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(Whereupon the meeting was called to order at 7:02 p.m.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Call the meeting to order. Pledge to the flag.

(Whereupon all stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: You may be seated. I just want to announce to everybody we're having trouble with the live stream, they're working on the computer in the back, so anybody that's home trying to watch this you can tell them that we're working on it, it's not broadcasting right now.

We'll start with Chief Miller from the fire department.


MAYOR HUBBARD: Yup.

CHIEF MILLER: I'd just like to ask you to accept all reports from the fire department for the month. I've just got a couple of things, hydrant test is next month, the 30th, October 30th. I know you're going to have to
notice that in the paper, so I just figured I'd --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You said that the fire hydrant is going to be tested October 30th?

CHIEF MILLER: Yes. And also our new ambulance will be here the week of the 26th, I think. It's on the island now, they're putting it in an open house that they have, they just wanted to use it for the show, and then we should see it the week of the 26th.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We should see it here in Greenport on the week of the 26th?

CHIEF MILLER: I believe so, yeah. We're going to have to work out getting it insured and all that stuff. That's basically all I have.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any questions for the chief?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have one for Sylvia though. Sylvia you sent out the invoice I think for the ambulance for payment, is that going on the abstract
this month, or is that going for next month?

MS. PIRILLO: I believe next month.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

CHIEF MILLER: Good?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

Village administrator, Paul Pallas.

MR. PALLAS: Thank you, Mayor and Board. I will be as brief as I can. I have three, a total of three resolutions, two of them are not on the agenda at the moment. First one is the New York Association Public Power conference, October of 2016 for Trustee Robins and myself to attend. The second one is for the New York Prize stage two authorizing the Mayor to sign the letter of support to the stage two micro grid Prize program, we had the presentation last month for that from the Global Commons president Bob Foxin, so he's asking us to get that approved at the next meeting. The third one is for authorization to go out to bid for
specified curbs and sidewalks in association with paving of a few streets and then other curbs and sidewalks as we get a design. Those are the three resolutions that I have.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, can we just go back to the one, Global Commons, could you just repeat, he's looking for a letter of support?

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, at this stage all he's looking for is he's going to make the application, it's really his application that we will work with him on. There's no cost to us. They are assuming whatever costs. It's really an in kind cost that he explained where I didn't really understand it so that we are saying yes, we are going to be supportive of his submittal to NYSERDA.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. And we'll see a copy of the letter before it goes?

MR. PALLAS: Sure, absolutely. I haven't drafted --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No commitment,
no financial commitment?

MR. PALLAS: There's no financial commitment at this point. Once the stage two -- once they approve his submittal, they will do the design work, then there will be a stage three request, and then at that point then we would have to discuss whatever financial commitments might be needed to do the actual construction of whatever projects that are designed.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Which is a year from now?

MR. PALLAS: I think so, I think it's at least a year from now before we would have to make that commitment.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Can I ask about the road thing?

MR. PALLAS: Sure.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We talked a while ago about a road plan. We talked about getting assessments, I think it was your idea that we do analysis of the roads, we grade them one to ten in terms of priority, and we did a bunch
of roads, which is great, I think everyone's happy, I think there was general agreement that those roads were pretty terrible. Are we going back to the plan of building a road plan?

MR. PALLAS: The short answer is yes, but after looking at the number of roads, the number of miles, a full blown engineering assessment once the major problems were fixed probably is not a worthwhile undertaking both financially and practically from a time perspective. I think in-house we can easily decide what the next one would be after these ones with the most problems are fixed.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Fair. So before the next work session, can someone on your team go and -- I'm getting someone is just going to drive around and eyeball it and say this is a three, this is a two.

MR. PALLAS: I will try for next work session, more likely it will be the work session after that.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: All I'm asking is before we make a big decision to invest in roads, if we could have that work from you guys.

MR. PALLAS: These two roads that we're doing were already in process. The next phase wouldn't be until next year anyway, so we've got time to --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Perfect, no roads.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Explain the two roads. We're talking about South Street and Carpenter Street that we went out for the engineering studies in the springtime to get that along with curbs along Monsell Place and Sixth Street. This is stuff we had talked about last year, we're just getting to the point of going out and trying to get prices for that. So the next phase would be farther off than that, but this is something we talked about in the spring that he's trying to put together to go out and get prices for now.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Perfect. I think what I'm hearing is that this winter we can have a process where there's a document that says okay, these are the roads in order of priority, and then everyone can take a look at that, publicly comment, and we can --

MR. PALLAS: I think that can be done.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So that over the next ten years people can see all right, this road is maybe going to get fixed two or three years out, this one is going to get fixed soon.

MR. PALLAS: Yes, we can do something like that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That would be great, thank you.

MR. PALLAS: The only item I have for topic of discussion is more of information, not really discussion, the Peconic Landing sewer meter has failed, and we reviewed the contract documents, and we are responsible to replace that
meter. Most of our sewer customers are billed based on water usage. This is billed out of a flow meter, and the flow meter has failed. And the only reason I bring it to your understanding is it's rather expensive. The meter in my understanding is in the order of nine thousand dollars, I just wanted you to be aware of it.

Moving onto individual departments, start with the road crew, Road Department, just a couple of highlights, we're still working or have been working on upgrading signs and restoration of signs throughout the Village. We're still in that process. We're going to be working on stop bars and all of the things that were as part of the traffic control process to make sure all signs are accurate and meet whatever specifications are required. An item I have in here, which I did talk about at the last meeting, was the completion of the playground equipment at the Third Street Park here. That's
the two highlights for the road crew.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, I had a couple of questions about the trees. There's some trees that are marked, some people want to know when that activity is going to start. There are some other concerns as to people wanting to know what the process is to perhaps take care of the trees that are Village trees in front of their residence already that may not be on the tree list. What is the process for a resident who has a tree in front of their house that needs some trimming, and do we want them to call us and arrange for --

MR. PALLAS: My understanding -- well, it's two different things. First, the tree bid for cutting, removal of trees, I think it also includes pruning of trees, correct, it does?

MS. PIRILLO: Yes.

MR. PALLAS: So the tree committee actually designates what trees are
designated for that work, so I don't
get directly involved, other than to
review the list and confirm that it's
accurate. Crews do go out, both the
Electric Department and the Road
Department go out and verify and select
other trees that they think in
conjunction with the tree committee.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So I notice
there's some marked trees, do we know
when the vendor is going to start
dealing with the trees?

MR. PALLAS: Where are we on the
bid, Sylvia?

MS. PIRILLO: So in terms of the
marked trees, generally we have
different colors for different works
for the trees. Pruning is usually one
color, stump removal is one color, and
a tree removal itself is one color.
This time the committee ran out of
ribbon, so they're all marked yellow,
okay, so that's not a cause for
concern, number one. The tree list has
not yet been finalized, hasn't gone out
to bid yet. We expect that to happen within the next week or so. John Quinlin is away right now. The committee is working in his absence, but we expect that to happen. It's very close to being finalized, just today there were two changes made.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That list is the one published on the website, right?

MS. PIRILLO: Correct.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So it's technically a draft, the committee is working through that draft?

MS. PIRILLO: The tree committee is working through that draft, they've already had input from our crews as Paul mentioned, so the revisions are ongoing and should be finalized very shortly.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And as I understood it, there are two kinds, there's work that's done by our crew and work that's done by the outside contractor. The outside contractor
basically is taking stuff down, right,
and our crew is trimming back?

MR. PALLAS: Correct.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that's not
always true.

MS. PIRILLO: Not necessarily.

MR. PALLAS: Depends on the size
of the tree, what's involved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: The more complex
ones are outsourced.

MR. PALLAS: Larger I think is
really more the criteria.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The ones that are
just a take down, if our crew can do
it, we do it in-house instead of paying
somebody else to take care of it. The
bigger trees are the ones that are
really hazardous with a house or
something else around it, that's when
they go out and hire contractors to do
those. So they try to do as much as
they can in-house to keep the cost down
and just give our guys the time to do
it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's the
first part of the question, the second part I've been asked is if a resident has a tree in front of their house that's not on the tree list, and they would like to assist in trimming the tree or paying to have it -- what is our process for them to follow through?

MR. PALLAS: I don't know of any formal process. Perhaps there is that I'm just not aware of, but they could certainly contact me, and I can have staff take a look at them and see what's involved, and we can take care of it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what thy needed to know.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's a tree on their own property that they want looked at.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, it's tree that's actually uprooting the sidewalk in front of their house, and they wanted to just trim it back because it's -- the limbs are now hitting into the gutters of their house, so, you
know, if the tree is fine, they weren't
complaining, they were more than happy
to do it themselves, but they just
didn't know what the process was,
that's all.

MAYOR HUBBARD: They can file a
complaint with Village Hall or a
concern about the tree, and then it
gets looked at.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what I
needed to know.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Send an e-mail to
Village Hall and it will be looked at
by the tree committee. If it's
something that they can't handle, then
we would get an arborist or somebody
else to look at it to make a
determination.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Paul, can I just
go back to the roads for one minute.
You said you're going to do South
Street and Carpenter Street?

MR. PALLAS: Correct.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Is that going to
involve breaking up the asphalt and
excavating because of the grade issues?

MR. PALLAS: The short answer is no, not really. Carpenter maybe a little bit, it's just going to be more deep, the milling, than actual removal of asphalt. It turned out the grade wasn't as severe as it appears, but there is going to be some deeper milling on one side of Carpenter.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: And South Street, no?

MR. PALLAS: No, the grade is -- I mean, there's going to be some -- again, there will be spots where it is milled a little more, some spots where more asphalt is filled in, but other than that, no.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Thanks.

MR. PALLAS: Moving to the Sewer Department, nothing major, other than the flooding on August 10th. They did have some issues, but they were able to fix them right away, didn't have any problems, any issues of permit related issues on that as well.
Moving to Electric Department, other than the standard minor projects, we did do our -- run our DMNC test. We were fine on the load values. We reached the same levels we reached last time, which we're required to do including engine four, which was completely repaired as of last week, and that was immediately run for it's DMNC test.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Because we've got some folks here who may not know what that is, can you clarify that?

MR. PALLAS: The DMNC test is done twice a year, it's a requirement of the New York Independent System Operator to get credit for capacity rather than purchase capacity on the open market. We have our own generators that we use as a financial hedge against that cost of purchase capacity, and we're required to test them twice a year for a little over an hour at whatever load that we can achieve, and that gives us credit for that amount of capacity.
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TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's what keeps your bills low.

MR. PALLAS: It certainly helps.

Building Department, nothing significant, just the traffic enforcement worksheet is attached. You can see for the month of August we did almost twelve thousand dollars worth of tickets, a hundred and forty-eight cases on that, that's a data point.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: What happened to the pie chart?

MR. PALLAS: Sorry. I'll get it for next month.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: If you don't mind, I think it's a great data point to have.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The pie chart does help.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you know if there were any changes in the ratio of --

MR. PALLAS: I didn't have a chance to look into that little detail, Doug.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Building Department, can you give us an update on hiring, we've talked about hiring a couple of --

MR. PALLAS: We're still in process. There's a -- unfortunately it's a long winded process to go through civil service. It's a very long list of people on the list, and we have to canvas, and re-canvas, and re-canvas. We're still in that process.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Which titles are we hiring for?

MR. PALLAS: That's the on title right now that we're working on is the clerk to the boards, that's the one title we're working on at the moment.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: What about the building inspector?

MR. PALLAS: Once that's filled, then we'll go to the next step.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Starting with the clerk?

MR. PALLAS: Correct.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is the title a clerk of the -- it's just a straight clerk title, right?

MR. PALLAS: In civil service it's called the clerk typist I think.

MS. PIRILLO: Clerk typist.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think Sylvia had mentioned that the list was rather extensive. Sylvia, what group of hundred are we up to now?

MS. PIRILLO: I believe five, but we did receive one response. We did have someone that is interested, and I called the respondent yesterday.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Five hundred and one response?

MS. PIRILLO: Correct.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: What are we doing wrong?

MR. PALLAS: We canvas the list, we give them the salaries, and they either respond or they don't. It's a formal process, there's only one way to do it, and we're following --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No, I
understand, but could it be the budget that we're not getting interest?

   MS. PIRILLO: It could be the budget, and it could also be a function of the age of the list because if someone is already ensconced in a position, they may not want to leave there for the amount that we're paying for the position.

   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you know how many total are on the list county wide?

   MS. PIRILLO: I think that our list to canvas was almost nine hundred or nine hundred and thirty-seven.

   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So we're half way through, and we've got -- so please educate me, is the process we go through all nine hundred and something and then we say -- and if we strike out, then we say okay, we've got to rework the numbers?

   MR. PALLAS: If we get no responses, then we're permitted to go out on our own, and they would then half to go out at some point and pass...
the test and be reachable, meaning they would have to be in the top three interested candidates. It's a very long process unfortunately.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: The big thing we see every month from you is how we have more and more work in our Building Department, and so I trust everyone is coming up with the fastest way to get there.

MR. PALLAS: Again, there is only one way by civil service rules to do this unfortunately.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Frustrating.

Okay. Thank you.

MR. PALLAS: Moving on to Recreation Department, month of August in the marina went very well. Revenues were up. The report speaks for itself on that. We had one issue at the carousel where one of the cross members had actually cracked. We found out that it had just been dry rotted. Our road crew was able to come in, make a repair same day, and the carousel
reopened the same day, so we were only
down for a couple -- two to three hours
I think if I remember correctly.
Summer camp is done as of August 19th.
That went very well. I think you'll
see in a couple of the letters that we
received kept thanking us for the
well-run program. I think that's good,
everybody had a good experience there.
After care program started this week.
Again, I think that's going well so
far, it's only been a couple of days,
but that's going well as well. That's
it for me.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other
questions for Paul? Thank you. Next,
Village Treasurer, Mr. Brandt.

MR. BRANDT: Good evening,
everyone. Couple of resolutions this
evening. The traffic control officer
is now a full-time position, and we
need to fund it, that's the bad news.
The good news is the revenue to date, I
just got an updated report this
morning, we're at $27,135 already, so
he's easily going to hit his mark to cover his salary. So I'm asking for a resolution to fund that. We're going to increase the revenues and increase the expense accordingly. Second resolution, I just need Board authorization to do a refund for a Building Department escrow. Customer rescinded on wanting to do the work, the total was $419.20, we spent in $59.63 in advertising, that's how I got the number of $359, but I need Board authorization to refund it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is that a standard policy?

MR. BRANDT: My understanding we had a discussion with Counsel, and he suggested we get resolutions for any refund that we do.

MR. PROKOP: The management is developing a policy now, and we've discussed it in the last several management meetings. We didn't really have a policy before this, it was a case by case basis, and under the
policy that we're developing, we
developed -- this is the first that
we've been processing, the first
request that we processed under that
policy. Basically what Robert
described is that the person had an
escrow that was paid, did not go ahead,
and we then went through the
departments that were involved and
determined the amount of expenditures
the Village had made on furtherance of
the application, and they were deducted
and the amount that is due to be
refunded is that net amount. Often the
amount of expenses would be much
greater, but in this case the expenses
were two publications and a very small
amount of the escrow payment.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Like, a public
hearing advertisement?

MR. PROKOP: Yes. So working with
Robert and Sylvia and Paul Pallas,
we've developed a way now to do the
accounting to track these escrows, to
do the accounting for them, and also to
track the disbursements that are made,
so in some cases there might even be
escrow shortages, and we could contact
the applicant and get additional money,
but then on the other hand at the end
of an application if there's net money
due back to the applicant, we can
process that also.

MR. BRANDT: Thank you.

MR. PROKOP: That's what you were
going to say.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTTA: If I might
continue on that vein, it seems like a
tremendous amount of work for somebody
to apply, open an escrow account, can
we just come up with a flat fee of a
non-refundable flat fee to initiate the
process, and then if the process
continues then you add on -- what am I
trying to say? An initial fee to start
the process, which is non-refundable,
whatever it might be fifty dollars,
three-five dollars, a hundred dollars.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the
permit fee is -- I mean, the
application fee is the non-refundable.

