the number of parking spaces overall.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, right now we're talking about a use variance. If it requires more parking, then perhaps the application should be a parking variance.

MEMBER GORDON: Well, it could add a parking variance. But $I$ would ask a different -put it a different way. I would ask how do you calculate the $\$ 100,000$ negative impact.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's his statement, I don't know.

MEMBER CORWIN: Well, he mentions reasonable data. So someplace -- the data has to

1 come from somebody competent in doing this kind 2 of stuff on a piece of paper, I would say.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It says, "The mere fact that the property owner may suffer a reduction in value of property because of the zoning regulations, or the fact that another permitted use may allow the sale of a property for a better price or permit a larger property does not justify the granting of a variance on the grounds of unnecessary hardship. It's been held that only by actual dollars and cents proof can lack of reasonable return be shown." And it gives you case law, Everett vs. Johnson.

So, the fact that Paul says he would lose $\$ 100,000$, we would need more proof than that. We need a dollar and cent -- actual dollar and cent proof to that.
"In order to demonstrate hardship, the petitioner has had the burden of showing that the land in question cannot yield a reasonable return if used for each and every purpose allowed in that zone." So you would have to show this Board, the applicant would have to show this Board that for each and every permitted use in the Waterfront Commercial District, you couldn't

1 realize a reasonable return.

The second question of the four questions in the use variance test is the "alleged hardship related to the property is unique and does not apply to most of the parcels in the same zoning district or neighborhood." The applicant disputes that; he says, "This property is unique because we have the indoor parking space to achieve this and we have the property size to achieve this and we do not need any street parking. The request is unique because the nature of this multi-family." It doesn't answer the question. The question is does each and every property in the Waterfront Commercial District have to live up to these standards. What makes this property -- it's -- what makes it unique, it's not, because every other property in the district has to live up to the same standards of the Code, so.

MEMBER GORDON: But you couldn't subject other parcels in the district to these standards because we just don't -- I mean, this is a unique piece of property in the sense that it's very, very large, it's got this dual identity in the two kinds of districts, even before the developer

1 came to work on it. What does -- he says, "We 2 have the indoor space to achieve this and the property size to achieve this, as no other property that we might be looking at in Greenport would have."

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But we're -- we're confusing the issue here. This is not about -this is not about having the space to provide parking, this is about the utilization of Waterfront Commercial space for residential accessory use. We're not talking about we have the time.

MEMBER GORDON: So you don't think that people are going to park -- you think -- well, that's actually a good question for him, is are the only people parking -- if we allow it indoors, will it only be the residents upstairs or will it also be people who come and are using the commercial space as downstairs?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I --
MS. SCHNEPEL: That could be one in the same, no?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't know.
MEMBER CORWIN: But that hasn't been presented or discussed.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't know. Can we go to the next one?

MEMBER GORDON: (Laughter).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood.
"Use variance will not alter the character of the neighborhood; in fact, it will offer many benefits to the neighborhood over the current site plans. We offer sidewalks to the waterfront by hiding cars, improved traffic, offer more green space, walk safely to the public waterfront and" --

MEMBER GORDON: It's too bad that we can't give different weights to these questions. Because in my mind, the weight of that third is very important. I mean, certainly it's correct that we're going to -- that if this project happened with the indoor parking you would hide cars, which we have plenty of parking. I mean, everybody would agree, I think it's better to hide the cars, it's better to have no backing up into the street, all of that.

So, to me, that's more important than the question of the reasonable return question.

But we are not allowed to weigh the questions differently; is that correct? I'm asking.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's correct. Here's a -- in response to this, New York State has decided, it says, "Court had held that the applicant will fail this third test if it is shown that the proposed project would stimulate a process which, in time, would completely divert the neighborhood's complexion." In other words, the proposed project need not in and of itself alter the character of the neighborhood if it is shown that the project would set a pattern for future development and would, in time, alter the neighborhood's character.

MEMBER GORDON: But there's no room left in the neighborhood for future developments of any significance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: On that Plot there's no room, but there's plenty of room in other sections of the Waterfront Commercial District.

MEMBER GORDON: But not in that neighborhood.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, it's talking about a district.

MEMBER CORWIN: The marina, certainly

1 somebody could come along and say, I want to
2 build a house there. So there's that space.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The last question -the last question is the alleged hardship has not been self-created. And the response is, "The request is not self-created as the Sterling Avenue parking was part of the stipulation site plan negotiated in 2007 by the previous owners of the property. The current owner, with the support of the Sterling Basin Neighborhood Association, has made these proposed improvements to modify the approved site plan and our goal is to work with the Village Boards to benefit the community."

