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(The meeting was called to order at 7:00 p.m.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Call the meeting to order.

Let's pledge to the flag.

(Whereupon, all stood for the pledge of allegiance.)

(There was a moment of silence for Casper J. Morsello and Steve Dominick.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Under announcements:

The Village offices will be closed on September 5th in honor of Labor Day.

The annual East End Seaport Museum Maritime Festival will be held on September 24th and 25th downtown, same normal procedure.

We're going to have a presentation from Bob Foxen from Global Commons. This, as everybody remembers, we had gotten $100,000 grant to study microgrid for the Village. We went through that. Bob is gonna give us a presentation on that and then talk...
about moving on to Stage 2.

Go ahead, Bob.

MR. FOXEN: Thank you. Nice to see everybody again.

Let's see, as George mentioned, we had started a study, I guess about a year ago under a grant from NYSERDA, New York State Energy Research and Development Authority.

The purpose of this study was to design a microgrid, assess the feasibility of a microgrid for the Village of Greenport.

Essentially a microgrid is a small, essentially what Greenport is now really, if you think about it, it's a small self-contained power system that can operate either in parallel with the grid, it can independent of the grid in case the main grid goes down.

If you look at the second page of the presentation that I just handed out, there are three purposes of the
microgrid.

One is to improve the reliability of the energy system in the event of an outage to the main grid.

The second purpose is to reduce energy cost if possible.

The third is a little different than you might expect, but it's to help meet peak power needs on the South Fork, and I'll explain why it's important for Greenport to do that in a few minutes from the standpoint of advancing this projet.

The NYSERDA program is divided into three parts. The first part is a $100,000 grant that's given for a feasibility study.

The second part is up to $1 million grant that's for engineering, design and development of the project permitting financing.

The third part is up to $7 million that is given to a more limited number of recipients for the actual
construction and implementation of the project.

So there is potentially over $8 million of free grant funding that's available from NYSERDA for this project. I think it's a really good opportunity for Greenport.

Now, each step along the way is competitive, so there were over eight projects statewide that were given Stage 1 funding. There was another ten to twelve projects that would get the $1 million grant for Stage 2 funding statewide, and we're guessing that perhaps five or so of those projects in Stage 2 would be on Long Island. So we're going from 80 to 100-or-so projects. Then there is going to be approximately five projects that will get the Stage 3 funding. So it's important that we design the project as cost effectively as possible in order to get through each of these gates.

There is a number of benefits to...
the microgrid in Greenport; and I
ticked off a few of them a few minutes
ago.

One of the main benefits is, as I
say, improving the reliability of the
grid. The benefits are enumerated
starting on page 3 of the presentation
I handed out, and without going into
all the details, it will, we believe,
reduce energy costs, it will improve
the reliability of the grid for
Greenport.

One of the main beneficiaries
potentially would be Eastern Long
Island Hospital, and I'll explain why
in second. They could, A, reduce their
energy costs. Right now, Eastern Long
Island Hospital uses fuel oil for their
heating needs, and we would put a
cogeneration plant at the hospital, and
as a result of that cogeneration plant,
it would basically eliminate or greatly
reduce the use of fuel oil at the
hospital, so that would reduce
emissions, it would reduce trucking to
deliver the fuel oil, et cetera.

One of the things that we found in
the course of the study is that we can,
National Grid can provide natural gas
to the hospital for a cogeneration
system, so that will, as I said,
 alleviate the need for liquid fuel at
the hospital.

The other thing I'll mention
again, I talked before about the
potential benefits on the South Fork,
and the project also includes a
7.4-megawatt generation unit that would
be placed on Moors Lane, and that would
serve two purposes.

Number one, if there is a grid
outage, then the Village of Greenport
would be able to use that facility to
assure that there's power for the
entire Village of Greenport, so we
would be able to keep the lights on,
not only for the critical facilities in
Greenport, such as Village Hall, the
wastewater treatment plant, gas station, fire department, et cetera, but also, it would keep all the downtown commercial establishments with power, as well as all the residents of Greenport. That's number one.

