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(*The meeting was called to order at 6:04 p.m.*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Folks, this is the Regular Meeting of the Village of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals. I want to apologize, I'm going to wear my hat this evening. It's not a sign of disrespect, I'd just rather you focus on my hat than my head.

So, Item No. 1 is a motion to accept the minutes of the July 20, 2021 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
And I'll vote aye. (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 -
Not Present: Member Reardon).
Item No. 2 is a motion to approve the minutes of the June 15, 2021 Zoning Board of Appeals Meeting. So moved.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

And I'll vote aye. (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 Not Present: Member Reardon).

Item No. 3 is a motion to schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for September 21st, 2021 at 6 p.m. at the Station One Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York, 11944. So moved

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
And I'll vote aye. (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 Not Present: Member Reardon).

Item No. 4 is 511 Carpenter Street. It's a Public Hearing regarding the area variances applied for by Jenna and Donald Williams. The applicants propose to make alterations to a two-story building and an accessory structure on the property located at 511 Carpenter Street, Greenport, NY, 11944. This property is located in the R-2 (One and Two-Family) District and located in the Historic District.

On the agenda there's one or two scrivener's errors, typographical errors.

1 I'll just -- and one, two -- one, two, three. 2 In the fourth paragraph it says -- the agenda 3 says, "The plans show the front yard setback of 4 1-foot 6-inches; this would require an area 5 variance of 8-foot 6-inches"; the front yard 6 should read side-yard.

7 The next paragraph, "The plans show the 8 front yard setback of 1 -foot 6 -inches on the north side and 3 -foot 4 -inches on the south side, this would require an area variance of 20 -feet 4-inches"; instead of front yard it should read side yard.

And the last paragraph, "The plans show a front yard setback of 5 -feet 6 -inches, this would require an area variance of 24 -feet 6-inches", and that, I believe, should read rear yard.

Am I getting that right?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, I think so.
MEMBER GORDON: Well, isn't the last one -doesn't the last one refer to the accessory building?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No.
MEMBER GORDON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And as I said, this is a printing error, a scrivener's error, so we're

1 going to -- the Board is comfortable with this, 2 corrections on the agenda?

MEMBER SOLOMON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
We're going to ask the public -- I have the public -- the Public Notice was published, the placard is there. We have the mailings. There's a lot of them. I'm going to -- if no one objects -- you know what? I'm going to read them, this way no one can get them corrected, and I'll give them to the stenographer:
" 510 Carpenter LLC, Post Office Box 389, Cutchogue, New York; John Woodhouse, Post Office Box 360, Orient, New York; Jon Kerbs, 510 Main Street, Post Office Box 525, Greenport, New York; Greatwater Properties, LLC, 4317 Bergen Avenue, Mattituck, New York; Rebecca Miller, 512 Carpenter Street, Greenport, New York; Walaski Weinman C. Walaski, 516 Main Street, Greenport, New York; Rosa Jeffrey, 297 Burkran Road, Locust Valley, New York; Kevin Heaney, 790 Riverside Drive, New York, New York; Jenna Williams, 13 Hansen Place, Seacliff, New York; Deborah Seigal, I believe it's 151 West Neck Road, Southampton, New York; Marie Cardalena, Cadalena, 8402 Fourth

1 Avenue, Brooklyn, New York; I can't read the
2 first name; J. Mac Cato, 510 Main Street,
3 Greenport, New York; and 502 Carpenter LLC, Post
4 Office Box 389, Cutchogue, New York."

5

We read the mailings. Is the applicant here?

MR. COFFEY: Hello. Isaac Clay Coffey, the architect for Jenna and Donnie Williams. Happy to answer any questions you have about the application.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we're going to take testimony from the public, if there is anybody that would like to speak in the moment. And I'll ask is there anything that's changed? Is there anything that you would like to update us on?

MR. COFFEY: The proposal is exactly the same as it has been from the beginning. We're proposing a 20-square foot connector between the two buildings to legalize the ground floor as part of the $C$ of $O$ and to connect that to the existing accessory structure to have that part of the existing house.

And the third floor is contiguous to the interior of the space, it's a preexisting attic

1 loft that's going to be converted. So there's no 2 additional square footage proposing the attic to 3 the exterior of the building on the third floor.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The CO says it's a two-story building, and you have a variance request for third floor, right? So we should at least let the public know that.

MR. COFFEY: Right. The envelope of the building is not changing. We're not adding to the exterior of the building. The interior of the building would have a third floor that would be habitable.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MEMBER GORDON: May I ask a question?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Absolutely.
MEMBER GORDON: I had not looked at the CO until this last couple of -- month, and I'm curious about whether you know, and the answer may be that you don't know, why the first floor was considered uninhabitable for the purpose of writing the CO?

MR. COFFEY: We FOIL'd the request for the original ZBA minutes and never saw those, so we didn't know why that original applicant didn't apply for the first floor to be part of that.

And then the owner that our client purchased it from used that for as a commercial parts view, so I don't know the reasoning. My assumption is that they --

MEMBER GORDON: Are you sure that the previous -- the person who owned it from the CO was created, are you sure that that person didn't apply? I mean, because it seems to me there are a couple of possibilities. One is there was an application made because there was an intention to put some other sort of use like a commercial artist studio, but another possibility is that there may be something about the operation of the laundry long ago which made it seem inappropriate for a residential use like chemicals or something.

MR. COFFEY: Again, we FOIL'd the request from the Town and never received the meeting minutes of the $Z B A$.

MEMBER GORDON: Yeah.
MR. COFFEY: So, I don't -- it would be speculation for me to say why they didn't apply for it.

MEMBER GORDON: Yeah.
MR. COFFEY: Have you been able to see
those meeting minutes from '83?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
MR. COFFEY: Has anyone been able to see the meeting minutes from '83?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We have the resolution. We have the findings and determinations from that meeting, and it explains how and why the Zoning Board voted as they did.

MEMBER GORDON: But it doesn't specify --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't have the minutes to that meeting.

MR. COFFEY: Right.
MEMBER GORDON: The condition of that first floor.

MR. COFFEY: Of why it was only applied for -- right. So, I mean, I don't have any records of that.

MEMBER GORDON: And when you say your proposal does not increase and, in fact, decreases the lot coverage where the deck is and where you intend to put another deck, with respect to the $C$ of $O$ there is no deck. So you're not proposing to decrease something that was legally present in the past. You're proposing to decrease the space for what has been
-- I don't want to say illegal because it isn't
really illegal.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It is; it's non-permeative.

MEMBER GORDON: It isn't in the $C$ of $O$.
MR. COFFEY: Right, it's a preexisting deck from some period of time, correct. We're reducing the square footage of that, but part of --

MEMBER GORDON: You're reducing what actually exists there but not what is legally permissible there, or has been legally permissible.

MR. COFFEY: That's correct. What we -- we try to be very transparent in the plans around what that is and what we are proposing at. So, the proposals -- the proposal to add to the coverage of the building is the deck and a 20-square foot connector.

MEMBER GORDON: Got it. Okay, I just wanted to be sure that I understood.

MR. COFFEY: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anybody else?
MEMBER SOLOMON: No, I don't.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.

MR. COFFEY: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? Name and address for the stenographer.

MS. WIESEHAHN: Ruth Wiesehahn, 320 Carpenter Street, Greenport.

I would like to request that all variances be denied. That building is in the Historic District, as we all know. It's already out of character with the street. Now, why would we want to increase the size and the lot coverage of something that's already out of character?

