	1
1	_
2	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
3	VILLAGE BOARD OF TRUSTEES
4	X
5	REGULAR MEETING,
6	
7	APRIL 23, 2012
8	Х
9	
10	236 Third Street Greenport, New York
11	April 23, 2012
12	6:02 p.m.
13	
14	A P P E A R A N C E S:
15	JOSEPH W. PROKOP, P.L.L.C
16	Attorney for the Village Board of Trustees
17	267 Carleton Avenue Central Islip, New York 11722
18	BY: JOSEPH W. PROKOP, ESQ.
19	
20	David Nyce - Mayor
21	Dave Murray - Trustee

22 Mary Bess Phillips - Trustee
23 George Hubbard - Trustee
24 Christine Kempner - Trustee
25

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

April 2	23, 2	012
---------	-------	-----

	2
1	۷.
2	MR. NYCE: It is 6:02, and I call
3	this meeting to order. Before we go
4	into our announcements, the Chief of the
5	Fire Department just brought in a letter
6	that he received from the State
7	Assembly. It says, "Dear Chief White, I
8	want to thank you and the rest of the
9	volunteers from the Greenport Fire
10	Department for your heroic actions in
11	containing and extinguishing the Ridge
12	and Manorville wildfires. The
13	Department displayed courage in the face
14	of danger and was willing to make the

15	ultimate sacrifice to keep the residents
16	of our community safe. You're true
17	heros and the efforts of your department
18	show honorable character. Once again,
19	I'd like to thank you and the rest of
20	your volunteers from your Department for
21	your heroic actions containing and
22	extinguishing the Ridge and Manorville
23	wildfires." I'd like to thank them for
24	recognizing this department, and I'd
25	also like to thank our department who is

727-1107

		3
1		0
2	second to none.	
3	We have several announcements.	
4	Tickets are available online for the	
5	Tall Ships event on Memorial Day	
6	Weekend. I do want to remind people	
7	that the tickets that are on sale now	

8	are at a discounted price. The price
9	will be going up two weeks prior to the
10	event. There may be additional
11	services family discounts at that
12	time, but as of now, the tickets are at
13	a very low price. We recommend that
14	people purchase them in advance. There
15	are seasonal part-time positions
16	available in parks and recreation
17	departments. Please apply through the
18	Village Clerk's office or the Village
19	Administrator's office. I'd like to
20	extend an invitation to everyone to
21	please join us for the Annual Arbor Day
22	Ceremony at the Third Street park on May
23	24th at 10:00 a.m. over at the Third
24	Street park. They will be commemorating
25	long-standing members of the community,

727-1107

1	
2	planting a tree and celebrating the
3	actions of the Tree Committee over the
4	course of the year.
5	Under public interests, realizing
6	that we just had a very nice drenching
7	rain, it has been a dry spring, and
8	under the dry conditions simple things
9	can start fires, and we have some
10	wonderful assets in the Village that
11	being woods, et cetera, that were in
12	danger. Anything like throwing
13	cigarettes out of the car window, glass
14	bottles can start these fires and I
15	would encourage everyone to please be
16	diligent. We also, as you know if
17	you read the paper or were at the work
18	session, there were a rash of
19	vandalism some vandalism where some
20	fires were started. If anybody sees
21	anything of that nature, please report
22	it immediately to the police department.
23	I'd also like to offer a congratulations

24	from	this	Board	l, to	chiefs	and	captains
25	elect	cions	from	the	beginnir	ng of	f the

727-1107

	5
1	
2	month. I'd like to congratulate them.
3	We have three public hearings tonight.
4	One is on ZBA, changing of our local law
5	for procedures as it pertains to
6	noticing public hearings. We have a
7	public hearing on the Conservation
8	Easement for the Exxon-Mobil property,
9	and we have a continuation of the
10	2012/2013 Budget Hearing.
11	We're gonna start with the local
12	law, ZBA. This was done to help out the
13	Planning Board. It does not have the
14	same notification requirements of
15	applicants that the ZBA does, so with
16	the Code Committee, at the request of

17	the Village Administrator and Building
18	Department and Planning Board, we are
19	looking to amend our Code to require
20	that applicants, before any of the
21	boards, Zoning, Planning, Historic
22	Preservation, where a public hearing is
23	necessary, that that public hearing be
24	noticed by the applicants by certified
25	mail, but, also, this local law would

727-1107

	6
1	
2	also require a placard be placed at the
3	property in question announcing the same
4	thing. So I will say at this time this
5	public hearing has been properly
6	noticed. Anybody wishing to make
7	comment can do so at this time and there
8	comments will be taken down for the
9	record. I would ask if there is anyone

10	who would want to address the Board on
11	this proposed local law amendment? If
12	not, I would entertain a motion to close
13	the public hearing.
14	MS. PHILLIPS: I make the motion.
15	MR. MURRAY: Second.
16	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
17	THE BOARD: Aye.
18	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
19	abstentions? That public hearing is
20	closed. I would ask that the Village
21	Clerk place this on the agenda for next
22	month's work session.
23	Our next public hearing is on the
24	possible Conservation Easement and the
25	Village's participation in that for the

727-1107

1		7
2	Exxon-Mobil property.	I'd like to point

3	out how we're gonna handle this. We
4	have representatives from Peconic Land
5	Trust here and Exxon-Mobil. What I'd
6	like to do is open it up to them to
7	explain the project and explain the
8	process, after which, I'll open it to
9	the public. Depending on the outcome of
10	the public comment, we will either
11	decide to hold it open or close the
12	public hearing, the Board will comment
13	and then we'll move onto our next public
14	hearing.
15	Joe, we don't need to explain our
16	portion of it until later on?
17	MR. PROKOP: They should do the
18	presentation first.
19	MR. NYCE: This public hearing
20	regarding the Exxon-Mobil Conservation
21	Easement was properly noticed and anyone
22	wishing to comment on this Conservation
23	Easement can do so at this time, and
24	their comments will be taken down for
25	the public record. I'll turn it over to

727-1107

April 23, 2012

1	
2	Stephen from the Peconic Land Trust.
3	MR. SEARL: Thank you. Good
4	evening everybody. My name is Stephen
5	Searl with Peconic Land Trust. Our
6	mission in case people don't
7	know is to conserve working lands,
8	natural lands in our heritage for now
9	and in the future, so I did want to just
10	kind of give a brief overview of this
11	project and talk specifically about the
12	Conservation Easement as well. The Town
13	had their public hearing already about a
14	month and a half or so ago, but we've
15	been working with Exxon-Mobil on their
16	property. For those of you who don't
17	know I'm sure you do it's on the
18	corner of Fourth and Clark Street, and

19	it's about two acres in size and we've
20	been working with them for at least two
21	years now to facilitate the conservation
22	outcome for that property. I think the
23	company I can let them speak for
24	themselves, but essentially it's like a
25	pilot project for the company, which, if

727-1107

## April 23, 2012

1	
2	successful, will hopefully be replicated
3	at a national level, and for surplus
4	properties they have, so we'd like to
5	try to achieve this, and like I said,
6	we've been working toward this for two
7	years. We would achieve this by one
8	party holding a Conservation Easement
9	and the other company identified the
10	Town of Southold; they have the
11	experience. They have a land

12	preservation department. They obviously
13	hold many conservation Easements, more
14	for farmland than open spaces, but
15	there's obviously a lot of similarities
16	in terms of monitoring and enforcing the
17	restrictions of the Conservation
18	Easement. The second step in this
19	possible outcome is to donate the fee
20	title for the actual land after it's
21	been preserved to Peconic Land Trust.
22	I would like to outline
23	briefly there is a handout here,
24	which is very helpful the summary of
25	the Conservation Easement. If anybody

727-1107

April	23.	2012
, .p	,	

1	
2	wants a copy, you're more than welcome
3	to it, but essentially it prohibits any
4	future industrial, residential,

5	commercial development, and I think it's
6	actually best to describe it by what it
7	allows, which is not very much. It
8	allows ecological restoration, it allows
9	passive outdoor recreation, essentially
10	walking, which you're allowed to do on
11	the perimeter the beach front of the
12	property now but it's possible that
13	down the road we may open that up to
14	have access through the property. We're
15	gonna have to see how that goes, but
16	that is an allowable use, and finally,
17	educational opportunities as it relates
18	to the restoration in particular, so
19	again, the educational opportunities
20	would probably be related to ongoing
21	restoration and possible marine activity
22	as well on the property, but that's kind
23	of a longer-term plan. We're not quite
24	there yet in terms of future uses, and
25	right now we're really just working with

727-1107

April 23, 2012

11 1 2 the company to try to achieve the 3 conservation outcome, which is 4 Conservation Easement on the property 5 held by the Town of Southold and the 6 property then donated in fee subject to 7 the Conservation Easement to the Peconic 8 Land Trust. 9 One thing we had talked about 10 prior was the possibility of the Village 11 being a party to the Easement and that 12 may -- in essence, they can help enforce and monitor the Conservation Easement 13 14 and the restrictions on the property. It makes a lot of sense. The property 15 16 is in the Village, and quite honestly, 17 will probably have the most interaction 18 with the Village because it's here, and 19 any kind of restoration efforts that we 20 do, we will be coming to you essentially

21	and any kind of improvements that we
22	make, again, they would be limited
23	because they'd have to be in the scope
24	of these restrictions, but so, again,
25	it's just something that this Board can

727-1107

1

13

April 23, 2012

12

2	be a part of they'd like to, or not, but
3	that's up to this Board. I'd be happy
4	to again, I did want to emphasize
5	that this still is a work-in progress,
6	and Exxon is going to meet and go
7	through their process, their Board of
8	Directors, which is a long process, but
9	I would hope by the beginning of this
10	summer that this could come, possibly,
11	to fruition.
12	MS. KEMPNER: Have you seen all of

the environmental documents? Do you

14	feel the remediation has been fully
15	complete? Because I haven't seen them.
16	I was trying to get a copy and I did ask
17	the Mobil representative and she told me
18	that she wouldn't provide them, but I
19	could get them from the DEC, and I tried
20	to, and I haven't gotten them, so at
21	this point, I was concerned with the
22	exposure of the metal from the last
23	hurricane. Who would have the liability
24	on the property once the transaction is
25	complete? If someone's walking on the

13

727-1107

1	
2	beach and they cut themselves on a piece
3	of metal, how does that work?
4	MR. SEARL: Essentially, we would
5	be the owners going forward, so we would
6	be responsible for that. I can talk to

7 Exxon --

8	MS. KEMPNER: I've spoken to them,
9	but didn't get much information and got
10	redirected back to the DEC, and I was
11	told if the Village didn't want to
12	participate the project will continue on
13	its current path with Peconic Land Trust
14	and Southold, so I was curious if you
15	had that information, because I haven't
16	seen it.
17	MR. SEARL: I can tell you now
17 18	MR. SEARL: I can tell you now that I do believe I have most of that
	-
18	that I do believe I have most of that
18 19	that I do believe I have most of that information. We are going to do an
18 19 20	that I do believe I have most of that information. We are going to do an independent environmental assessment
18 19 20 21	that I do believe I have most of that information. We are going to do an independent environmental assessment once we're further along in the process,
18 19 20 21 22	that I do believe I have most of that information. We are going to do an independent environmental assessment once we're further along in the process, onces Exxon signs off on it

## FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

1	
2	Exxon signs off on it, we will then go
3	through a fairly rigorous environmental
4	study assessment, so I can tell you at
5	that time yes, we will be doing that.
6	The Town also needs that.
7	MS. KEMPNER: Is there a value
8	assigned to the property? Is there some
9	type of value for the transaction that
10	the company values at?
11	MR. SEARLE: It's gonna be a
12	donation, so it's gonna be everything
13	the conservation easement is going to be
14	doing and the fee title is gonna be
15	donated as well, so Exxon, whether they
16	have an appraisal, that's yes.
17	MS. KEMPNER: There's issues. The
18	public property is great, but there's
19	issues with the lost tax revenue and
20	also the liability and what else was on
21	the property, just to get more of a
22	background as to what's open to the

23	public. I have a particular concern
24	because I live in that neighborhood and
25	if there's kids that are on the property

727-1107

	15
1	15
2	then I'd like to see more of what was
3	underneath there. I'm not really
4	familiar with what was happening there.
5	I have very little information about
6	MR. SEARL: I know there's a lot
7	of public documentation on this. I'd be
8	happy to get you privy to that.
9	MS. KEMPNER: That's why I was
10	curious if you had it.
11	MR. NYCE: Can we set it up that
12	they do their presentation to the
13	public, let them comment, and then we,
14	the Board, ask questions and make
15	comments, so if we could stick with that

16 format.

17	MS. KEMPNER: I just had questions
18	to see sure.
19	MR. NYCE: We'll preserve our
20	questions to the end. Does Exxon have
21	anything they want to add before I open
22	it up to the public?
23	MS. BROWN: Hi. I'm Maggie Brown.
24	I represent Exxon-Mobil. I don't have a
25	lot to add because I think Steve did a

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

	16
1	
2	pretty good job describing it. I guess
3	the only thing I would add is that the
4	Mayor knows we did come into the
5	community three of four years ago I
6	can't quite remember when
7	Exxon-Mobil was trying to figure out the
8	disposition option for this plan, and at

9	that time, did try and reach out to the
10	Village, to neighbors around there and
11	start to put out we met with the
12	Environmental Conservation, to the
13	community for open space would be
14	something and I'm happy to say we
15	have a lot of support for that option,
16	which is why we continue to pursue it
17	with the Peconic Land Trust. As Stephen
18	indicated, there is not a lot of
19	guidance out there for corporations
20	looking to donate their property to
21	Conservation Easement, so it's great to
22	partner with the Peconic Land Trust for
23	that, and I think frankly, it's a
24	pretty exciting project, so other than
25	that, I don't have a lot of more to add.

727-1107

April 23, 2012

17

2 Thank you.

3	MR. NYCE: I'd like to open it up
4	to the public for comment. Is there
5	anyone from the public that wishes to
6	address this Conservation Easement or
7	this project?
8	MR. SALADINO: John Saladino,
9	Sixth Street. I have a few questions
10	that I would ask the Board to Mobil,
11	and to the Peconic Land Trust. Is there
12	a recreational management plan for the
13	property? Conservation Easement, to my
14	understanding, you're supposed to file a
15	recreational management plan to let the
16	people know exactly what's gonna happen
17	with the property. I'm concerned about
18	the tax liability also. It's my
19	understanding that the DEC allows
20	a the State allows a 25 % tax
21	reduction on any property that has a
22	Conservation Easement, so Federal, State
23	and Town taxes would be reduced by 25 $\%$
24	up to the maximum of \$5,000.