MR. PROKOP: Yeah, the variable is the escrow. It's supposed to match up to the amount of work that's going to be involved in processing the application, so that's sort of a variable amount. So the people will pay the initial fee, there's a flat fee that's paid depending on, you know, the type of application, how many variances, whatever, and then the Building Department assesses the escrow amount. So that's meant to vary really, not be a nonrefundable. But it's up to the Board, whatever the Board.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I believe a simple applications, if they're putting up a fence, it's a seventy-five dollar fee, you pay that and it's done. If it's going to be something that's going to go through needing variances or whatever, they can calculate all right, this is going to be two hundred and fifty dollars to start and they guess
it's going to be another two hundred
and fifty dollars in additional fees
for what it's going to take to do the
overall project. So then they pay the
five hundred dollars. The two fifty is
the upfront money that they pay, if
they decide at some point, you know
what, forget it, I don't want to do
this, and then they're asking for the
money back that they put in when they
stopped the project. Is that --

MR. PALLAS: Yeah.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: It seems like
a fairly complicated solution in search
of a problem.

MR. PALLAS: I think as Attorney
Prokop just mentioned, we're trying to
put in this process because we have
these fees already out there. The
other thing we started, just touched on
briefly, was kind of a review of that
fee structure and making sure the code
is right and maybe some spots where
there's discrepancies between the two,
so that's kind of, like, the next step
is to start looking at that. There may be no way to change it, conceptually to change it because of the variability of some of these things, but it's something we can certainly look at and explore. We have kind of started that process very peripherally.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm worried because we've -- since I've been doing this, we've not been asked to give a refund like this, and I'm worried that you've spent time on it, you've spent time on it, you've spent time on it, we may have already spent more than $359.57 on this in just in-kind time, and are we going to have to do that every time someone wants a refund? And does the public know they're eligible for a refund, and where is this policy printed, and where is the accounting on this?

MR. PALLAS: I think, again, as Attorney Prokop mentioned, this was kind of the first one, and it almost triggered us to come up with a process
that is not burdensome. What he was
describing was exactly that, where it's
all recorded, so you know, at some
point if somebody says oh, never mind,
we already know what the balance is, we
put a resolution and we're done. It's
much simpler than having to review
everything and review files each and
every time. There will be a document
that's tracking that project.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So we have that
somewhere?

MR. PALLAS: We're in the middle
of working on that.

MR. PROKOP: And this actually
saves time because what we were doing
is we were -- we had -- we signed --
when I say we, I mean management and
the Village Hall assigned staff to do
billing, so after the applications were
completed, we were then billing people
for their expenses. This way what
we're doing is we're -- it's an
accounting function in advance with
this escrow payment, and it's actually
a lot easier this way and it saves a lot of time. Plus the people are not -- they know what the application is going to cost, we're not billing them after the fact.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Are all fees refundable, zoning, planning?

MR. PALLAS: Again, I think it's part of the process where we're going through that to make sure we know which ones are and which ones aren't.

MR. PROKOP: I'm actually meeting with Eileen tomorrow morning to go through that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Maybe next month there can be a document that says here's what we're going to do so everyone can see.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: This is going on basis on part of our code, 150-40, payment of the consultants fees and the application, is that what this is based on?

MR. PALLAS: It's all fees, not just -- that section as I recall is
specific to boards for consultants for boards.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And applications to the Village.

MR. PALLAS: We're doing a more all encompassing to do building permit fees, everything they have to pay, anything that might be refundable will be put into this process.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Because part of the code here says in this particular one where it says the applicant's initial payment towards any post-approvement fees for inspection or that they may request a refund if the escrow on that is not used.

MR. PALLAS: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just want to be clear because that's part of the code, 150-40.

MR. BRANDT: Utility billing, we've been using the part-time meter reader, he's kept us on point. You'll see they are in bright yellow green reflective shirts, they have ID's on
them, so you can't confuse them, and
their shirts say meter reader, so I
think everybody will understand who
they are. It's brought us right on
point, we're perfectly on schedule
right now, and hopefully we maintain
this. Let's see if I have anything
else. That's pretty much it. Anyone
have any questions for me?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any questions for
Robert? All right. Thank you.

Village clerk is next.

MS. PIRILLO: Good evening, ladies
and gentlemen. Starting with the
additions as always. We have a
resolution approving the attendance of
myself and my deputy at the SCAVOA
municipal training seminar. There is
one being held in Melville on October
13th, so if any trustees would like to
join us. We do have a bit of an agenda
at this point. We have a resolution
approving the attendance of myself and
the treasurer at the New York State
Retirement System educational seminar,
that's on October 6th. There's no cost for that seminar, that's in Hauppauge.
Working in conjunction with Trustee Phillips, the PTA, and the library we are developing a Halloween program. Do you want to talk about that or do you want me to?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, go ahead, Sylvia.

MS. PIRILLO: We're developing a joint Halloween program as we did last year, and the date of our activities, our festivities is October 29th this year, and we are asking for one free carousel ride for each Halloween participant as we did last year for a forty-five minute time period from 11:15 until noon. Following that there will be the parade from noon through 1:30. We anticipate a closure of the streets starting at the carousel to First Street and First Street to the library.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We're going to vote on all that?
MS. PIRILLO: That's two for the Halloween parade, one for the carousel and one for the street closure.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Great. Thank you.

MS. PIRILLO: You're welcome. Two points of information, the first one is that General Code is in the process of fixing, if you will, the fee schedule that is attached to our code. Trustee Phillips brought to our attention a discrepancy last month, there was a differential. The fees should have read 15,000 dollars, it read instead 11,694. We have also negotiated with General Code for a reduction of their cost schedule for upcoming services, so I anticipate that to be about 1,500 dollars.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I saw them in Saratoga Tuesday.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's just the -- so the real version is the one in the book in your office, right, technically from a legal perspective?
MR. PROKOP: The official one is the one that is maintained by the Village Clerk.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right. That's a service we provide the public to have General Code.

MR. PROKOP: Just so everybody knows what we're talking about, the official village code is the village code that's maintained by the Village Clerk, not the one that's online.

MS. PIRILLO: It's the time of year that you'll begin to hear me speaking about the election. The date of the March election has been set for the 21st, and in upcoming months we will be asking for resolutions and placing legal notices regarding that election.

I just wanted to say I saw I think Pete Harris here earlier, and I just wanted to mention that it was brought to my attention not by Pete Harris that on Sunday of Labor Day there was a boater in our waters that made a
mistake and caused his boat to not work properly. He then decided he would go in the water and try to fix the problem. Both the boat and the boater were heading into a troublesome situation, and the situation would have become very problematic if it weren't for Peter Harris who helped the boater by throwing him a life ring, got him onto the boat, and also helped to salvage his boat. So I just wanted to let everyone know that because I thought it was remarkable, and I wanted to say thank you. Any questions or comments?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes. We have a resolution here authorizing a request for proposals for the towing and impounding of vehicles according to New York State Traffic Law of the Village of Greenport code, with proposal specifications to be provided by Village Administrator Pallas. Do you want to expand on that a little bit?

MS. PIRILLO: I do. Thank you.
For the last few winters we've had issues with vehicles not being moved when we have snow emergencies. This has been a problem for quite a few years. We now have a code enforcement officer who suggested along with Paul that perhaps we want to pursue this avenue. We I think tried it in the past. We have attempted to have cars towed. It's not convenient, it's not good for the liability of the tow company, and frankly the tow truck companies are otherwise engaged. So we thought that perhaps we would try this avenue and explore this avenue, really nothing lost.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Here, here.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just wanted that out to the attention because we are all aware of the snow removal and the plows trying to keep us open and trying to provide the residents safety, vehicles getting through, and it would be interesting to see if the towing companies do have issues with insurance.
liability. I guess my question would be if we do have someone who answers this RFP, we will be looking into our insurance liability as well?

MR. PALLAS: Of course, yeah.

MR. PROKOP: Yes. And we also -- there's other things that we tow for, it's not just the bad weather towing. We towed a car a few days ago, I worked with Greg on this to tow a car out of one of the lots. On the short term lot somebody had dropped a car off for what ended up being -- I think he was up to two or three weeks, and it was in a position and location that was determined to be hazardous. So we ended up towing that car. We gave the person plenty of notice, and ended up towing the car.

MS. PIRILLO: Our first notice of the car was on August 18th, so it may have even been there prior, but that was when our clock started ticking, if you will. Back to, I'm sorry, if I may, about the check for the ambulance,
it will appear on next month's abstract but most likely as a manual check.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm going to ask this question because the final abstract is not finished yet, and I reviewed what I'm supposed to review, but there's always add-ons before the regular board meeting. Is there any reason why it can't be an add-on now so that the check can be part of the abstract and not a manual check produced?

MS. PIRILLO: It could be.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is there a reason that it couldn't be?

MS. PIRILLO: It could be except for the fact that we don't have the vehicle in our possession. It hasn't been reviewed by their truck committee nor by the warden, so it hasn't been approved. So because it's just such a large amount, the decision was made to cut a manual check. We normally are not in favor of cutting manual checks, but for that reason to protect the
Village, we decided to cut a manual truck.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm not disagreeing with the liability, but over the last couple of months there's been a fair amount of manual checks that I've observed being on the abstract, and I understand a lot of that has been for emergencies, but it's one of our policies is to try to do our utmost to avoid manual checks being produced, so that's why I'm bringing it to attention.

MS. PIRILLO: We absolutely agree, and we would have liked to have known sooner that the delivery was scheduled for the time when it was, I absolutely agree.

MAYOR HUBBARD: It has to be approved by the Board of Wardens before we can vote on it, and if we approve it -- their meeting is not until next Wednesday, the day before our meeting. If they don't approve it, they haven't even seen it, they can't approve to pay
for something and either can we, so
that's the only reason why, it has to
be in town, they have to accept it,
especially with all the issues we had
with going out to bid numerous times
for it and everything else, we have to
go by the book completely on it. So we
need to have it here and look at it
before we go to vote on it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As I said, from
an audit point of view in the last
couple of months on the abstract I've
noticed a fair amount of manual checks,
and I do know that part of our policy,
our corrective action policy from the
governor's office or the controllers
from the government was to try to
eliminate that down to the minimum
amount. I'm just bringing to attention
I'm starting to see too much of it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anything else for
the clerk?

MS. PIRILLO: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MR. PALLAS: Mr. Mayor, if I may,
I apologize, I did forget that I was requesting an executive session for two minor personnel matters.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Village Attorney's report.

MR. PROKOP: I just have a few things that I'd like to bring to your attention. First is that we have a public hearing at the next meeting next week regarding parking on Carpenter Street, that's next week, correct?

MS. PIRILLO: Correct.

MR. PROKOP: So what the proposed local law will do is prohibit parking on the east side of Carpenter Street from Bay to the end -- the dead end at the north of the street. We talked a couple of times about the parking officer who is now transitioning into code enforcement, I've been working with him closely, talking to him almost every day about procedures and questions that he has that come up. One of the things, he's done a really great job regarding parking. We got --
we also have now a day that's allocated to us on the Southold Town court calendar for our parking calendar, that's the last Monday of every month is the Greenport day on that calendar, and the first experience that we had seemed to go fairly well with that.

I'm at a point now where I need to request a special meeting of the Board regarding several cases of litigation that I need to speak to the Board about, and I'll give you information in the meantime, but I need to talk to you about recommendation and decisions on several cases, and I'm hoping that we can set a special meeting for that purpose in the next two weeks or so.

Please, there's a couple of cases that are important that we speak soon about.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We don't want to do an exec tonight?

MR. PROKOP: If we break early enough, yes, we can do it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I would prefer to -- I'll be real honest, I would
prefer to at least have some idea of what cases you're talking about ahead of time before trying to talk about them tonight to be honest with you. That's my two cents.

MR. PROKOP: Right. So in the past when we've had these special meetings, I normally prepare an agenda with information on each case, so it's better to do it that way because you can have the information beforehand and be ready to ask questions, but I will answer questions tonight.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Put together an agenda of what you have so we have the information, try to review it ahead of time, and we'll try to set something up for the last week of the month or something.

MR. PROKOP: Okay. That's all I have, unless anybody has questions for me.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any questions for Joe? Thank you.

Report from committees, we had no
committees that met this month, so we have nothing under that, so we'll move on to Board of Trustees reports. We'll start with Trustee Phillips.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I had just recently came back from Saratoga Falls for the NYCOM committee, I mean, the NYCOM conference. I found it very interesting the -- some of the topics were thinking outside of the box on problem solving within a Village, crisis communication was another topic. Also a very, as I call it, 101 course on bonding for those who are not into financial. I was just telling Trustee Robins before that as small of a little village as we are, to have the number of people when I told them I was from the Village of Greenport actually know where we are, who we are, and were excited to have the site of a community that is so proactive in being independent, so that was very much a surprise. I hadn't heard that before. So either the news media is doing
wonders for us, or maybe our reputation
is preceding us.

I did -- Councilman Jim Dinizio
worked along with the engineer from
Southold Town, Michael Collins on
updating to Suffolk County Health
Department our sewer report, which
hopefully will -- we're supposed to
obtain a copy, I'm sorry, I haven't
stopped to pick it up, a power point
presentation that will help us when we
get ready to do a shovel ready project
for a sewer or whatever we're going to
plan to do on that, which I hope to
discuss shortly in the next month or
two before applying for any funding
that may be available similar to what
you were talking about, Trustee
Roberts. I also had in my conversation
with the Councilman, they -- the Town
of Southold is updating their traffic
study, which I'm sure everyone has read
in the newspaper. I asked the mayor if
he would like me to contact Councilman
Dinizio and find out exactly what would
be happening for us at the end of Main Street and the North Road. He's hoping to find funding so that they could update that particular piece of it to solve some of the traffic issues that we've had in the past.

Other than that, I'm going to acquiesce, which is a word that I used to have an issue with using, that since we have a room full of people here, I would rather hear from the public tonight, so I'm just going to end my report early.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

Trustee Robins?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: We did not have a carousel meeting this month, so I'll be reporting on that next month to you.

This is actually the report from the BID meeting that took place at the end of August on the 26th. There was a treasurer report that the BID received a majority of their annual tax revenue to work for their current budget year.

The natural gas survey that we've
been trying to get out to the
businesses is just about ready for
distribution pending some updating of
the BID's database. The BID will be
providing a directory for the future
and develop an Excel spreadsheet so
that they will have a mailing list not
just for this questionnaire, but for
all of their future endeavors.

There was a brief discussion about
flower boxes and the fact that they
might be interested in adding
additional flower boxes throughout the
Village. The BID is going to work on a
plan that they could come up with to do
that themselves if they're looking
forward to expanding that, some of the
other businesses would be interested in
it, and that's part of their committee
on district beautification, so they're
working on that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Trustee Robins,
does that committee also take up the
issue of all the trash?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Trash is next.
There was a -- and they also have been speaking to Peter Clark about refreshing the existing planters to extend so they last for the balance of the season. These are all budget items in their budget.

Linda Kessler reported from the Maritime Museum that the upcoming Maritime Festival next week is on schedule or well organized and progressing as planned. There was a little bit of discussion about the parking signs and appreciated some of the work that we've done to clarify the signage, you know, to make sure that customers can see it. The overall consensus of the BID is positive about the parking enforcement. I think that they appreciate that trying to get things organized downtown is beneficial to their businesses. It was agreed that Ralph's Ices is a mess. John Kramer, who is stepping up as a leader in the BID right now is going to discuss with the owner to try and
convince her to recognize that it would be a benefit not just to her but for the rest of the Village to try and get their act together about keeping the sidewalks clean. Certainly I work on that stretch, they're certainly the offenders. I follow the trail every day from Ralph's all the way up the street down to the corner by Lisa's so --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So they're singling out one store as providing a trash problem?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, it's not so much -- it's the messy sidewalks, it's the ice cream and everything on the sidewalk. They certainly -- their can does fill up. I think the BID is looking into -- they're willing to help purchase cans and put more cans out there, so they recognize the problem, and they want to make sure that they're a player on this with the Village.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's good. We talked about dumpster code last month.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I didn't get to specifically write that to be honest with you. I was going to put something together because I also happen to be taking a look at some of the other municipalities that have fenced in around the dumpsters, I just didn't have a chance to finish that. I hope to have it next month.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We have until next season really almost.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Unfortunately my time lag -- I couldn't quite get to the BID meeting at the same time and be other places.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We did -- and your report now that the parking thing they think is going well and it's great to hear, we gave everybody so much notice, and it really started kind of February, March, so we almost don't have that much time since we meet once a month, twice a month. If we make that this winter's issue, and Greg, our code enforcement guy is up to speed on
it by the time we get to April, we
could have -- and I also know that we
have to fix some of our dumpsters,
right, the Village? We have to put
fences around, screening?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, the Main
Street businesses were commenting that
they really liked the fact that people
were observing the thirty minutes, you
know, and that it was important, and
that, you know, it did the turn over,
so it sounds like at least the BID is
recognizing that we've done something
positive. I've been downtown and I've
seen Greg in action and spoke with him
many, many times, and I think he's
doing a great job. He's a good
communicator, he's fair, you know, he's
firm, people have told me that. There
was some discussion as well about
compacting trash cans, you know, people
had read articles about them. So we
talked about doing some research in it,
but we were also concerned that they
might take up more room than a
c conventional can. There was also, as I
said, a discussion about if they were
to invest in more trash receptacles,
you know, working with the Village on
where to locate them to be most
effective. David Abbottelli is going
to coordinate with the BID board about
hiring an administrative assistant that
would help them prepare meeting agendas
a week in advance, give the members
times to consider topics and minimize
the discussion during the meetings, so
-- hard to get a lot of BID members
together in the middle of the summer to
come to a meeting, but as I said, the
influence of John Kramer here right now
on the Board, he's acting as the
treasurer, but he's really taken a
leadership role, he is working with me
on the natural gas survey, he's very
enthusiastic about it, so we're hoping
that that will be out in the next
couple of weeks.