Then number two, it would provide power to the South Fork residents or other residents outside of Greenport when the grid is functioning.

Why doesn't the plant provide energy to Greenport when the grid is functioning? Well, the cost of that power can't be competitive with the hydropower you guys are currently getting; so when the grid is up, you're still going to want to get hydropower because it's the most economical form of energy.

On the bottom of page 4, there is a circuit diagram you can see. Essentially it's a map of Greenport. It shows where all the existing feeder lines are that serve and provide power.
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for the Village; and we would essentially use the same feeder lines that are currently in use by Greenport. There is a budget of about $700,000 in the project that would be used to, quote/unquote, harden those lines to make them more resilient to storms; so we do have funding in there to improve the reliability of the local distribution system.

On the next page, the two slides list the critical facilities that would be served in Greenport; that includes the hospital, wastewater treatment plant, the water authority storage tower on Moors Lane -- obviously, there is the pumping station there to pump the water up to the tower -- Fire Department, Village Hall, grocery store, the ferry, so all these are very critical facilities not only for Greenport, but from the standpoint of the cross-benefit analysis that PSE&G does -- excuse me, that NYSERDA does to
evaluate the projects.

The total load in Greenport, based on these critical facilities and the feeders that we evaluated, about six-and-a-half megawatts, and about five of those megawatts is actually small commercial establishments and residents so really most of the load being served is the general community and the small commercial establishments.

On the next page, page 6 of the presentation, there is a list and description of the new energy resources that would be included, and these resources in addition to the conventional generation system I mentioned would be 250 kilowatts of solar energy and 125 kilowatts of battery storage on Moors Lane.

The purpose of the batteries would be to help reduce peak loads, and by reducing peak loads, you would be able to increase what's called your load.
factor and as your load factor gets higher, you're able to potentially increase your allocation of hydropower, so it could have a benefit of actually reducing your cost by increasing your hydro allocation.

The next line shows the project cost breakdown, and the cost of project and also where the source of the funds would be coming from.

The total project cost, you can see is about $14-and-a-half million; that includes about a million-and-a-half for the cogeneration system at the hospital, about $11 million for the electric-only generation system on Moors Lane, 600 for the solar system, 300 for the battery system and 700 for distribution improvements and controls.

What are the sources of funds?

Now, after some discussion with the Village officials, the current thinking on the business model is that it would
be, quote/unquote, public-private partnership where some of the resources would be owned and operated by Greenport and some would be owned and operated privately. There is some reasoning behind that. So the current proposal would be for the cogeneration system and the battery system would be owned and operated by the Village and the new electric generating plant on Moors Lane would be owned, and the solar system would be owned and operated by a third-party developer.

The reason for that really is, there’s a couple of reasons, but one of the reasons is the electric generating plant primarily would be selling to a third party and not selling to Greenport, and there is some risk involved with the off-take contracts from that facility, and we didn’t think Greenport would want to be in the merchant power business, so that was the way that was allocated.
The solar system we thought, the reason we proposed that being owned and operated by a private party is that there are tax credits available with solar power, so Greenport who is not-profit can't take advantage of the tax credits, so it was more economically efficient to take advantage of the 30 percent tax credit by having the solar privately owned.

So there is a breakdown of the sources of funds, the $14-and-a-half million, we allocated the cost across different parties. About $6 million would be coming from third-party private finance, $7 million we have from the New York NYSERDA program and about a million two would be coming from Greenport.

Obviously, at this stage, this is all very preliminary. If we wanted to reallocate how we do this, you have total flexibility to do that really up to the end of Stage 2, so you're not
locked into anything at this point.

One of the really important
questions in terms of getting the
remaining funding is how does NYSERDA
evaluate projects go from the 80 down
to 10 and down to 5. What they have
done is there is a cost-benefit
analysis process that is being
conducted by a separate consultant for
NYSERDA. The other consultant is
called Industrial Economics based up in
Cambridge, Massachusetts.