727-1107

	18
1	10
2	would lead to nonprofit, so no tax, so
3	25 % would be a bargain.
4	MR. SALADINO: Well, also, I have
5	figures here that the Town gets \$3,300,
6	the Village gets \$3,000, and it's my
7	understanding the school gets \$16,000,
8	so it's a big distribution. I would ask
9	again, Mobil and the Peconic Land Trust
10	through the Board, what do we get in
11	return? We get the perimeter of the
12	property, which we kind of have already.
13	The fence is supposed to remain intact,
14	so we're not gonna be allowed on the
15	property yet. I kind of remember the
16	conversation three or four years ago,
17	and there was a question with the

18	supervisor, actually, he was involved in
19	the conversation, just how clean the
20	property was and the fact that there was
21	questions about it would limit
22	development anyway, so the property is
23	questionable as far as its environmental
24	standpoint and probably prohibited
25	anyway. Why give Conservation Easement

727-1107

	19
1	
2	and lose the tax revenue for property
3	you're not gonna be able to use anyhow.
4	The way I see it, the Peconic Land Trust
5	gets a stipend to oversee the property,
6	Mobil gets a tax break, and, John, the
7	Village collectively kind of gets
8	nothing, so maybe they could answer
9	that. Thank you.
10	MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184

11	Fifth Street. I was a little shocked
12	since probably in a year or two the
13	school district's gonna lose \$16,000,
14	the Village, 3,000 and the Town it
15	just makes no economic sense. The
16	school district, basically, is gonna ask
17	us for a 7 percent tax increase and Lord
18	knows what it'll be next year, yet
19	they're willing to give away \$16,000. I
20	think things have to be considered here
21	before yeah, they went to the Town,
22	they want to get rid of the Historic
23	District. Chris, nobody has ever seen
24	the certification from the DEC
25	MS. KEMPNER: I have it.

727-1107

April	23,	2012
-------	-----	------

1	20
2	MR. SWISKEY: Well, I can tell you
3	something. Go to the corner of Clark

4	and Fifth Street and dig a hole four
5	feet in the ground, what you find there
6	will make you gag. It's not been
7	cleaned up. I think it's incumbent on
8	the Village Board that plume has been
9	traveling for God knows how many years,
10	and they go on the property and punch a
11	hole in this corner, that corner,
12	there's techniques to getting around
13	where the pollution actually is. There
14	should be a full-blown environmental
15	assessment because I believe that plume
16	is probably someplace on Fifth Street
17	now if you dig far enough, because I
18	experienced it firsthand, and it was
19	like woah I think we better get
20	out of this trench before we pass out
21	because the stink is terrible, and I'll
22	testify to that under oath, so before
23	you even let them go there basically,
24	they're gonna give the
25	property Peconic Land Trust this

21

727-1107

April 23, 2012

1 2 pollution -- who's stuck with paying for the clean-up? The taxpayers of the 3 Village of Greenport -- 'cause that's 4 5 where it is, so this is something you 6 want to approach carefully. They say 7 they can donate it, then why don't they 8 donate it to the Village of Greenport, 9 and we'll take it with the understanding that if future pollution is discovered, 10 11 they're responsible for cleaning it up. I think we need to take a real realistic 12 13 approach on this because believe me, 14 that property is not clean. Thank you. 15 MR. MOORE: Hi. Doug Moore, 145 Sterling Street. I think one thing that 16 17 would be useful -- or in the dialogue of 18 the work session is to actually post the financial losses of the tax revenue. 19

21 are, so that's one recommendation.

22 Secondly, I think, since this is in the

23 Village, that the Village should be a

24 part of the process and not disengage

25 themselves because -- I just had a brief

#### FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

1

April 23, 2012

2	opportunity to look at the draft
3	agreement and it looks like there could
4	be certain limited developments on the
5	property promoting its use. I think the
6	Village should stay connected to that
7	because they have interest in knowing
8	what's going on, and last, issues that
9	are being brought up about access, I
10	think it's very important that the
11	public have access to the entire
12	property. The concept of leaving a

13	fence and walking around the perimeter
14	is no change from what already exists,
15	so I think, even though initially, from
16	restoration of the property, there might
17	be some restrictions for public access,
18	but I think at some point there should
19	be open access for the public and that
20	would maintain visibility and limit the
21	unauthorized use of the property. Thank
22	you.
23	MS. KAPELL: Eileen Kapell, 4th
24	Street. My question is very simple.
25	What do we get out of this? I live on

727-1107

#### April 23, 2012

4th Street. I walk that property every
 day, sometimes twice a day. It has been
 cleaned up. There's a fence with barbed
 wires, which is all great for the use of

6	the property. I'm a little concerned
7	about the future of this property. The
8	beach now is clean. I must say the
9	beach the metal is taken away by
10	Mobil; they did a great job, but the
11	beach was cleaned by a local 26 year-old
12	young man who cleaned up that beach. I
13	sent pictures to Mobil summer after
14	summer clean this beach. You would
15	be heros. It took a local kid to do it.
16	My point is if we give it over to
17	Southold, what do we get? It's
18	Greenport. I think there's some valid
19	questions that are brought up, so I just
20	ask that you be as cautious as possible
21	and don't give away any of my money if
22	you don't have to.
23	MS. OSINSKI: Isabel Osinski, 307
24	Flint Street. Hi. I'm concerned about
25	the pollution because there is pollution

727-1107

## April 23, 2012

1	2٦
2	there. I think Exxon-Mobil has deeper
3	pockets than Peconic Land Trust or
4	Southold Town or Greenport Village and
5	in the event that the plume goes
6	forward if it shifts, it's our
7	business and it could be a big problem
8	for our community, and I think that the
9	Village should think carefully about
10	this pollution. Regarding parks and
11	recreation, if Southold Town has you
12	know, Greenport's donated into this
13	fund, then the money for that could be
14	used for paying off our debt, and if
15	they want to have a learning center,
16	they could put it on 5th Street, which
17	is not polluted and is a nice facility
18	because there is money in Southold Town
19	and the pollution, again, you know,
20	people walk their dogs there also, I
21	really don't understand why Southold

23 because, you know, as a Greenporter,

24 we'd like to see Greenport have a key

25 role in this endeavor, and the loss of

#### FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

	35
1	25
2	income to the taxpayers is a big concern
3	for me. I hope you think about this
4	carefully. Thank you.
5	MR. CHARTERS: Gary Charters, 1002
6	Main Street, Greenport, New York, the
7	same place I lived when I left. I'm
8	very concerned about the Mobil property.
9	One, for tax revenue, especially for the
10	school district. I see them now
11	projecting about a 7 percent increase on
12	their taxes and the Mobil property
13	is I think it's assessed at \$16,000,
14	which puts probably 10,000 plus into the

15	school district, which it could reduce
16	their tax burden lightly. The Village
17	of Greenport itself years ago, I had
18	a sheet from the assessors in Southold
19	and they incorporated the Village itself
20	as more properties to offer tax than
21	anybody per capita. I didn't do a
22	comparison, but that's a lot. When I
23	was on the School Board taxes left
24	and right, and we managed to get a
25	little tree from the Village, a tennis

727-1107

April 23, 2012

26 1 2 court and a track; they cost some money, 3 it was a nice trade off, but it's got to 4 stop some place. I'm not in favor of 5 the Village, nor the Town, getting 6 together to remove this from the tax 7 roll. I understand there's gonna be

8	some kind of situation where Peconic
9	Land Trust is gonna be the property
10	manager or something, and at some point
11	in time it's gonna be donated into
12	Peconic Land Trust and then it's fully
13	off the tax roll not a good idea.
14	It's not a good idea. I would make a
15	suggestion that since it's in the
16	Incorporated Village that the tax
17	dollars that the school district loses
18	you recoup from just the Village
19	residents because we don't benefit from
20	this. They could get together with the
21	school and only tax the people who live
22	in the Incorporated Village. Thank you
23	very much. I'm not in favor of this,
24	taking it off the tax rolls, but if you
25	do, if you insist on this, I would

727-1107

1	27
2	suggest that you get together with the
3	school district there's a little
4	confusion there if it's actually
5	coming off, or is it off and the
6	Mobil property, it's Mobil Oil Company,
7	as well as any other oil company, it's
8	very, very, very solvent making billions
9	of dollars of our money, working with
10	our money, and there should at least be
11	some higher something is better than
12	nothing, so if it's gonna come off, I
13	would hope that the government's
14	involved with the Village getting
15	something. Thank you.
16	MR. NYCE: Is there anyone else
17	who wished to address the Board on this
18	public hearing? I'll open it up to the
19	Board for questions.
20	MS. KEMPNER: I think a valid
21	point was made about the CPF funds, and
22	we have a lot of other property that
23	could be maintained by the CPF fund.

24	MR. NYCE: The CPF funds could
25	only be used for properties that have

727-1107

	28
1	
2	been taken off the rolls with that fund,
3	so if we preserve a big bunch of
4	property in the Village it wouldn't
5	preserve the CPF funds; therefore, that
6	money cannot be used for maintenance.
7	MS. KEMPNER: They can't be
8	focused on any other property?
9	MR. NYCE: No. I've had lengthy
10	conversations with Fred, who wrote this
11	legislation when it first came in. It
12	has to be specific to the property that
13	is preserved with that money, and at
14	this point none of that money is being
15	used for upkeep; it's all being used for
16	purchase. At some point, if land is

17	purchased out, then the CPF will likely
18	be used for maintenance of, but it's
19	area to be used for the properties only
20	purchased with that CPF money, so we
21	couldn't maintain Clark's I'm
22	sorry Moore's Woods with the money
23	from CPF.
24	MS. KEMPNER: The Town could buy
25	Clark's beach or something.

727-1107

1

29

2	MR. NYCE: The Town could purchase
3	other properties with CPF money. That
4	CPF money could be used for just those
5	pieces, so there is a possibility that
6	this if transferred to the
7	Conservation Easement that some of that
8	CPF money could be used for maintaining
9	the property in the future, so that's

10	the only way CPF funding could be used.
11	MS. KEMPNER: Right, so we're not
12	even sure if the CPF money will be used
13	for that property?
14	MR. NYCE: It is it could be.
15	Whether or not they determine to use it
16	for that is up to the Town Board. The
17	Town's when this was set up, the CPF
18	funds were managed by environmental
19	preservation groups. When the
20	legislation first went in the Villages
21	were offered an opportunity to come to a
22	deal with the Town as a swap for
23	property and a share of the CPF funds.
24	The only village that did that was the
25	Village of East Hampton that I'm

727-1107

April 23, 2012

302 aware of. No one else bothered to make

3

arrangements with the Town.

4 MS. KEMPNER: I guess that's a 5 little off base about this property. I just thought there was gonna be a 6 7 stipend that Peconic Land Trust was to 8 manage it. That sort of effort would 9 probably be better in other areas. I do like the idea of having access there, 10 but I do have concerns about what's on 11 12 the property. The way it came up at the 13 public hearing, somebody mentioned the 14 property might have some issues, so I 15 wanted to see documents. I contacted 16 Mobil and I was told that they're public 17 documents and I could get them from the 18 DEC, so in that process of trying to get 19 more information, the person who's in charge of this was busy, she was out 20 21 last week, so I'm still unclear about 22 what exactly the concern is about loss 23 of revenue. I think it's not aonna be 24 built on because of residential zoning 25 and --

727-1107

1

April 23, 2012

2	MR. NYCE: Right, there are a
3	couple things with that. Not to launch
4	into Exxon-Mobil, but the property does
5	have a past that we want to believe it
6	may still be contaminated, as such, the
7	property's transferred as you know,
8	that liability is not transferred to the
9	buyers, it stays with the seller, so
10	Exxon-Mobil is limiting their liability
11	on the property. They're not gonna be
12	able to develop it. I had a lengthy
13	conversation with a representative from
14	Exxon-Mobil looking for payment with
15	taxes, and the Village Attorney and I
16	worked up what we were gonna propose as
17	a number of years at X amount of
18	dollars, and was told there were no

19	uncertain terms, that payment roll of
20	taxes was not an option. The other
21	thing that Joe Joe, please correct me
22	if I get too far off this. The other
23	thing that I need to redirect a little
24	bit is that we can't determine for
25	Exxon-Mobil who they give this property

727-1107

	32
1	
2	to. If they want to give the property
3	to Peconic Land Trust, we don't have any
4	say in that.
5	MS. KEMPNER: Right, but I think
6	they would respect the Village's
7	MR. NYCE: I understand. I
8	understand. I expressed to Exxon-Mobil
9	from day one that I was concerned
10	about the same conversation three
11	years ago that I was concerned about

12	the loss of tax revenue to the Village
13	and school district, the Town and
14	everything else. We don't necessarily
15	have a say in whether that happens or
16	not. If Exxon-Mobil wants to transfer
17	this property to Peconic Land Trust and
18	Peconic Land Trust accepts the property,
19	we have absolutely not way of taking
20	money from them in taxes; that's just
21	the way the system works. Again, I did
22	have a lengthy conversation with
23	Exxon-Mobil and was told that was not
24	gonna be forthcoming, that they felt the
25	donation of the property itself was

727-1107

	33
1	
2	enough. They were doing these projects
2	enough. They were doing these projects
3	all over the Country.
4	MS. PHILLIPS: I have a question.

5	Maybe Stephen needs to correct me. I
6	thought I heard him say that this was a
7	pilot project and that this was
8	something Exxon-Mobil has not done
9	before, because I attended the Southold
10	Town Public Hearing and was led to
11	believe that they have been doing this
12	all over the Country, and the
13	conversation with you being a
14	pilot came back to
15	MR. NYCE: It's a pilot program.
16	It's not specific just to Greenport.
17	Exxon-Mobil is doing this in several
18	locations at the same time. None of
19	them, to my understanding, have gone
20	through at this point, but this is the
21	process that they're using in other
22	places.
23	MS. KEMPNER: I think what they're
24	doing is getting rid of excess
25	properties, but not for this type of

April 23, 2012

34 1 2 arrangement. 3 MR. NYCE: They are doing this similar process elsewhere in the 4 5 Country. They are, in fact -- their 6 program, as I understand it, is that 7 they have identified, within this region, what the best use -- they 8 determine to be the best use -- they 9 10 determined what is needed, and that was 11 the property to revert back to its 12 original site because there's not a lot 13 of open space on Long Island, so that 14 was the program they identified for 15 here. 16 MS. KEMPNER: I mean, they 17 operated here and made a lot of profits here and there was a lot of 18 19 contamination associated with that. In 20 some areas communities are paid -- have

21	they been paid for any maybe Dave
22	Abatelli knows. It just seems like a
23	very hard use in a roll community for
24	many years without you know, they
25	remediated the property to a certain

727-1107

1

April 23, 2012

2	extent, but those environmental laws
3	change and evolve over time. Did they
4	donate land back to the Village? Did
5	they repay the Village? I know we asked
6	them about a Tall Ships donation. We
7	asked about the pilot. All of these
8	things have been rejected, but they use
9	this as an industrial use and they left
10	a lot of contamination in a very small
11	Village. For me, personally, I feel
12	like Mobil should benefit the community
13	a little but more.