The other thing I just wanted to
mention, I guess it was last week when we were finishing up the work over at the power plant, I did stop over and take a look while engine number four was still all opened up, and I was impressed. It was quite a project, it really was, and I think from what I understand, the work was done, we should expect practically a totally new rebuilt engine and good performance from it. Very impressed with the work that was done there. That's it for me.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

Trustee Roberts?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you. I know there's a lot going on, but we sort of told everybody we would do something about the rental permit law. We have an open hearing, we heard some great comments, it's still open next one, so everyone is going to keep commenting, but I just wanted to get a sense for -- so I took -- last month I said I'll concede on the cap on short term rentals for the moment while we
sort everything out since there didn't seem there was support for it. So I took the draft that I put out last month, revised it, took the cap out, left all of the omissions and, you know, basically -- added the fine increases that Trustee Robins talked about, I think I did it in a slightly different way to fit the way that the code was currently written. I'm no lawyer, so I'm just trying to keep the conversation going. I guess where are we headed, and when can we think about doing something?

MAYOR HUBBARD: We'll take the comments from this month when we have our meeting next week, and then we'll try to draft something together for the following month, we'll put a proposal together, we can have the Village attorney write it up. I said before I know I think if we had everybody registered, all of the rentals, that it would be easier to classify which is short term and long term, but it
doesn't seem like there's agreement on that, so we'll move forward with this and put it out and see what happens.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I think -- I don't know, what don't you think there's agreement on? I'm not sure.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Doing short term or long term, what I proposed was that we get all renters -- all rentals registered. Bring them in, go through the law, and take away the transient, the owner occupied, and everything, make that all as part of -- make everybody register and not do it as a short term rental law, put everybody into the one and then separate it out once we know exactly how many we have.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what you did.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's why I wrote it the way I wrote it because I think that's what everyone wants.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That encompassed everybody if I'm reading your --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right. I took out the part about short term rental caps and all that stuff. I added stuff that I stole from I think Nashville about things that are specific to a short term rental that I think will answer resident concerns, like, you have to give them a list of policies on trash removal, you know, stuff to try to avoid some of the nuisance items that come up. So I borrowed that from another muni and just put it in there as an addition, but that's the only short term rental specific stuff. Otherwise it's exactly what you said, it's a register. Trustee Phillips and I both took out various stuff that we're putting out for consideration as being things that discourage people from renting at all because of, for example, in there it says you have to provide, you know, your C of O, and you have to provide your title, all of these documents that we have at Village Hall already, so I don't know why we'd
make somebody go submit those again.
We already have those documents.
There's a lot of extra stuff in there, and I've said this before, I think what I'm hearing from the public hearing is that there are some who want to put onerous extra requirements or there's sort of an expectation that when I read this law it reads to me like tenants are people who potentially are messy, tenants are people who potentially will overcrowd, tenants are people who potentially do things like have sneaky extra utility bills, and from my perspective it profiles a tenant. And if we're going to ask those things of people who rent, then let's be consistent. What's good for the goose is what's good for the gander. Let's have our code say anybody who lives anywhere has to prove all of these things. If that's a concern of the community and this board, let's not let homeowners skate by if we're going to ask all of that, or let's make it a
simple registry of our rental
properties so we can ensure safety. I
think that's what this draft is trying
to do.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. The draft
is based on our original rental law.
You're saying this is a short term
rental law, so are we modifying the
original rental law that we have on the
books now, or are we creating a new
short term rental law.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm trying to
use the existing one at your
suggestion, use the existing one and
add the short terms in. I'm also
putting out there that we should take
some of the extra stuff out.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So just so it's
clear to everybody, so you're not
talking about making a short term
rental law, you're modifying our
existing rental law to include other
properties?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The discussion
keeps going back to the short term rental law, that's what everybody is playing on, but no, we're changing our existing law that we have on the books to encompass all rentals.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Correct.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So this is going to be Greenport's rental law.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: My proposal is basically written within the existing rental permit law, adding the short termers to it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So it's going to be one rental law for all rentals?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Correct.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Starting that way.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's a starting point for getting them registered and --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All the discussions, and newspapers keep calling, what are you doing with the short term rental law, we're not creating a new rental law, we're
modifying our existing law to include short term rentals and that's it, and that's what we're going to propose.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And the particulars of that are a matter of discussion for us at a future meeting, but looking at the time, we probably want to get going before Christmas for sure.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That way when they go and put the article in the paper tomorrow and online, we're not creating a new short term rental law, we're modifying our existing law to include short term rentals. There's going to be one law.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's what we discussed.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think that I understand where you're coming from, and everyone is getting with the hype of the short term or, you know, the short term rentals, but the whole goal was we, number one, don't know how many
short term rentals we have in the Village, we don't know how many long term rentals we have anymore, we don't really know what rentals we have, and some of the long term rentals, it was rather prohibitive for some of these people to follow through the A, B, C, D, E, F, G's that was on there, and they felt it just was easier not to rent at all, which is not the goal of the community.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Or do so without a permit.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So it's just instead of trying to make a distinction between the two, let's find out what we have as far as rental properties, whether it's long term or short term.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'd just like to interject. I have managed rentals for somebody in the Village, had a couple of rentals in the Village, and I did all the paperwork, you know, the deed search, the CO, the floor plans in a couple of instances. It was thorough,
but it wasn't impossible. I don't see that as an impediment necessarily. I personally think a floor plan is important in terms of knowing what's going on in the house. If our code enforcement guy goes in to look at something and says, you know, oh, there's five bedrooms here, you know, the floor plan only shows there three. So, you know, some of the things that -- some of those things that you're talking about maybe simplifying a little bit, you know, might be important. You know, I think there was another thing about locking doors on bedrooms, you know, I mean, so some of those are safety issues, so that's my big perspective on this whole rental law from the beginning was the safety issue.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Wouldn't those same safety issues apply to a home occupied by its owner?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Absolutely. I think that the rental law is basically
asking at a minimum of rentals is that they should be at least as safe, I mean, as what a homeowner would have in terms of smoke detectors, bedrooms, you know, safety features.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But we don't currently require a homeowner to prove to us that they have all those things?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, you have to get a CO, and a CO requires those things.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Just a quick clarification, are you talking about a homeowner that has a rental property?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm talking about a homeowner who lives in his or her home. They don't have to provide a floor plan, they don't have to provide all of this stuff.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: To occupy that residence initially, whether it was built or renovated, granted some of the older homes and stuff like that, yeah, I mean, so those things are assumed, and actually when you purchase anything
there have to be smoke detectors in the house, that's a real estate law. So at the very minimum, that's there already.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So then --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm just, you know, talking a little bit of give and take, and obviously these are just some detail things. I like where you're going with this, and I think we're on the right track to come to an agreement on it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank. I'm just trying to help keep the conversation going, I just put something together. Again, it's not lawyer work, it's just Trustee work.

MR. PROKOP: I think one of the things on the C of O, the importance of the C of O is that it attempts to legalize the use that the owners maintaining of the house, whether it's a rental or owner occupied. We currently have an action involving a person with I believe it's a two family house that claims it's valid as a four
family house as an example. We have another house, which was a one single family house that was used as a three family -- three apartment building, and those are things that come out with checks of C of Os and things like that, reviews of things like that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: The last thing I'll say about housing, one of my main litmus for this is -- so yeah, if we're going to add the short terms to the rental permit law, hopefully -- and I hope we'll also take a bunch of the stuff out, we'll discuss, but my litmus is am I comfortable -- so when we make this change, am I comfortable enforcing this? Because we're not really enforcing this now. We're not. There hasn't been a violation issued that I'm aware of for someone renting without a permit, right?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's sort of a concession, I think, like, this law was sort of dumped on this Board, and we've
been trying to figure out what to do about it in general, and so I think our concession has been that we haven't issued any violations, but when we come out of this process, if I vote for it, that to me means that I am comfortable with us starting to enforce it and communicating to people hey, you've got three months, then we're going to start enforcing the law. That's my perspective, and I hope we don't vote something in that we don't have the stomach to enforce, that we can't have someone come yell at us at one of these meetings, like, why did I get a violation for renting without, you know, in violation of your rental permit law. We've got to stand behind it.

MR. PROKOP: I think actually we did write and prosecute several violations last year when the law first was started -- when we first started following up on the applications that we were receiving, but then --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: For renting without a permit?

MR. PROKOP: I believe that's what it was, yes, if I'm not mistaken, but then I think that it was decided that the energy would be devoted to getting as many people registered as possible rather than enforcement for the time being.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Another key element for us to consider on this is cost. I've been asking this for a long time. I'd like to know what we think it's going to cost. I think it's simple math, what percentage of a building inspector does it cost us to manage, enforce rental permit law, and what does the building inspector cost, and what you other staff are required? You know, we should just know how this hits our budget so that we can tell these folks out here, okay, we've got a new rental permit law, it's going to cost you this much in tax payer dollars each year, and here's what we're trying
to do. And I think without answering
the cost question, it's hard to approve
a law.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm going to
skip a few things, I need to do a
couple of little things first, turkey
trot, can we have the same resolution
as last year, whatever that was, it was
perfect for the organizers?

MS. PIRILLO: What's the date?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thanksgiving,

which is November 20 something.

MS. PIRILLO: Still beginning at

7:30?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yes, on

Thanksgiving morning. If anybody has

any ideas for a charity to benefit

from, people bring stuff, not money.

The thought I had was maybe they could

bring sports equipment for the rec
center or something, I don't know if

that, Paul, would work for Margot or --

MR. PALLAS: I'm sure she would

welcome any donations. I don't know
how that works.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: The runners bring stuff to donate to somebody, and so the organizers would like to potentially have the charity be within the Village, if that's useful.

MR. PALLAS: I'll certainly talk to her about it, but I would think that would be fine.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I was under the impression last year that it was Cast that was the beneficiary.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It was. They're moving it around.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Got it.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Any issues with the turkey trot? See you out there.

A couple of people have come out of the woodwork and seen us wrestling with some pretty major planning issues, whether it's applications before us or -- where is our LWRP by the way?

MR. PALLAS: I'm still working on it, Doug, it's kind of a big deal. I don't have dedicated time to --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Fair. So a couple of people have come out of the woodwork and said I'm a retired planner or, you know, I do this as a living. Some of them are people who are here part-time. Anyway, I just had a thought that we don't currently have -- our only planning resource supports our Planning Board is Glynis, and as I understand it, she's not an urban planner per se or a whatever we are, a planner kind of of densely populated areas. What do you all think about just putting out an RFP to say the Village can use some help with planning, and maybe somebody steps up and says here's my resume, I'm a planner, and then at the worst we have a resume on file, somebody might want to help us at. At best, maybe we can call on somebody who wants to give back to their Village and help us with a project or two here or there, review a document, you know, if the credentials are good. I mean, we're a tiny place,
we can't put a budget line item
probably in for a full-time planner.
Town of Southold has four or five of
them, and they do pretty amazing things
for them from what I can tell. The
conversations that the Town had in each
of the hamlets to tell them, you know,
here's what we're thinking about doing
overall, and then let's get your input
was pretty impressive. I almost feel
like the five of us have to be the
planners, and none of us have the -- I
certainly don't have the background
area. It feels to me like it can't
hurt us to put an RFP out and say if
anyone wants to be -- and then some of
them will tell us here's my resume, I
cost X per hour. Some people might say
I'll donate up to X hours per year, but
I wanted to put that idea out there and
see if we could get some help with our
planning issues specifically so we
could ask them questions, like, you
know, this idea I've been putting out
about trying to encourage residential
above retail, since we have that piece
of our code that makes it a smooth
process, you know, but what does that
really look like? People raise
concerns about would we end up with too
much density, would we end up with not
enough parking? I don't know. I'd
like help from an expert in it.

MR. PROKOP: Can we discuss this
with Paul and Eileen?

MAYOR HUBBARD: We have to work on
the whole Building Department and what
we plan on doing there. I think if we
get these other positions filled, then
this person would be able to assist
better once we have the Building
Department corrected and straightened
out. I think at this point without a
Clerk to the Boards and the other
features that are missing, we'd be
putting the cart before the horse. If
we can get that stuff corrected first
and then sit down with Paul, Joe, and
Eileen, and set up a game plan of how
we're going to have the Building
Department working, I think that would be more of an assist to try to help out at that point.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. And since I know you are -- it's hard for you to find dedicated time to work on this LWRP, should we be looking at some sort of capital expenditure to get help from a planning expert?

MR. PALLAS: That's not the -- it's a completed doc, it's more of a review process now. It's already been through the consultant's phase, that's where we are. If you remember, there was an original draft that the State said it's all in the wrong format, so it's been reformatted, and I'm just going through it bits and pieces to make sure that everything that was moved from one to the other is the same at least as it was before it goes back out to all of you to take a look at. It's not -- that's just not where we are with it, we're passed that stage.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is there a way
we can help you so that it --

MR. PALLAS: I'll send it out to everybody if you want to take a look at it, I'm certain we could do that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do we need to find money to help you?

MR. PALLAS: No, I think we passed a few of some major issues, I think I can find the time now to do it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, I just want clarification on that. In other words, the Department of State took the draft that we had and sent back comments that things needed to be corrected and put into a different format, correct.

MR. PALLAS: Not even that extensive. It was just primarily the formatting problem. They haven't even accepted it for that level of review.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So there's another level to go after we get --

MR. PALLAS: Correct, after we -- correct.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Let's go back to
item number two. So are there any new -- for PSEG, since I think people are going to come up and talk about it soon, are there any new updates or anything -- any new news to share on the deal?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I was hoping you could fill me in on that. I know you had -- all four Trustees had meetings with PSEG yesterday, Chris Hahn said he had a discussion with you two, and he sent you back a proposal to talk to you, so I'm just wondering what he had to say this afternoon?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: He told me he talked to you too.

MAYOR HUBBARD: About one item, yes, but he said he met with you and everything else, and signed some e-mails, so that's -- I mean -- all right. The last proposal that he told me he spoke to you gentleman about yesterday was changing the 755,000 to a million dollars, changing the penalty clause from 5,000 a day to 10,000 a
day, and that you were scheduling a meeting with him, with the public for next Tuesday. That's all he told me. So I don't know what else -- I wasn't at your meeting, so I don't know what any of you Trustees spoke to them about, I don't know, I was not there.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: This all happened through phone calls and stuff today. We only met with them yesterday.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Exactly.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So the 755, that was 500 in cash plus 125 for the drainage.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Plus $100,000 in rent, $30,000 for the easement came to 755.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Now we're up to a million.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct, that's what he told me.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Curb to curb Fifth Street is not included in that number?
MAYOR HUBBARD: No, the repaving is not included in that and the dedicated line is not included in that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah, and so I wanted to share what I've learned in the last just -- it's been a whirlwind to be honest with you, the information has trickled, and then all of a sudden it just came out like drinking from a firehose, but this dedicated line from all the people that I've talked to outside of -- not PSEG, but others outside, and I think we both have some sort of share there, this is a huge deal, which was not clear to me before. So the number I got was that -- and tell me if this makes sense, but we should expect -- so our current dedicated line is underground, it's twenty-five years old --

MR. PALLAS: I'll accept that, I don't know.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: When it goes down, our backup is a line not dedicated to us up in the sky.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Overhead.