According to New York State, self-created fact and a hardship, "It's well settled that a use variance cannot be granted where the unnecessary hardship complained of has been created by the applicant, or where she or he acquired the property knowing of the existence of the condition he or she now complains of," in carriage -- in this case law, Carriage Works vs. Enterprise.

In addressing self-created hardship, the Appeals Court stated, "The courts should not be
placed in a position of having to guarantee the investments of careless land buyers. The same advice should apply to Zoning Boards of Appeals."

The question with this question number four is did you read the stipulation before you bought the property?

MR. PAWLOWSKI: (No Response).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just as a pause here, Mr. Pallas. There's no SEQRA? We don't have to do SEQRA?

MR. CONNOLLY: No, it's going to be coordinated review, the Trustees are the Lead Agency.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Trustees or the Planning Board?

MR. CONNOLLY: The Trustees, I believe. ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The Trustees are declared the Lead Agency.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we don't have to do SEQRA.

That's the way it is as far as the use variance.

The area variance, I'm -- I thought I was familiar with the Code. I would ask the Village Administrator -- we could read it, you know. I

1 don't know -- I don't know where in the Code it says we differentiate the size of the spaces for different businesses or different uses. But I'm reasonably certain, after a laborious process for the project down the street that had to do with parking, that 18 -feet long and 8 feet 9-inches wide isn't a legal parking space in the Village of Greenport. So I'm not even sure we can address this area variance.

The variance is for 12 parking spaces, none of them are legal. What are we -- what are we doing? What are we going to do?

MEMBER CORWIN: We may have to go down the questions and answer them and then have a motion whether this is accepted or not. Or --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry.
MEMBER CORWIN: It's getting late. We could always say we need some more time to think about this and do it next month.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't -- I'm not sure if another little while here that we should -you know, there's a lot of people waiting for an answer here. I don't think we should.

I'm going to give the -- and it's perfectly appropriate, too, to let the applicant -- do you

1 want to address any of this? Any place you want, Paul. I know you're tired of standing up.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: No, I'm -- from the -- from the last meeting to this meeting, I put forth my best effort to answer those questions based on what's approved and what we're trying to achieve. So I appreciate that question and I've done my best.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I tried to give you the book. I tried to give you the book.

MR. PAWLOWSKI: It wouldn't change my answer, so I'm trying to achieve what $I$ can.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You can't tell us that.
(*Laughter*)
MR. PAWLOWSKI: (Inaudible).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. We'll go through the four questions for the use variance. I have them here. I tried to abbreviate the questions once and David called me on it, and I have to read them.

MEMBER GORDON: I have a process question.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MEMBER GORDON: I really don't know the answer. If we -- if we vote negatively on one of the questions, is it -- because with area

1 variance, if you vote negatively on one or two of the questions you can still vote positively on the final question.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have the answer.
MEMBER GORDON: I was sure you would.
(*Laughter*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have the answer to that.

MEMBER CORWIN: Well, John -- while the Chairman's looking for the answer, I just want to note that these use questions, I don't think they really have a yes or no answer. They're really difficult questions to turn into yes or no.

MEMBER GORDON: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A final word on use variances, hopefully. "The rules laid down in the statutes and any applicable cases are requirements. They must be used by Zoning Boards of Appeals in reviewing applications for use variances. Furthermore, the Board must find that each of the elements of the test have been met by the applicant."

MEMBER GORDON: That's what I was afraid of.
(*Laughter*)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It goes on to say, "No administrative body may destroy the general scheme of a zoning law by granting variances indiscriminantly?" Did you write that?
(*Laughter*)
MR. CONNOLLY: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. We're going to -- we don't have to do SEQRA, so -- I'm going to borrow David's book here.

All right. The first question, "The applicant cannot realize a reasonable return provided that the lack of return is substantial as demonstrated by competent financial evidence." I'll start with Dinny.

MEMBER GORDON: And the question is has the applicant --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Met that.
MEMBER GORDON: -- met that. No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David?
MEMBER GORDON: No. I think that there is a reasonable return involved in this project and we haven't seen competent financial evidence. So, no.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm -- I'm not sure I understand the applicant's answer or where the

1 \$100,000 negative impact comes from. I do know that if he has plans to rent 12 units, there would be an expected reasonable return if you -or one of the permitted uses. So, I'm going to vote no also.
"The alleged hardship relating to the property in question is unique and does not apply to a substantial portion of the district or neighborhood." Dinny?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David?
MEMBER CORWIN: Yes, it is a unique situation.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to vote no; I disagree. I think it applies to the overwhelming majority of the Waterfront Commercial properties in the Waterfront Commercial District.