The way the process works is each
of the 80-plus projects in Stage 1 gave
a whole bunch of data to Industrial
Economics and they crunch it through
their cost-benefit model, and they come
up with a result that gives you a
benefit-cost ratio and a calculation of
the net benefits of the project.

So on the top of page 7 is the
results of the IDC cost-benefit
analysis, and Greenport actually came
out, I thought, pretty well. They
looked at two scenarios when they did this.

The first scenario is just, I call it a straight cost-benefit analysis and they estimated net benefits of $13.6 million. There were a lot of projects that had net benefits of negative dollars, so at 13.6, it's not the highest number, but it's a pretty good number.

They also looked a second scenario and the second scenario considered what -- on the South Fork, as most of you probably know, there is significant peak power shortage, and they don't really know, at this point, how they're going to meet some of the peak load problems; and as you all know, there's a cable that runs from Greenport to East Hampton, so power that is generated here can be transmitted through that cable; so what IEC looked at was what are the benefits to the South Fork at the result of having
generation in Greenport, and they factored that into their calculation. When they did that analysis, the net benefit of the project went from $13 million to $52 million so we kind of designed the project in part to meet the needs of the cost-benefit analysis and it really boosts your score and I think increases your prospects of getting to the second round of the competition and hopefully the third round of the competition.

That's kind of where we left off with Stage 1. We just are, we gave you the preliminary, I'll call it a preliminary final report last week when I met with Mr. Pallas, and we have a few minor tweaks that we're making. The final report will go to NYSERDA, I'm hoping by tomorrow. There are still just a few editorial changes from last week. We have to get back one more round of comments from NYSERDA, which at this point should be pretty
minor because they've seen all the material before; and that report will go in.

What happens next is anybody that wants to apply for Stage 2 has to submit another proposal, and it's a very comprehensive detailed proposal that has to be submitted to NYSERDA by October 12th; and the RFP is online on NYSERDA's website; there is a 50-page statement of work that has to be followed and we have to explain our proposal exactly step by step how we are going to comply with that 50-page statement of work.

Our team is, for the most part, would still be intact, most importantly we have committed to work with GE with their energy consulting group who, by the way, did a great job, I thought on the first round at helping to put this study together. We would or someone would, hopefully us, would have to put together that proposal for Stage 2 by
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October 12th and then by the end of the year NYSERDA will make a decision as to who is going to be selected for the second round.

What we would like you to consider, we would be willing to write the proposal at no cost to Greenport for the Stage 2, and what we would need from you is another letter of support similar to the one you wrote for Stage 1, just saying essentially that you support our efforts in going forward with Stage 2.

That's where we are right now. I'm happy to take any questions.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Does the Board have any questions?

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: I do about the funding of Stage 2 if we were awarded that.

Is that 100 percent grant or is it a match; and what is the percentage of the match?

MR. FOXEN: That's a good
question, Julia.

It's a 15 percent match, but it's an in-time match; so what that means is the way it would work is, we would have, quote/unquote, discounted rates and GE would have discounted rates, it would be discounted from their normal commercial rates by 15 percent; and we have talked to NYSERDA, GE has talked to them and I've done this in other NYSERDA grants too, so they consider the discount as contributing toward the 15 percent match.

The other thing that would count toward it is if Paul or anybody else that's an employee of the Village spends time on the project that counts towards the 15 percent match, but there is not a cash match.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: There's no cash involved on our part, just at alternating stages?

MR. FOXEN: Correct.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Because I
attended that kickoff meeting, and I was a little iffy on whether, you know, at some point, you know, we are involved in putting monies into this, but nothing at Stage 2 at all.

MR. FOXEN: Nothing at Stage 2.

Initially NYSERDA was talking about people putting in, I think it was a 20 percent or something cash match, and everybody said, well, if you do that, no one is going to apply, so they changed their approach.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: One other question. So the Moors Lane facility, is that basically a gas peaker; is that what we're talking about there?