14	MR. NYCE: Again, we can, through
15	the Town, ourselves, make sure the
16	property is clean, but this is what the
17	property owner's rights are
18	MS. KEMPNER: They're a major
19	corporation.
20	MR. NYCE: Major corporations,
21	unfortunately, have the same rights as
22	individuals; that's just the was the
23	system works, so there are certain
24	things we can't do and certain things we
25	can do. I'm not happy about I mean,

727-1107

	36
1	
2	yeah, who was it that said Exxon-Mobil
3	makes money and we're
4	MS. KAPELL: It's mind boggling to
5	me that you're sitting here having this
6	conversation

7	MR. NYCE: Please. The
8	conversation is gonna happen here and
9	then we're gonna give them the
10	opportunity to answer, which is what I
11	had said before. Please don't
12	interrupt.
13	MR. NYCE: Does anyone else have
14	
15	MR. HUBBARD: I mean, the way it's
16	been proposed to me the Town and
17	Peconic Land Trust are doing this. If
18	we want to be part of it, we could, and
19	if we didn't it was gonna happen anyway.
20	Myself, I believe that not that we're
21	approving this because we're not gonna
22	be enforcers of the arrangement, but to
23	have this happening in the Village and
24	be completely shut out I think we
25	should have some say and be part of the

727-1107

1	
2	involvement the best we can. Right now,
3	the loss of revenue is gonna hurt of all
4	us and we know that, but for Southold
5	Town to say we're providing support for
6	Peconic Land Trust, it's given to them
7	and us having no say all in it. We were
8	completely shut out. That's just my
9	opinion.
10	MR. NYCE: Again, Southold Town's
11	involvement in this is to hold the
12	Conservation Easement, which means they
13	enforce. They're not not specifically
14	involved other than holding the
15	Easement. The reason that they were
16	identified is because they have a
17	Preservation Commission. They have
18	that group already established and then
19	we were asked if we wanted to be
20	involved. That involvement, really, is
21	nothing more than making sure that the
22	Easement is maintained again,

23	unfortunately. Before I ask Exxon-Mobil
24	or Peconic Trust to come up and respond,
25	are there any further questions or

727-1107

	38
1	50
2	comments from the Board?
3	MR. PROKOP: I just have two
4	comments. As far as the obligation to
5	clean up the property, that survives the
6	agreement. It exists now and it would
7	not be related or eliminated by the
8	execution of agreement or the transfer
9	of ownership of the property. If
10	there's problems with the property it
11	should be dealt with, and it's really a
12	different it's different from the
13	considerations of the transfer. The
14	second thing is that the abatement of
15	the taxes is not automatic. If a

16	property is transferred to a
17	non-for-profit or a charitable entity
18	that that entity still has to go through
19	an application procedure to have an
20	exemption for the taxes and that would
21	have to be followed, in this case, and
22	I'm fairly sure that's something we
23	could review.
24	MR. NYCE: My
25	understanding Trustee Phillips and I

727-1107

	39
1	
2	attended the New York Conference of
3	Mayors in February, a specific seminar
4	on non-for-profits and the payment in
5	lieu of taxes, and I was told no
6	uncertain terms
7	MR. PROKOP: I'm not talking about
8	pilot payments. I'm talking about the

9	continuation of the tax assessment on a
10	property. It's not automatic that
11	MR. NYCE: They're never denied by
12	the courts is basically what we were
13	told up to and including recreational
14	use.
15	MR. PROKOP: They would have to
16	prove a public use. They couldn't
17	continue to maintain they'd have to
18	establish a public use.
19	MS. PHILLIPS: One question to the
20	representative from Mobil. If for some
21	reason, this doesn't transpire forward
22	in the way you're looking for, what
23	would Mobil's plans be for this
24	property? If, for some reason, the
25	Village Board does not continue with

727-1107

April 23, 2012

40

2	this and it doesn't transfer to Peconic
3	Land Trust and this whole project
4	doesn't happen, what is Mobil's plan for
5	this property?
6	MS. BROWN: There are no other
7	plans or considerations, to my
8	knowledge.
9	MS. PHILLIPS: At the moment?
10	MS. BROWN: At the moment, at this
11	point in time, the process is underway
12	with Southold and Peconic Land Trust.
13	MR. NYCE: Did you want to respond
14	to any of the other comments or
15	questions? I understand if you don't,
16	but I would encourage you to, if you
17	can.
18	MR. SEARL: Sure. I can respond
19	to some of them. I think actually you
20	touched on something and I don't think I
21	was quite clear about the future verses
22	the past liabilities. Certainly
23	clean-up. It would the company would
24	be responsible for that. I was talking

727-1107

25

April 23, 2012

1	
2	something if it's we're the
3	landowner, then it will be our
4	responsibility.
5	MS. KEMPNER: I can clear that up
6	for you a little bit more. If they trip
7	over metal, that's gonna be your
8	liability, but if there's a
9	contamination by chemicals or something
10	that falls under the environmental laws,
11	then that would be a liability of Mobil.
12	I have two concerns. One was I
13	really don't understand what's going on
14	there. I wasn't here at the time. I
15	haven't seen any documents; although I
16	asked for them, so all that metal that
17	was exposed is an issue because maybe

18	it's covered by water, maybe it's
19	covered by sand what else is under
20	there before we and how much money do
21	you have to look into that? I was
22	concerned I mean, the idea is great,
23	and I like preserving the waterfront
24	access, but for this particular
25	property, it needs to be done right

727-1107

	42
1	
2	because the well is still there.
3	There's somebody in the neighborhood who
4	has a drinking well. I don't know if
5	anyone's aware of that, so there are
6	other issues surrounding this property
7	that aren't just like preserving
8	farmland.
9	MR. SEARL: Absolutely. We're
10	going to be spending some time doing a

11	thorough review of all the environmental
12	issues, and I really think that part of
13	the purpose is to restore, make sure
14	that the property is cleaned up, really
15	restore the property, but that is gonna
16	take a cooperative effort, obviously,
17	the DEC is going to be involved, the
18	Village will be involved so DEC has
19	issued a no further action letter, and
20	they have compiled quite a vast
21	documentation. I'm not an
22	environmentalist, but we will certainly
23	have people on staff in order to review
24	that, and obviously the Town is gonna
25	want to know that and see that as well.

43

727-1107

Apri	1	23.	2012

1	
2	The Land Trust does have some
3	experience. We owned a waterfront

4	property, and we obviously have done
5	some farms probably not to the extent
6	of this property, so this is actually
7	why it's taking a long time to come to
8	fruition because there are a lot of
9	issues, legal, environmental. I also
10	just wanted to address another point.
11	There was a question about the future
12	use and the ongoing recreational plan
13	for the property. That's something
14	we're going to be working with the
15	community on. We don't have something
16	to bring to everybody. We were
17	hoping once this comes to fruition,
18	once this if and when it's reached,
19	sit down with the community and figure
20	out, based on the restrictions that are
21	there, what the future holds. Just
22	personally, and talking with Exxon, and
23	they've had some communications with
24	some neighbors, it's likely that the
25	fence will remain there for at least a

44

727-1107

April 23, 2012

1 2 short amount of time in order to 3 restore, and eventually we will open 4 that up to the public, so again, that's 5 something we were probably gonna get 6 into at a future point and hopefully the 7 community will help us reach and develop that plan. Obviously, we got some ideas 8 9 and obviously we can only do so much, given the restrictions. I also wanted 10 11 to be clear -- thirdly, there was 12 question about future limited 13 development that can't happen. I just wanted to be clear about that as well. 14 15 There can't be any kind of residential, commercial, industrial material in 16 17 commercial recreational structures. 18 There can be a bench. There can be some 19 very passive uses, but I just wanted to

20	clarify that as well. Are there any
21	other questions directed towards me?
22	MR. NYCE: The Village does
23	control the zoning of that property, but
24	the zoning doesn't dictate use, so it
25	could be zoned waterfront commercial,

727-1107

1

# April 23, 2012

2	industrial that does not mean that
3	the person has to use it as that. It
4	means that if they're going to use it,
5	those are the parameters, so the Village
6	having zoning over the property is fine
7	until or unless it's not being used at
8	all.
9	MS. KEMPNER: But if Mobil donated
10	it to the Village, we'd have full
11	control of the zoning, so that's
12	something if they were giving the

13	property to the Village then we would
14	have full control. It could be partial
15	recreational once this Conservation
16	Easement is put in place, then we have
17	limitations.
18	MR. NYCE: Sure.
19	MS. KEMPNER: So any metal would
20	be our liability, but the chemical
21	issues would still be the company's. I
22	just want to make sure since this is
23	a public hearing we're asking all the
24	questions. I think it's great what
25	you're doing. I wish you could buy

727-1107

#### April 23, 2012

46

houses along the waterfront because that
 would be more access. This one is a
 difficult one, it's fenced off. Access
 is important. We don't have a lot of it

7	MR. SWISKEY: Do we get a second
8	bite at the apples since everybody else
9	did?
10	MR. NYCE: No. Everybody else did
11	not.
12	MR. SWISKEY: You said
13	MR. NYCE: Bill, I'm not gonna get
14	into this discussion with you. I set up
15	how we were gonna do this and
16	MR. SWISKEY: And now they're
17	speaking again.
18	MR. NYCE: No. I said they were
19	gonna come back up and answer questions
20	that the Board or public brought up,
21	which they've done. If you do this
22	again, Bill, I'm gonna ask you to leave.
23	This is not a discussion between you and
24	me. That's your last warning. We're
25	going to stay with the procedure we set

727-1107

	47
1	וד
2	out. If you give me an opportunity to
3	continue to run the meeting we will get
4	to it.
5	MS. KAPELL: Run your meeting.
6	MR. NYCE: With all due respect,
7	he's not running the meeting and neither
8	are you.
9	MS. KEMPNER: I'd like to get as
10	much information as possible. I think
11	you're doing a great thing. I'd like to
12	see more involvement from the Village.
13	MS. BROWN: I want to be clear
14	about the remediation a point where
15	any liability any future liability
16	around any contamination rests with the
17	polluter, so none of that is
18	transferred. It doesn't mater what the
19	use of the property is. Secondly, in
20	terms of getting the documents, I'm glad
21	to help you get these from DEC. They

22	have	а	whole	depository,	Phase	1,
----	------	---	-------	-------------	-------	----

23 Phase -- I'm sure you know once you get

24 into the remediation it really doesn't

25 mean anything because the remediation

#### FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

	48
1	
2	reports are there.
3	MS. KEMPNER: They have a summary
4	of what was on the property. The
5	structures, what exactly was going on
6	the property.
7	MS. BROWN: Actually, there's an
8	underground storage facility,
9	offloading. I actually have a fact
10	sheet, if you want. The property was,
11	as we said, remediated, and I'm clearly,
12	truly not trying to be evasive about the
13	remediation 'cause it was done. We sent
14	you documents, which Kristen has on

15	record, which is a no further action
16	letter. I got to believe you're gonna
17	get the documents. There's no attempt
18	to be evasive about the remediation. I
19	heard somebody reference I believe a
20	plume. There is no plume beneath this
21	property.
22	MS. KAPELL: I have a question.
23	My question is simple. If the
24	property why doesn't Mobil clean up
25	the property? Why does the Land Trust

727-1107

1

Apr'll 23, 2012	April	23,	2012
-----------------	-------	-----	------

2	actually want to take on the property
3	and invest all this money to find out
4	where the pollution really is? Why
5	would you want to take that on? What is
6	it about this property that is what
7	is there, and why doesn't Mobil just

8 clean it up.

9	MS. BROWN: The property's been
10	cleaned up. I don't think there's any
11	expectation that Peconic Land Trust is
12	gonna have to go in there and do a
13	clean-up; that is not the expectation of
14	what's going to be happening. We
15	received a no further action from the
16	DEC, which means you have cleaned up the
17	property to the standards that are
18	applicable to an environmental
19	restoration, so no, there is no
20	expectation that they'll have to do any
21	further remediation. Stephen said this
22	was a pilot program. It is indeed
23	unusual I believe for Land Trust
24	to take what was formerly an industrial
25	use property and convert it back to a

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

natural habitat use; that's the pilot
aspect to this, and, in fact,
Exxon-Mobil is working with the Land
Trust to try and put in what the best
practice is around this, so there is
guidelines. Unfortunately this is kind
of new territory for ourselves, for
Peconic Land Trust, and we're all
working together to do it correctly.
I'm not gonna speak for Stephen. He can
come up and correct me if I'm wrong, but
the assessment that needs to happen is
part of their due diligence again,
I'm gonna emphasize that there is no
expectation that Peconic is going to be
doing anything, other than developing an
environmental restoration to further
enhance what is already becoming a
habitat there.
MS. PHILLPS: I have one question
since you're standing up there. Since
this is a pilot project and Exxon-Mobil

24	is not versed	in this	happening,	it's a
25	totally new c	oncept fo	or them	

727-1107

	51
1	
2	MS. BROWN: Well, not totally, but
3	
4	MS. PHILLIPS: I'm getting an
5	impression that this particular area
6	here is I'll be real honest with you,
7	I think they need to think about
8	presenting some type of pilot money to
9	either the school district or the
10	Village. I don't think it's fair you
11	know, we're hearing a lot, and I think
12	you need to take this back to Mobil
13	Corporation and say part of the Village
14	taxpayers we like it, its' great, we
15	like the idea, we want the open space,
16	but we're also a small village that's

17	now gonna lose out on this tax money.
18	Exxon-Mobil is a big company. I know
19	what I spend on fuel every trip to put
20	fuel on a boat, okay. The last fuel
21	bill was \$14,000, so I think in all
22	honesty Exxon-Mobil could be fair to the
23	Village of Greenport and think about
24	giving us some monetary amount.
25	MS. BROWN: I just want to clarify

727-1107

	52
1	
2	because I want to be very clear.
3	Exxon-Mobil does donate property; there
4	is nothing pilot about that. The pilot
5	aspect on this is taking the property
6	and building a restoration, so the
7	donation of land around the Country is
8	not a new concept, but I would certainly
9	take your message back.