Number three is that "The requested use variance, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood." Dinny?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes, it will not.
(*Laughter*)
That's how it's stated.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Will not alter.
MEMBER GORDON: In other words, a vote for

1 the applicant's position is a yes vote, it will 2 not alter.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. David?
MEMBER CORWIN: I'm going to say no. The character of the neighborhood of that particular piece of property was supposed to be Waterfront Commercial, is going to be Waterfront Commercial. And using that parking inside the building, I think, will hurt Waterfront Commercial use of that property.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: "The requested use, if granted, will not alter the essential character of the neighborhood." I have to go back to Coon's here and use his -- that it's not only that particular neighborhood, it's that neighborhood in the future and other neighborhoods in the Waterfront Commercial District. So, I'm going to vote no also. And the last question is that "The alleged hardship has not been self-created." And that's -- Dinny?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes, it has not been self-created.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David?
MEMBER CORWIN: Well, this question is

1 impossible to answer yes or no. I originally
2 said no, I changed my answer to yes. If Dinny is
3 saying -- Diana is saying no, is that your
4 answer?
MEMBER GORDON: No, I'm saying yes, the alleged hardship has not been self-created, and I think that because he bought this after the Stipulation. I mean, if anybody -- if anybody's hardship was self-created, it was the previous owner.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, by -- well, let me ask you, maybe you'll change my vote. By buying the property with this Stipulation attached to it, knowing that that space was reserved for Waterfront Commercial space, will use that 15,000 square feet. Knowing that 15,000 square feet was reserved for Waterfront Commercial space and entering into a contract and buying it, wouldn't -- and now looking for relief from that provision; doesn't that constitute a self-created hardship?

MEMBER GORDON: Well, I think you can only conclude that if you really rejected the overall design of the mixed-use, mixed-district property.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't understand --

MEMBER GORDON: That was there when he purchased -- when he purchased it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we're going by -we're going by -- we have to go by -- we're not allowed to open up the document, we were told that. That this document would -- it was suggested by other boards that we can't open up the document, which we only have to address what's in front of us. And right now what's in front of us and what was bought was a piece of property that had designated 15,000 square feet of Waterfront Commercial space attached to it.

MEMBER GORDON: Well, it's pretty hard to ignore the history.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Okay. I was kind of hoping you would change my mind, but, David?

MEMBER CORWIN: I'm going to answer no in saying it was not -- not been self-created. No, it has, in fact, been self-created.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I agree. I agree this is a self-created hardship. I mean, when Paul bought this property he knew it was attached, I'm sure he knew what was allowed and what wasn't allowed. And if this was an area variance, I

1 mean, we could skip over this.

The next question is -- are you going to make a motion to --

MEMBER CORWIN: If you want, I will make a motion. I make a motion that we approve the allowed use in the Waterfront Commercial District, the first level of that building, as a parking space, an inside parking space.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Wait, before I second this. Wouldn't it be clearer if we just decided if we were going to grant the use variance or not?

MEMBER CORWIN: I'll do a do-over. I take that back.
(*Laughter*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just to make -- listen, I'm sure there might be -- only because -- David, not that $I$ don't like your motion. It's just that if there's judicial review or an involved party would like an Administrative Law Judge to look at this decision or -- I think to make our decision as plain as possible would be the better -- what do you think, Rob?

MR. CONNOLLY: I think you should make a motion to approve or deny the use variance.

MEMBER CORWIN: So I make a motion to approve the use variance. I'm sorry, I meant -we'll try for three.
(*Laughter*)
I make a motion to deny the use variance of the first level of that building as indoor parking space in the Waterfront Commercial District.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not going to second that. I think you just overstated it.

MEMBER CORWIN: No second? So somebody else makes a motion.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to make a motion we approve the use variance.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll take a roll call
vote. David?
MEMBER CORWIN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinny?
MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to vote no.
MR. PAWLOWSKI: That was weird.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think -- I'm just not sure -- the first question failed unanimously; I don't know how we could have voted to approve it.

1 So, you know, that's my vote.
So, you know, that's my vote.

I'm going to ask the attorney; does -- is it -- or maybe the Building Department. The area variance becomes moot?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I mean, I'll certainly defer to the attorney on that question, but it does appear to me that that's the case, yes.

MR. CONNOLLY: If the area variance was for -- specifically for the use of a --

MS. MAHONEY: Can you state that on the record? I can't hear you.

Mr. CONNOLLY: If the area variance was specifically for the use of the indoor parking, then it would be moot.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right, so we're not going to address the area variance.