MR. FOXEN: That's a good question too.

There are two alternatives. In the report that you have, it's envisioned that it would be an L and G fuel peaking plant.

Later in the process, we got a pretty firm indication that we could
get interruptible gas supplied on Moors Lane for the power plant, pipeline gas; and so we needed to do two things. A, we needed to be sure that that interruptible supply is feasible. We think interruptible gas will work, just the time that it would be interrupted is most likely going to be in the winter, and whereas the demand for the electricity is going to be most likely in the summer, so I'm not really worried about the interruptible part of it.

The other thing we needed to do is make sure the pricing on the gas is competitive, so that will be looked at more closely in Stage 2.

Right now, it's technically L and G and it will have to be reviewed, but it's going to be either L and G or natural gas.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: I'm working with Paul, and we're going to be circulating a survey in hopes of lobbying National
Grid to bring natural gas into the Village, so that's something --

MR. FOXEN: Yeah. I mean, they did say they could, for the hospital, they could pretty quickly provide reinforcements needed for cogeneration for the hospital; but depending on the amount you're looking for, dealing with the gas people, it's just a lot of complexity to getting more gas out here.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Everywhere but Greenport.

MR. FOXEN: Well, I don't know, the North Fork, how much there is. Is there?

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: There's quite a bit, actually.

MR. FOXEN: Is there?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They just ran a big six-inch line right up the North Road, maybe a mile from the Village.

MR. FOXEN: Well, it's worth looking at, that's for sure.
At least they're telling me all these challenges.

We should compare notes sometime.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have one question.

The generation plant at the hospital that you're talking about, I'm assuming that you have been discussing this with Eastern Long Island or is this just part of the overall. --

MR. FOXEN: I have not had that conversation yet.

Paul, I'm not sure what conversations you've had.

MR. PALLAS: We have had some preliminary discussion.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Where are they located.

MR. PALLAS: We haven't gone that far.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The other question I have is, Bob, you mentioned a business model, public and private ownership; is that something you have
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You mentioned the business model is going to be public and private ownership.

MR. FOXEN: Right.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm assuming that you have been discussing with Paul the public portion of it; have you been discussing with any private enterprises to be brought into this process, or you were just throwing that out as part of the application?

MR. FOXEN: I would say, I haven't had a conversation with an investor, third-party investor yet, no; but I do have a, we have a pretty solid financial analysis that's done right
now, and I think -- maybe here is a way to answer, if we got the NYSERDA grant money that is proposed, we would be able to get the additional, I'm very confident we can get the additional private financing for the project.

If we don't get the NYSERDA funding for the project to kind of be a catalyst and take up 40 percent of or 50 percent of the capital structure, I think it would be hard to finance in that case.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

That's what I'm asking.

MR. FOXEN: The only exception might be if LIPA or PSE&G was willing to change it to a power purchase agreement, obviously that would change things.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That was my other question.

MR. FOXEN: We are intending to approach them with that concept during Stage 2, but whether they do that or
not is anyone's guess right now.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Thank you.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I have one more question.

On the solar, on the privately owned solar, are you talking about rooftop solar on private residences?

MR. FOXEN: We're not specific yet where it's going to go. What we're thinking -- a couple of options. One option was somewhere on the hospital roof over there. We are also thinking about possibly rooftop or commercial establishments in Greenport. There was a couple spots out on Moors Lane, so exactly where it would be, you know, we don't know yet.

We're probably talking about, oh, you know, an acre or so, acre-and-a-half, so that's 40 to 60,000 square feet of rooftop or some open space that we would have to find.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anyone else have
anything?

(No response.)

Thank you very much for coming, Bob, we'll be in touch.

Before we get to the public hearings, I want to give a little description of what's going on with the PSE&G cable project and all.

There is an article that came out in the Suffolk Times with a misleading headline that says Shelter Island Greenport Cable Project Starting in November.