It sets a precedent. If you approve this, what's to say that $I$ can't put a third story on my house on Carpenter street, or increase the lot size and get rid of all my gardens and put in more square footage. I don't understand the concept of this at this time in the Village. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Just to respond. The Zoning Board, the Zoning Board has no control over what an applicant applies for. The applicant applies for relief to the Building Department, the Building Department looks at the application, decides if it conforms to Code or if

1 not, and they either allow the building permit to 2 go through or they allow -- or they issue a 3 Notice of Disapproval. Everyone has the right to 4 appeal, so that's how this application got in

5 front of the Zoning Board.
If somebody -- if somebody -- not you, but somebody else would like to add a third story, they would apply to the Building Department, the Building Department would say no, they would issue a Notice of Disapproval and they would wind up here if they really wanted to do it. So, that's how the application got in front of us.

We're not saying we're allowing anything or denying anything, we're just listening to what the folks have to say right now. Thank you.

Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak, before the applicant? No? Go ahead, sure.

MR. WILLIAMS: Good to see you. Donnie Williams. Hi, neighbor (Speaking to Ms. Wiesehahn). I just want to be -- I want to be very, very clear, we're not adding anything to the height of the building. I know it reads as though there is a third floor that's being added; there is no change to the physical structure of

1 the house as you see it today. In fact, what you see today will be used as the --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just address the Board.
MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't single out any one person.

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah, no, no, I apologize. Yeah, so that's really it. I mean, the physical structure that has been there for years and years and years will not change. Like, there will be no -- there's no height change, this is all interior space that we're working on. So, for the common bipasser there would be no -- the aesthetic won't -- all you'll see is a building that's improved in terms of physical shape and then an interior that you don't have visibility into because it's within the actual structure. Does that make sense?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's your time; if it makes sense to you it's good for us.
(*Laughter*)
MR. WILLIAMS: Hopefully that helps clarify it a little bit. No, so no height, no -- just inside work is I think the quick summary.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the reason

1 there's confusion is because the $C$ of $O$ says it's a two-story building and by modifying the loft space -- and actually, it's in your application that you want to create a third floor. So you can have a -- I believe your building is 38-feet tall, I think? Thirty-eight -- we have it here someplace, not that it matters.

So, you're not increasing the height of the building but you're adding another floor, which in the Village of Greenport there's certain requirements --
(*Firehouse Alarm Going Off*)
MS. RUTH: Can $I$ ask one more question?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure, but can you wait for the fire alarm to stop and then if he finishes you can come up.
(*Firehouse Alarm Stopped*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you have anything more to say?

MR. WILLIAMs: No, no, that's it. Just all the interior work $I$ think is the -- with reference to -- a specific reference to the third floor, it's interior work; $I$ just wanted to make sure that that's clear. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, again.

MS. WIESEHAHN: I guess I'm confused with the change of setbacks.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
MS. WIESEHAHN: The change of the setback on the property; it said that you have decreased the front and so forth.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The building is preexisting.

MS. WIESEHAHN: I know that well.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, for the public that doesn't. The building is preexisting and it's non-conforming.

MS. WIESEHAHN: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We know -- we're not changing setbacks, the applicant is not looking to change setbacks. What he's looking to do is -- in the past, in the past if a building was preexisting and it was non-conforming, some of these variance requests wouldn't come before the Board because they were there and they can't be changed. Recently, within the last year or six months, eight months, whatever it was, it was explained to me by the Building Inspector that with the application you have to legitimize those side yard, front yard, rear yard setbacks.

So, nothing -- with this application, if this Board agrees with the applicant and grants relief, the side yard, the rear yard, the front yard, it wouldn't change, everything would remain the same.

MS. WIESEHAHN: I guess I read it wrong.
MEMBER GORDON: It really legitimizes what --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It would legitimize the property on a new $C O$, or in the eyes of the Village.

MR. CONNOLLY: Could I just say something?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MR. CONNOLLY: If --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I wish you would.
MR. CONNOLLY: If the survey shows the structure exactly as it is and it's referenced on the $C$ of $O$-- and like you said, it's preexisting, nonconforming -- it doesn't need to be legitimized. Those setbacks don't need to be legitimized by this Board.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we always thought --

MR. CONNOLLY: Yeah, that's right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's how we always

1 acted. And these -- and in the past these variances wouldn't show up on the Notice of Disapproval, but from what I'm understanding is that now they do. It won't -- I can't see it making a making a difference to this Board, yet there's other things involved that this Board might have something to say about.

MEMBER GORDON: Shouldn't we ask what the authority for that change is? Maybe Mr. Pallas can give us some information.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'm just trying to find the language; give me one moment. (Brief Pause)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: While Mr. Pallas is looking for that, is there anyone else from the public that would like to comment on this?
(No Response)
MEMBER KAUFMAN: I just want to be clear. What this change is the CO , the current CO only is only cited second floor residential. So, they also list to legitimize the first and third floors for residential space, so that's a significant change.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Exactly, yes.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Even though it's not
changing the level of the building, it is
changing as zoning --
MR. CONNOLLY: Right, they're asking for a variance to allow for a third floor.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: And then the first floor, really, right, because the first floor is the commercial one.

MR. CONNOLLY: But it's in the Residential District, so they have the right to convert that to residential space.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: And the only other changes are that breezeway?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The 20 -square feet, the 20-square feet would legitimize, would bring the accessory building into the envelope, the dynamic envelope of the principal of the building.

MEMBER GORDON: Is it only the 20 -- the 20-square feet that adds to lot coverage?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. No, there's a new deck also, I think it's 175-square feet. Is the architect here?

MR. COFFEY: Yes, that's correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's okay, I have it.
It's 175 square feet for the new deck.
MEMBER GORDON: Right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But, would Mr. Pallas like to --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chairman, the only thing $I$ can offer is in the Code it does speak to if it's non-conforming, you can't increase the degree of non-conformity. The breezeway essentially increases that degree of non-conformity of lot coverage and I think -- at least my understanding is our practice has been if you change one thing, then everything comes into play at that point. I believe that's been our practice.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I don't have a problem with that logic or -- I don't want to show our cards here, but $I$ don't think anybody on this Board is going to have a problem with granting a front yard setback that's already there. Nobody's going to ask anybody to get down in the front of the building. So, but there are other issues that we might want to discuss.

Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak?
(No Response)
No? I'm going to make a motion we close the Public Hearing.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
And I'll vote aye. (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not
Present: Member Reardon).
Item No. 5 is 220 Fifth Avenue. This will be a Public Hearing regarding the area variances applied for by Ian Crowley and Angelo Stepnoski. The applicant -- The applicants propose to subdivide the property located at 220 Fifth Avenue, Greenport, New York, 11944. The proposed subdivision would allow the construction -- for the construction of a new 1,665-square foot house with a footprint of 838 square feet. The property is located in the $R-2$ District (One and Two Family District) and is not located in the Historic District.

The plans show Lot 5 width is 50 -feet, the minimum lot width is 60-feet; this would require an area variance of 10 -feet.

The plans show Lot 4 width is 50 -feet, the minimum lot width is 60-feet; this would require an area variance of 10 -feet.

The plans show a lot area for the proposed Lot 4 of 5,347.39-square feet. The minimum lot area is 7500 square feet; this would require an area variance of $2,152.61$ square feet.

The plans show a lot area for the proposed Lot 5 of 5,347.39 square feet. The minimum lot area is 7500 square feet; this would require an area variance of $2,152.61$ square feet.