MR. PALLAS: Correct.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So it's sketchy at best, which means then we're generating. So this dedicated line will be along 25 and will be new obviously and provides us almost -- unless there's a really bad storm like we've had two in the last thirty, like, Gloria and Sandy, so outside of those two kinds of events, which are, you know, who knows what's going to happen, I mean, almost 100% -- I mean, I heard a number 97.5% up time because the two can switch back and forth, so all those times when the lights go out for an hour or two or three or as long as we don't have an issue inside our system, which we're fixing, is this correct that this will now keep the lights on a lot more than has been in the past?

MR. PALLAS: The short answer is yes, it will provide exactly what you described, a dedicated second supply, which they could switch from the
existing to this one and basically it
will. A lot of design details would
have to be worked out, but the concept
would be as you described.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So that gives
us another opportunity before having to
actually generate, is that -- in other
words, it's giving us our original one,
if it should go down, we have another
option before we have to actually
generate with the generators?

MR. PALLAS: Correct.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: As long as the
transmission is getting out here, which
is -- there is redundancy between the
south and north forks. When
transmission goes down, that's a really
big deal, that doesn't happen very
often? How often does that happen?

MR. PALLAS: Very, very rarely.

It's my understanding is the
configuration of the Southold
substation, there are two overhead
transmission lines and an underground
transmission line, so it would be a
rare occurrence.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: The big tall ones that go way above the highway?

MR. PALLAS: On 48, correct.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That didn't go out in Sandy?

MR. PALLAS: That's correct.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It didn't go out in Gloria?

MR. PALLAS: I can't speak to that, I don't know.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So as long as that thing is going, we now, if I'm understanding this --

MR. PALLAS: As long as the Southold substation, the PSEG Southold substation is active, then one or the other of the two cables should be able to supply us, yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So what happened in Sandy when all those people were out of lights for days and weeks, with this, this is a back up basically to keep us up; is that correct?

MR. PALLAS: No, we were on during
Sandy because their transmission -- our
dedicated line did not have an issue.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right. But if
the dedicated line went out?

MR. PALLAS: Then the answer is
yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Basically it's
an insurance policy.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, a very big
insurance policy.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: A big one.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: If I may, so
you're asking -- I spoke to you briefly
about this, and I actually wanted to
ask you, sir, it was my understanding
-- it's my understanding that this
cable was buried twenty-five years ago
was built for capacity plus when it was
buried. The way it was explained to me
is that the capacity of the Village,
like, the needs of the Village for
electricity has increased relatively
steadily in the past twenty plus years.
In such a way, and I don't know that
this is even something you could
necessarily speak to, but it was explained to me that if, for example, everybody in the Village got a central air conditioner, that that cable might not be able to do this.

MR. PALLAS: There's certainly the possibility. I haven't studied that to that level, but certainly the second supply would provide enough capacity for the foreseeable future.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That's what I was told, that that cable could possibly run out of capacity.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And if we run into that sort of thing, then we have also have a second back up generation.

MR. PALLAS: Correct.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Which is a big maybe. I'm trying to get all the things straight. The drill, so I just learned yesterday reading between the lines, they couldn't sort of tell us exactly who, I've been asking for weeks and weeks, what's this drill look like, what's it sound like, how big is it,
what are the specs? We haven't gotten any specs yet because I guess PSEG hasn't picked their contractor, but I sort of read between the lines and picked up that it was -- it's the same exact project that they did off of Northaven to move -- to run -- when they did dredging for the South Ferry, they moved Verizon and Cablevision lines, does that sound right to you?

MR. PALLAS: As far as I know, yes, that does sound correct.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Three, whatever it is, ten inch --

MR. PALLAS: The equipment I believe if I understood, I had a conversation with them about this, about the drill specifically, my understanding is that it's capable of putting in three ten inch, and they probably won't, it will be smaller, but it's capable of doing that, and they will be pushing three individual crossings, yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And this is the
same one that they just did on
Northaven to the South Ferry.

MR. PALLAS: That didn't come up
in our conversation, but I believe that
that's correct.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, it came
up in my conversation. I asked them as
far as the contract and the process
that they would be using. I had my
conversation by phone on Tuesday
because I had to be in Sarasota. I
asked them, and I put it point blank,
same process that was used in Greenport
West? No. That was exactly -- we're
looking for a -- we're leaning towards
a less intrusive, less noisy, trying to
respect the community, a process that
will -- they're going section by
section, it would not be something that
would be completely all opened at one
time. They are talking about
communicating with the neighborhood,
and that, yes, at some point there may
be a little bit of noise, but their
whole goal is not to do any more than
they could actually do in a day or two
so that they weren't inconveniencing
the neighborhood overall. That was my
question to them about the process.
The engineer that I spoke to was very
knowledgeable as to what their plan
was. He said it's kind of hard for
them to finish putting a plan together
because they need to do the survey,
which we all know that's part of the
plan. But that was my discussion, that
it is not the same process that was in
the newspaper from the Greenport West,
they're going a totally different
direction.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: It was
explained to me that -- I looked into
the Greenport West project, I believe
I'm speaking to this correctly, they
were using essentially almost like a
pile driver type thing to drive a
thirty-six inch conduit that was over
on Greenport West, and it had multiple
turns, so, you know, I'm sure we could
all imagine the friction of turning the
pipe, turning the pipe, and eventually it snapped, but driving a thirty-six inch pipe is -- you know, we were all down there at some point, it was loud. So in speaking to them, and I explained to them, you know, one of the problems we have is that we're going to have to fight the fact that, you know, this past project went poorly, and now we have to present this new idea they have. For the -- in speaking to -- I spoke to a contractor in town who had seen this drill, I don't even know what the -- the boring machine that would go from underneath the bay over there to Shelter Island, he said not to say that it's -- you know, it's a machine, it makes noise, but he said nothing in comparison to the pile driver that was going at Greenport West. That's how it was explained to me.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So I found this out yesterday, I called the Village of Northaven, talked with their code enforcement building person, no
complaints about noise. Now, it's a different sort of peninsula, no one lives right there, but no complaints Town of Southampton. So that's where I'm starting my research. I hope people will go, and I'd love to try to find out what it was like down there, I'm going to go call South Ferry, talk to the ferry captain, I don't know. I don't know how else to answer the question of the noise especially since they won't give us the specs of the drill. We have a Village code on noise, so I would expect that we're going to enforce it if this ever comes to pass.

The cable, can we settle the voltage issue? I've heard so many different numbers.

MR. PALLAS: The only number I've ever heard from the start is the distribution line, PSEG Long Island's voltage is 13,800 volts.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: 13 in the street, and right now what's above all
of our streets?

MR. PALLAS: Our distribution system is at 4,160 volts.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So this is three and a half times the voltage.

MR. PALLAS: But it's the same voltage that they use throughout the entire island, what almost every utility uses. It's not considered exceptional in terms of voltage level. Many utilities use much higher voltages for distribution than this.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Why is ours lower?

MR. PALLAS: It's just the vintage design. There are a couple of spots -- my predecessor, where I used to work was also a 4,000 volt system.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Rockville Centre?

MR. PALLAS: Right.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: George, I met with them yesterday as well, and my questions were -- I did direct questions about noise, and they told me
that this is a different type of drilling procedure basically than they used over on Bay Shore Road. I pointed out, I said that project when you did that project, I mean, it was in the middle of the summer. I said unfortunately you did it with neighbors practically on top, you know, so they said we definitely -- first, that one was done I think by National Grid, so these are not the same people exactly that are doing this even though it's PSEG getting power over, but they were not the same group he told me that were --

MAYOR HUBBARD: LIPA was in charge of that project, this is PSEG.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: As far as the pounding of the drilling time, I said, you know, because I've heard, you know, them installing wells, and I know exactly what you're talking about, and he says that would be not be the type of noise that would be associated with this project.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We can't trust them. We have to get independent -- I can't trust the people who desperately need this.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Well, the people that need it are Shelter Island I guess primarily.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, I'm not going to -- I mean, they're lovely people, but we have to get someone who works for us to verify that this thing is not going to make noise --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I don't think they're saying that there's going to be no noise associated with it because it is going to be a construction project, you know, they didn't say it was going to be silent.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I think it's fair to ask thought for our constituents and for everybody who lives on the block. I live on the block. How loud is loud? When you say it's going to be quieter than a pile driver, well, so is virtually
everything, so what level are we
talking? I think I brought this up,
I'm assuming you did, Doug, as well,
you know, what kind of drill is this?
Who is going to run it? They said
well, we can't tell you because we
haven't hired a contractor. I'm
imagining there's some truth to that,
however, I think that, you know, before
I think that that's something that can
be provided. I can't imagine that
there's fifty-two different drills that
they're looking at using to go from
Greenport over to Shelter Island.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I did a lot of
research on HDG drilling, you know, a
number of videos online and stuff like
that, so I familiarized myself pretty
well with the process. Unfortunately
the only thing that they did not have
was any sound effects, so I was looking
for that, I was looking for that, but
that being said, I mean, in terms of
the distribution of power, and I have
learned a lot about that over the past
couple of years working with Mr. Pallas
and our Electric Department, this is
considered the most advantageous of
ways to distribute power all over the
world now they're using it because it
can be used, you know, under water
especially in densely populated areas
where trenching is always a big deal.
This minimizes it to a great extent. I
found the meeting educational. Again,
the only thing that they really
couldn't demonstrate to me was the
noise.

MR. PALLAS: Just a couple of
things, in terms of being able to
provide us with the information, the
way that they -- my understanding, and
again, I haven't seen the document, but
there's no reason for them to make that
part of it up, that they did not
specify in their bid specification if
you will what equipment the contractor
was to use. So I know if I were in
their position, I would not want to
tell us what the equipment is going to
be and have the contractor use something else. So I think in fairness to the process that that's a valid thing for them to say. However, as you say, there aren't that many different types, and the description that I was given was it was basically an engine that turns the drill, if you will. There are some auxiliary pumps for the clay slurry that they use to line the hole as they're boring through it. So there is not the pounding, it is just basically a large engine noise that will have some sound attenuation walls associated with it. So they've got a lot of generalized type of statements like that. You know, am I telling you that's what they're going to do? I have no idea. I'm telling you that that's what they described, and it makes sense in terms of the type of equipment that they described, that that's what it would have.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: In your engineering experience, this is the
kind of thing -- you just said something in fancy words that I think you meant -- you said there's, like, a -- the guy told me that he was going to put up blankets essentially that are soundproof to keep the sound there on the site.

MR. PALLAS: It's not soundproof, it would reduce the noise.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So the code is very clear, fifty decibels -- wait, the sound -- I can't remember, the sound can't be heard fifty feet off the property. You know, they're long form environmental form draft that they gave us says that they're going to follow our local code, so I think we should try to hold them to that. I'm not voting for anything until I see exactly what kind of drill this is, until we can see -- confirm that this project on the south side was a good one and we get some references. But we're getting more information, which is good. They're going to come talk to us on
Tuesday, so we can grill them on it.
There's nothing -- I would love some sort of -- we've talked about an environmental, you know, essentially an engineering expert, an engineer on our side to review all of this stuff, and make sure -- so they're telling us that the cable is going to be, like, a solid state, it's not going to have anything bad in it.

MR. PROKOP: I was going to interrupt you. So the Board asked me to reach out to a few engineers that have a combination of background in this area and also environmental background in order to advise -- review the plans and advise the Board as far as the potential impact. There were three different firms that I reached out to. One is conflicted because they do work for PSEG, the second one has not gotten back to me, but I think their capabilities might be limited, and the third firm is a major engineering firm and I feel would be
ideal for this. They probably could
tell us the type of drill that will
likely be used. I mean, I don't think
there's -- as you said, I don't think
there's twenty different types of
drills, I think we're probably talking
about one or two options, and they
would be able to tell us the sound and
vibrations or whatever else would be
emitted by the drill. So we were
supposed to get -- we were trying to
get a proposal to the Board by
tonight's meeting, we were not able to
do that, but we'll have it within a day
or two from that company.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: PSEG is going to
pay them?

MR. PROKOP: That was part of the
agreement. We were hoping to get that
as part of the agreement that PSEG
would pay their fee. Their fee to
review the environmental study and also
to comment for us on these questions
that you're asking now. The other
variable that I know that I keep
mentioning this in my conversations with you is that it says in their report -- it says in the LIPA report that we received on August 18th that what's happening is that they're actually drilling and installing one line now, and the capability for future lines they plan on installing, and I think we have to get that information from them now.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I asked that question, and I asked them, I said, you know, that's the one point in the draft environmental that you have a second line that's possibly putting in. The answer was, and you can take it for what it was, is that they have no plans in the future to use that, other than the fact if there should be an emergency issue with their current line, and that if they were at some point deciding to put in another line, that they would have to go through the Village first in order to do that. Now, this was in conversation, it's not
a guarantee, it's just part of what was explained to me, especially when I said have you read your draft EIS, and he kind of hesitated on not really knowing what was in it, so that's the other issue that kind of is -- I understand it, we had a conversation with them, but the environmental review, which will not take that long because people do that for a living, right, Joe, it won't take that long to get it completed?

MR. PROKOP: It won't take long at all.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: These guys I'm meeting with have not read the thing, they didn't know what was in it. The voltage issue, I just want to make sure we get this out there. The current line that feeds us from LIPA is 18?

MR. PALLAS: 13, same exact voltage.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And everybody else who is not lucky enough to live in this Village has a 13 --
MR. PALLAS: 13,800 voltage line.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: A 13KV whatever line in front of their house?

MR. PALLAS: Correct.

MR. PROKOP: Aboveground.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So those are more dangerous technically than this one going underground?

MR. PALLAS: I don't believe any of them are dangerous, so I don't -- depends what you mean by dangerous.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Can we get someone who has no horse in this race, and I would describe the Village as potentially having a horse in the race because we could get money to do a lot of really amazing things for people who live here, so can we get someone who does not have a horse in this race to somehow give us something that tells us there is no harm to us? Because I don't believe them, and I can't believe us right now.

MR. PALLAS: There have been -- if you're talking EMF's if that's what
you're referring to?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yes.

MR. PALLAS: There is no standard, nobody has a standard for what level is good, bad, or indifferent. There is no regulatory agency has published anything that I'm aware of that limits the amount. There have been no studies that have been done that link electromagnetic fields. There's no studies that have shown any direct effect, biological effect of electromagnetic fields to any health issues.

MR. MCSHEA: There's nothing to disprove it either.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: People don't want to live next to a power line for someone else's community, right? No one wants to live under it, no one chooses -- so it's tough for people to swallow if we have a way to sort of make the case to us and to everybody that a 13K line under the street is still safer than living on a block in
any other community on Long Island
where PSEG operates, I don't know, that
seems to be a good piece of information
to have.

MR. PALLAS: I don't know how you
would do that since there's been no
studies that show that. I don't know
how to prove a negative. I don't
understand how we would get that
information from anybody when virtually
every regulatory agency in the country,
the World Health Organization, any
variety of studies have not shown
anything.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, a World
Health Organization study that shows
there's no link would I think be
helpful, something from American
Medical Association. I mean, this has
certainly been an issue, I'm not an
expert, and I don't know how to Google
it quickly to find it, but there has to
be some group of doctors that have
looked at this and said that there's no
correlation. Otherwise I feel like
we're walking around on hearsay.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Actually I think Sylvia posted a World Health Organization article that I sent over to her today. It's on the Village website. It gives a pretty good description of exactly this topic, it's clear, it's easy to understand, so I would hope that everybody would take an opportunity to go look at that tomorrow.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Do you think perhaps the clerk could send us the link? It would be nice.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I think she just did it this afternoon.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's fine.

I'm just asking if she could send us the link, that would be a nice thing to have to continue the discussion with the information as we're all in this together. We as Trustees and the Mayor have to look at the good points, the bad points, and then we have to make a
decision what is best for our whole community, which is the Village of Greenport. So I think at this point any information that could be sent to us when it's discovered would be helpful in my decision process, and I think perhaps others as well. As I said, we have to put the emotions aside a little bit here. I understand everybody that's in the construction area has concerns, and they should have concerns, and hopefully we are trying to find the answers to make this process either a win win for the Village or a no win and it doesn't happen, but I think at this point whatever information -- and we just need to get the facts and look at the good points and the bad points and make a decision.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And we can't rush. We cannot rush into this.

(Applause).