I don't know how they came up with that, but that's what the Suffolk Times printed. When you read the first article, first letter in there, it says proposed work may come back, did start again, so the headline is very misleading, that is not the intention. We have not signed a contract or anything yet.

So I want to clear that up to everybody, and I just want to give a
brief description of where we are with it, and what we've gotten done so far.

The project, we were -- I was approached by PSE&G about putting in the cable and doing the project. I have no personal interest in the project, it doesn't -- I would like to see it go forward only for the fact that it would be financially viable to the Village to do that.

I know it's gonna be disruptive to some people that live down on Fifth Street, the people at the beach, along with everybody in the Village, but there's also financial gains to be had by everybody in the Village. If the deal went through, we would be able to lower every property owner's taxes next year by five percent, along with take care of a lot of infrastructure repairs that need to be done with the cash portion of what we would get from them. We would get a new designated power cable that would come from the Southold.
substation to Moors Lane, so if something happened as happened this spring when somebody cut through our power cable and we lost power, we had to go to a backup which was overhead lines, that is being installed by them at their cost. There will be full-width repaving of Fifth Street from curb to curb from the end of the beach all the way to Front Street where they build to it.

The project itself, the drilling, they're looking at renting the piece of land down at the end of Fifth Street for three months; they would be paying rent for that time. Most of the time will be spent drilling the tunnel going across to Shelter Island. When they start laying the cable, they said they would go to each household and let each household know when they're doing it in front of their house. The disruption, they would dig, I don't know exactly how many feet per day, 50 feet per day,
put it in, cover it back up; so no
house would be disrupted, should not be
disrupted more than a day or two as
they're moving down the road. They'd
start at the end and work their way up
'til they get to Front Street.

They're trying to minimize the
impact on residents and everybody that
lives down there, but the financial
benefit to the overall Village could be
very significant; and in this day with
the two percent tax cap, and we've all
got projects, we all have stuff we'd
like to do. It's very hard to come up
with additional funding to pay for a
lot of these projects, any improvements
you want to do.

I looked at this as something
where the project would go on during
the winter.

One other thing it does say in
there, the stuff will be starting after
October 11th, that's something that we
stated that they wanted to start in
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September. We said you can't start
until after Columbus Day because we
don't want to disrupt and lose our
beach for September, it's very nice,
even the first half of October is very
nice, that it would be done afterwards,
so the project is looking to run, if it
does go through, would be November,
December, January during the winter,
pave it in April when the weather gets
warm, and the project will be done.

Exact dollar amounts of what we
would be getting, I can't state because
we don't have a contract, they're still
negotiating with the Shelter Island
side of it, but at this point, we have
agreed to nothing, we have not signed a
contract, it's a very substantial
package I think that's a benefit to the
overall Village to do this, and it's
gonna inconvenience some people down
there, but we're trying to minimize
that as best we possibly can.

Hopefully that's a timeline of
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where we're going with it. There's a resolution on tonight about the SEQRA being done and lead agency status, we will discuss that when we get to the public portion.

I just want to clear that up to everybody who is reading this. I had e-mails at my house at 11:38 last night was the last e-mail from people questioning, what's going on, how come nobody knows about it? A lot of this stuff has been discussed by the Board previously. We kind of knew where we were heading, we had a template of a contract, but the final details, one part of it, I just got a phone call to the Village Clerk today at 11:30 where they agreed on the drainage down there. They were gonna pay for the, you know, put in the drainage. They would rather pay us to do the drainage so we get engineer, designer and hire local contractor to put it in and then will foot the bill for it, so we put a...
dollar amount in for that, so we will have control on that instead of them bringing somebody in from up the island, boom, this is your drainage to make sure that it's MS4 compliant and it serves the needs of the road runoff down there on Fifth Street, so it's another positive step, but again, that part didn't come through until 11:30 today, so it's still a work in progress; I'm still dealing with them every day, but before we vote on it, the public will be able to see the contract, the Board will see the contract, we'll discuss it before we do that.