The Suffolk County Tax Map No. Is 1001-4-8-7. We -- we have a form that Ms. Wingate is the applicant's representative. The public notice was published, the placard we saw on our inspection, we saw the placard. I have the mailings; I'll read all these mailings. MS. WINGATE: Yeah, it was a lot.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Fifth Avenue Greenport LLC, Post Office Box 408, Sea Cliff, New York; Antone Ficurilli, 221 Fourth Avenue, Greenport, New York; Robbin Suess, 226 Fifth Avenue, Greenport, New York; 228 Fifth Avenue, Greenport -- I'm guessing that's an LLC -- Post Office Box 591, Shelter Island, New York; Roberta Garris, 229 Fifth Avenue, Greenport, New York; Nora Whelan, 430 Front Street, Greenport, New York; Rosalie Rung, 234 Fifth Avenue, Greenport,

1 New York. This just says Rosalie, 122 Duane 2 Street, New York, New York; Fourth Avenue 3 Greenport, Post Office Box 2045, Greenport, New

4 York; Raul Chapeton, 227 Fourth Avenue,
5 Greenport, New York. I can't read this. I
6 believe it says Ficurelli something Incorporated,
7221 Fourth Avenue, Greenport, New York; Michael
8 Goldsmith, 231 Fifth Avenue, Greenport, New York.
9 And that's all.

Is the applicant here?
MR. CROWLEY: Good evening. Ian Crowley on behalf of myself and Angelo Stepnoski, and I'd love to answer any questions you guys have.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ian, is there something you want to tell us about the property?

MR. CROWLEY: About the property?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: About the property.
MR. CROWLEY: We've owned it for a short amount of time. We bought it from the Tamen Family, Carolyn Tamin; I think we've owned it since November, if that's right. And it was presented to us as something that she had entertained subdividing. And I don't know if going back her family --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, no, no.

MR. CROWLEY: There was a house there, historic. So it looked -- this is Lot 4 and Lot 5 of the original subdivision. So, at some point there was slated to be a house there, there just isn't one now. But we had proposed -- the application should read a single-family dwelling, not just a house.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, actually I kind of have a problem with the application because the plans that we have show a different size house. I mean, it fits on the property, but --

MR. CROWLEY: The square footage, I'd have to defer to Eileen.

MS. WINGATE: The way it calculates, if you have this document, May 21st?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You've been around long enough to know; name and address for the stenographer.

MS. WINGATE: Eileen Wingate, 2805 West Mill Road, Mattituck, New York.

Okay, document May 21st, 2021. I did not deal with second floor dimensions, I only dealt with footprints. So, when you said it was a 2200-square foot house and I said it was 1600-square foot house, that's because I wasn't

1 counting stairs and other miscellaneous. But if you work with this document you get all your lot coverage.

Lot 2 is where the new house will be going and I have it calculated at 1,152-square feet. So it's not exactly doubled.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, just so -- just so the record is correct, and just so the application -- the agenda is correct. In fact, the new house would be 2300 square feet.

MS. WINGATE: Where are you getting that from?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm getting it from your May 21st, 2021. I'm talking about size of the house.

MS. WINGATE: No, this says the house.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's the size of the bottom floor.

MS. WINGATE: Well, yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And that's a two-story house.

MS. WINGATE: Again, when we calculated --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: When you calculate square feet you don't just count the first floor. Come on, you know that.

MS. WINGATE: I was -- I did its footprint. This is ZBA, this is not house size.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, it says the new house will be 1600 -- the new house will be 1600 square feet.

MS. WINGATE: Correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The new house, in fact, would be 2300 square feet.

MS. WINGATE: Where'd you get 2300 square feet?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, if the ground floor is 15 -- using a mathematical equation, if the ground floor is 1552 and you have a second floor of equal size, doesn't that equal 2300?

MS. WINGATE: No, because architecturally you wouldn't count, again, the stairs; the stairs are 45 square feet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm talking about footprint. We're talking about the footprint. All I want to do is correct the agenda, that's all.

MS. WINGATE: I will calculate the second floor for you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But you already have.
MS. WINGATE: Okay. If you want to do

1 calculations that way, yes, John.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I --
MS. WINGATE: Generally you calculate square footage, living space, not -- not it's a second floor because it is. You calculate living space. The second floor is smaller than the first floor.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, you calculate habitable floor space. So we're not -- we're not talking about closets.

MS. WINGATE: I'd have to -- I'd have to sit down and do calculations.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. Can we agree --

MS. WINGATE: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Can we agree that there's not 700 square feet of stairways and stuff?

MS. WINGATE: We can absolutely agree --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MS. WINGATE: -- there is not 700 square feet of stairs.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right.
MR. CROWLEY: Quite possibly another scrivener's error.
(*Laughter*)
MS. WINGATE: Again, but there is only 21\% lot coverage. And last time we spoke we talked about the fact that we work really hard to stay within all of the setbacks. So we're not asking for any variances on the size of the house, it fits very neatly right in the box. It's only about the site being under-sized.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the site is considerably under-sized.

MS. WINGATE: Considerably.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This will be rare --
MR. CROWLEY: I think so, but originally it was subdivided to be its own lot, independent. I got the tax map from the seller.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. CROWLEY: Which doesn't matter because today's --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ian, I agree with you. I mean, but we don't live --

MR. CROWLEY: And it's a street full of -MS. MAHONEY: One at a time. You can only speak one at a time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't live on a prairie anymore.

MR. CROWLEY: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I mean, since 1971 the Village Board decided that --

MR. CROWLEY: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- a lot in the Village has to be 7500 square feet, for whatever their thinking was. And prior to that, we know a lot of the lots. Eileen was nice enough to give us a map that --

MS. WINGATE: That indicates that 58\% of the existing street is non-conforming.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, what you forgot to put on here, and I think you and I spoke about it at the last meeting, is how many of those 34 houses were built after 1971 when the Code went into effect.

MS. WINGATE: None, zero.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So prior to 1971 a lot that size was permitted in the Village, after the -- well, actually it was 1949, but it was revised in '71, they decided that it would be '70 for whatever their reasoning, so.

Anyone have a question for Ian or Eileen? (No Response)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No? Thanks.

MR. CROWLEY: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone from the public that would like to comment on this? Name and address for the stenographer.

MR. WEISKOTT: Hi. Jack Weiskott, 229 Fifth Avenue.

I have nothing in particular against the house as described, but I am opposed to subdividing lots significantly smaller than the Code allows.

MEMBER GORDON: Could you speak a little louder?

MR. WEISKOTT: I can get closer to the mic.
MEMBER GORDON: Thank you.
MR. WEISKOTT: Anyway, I am philosophically opposed to overriding the codes that exist to significant amounts in lot size in this Village. And in particular on our street which is an exceptionally busy and crowded street where there's so much activity going on on our street that two cars can't pass, because if one of all the cars parked and all the traffic that goes up and down it.

And that's all. I'm just -- I think the Village is getting kind of full. As we just
heard the alarm go off while we were here, it's at least three or four times a day it's going off because of --

MS. WINGATE: That was the 6 o'clock bell.
MR. WEISKOTT: No.
MEMBER GORDON: No.
MR. WEISKOTT: No, it just did about ten minutes ago and it's 6:38 now, so I don't think that was our bell.

MS. WINGATE: Okay.
MR. WEISKOTT: Anyway, I just think we've reached a really strong limit on how many more things we can fit in this small village. And I think we -- we're a little overflowing already and any more is just oversaturation. So, that's just my opinion on the matter. Thank you

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
Is there anyone else that would like to speak at this Public Hearing?