A question for the attorney is do we still have to -- even though both variances -- the use variance has been denied, the area variance is moot. Do we still have to make comment about modifying the Stipulation? That kind of seems moot; no?

MR. CONNOLLY: I wouldn't think so, no.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I think that
that -- that's it for us as far as this property. Item No. 10 is any other Zoning Board of Appeals business that might come before this Board. If anybody's got something to say, now's the time to say it.
(No Response)
No? And then Item No. 11 is a motion to adjourn. So moved

MEMBER CORWIN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
(*The meeting was adjourned at 9:31 p.m.*)
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| 20:4 | 16 109:4,6 | 24-feet 5:24 | 506 13:18 | 88937.22 |
| 10032 6:23 | 16.5 36:12 129:5 | 240 11:14 | 510 6:12,15 | 9 |
| 1086 37:3,6 | 160 11:12 117:22 | 25 89:23 122:12 | 511 4:19 19:24 20:2 | 9118:21,22 128:24 |
| $11152: 7$ | 160-square 117:22 | 25\% 49:15,24 | 512 6:18 13:9 | 9-inches 141:6 |
| 11209 7:1 | 165 92:23 | 25,000 49:24 | 516 6:20 | 9:31 152:14 |
| 11560 6:21 | 16th 3:12 | 25A 37:18 | 52\% 5:4 |  |
| 11792 37:19 | 170 11:12 | $269: 7$ | $\mathbf{5 2 5 1} 37: 7$ |  |
| 1180 87:14 | 18 104:1 | 26437:15 | 53 115:6 116:10 |  |
| 11935 6:13 | 18-feet 118:19 | 28-feet 5:9 | 117:1 |  |
| 11944 1:9 4:5 6:16 | 141:6 | 297 6:21 | 5720 37:18 |  |
| 6:19,20 7:3 20:3 | 18-foot 103:20 | 29th 153:20 | 5th 127:25 |  |
| 37:1,2 153:14 | 182 50:14 97:22 | 3 | 6 |  |
| 11952 6:17 37:21 | 19th 3:20 | 34:2 5:6 35:9 | 64:4,19 5:22 9:24 |  |
| 11957 6:15 | 1st 122:1 | 3-feet 5:18 | 6-inches 5:8,9,12 |  |
| 11968 6:25 $1240 \cdot 1541 \cdot 7.19$ | 2 | 30 30:9,18 32:10,23 | 5:15,16,18,23,24 |  |
| $1240: 15$ 41:7,19 42:8 51:16 52:12 | 23:19 5:3 35:2 | 89:23 113:16 | 6:00 1:12 |  |
| 53:3,23 58:10 | 2,505 5:4 | 300 55:12,17 115:4 | 6:05 2:1 |  |
| 64:1 65:1,2,3 | 20 8:14 11:10 46:13 | 115:11 117:23 | $6093: 6,7107: 16$ |  |
| 67:18 94:16 96:24 | 64:18 85:5 102:11 | 122:11 | 115:8,19 123:13 |  |
| 96:24 115:6 | 119:5 | 305 127:25 | 600 42:11 |  |
| 116:11 119:14 | 20-feet 5:21 | 31 114:16 | 60s 89:3,6 |  |
| 132:22 133:1 | 20-foot 103:23 | 35,000 112:23 | 61 115:1,23 116:25 |  |
| 141:10 145:2 | 20-foot-6 11:3 | 113:21 | 62 30:3,6 33:1 |  |
| 12-car 118:8 | 20-square 8:18 | 360 6:14 | 620 80:25 122:1 |  |
| 12-units 132:22 | 200 54:15 | 389 6:13 7:2 | $66737: 21$ |  |
| 123 34:12,14 37:2,6 | 2001 125:6 | 3rd 37:5 88:22 | 6th 39:13 |  |
| 38:1 60:8 129:1,3 | $2005.058: 15$ | 4 | 7 |  |
| 127 36:25 | 2005.25 8:16 | 4 4:12,15 5:10 36:5 | 7 19:24 |  |
| 128 37:1 | 2007 45:10 62:23 | 4-inches 5:19,21 | $7.253: 8$ |  |
| 13 6:23 50:22 | - 65:21 72:2,19 | 4,000 54:3 97:2 | 78 127:4 |  |
| $13037: 4$ $13237: 14$ | 76:21,24,24 77:1 | 40 93:7 | 790 6:22 |  |
| 132 37:14 | 76.21,24,24 77.1 | 4317 6:16 |  |  |


[^0]:    Alison Mahoney