The only thing we're talking about tonight is just lead agency status on the environmental review on it.

That's just my synopsis of where we're at, why we got to where we are and just stuff that you read in the paper, you can't always believe all that because some of that is actually
not truthful.

MR. SWISKEY: The supervisor said it was truthful. Mr. Dougherty here, whatever his name is, he was quoted.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I was also quoted earlier, Bill, and I took my quotes out of the comments, so he said they're gonna start after October 11th, that's because we insisted on that, they wanted to start in September, we said you're not starting anything until after October if it goes through, and it has not gone through, it has not been voted on.

MR. SWISKEY: He doesn't run Greenport, does he?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.

We will have a chance for public comment when we get further down.

We have a continuation of the public hearing regarding proposed local law of 2016 amending the Chapter 103, Rental Property. We had a lot of discussion last month. We kept it
opened. We ourselves have not put -- I have not put together something to propose as a law. We're keeping it open for comments again from the public and Board members and we'll have something together, I think I said last month, for the September meeting to have a draft together to present to the public.

I will open this back up if anybody else would like to address Chapter 103 of the Rental Law.

SISTER SMYTH: My name is Sister Margaret Smyth. I work here in Greenport; I live in Mattituck.

That fits in partly with what we're talking about here tonight.

I come here with letters from employees of the hospital. Each one of these letters states a reason why they would love to be able to live in the Village of Greenport instead of traveling from as far as Calverton, Riverhead, and the other places to come.
here to be able to work but then have
to leave to go back home again.

Doing rentals, I understand is not
an easy thing. It carries many, many
parameters because we are dealing with
laws, and we should be, that control
how we rent, what kind of conditions
should be given to people to rent, who
should be able to rent, are we doing
long-term rentals or short-term
rentals. Each decision that we make
has an impact upon everyone who is
currently in the Village or would like
to be part of living here in our
village and community.

I would like to see us using a
great deal of wisdom to be able to
craft a correct way to approach, a way
to have rentals available for the
people who live within our village who
would like the be a part of the Village
and the community.

I had this sudden thought today of
what we always call on the South Fork,
the trade parade because people cannot
live here, they come in and leave, come
in and leave, come in and leave, and I
picture the South Fork and the way it
looks like and what happens to them and
would always hate to see that happen to
us here in Greenport in such a lovely
place.

I walked out of church tonight and
I have to go back to church, so I'll be
praying for the wisdom that everybody
needs to craft these laws, and one of
the people in church says to me, I've
lived in Greenport since I arrived in
this country and I had to move; now I
live in Orient because I found nothing
here to live in Greenport.

So I ask that the Board -- and
using its, and I mean this sincerely,
using its wisdom, take a look at what
is the real big picture for the good of
all the people, the young, the older,
the ones with the children of the
people who grew up here, what we can do
to make ourselves the best community possible because we welcome everybody into it to be able to form that kind of community on a long-term basis.

Thank you.

I'll leave you these letters (handing).

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MS. HATZEL-GERACI: Hi. My name is Loretta Hatzel-Geraci, and I'm the director of North Fork Parish Outreach here in Greenport.

I don't live in Greenport, but I am speaking on behalf of the people that we serve. Myself, Sister Margaret, many of the not-for-profit supply services to families in transition.

In the last eight-and-a-half years, our number one on that need is affordable housing; now it's housing at any cost. It's really difficult to work on behalf of the not-for-profits to supply other services for people if
they don't have a place to live; so it really is pretty crisis mode for most of the people that we serve.

I did bring a letter also from Dini Gordon, she is the author of Village of Emigrants. I won't read the letter, but it's very well thought out, very well researched and I really urge you to read the letter and consider her opinion (handing).

MS. PORTILLO: Good evening. My name is Lydia Portillo. This is my two daughters, Emily and Megan. Thank you for having me tonight.