MR. STEPNOSKI: Angelo Stepnoski, 200 Broad Street, Greenport, one of the applicants.

One of the reasons we're doing this is I have three sons in their $20^{\prime}$ s, Ian has two young sons and we want them to be able to stay here, so we want them to be to have a place to live and

1 that's one of the reasons we're doing it.

And also, Eileen just pointed out that we have to buy the three parking spots for this applicant, application, so. I just wanted to point out the fact that we're doing this so our kids can stay here.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is it -- are you suggesting it's your intention to build this house and your sons are going to live in it?

MR. STEPNOSKI: Yep, it's a good possibility, yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I wish my Dad did that for me.

MR. CROWLEY: I just want to -- can we be up here together?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Absolutely.
MR. CROWLEY: And I just want to -- this amounts to a question; Ian Crowley here. Are -have there been any relief granted since 1971 for lot size and lot width? Since the Code was enacted, has there been any really granted by the ZBA?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Actually, the question is --

MR. CROWLEY: Or is this is the first
application?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Actually, the question should be has there been application to subdivide a lot that's undersized and -- I wasn't going to bring this up, but this is a question -- this is a question of a subdivision; it's about lot lines for a subdivision.

MR. CROWLEY: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Actually, the house -the house is -- if the Zoning Board grants relief, the house becomes as-of-right. If the Zoning Board decides that this property can be subdivided, the house becomes as-of-right.

So, what you're -- what you're really asking the Zoning Board to do -- actually, it's the Planning Board that grants the subdivision. We're just kind of caught in the middle here because --

UNKNOWN AUDIENCE MEMBER: (Inaudible)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry? We're caught in the middle. But if the Zoning Board decides that you deserve relief for the size of the lot, you would go to the Planning Board and they would decide if you could subdivide the lot or not.

The house, in reality, as far as being on a -- if this was a 5500-square foot lot that you owned without a subdivision being in the picture, you would be able to build this house as-of-right. It would be limited to a one-family house, there's certain rules, you know --

MR. CROWLEY: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- about side yards and stuff, but it would be restricted to a one-family house. The question now is does -- lot size is what we're going to decide. So the question should have been since 1971, has there been a subdivision that allowed two substandard lots to be created.

I have recent recollection, it was maybe three years ago, perhaps, or two years ago, on Fifth Avenue, across the street from this property. And on the same side of the street, maybe four or five houses away, an applicant looked to subdivide there and he looked to subdivide across the street. Those two applications were denied. So, I don't know if that answers your question.

MR. CROWLEY: Not exactly, but I've --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't -- Ian, I don't

1 have the information of how many single-family 2 homes were built on substandard lots.

MR. CROWLEY: Well, subdivided, subdivided.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I do have that information.

MR. CROWLEY: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You know, and that's what $I$ just gave you.

That's it. Does anybody have any questions for the applicants?
(No Response)
No? Thank you.
MR. CROWLEY: Thanks.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What's the pleasure of the Board; are we going to close this Public Hearing?

MEMBER GORDON: I think so. We don't have -- there's been plenty of notice and we don't have more comments. But if you think there will be more comments in another month --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I -- all I can do is draw on experience. We had a similar application across the street from your property.

MR. CROWLEY: Yeah, where two families proposed.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, it was a one-family house.

MR. CROWLEY: Two families.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We had a similar application across the street from your property. The size of the house, in my mind, it doesn't matter in the answer that I'm going to give you, I'm just responding to Dinny, and we couldn't fit the people in the room. So, that was my experience with a subdivision on Fifth Avenue, two subdivisions on Fifth Avenue.

It's also my opinion that if the neighbors
-- if the majority of the neighbors were interested they would be here. So, I'm content to close the Public Hearing.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: When were the notices sent out; how long ago?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ten days.
MS. WINGATE: More than.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
MS. WINGATE: Plus two or three more days. I got them in way in advance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: By law it's 10 days before, so we're not going to think about a day or two.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: That seems like a reasonable amount of time, so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We can close the Public Hearing. Everybody here knows that we don't have to make a decision tonight, we have 62-days to make a decision. We can discuss it -- we can discuss -- obviously we're going to discuss it now, it's an agenda item. And if we come to a conclusion we'll vote on it tonight; if not, we'll give it a little more time and vote on it next month. So, I'm going to make a motion that we close this Public Hearing.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I'll second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
(VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).
Item No. 6 is 511 Carpenter Street. It would be a discussion and possible motion on the area variances applied for by Jenna and Donald Williams for the property located at 511 Carpenter Street, Greenport, New York, 11944. The Suffolk County Tax Map No is 1001-4-3-32.

1 What are we thinking here, folks?

25700 square feet, 695 square feet, that would add

1 another 1500 square feet to the principle
2 building, to the size of the principle building. 3 Now we're talking 7500 square feet. A

4 7500-square foot building on a 47, 4800-square
5 foot lot in my mind is excessive.

MR. CONNOLLY: You can put a condition in the approval, in the -- you can put a condition limiting the accessory structure to remain as a one-story structure.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: How is that possible? How do you condition -- once we legitimize the accessory structure, once it becomes part of the principle building, it -- he applies for a building permit, I'm not sure how we could --

MR. CONNOLLY: Well, the Zoning Board is allowed to put any condition in their decision that they want, as long as there's a logical nexus between the relief granted and the condition. So, if it's in the condition when they go to apply for a building permit, then the building permit -- the Building Department will see that there's a condition that limits the structure to be one story and they wouldn't be able to get building permits.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Even without that, it's

```
still a substantial --
```

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Even without that it's 62\% lot coverage.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah, it's still well over.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't agree.
MEMBER GORDON: May I ask a question of Rob? If the -- if we are legitimizing -- it's not really the right word, but it's the only one I can find -- the accessory building, are we not increasing the non-conformity? I don't really understand how we can deal with the accessory building in a way that realizes the objective of the owners to turn this all into non-conforming space.

MR. CONNOLLY: Right, you would be increasing the conformity of the structure, you know, of the primary residence; you would be increasing the non-conformity of that. So, yeah, that is an issue.

MEMBER GORDON: So it wouldn't -- yeah, it would no longer -- it would still be non-conforming.

MR. CONNOLLY: Correct.
MEMBER GORDON: Even though their objective
is in part to make it conforming. MR. CONNOLLY: Right. MEMBER GORDON: I mean, that's what this document is about. I'm uncomfortable with that.

I understand that this is a unique piece of property and there's nothing like this in the Village and there never will be, so, to do something good with it is to be wished. But it does increase the non-conformity to add this, you know, the corridor to the accessory building and connect it to the principle building. That's the problem I have with it.

MEMBER SOLOMON: The Building Department, they approved -- I mean, they okayed that, the planning of the permit? I mean, we're here for the variance, not for --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, there's been no -HPC I think is the only one. Is there another statutory board that this has to go in front of?

MR. COFFEY: No, not yet.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Not yet.
MR. COFFEY: We applied to the Building Department, but the next step is here and then it would go to --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This is the first

1 statutory board that they come in front of.

MEMBER GORDON: But then they do have to -MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yeah, they do have to go. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: They would have to go to Historic, it's in the Historic District.

So, I'm uncomfortable saying that no undesirable change in the characteristic of the neighborhood. I don't know any house on Carpenter -- I don't know any three houses on Carpenter Street that are 6,000 square feet. So, I would -- I'm having a little problem with that.