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We just need to get all the -- we don't even have an
agreement on paper. These people won't put an agreement on paper. I told them yesterday, you know, I'm involved in a lot of business transactions, I've never once discussed a proposal without it being on paper. I don't know why they won't put it on paper, they won't. So no paper, no deal. We just need to -- so we've been talking back and forth, so let's get an agreement on paper because the last one I saw was in July, and I made a gazillion comments on it that I don't know if anybody ever read, but I was basically, like, no, no, no, no, no. So we have an environmental assessment form that says they can work until 8:00, I don't think anybody wants them working until 8:00. They mentioned that they might want to work late on a couple of occasions because they have to do the snaking of the conduit, so let's just make sure that's in the agreement so when you get to that two nights or something if you have to, I don't know if it gets them
out of here faster. They also told me they only need twenty days of drilling, so they said that, let's put that in the agreement. Great. You get twenty days of drilling, if you go over, you pay. I think 10K per day doubled their -- they very quickly doubled their per day penalty because they're desperate for this. So, you know, that's thirty grand a month so -- no, wait, that's three hundred grand a month, so that's not an insignificant piece of change. So they're putting their money where their mouth is on that piece of it, so good. But let's get the documents, let's get an environmental consultant to take a look at this, let's make sure -- there's all kinds of other things in these documents about fill and where the fill is going to go. It's -- oh, well, the document says that it's -- in one place it says that it's not adjacent to a wetland. I don't know how to interpret this stuff, I'm not an expert. One place it says it's not
adjacent to a wetland, another place it says it is. I don't know how you could consider spoil that comes from underneath the wetland not to be part of the wetland. Again, I'm not an expert, but it occurred to me that no one has applied for a wetlands permit. Somebody around here wants to put up a bulkhead or something in their backyard, we make them go through a wetlands permitting process that takes three months. So these guys haven't applied for a wetland permit, but again, if someone who knows more about this than me says this is not wetlands permit issue, then that's great, but I can't imagine that our default is fine, whatever. So let's figure out if we need a wetlands permit or not, and let's go through the process, gather information, let's take our time, let's let people react to it. They're just going to come do their first presentation next Tuesday, so then we give everybody two days to comment,
whatever, and then we vote. That seems a little aggressive. I think people on this Board have been willing to come together at other times. If they're saying, you know, we can give you a couple of more weeks if we don't meet again until October whatever, maybe we give everybody a couple of weeks to digest if we must in order to do this, and you call a special meeting, I think we'll all try to do what we can to get there.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Joe, can I just ask a question on this environmental consultant, they would be able to answer a lot of the questions that Trustee Robins is asking?

MR. PROKOP: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And if we receive a proposal, is that something, Mayor, that we would be able to put onto the agenda for next week to get the process started, or do we need to have a resolution to hire -- or can we just --
MAYOR HUBBARD: He can go ahead and just hire. Professional services, contracts, we're trying to get information. Even if they're not paying for it, to justify for ourselves and everybody sitting in this room, I think it's money well spent if we're going to -- if we have to do it, if they don't agree pay for it, I think for everybody's piece of mind, we should just go ahead and do it. We don't need to RFP it or anything else, we can just go ahead and answer the questions that everybody has.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As I said before, and it's not rushing it, but we need the information, we need to be able to look at the good points and the bad points and do what's best for the Village. So I think as soon as we can get that proposal, and I really was hoping it would come tonight to be honest with you, I think that would give information for everybody else to get out into the information train,
answer a lot of the questions that we
all have. As I said, I had a
classification with them. First of all,
Mayor, I would like to thank you for
having a special meeting last week so
that we actually were able to get our
questions out to you and back and
forth, and thank you for I'm sure once
your discussion with PSEG, I'd like to
thank them for taking the time to
discuss it individually with each one
of us. I think that's a plus, they
took the effort. It wasn't just one
person, it was three people, the
engineer, the project manager, along
with their spokesman. So that is
something that I would like to see more
of, I think we all are encouraging
that. I think PSEG has heard us. I
know one of the items that I talked to
and I believe that they talked to you
about it is for them to pay for a
person to be responsive to the
residents on Fifth Street directly to
PSEG with a direct phone line so that
the residents, if this were to move forward, would have someone to contact directly. The residents would feel comfortable that they have someone they could talk to about the issues, and then if it became a point because of the contract holder, if it should be approved, because we would be the end run as to changing or enforcing or whatever, but I think that they are trying -- I have to give them credit, they are trying to communicate, they are trying to come out.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We've been here the whole time.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the process has been a little confusing, and that's something that I think at this point we have a project here, we need to move forward, take a look at it, we need to be fair to both sides, okay, so the sooner we can get the consultant hired to review all of this stuff, I think the sooner we can move on to other things.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: It would be a huge help.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: If I may, my last thought for everyone on this Board is that if we are ever to do this, we have to take the disposition that we are going to ask people in West Dublin, particularly Fifth Street, we are going to ask them to help out the whole Village with something that will do great things for kids and families who live here. We're not telling them, we're not forcing something on behalf of Shelter Island. We're saying, look, Shelter Island doesn't matter, they have their problems, not our issue. Our problem is that I'm tired of going down the end of Sixth Street in storms and texting you guys photos of what's happening down there and how the street may wash away soon, not to mention all the terrible stuff that's pouring into the bay. I'm tired of looking at Fifth Street and thinking wow, is some kid going to hurt him or herself here? I'm
tired of wondering if the bathroom is
ever going to fail. I'm tired of the
park not looking as great as it could.
I mean, it's a great park, but we could
be investing more to make that an even
more amazing facility. Our problem is
that we don't have the money. This is
potentially an entire year's worth of
taxes. So if we are going to do this,
we need to take our time, get the
information, and then we need to ask
people if they will back it. Not
everyone will, I can understand that,
but I'm going to be the one who is
going to get glares, if we do this, and
I support it, which I'm not saying I
will yet, I'm going to be glared at by
people who don't like it when we're
down playing in the park, which we do,
like, every day all year long. I'm
going to hear that drill when we're
running around playing. So I just
think we should take this very
seriously, take our time, and if we
decide we're going to do it, we need to
have a plan for how the capital can be
spent that needs to be part of the ask
when we ask people to support it.

Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

Trustee Martilotta?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: All right.

Just two things, first I'd like to ask
that we draft a letter to the police
department, town supervisor. On August
30th, there was a meeting of concerned
citizens, there's been a peeping tom,
really a serial issue going on in
Greenport. In the not too distant
past, there were a couple of reports on
Second Street, actually quite a few, I
think that spurred this meeting. A
number of people were there, some
concerned, some had been victims
previously. Chief Flatley was kind
enough to come. They expressed their
concerns, and some very serious
concerns. The residents were afraid
it's starting to escalate. Chief
Flatley indicated it's been going on
for approximately seventeen years I 
believe was the number he gave, and 
then that's just not acceptable. I 
just don't feel that's acceptable. I 
understand it's a strain the police 
department is under, but I think that 
this needs to be something --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm hearing 
chattering in the background, and I 
would really like to hear what you have 
to say.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Can everybody stop 
the criss-cross talking in the back?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Are we going to 
get a chance to talk or not?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, you will, 
when we're done with the regular 
agenda.

MR. MCSHEA: A peeping tom is 
pretty important, we need to discuss 
this as well.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Continue. Sorry.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes, sir, no 
problem. We're essentially asking for 
either an increase in patrols, a change
in the patrols. Chief Flatley said a lot of these incidents seem to happen sometime between I believe the numbers were 2:00 and 6:00 a.m. I was told where the police tend to be babysitting the bars I believe was the term he gave, and quite frankly, I would like to see some presence in this area. The Chief seemed to know who this individual was. Again, he said this is something that has been going on for nearly two decades. I think it's something where it should be made a priority of the police department. Also I feel that they should update us and give the Trustees, or if they're more comfortable, just the Mayor, and he can brief us on it, but I feel that this is something that should be drafted and followed up. I mean, the security and safety of our neighbors quite frankly I believe has got to be our number one concern at all times, and having people basically terrorized in my neighborhood is not acceptable at
all. And I feel that, you know, I assume that we would draft a letter, I could help draft the letter, review it, and have it signed and sent up is essentially what I'm asking for.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And very specifically --

(Applause).

Very specifically, you need to find the resources to have officers on foot walking around where we live. I get that it's fun down in the tourist -- I mean, my office is right down there, I'm sure there's a whole bunch of crime they're stopping by parking right in front of my office watching tourists walk around. I don't mean to be facetious, but when victims of this stuff come to you and say can you do anything? Well, not really because the police don't report to us, and then we hear we don't have resources, we have to take care of all the crime in Orient as well, so we have to cover the whole area, and then I read in the paper, you
know, red alert, Coach bag stolen from
in front of Magic Fountain in
Mattituck, red alert, all points
bulletin, reward, five grand. My
neighbors are terrorized by this thing,
where is the reward? Where is the all
points bulletin? Guess who did find
out about it and is concerned?
Eyewitness News. We were on the news
last week for this. Our little
Village, they sent a news van out here.
News 12, I mean, it's frustrating. It
makes me think that -- I mean, this is
a crazy topic to bring up, but, you
know, this Village got rid of its
police department, right, so we don't
control our policing right now. I
don't know how we solve that. An
auxiliary force? Someone mentioned
that that could be an issue that we
think of pursuing someday, talk about
budget items, but it's just frustrating
that there's no one reporting to you to
help with this issue when people are
struggling with this. I want to
publicly honor the brave people who
came up to speak about their
experiences that night, it's very
difficult to do, and thanks for
bringing it up.

(Applause).

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: The other
thing is something we brought up last
summer. There's been a spade of very
serious car accidents in the area, and
I know we've talked about the
intersection down over on the North
Road, but we have a number of
crosswalks in the Village, and maybe
it's just because I have young
children, everything seems like it's
moving faster around them, but I'm
always concerned with vehicles going
through the Village. Sometimes I feel
that they just don't stop at the
crosswalks, and sometimes I'm honestly
concerned because it's happened to me
as well, there's someone in the
crosswalk, but there's enough cars
parked on either side that I don't
necessarily see them there. When I was in Patchogue Village not too long ago actually for something for the school, we went down there, and I saw somebody hit just the little, like, the buttons you hit to change the lights to cross the street. They hit it, and there were these half a dozen lights across the crosswalk, and they hit it, and they started blinking yellow, and all the cars stopped. What a simple solution? And I know that we had pursued this I want to say last summer, does that sound about right, we drafted a letter. I'd like to follow-up though with the State because it is their road to either ask if they could do it, I mean, in light of the all of the accidents that we've had I think it's fair, especially in light of the increase in tourism out here. And if not, would they be comfortable with us trying to come up with some solutions? We don't necessarily have to do every one to start, but I would just hate to
see something tragic happen downtown
that we possibly could have avoided.
I'd like to ask that we write that
letter as well. That concludes my
report.

MR. MCSHEA: Which intersection?
Multiple or --

TRUSTEE MARTIOTTA: Crosswalks,
sir. The crosswalks some place between
Fifth Street down to Front Street I
would think.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. It's
been a busy hectic month for myself.
Almost everything that I had has been
included with what we already
discussed, so at this point I'll open
up the public to address the board.

MR. PALLAS: I'm sorry, Mr. Mayor,
there were two other items that I
neglected to put in my report. First,
I sent the Board, forwarded a couple of
e-mails, one regarding Maritime
Festival use of the railroad dock.
There were three boats that they were
looking to use or to dock at the
railroad dock during the Maritime Festival. I just wanted to see what
you're feeling, if there's any issue
with that, or if I should go ahead and
tell them to go ahead?

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's fine.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They've done it
before.

MR. PALLAS: That was my
understanding. The second, I had
gotten this request from a tall ship,
I'm going to pronounce it wrong, El
Galion. They sent a rather extensive
description of what the ship is. It's
a sixteenth century Spanish warship.
They're participating in Tall Ships
America on the great lakes currently,
and as part their route I guess on
their way back to wherever their home
port is, they're going to be touring
the east coast and they will be in our
general area in mid-October, and were
asking if they could -- if we would
want them to dock here for a weekend
and provide tours. Typically, just
like the Tall Ships, there's an appearance fee. I explained to them there's just no way that that's going to happen at this late stage without any planning in place, and they understood that completely, and they just asked if they could dock for free, and instead of an appearance fee we would provide water and electric to the boat. They, to help defray their own operating costs in lieu of an appearance fee they would charge visitors ten dollars for adults and five dollars for children. If we assist them, there might be some way they could provide some revenue back to us if we assist them with ticket sales. I have reached out to the East End Seaport Museum as to whether they're interested in working with us on this at all. I haven't gotten a response yet, but the date they're looking for is October 13th, and we won't have another meeting, I wanted to bring this to you and see if there was -- if you
want me to pursue this further and have them come here with terms similar to this.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: And you said that it's not a big deal in terms of our marina is closed to accommodate them.

MR. PALLAS: Correct. The marina is closed anyway, there won't be any big boats there, so there would be no real issues from that respect.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just have one question because of the type of ship that it is, does it need a pilot to come in?

MR. PALLAS: I did not ask, but I can certainly ask them that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Because there would be a fee attached to that, and usually they look for the municipality to pay the fee for the pilot. And then the other thing is if they're already in the United States, so I'm assuming that they already have -- they're in U.S. waters already, they already have the clearance they need?
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Meaning clearance, in other words they would need a Coast Guard inspection and things like that?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I'm not -- I'm assuming that with the Tall Ships there were some regulations and requirements that need to be done for a ship to come in here. The pilot is the most important one because he's a guide, and the type of vessel that it is, I would assume it would need help to get to the dock.

MR. PALLAS: It didn't come up in the conversation with that, but I'll certainly ask them if that's a requirement, and if so, that they would have to fund it themselves.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I think it's worth pursuing, I mean, try to put in a contract that we have with them or whatever that, you know, some free time for our school kids to do whatever so they're not going to be charging our school kids to go on there, and it
would have to be at no expense to us. If they can't come in, they need a pilot to get them in, they need whatever, we're not going to spend money for them coming in. They're coming in, we'll let them tie up to the dock, but they have to give something back to us.

MR. PALLAS: If I get those kinds of concessions from them, I'll let you all know assuming that we can make that happen.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.

MR. MCSHEA: Why did they contact you specifically?

MR. PALLAS: As the Village Administrator, they got it off the website, I guess, I'm not sure why they contacted me specifically.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, I believe in the e-mail it was they had contacted our previous harbor manager, and he referred him to the Village Administrator, which is where it should go to begin with.
MR. PROKOP: I just had one other thing, so we were talking before about if PSEG was acquired, there was a mention about an agreement coming from them, but I think that also what's important is that we have plans basically. The information that they provided us so far are really basically conceptual sketches, and normally when I do -- the types of transactions I've done on this before at this point, even if it's not been approved, there's some kind of plans before the municipality so they know exactly what they're talking about and exactly where it's going to be located, like, on Fifth Street as an example, what side of the street, where in the street, what is the access to the street, and things like that. So that's important. Whoever is talking to the PSEG for the Village right now -- excuse me, Mayor, when you speak to PSEG, I strongly recommend that in addition to the agreement we get plans.
MAYOR HUBBARD: When I spoke to him today, they were going to be reaching out to you to get you all paperwork.

MR. PROKOP: That would be great.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's what they said they were doing. Without the paperwork, we can't do anything else.

MR. PROKOP: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Does the Board have anything else? I'll open it up to the public. Just so everybody knows, name and address for the record. Let's be civil, be nice, let's have a conversation.

MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Fifth Avenue. I agree a hundred percent with what Jack was talking about. I was at that meeting about this peeping tom. We have better words for this person. It is a little unnerving to know there's someone around at any given time. The problem is he hasn't -- you have to literally see and have him, no one can identify and say, yes, this is
the person. Okay? Everyone feels they
know exactly who it is, but he hasn't
broken into the houses. Yes, it's
unnerving to think, you know, someone
is creeping around in your yard
especially at those times. I
understand wanting the police there,
but he's not just in one section. It's
throughout the Village and outside of
the Village bounds. You know, it is
unnerving, but when you're saying
arrest him, arrest him, arrest him, you
can't until something actually happens.
You know, I know you want him caught,
you want something done, but there has
to be a legal reason that he gets
arrested, not just me saying hey,
you're the one I saw in my window.
That's what right now it is. No one
has been able to physically identify
him. And as far as that purse goes,
you can't compare the two cases. Okay?
The purse was a felony. I had someone
steel from me, and I never got it back.
My case went to Crime Stoppers. My
case is still with Crime Stoppers. You can't compare the two and say oh, there's news flashes about a purse that got stolen. They had surveillance, good surveillance of the person. Mine did not, I think that's why my person was not caught.