10	MS. KEMPNER: I just want to
11	clarify one thing you said about
12	clearing up the property because the
13	process has been taking two or three
14	years because it is a little
15	complicated, but if the property had
16	been donated back already and the metal
17	is exposed, that would have been Mobil's
18	issue; that wouldn't have been the Land
19	Trust, and that's where it's important
20	to know what was on the property.
21	You're saying it's very simple, it's
22	very simple, but there's structures
23	involved and how much was removed,
24	because why was that metal exposed?
25	That could be something

727-1107

April 23, 2012

1 2

that --

3	MS. BROWN: There are no tanks or
4	structures on the property.
5	MS. KEMPNER: Right, that I
6	understand, but there was metal exposed
7	that was part of the structure that ran
8	into the property.
9	MS. BROWN: That was from the
10	bulkhead. You know, to be very honest,
11	I don't have an answer to your question.
12	I do know that when we found out that
13	was exposed we voluntarily went to
14	remove it. There was no requirement
15	that we should remove it, but we felt it
16	was a potential safety hazard and so we
17	removed it, but in the point of
18	question, I would have to get someone
19	back to you. I don't know the answer to
20	that.
21	MR. ANGELSON: Perry Angelson, 48
22	Front Street. You said the DEC signed
23	off on this?
24	MS. BROWN: No further action
25	letter, yes.

727-1107

1	54
2	MR. ANGELSON: Could we build a
3	playground if we wanted to? My point is
4	if it's that clean, are we eligible to
5	do that, or Peconic Land Trust; that's
6	my question.
7	MS. BROWN: I my understanding,
8	and I am not the engineer or the expert,
9	and I would be happy to look to the
10	specific question, "can you build a
11	playground"? if you would like, but
12	the standard to which it was clean is
13	appropriate to an environmental
14	restoration, that's that best I can tell
15	you.
16	MS. KEMPNER: Restoration or
17	remediation?
18	MS. BROWN: A restoration. It has

19	been remediated. Restoration is what
20	the Peconic Land Trust is looking to do,
21	but an environmental restoration of it,
22	because, as you know, it was a former
23	industrial land and they are looking for
24	ways to enhance the environment.
25	MR. MOORE: I just had one

727-1107

	55
1	
2	question. Doug More, 145 Sterling
3	Street. During the conversations I'm
4	not sure if it was Exxon or Land Trust,
5	the reference was made that this was a
6	petroleum transfer station, and I
7	thought I heard underground storage
8	tanks, and my understanding is they were
9	aboveground, so I just wanted to clarify
10	whether they were underground
11	facilities.

12	MR. NYCE: Not to my
13	understanding. It's my understanding
14	that they were aboveground tanks.
15	MS. BROWN: I'm sorry. I
16	misspoke.
17	MR. SALADINO: John Saladino,
18	Sixth Street. I also about the
19	underground tanks too, I thought I heard
20	her say underground tanks. Also, as far
21	as the plume, I don't know, but the
22	people in the Village are more people
23	that worked in the utilities I think
24	I was qualified to know if there was a
25	plume as opposed to Mobil. As far

727-1107

	56	
1		
2	as Mobil said they talked to the	
3	neighbors? I live in that neighborhood.	
	0	
4	The Village was approached three or four	
•	The vertage has approached enree of rour	

5	years ago from Mobil, as was the Town,
6	and they didn't want the property. My
7	question now is to the Peconic Land
8	Trust or the Town, why is the Village
9	out of loop? Why wasn't the property
10	offered to us then four years
11	ago this is now. Maybe your attitude
12	has changed since then. Why would it be
13	that the town holds the Conservation
14	Easement as opposed to the Village?
15	MR. NYCE: I already explained
16	this. First of all, four years ago we
17	weren't offered the property. This was
18	a program in place and we were offered
19	this situation, okay. The Town was
20	offered the Conservation Easement
21	because they have the Preservation
22	Commission, the land preservation that
23	deals with these sorts of situations,
24	town wise the Village does not You
25	asked the same question and I already

	E 7
1	57
2	gave you an answer.
3	MR. SALADINO: But it's a no-cost
4	item to the Village.
5	MR. NYCE: We don't have the
6	personnel to do it. The Town has the
7	expertise. Having a Conservation
8	Easement does not give the Town any more
9	rights over the property. The Peconic
10	Land Trust, they would be the property
11	owner. They would be the ones
12	responsible. The Village would still
13	have to enforce any code violations, any
14	violations that happen there. The Town
15	just makes sure that Peconic Land Trust
16	is following the rules that have been
17	set up for Easement.
18	MR. SALADINO: My point is the
19	Town, Mobil and the Land Trust,
20	regardless of what you guys

21	MR.	NYCE:	They	are	going	to	do	it
22	anyhow.							

23	MR. SALADINO:	: Why are we having
24	this public hearing	g then? Why are we
25	all sitting here?	If they're gonna do

727-1107

1

April	23,	2012
-------	-----	------

2	it anyway, why am I here instead of
3	watching Seinfeld?
4	MR. NYCE: They are entitled, as
5	the property owner, to do what it is
6	they're proposing to do. They could do
7	it without the Town's involvement too.
8	The bottom line is we're having this
9	public hearing because this project is
10	ongoing and we want to figure out how
11	and if the Village wants to be involved,
12	and we want to illicit public comment.
13	The project is already going on. As

14	Trustee Kempner stated, it's a good
15	project. There are some problematic
16	issues. I mean, the first thing I said
17	to them we're losing money on this,
18	and that's an issue, okay.
19	MS. KEMPNER: And the Mayor worked
20	very hard to try to get money from
21	Mobil. I mean, you worked really hard
22	to get a pilot, sponsorship
23	MR. NYCE: This,
24	ultimately this is a chance for all
25	the parties involved to listen to the

59

727-1107

1	
2	concerns; that's what we're here for.
3	MR. SALADINO: My concern is we're
4	losing money and the Village has no say
5	so in this project. Thank you for
6	listening.

7	MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey.
8	What really worries me here is that the
9	person comes here from Mobil, and we're
10	talking about pollution, and she says
11	the products spilled on the
12	ground there's no plume well, when
13	a product spills on the ground and
14	washes into the ground, it could the
15	water table, which in that area is about
16	eight or nine feet deep the water
17	table in that area moves west.
18	Basically, right now it's already under
19	my house, the corner in the middle of
20	Clark Street, it used to be oh
21	Captain Jansen's house. One day we have
22	to go down there and Exxon-Mobil paid
23	for the new water server. The plume
24	moved that far, and when you pumped the
25	water out of the well do you know

727-1107

1	
2	what a fit test is? I would think not.
3	You pick up a piece of sand, and you
4	take a match, the match turns a certain
5	color. When the flame expands and
6	changes color, guess what? We got
7	hydrocarbon products in the ground.
8	Now, if the Village wants to stop this,
9	basically, the Village has two things.
10	The Village can hire an
11	environmentalist, put test wells around
12	the area, come up Clark Street, down
13	Fifth Street they don't get these
14	wells, they're about 400 bucks a well.
15	You take samples and if it's polluted,
16	this property's going no place. They
17	want to threaten us. I can play the
18	game too. There is a lot of pollution
19	moving on that property. The DEC do
20	you know how you fool the DEC? They
21	come in, they put their wells they
22	come back oh, the wells are clean.

23	They don't tell you at the time they
24	were putting the buds in this well.
25	That pollution can move up to one foot a

727-1107

	61
1	
2	year excuse me, one foot a month in
3	the water cables, so maybe it's time
4	this Board gets a little tougher with
5	Exxon-Mobil. They send someone here who
6	didn't even know there weren't buried
7	tanks, and tells me if you spill
8	something on the ground it just stays
9	there. I mean, there's something wrong
10	here and I think it's time the Village
11	Board maybe you should call the Town
12	and tell them we don't want this, don't
13	go through with the Peconic Land Trust
14	Easement 'cause if the Town says no,
15	Peconic Land Trust can't do it. The

16	final option is that they take the
17	property, then you take it from them
18	under eminent domain you can use it
19	for a park you pay nothing for the
20	park here's 5 bucks, so it's time you
21	got tough with these people.
22	MS. OSINSKI: I just wanted to
23	point out that Exxon-Mobil, Southold
24	Town and Peconic Land Trust, I think
25	people in Greenport are more concerned

727-1107

1

April 23, 2012

2	about the pollution, and this is a real
3	valid point because we are the people
4	who are going to be around, that build
5	structures, our kids will playing
6	there if they dig holes. You know,
7	people walk their dogs there. I don't
8	understand why if we're not important

9	to this process, I don't understand why
10	they're asking you to join. I'm not
11	sure why. I think it has to do with the
12	zoning. I'm not sure why they're
13	talking about this because apparently
14	it's gonna happen either way.
15	MR. NYCE: Is there anyone else
16	who wishes to address the Board on this
17	item? As we have number of public
18	hearings, do we want to close this
19	public hearing for now?
20	MS. PHILLIPS: I think as we
21	gather more information, keeping this
22	open to get an opportunity to get the
23	information out and to continue the
24	discussion. I've heard a fair amount of
25	comment this evening, not that some of

727-1107

April 23, 2012

63

2	it crossed my mind.	I would rather keep
3	it open.	

4	MR. NYCE: I will offer a motion
5	to adjourn this public hearing to the
6	regular meeting on May 29th. Is that
7	agreeable? I offer a motion to adjourn
8	the public hearing to the regular
9	meeting on May 29th, 2012. So moved.
10	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
11	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
12	THE BOARD: Aye.
13	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
14	abstentions? That Motion carries. Onto
15	Item # 3. This is a continuation of the
16	public hearing for the proposed Village
17	budget for the fiscal year of 2012/2013.
18	This is a continuation from the public
19	hearing. I will reopen the budget
20	hearing for the fiscal year 2012/2012.
21	Is there anyone who wishes to address
22	the Board on this?
23	MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184
24	Fifth Street. Just a couple of

### 727-1107

25

	64
1	
2	all since the budget was presented on
3	March 20th?
4	MR. NYCE: No, sir.
5	MR. SWISKEY: All right. So,
6	basically, there's almost no money to
7	fix streets because our streets are a
8	disaster. A couple streets in my
9	neighborhood, one of them being Brown
10	Street, from Sixth Street to the bay is
11	like I was on it, and I couldn't
12	believe it. I almost lost control of
13	the Mustang
14	MS. PHILLIPS: Slow down, Bill.
15	MR. SWISKEY: Anyway, it's a
16	serious issue. No money is in there for
17	parks either; I noticed that. I mean,

18	zero dollars for parks. I don't
19	understand that at all, and then the
20	thing that really has me worried about
21	this, we got \$700,000 six hundred
22	something for debt services. Are we
23	gonna make that payment this year or
24	not?
25	MR. NYCE: I can't answer that

	65
1	
2	question because we're going for a
3	refinancing. We assume the refinancing
4	is going to happen. We cannot determine
5	for the State if
6	MR. SWISKEY: Well, that makes a
7	real big problem. You got \$600,000
8	630 something thousand dollars in there
9	to basically make a debt payment, yet
10	you're going for refinance.

11	MR. NYCE: If we don't refinance
12	it will stay in fund balance. Where
13	would it be coming from?
14	MR. SWISKEY: Except the
15	refi if the States goes along with
16	this refi because I got a copy of it,
17	and I wanna tell you, I never saw such a
18	worse piece of work in my life.
19	Basically, in the year 2020, we got no
20	money, we spent everything we got in the
21	bank, and we got five years where we
22	have no money to make debt payment to.
23	I think that needs to be thought out a
24	lot more. You got 600,000 extra this
25	year, you can basically you can save

66

727-1107

Apri	1	23.	2012

2 250,000 over the same period. I think
 3 the Board needs to take a longer look at

4	this refinance because this plan is
5	like I won't use the word. There's
6	one more item here and this one's been
7	popular for a long time. We spend
8	approximately \$42,000 a year to give
9	benefits to trustees, who basically work
10	20 hours a month, and we got people in
11	this Town on Social Security who can't
12	pay for their medicine. I think that's
13	just an abomination. I think it's time
14	that these trustees say we're not taking
15	the money. It's \$42,000, which we could
16	use to either fix roads or whatever,
17	make bond payment, but do something with
18	it. I mean, it's like it makes a
19	mockery of the work "public service." I
20	would urge the Board just to take that
21	money out, and there's one more item.
22	Sine I got the payroll distribution I
23	know we're not putting any money in
24	there for a TCO this year, but I see
25	last we got down here Code Enforcement

67

727-1107

1	
2	Traffic Control Officer 100 percent
3	payment out of an electric department
4	account; is that true or not? That's
5	basically a violation of the law.
6	MR. NYCE: It's got to be a typo.
7	We did not pay out of the electric fund.
8	MR. SWISKEY: Well, somebody who
9	is putting this together is either not
10	looking over it doesn't know what
11	they're doing. I ask this Board and
12	I'll come into the office and fill out a
13	FOIL, but I'd like the Board to tell the
14	public how you paid for this and how it
15	wound up in the electric account,
16	because that's just outrageous. It
17	would be a violation of the law. Thank
18	you.
19	MS. KAGEL: Charlene Kagel. I'm

20 not sure which version of last year's

21 document Mr. Swiskey has --

22 MR. SWISKEY: The one you gave me.

- 23 MS. KAGEL: I don't
- 24 remember -- perhaps you requested it
- 25 through a FOIL last year --

#### FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

1

April 23, 2012

2	MR. SWISKEY: Nope. This year.
3	MR. NYCE: Please don't interrupt
4	Ms. Kagel.
5	MS. KAGEL: In any case, I think
6	this Board will remember there was a
7	budget modification that was done for
8	the general fund sometime during the
9	year when we used contingency funds to
10	set aside some money for the traffic
11	control officer. If Mr. Swiskey has a
12	document that states it was 100 %

13	deducted from that's certainly not
14	where it was budgeted. It is a typo.
15	It is 100 percent from the general
16	funds, and the budget modification that
17	was done last summer was to pay the Town
18	of Southold for the general fund item.
19	MR. NYCE: Thank you. Is there
20	anyone else who wishes to address the
21	Board on the budget hearing? If not, I
22	will entertain a motion to close the
23	budget hearing for 2012/2013.
24	MR. MURRAY: I'll second that.
25	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?

727-1107

	69
1	
2	THE BOARD: Aye.
3	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
4	abstentions? Motion carries.
5	Now we can move onto the public to

6	address the Board portion as if we
7	haven't had enough already. At this
8	point in time the public can address the
9	Board or any item on the agenda.
10	MS. WHITMIRE: Good evening Mayor
11	Nyce, Board members, Madam Clerk. My
12	name is Beverly Whitmire (phonetic). I
13	am here to submit a written complaint
14	regarding the Village testing, Case #
15	2011-52. In summary, I cooperated with
16	the Utilities Department in a timely
17	manner and was, upon inspection, found
18	to have a violation of the "smoke test".
19	Herein, and in many more detail, I have
20	a two-page attachment with my
21	experience. There were five
22	occurrences detailed compliance that
23	was promised to me that are alleged to
24	have been provided or sent. They have
25	not materialized. There were two

727-1107

# April 23, 2012

1	70
2	occurrences where determinations
3	previously communicated to me and held
4	secret to me without my notification,
5	only to be discovered by me when I
6	contacted the Utility Department. There
7	was one occurrence when the Utility
8	Department inspectors signed a report
9	indicating his inspection on the same
10	date when he was never given access to
11	such premises. With this letter of
12	complaint and two-page attachment, I
13	await your detailed written response and
14	a closure to this matter. Absent
15	hearing from you in reference to this
16	matter, I may refer this matter to other
17	agencies. I have copies may I
18	approach the Board? (Handing).
19	MR. NYCE: Please. I will see
20	that we will look into this immediately.
21	MS. KAPELL: Eileen Kapell. I'm

- 22 trying to understand the logic around
- 23 the removing of the packing signs.