The original CO calls it a two-story building with a second-story single-family residence. The first story, non-habitable studio and the attached one-story, non-habitable studio. This board had brought up --

MEMBER GORDON: So it's really lot coverage that is your principal concern.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes, lot coverage would be -- no. My principal concern is the size of the dwelling. My principal concern is how does this house fit into the character of the neighborhood; my opinion is it doesn't.

MEMBER SOLOMON: I think it's been an eye

1 sore for decades, personally. And --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: They're going to fix that; they're going to make it look pretty MEMBER SOLOMON: No, they're not, it's going to look the same; I notice all that vine stuff is gone, or whatever, but I'm just saying it's not -- it's been there for so long, unless it was torn down, I don't think it's going to look especially ugly or, you know, impact negatively the community. But I understand, you know, the square footage, you're saying that's a lot and is that for us to -- the ZBA to determine that a house has too much square feet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the original -the original -- the original C of O -- there's also an intensity of use here.

You know, Carpenter Street, one of the smaller streets in the Village, in 1983 -- well, the CO is from '80 -- it was issued in '87, but issued in '83, and the findings and determinations are from '83 -- felt that the street was a different street. And at that time the building was restricted to the middle floor as living space; the bottom floor, $30 \%$ was supposed to be studio and the accessory building

1 was -- actually, what they said, what the ZBA

MR. WILLIAMS: We read what was in it, yep. MEMBER GORDON: I have -- I'm really very conflicted. I think the lot coverage question is important, but it's not the most important thing. I mean, I'm interested in Connie's comment about how it's been an eyesore. I think it will be less of an eyesore in this proposed situation, but it's also pretty awkward to think about what would happen to it in the future.

And I think the possibilities of a condition on the use of the accessory building is potentially a good way to deal with that. But I would want to know -- and the condition would run with the land, right?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The problem -- okay. Okay. But any condition can be appealed if he decides -- if they want a second or third floor.

MEMBER SOLOMON: And to who would they
appeal that to?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Who would they appeal that to?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: They would appeal it to the ZBA.

MEMBER SOLOMON: (*Laughter*)

MEMBER GORDON: But we are dealing with -CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Different ZBAs have different opinions, and we're dealing with the here and the now.

MEMBER GORDON: Yeah
MEMBER SOLOMON: Right, exactly.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And what happens in the future --

MEMBER GORDON: There are many other things that could happen in the future that you can't predict.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, that's my question about that. If we were going to -- if we're going to -- there's a question of -- in my mind, there's a question of parking. The original findings and determinations absolve them from parking, but we are opening up that application again. I mean, if we're -- to be fair to this Board, if we're being asked to consider the variances issued in '83, the applicant should be able to pick and choose which ones he would like to be -- you know. So, there is no -- here you're going to have a 6,000 square foot building that doesn't provide one parking space.
Originally the applicants said that they

1 only have one car; I don't know how many cars you currently have.

MEMBER GORDON: Can we -- could we include a condition that parking be included on the part of the lot where the accessory building is, or is that going too far?

MEMBER SOLOMAN: (Inaudible).
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Where would that be?
Where would that parking be on the lot?
MS. MAHONEY: I can't hear you.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Sorry. Where would that parking fit on that lot, given the intensity and use on that lot right now? How would you get parking without demolishing something? That's all I'm asking. And, I mean, I think the change of intensity, the parking is relevant.

MEMBER SOLOMAN: (Inaudible).
MEMBER KAUFMAN: It's pertinent if it's going to change the intensity and use.

MEMBER GORDON: To the south. Is there not enough room to the south of the accessory building?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: We could ask the architect.

MR. COFFEY: Yes.

MEMBER GORDON: Okay. Sorry; I didn't mean to disturb you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't believe there's room on either side of the building, and there's no access to the rear. And the front of the building, there's no parking on that side of the street.

Originally what was agreed to by the Zoning Board was that the applicant would make provisions, that the applicant would make provisions to rent parking space; I don't know. Two parking spaces were required. The original applicants only had one car, they told the Zoning Board that they only had one car, and the Zoning Board -- they told the Zoning Board that they were making arrangements to park their car on a neighbor's property.

So, parking, the original application was taken into consideration. So, you know, and the variance about parking was granted because the Zoning Board took the applicant at their word. We -- I don't -- I don't know if they kept their word or not, you know, it was 1983.

So, I think that -- I think parking in the Village is a real issue. And I think with seven

1 people living in a building, 7,000, a
2 6,000-square foot building, even with a covenant 3 on a 6,000-square foot building.

MEMBER GORDON: Rob, would we -- with a C of O of -- suppose this were accepted for granting this application. Would the CO say this was still non-conforming?

MR. CONNOLLY: Well, it should reference the Zoning Board decision and the survey, which I don't know if the Co generally says -- the preexisting $C$ of $O$.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Typically they don't reference whether it's conforming or not, even after a variance is granted.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, actually I kind of read the $C$ of $O$. I'll read -- why don't I read the Certificate of Occupancy. It's an alteration, the $C$ of $O$ number was 847 , it's October 6th, 1987, but the CO was written 6/17/99 but should have been issued on 10/6/87.
"This certifies that the building located at 511 Carpenter Street, District -- well, Suffolk County Tax Map No. Was 1001, Section 004 , Block 3, Lot 032, conforms substantially to the approved plans and specification filed in this

1 office with application for a building permit dated 10/15/82 and 1/18/83, pursuant to which Building Permit No. 847, dated March 14th, '82, ' 83 was issued and conforms to all the requirements of the applicable provisions of the law."
"The occupancy for which this certificate is issued is a two-story building with a second story, single-family residence; first story non-habitable studio and a detached, one-story, non-habitable studio. The certificate is issued to," and it's signed by -- actually, I think it's signed by David Affetone. That's what we have in the way of a CO.

So this building right now, there's a first floor, non-habitable space, there's an accessory building that's non-habitable, there's a middle floor that's habitable that's living space, and there's no mention of a third floor.

The second -- going through the questions and the applicant's narrative, the second question is can the benefit sought by the applicant be achieved by another method, feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance. The applicant's response is no,

1 there's no other way to achieve the benefit sought by the homeowner other than requesting area variance that recognizes preexisting, non-conforming assets of this property.

Again, I would answer yes. You just can't keep adding every time -- we just can't keep adding square feet. I mean, it's 62\% lot coverage.

The third question, is the area variance substantial. A 30\% lot coverage increase I would consider substantial.

Will the requested area variance have an adverse impact or effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood?

MEMBER GORDON: What?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think yes.
MEMBER GORDON: If the -- the first question is will an undesirable change occur in the characteristics of the neighborhood. How --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Or a detriment to nearby properties be created.

MEMBER GORDON: Okay. But I'm looking at this first clause and it seems to me -- I don't see how there's going to be a change. There's a

1 family living in it now, there was a family
2 living in it before.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Wait a second, Dinny. We -- we don't go by what's happening at this particular moment. We've all made -- we've all made a visit to the property. We know what's happening now at that property is different than what's allowed by a CO.

MEMBER GORDON: Well, it's still going to be preexisting non-conforming.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But it's still going to be an intensity of use. So, how does that not effect the surrounding property?

MEMBER SOLOMAN: Intensity of use, intensity.

MEMBER GORDON: Right. That means the different lot coverage percentage.

MEMBER SOLOMON: The lot coverage percentage you're talking about.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, not for lot coverage.

MEMBER SOLOMAN: No, not lot coverage.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The intensity -- the increased intensity of use will be --

MEMBER GORDON: You mean because there are
seven people in the family?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The increased intensity of use would be the difference between what was allowed here and what's actually happening now on the property.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: It's not about people there now or their future, it's about the amount of habitable floor space being created, both in -- this is my opinion -- in the main building, in the accessory building and all that intensity causing other detrimental impacts, including parking, to neighbors.