MR. MCSHEA: No one is going to rape a purse.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We can't be interrupting and just shouting out, please.

MS. ALLEN: I'm going to stop.

Nope.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Who would like to speak next?

MR. MILLER: Christian Miller, 160 Fifth Street. This is on the electric line, PSEG. I live in the condominium right at the end of -- right at the end of Fifth Street. You go all the way down, you drive down there, you look left, and that's where I'm at. There's no other houses. There's no other houses around, and that's where this
drilling is going to take place. I want to let you know as an intimate person, I've worked in, you know, public health and public engineering my entire life. I believe in the public good, but this thing is going to take place right outside my house. Right outside my house. I pay a mortgage. I don't know if I'm going to be able to live there. What am I going to do? Am I going to get -- is PSEG going to pay me, you know, for relocating for however time it takes? This is a very personal case for me. I believe in the public good, but for me personally right there it's, like, whoa, wait a minute. Okay? And second to that, talking about my personal good, I wouldn't buy a house next to an unknown electric line whose power could who knows, triple, double? So I would appreciate it if the Village had a contract that limited that. So there are two different issues, one is a long term public health, and one is my
immediate and personal viability. So I appreciate being heard. And thank you, Mr. Roberts and thank you, Mayor.

MR. SPACKMAN: Tom Spackman, 310 Fifth Street. I have a lot of concerns. I'm a voice-over talent. I work with NBC, I work for ABC, CBS, Turner Classic Movies, Nat Geo, Discovery. I have a recording studio on the back of my property on Fifth Street. There is no way I will be able to work. I know a little something about D and B's and about sound and what it does. I have a floating floor, I have soundproofing, I have an isolation booth. None of that will stop what we're talking about here. Second of all, my concern is this --

(Applause).

The other concern is the vibration. There's an old sewer system down there. I don't know how old, you guys probably do. I have an old foundation. The vibration from that work certainly will have an effect.
The third concern is the environmental impact on the bay. There are oyster farms in Willow's Cove. Two of my friends have one. What's going to happen to that when they start dredging under the bay?

The fourth point is, and this is what really gets me, this is all because Shelter Island refuses to have a substation.

(Applause).

I contacted a friend of mine who put me in touch with somebody at PSEG, and I told him about the project, and he said oh, yeah, I've heard about that. He said the cheapest way for them to do that is to put a substation on Shelter Island, but Shelter Island doesn't want to do that. I've been coming out to the north fork since the 70's. I've lived in the Village for seventeen years, my kids go to school here, my son graduated with honors last year from Greenport. My daughter is there now. I love the Village, I love
the people of the Village. I also know that a lot of people that don't live in the Village dump on the Village, and I feel that's what Shelter Island is doing. Let them handle it.

The next point is $700,000 to 1.2 million is chump change. They are going to spend thirty billion dollars plus to put this line in. That's the estimation from the paper on Shelter Island and from the last time they tried the project. It's going to cost them thirty billion dollars. Who is going to compensate me for the work I lose, not only the work I lose, but the clients I lose because I can't work in my studio. Now, if they want pay to me to hire a studio in New York, I'll go into New York and work, but that's not going to happen.

My biggest concern is the environment and of course my loss of work personally, but also just the fact that this is being thrust upon us. I find out about this, you know how,
driving my daughter to school, coming
down Maiden Lane, seeing the little
blue dots saying what the hell is that
going across Front Street, seeing the
little blue dots down Fifth Street, and
then I read about the meeting you had,
the impromptu meeting. I just don't
think it's a great idea, that's my
opinion. And I really believe when
they say it can be soundproofed, trust
me, they can't. I have a floated
floor. My floor is floated. If a big
truck comes down that street, I can
feel it, even though it's floated.
It's soundproof, I have an isolation
booth. Lawnmowers can't get in. I had
to do it because the other thing is the
helicopters that go over. I got rid of
that noise. There's nothing that's
going to stop this, and I have no -- we
don't know how long it's going to take,
do we? Any of us? Is there any
guarantee on is it going to be, like --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: They have three
months in this draft.
MR. SPACKMAN: And they're going to work from 7:00 to 7:00 or 8:00?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's what they want. If they go over, it's ten grand a day.

MR. SPACKMAN: Great. But still. You know, you're talking if you realistically if you're looking at this as a businessman -- if you're looking at this realistically, they should be paying the Village five million, six million dollars to do this, then you can compensate me for my loss of work, you can compensate Mike for the oysters he'll lose, because they will. That's my concern. Thank you, all.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

(Applause).

MR. KOSMAN: I'm Richard Kosman, and I live at 160 Fifth Street, better known as 23 Oyster Point, so I'm right there too alongside of Chris Miller who spoke first. I know what's going on, and I just don't want to have it in my backyard. That's NIMBY talking. Okay.
We attended the meeting on the beach last week, and I got the sense that first of all, there's a big discussion about the SEQRA. If we're going to have SEQRA, do we want to have the Village be the lead agent on the SEQRA, there's been letters and so forth. I wrote a letter. I'm probably the only one that wrote a letter. We don't hear about the SEQRA anymore. Is this draft, the environmental assessment form, is that the SEQRA? I don't know. But it's got a lot of things in there that I disagree with a hundred percent.

MR. PROKOP: What you're talking about is SEQRA, S-E-Q-R-A, and the form so far is LIPA's version of that. And we're aware that it's been -- this is now -- you know, many people have mentioned this tonight, but we're aware that there are many errors and inconsistencies in that form. That's one of the reasons why we wanted to take over that process.

MR. KOSMAN: Where is that process
at this point?

    MR. PROKOP: We -- there's a statutory period that we're in the middle of where if we can't agree with LIPA as to who the lead agency should be, the DEC, the Commissioner of the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation will decide who is in charge of SEQRA.

    MR. KOSMAN: I got the information that we should be flooding DEC from the Village saying the Village should be the lead agent on it, and I haven't heard anything more about doing that to try to get the village to be the lead agent. How important is that to the Village to be the lead agent? To me, I think it's important because you'd be much more sensitive than PSEG would be as far as the local environment is concerned.

    The couple of other things I'd like to mention is you talk about the south thing and south of Shelter Island and Northaven, how many houses are
right alongside of where the digging 
was? That's a question. You've been 
asking these questions, and they've 
been giving you oral answers. I'd like 
to make sure that when you ask 
questions, you put your questions in 
writing, and you get your answers in 
writing so you can hold them to the 
wall when they don't live up to those 
answers. And again, as has been said 
before, this is an opportunity, if you 
want to go ahead with it, and I gather 
you're going like a steamroller, if 
you're going ahead with this, then you 
should be getting a lot more money than 
what they're talking about. I'm even 
thinking about an annual payment for 
the next thirty, forty years. That's 
what I have to say.

MR. MCSHEA: Christian McShea, 149 
Fifth Street. I live across the street 
Chris and Mr. Kosman. I can't say how 
extremely ridiculous this project seems 
to me at the price that you guys are 
getting, which whether it be a million
or million two or what. You're providing a commodity that they're going to charge revenues for, and you're creating a profit center for them, and to get a million dollars out of it, they're going to charge millions of dollars over the next forty, fifty, hundred years using these lines. So that is not my biggest concern, but I do believe that this has completely been undervalued, and there wasn't maybe enough research that went into as far as what we could have gotten out of it. Getting a million dollars out of it, I don't know what you can even do with a million dollars, how you can -- it's just not enough money.

My main concern is the health issues and the disturbance to my life this is going to cause. I have two young children, a two year old and a five year old, a boy and a girl. We're outside all day, every day. Many things can happen. I'm concerned -- I worked as a project manager for a large
electrical company in New York City for about ten years, and everybody says there's no danger in electricity or high voltage, whatnot, but a lot of people do believe so. Maybe it's just theory or opinion or whatever, it's enough for me to be concerned. I don't see any need for this. I don't see why the Trustees and the Mayor are so on board with this and seem to want it to go forward, or most of the Trustees I should say and the Mayor. It seems like this is very close to happening, and you almost, you know, everybody is pushing for it against everybody else's concerns in here. The area floods. I know this thing is waterproof, but accidents happen, the earth moves, things crack. People play, it could be anybody, you see the puddles out there, you see them all the time, things happen, I mean, something could short. Circuitry may not flip over, you know, it may not be done properly. Somebody could get electrocuted. Okay? That's
the immediate, you know, definite
effects. And then there's the long
term. I have a two year old son who is
going to be, you know, standing or
sleeping above an electrical line that
he doesn't need to be sleeping above.
Mainly because this is an elective
decision by Shelter Island, not
Greenport to have this. It's an
elective decision.

(Applause).

If this was a product of eminent
domain, then perhaps, you know, we'd be
stuck and we'd have to do it, but this
is something that, you know, we're
doing this huge project, it's going to
effect my life. I have obviously
selfish reasons for being against the
project, but any one of you could be in
my position right now, and I have to
ask why Fifth Street? You know, why
not Fourth Street, why not Sixth
Street, why not run it right out near
the ferry, underneath the ferry and
across the harbor, you know, these are
obviously other questions. There's going to be a lot of switch gear. They're saying that there's not going to be anything aboveground, I find that to be an impossibility with the situation. Everybody seen to the left of the red house the communication cables and the situation, that was left there. These utility companies, they don't care. I mean, they're going tell you whatever they want to tell you so they can get in here and start the job and once they have the equipment here, you can complain all you want, they're not going anywhere, they're going to take their time, and ten thousand dollars a day to them is nothing. There's commercials on the radio --

(Appause).

There's commercials on the radio where PSEG is telling you that they're spending five hundred million dollars on Long Island in preventative maintenance by cutting down trees and blah, blah, blah. Five hundred million
dollars in preventive maintenance along, so if they have five hundred million dollars in just preventative maintenance, if you have seen the trucks all up and down the north fork, all over the place, if they can do five hundred million in a summer, then there's got to be a lot more money into it.

I'm against this and I am going to -- I'm going to do everything I can so that this does not happen, and I mean, literally, you know, physically jumping in front of things. I can't have this happen. It doesn't make any sense, there's no money in it, and there's really no reason why it needs to run down Fifth Street. But then, you know, even if we start this now, what about problems with the snow, where am I going to park? How is my wife going to get my kids to school? You know, there's so many things that, again, it may sound like a selfish reason, but it could be anybody here
who lives in Fifth Street specifically
down right near the park, and it's
going to effect my life dramatically,
and it just doesn't seem necessary. I
think basically we're being bamboozled.
The reason why you're seeing PSEG move
now to come to you is because you said,
they're desperate, they'll do whatever
it takes and they'll tell you whatever
they have to tell you. Okay? But this
is not going to turn out good, it's not
going to be done on time. The three
months they say, I mean, they're not
going to do one block in three months.

Other problems here are why didn't
we think of other things going why
don't we run a natural gas line with
this thing, why don't we plan things
together? You know, just to jump in
and cut the street for one purpose when
we could probably do a bunch of other
things. Again, the vibrations of the
house, I have a cobblestone foundation,
it could crack, I mean, whatever. I
could stand up here all night. I've
written a few letters, I think you've seen it, but it's just basically you're giving them a pipeline, PSEG, to make money, lots of money, and we're getting nothing back out of it. Shelter Island, I don't think they could give a damn about us. Why are we going through such extensive situation and making so many people angry and disturbed, and disturbing the town, and disturbing my life for basically nothing. I mean, a million dollars, it's going to be a million dollars will be gone in ten days. Ten thousand dollars a day penalty, it's nothing to them. Like I said, they'll tell you whatever they want to tell you, you can do whatever studies you want with the SEQRA or however you pronounce it, you can cater those studies, anything. I can also get studies that say it isn't. Anyhow, like I said, I'm against it. I hope -- I'm going to try to get people on Fourth Street and Sixth Street together, get more people on board
because it doesn't really hurt you unless it's happening to you, and it's going to happen to me, and I wish everybody could have empathy for that, including the board.

MS. DUFFY: My name is Sarah Duffy, I rent out 163 Fifth Street. I was at the meeting last month when we all started talking about this publically, and I'm really struck by the fact that we have gone from should we do this to really feeling like we are being rushed into this, and the thing I want to say is stop pushing us. We don't have answers to even a half a dozen of the questions that this community has, and yet here we are scrambling and hearing that this is going to be voted on possibly as early as next Thursday I believe you said, Doug. I really -- we have a lot of information here. I think a lot of people feel very similarly, obviously there's very personal reasons here, Tom is not the only person who works at
home and is going to be affected by
this day in, day out. We've also heard
this week things like well, you know
what, when there's a problem with your
home, your homeowners insurance might
not cover something. This is a really
far-reaching deep issue. Stop pushing.
Why do we have to do this right now?
Can't we take the time we need to get
all the information and analyze it,
rather than being rushed into it?
Please slow down.
(Appplause).

MR. KAUFMAN: My name is Seth
Kaufman, 220 Fifth Street. I know it's
late, I'll keep my remarks short.
Basically I agree with everything that
everyone said against this project, but
what I really want to ask is why are
they running it down Fifth Street and
Fourth Street in Greenport? There are
plenty of good sites on Shelter Island
for a substation. They could have put
it in a very large lot near the
historical society on Shelter Island,
they could have put it in the dump.
They said no to everything. Greenport
is as dense as it gets on the north
fork. Why are they running these lines
through the densest part of the area?
Why does it have to be, why can't it be
the south fork or some other site?
We're going to shoulder all of the risk
for this in terms of doing this in the
winter, weather delays, and as other
people have said, ten thousand dollars
a day to a company like the doesn't
matter. We're going to shoulder a ton
of risk running through the densest
part of this area for what? So I
really, really hope that we won't have
to deal with this, or if we do, at
least we'll get a deal that is
commensurate with the construction and
what it's going to cause. Thank you.

(Applause).

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish
to address the board?

MS. RADCULA: Thank you. My name
is Jane Radcula (phonetic), I live at
225 Sixth Street. Fifth and Sixth Street and Fourth Street are a pretty wonderful bunch of people, and we'd do anything for neighbors, and I would trust anyone here, but Shelter Island is not acting like a great neighbor that we have. There's absolutely no reason that this can't be done on Shelter Island, and as it was pointed out, the density of Greenport is nothing compared to the dump on Shelter Island where this could go. The money is not worth it, the PR is not worth it. You guys are being just so courted by the PR at PSEG, their PR department is having a field day with you guys, and I really think as Mrs. Duffy-Edwards said that we need to take time, we need to really, really look at this and really say why are we doing this? Thank you.

(Applause).

MS. WINKLER: Mary Winkler, 175 Fifth Street. Truly, I nearly don't know where to begin. I am stunned that...
we have gotten to this place without so much homework that is needed. I think my name is also Sarah Edwards, Jane Williams, Chris McShea tonight as well. Our way of life is priceless here, and if any of you were living on Fifth Street, I wonder how you'd feel in our shoes. It is astounding that so little time from this Board has been dedicated to this issue for a project that has been discussed for over a year. Maybe we should scan the agendas of the last twelve months to see how many times this topic came up because I missed it. Do you not owe the residents of Fifth Street more than the time you have dedicated thus far? I am stunned. Thank you.

(Applause).

MR. OSINSKI: Mike Osinski.

There's actually two different topics I wish to address as well. Number one, is this power line, I think there's two telling questions that you need to ask about that. Number one, they have an
easement through Southold town, they don't need anybody's permissions, they have the legal right to do this in Southold Town. Why isn't it being down there? Because they failed. They made their lives miserable, they lied to the people on Pipe's Cove, on Conklin's Point, they lied to them. What was going to be an easy three month project turned out to be six months and a failure, and they lied to them all the time. They had to move people out of there. You got to think what they did to them, why is Scott Russell telling them not to drill in Southold? They have an easement there, and they're not going through Southold. They're not going through south fork, they're going through the densest part of the north fork. Why? Because they think they can wave some money in front of you and you'll bite. There's no other reason. They have a legal right to go through Southold, they have an easement. You know, they're waving a few dollars in
front of you, and once they get that contract, they will steam roll, your head will never come out of that steamrollers. If you think your lawyer is going to fight their lawyers, you've got another thing coming. You've got a lesson --

(Applause).

They will bark over you. All you'll meet is the bottom of their boots. Once they get that contract signed, they're going to walk all over you. Believe me. This is the way corporations work, and this is what's going to happen.