24 Clearly, the parking study that we spent

25 money on months ago -- the number one

#### FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

April 23, 2012

71 1 2 suggestion in the study was to enforce 3 what you already have on the books, and 4 how are people actually gonna know 5 what's on the books when the little 6 signs aren't there that say "two hour parking." Most people are generally 7 8 law-abiding. They will come to us and say, do we really enforce these, and we 9 10 tell them, of course we enforce them, even though we don't. I'm just 11 wondering, was this a decision -- did 12 this Board sit down and discuss this? 13 14 Was this a major reaction? Was this

15	something that this Board decided to do
16	to handle our parking situation in our
17	Village, which really for us it
18	really only lasts 90 days. I don't
19	really understand it, and I would love
20	for somebody to
21	MR. NYCE: When everyone is done
22	addressing the Board I'll go back and
23	answer.
24	MS. KAPELL: Could I ask the
25	Trustees? Are they allowed to speak?

727-1107

	72
1	
2	Mr. Murray, did you vote to have these
3	taken down?
4	MR. MURRAY: No. I did not know
5	they were taken down until a couple days
6	after.
7	MS. KAPELL: Anybody else?

8	MS. PHILLIPS:	I wasn't aware
9	until they were down	۱.

10	MR. HUBBARD: I was out of town.
11	MS. KAPELL: It seems to me this
12	is a vengeful act against the Business
13	District for not supporting the parking
14	meters. It seems pretty childish. I
15	personally know about your vengeful
16	acts, so I don't doubt it. I would like
17	to say that I think it was childish,
18	inappropriate, and it's not in the best
19	interest of this Village to create a
20	situation that would cause havoc. I
21	mean, the signs were helpful. Yes, we
22	don't have a TCO what was it really
23	about? You don't want to answer my
24	question?
25	MR. NYCE: I said I will address

727-1107

1	
2	it once everyone else addresses the
3	Board.
4	MS. KAPELL: Okay. Thank you very
5	much. Thank you all for your honesty.
6	MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey,
7	184 Fifth Street. Now, this ties back
8	to, basically, when I foiled for all
9	the attachments of this year's budget.
10	This was supplied to me, and your
11	payroll records and this, that and the
12	other thing, and, you know, I might not
13	think something silly or I can accept
14	the typo, except for Resolution
15	4-2012-9. All right, it's for the KVS
16	Real Tax Property Software, and this is
17	how we're paying for the tax software,
18	12.5 % from water, 12.5 % from basically
19	sewage, 12.5 % from rec and 6.25 % from
20	general funs. I can see the general
21	fund and I can see the water and sewer
22	because sometimes those bills go to tax,
23	but when I see 50 %, Mrs. Kagel, coming

24	from an	electric	department	expense
25	account	, I have a	questions,	because

727-1107

	74
1	74
2	that's not legal. Have you cleared that
3	with the Power Authority, that 50 %
4	expenditure? Maybe someone should start
5	looking at these a little closer.
6	You're basically gonna tell people to
7	pay electric rates outside the Village,
8	that you have to subsidize the Village's
9	tax program I don't think so. I'd
10	say you have problem in the Treasurer's
11	office, but that's just my opinion.
12	You'll address that, Mr. Mayor, on the
13	comment period, I hope the same with
14	the signs the Trustees didn't vote to
15	take them down. Let's go to 4-2012-14,
16	mass public assembly Non Such.

17	Basically, we're turning down San
18	Simeon, oh you can't do this fundraiser
19	for one day. San Simeon has a million
20	dollar payroll. Most of it comes back
21	to the Village. They employ Village
22	residents. They take care of our old
23	people, but they couldn't have the park
24	for half a day, and Non Such, basically
25	an organization that's only looking out

727-1107

1	
2	for themselves, gets the park in the
3	middle of July for six days. How much
4	are they paying us? I couldn't find out
5	in the Treasurer's office, the Clerk's
6	office or Mr. Abatelli. What is Non
7	Such paying us? And I don't mean the
8	marina, the use of the park. The park
9	is separate from the marina, believe it

10	or not, and if you're gonna use my park,
11	which is I actually have the right to
12	walk 24 hours a day, and these people
13	are gonna tie up this large portion with
14	a not a small tent, by there own
15	words, a big tent, it's on the bottom,
16	that's their own a big tent. How
17	much are they giving us for the use of
18	this park? We told San Simeon to go
19	scratch. We told the Greek
20	church they're a fairly nice
21	organization, but we told them to go
22	scratch, and I was in for the Farmers'
23	Market being there, but that's what this
24	is too. They got fundraisers. You can
25	buy their jackets I was amazed, a hat

727-1107

April 23, 2012

762 they want 18 bucks for. I can get ya a

3	hat for nothing if you need one. You
4	know what I mean? I would urge the
5	Board to vote that resolution down.
6	Tell them no, you can't have our park
7	for that long. I'm a little worried
8	here that this Board somebody agreed
9	to a contract with these people and told
10	them they could have the park before the
11	Trustees even knew about it. If that
12	happens, then there's something really
13	wrong. I would urge you to say no, you
14	can't have the park, Non Such. Thank
15	you.
16	MR. SALADINO: John Saladino,
17	Sixth Street. I was at the work session
18	and I'm reading Resolution 9. Trustee
19	Murray had asked what I thought was a
20	great question, with 7,300 \$7,334
21	to buy the program and then the
22	maintenance fee for each year. Did
23	anybody find out how many man hours it
24	would actually save spend
25	7,000 cause it might be a good

727-1107

	77
1	
2	program, but if it's not saving any man
3	hours it's not saving any money.
4	Resolution 11, you're gonna have a
5	public hearing about the Osprey
6	building; wouldn't that have to go to
7	the ZBA being that it's I have a
8	question on Resolution 14. I don't
9	agree with Billy that it's his park, but
10	I do think that we should get something
11	for people using it. I read the
12	rendezvous brochure. I understand they
13	have to advertise, and in my mind it is
14	a done deal. You are giving away the
15	park for six days. They're not gonna
16	put this tent up, take it down, put it
17	up and take it down. There should be
18	some kind of venue fee for the use of

19	the park. It's more than a couple of
20	people; it is a big tent. You did deny
21	other people from using it. I
22	understand they're marina customers. I
23	didn't know you automatically were
24	granted use of the park. Also, at the
25	work session, you had mentioned

727-1107

	78
1	
2	Resolution # 17, \$6,500 with JC
3	Productions to provide bands and sound
4	and stages, and now in the resolution
5	it's 7,350 [sic], so it went up. The
6	original fee was 6,500. Resolution 21,
7	Tall Ships. Could you explain what the
8	Village would be allowed to sell?
9	That's all I have. Thank you.
10	MS. KEMPNER: Buttons.
11	MR. ANGELSON: Perry Angelson.

12	About the parking signs, I was kind of
13	amazed that they were taken down because
14	they do kind of work on their own, and
15	then nobody here knew anything about
16	them; that's even more amazing. I just
17	don't understand that. There's five
18	people up there. There's also the
19	resolution about the budget as proposed,
20	and maybe I'm wrong, but Mary Bess, I
21	think you sent an e-mail out today about
22	the agenda. There was something about a
23	2 % increase,
24	MS. PHILLIPS: No. All I sent
25	out I'm assuming that some of your

727-1107

April	23,	2012
-------	-----	------

		79
1		
2	information may have said 2%.	
3	MR. ANGELSON: I thought I	
4	saw never mind. Thank you.	

5 MS. OSINSKI: Isabel Osinski. 6 There was a resolution for a public 7 hearing for a proposed ferry service between the Village of Greenport and the 8 9 Village of Sag Harbor. Mr. Mayor and the Board of Trustees, we are writing 10 this letter to the Village prior to the 11 public meeting about the proposed ferry 12 13 to voice our opinion about the docking 14 locations for the ferry. We're located in the harbor three doors down on the 15 16 river dock. Prior to the meeting -- we 17 have an oyster farm, 500 feet. Prior to the meeting, we needed to know where the 18 19 proposed documents for the ferry are and 20 proposed routes for the ferry and 21 proposed documents of what the Village 22 is going to charge the ferry. This information is critical now to the 23 public so that the hearing can give 24 25 precise details. If the Village is

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

1	80
2	leaning toward one location over another
3	we need to know that information before
4	the meeting. Our concerns is that the
5	ferry on the south side of the dock,
6	it will allow a route west of the
7	Shelter Island/Greenport Ferry, which
8	means it will go between our buoys and
9	the ferry. This west route runs the new
10	ferry over oysters. The potential for
11	pollution is a real and serious concern.
12	Imagine, all these boats bottle necking
13	through the fog. Already, we are
14	fearful with the Shelter Island Ferry
15	bearing down on us. Also, we need to
16	know, prior to the meeting, what kind of
17	light and trash receptacles will be
18	added to the dock, if that is indeed the
19	choice. This pier offers a much safer
20	dock for the ferry. All of our concerns

21	will be remediated by docking the ferry
22	at the east pier of the marina. We hope
23	that the Mayor and Board understand the
24	water pollution and oyster pollution of
25	the new ferry, and as a mother, I would

727-1107

1

# April 23, 2012

2	like to note that my kids are on the
3	boat, and I don't think anybody would
4	want their children by two ferries
5	that it's extremely dangerous, and
6	you add another ferry, it's extremely
7	dangerous. There's really no room.
8	Thank you very much. Oh, I would also
9	like to note that pollution on the
10	waterfront there's a lot of trash and
11	I'm constantly picking it up. There's a
12	lot of litter, garbage from the Village.
13	MR. CLARKE: Peter Clarke, Main

14	Street. I want to address the Board on
15	the subject of the parking signs. I was
16	very disappointed in the process that
17	eventually led to lack of support for
18	the meters, as well as lack of support
19	for enforcement, and felt the need to
20	acknowledge that the majority of the
21	constituents in the Business Improvement
22	District did express support for
23	enforcement and the timing, and I was
24	disappointed to see that the signs were
25	removed, and, as a result, I was very

82

727-1107

1	
2	disappointed that we got to the point
3	that we did, and in the process of
4	working on a meter and parking solution
5	that went according to the study, and
6	you know, hurt the public, that there

7	was a turn of the tide in support for
8	it, but I was surprised that they were
9	removed after hearing loud support for
10	enforcement, though I knew, and the
11	public knows there is budgetary
12	constraint over hiring and paying for
13	additional services. Thank you very
14	much.
15	MR. TROWBRIDGE: Good evening,
16	Mayor Nyce, Trustees, Village Officials,
17	ladies and gentlemen in the audience.
18	I'm Dr. Trowbridge. I used to be the
19	Village's recording secretary. Trustee
20	Kempner and Mr. Prokop, both of you are
21	attorneys. As such, I would like to
22	believe you consider it your duty to
23	uphold all the laws regardless of
24	whether you like them or not. Mayor
25	Nyce, at the March 26th Board of

727-1107

1	60
2	Trustees meeting you sated "FOIL Law.
3	The Village is adopting FOIL policies in
4	accordance with the State regulations on
5	the very same laws. The village has and
6	will continue to apply those policies in
7	the appropriate way, as they have done
8	in the past." The facts I present to
9	you tonight, along with the Supreme
10	Court's ruling, directly refute your
11	statement. Legally the term capricious
12	implies an element of bad faith, and it
13	may be used synonymously with
14	dictatorial, heretical or despotic. As
15	a result of an Article 78 proceeding,
16	the State Supreme Court ruled last year
17	that Clerk Pirillo and Village Attorney
18	Prokop's responses to a Village
19	employees's FOIL request were illegal
20	because that acted arbitrarily and
21	capriciously. I had hoped this behavior
22	was an admiration, that I'd not ever see

23	it again, but the evidence I present
24	tonight shows Clerk Pirillo continues
25	acting arbitrarily and capriciously, and

84

727-1107

#### April 23, 2012

1 2 she does so with the Village Attorney's knowledge and support. The evidence 3 shows Clerk Pirillo has has misused her 4 5 authority. Clerk Pirillo handled nine memos between July 29th and December 6 7 13th, 2011 to one of the two women she 8 supervised. Both of these women are 9 paid on an hourly basis. Eight of those memos address issues that are subjective 10 11 and are not easily quantified and are 12 difficult to objectively analyze; 13 however, the subject matter of the ninth 14 memo can be quantified and analyzed; 15 that is the December 13th memo, and it

16	says "Please be advised that per the
17	payroll department, payment for hours
18	worked is currently "Rule of 7," meaning
19	a lateness of seven minutes up
20	to is permissible, while a lateness
21	of eight minutes will be charged as 15
22	to the employee. This is the standard
23	practice for calculation of hours worked
24	on our timecards. Your timecard for
25	December 5th shows that you punched in

727-1107

April 23, 2012

85 1 at 8:38 a.m., which would mean that the 2 payroll department considers that to be 3 8:45 and discount your time worked by 15 4 minutes. At this time I would assume 5 that you were not aware of this 6 7 procedure and will credit your timecard accordingly. In the future, the 8

9	procedure as described above will be
10	adhered to for your timecard, as it is
11	for the timecards for all hourly
12	employees. Although I was surprised to
13	learn that the Village had a payroll
14	department, I was even more surprised
15	that this payroll department had policy
16	regarding lateness and tardiness without
17	this Board's approval, and without being
18	ratified by the union workers and the
19	union they belong to. The first
20	question that came to my mind when I
21	read the memo was, does the Village have
22	a personnel policy manual? Using the
23	Freedom of Information Law, I asked
24	Village Clerk Pirillo for a copy of the
25	current Village of Greenport's personnel

727-1107

April 23, 2012

86

2	policy manual. The document she gave me
3	she identified as the Village's
4	personnel policy manual. It does not
5	mention the Rule of. 7, indeed there is
6	no mention of tardiness or being late
7	for work in the document she gave me.
8	Additionally, neither the Village's
9	union workers, nor the Civil Service
10	Employee Association has ratified that
11	document. What's so funny?
12	MR. NYCE: There was no laugh.
13	MR. TROWBRIDGE: The Village of
14	Greenport currently has no legal
15	personnel policy manual. The document
16	Village Clerk Pirillo passed onto me, as
17	being the personnel policy manual, has
18	not been ratified, and Village Clerk
19	Pirillo has incorrectly responded to my
20	FOIL request. The document she gave me
21	should have had a note on it stating it
22	was yet to be ratified by Village
23	members and the union they belong to and
24	is therefore on the Village's proposed

personnel policy manual at this time.

### FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

25

1

April 23, 2012

2	According to Albert Einstein, whoever is
3	careless of the truth in small matters
4	cannot be trusted with important
5	matters. Clerk Pirillo has been very
6	careless with the truth in this small
7	matter. Quite simply, the village does
8	not have a personnel policy or a
9	personnel policy manual, and that is
10	what Clerk Pirillo should have written
11	me in response to my FOIL request, so to
12	conclude, the Village has no rule
13	concerning tardiness or lateness, and
14	the Village has no Rule of 7; therefore,
15	Clerk Pirillo's handwritten December
16	13th, 2011 memo is another example of
17	Clerk Pirillo arbitrarily and

18	capriciously fabricating a policy that
19	she used in her attempts to continue
20	harassing the employees she gave this
21	memo to. To continue, Clerk Pirillo
22	states in her December 13th memo, "the
23	procedure described above will be
24	adhered to for your timecards as it is
25	for the timecards for all hourly

727-1107

	88
1	
2	employees, so I asked, had any of the
3	other Village hourly employees been
4	subjected to this Rule of 7? Again,
5	using the Freedom of Information Law, I
6	asked that Clerk Pirillo provide me with
7	copies of the front and back of each
8	timecard for all of the Village's hourly
9	employees for each time period from June
10	of 2011 to December 20th, 2011. Her

11	response to this FOIL request was
12	particularly arbitrary, untimely and
13	capricious. Her final response to my
14	request was on April 10th, 2012. It
15	took nearly four months for Clerk
16	Pirillo to provide me with most, but not
17	all, of the requested documents. There
18	are 29 weekly time periods between June
19	7th and December 20th, 2011. The
20	Village employs 27 hourly employees, so
21	Clerk Pirillo should have given me
22	copies of the front and back of 29
23	timecards for each of the 27 hourly
24	employees; however, Clerk Pirillo gave
25	me timecards for only 26 employees. She

727-1107

# April 23, 2012

89
2 gave me copies of only 14 timecards for
3 another employee, so her response was

4	short 15 timecards. Clerk Pirillo gave
5	me copies of an additional 22 timecards
6	for yet another employee. These
7	timecards cover time periods going back
8	to January 4th, 2011, weekly time
9	periods I have not requested. She gave
10	me two copies of the 26 timecards for
11	another employee. I calculated her
12	responses to my request in this table.
13	You can see the red boxes. They
14	indicate no timecard was given to me for
15	that time period for each employee.
16	There are yellow boxes on that too that
17	showed I was given two copies of that
18	timecard. Do you need more evidence to
19	show you how ridiculous this response
20	is? But I digress. My reason for
21	obtaining these timecards was to
22	determine if other Village hourly
23	employees were being held for the Rule
24	of 7. What Clerk Pirillo stated in her
25	December 13th memo was the standard

90

727-1107

1	
2	practice following our process on our
3	timecards. First I must compliment
4	three people: Pete Manmurry (phonetic),
5	Jim Fogarty and Ray Dunbar. You are to
6	be commended for your supervisory
7	skills. You and the men you supervise
8	obviously have an extremely high work
9	ethic. These employees, with maybe one
10	or two exceptions, always punch in and
11	out on time, Pete, Jim and Ray, you and
12	the men you work with it is obvious
13	you represent the very finest. Well
14	done. Keep up the good fine work and
15	continue setting, what is truly an
16	example of how civil servants serve
17	taxpayers. I take my hat off to them.
18	Unfortunately, six of the nine hourly
19	workers in Village Hall represent the

20	opposite side of the coin, with the
21	exception of the two hourly workers who
22	work in the front office and who deal
23	with the public every day, the timecards
24	of six of the remaining workers
25	demonstrate a very lackadaisical

727-1107

1

April 23, 2012

2	constraint on tardiness and punctuality.
3	Indeed, two workers, more often than
4	not, arrive at 9:00 rather than 8:30
5	a.m., and the remaining hourly workers
6	come and go as they please with no
7	regard for their assigned work hours.
8	One has to question, have they been
9	assigned work hours? They seem to
10	believe they can replace the time they
11	are late with not taking time for a
12	lunch break; that's illegal. They

13	obviously have no set work hours. Not
14	one of these six hourly workers has a
15	notation written on any of their 29
16	timecards by either their supervisors or
17	by the payroll department about their
18	tardiness, about the Rule of 7 being
19	applied to them or about being docked
20	for being late to work. Their timecards
21	demonstrate they have very poor
22	supervisors and the payroll department
23	referred to in Clerk Pirillo's December
24	15th memo is not doing its job or is
25	being arbitrary and capricious too;

727-1107

### April 23, 2012

92 1 2 maybe that's the problem, so I've given 3 you another example of the Village of 4 Greenport's Clerk acting capriciously in 5 her treatment of one Village employee,

6	and as a result of what she started
7	you've now been shown there are two
8	classes of employees and how some of the
9	Village employees are treated
10	arbitrarily, capriciously and unfairly
11	too. A famous very intelligent man said
12	
13	MR. MURRAY: Excuse me, Dr.
14	Trowbridge, do you think we can stop
15	this crap? This is getting ridiculous.
16	DR. TROWBRIDGE: No, you're the
17	ridiculous one.
18	MR. MURRAY: You come here every
19	week and
20	DR. TROWBRIDGE: You bet I am.
21	A very famous intelligent man said
22	insanity is doing the same thing over
23	and over again and expecting different
24	results. Mayor Nyce and Clerk Pirillo,
25	thank you for retaliating against me and

727-1107

# April 23, 2012

	93
1	
2	against this December 13th memo. Thank
3	you for your time.
4	MS. KAPELL: When you answer my
5	question, will I get to speak to you
6	again? I'd just like to say you
7	shouldn't be doing that.
8	MR. NYCE: You've made your point
9	abundantly clear. Is there anyone else
10	who wishes to address the Board?
11	MS. KAGEL: Resolution # 9 was
12	brought to my attention today when I
13	came to the office. Jack stopped into
14	my office this afternoon and asked me
15	how the allocation was determined or how
16	the KVS software was to be paid for.
17	When I was in Florida last week, my
18	deputy had asked me how he would
19	allocate the payment consistent with
20	how Haris (phonetic) Software was
21	purchased, and believe it or not, that

22	is how the software was purchased, so
23	therefore, we were going to propose an
24	amendment to the resolution, in taking
25	out the 50 % to the electric fund and

727-1107

Apri	1	23.	2012
· · P · · ·		,	

	94
1	
2	have that allocated to the general fund.
3	MR. NYCE: I will make that
4	change. We have a long list here.
5	Sub-agreements for the merchandising
6	agreement for items outside of
7	the that is the property of Tall
8	Ships America. The sub-agreement allows
9	for Village people to produce and sell
10	posters of the event and buttons; those
11	are the only things identified by the
12	business district. The rest of the
13	merchandising will be done by Tall Ships
14	America. The Village will receive 15 %

15 of the proceeds.

16	MR. MURRAY: But I think to answer
17	Mr. Saladino's question, you are
18	allowed merchants are allowed to sell
19	T-shirts, but without the Tall Ships
20	logo. They could have another type of a
21	logo.
22	MR. NYCE: It cannot say Greenport
23	Tall Ships 2012. It can't say any of
24	that. It's not just an event here; it's
25	an event up the entire East Coast. You

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

1

April	23,	2012
-------	-----	------

2	cannot use the words "Tall Ships." I
3	will get information out I will ask
4	the Village Administrator to get
5	information out about the proposed ferry
6	service and have that available at
7	Village Hall. That would include the

8	projected route, landing spot, et
9	cetera, et cetera. JC Productions is
10	Resolution # 17. That price is
11	increased better than ten bands, two
12	stages and a sound system was \$6,500.
13	We added a second stage and that raised
14	the cost with the additional PA system.
15	That now totals 7,350. The rendezvous
16	by Non Such group, there has been a
17	policy that this Village has held as
18	long I can remember, the groups that
19	come here, the ships that come here,
20	greater than I believe it's ten or
21	more I believe it's 12 and rent
22	out slips at full price in the marinas,
23	they are offered to set up at tent at
24	their expense to cater at their expense
25	an event in the park. Part of the

727-1107

1	
2	selling point to that marina has been
3	the case as long as I can remember.
4	MR. MURRAY: That group has been
5	renting out slips at \$15,000, so to give
6	them a tent is not bad.
7	MR. NYCE: Resolution # 10 is the
8	proposed budget, and there will be a
9	proposed amendment that I am going to
10	present based on the presentation by the
11	Village treasurer at the work session
12	regarding the refinance and the setting
13	up of a reserve for debt. To Mr.
14	Trowbridge's dissertation, if you please
15	submit a copy, I'll have Labor Council
16	give a response to it. I would like to
17	point out that Mr. Trowbridge is related
18	to one of the Village employees and has
19	taken personal interest. He is also not
20	a resident of the Village of Greenport.
21	He is a resident of East Marion. The
22	last item as to the parking
23	signs First of all, there was no

24	mone	y paid	to	do	the	parkir	ng st	tudy;	it
25	was	free.	We	wen	t tł	nrough	the	enti	ъ

727-1107

	97
1	
2	process and we have no money in our
3	budget for the enforcement of the
4	parking regulations. The parking signs
5	were not put up by resolution of the
6	Board. They were put up last year for
7	the TCO. We don't have a TCO this year,
8	so I asked that they'd be taken down.
9	We have a major event coming up on
10	Memorial Day. We are making sure that
11	all of out signage is correct. We are
12	also cleaning sidewalks, planting flower
13	beads, doing a whole long list of
14	things. We are not using the signs. I
15	instructed a whole long list of what
16	needed to be done to get ready for

17	Memorial Day; taking done the parking
18	signs was one of those items. They will
19	be put aside until such time, as we will
20	enforce the parking regulations. I am
21	hopeful we will be able to work with the
22	BID in the next budget year to try and
23	get parking enforcement paid for by
24	Southold Town. In the meantime,
25	Southold Town told me they will not

727-1107

1

# April 23, 2012

2	enforce our parking regulations because
3	they do not have the manpower to do so.
4	Having the signs up, at this point, does
5	
-	not make a difference. The signs are
6	prone to graffiti. The signs are prone
7	to vandalism, and yes, as such, I asked
8	they be taken back down. They can be
9	put back up at the time when we are

10 ready to use them again.

MS. KAPELL: Excuse me, you're
using the word "we"
MR. NYCE: You are out of order.
MS. KAPELL: I don't care. You
are out of order. What are you gonna
ask me to do? Not speak in public?
MR. NYCEl Ms. Kapell, I would ask
that you either come to order or leave.
MS. KAPELL: You're using the word
"we" and you did not inform your Board.
You do a lot of things without your
Board's input.
MR. NYCE: Ms. Kapell, you are out
of order.
MS. KAPELL: All I'm saying to you

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

1										99
T										
2	is	that	you	are	one	man	on	this	Board	

3	and the Trustees should be able to vote
4	on things that happen.
5	MR. NYCE: Let me explain to you
6	how this works. The trustees are
7	legislators. The law for the signage,
8	the Board votes for. The signs are not
9	being used on a day-to-day basis
10	MS. KAPELL: They are you are
11	not a king.
12	MR. NYCE: Ready to move on to the
13	regular agenda.
14	I need to make a correction on
15	Resolution 9, that line item A.1325
16	should read 56.25, not 6.25% I'm
17	sorry 1325 should read 56.25,
18	understanding we may need to do a budget
19	amendment later on. I believe that's the
20	only typo. When we get to Resolution
21	10, I do have an amendment. With that
22	change, I will offer a motion to adopt
23	the April 2010 agenda as printed.
24	MS. KEMPNER: Second.
25	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?

727-1107

	100
1	
2	THE BOARD: Aye.
3	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
4	abstentions. Motion carries.
5	MS. KEMPNER: Resolution #
6	4-2012-2. Resolution accepting the
7	monthly reports of the Greenport Fire
8	Department, Village Clerk, Village
9	Treasurer, Village Administrator,
10	Director of Utilities, Village Attorney,
11	Mayor and the Board of Trustees. So
12	moved.
13	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
14	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
15	THE BOARD: Aye.
16	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
17	abstentions? Motion carries.
18	MR. HUBBARD: Resolution #

19 4-2012-3.	Resolution	approving	the
--------------	------------	-----------	-----

- 20 application for membership of James
- 21 Tuthill to Phenix Hook and Ladder
- 22 Company of the Greenport Fire
- 23 Department, as approved by the Greenport
- 24 Fire Department Board of Wardens on
- 25 March 21, 2012. So moved.

727-1107

	101
1	
2	MR. MURRAY: Second.
3	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
4	THE BOARD: Aye.
5	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
6	abstentions? Motion carries.
7	MR. MURRAY: Resolution #
8	4-2012-4. Resolution approving the
9	application for membership of Joseph
10	Marshall to the Phenix Hook and Ladder
11	Company of Greenport Fire Department, as

12	approved by the Greenport Fire
13	Department Board of Wardens on March 21,
14	2012. So moved.
15	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
16	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
17	THE BOARD: Aye.
18	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
19	abstentions? Motion carries.
20	MS. PHILLIPS: Resolution#
21	4-2012-5. Resolution accepting the
22	Report of Elections of the Greenport
23	Fire Department, establishing the Chiefs
24	and Company Officers. So moved.
25	MS. KEMPNER: Second.

727-1107

Apri	i1	23.	2012
	_	,	

	102
1	
2	MS. PHILLIPS: Could we get a
3	listing at some point?
4	MR. NYCE: The listing was with

5	the work session last week.
6	All those in favor?