MEMBER GORDON: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The amount of livable floor space on the property is 2,000 in a 5900-square foot building that is being asked -MEMBER GORDON: As of 1987.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As of what's currently allowed by law. So to add 4,000 square feet of living space to that particular location, I don't understand how we could not say it would change the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties. But that's my opinion, I'm only one vote.
(Brief Pause)

Let me ask the members this. We have 62 days to make a decision. Do any of the members feel that -- we have another application to consider. Do any of the members feel that we can take more time with this at a later date or are we comfortable hashing this out now?

MEMBER GORDON: I'm not comfortable with the whole thing. But I don't see how --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Unfortunately that wasn't brought up (laughter).

MEMBER GORDON: I don't see how anything is going to change within the next 62 days. I mean, we've been agonizing over this for a while.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Then --
MEMBER KAUFMAN: I think we have all the information we're going to need and all the information we're going to get.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't have a problem. I don't have a problem doing it. We can -- we can go through the five questions and we can vote on the variance or we can discuss it and we can take a few more minutes. Eileen's busy on her phone, she's not worried about the time.

Well, let's create our own narrative for the rest of the questions. Can benefits sought

1 by the applicant be achieved by another method,
2 feasible for the applicant to pursue an area
3 variance. I certainly think there is. I
4 certainly think there is. Keep the accessory
5 building an accessory building and deal with the
6 variance as resolving around the principle
7 building. I think it's a reasonable
8 alternative. And today, tomorrow that building might be used for something as it was intended.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I agree.
MEMBER GORDON: Well, there's -- I mean, I don't have a problem with a lot of this. The variances with respect to the setbacks, as we've all been saying, doesn't change the way it looks, the way -- I don't have a problem with those little things. I have just a problem with the increasing non-conformity and the lot coverage.

So, if the accessory building were still separate, but you would still say the percentage of the lot coverage is still very high, that would still bother you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It would bother me. The percentage of lot coverage is high, but we're saying we can't change what's there. Nobody's going to suggest to the applicant that he tear

1 down a portion of his building, we can't change that. But what we can do is decide the amount of use that can be -- that can happen on that piece of property.

And to -- by increasing -- by adding a breezeway and legitimizing that 700 square feet of accessory building just increases the use on that property, increases the intensity of use, in my mind, on that property. I don't know how else to say it.

The next question, is the requested area variance substantial.
(*Laughter*)
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't know how you could say no. I mean, it's a $30 \%$ increase in lot coverage.

Will the requested area variance have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. I don't know. You know, a 6,000-square foot house with no parking; two adults, I assume there's two cars. There's only me and my wife and we have three cars; I don't even know how that happens, I don't know why it

1 happens, but we do. So, it's reasonable to assume that there would probably be at least two cars. It would definitely be an impact on the physical conditions in the neighborhood. It's the smallest street in Greenport.

MEMBER GORDON: That would probably be true even if they were -- even if the family were occupying only this second floor which is permitted in the old $C$ of $O$.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We still have to ask the question, it still requires an answer. I kind of like my answer.

And the last question would be was the alleged difficulty self-created. The response from the applicant is no.

MEMBER SOLOMON: (Inaudible).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, I think to answer no to that question, we asked the applicant if he read the CO before he bought -- when he bought the property, he knows exactly what was permitted on that piece of property. I don't know how -- I don't know how it's anything but a self-created hardship. But this is not a use variance, an area variance, so consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the Zoning Board of Appeals,

1 but not necessarily preclude the granting of an 2 area variance. So, in my mind the answer would 3 be yes, it is self-created, but that gives

4 us some -- because it's an area variance, it 5 gives us some latitude.

MEMBER GORDON: Would we deal with the area -- the variances one-by-one or all together?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I was going to ask the attorney that.

MR. CONNOLLY: I think we should ask the applicant if they would want to go forward with trying to get a building permit if the variance is to allow the breezeway and the accessory building permit by the board. But then --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not sure I understand.

MR. CONNOLLY: Well, because they're still -- they're asking for a variance to -- for the third story. So, does the applicant want to move forward with just that aspect of the project or is it an all-or-nothing prospect?

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, I mean, I think we would retool if it gave us a better shot of making the main structure permitable space. We'll get rid of the -- simply, we'll get rid of

1 the breezeway if that's the main issue. That's
2 not --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But you realize, you realize the breezeway is what would legitimize the accessory building.

MR. WILLIAMS: I do realize that; I do.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: To get rid of the breezeway -- (Brief Pause). I'm struggling here, because I don't want to open up a Pandora's box. To get rid of the breezeway, that accessory portion of that property, that accessory building would revert to non-habitable living space.

MR. WILLIAMS: I understand that. I think we could use that space like we use it currently, as office space for the foreseeable future. I'm okay with that, personally.

I'm also great with not adding three stories to the accessory building; I would never do that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, as smart as a guy as Rob is, he's the smartest guy I know and I believe everything that he says. But $I$ know it's like the fastest gun in the west, there's always somebody faster out there. And sometimes it's been our experience that a different lawyer or a
different Zoning Board or a different Building Department makes a better case than we can argue against. And all -- as if by wizardry, a second and third floor appear, so.
(*Laughter*)
MEMBER GORDON: We are not in the business of predicting, and if we were we would be terrible at it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, but we are in the business of granting variances that run with the land and we have to think past -- sometimes we have to think past the ends of our nose. Sometimes -- as much as we take applicants for their word, we do it all the time. As hard as this is for some people to believe, sometimes there's an applicant that lies to us and we get caught.

So, you know, it's better to err on what the greater benefit to the Village is than measure that against the detriment to the applicant.

So, do we want to go through the questions and answer and we'll vote on the variances individually. I would ask -- I would ask Rob, do we have to read -- we don't have to read the five

1 questions for each variance.

MR. CONNOLLY: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just we would vote on them individually.

MR. CONNOLLY: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So --
MEMBER GORDON: Could we group the setback variances and vote on them and then vote on the lot coverage as essentially a vote on the breezeway and accessory building issue?

MR. CONNOLLY: Yeah, I don't see a problem with that.

MEMBER GORDON: That's what I would like to do.

MR. CONNOLLY: And then really, I don't think you really even need to vote on the setback issues, but that's --

MEMBER GORDON: Well, they are --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, they're here and they're on the Notice of Disapproval.

MEMBER GORDON: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, you know, in case somebody down the road in 30 days asks for judicial review, at least we did our job, you know.

So, I'm going to make a motion that the Zoning Board declare itself Lead Agency for the purpose of SEQRA. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
And I'm going to make a motion that this is a Type II Action, Mr. Connelly?

MR. CONNOLLY: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Type II Action.
So moved.
MEMBER GORDON: Second.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
(VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).
We'll go through these five questions.
MS. MAHONEY: Can you give me a second to
plug in my charger, please?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
(Brief Pause in Meeting)
MEMBER GORDON: So, when we get to the

1 questions, are we doing it for the totality or
2 for -- I think part of it is --

MEMBER GORDON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But, again, I would just rather do that. Are you all done already?

MS. MAHONEY: I'm ready when you are.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I would just rather do that.

MEMBER GORDON: That's fine.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What do you think?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: That's fine, whatever works for you. It's fine.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. Then I'll read these five questions and hopefully we can move on a little bit.

Question one is whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of the area variance. Dinny?