Number 2, why is this drilling equipment being placed in Greenport and not in Shelter Island? They're digging a hole under the bay, but the equipment all of a sudden has to be over here, so all the noise is over here. All they're doing is digging a hole under the bay. Why the hell isn't that equipment over in Shelter Island?

MR. SWISKEY: Because they don't
want it.

MR. OSINSKI: They don't want it. They don't want a substation. I'll hold a few dollars in front of you, and you'll do anything, you'll dance for it. You guys have the power, you have the votes. The five of you have the votes. All we have is our vote in six months. Six months, we vote. We all vote in six months. You have all the power. Don't let a few dollars -- don't let a few dollars mislead you to put -- I don't live on Fifth Street, I feel sorry -- I'll hear the noise like everybody else, the whole Village is going to hear this noise. We all heard it when it was in Pipe's Cove, when it was in Conklin's Point. There was a question from people that have spoken about the detrimental effects to oysters. It's on their SEQRA review or whatever. I talked to the DEC. In all reality, I think it would probably be minimal or nothing to be honest, most likely. I don't know. I'd hate to
have them do this. This is my -- I just made my first delivery in Manhattan today, I just got back. I mean, this is our season right now from now until, you know, middle of January, end of January. If there were to be a disruption in my season, I would be out of money. I hope that you could put -- Tom's work, he's out of work, he's out of work. Where do we make money if because the people in Shelter Island, A, don't want to build a substation in their dump, PSEG will wave some money in front of you, where are we getting compensated? You've got to think about that, that's your job, you're elected to represent us. Us. Okay? Those are my two questions about that, my two points is why isn't it going through Southold where they have the legal easement, they don't want it, it's a failure, it disrupted the lives of a lot of people, and here you're going through a much denser community. It's really going to be a mess here. And
two, why isn't the equipment -- I think it's very telling that the equipment is being placed in Greenport and not in Southold. All they're doing is digging a hole underneath the bay, that equipment should be over there. It's for their benefit. No, they don't want the noise, you know, put it over there in Greenport, they'll do anything. They'll do anything we want them to do. Think about that. And I know you guys, I know I've been on the Board with you, George, ten years ago, and I know you're sitting in a dilemma because there is a limited amount of money, and everybody always wants to do good things for the population. And a million dollars is the entire tax roll for the year, so it's a hard decision, and it is your job to go out and seek these forms, I don't blame. I think that's your job as the Mayor to try to alleviate the tax burden and to do things for the people. I don't see it as you have a business in Shelter.
Island, I know you a lot better than that, George. I don't have any feeling that you're doing this for your customers over there at all, you're doing it for the people in Greenport because you know what the financial situation is, and everybody on this Board does. We understand that.

So I'm going to change topics, sorry, I know it's a big thing to everybody. I just read in the Patch today a very unsettling letter or article about the fire boat, that the owner of the fire boat is all shook up about hurricane season. The hull is unsafe he says in the newspaper, that we don't have the money to put divers down there to look at it. You know, that boat, that ship, it's not a boat, it's a ship, it's a three hundred ton ship, and it has not moved in three years, folks. You're the landlord. You're giving them a freebie. That ship hasn't moved one inch in three years, not once because it can't. It's
derelict. You're the landlord, and
you're giving a derelict boat a place
to park there. Think about what you're
doing here. I remember when, Jack, you
ran for office, you said that boat
shouldn't be here, and you knew guys
that were engineers on that boat, they
said they couldn't maintain it when it
was in New York City.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes, sir.

MR. OSINSKI: Well, if that City
of New York with their hundred billion
dollar budget can't maintain it, and
we're willing to dredge -- to tear up
the lives of our citizens for a million
dollars, what the hell are we doing
with that boat? It's a nice boat --
well, I don't like the boat, but, you
know, because if that boat goes down,
I'm out of business totally for ten,
twenty years. It's full of oil, it's
full of hydraulic fluid, I'm out of
business for twenty years. The water
is going to be polluted. Get that
thing -- if they can't afford a diver,
you've got to dive in. Find out what's going on with that boat. If the owner is in the public arena saying that the thing is not -- that he's worried about hurricane season, do any of you guys worry about it? I worry about it. I go look that damn thing every day, especially when you get a blow out on the north and winter we're closed, you know, for two weeks, and the northwest, that thing is sitting on the bottom, you know it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I've been there, said that way back when, but you know the controversy that came up when I made comments on it, so thank you for saying something.

MR. OSINSKI: That boat hasn't moved for three years. It's derelict. You've got laws in Village code about -- you know, this used to be a boating, a shipping, a marine Village. You have laws about derelict boats, they're not allowed. Get out of here. They've got to do something about it. I'm worried
about that. I did call the DEC about the drilling, and probably since they're going deep underneath the bay it probably won't effect me, but there are two oyster farms that in the Nag District to the west and there's two to the east of it. They're going right through this area. And, you know, I just hope that you guys look at some of the other exposures that you've got and take it seriously because it is a serious matter. Read that article in the North Fork Patch. I just came back from the city, I just read it and said wow, if he's worried about the hurricane season, I'm worried, and you guys should be too because you're the landlord.

MR. SALADINO: John Saladino,
Sixth Street. I want to echo Mike's statement also. I don't believe anybody is corrupt here. I don't believe anybody is in this -- I don't think anybody here has got an ulterior motive about providing for their
business on Shelter Island. The last thing I believe is that the mayor is doing this because he has a business on Shelter Island, and I think for people to suggest that is insulting. So having said that, I have a question for the attorney if, in fact -- through the Board, I'll ask the attorney if, in fact, we are made lead agency, I assume there will be a coordinated review, this has got to be a type one action or least unlisted.

MR. PROKOP: There already is a coordinated review. It was started by LIPA, and LIPA determined that it's an unlisted action. We're reviewing the criteria of the State if we agree that it's unlisted or type one, but there already is a coordinated review.

MR. SALADINO: Isn't there --

MR. PROKOP: It was started on August 17, 2016.

MR. SALADINO: So there can't be any decision about anything until that forty-five days is up.
MR. PROKOP: It's thirty days.

MR. SALADINO: I thought --

MR. PROKOP: We extended it for the Village applications, so the coordinated review is not thirty days, what the thirty days is is thirty days for anybody, any agency that's an involved agency to decide whether or not they'd like to be lead agency. So the thirty days -- we're almost at the end of that thirty day period, so we have LIPA that already determined that it wants to be lead agency, and the Village Board voted to be lead agency at last month's meeting. Nobody else has come forward. Starting tomorrow, which is the end of the thirty day period, the Village and LIPA then have a twenty day period to decide between the two of them who should be lead agency. If neither LIPA -- if LIPA and the Village are unable to determine between the two of them who wants to be or should be lead agency, it gets referred to the commissioner of the
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MR. SALADINO: I understand that process.

MR. PROKOP: I just wanted to explain it because I'm sure there's a lot of questions.

MR. SALADINO: If and when the Village is made lead agency, it's my understanding under SEQRA we have the right to institute a coordinated review from the involved parties. DEC, Shelter Island, Town of Southold, and by our Village law, that's forty-five days. So from my experience on zoning and stuff, nothing could happen for forty-five days until that forty-five day period is up.

MR. PROKOP: We extended it -- the Village Board adopted a local law which extended it for forty-five days in the Village. Those are Village applications. This is an application in the Village, so that's probably a question that I will review whether it's thirty or forty-five, but we're
operating -- we're taking -- to protect the interests of the Village and our residents, we're taking the shorter period because we don't want to count forty-five days if it's really thirty for purposes of this application so --

MR. SALADINO: Well, the consensus of the people is that it should take a little longer than a little shorter, the SEQRA review.

MR. PROKOP: This is not the SEQRA review, this is just to determine who the lead agency is.

MR. SALADINO: I understand. Once the lead agency is decided, PSEG or LIPA?

MR. PROKOP: It's LIPA.

MR. SALADINO: LIPA, and if it does, in fact, got to the director of the DEC, and he, in fact, says Greenport has the greater interest, and they should be lead agency, it's my understanding of the SEQRA law is that then we have the right to institute a coordinated review because it is either
a type one or an unlisted action, and
that gives us the right to an
additional forty-five days.

MR. PROKOP: No, then there's no
time limit. We start the review, the
review could take as long as it takes,
there's no time limit to do the review.

MR. SALADINO: The local law says
it takes forty-five days.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Isn't that
responding?

MR. PROKOP: Yeah, that's
responding with comments. The
forty-five day period --

MR. SALADINO: That's what I care
about. The bottom line is we have at
least forty-five days, and the
deliberations could go past that, but
nothing could happen until the comments
are back with forty-five days. So the
fact is is that if, in fact, we do
become lead agency, there is no rush to
judgement.

MR. PROKOP: There's no rush.

Once the lead agency is determined, the
environmental review will start.
There's no rush, there's no window of
time, there's nothing like that. One
of the initial questions will be
whether it's an unlisted action or a
type one action.

MR. SALADINO: The other thing I
would ask is about the environmental
hazards, having some experience with
that, if the drilling -- and I'm asking
the question to -- well, I'll address
the Board, I'll address the public
through the Board. If, in fact, the
drilling is underneath -- ninety feet
under the bay bottom, and the drilling
site is upland, I'm having a hard time
getting my head around the
environmental hazard of that. I mean,
Mike, said as far as the bay, Pipe's
Cove, has the most skin in the game as
far as if there is an environmental
hazard, I personally don't see it. If
the drilling site is upland, and the
reception point is on the other side is
upland, I don't see the problem to the
bay. There's a question about the drilling conduit. My research shows that the drilling light is bent metal, which the most common. It's environmentally -- it's silicon and clay. As a matter of fact, they use it in baby laxatives, they use it in poison ivy remedies. I wouldn't be concerned about that. As a member of the CSE, I wouldn't be concerned about that in the water pollutants. And as far as the voltage, Greenport's electric overhead is 4,600 volts, Mr. Pallas?

MR. PALLAS: 4,160.

MR. SALADINO: 4,000 volts overhead. And Greenport and Rockville Centre are the same voltage, but every other municipality is 13,000 volts overhead?

MR. PALLAS: There may be one or two small areas that are still --

MR. SALADINO: My question would be if every other municipality on -- and before I even say this, I don't
want anybody to think that I'm pushing one way or the other here, but I feel relatively safe having lived in other places not in the last twenty years or so than Greenport, so if it's safe for Merrick or Patchogue or pick a town, Babylon, and unless you're willing to evacuate the nine million people on Long Island because the overhead lines are unsafe, I'm really not seeing that -- I see that more as a perceived feeling than an actual danger.

As far as the money, I don't know it's up to you guys. How do you put a figure on a project, aside from going to another municipality that had this done, but I'll tell you what I'm not in favor of, I'm not in favor of the pawnshop method that somebody comes in with something to sell, and you offer them half the price, but if somebody comes in to buy something, you automatically double the value. So I think actuarially it would be fair to everybody to get some kind of true
figures. I heard thirty billion, is there even thirty billion dollars in the whole world?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Million.

MR. SALADINO: Thirty million dollars, I apologize. Thirty million, even that, that's a lot of money. As far as Shelter Island, I don't even know why they're in on the discussion. I don't even know why we're talking about Shelter Island. Shelter Island is an end user that needs a product, let them do whatever they have to do to get it. These negotiations should be strictly between the Village of Greenport and PSEG. Shelter Island shouldn't be in the conversations in my opinion. What they do over there, what they want, what they get, what they need, what they don't need shouldn't be part of our negotiations. Greenport, PSEG, and then if and when that's worked out, if that's worked out, then let them work out with Shelter Island. They shouldn't even be part of this.
As far as they won't do this, they
won't do that, who cares? Who cares?
This is between us and the electric
company. We're the delivery system for
the product they want to sell to that
guy. If the price is too high for us
to deliver it, then that guy has got to
go some place else and buy it. I know
that's kind of, like, basic, but to me
that's how it should be. I know you
have a hard choice to make.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Come on, there's
somebody else who wants to speak.

MR. SWISKEY: He's got a right to
speak, let him speak.

MR. SALADINO: I know I live on
Sixth Street, and I don't have as much
skin in the game as that lady that's
yelling me, but that's all I have to
say, thanks for listening.

MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 180
North Fifth Street. I have some basic
questions because when I heard the
Board discussing it, Paul, what is the
size of the cable that feeds this?
MR. PALLAS: I believe, if I remember correctly it's a thousand MCM.

MR. SWISKEY: So basically would could never take that cable to capacity because basically the amperage around that is, what, twelve hundred amps?

MR. PALLAS: Six hundred generally.

MR. SWISKEY: No, no, not six hundred, it would be seven hundred and fifty SDMK, so I think we need better figures because we're in no way in danger of overloading that cable. What is the maximum on the thirteen thousand meters in the plant in the summertime? Around two hundred and fifty amps?

MR. PALLAS: I don't remember, Bill. It's around seven megawatts, a little over seven megawatts.

MR. SWISKEY: No, not megawatts, amps, amps we're talking about in these cables.

MR. PALLAS: One second, I'll calculate it for you. I don't recall the exact number. Around eleven
hundred amps on the incoming.

MR. SWISKEY: That's what I mean.
No. Paul, I've been in that plant, it's nowhere near that. This is part of the problem. You have no information. Jack, the information they gave you is wrong, but they're going to give you a able, are they going to pay for the six or seven hundred thousand dollars worth of switch gear to put on each end so there's an automatic switch over, or are we just abandoning one cable?

MR. PALLAS: No, it's a second dedicated cable is what they're proposing.

MR. SWISKEY: And how are we going to switch to that if we have an outage on one cable?

MR. PALLAS: Again, we haven't gotten to that level.

MR. SWISKEY: What level? I'm disgusted. I need answers on this. I don't mean to be pissy, but some of the calculations given here weren't really
in reality, and it just bothers me when I hear things like that and I know better. So I think that maybe we should do, you know, come back maybe -- you're not going to vote on this next week, are you? Is this coming up for a vote next week?

MAYOR HUBBARD: The agenda is not set for next week. We have no plans right now of where we're going, Bill. We're still working on information.

MR. SWISKEY: Is it possible it could wind up on next week's agenda?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I cannot answer that right now.

MR. SWISKEY: That's --

MAYOR HUBBARD: What's the moans for? I'm giving him an honest answer to an honest question. The agenda is not set yet.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: When does the agenda get set?

MAYOR HUBBARD: The agenda gets set Monday morning is when the agenda goes out.
MR. SWISKEY: And any trustee or the mayor can put it on the agenda without a vote of the Board, right, that's the way it works?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: With a second.

MR. SWISKEY: No, in other words, it can get on the agenda, any trustee can come up and say put this on the agenda. It may not pass, but it can be put on the agenda without the consent of the whole Board.

MR. PROKOP: The mayor -- the official agenda is controlled by the mayor. At the meetings, there could be a vote to modify the agenda.

MR. SWISKEY: I got to ask Mike because any trustee can put any item on the agenda at any time because that's state law, is it not, Mr. Prokop? That's the law in the State of New York, is it, or is it not?

MR. PROKOP: I just said before I don't think that it is. We have a procedure that we adopted in this Village, and the procedure is that the
mayor determines the official
resolutions -- excuse me, the official
agenda that's published on Monday is
created by the mayor, however at the
meeting resolutions can be added at the
meeting. I think that's the procedure
that we adopted.

MR. SWISKEY: I'm not going to get
into arguing about that because this is
just, like, a week ago -- when this
started, what was it, two weeks ago and
the story came out in the paper about
this cable is a done deal, and
everybody was shocked. What's going on
here? And then oh, it's not true, it's
not true, and then we come up with all
these things like oh, this is what they
offered us. Who is being truthful
here? You know, I don't like to call
people or say that things aren't true,
but I'm getting a very bad feeling
about this. I know when I'm being lied
to. I'm not stupid.

(Applause).

MR. SWISKEY: This is what the end
of Fifth Street is going to look like
in about three to six months. George,
you were coming to ZBA meetings about a
lousy sign at the hospital, you had
your whole block turning out, a sign,
and that went one for three months, yet
this is going to pop through? I think
you're just going to pass it anyway
so --

MR. EDWARDS: Ralph Edwards, 157
Sixth Street. So which side of the
street is this going on?

MR. PROKOP: That was the question
that we asked before. My comment
before we went into public session was
that -- there was a comment by a
Trustee that we don't have an
agreement, I made the comment that we
also do not have plans. Exactly one of
the things that I mentioned was that we
don't even know what side of the street
it was going to be on.