- 7 THE BOARD: Aye.
- 8 MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
- 9 abstentions? Motion carries.
- 10 MS. KEMPNER: Resolution #
- 11 4-2012-6. Resolution authorizing Mayor
- 12 Nyce to sign the Certificate of
- 13 Investment Powers form as presented by
- 14 RBC Wealth Management in regards to the
- 15 Village of Greenport Length of Service
- 16 Award Program. So moved.
- 17 MR. HUBBARD: Second.
- 18 MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
- 19 THE BOARD: Aye.
- 20 MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
- 21 abstentions? Motion carries.
- 22 MR. HUBBARD: Resolution #
- 23 4-2012-7. Resolution accepting the
- 24 report of the Greenport Fire Department
- 25 Fire Department for year-end points for

727-1107

	102
1	103
2	the calendar year 2011, for the Village
3	of Greenport Fire Department Leso moved.
4	MR. MURRAY: Second.
5	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
6	THE BOARD: Aye.
7	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
8	abstentions? Motion carries.
9	MR. MURRAY: Resolution #
10	4-2012-8. Resolution authorizing
11	Treasurer Kagel to perform the attached
12	budget modifications. So moved.
13	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
14	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
15	THE BOARD: Aye.
16	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
17	abstentions? Motion carries.
18	MS. PHILLIPS: Resolution #
19	4-2012-9. Resolution authorizing the
20	purchases of KVS Real Property Tax

21	Software, at a purchase price of
22	\$5,537.52 to be financed over three
23	years, and an annual maintenance fee of
24	\$ 1,797.00, to be expensed as follows:
25	12.5 % from line item number

727-1107

	104
1	
2	F.8310.407 (Billing and accounting).
3	12.5 % from line item number
4	G.8110.417 (Supplies and Materials).
5	12.5 % from line item number
6	R.7020.403 (Billing and Accounting).
7	56.25 % from line item number
8	A.1325.400 (Treasurer Contractural
9	Expense).
10	6.25 % from line item number
11	A.1410.400 (Clerk Contractural Expense).
12	50% from line item number
13	E.0781.500 (Office Supplies and

14 Expense). So moved.

MR. HUBBARD: Second.

- 16 MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
- 17 MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
- 18 MS. KEMPNER: Aye.
- 19 MR. MURRAY: Aye.
- 20 MS. PHILLIPS: Aye.
- 21 MR. NYCE: I'm opposed. No.
- 22 Motion carries.
- 23 MS. KEMPNER: Resolution #
- 24 4-2012-10. Resolution adopting the
- 25 2012/2013 budget as proposed. So moved.

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

	105
1	
2	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
3	MR. NYCE: Before I call a vote, I
4	would like to offer a motion to amend.
5	There is, attached to the back of your
6	agenda, work prepared by Treasurer

7	Kagel. It's a resolution amending the
8	tentative budget to include an
9	allocation to reserve for Mitchell Park
10	debt. It says, whereas the Village Board
11	intends to implement a plan for
12	repayment of Mitchell Park debt that
13	will ensure for cost-effective repayment
14	of the current outstanding debt with
15	minimal impact to the Village taxpayers.
16	The Village Board directs the Treasurer
17	to amend the 2013 tentative budget with
18	the following funding. To establish
19	Mitchell Park debt with the following:
20	Transfer of 5-31-2012 Clark Beach sale
21	reserve proceeds \$634,000. Transfer of
22	unreserved general fund balance of
23	900,000, transfer of 5-31-2012 annual
24	general fund, non-fire department.
25	2012/2013 budget procreation \$32,000

727-1107

1	
2	funded by the increase in real property
3	tax as allowed by the New York State Tax
4	Cap Law, and be it further resolved if
5	funds reserve and the Village authorizes
6	Treasurer to yield maximum return on
7	investments. That's the proposed
8	amendment. In order to discuss there
9	needs to be a second on the motion.
10	MS. KEMPNER: Second.
11	MR. NYCE: By way of discussion,
12	what's going on with this transfer from
13	the fund balance is transfer from the
14	fund balance that already exists. We
15	have a fund balance policy which
16	requires that we keep up to 20 % of
17	budget in reserve
18	DR. TROWBRIDGE: That document is
19	not attached to our handout.
20	MR. NYCE: That document does not
21	need to be you're out of order. This
22	is an amendment. It can be brought by

23	any member of the Board during the
24	meeting.
25	DR. TROWBIRDGE: You're supposed

727-1107

	107
1	
2	to have
3	MR. NYCE: Sir, you're out of
4	order.
5	The 900,000 constitutes monies
6	above and beyond 30 % of our fund
7	balance that we're supposed to keep at
8	any given time. 634,000 is for the
9	Clark Beach sale. The operating fund
10	balance, non-fire department will be
11	determined at yearend. 2012/2013
12	proposed procreation is that number
13	constitutes the amount allowed by New
14	York State Law, as researched by the
15	Treasurer. As you're well aware with

16	the tax cap law, there are certain
17	allowances above and beyond 2% that we
18	are allowed to include; this is her
19	research. I'm putting this out there in
20	conjunction with the Treasurer. She
21	said in the work session for payment of
22	debt ongoing out to 2024 that if as
23	we refinance, assuming that that goes
24	through and we're hoping it does
25	with that, and small incremental

727-1107

	108
1	
2	increases along the way, we will be
3	able to handle that better, more
4	effectively. This is the proposal as it
5	is now. Obviously the amount of a
6	procreation can be anywhere from 0 up to
7	this 33,000. The absolute amount
8	procreated

9	MS. KEMPNER: I'm a little
10	confused.
11	MR. NYCE: This spreadsheet had
12	the amount we are allowed to procreate
13	by law under the New York State Tax Cap
14	
15	MS. PHILLIPS: In doing the
16	formula.
17	MR. NYCE: In doing the formula
18	through the comptroller's office. What
19	Treasurer Kagel has done is taken what
20	our procreation could be and the backing
21	out of that 33,000, which would
22	constitute a 3.41 increase in the tax,
23	part of which is above the 2 % because
24	there are certain allowances, costs that
25	are above 2 %. Do you want to

727-1107

April 23, 2012

109

2 MS. KAGEL: Page 2 -- the third 3 and fourth pages -- this was the online tax that we're required to submit. If 4 the Board decide not to pierce the tax 5 6 cap after the public hearing we have to 7 submit -- prior to the adoption of the budget. 2% tax cap legislation isn't 8 just 2 %. There are certain allowances 9 allowed. The demonstration is to show 10 11 how New York State calculates our 12 allowed increase in the tax. Last 13 year's tax cap -- base growth factor, 14 which New York State -- per the Village 15 of Greenport is 1.0055; that's actually 16 applied to it. In addition to that, 17 there's a calculation, and the increase 18 in the Village's retirement contribution 19 in excess of 2 % of the payroll, and 20 that's actually -- the amount that we're allowed to exclude from the tax cap is 21 22 \$9,257, so that amount plus that applied 23 factor and last year's tax levy gives 24 you the allowable increase for the

727-1107

1

April 23, 2012

2	cap legislation, and as you get down to
3	the third page you will see that the
4	allowable tax levy for the Village of
5	Greenport for 2012/2013 is \$975,943, so
6	if you apply that to our last
7	year's because of those two
8	exclusions, because of the tax base work
9	factor and the amount that we're allowed
10	to exclude on the retirement
11	contribution we're allowed just over
12	\$33,000 to be in compliance with the
13	law, which reflected 3.5 % levy
14	increase, so even though it's higher
15	than 2 % it's still within the allowable
16	amount.
17	MR. NYCE: This is being presented

18	with the understanding that Treasurer
19	Kagel and I want to present the maximum
20	amount we could ask for on this levy,
21	that is not to say that's what it needs
22	to be, but for purposes of the
23	discussion and this amendment that was
24	what we put in. The other thing to keep
25	in mind is that the adopted budget does

727-1107

	111
1	
2	not have to be done until May 1st.
3	MR. PROKOP: I think it's April
4	30th.
5	MR. NYCE: The other portions of
6	this levying of taxes outside all the
7	rest of it is just movement of the
8	monies within the fund balance into a
9	reserve, which we have a reserve account
10	already, so that's basically a watch, so

11	ultimately we can discuss whether or not
12	we want to do a tax levy. Again, every
13	year I've said we have to put aside for
14	refinancing in the spring. We still
15	need to put money aside to pay down this
16	debt. Like I said, it's up for
17	discussion as to whether we want to put
18	money away for debt this year. This
19	year or not, as I said, put it in as a
20	maximum allowed, a starting point.
21	MR. MURRAY: I have a question,
22	Dave. A couple months we voted down to
23	be able to pierce the tax cap. How does
24	what we voted down do to this?
25	MR. NYCE: Again, this is what

727-1107

April 23, 2012

112 2 we're allowed without having a law on 3 the books.

4	MR. MURRAY: But as a Board, we
5	voted down to raise the taxes 2 %.
6	MR. NYCE: We voted down the 2 $\%$
7	tax cap. The 2 % tax cap does not
8	guarantee the taxes will stay at 2 %.
9	Overall, depending on the assessments,
10	upon the costs, et cetera, et
11	cetera in our case it could be up to
12	3.5; that's still within the tax cap
13	legislation within the 2 %.
14	MR. MURRAY: I understand, but as
15	a Board, we voted no.
16	MR. NYCE: Absolutely, but we
17	voted down legislation to allow us to
18	pierce the 2 % tax cap. We didn't vote
19	down not raising the taxes the 2 $\%$
20	within the tax cap, but, again, all I'm
21	doing is pointing out we could assess
22	2 %, and that would be less than the
23	33,000, again, which is fine. I believe
24	it's about 25.
25	MS. KAGEL: It's about 18.

113

727-1107

April 23, 2012

1 2 MR. NYCE: Again, that being said, I didn't write New York State 2 % Tax 3 Cap Law. I think we need to put some 4 5 aside each year for debt, and I don't 6 think we should do it just out of our 7 operating budget. We've been running 8 very lean all the way through. We're 9 going to have to put aside money to pay down debt. If we kick the can down the 10 11 road it's gonna be a big number and 12 we're not gonna have the ability to 13 borrow as we go for stuff we're gonna need to do, but, again, I have had this 14 conversation with several different 15 boards, and it goes different ways. 16 17 This is just the proposal. MR. HUBBARD: I think a lot of 18 19 this -- I looked at the numbers first.

20	2 % to me was 2 %. What's allowed is
21	allowed by the State. Bottom line was 2
22	%, \$18,800. We voted not to pierce the
23	tax cap, I mentioned to put a little bit
24	away but not above the 3.1. I know the
25	State allows different things. If

727-1107

1

April 23, 2012

2	anything, I do agree with you that we
3	should put money away for debt. Some
4	years we have, some we haven't. I think
5	if that went to 15,000, it's a point and
6	3/4, it's something, it's still
7	below 2 % is 2 %.
8	MS. PHILLIPS: They've heard 2 %,
9	and I agree, we do need to put money
10	away. That was part of reason for going
11	back and trying to figure out refunding
12	and refinancing for Mitchell Park debt,

13	but this 3.41 I know it's the
14	Patroller's Office who is dealing with
15	the current legislation, but I think as
16	far as us for the public, they want it
17	under 2 %. I don't think anyone's gonna
18	argue, but I think this 3.41 is not
19	gonna be very explainable.
20	MR. NYCE: This is part of the
21	education of it too. The State's 2 $\%$
22	tax cap law does not just mean the taxes
23	only go up 2 %. George proposed we make
24	it 15. Does anyone have an offer
25	different from that or is that

727-1107

	115
1	
2	acceptable?
3	MS. PHILLIPS: What was the amount
4	last year when we did the budget?
5	MR. MURRAY: I like the flat

amount.

6

7	MS. KAGEL: 18 will be the 2 %,
8	MR. NYCE: Do you wanna do 18?
9	That would be less than 2 %. Is 18
10	agreeable? That being said if we are
11	in favor of the amendment, we can vote
12	yes on the amendment and then on the
13	amended resolution itself, so if we
14	agreed to the amendment we could call
15	a vote on amending the resolution and
16	then I can read the amendment as it's
17	written and we can vote on it. All
18	those in favor of amending Resolution #
19	10?
20	MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
21	MR. NYCE: Aye.
22	MR. MURRAY: Aye.
23	MS. PHILLIPS: Aye.
24	MS. KEMPNER: No.
25	MR. NYCE: That resolution passes.

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

## April 23, 2012

	116
1	
2	I will read the resolution as
3	amended. Resolutions amending the
4	tentative budget to include an
5	allocation to reserve for debt for
6	Mitchell Park, whereas the Village Board
7	intends to implement a plan for the
8	repayment of Mitchell Park debt with
9	minimal impact to Village taxpayers.
10	The Village Board directs the Treasurer
11	to amend the 2012/2013 tentative budget
12	to provide for the funding with the
13	established Mitchell Park debt.
14	Transfer of 5-31-2012 Clark Street,
15	\$634,000, transfer of unreserved general
16	fund balance, \$900,000, transfer of 2012
17	non-fire department surplus, amount to
18	be determined at year's end, 2012/2013,
19	budgeted a procreation of \$18,000 funded
20	by an increase in real property taxes as
21	allowed by the New York State Tax Cap

22	Law, and be it further resolved that
23	funds in reserve are to be invested in
24	Village depository as discussed with the
25	Treasurer to give maximum return on

727-1107

	117
1	
2	investments. So moved.
3	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
4	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
5	MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
6	MR. NYCE: Aye.
7	MR. MURRAY: Aye.
8	MS. PHILLIPS: Aye.
9	MS. KEMPNER: No.
10	MR. NYCE: Motion carries.
11	MR. HUBBARD: Resolution #
12	4-2012-11. Resolution scheduling a
13	public hearing on May 23, 2012 at 6 p.m.
14	at the Third Street Firehouse, directing

15	Village Clerk Pirillo to notice same,
16	regarding the Wetlands Permit
17	Application as submitted by Osprey Zone,
18	Inc to construct a one-story building
19	measuring approximately 305 square feet
20	within the northwestern section of the
21	property, and to install a previous
22	parking area of approximately 880 square
23	feet. So moved.
24	MS. KEMPNER: Second.
25	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?

727-1107

	118
1	
2	THE BOARD: Aye.
3	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
4	abstentions? Motion carries.
5	MR. MURRAY: Resolution #
6	4-2012-12. Resolution referring to the
7	Conservation Advisory Council the

8	Wetlands Permit Application as submitted
9	by Osprey Zone, Inc and instructing the
10	Conservation Advisory Council to provide
11	their review to the Board of Trustees no
12	later than May 16, 2012. So moved.
13	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
14	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
15	THE BOARD: Aye.
16	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
17	abstentions? Motion carries.
18	MS. PHILLIPS: Resolution #
19	4-2012-13. Resolution approving the
20	Public Assembly Permit Application as
21	submitted by Jessica Henderson for the
22	use of the Sixth Street Beach on August
23	18, 2012 from 8 a.m. to 6 p.m. for the
24	Swann Family Reunion. So moved
25	MS. KEMPNER: Second.