MEMBER GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'm going to vote yes. (VOTE: 2/2/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).

Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance. Diana?

MEMBER GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'm going to vote
yes. (VOTE: 3/1/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).

Whether the requested area variance is substantial. Dinny?

MEMBER GORDON: Well, that assumes we're talking -- in this case we're talking about the lot coverage? Because some of it is substantial and some of it isn't. What would you like --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You could always say I don't know.

MEMBER GORDON: Well, all right, I'll say yes, it is substantial.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: The area -- yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'm going to vote yes (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).

Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. Dinny?

MEMBER GORDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
(VOTE: 2/2/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).
Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance. Dinny?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: No, I don't believe it was self-created by the applicant.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes. (VOTE: 3/1/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).

All right, we're going to group the -we're going to group the variances --
(Brief Pause)
So, on the Notice of Disapproval it's building height, lot coverage, front yard setback, side yard setback, combined side yard setback and a rear yard setback. If we can break

1 out the lot coverage requirement, we can vote on those other variances as a group. Is that what I'm understanding? Is that -- let me ask the attorney. Is that legal? Can we do that?

MR. CONNOLLY: Yeah, you can consider the variances individually or -- yeah.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. For -- I'm going to read them, this way later on there's no confusion.

The building height requirement in 150-12, regulation, one-family dwelling, maximum height 35 feet or two-and-a-half stories. The plan shows the proposed third floor addition, this would require an area variance for a third story. Why don't I just go through it. I'm going to make a motion that we grant this area variance. I'm going to go through them.

MEMBER GORDON: So, you're asking --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm asking -- so moved. What I'm asking is, is the first thing on the Notice of Disapproval, the first paragraph of the Notice of Disapproval, is the building height requirement, it's a one-family dwelling, maximum height 35-feet, two-and-a-half stories. The plan shows a proposed third floor addition, this would
require an area variance for the third story. MEMBER GORDON: And you're removing that variance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That we grant that area variance; that's my motion.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Okay, yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
(VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).
I'm just going to add -- well, we can do conditions afterwards, or should I -- I have a condition for this that $I$ would like them to vote on, its benign, but.

MEMBER SOLOMAN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Planning Board always adds a condition when they grant something. We don't do it because we trust the Building Department, that all the codes of the Village and the International Fire \& Building Code will be adhered to.

MEMBER GORDON: For that third story.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: For that third story, with the sprinklers. Okay? Can we vote on that?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to make that motion; so moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes. (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).

I'm going to skip lot coverage, we'll leave that to the end.

Front yard setbacks. One-family dwelling, front yard setback 30 feet. The plan shows the front yard of a two-story building setback of 1-feet -- 1-foot 6-inches, it says 1 -feet. 1-foot 6 inches. This would require an area variance of 28-feet, 6-inches. I'm going to make a motion to grant this area variance. So moved

MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinnie?
MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
(VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).

Item No. 4,the side yard setback. One-Family dwelling, side yard setback 10-feet. This plan shows the front -- see, that should be side yard. Side yard setback of 1 -foot 6-inches, this would require an area variance of 8 -feet, 6-inches. I'm going to make a motion we grant this area variance. So moved. Dinnie?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
(VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).
Combined side-yard setback requirements; one-family dwelling, combined side-yard setback is 25-feet. The plan shows -- a scrivener's error, a setback of 1 -foot 6-inches on the north side, 3 -feet 4 -inches on the south side. This would require an area variance of 20 -feet 4-inches. I'm going to make a motion we grant this area variance; so moved. Dinnie?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
(VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).
Rear yard setback requirement; one-family dwelling, rear yard setback is 30 -feet. This plan shows the front of the rear yard setback of 5-feet 6-inches. This would require an area variance of 24 -feet 6-inches. I'm going to make a motion that we grant this area variance; so moved. Dinnie?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER kAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
Lot coverage requirement; one-family dwelling, maximum lot coverage 30\%. Specification shows proposed lot coverage is -the proposed lot coverage is 2,895 square feet, $60 \%$ the allowable lot coverage is 1,437, $30 \%$. This would require an area variance of 1,458 square feet.

MEMBER GORDON: May I ask a question?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MEMBER GORDON: If we voted no on the lot coverage question, would it mean that we were voting against the deck as well as against the breezeway?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I was going to -- I was going to clarify what $I$ was going to say. I was going to refine --

MEMBER GORDON: Clarification is always good.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Refine what $I$ was going to say. The increase in lot coverage, would it exclude the $20-$ square feet for the breezeway but it include -- refresh my memory?

MR. COFFEY: A hundred and seventy-five square feet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: One hundred and seventy-five square feet for the deck.

MEMBER GORDON: (Indicated thumbs up).
MEMBER SOLOMAN: (Inaudible).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Does it have to be liveable? Okay, that increased the 20 feet that has to be accounted for as far as the square footage, not like -- I thought it was livable

1 space. Does that not -- that's adding on to the
2 lot size, I mean the square footage, the
3 breezeway.
MEMBER GORDON: Yes, it is.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Why don't we make it easier? Why don't we make it easier, we could break out the breezeway, too. Can we break out the breezeway?

MEMBER SOLOMAN: You could just approve whatever the previous lot coverage is, this way you don't have to worry about it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, no, because the preexisting lot coverage includes the -- it included a non-permitted --

MR. CONNOLLY: Well, besides the deck.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, to work --
MR. CONNOLLY: Because I'm afraid if you deny it, if you deny the lot coverage variance it's going to read as if you're -- that whatever lot coverage is there now. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, that's why I thought we could just break out the breezeway and the deck and vote on them separately. So, to make a --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chairman, I have a suggestion.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Why don't you just do it on the square footage individually. You could -- in theory, you could vote on the square footage of one versus the other and then maybe condition it that it doesn't include the breezeway, if that's the direction this goes. It's just a thought. So two different square footage that better known.

MEMBER GORDON: We just add 175 to the existing?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, because the current -- the current lot coverage includes 250 feet of non-permitted deck. The applicant's asking to get rid of that and add 175 feet of permanent deck. I think -- I'm looking at the Notice of Disapproval, the specifications of proposed lot coverage is 2,895. It doesn't give us -- it doesn't give me -- I guess I could look.

MEMBER GORDON: Yeah, it would be --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The square footage of this lot, but it doesn't -- it doesn't tell me if that includes the non-permitted deck. I'm just not sure why we can't vote on the additional -on allowing the 175-foot deck to be added to the
current lot coverage and vote on whether the 20-square feet for the breezeway can be added to the current lot coverage. I'm looking to you for guidance.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I think that's what I was trying to say without saying it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, I misunderstood?
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: You said it better.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Let's read that back; I don't think that's what he said.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: You said it better than I did, Mr. Chairman, as always.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. I'm going to -- are we ready for this? Are we going to give it a shot?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: (To stenographer) Can you stop typing for a minute in case $I$ get this wrong?
(*Laughter*)
No, I'm only kidding. I'm going to make a motion that we approve the 175 additional square feet for the rear deck to be added to the --
(Brief Pause)
I'm going to make a motion that we approve
the additional -- the 100 square feet for the rear deck. Does that --

MR. CONNOLLY: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So moved.
MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
(VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).
I'm going to make a motion that we approve the 20-square feet for the proposed breezeway. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Connie?
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no.
(VOTE: 1/3/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).
If I'm reading this right, we're done
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I lost track.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What did I miss here, Mr. Connolly.