MR. EDWARDS: That's my point. I
mean, I agree with that, and I
appreciate that. So at what Village
meeting could I go to, not be able to
tell you about a building plan that I
wanted to put in place, and then show
up four days later and have that
approved? Is there any way, shape, or
form that I could go to a meeting in
this town, a variance meeting, go to
the building department and say I want
to start a project. In fact, I'm going
to mark up my property, I'm going to
stake it, I'm going to do all sorts of
work like we saw the day after the last
meeting that you had. I'm going to do
all that, I'm not going to provide you
with a plan, and I'm expecting to start
five days after the Board doesn't even
know what it's going to look like. So
if the Mayor is saying that this could
possibly be voted on next week, which
is what we just heard, Mr. Swiskey
asked a very simple question. And the
answer was a very simple answer, we
don't know, and we're not going to tell
you. How does this Board say to the
residents of Fifth Street after just
putting that juxtaposition out there
that you are representing our
interests? Because you're not. You
don't have the information.

(Applause).

You don't know where the digging
is, you don't know what kind of drill
is going to do the digging, you don't
have any idea what the noise is going
to be. That's what you don't know.
What I don't know is when my foundation
cracks, who is going to pay for it?
Because I'm told my insurance company
isn't.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Not your
insurance company. I checked it out
today.

MR. EDWARDS: I don't know a lot
of things, and I'm coming here to learn
things. I'm looking at the website to
learn things. I didn't get a chance to
read the hundred and twenty-four pages
that were posted at 3:00 before I came
here at 7:00, and I apologize for that,
but I think you can give me a little
bit of slack if I don't know exactly what's in the document. But what I'm really appalled by is that simple questions, not the details, simple questions, which side of the street is the pipe going in? You guys can't answer that, and yet you won't guarantee the people of Fifth Street that you will not vote to approve this next week; is that correct? Is there a Trustee here who will raise their hand and say I will vote against this next week if it comes up because the residents of Fifth Street, in fact, the residents of every street in this town, forget about my vested interest, forget about what I want personally. Let's think of the greater interest of Greenport. Is there anybody here at this table who has the courage to just say I won't vote for this next week, I won't vote to approve this next week until everybody in the town, not just the residents of Fifth Street who are annoyed, but everybody in this town
understands what really is going to happen. What side of the street? What noise level? Where the pipe is going? And really how long it's going to take because I as a resident of Fifth Street cannot believe that as PSEG starts rolling over you, and it takes a lot longer, and the noise is loud, and the drilling goes late, and they start drilling on Sunday, I can't believe that this Board from what I've seen tonight is going to stand up to them. Who is going to enforce the rules that we say that we're going to put in place? Thank you.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Ryan, can I ask a question of you? And I'm going to ask this question, where is this -- first of all, what resolution are you assuming -- assuming we're going to be voting on?

MR. EDWARDS: I don't make any assumptions. All I heard was it is possible that it is going to be on the agenda for next week and voted on.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I was asked a yes or no question, are we voting on this next week, and I said I did not have an answer to that right now. I didn't say anything more than that. He asked me a yes or no question, can I say yes or no right now? The agenda is not set. There's a public hearing, I'm meeting with them on Tuesday, everybody here is still talking, he asked me a question. At that point when he asked me, I cannot say yes or no if it's going to be on the agenda. I was being honest with him, nobody likes that, but that's the truth. I wasn't going to lie and say definitely no, definitely yes, I do not know, and that's what I said.

MR. EDWARDS: That's fine.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So why is it all the cheering and clapping when I said that and boo and all that? I mean, I'm just being honest with him.

MR. EDWARDS: I can easily answer that question. The answer to that question is just what we said. There
isn't a Town Board that would approve these kinds of plans for my doghouse, and if you're voting on disrupting this whole town for a poultry sum of money and possibly ruining the infrastructure of people's foundations, and you can't even point to the plans, that sounds absurd that you're thinking that it might come to a vote next week. And if you really can't see why that disturbs the residents of Fifth Street especially, but everybody, I'm sorry.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Ryan, I'll be honest with you, this is a work session, and we're sitting here discussing it. We all have questions. We have all been asking questions ever since this original draft, this EIS came out, and I in all honesty don't know about any resolutions being put onto the agenda, and I really would like to know where or what kind of resolution you think we would be putting on the agenda since we don't have all the information and we're
still doing the research on our own.

MR. EDWARDS: The answer to that is very simple. When I see a hundred and twenty-four page document put on the website the day of the work session, when I see information blasted out before the last meeting I get concerned that there is going to be a wash, and what we're going to see is all of a sudden information is going to come out at the last minute, there'll be a vote, it will be done, and it will be too late for anybody on Fifth Street to --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Did you not hear at the regular Board meeting where we stood back and said we only got the information before the work session. I believe all of us, including the Mayor, were a little stunned at getting that amount of information before the work session. At the regular meeting, we decided to go in a different direction than before had been recommended to us, we took lead agency. I care about what
goes on on Fifth Street, I lived
through it when the condominiums were
being built down there, and I'm sure
there's a few here that remember the
mess that that created. So I do have a
concern, but I don't want to -- excuse
me. I would like to know what
resolution you think we are all putting
on the agenda?

MR. EDWARDS: I don't know.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, I don't
either. Okay?

MR. EDWARDS: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish
to address the Board?

MR. TASKER: Arthur Tasker, Beach
Street in Greenport. This is going to
pale by comparison to the last
discussion except for the hyperboles
and red herrings. The only question
that Trustee Roberts kind of alluded to
is that the sewer expansion has been
proposed for some extended period of
time, as he pointed out, there is no
RFP, the clock is ticking, and we've
got a relatively short period of time. I ask the question, has anything been done since the last meeting a month ago when I asked the same question, has anything been done as far as preparation of an RFP to get the engineering work done so that a sewer expansion can be bid by a contractor?

MR. PALLAS: I have not done that yet, Arthur, I plan on doing work on it --

MR. TASKER: What's the schedule for preparing that? How long is it going to take to prepare the RFP for the engineering work?

MR. PALLAS: I expect it would take me about a week, maybe two at most to go through the process of preparing it, editing it, and getting it published. I plan on working on it the beginning of next week.

MR. TASKER: Is that full-time, one week, forty hours week.

MR. PALLAS: No, Arthur.

MR. TASKER: How many hours would
you anticipate it's going to take?

MR. PALLAS: Arthur, I honestly
don't have a direct answer.

MR. TASKER: Could you use help?
I could help you. I'm good. As
Mr. Roberts suggested, if you need
volunteers from the community, I'd be
happy to offer my help.

MR. PALLAS: Appreciate the offer,
if I do require anything I will give
you a call.

MR. TASKER: What's the timetable
after the RFP is prepared? Have you
got a timeline for all the events? Can
you tell me what it is?

MR. PALLAS: Not for all the
events. Generally once an RFP is
published in the newspaper, we
generally give people two weeks to
respond. It could be between two and
three weeks to respond. Once we get
the responses and evaluate them, then
it comes before the Village Board for
approval.

MR. TASKER: And at that point we
would have a contract for an
engineering study, how much time do you
anticipate that's going to take?

MR. PALLAS: Well, the direction I
was given was not a study, but a design
for the --

MR. TASKER: I agree, a design.

MR. PALLAS: I would anticipate
three months, maybe four months,
something like that.

MR. TASKER: So we're looking at
about February I think, and after that,
do you have a set of plans? And then
you put them out to bid, how long is
that process going to take? How many
bids do you anticipate you'll get on
this.

MR. PALLAS: On which, Arthur, the
RFP?

MR. TASKER: On the construction.

MR. PALLAS: Oh, I have no idea.

I would hope that we would get a
significant number so that we would
have a fair evaluation.
the construction would be anticipated to take how long, start to finish?

MR. PALLAS: For the bid process itself, I would anticipate about maybe one to two months.

MR. TASKER: How long after that would the contract -- after the contract is awarded would construction start?

MR. PALLAS: Again, we're getting deep into theoreticals because it assumes that the Board approves everything and there's no intervening discussions about whether it was affordable and whether there's funding available. There's a lot of questions that would come up at that point, but the construction itself I would guess, and it's merely a guess of six months, it's a guess.

MR. TASKER: Mr. Roberts mentioned, it was talked about before, I think we missed it this year, a CFA deadline, funding, I forgot what that's an acronym for, but I know somebody's
got to fund the project for the sewer expansion.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Consolidated funding.

MR. TASKER: It doesn't matter. There's money potentially available in July, it sounds like we're not going to be ready on any project in July.

MR. PALLAS: There are two different things, the direction as I recall I was given was this piece was for your area only and not for the extended expansion as a standalone project, not part of a CFA process, that the larger process would be done through the CFA looking for funding. That was my understanding of the direction I was given.

MR. TASKER: So you're suggesting it would be the sense of the Board that if they were to only do the Sandy Beach portion of it, the remaining portion of the Village, of the Incorporated Village that do not have sewer systems, that that would be undertaken as a
Village paid project, if you will, rather than looking for outside funding. Is that the case, Mr. Mayor?

MAYOR HUBBARD: We'd be looking for funding wherever we can get it, something we could do ourselves but we're going to try to get grants to cover the cost of that. You have to have plans first, once we get the plans, then we decide on the funding. If we're going to bond for it, you know the procedure, you have to have public hearings, you have to post it and everything else, and that takes additional time to come up with the funds once we have the design plan to get a contract.

MR. TASKER: There was talk that there was what, three quarters of a million dollars in the sewer fees from Peconic Landing, some of which could be available for a project of the size that would cover the Sandy Beach portion; is that correct?

MAYOR HUBBARD: There's money
available. I mean, Mr. Swiskey said it's going to be seven hundred thousand dollars. I have no idea if that's a valid --

MR. TASKER: I think you got it down to two hundred, didn't you, Bill?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Until we got through the process, we have no idea what the cost is going to be on it.

MR. TASKER: I have to tell you, I'm not very hopeful. Thank you.

MS. NUSSE: Christine Nusse. I have a question, which might be stupid, but if there is a problem with the basement and my insurance doesn't pay, who should I sue? The Village or PSEG? That's a question.

MR. PROKOP: Don't sue the Village.

MS. NUSSE: You're a lawyer, you should know. You're not answering my question. This is a very valid question. Maybe it's stupid, but it's valid.

MR. PROKOP: No question is
stupid. At the time -- I mean, it would really depend on at the time exactly what the circumstances are. One of the people involved might be the contractor.

MS. NUSSE: Whose contractor?

MR. PROKOP: The LIPA contractor, but it all depends really on the circumstances at the time.

MS. NUSSE: So I would sue both because that's usually what the lawyers tell you to do. Sue everybody. So that's not very good for the Village I guess in terms of money. It's liability.

So one question I have is when and if you are ready to sign your contract, who will write the contract, you or PSEG?

MR. PROKOP: Both attorneys working together. Excuse me, I'm sorry, the Village will write most of the contract.

MS. NUSSE: So you will be writing the contract for the PSEG lawyers.
MR. PROKOP: Well, we'll be starting with the provisions that are in the best interests of the Village, which will be written by me.

MS. NUSSE: Will you ask for any specialized lawyer to help you making sure that those liabilities aren't going to be an issue?

MR. PROKOP: If it's necessary, and I probably will have somebody look it over, yes.

MS. NUSSE: Okay.

MR. PROKOP: There's attorneys in my office that specialize in things like this, and I've done these before, and if I feel at any point, which I probably will have somebody else look it over just for a second set of eyes, yes.

MS. NUSSE: So you feel comfortable to work against lawyers --

MR. PROKOP: Yes, very comfortable.

MS. NUSSE: Covering all the bases including making sure that if I sue the
Village, you have money to cover? All the people in Fifth Street. Do you understand?

MR. PROKOP: Yes, the Village will be protected, yes.

MS. NUSSE: So you will have that in the contract?

MR. PROKOP: It's normally referred to as an indemnification provision. Something like that will be in the contract, yes.

MS. NUSSE: How much will it be.

MR. PROKOP: Pardon?

MS. NUSSE: How much will it be?

MR. PROKOP: We don't know. We wouldn't put a limit on it.

MS. NUSSE: Oh, so there's no limit. That's great. Thank you very much.

MR. WINKLER: John Winkler, 175 Fifth Street. I have a question, I received an e-mail today from one of the Trustees that after the meeting on Tuesday, the 22nd, that you were going to vote on something. So -- and all
the neighbors got this e-mail, so I can understand Mr. Edwards' concern, what we're voting on Tuesday, can anybody answer that question?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I sent the e-mail. I understood from the PSEG guys that we were voting on a resolution next Thursday. I learned it from them. They may have been misleading me, I don't know, that's just what I heard. I told you guys I get the information when I had it because I had none, and then all of a sudden I got some, so I shared it.

MR. KOSMAN: They're running the Village already.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: They're not running anything, they don't put resolutions on there, we do. Any of us can walk on a resolution.

MR. WINKLER: Maybe I can reassure them, is there any intent after this meeting on Tuesday to have a vote to put a resolution on?

MAYOR HUBBARD: The comments I
believe -- I was not at the meeting that they had. The comments when they asked me about this, I said the earliest we could do anything would be voting on it at our regular meeting. They asked if any action could happen tonight, I said if we voted on something tonight it would only be a procedural thing, it would have to be ratified at our regular meeting. I explained the procedure of when we vote on things. That's all I said. I did not say I was voting on something, I have nothing to vote on, I have nothing to put on an agenda that's due out on Monday, I have nothing I can put down for us to vote on. So that was misinterpretation of what somebody said to somebody else, and that's how it got on the website.

MR. WINKLER: I think that sort of, like, shows the whole problem here. The information is so sketchy and so all over the place that there's nothing that's for sure. Okay? And again,
Fortunately we got some information today put on the web page of the Village of Greenport. Unfortunately maybe this should have been put on a month ago when you got it, but I mean, Doug put it on his Facebook, some people have Facebook, some people don't. Pretty much everybody has e-mail. So again, I just don't feel like there's been enough information to the public that's out there so we don't have all these questions, and all the blow back would have been -- probably wouldn't have happened if we had been told what was proceeding maybe not the contract and negotiations, I understand that you're not allowed to do that, but just how many meetings we were having, we're meeting with PSEG today, you know. I heard about this meeting between the Trustees and PSEG, and I heard nobody was going to go, nobody was taking them up on it, and then everybody took them up on it. So there is a lot of sketchy stuff going on.
here. You had this meeting, I don't know when PSEG approached you guys to have this meeting, but somebody could have put it out, guess what guys, all the Trustees are having a meeting with PSEG. That way you're telling you guys had a meeting. Why weren't we told that you guys have a meeting? We're sitting here, like, what? This is the root of the problem that people are so upset, that we don't get the information. We're not -- we don't know enough things about what equipment, noise, all the things that people want to do. Everybody wants to help the Village, everybody, all my neighbors, we want to do the right thing for the Village, there is no doubt that we would put up with noise and what else if the Village was going to gain something from it that we could use to do something else. We have a very difficult time getting revenue into this Village. The marina, the campground, the carousel. I mean,
that's not enough to support the infrastructure things that we have to do, and who knows what our power plant is going to cost us. That's, like, a boat, it's a hole in the water that you put money into. I mean, it's ridiculous. So I understand the Board wanting to get revenue, but you have to educate the people, and you're not doing it. You're not doing it. And I don't think it's fair. So that's my comment, and thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish to address the Board?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: May I clarify for the record, Mr. Winkler, there was not a meeting of the Trustees with PSEG, so there was no meeting that happened in secret that needed to happen in public. It was last Friday, we got an e-mail saying they're going to be here on Wednesday, they want to meet with people individually, who is interested? And like some us were out of town, I mean, my work when I'm not
doing Village stuff is pretty business, so it was kind of crazy to reorganize my calendar, but I did it. So that's what happened, then we got a little bit of information, so I just want you to know there was no secret meeting of the Board.

Mr. Winkler: Thanks.

Mayor Hubbard: Anybody else wish to address the Board? Okay. Thank you all for coming. I'll offer a motion to adjourn to executive session at 10:15 for two personnel issues.

Trustee Robins: Second.

Mayor Hubbard: All in favor?

Trustee Roberts: Aye.

Trustee Martilotta: Aye.

Trustee Phillips: Aye.

Trustee Robins: Aye.

(Whereupon the meeting was adjourned at 10:15 p.m.)
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