727-1107

1	
2	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
3	THE BOARD: Aye.
4	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
5	abstentions? Motion carries.
6	MS. KEMPNER: Resolution #
7	4-2012-14. Resolution approving the
8	Public Assembly Permit Application as
9	submitted by Jack Lombard for the daily
10	use of a portion of Mitchell Park (with
11	the exact location to be approved by
12	Village Administrator Abatelli) from
13	July 15, 2012 through July 21, 2012 for
14	the 2012 Non Such Rendezvous. So moved.
15	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
16	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
17	THE BOARD: Aye.
18	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
19	abstentions. Motion carries.
20	MR. HUBBARD: Resolution #
21	4-2012-15. Resolution directing Village
22	Clerk Pirillo to notice a special
23	meeting of the Board of Trustees for May

24	10,	2012	at	6	p.m.,	to	be	held	at	the
25	01d	Schoo	olho	bus	se on	Fror	nt d	and F	irst	t

727-1107

	120
1	
2	Streets, to accept public comment
3	regarding the proposed ferry service
4	between the Village of Greenport and the
5	Village of Sag Harbor and to discuss the
6	propose service. So moved.
7	MR. MURRAY: Second.
8	MR. NYCE: By way of discussion,
9	we will make sure the information is out
10	by the end of this week.
11	All those in favor?
12	THE BOARD: Aye.
13	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
14	abstentions? Motion carries.
15	MR. MURRAY: Resolution #
16	4-2012-16. Resolution awarding the

17	contract for the removal and pruning of
18	Village trees to Bartlett Tree, in the
19	amount of \$3,230.00 per the bid opening
20	as held on April 13, 2012 and
21	authorizing the Village of Greenport to
22	enter a contract with Bartlett Tree
23	based on that bid. So moved.
24	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
25	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?

727-1107

Apri	1	23.	2012

		121
1		
2	THE BOARD: Aye.	
3	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or	
4	abstentions? Motion carries.	
5	MS. PHILLIPS: Resolution #	
6	4-2012-17. Resolution approving the	
7	contract with JC Productions for	
8	technical services and the rental of	
9	sound and electrical equipment from	Мау

10	26, 2012 through May 28, 2012 at a total
11	cost of \$2,350.00, to be expensed from
12	line item number TA.718.400 (Tall Ships)
13	and authorizing the Village of Greenport
14	to enter the contract with JC
15	Productions. So moved.
16	MR. MURRAY: Second.
17	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
18	MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
19	MR. NYCE: Aye.
20	MR. MURRAY: Aye.
21	MS. PHILLIPS: Aye.
22	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
23	abstentions?
24	MS. KEMPNER: No.
25	MR. NYCE: Motion carries.

727-1107

		1	22
1			
2	MS. KEMPNER:	Resolution #	

3	4-2012-18. Resolution directing Clerk
4	Pirillo to notice a request for
5	Proposals for telecommunications
6	(specifically telephone) services and
7	equipment. So moved.
8	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
9	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
10	THE BOARD: Aye.
11	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
12	abstentions? Motion carries.
13	MR. HUBBARD: Resolution #
14	4-2012-19. Resolution authorizing the
15	Village of Greenport to send a letter to
16	the New York State Liquor Authority
17	lifting the restrictions of the open
18	container law in Section 35-3B and
19	Section35-3C of the Greenport Village
20	Code, from 10 a.m. through 6 p.m. on May
21	26, 2012; May 27, 2012 and May 28, 2012.
22	So moved.
23	MR. MURRAY: Second.
24	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
25	THE BOARD: Aye.

727-1107

	123
1	123
2	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
3	abstentions? Motion carries.
4	MR. MURRAY: Resolution #
5	4-2012-20. Resolution setting the Tall
6	Ships Festival event perimeters, and
7	further authorizing the closing of Front
8	Street and Main Street from 10 a.m.
9	through 6 p.m. on May 26, 2012; May 27,
10	2012 and May 28, 2012. So moved.
11	MS. PHILLIPS: Second. But I have
12	a question. Well, I remember seeing
13	some letter today that came from the BID
14	that they wanted to close side streets;
15	is that part of this production?
16	MR. NYCE: No, it's not; although,
17	what I would like to do is I'd like to
18	have a brief discussion. They are

19	asking that Bay Avenue and Central
20	Avenue remain closed overnight with a
21	lane for emergency vehicles to pass.
22	This is to allow a hospitality tent.
23	This had been discussed and came up
24	again today. I need to discuss it with
25	the Chief of Police and fire department

727-1107

	124
1	
2	to make sure there is access to that
3	street. As it stands, those are in the
4	perimeters. I was gonna go over what
5	the festival perimeters are, starting at
6	Third Street and Front and goes down as
7	far as Carpenter and from lower Main out
8	to Central. Adams Street will remain
9	open.
10	MS. PHILLIPS: Is this something
11	that's going to be the maritime

12 festival --

13	MR. NYCE: The idea with this is
14	that throughout this festival there is
15	the idea of putting up hospitality tents
16	so people can get out of the sun, and
17	we're placing them, in general, where
18	the band stages are and in other areas,
19	Bay and Central. Again, that can be a
20	discussion after during the event
21	itself those roads will be closed. It's
22	just a question of extending it to 6
23	p.m.
24	MS. KEMPNER: Are we gonna have
25	another meeting?

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

	125
1	
2	MS. PHILLIPS: Are we not having
3	the ferry meeting on the 10th? I think
4	that would be a better time to do it.

5	MR. NYCE: We need to do this part
6	of it now. This resolution is read.
7	All those in favor?
8	THE BOARD: Aye.
9	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
10	abstentions? Motion carries.
11	MS. PHILLIPS: Resolution #
12	4-2012-21. Resolution authorizing Mayor
13	Nyce to sign the Memorandum of
14	Understanding between the Village of
15	Greenport and the Long Island Railroad
16	regarding ticket sales for the upcoming
17	Tall Ships event, pending further review
18	by Village Attorney Prokop. So moved.
19	MR. MURRAY: Second.
20	MR. NYCE: By way of discussion,
21	we have not received it yet. I
22	anticipate we would get it very soon.
23	This has to do with the packaging and
24	ticketing. All those in favor?
25	THE BOARD: Aye.

#### 727-1107

	100
1	126
2	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
3	abstentions? Motion carries.
4	MS. KEMPNER: Resolution #
5	4-2012-22. Resolution authorizing
6	payment of \$5,000.00 total for musical
7	bands, as participants in the Tall Ships
8	Challenge event, to be expensed from
9	line item number TA.718.400 (Tall
10	Ships). So moved.
11	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
12	MR. NYCE: By way of discussion,
13	there are ten bands over the course of
14	the three days, so it's a pretty good
15	deal.
16	MS. PHILLIPS: It's \$5,000 and we
17	have a gentleman who's volunteering to
18	put
19	MR. NYCE: The reason I am not
20	saying is because all of these bands

21	make a lot more than this. I don't want
22	people to know what we got them for.
23	MS. PHILLIPS: The 5,000 we're
24	spending, we're getting far more than
25	5,000

727-1107

1

April 23, 2012

2	MR. NYCE: Absolutely.
3	All those in favor?
4	MR. HUBBARD: Aye.
5	MR. NYCE: Aye.
6	MR. MURRAY: Aye.
7	MS. PHILLIPS: Aye.
8	MS. KEMPNER: No.
9	MR. NYCE: Motion carries.
10	MR. HUBBARD: Resolution #
11	4-2012-23. Resolution allowing Village
12	Administrator Abatelli and Director of
13	Utilities Naylor to attend the New York

14	State Department of Environmental
15	Conservation-endorsed Erosion and
16	Sediment Control Training Course at
17	Cornell Cooperative Extension
18	Headquarters at 423 Griffing Avenue,
19	Riverhead, New York on May 31, 2012 from
20	9:30 - 1:30 p.m. at a cost of \$100.00
21	per person, to be expensed from line
22	item number A.5110.450 (miscellaneous
23	Expense). So moved.
24	MR. MURRAY: Second.
25	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?

727-1107

	128
1	
2	THE BOARD: Aye.
3	MP NYCE: Any opposed on
2	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
4	abstentions? Motion carries.
5	MR. MURRAY: Resolution #
6	2-2012-24. Resolution authorizing Adam

7	Hubbard to attend training classes in
8	Morrisville, New York from May 14, 2012
9	through May 25, 2012 for the purpose of
10	obtaining a Grade 2 Operator's License,
11	with all costs - not to exceed \$3,000 -
12	to be expensed from line item number
13	G.8110.407 (Employee Training). So
14	moved.
15	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
16	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
17	THE BOARD: Aye.
18	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
19	abstentions? Motion carries.
20	MS. PHILLIPS: Resolution #
21	2-2012-25. Resolution authorizing the
22	attendance of Director of Utilities
23	Naylor at the New York Association of
24	Public Power May Conference and Business
25	Meeting, from May 22, 2012 through May

727-1107

1	
2	24, 2012 in Saratoga Springs, New York,
3	at a total cost of \$914.00, consisting
4	of: \$225.00 registration fee, \$363.00
5	for hotel costs, \$106.00 in ferry costs
6	and an estimated \$220.00 in mileage,
7	tolls and related costs, to be expensed
8	from line item number E.0781.100
9	(Executive Department). So moved.
10	MR. MURRAY: Second.
11	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
12	THE BOARD: Aye.
13	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
14	abstentions? Motion carries.
15	MS. KEMPNER: Resolution #
16	4-2012-26. Resolution authorizing the
17	attendance of Mayor David Nyce at the
18	NYAPP May Conference and Business
19	Meeting on May 22, 2012 and May 23, 2012
20	in Saratoga Springs, New York at a total
21	cost of \$627.50 consisting of: \$50.00
22	registration fee, \$181.50 hotel cost,

23	\$106.00 in ferry costs and an estimated
24	\$290.00 in mileage, tolls, and related
25	costs, to be expensed from line item

727-1107

	130
1	
2	number A.1210.400 (Mayor Contractural).
3	So moved.
4	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
5	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
6	THE BOARD: Aye.
7	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
8	abstentions? Motion carries.
9	MR. HUBBARD: Resolution #
10	4-2012-27. Resolution authorizing the
11	issuance of a check made payable to
12	David Abatelli, in the amount of
13	\$1,112.50, to be used to set up the
14	required cash drawer/banks for the
15	operation of the Village of Greenport

16 Mitchell Park Marina. So moved.

17 MS. PHILLIPS: Second.

18 MR. NYCE: All those in favor?

19 THE BOARD: Aye.

20 MR. NYCE: Any opposed or

- 21 abstentions? Motion carries.
- 22 MR. MURRAY: Resolution #
- 23 4-2012-28. Resolution authorizing
- 24 Village Administrator Abatelli to obtain
- 25 engineering bid specification for the

FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

131

727-1107

1	
2	paving of the northern portion of First
3	Street. So moved.
4	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
5	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
6	THE BOARD: Aye.
7	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
8	abstentions? Motion carries.

9	MS. PHILLIPS: Resolution
10	#4-2012-29. Resolution approving the
11	purchase of material, at a cost not to
12	exceed \$3,500.00, for either a
13	three-board fence or post and rail
14	fence, for the Third Street Park, to be
15	expensed from line item number A.711.400
16	(Parks), with the labor to be provided
17	gratis as the result of an Eagle Scout
18	project. So moved.
19	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
20	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
21	THE BOARD: Aye.
22	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
23	abstentions? Motion carries.
24	MS. KEMPNER: Resolution #
25	4-2012-30. Resolution authorizing the

727-1107

April 23, 2012

132

2	painting of the fence at Greenhill
3	Cemetery by the Boy Scouts, at no cost
4	for labor to the Village of Greenport.
5	So moved.
6	MR. HUBBARD: Second.
7	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
8	THE BOARD: Aye.
9	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
10	abstentions? Motion carries.
11	MR. HUBBARD: Resolution #
12	4-2012-31. Resolution authorizing the
13	paving by Corazzini Asphalt of the ball
14	field entrance apron on Moore's Lane in
15	the amount of \$3,500.00 to be expensed
16	from line item number A.711.400 (Parks)
17	and authorizing the Village of Greenport
18	to enter an agreement with Corazzini
19	Asphalt for the work. So moved.
20	MR. MURRAY: Second.
21	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
22	THE BOARD: Aye.
23	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
24	abstentions? Motion carries.

### 727-1107

	193
1	133
2	4-2012-32. Resolution directing Clerk
3	Pirillo to advertise for a contractor,
4	on an as-needed basis, for the
5	maintenance of the Village of Greenport
6	Carousel. So moved.
7	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.
8	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
9	THE BOARD: Aye.
10	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
11	abstentions? Motion carries.
12	MS. PHILLIPS: Resolution #
13	4-2012-33. Resolution authorizing Mayor
14	Nyce to sign the sub-agreement with Tall
15	Ships America, revising the
16	merchandising stipulations between the
17	Village of Greenport and Tall Ships

18 America. So moved.

MR. HUBBARD: Second.
 MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
 THE BOARD: Aye.
 MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
 abstentions? Motion carries.
 MS. KEMPNER: Resolution #
 4-2012-34. Resolution approving all

#### FLYNN STENOGRAPHY & TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE (631)

727-1107

#### April 23, 2012

1	
2	checks per the voucher Summary Report
3	dated 4/17/2012, in the total amount of
4	\$1,944.192.21 consisting of:
5	All regular checks in the amount
6	of \$387,324.49.
7	All prepaid checks in the amount
8	of \$794,125.17 and all wire transfers in
9	the amount of \$762,742.55. So moved.
10	MS. PHILLIPS: Second.

11	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
12	THE BOARD: Aye.
13	MR. NYCE: Any opposed or
14	abstentions? Motion carries.
15	MS. PHILLIPS: Before you close
16	the meeting, I have to say something.
17	I've sat through as far as the
18	parking signs, I listened to the public
19	hearing. What I heard out of the public
20	hearing was the fact that they were not
21	happy with the plan that we presented
22	with parking meters. I really didn't
23	hear anything about nonenforcement. The
24	signs, even though they were up, there
25	were honest people who would have just

727-1107

1	135
2	parked there for two hours and moved.
3	In my mind and I'm sorry I disagree

4	with you. They should be up. I don't
5	understand why they came down. I heard
6	about it because at this point, I
7	have to say that I am really upset that
8	they came down because it doesn't help
9	anything. At least the signs being up
10	would have given guidance to some
11	people. It was a tough decision on my
12	part because I fully believe I
13	listened to people say it was the meters
14	they weren't happy about. They weren't
15	unhappy about enforcement for parking,
16	so I have to say it, and if you want to
17	entertain a motion to adjourn the
18	meeting, that's fine by me.
19	MR. NYCE: The signs should have
20	been up for the last ten years then.
21	I offer a motion to adjourn to
22	executive session.
23	MR. MURRAY: Second.
24	MR. NYCE: All those in favor?
25	THE BOARD: Aye.

727-1107

April 23, 201
---------------

	136
1	130
2	(Whereupon, the meeting adjourned
3	at 9:04 p.m.)
4	
5	
6	I, JENNIFER L. GERKEN, a Notary
7	Public for and within the State of New
8	York, do hereby certify that the above
9	is a correct transcription of my
10	stenographic notes.
11	
12	
13	JENNIFER L. GERKEN
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	

20						
21						
22						
23						
24						
25						

727-1107