MR. CONNOLLY: You didn't, I think you got it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're done?
MR. CONNOLLY: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Thank you.
Thanks, folks. Thank you.
MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you. Appreciate it
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item No. 7 is a
discussion and possible motion on the area
variance applied for by Ian Crowley and Angelo
Stepnoski for the property located at 220 Fifth Avenue, Greenport, New York, 11944. Suffolk County Tax Map remains the same at 1001-4-8-7. Folks, what are we thinking?

MS. WINGATE: I have some -- can I speak?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I forgot you were here.
(*Laughter*)
Of course you can speak.
MS. WINGATE: So you have brought up several occasions about previous subdivisions on Fifth Avenue.

MEMBER GORDON: Could you get closer to the mic?

MS. MAHONEY: You can pull the mic down, too.

MS. WINGATE: Okay. So, you have talked about previous subdivisions on Fifth Avenue and how they were both disapproved, and I just wanted to take a look back that those applications were very different from our applications because they were two-family houses looking for more two-family houses; and yes, they were both turned down. But I could come up with, sitting there for the past hour, six subdivisions that have been fairly easily perhaps under you or before you, but there's a lot of subdivisions that were in the range of 5,000 to 6,000 .

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is this when the Zoning Board granted subdivisions or when the Planning Board did?

MS. WINGATE: They've always been community projects. They've always been this way. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's not what I'm asking. You know, I believe you were employed by the Village at the time, that the dynamic changed.

MS. WINGATE: The dynamic changed.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That the Zoning Board no longer issued -- granted subdivisions, that it went to the Planning Board.

MS. WINGATE: They always went -- they always to Zoning if they were substandard lots. And then the Planning Board -- back in the day they used to do them at the same time, and that's the only real change. But there's the Ludiker House and then there's the one down -- there's two down on Fifth Street and there's \{Rosel Berelli's\} house, that was an extremely substandard lot. So it's --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have no way of checking that, Eileen; come on

MS. WINGATE: I'm just saying, it's not like it hasn't been done a million times.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do you want us to -- do you want us to -- because if you're going to make that as testimony, I'm going to postpone this Public Hearing and next month you come and you bring us that information.

MS. WINGATE: That's up to my client.
MR. STEPNOSKI: That's fine. I have a question also. This is a --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Angelo, please.
MR. STEPNOSKI: This is a merged lot. Does that make a difference between subdivision and on-merging a lot?

MS. WINGATE: Don't even --
(*Laughter*)
MR. STEPNOSKI: No?
MS. WINGATE: Don't even.
MR. STEPNOSKI: No? Bad news?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Village -actually, in the Village, up until just recently you weren't allowed to merge lots. Now, if it's non-conforming, you can merge a non-conforming lot with a conforming lot. I don't know how this property would relate to that.

MS. WINGATE: Yeah, back in the day, somewhere in the mid 70's, I wasn't here, you weren't here.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: None of us were born in the 70s.
(*Laughter*)
MS. WINGATE: When Southold Town took over the Tax Assessor's role from the Village, when that whole thing happened, Southold Town did all the merging, not Greenport. So --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Eileen --
MS. WINGATE: That's a --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're making my head hurt (Chairman Saladino removed his hat).
(*Laughter*)

MS. WINGATE: I just -- my point was that I could name half a dozen subdivisions that were on Fifth Street that were approved, four substandard lots, four little tiny lots at 500-square feet. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, right here and now, are you willing to admit that different locations have different considerations to be taken into?

MS. WINGATE: Absolutely.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Different things to consider?

MS. WINGATE: Neighbors.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Size of the street, density.

MS. WINGATE: Last time was this place not filled to the brim, standing room only? Which leads me to believe that it's not as important this time around because who knows why.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, there's no nobody speaking in favor of the application.

MS. WINGATE: I also did a major mailing; did I not do 12 people? So I did -- I went beyond the usual boundaries, called everybody in and we haven't had an overwhelming, terrible

1 response. And I just wanted --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I read the mailings, I read the mailings, and a good portion of them, over half --

MS. WINGATE: Were local people; I was shocked, frankly.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, you did such a great job I think the Village is going to give you your money back.

MS. WINGATE: Well, we gave you the money back, that's okay.

The point is Fifth Avenue is hard. We know it's busy, we know it's a small street, we've provided parking. We're asking for two variances, again, that have nothing to do with the house, they have to do with the land. And I just think that they're very different from previous requests, that's all.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I'm not entirely ready to admit -- I'm not ready to admit that both -- that both previous subdivisions on Fifth Avenue were two-family houses.

MS. WINGATE: The one -- I have to research; it's research.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. If you want to

1 make that part of the argument, we'd be glad to 2 hear it. You bring it to us next month --

MS. WINGATE: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- and we'll listen to it.

MR. STEPNOSKI: And if there are people to speak for us, in favor of us, that would be good? That would be helpful?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It always helps.
MR. STEPNOSKI: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Especially if they were from, you know, someplace other than Oyster Bay.

MR. STEPNOSKI: Yeah. No, they're all from Greenport.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's fine.
Well, actually, I'm overstepping my bounds here. We already closed the Public Hearing. We would need -- we would need a unanimous vote to reopen the public hearing. I don't know --

MS. WINGATE: I can just submit to you --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Letters.
MS. WINGATE: -- some paperwork.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MS. WINGATE: Some additional findings, that's all

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, Angelo wanted friends and neighbors to come, but you could do it -- we could accept it as written comments, no?

MR. CONNOLLY: Yes. I mean, technically you still should reopen the public hearing so that you can allow them to --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, is that a good idea? Do we want to reopen the public hearing, and this way friends and neighbors or opposition. Eileen's contention is nobody wants to show up because they're in favor of the project; the applicant's opinion is that a lot of people want to show up.

MS. WINGATE: I didn't say they were in favor.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Don't be a sore winner.
MR. CONNOLLY: You can leave it -- you can open it for like 14 days from tonight's date for written submissions only and then it's closed after that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is that more acceptable to the Board? We're going to be here next month anyway.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I think it's fine to reopen it for next month, too. Why not?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there a downside to that?

MR. CONNOLLY: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do we have to do anything special? They don't need another placard or --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: No, I don't think so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: They don't need anything? Not a new public notice?

MR. CONNOLLY: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Not a new placard, no new mailings?

MEMBER SOLOMAN: Sixty-two days, would that 62 days be --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sixty-two days starts the day we close the Public Hearing. But if we reopen the Public Hearing -- and that 62 days is nothing, because if they agree to extend the time it's just -- so, however long it takes. And how foolish would they be not to extend the time?

But am I making the motion to reopen the Public Hearing?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: I'm okay with that, yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is the applicant okay; are you guys okay with it?

MR. STEPNOSKI: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to make a motion that we reopen the Public Hearing for 220 Fifth Avenue. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Yes.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN sALADINO: And I'll vote yes. (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).

Okay, that's it. We'll see you guys next month. All right?

MS. WINGATE: Uh-huh. Thanks.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Hopefully whoever is interested will show up, pro or con. We don't get paid by the amount of people that show up, so it doesn't matter.

Item No. 8 is any Zoning Board of Appeals business that might properly come before this board. This is the time if somebody's got a question or a comment or a suggestion, this would be the time to do it. If not, I'm going to make a motion.

Item No. 9 is a motion to adjourn.
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So moved.
(Brief Pause)
MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I guess we're staying.
MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Absolutely.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
(*Aye Said in Unison*)
And I'll vote aye (VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Reardon).

Thank you, folks. Thank you for coming.
(*The meeting was adjourned at 7:50 p.m.*)
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