I just want to share a little of my experience in the past. I used to live here in Greenport for over ten years. We had to move because we didn't find anything around here. My daughters went to Greenport school. I went to Greenport school, and it was a nightmare trying to get a place to live for over nine months. No Suffolk Times, no real estate, nothing, no
village nothing, it was really hard to
find -- we found a place in Southold,
now we live in Southold. It's just a
nightmare, and I know families that are
suffering from the same situation, and
I hope and pray that it gets better. I
just wanted to share that.

We don't live here anymore which
is sad. I love Greenport and so do my
daughters, but like I said, I feel like
I wanted to share this experience. It
was really sad, and I'm praying that it
gets better.

Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Can I have
clarification on something you said?

MS. PORTILLO: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You said you
were looking only in Greenport or you
were looking on the whole east end?

MS. PORTILLO: I was trying to get
in Greenport.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But you had
difficulty on the east end finding, it wasn't just in Greenport, it was a difficulty in Southold --

MS. PORTILLO:  North Fork, yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS:  That's all I wanted to collar.

Thank you.

MS. NICHOLLS:  My name is Rose Nicholls. I've been living here in Greenport for like about ten years now.

There is no housing here, nowhere. My daughter, my granddaughter that was with me for two-and-a-half years had to move to Florida, took my granddaughter with her. My other daughter is fixing to move out of Greenport. There is no rentals. If it wasn't for Jimmy Olinkiewicz, people wouldn't have housing, okay. This man is trying and then when people see like 10, 20, 30 people living in one house, why? There is not rentals, but yet B&Bs and restaurants and hotels can be built; I don't understand that.
My son loves it here, he goes to Greenport school. There's no rentals nowhere. You know, I think that there should be more year-round rentals, get rid of the B&Bs. Yes, I know it's making people money, but what about people that need housing, people living on the streets? There is a lot of homeless here in Greenport, literally living on the street, begging for food. It's not fair. We should have year-round housing.

MR. OLINKIEWICZ:  Good evening.  My name is James Olinkiewicz. I do not live in the Village of Greenport, but as everybody knows, I have a fair amount of workforce housing that I provide.

Some of my tenants have been nice enough to show up today to speak. It's not on my behalf, it's on their behalf.

There is a lack of housing here. My phone rings day in and day out for more housing, more housing, more
housing. I have people begging me to throw tenants out of the apartments and they'll pay me more rent, tenants that have been with me five, six, seven years. I refuse to do that.

The lack of housing is incredible. Is the lack of housing on the North Fork hard? Yes. Greenport is harder. It's a tight-knit community; people work in the area.

I don't like to hear that people have to move away because there is no housing; that happens everywhere, I understand that that's a fact, but writing a correct rental law could really benefit the Village. It should be thought about long and hard.

Airbnbs are a problem because that's being taken away, all that housing is being taken away from year-round housing and it's becoming just short-term housing. It's a problem. It's a problem everywhere because without a doubt, it's a crisis.
from here to South Fork, Shelter Island, everywhere.

It's just, do you want the youth to be around and you want to not have the great trade parade come in and clog all the streets as everybody comes through. Do you want a viable community that grows with young families and kids? They can't afford to buy here, can't afford to buy on Shelter Island, can't afford to buy in Orient, anywhere; so what do they have to do? They have to rent. Now they're moving further and further west.

How do you keep all your businesses running, all your restaurants running, all your everything? I mean it's the whole backbone to a community is the workers, if you don't have any workers, you have no community.

I just urge the Board to really contemplate and think about what they're going to vote and push through.
Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MS. GORDON: Good evening. Linda Gordon, 218 Fifth Street.

I have spoken before about this as I have next door to me ten people living in a two-bedroom apartment.

There would have been an apartment for one of these families to rent if that wasn't filled with ten people; and ten people paying how much? You know, 200, 300, that's what they pay a month for a spot in an apartment; so families have no place; and Section 8 housing has no place. This is the big, big problem is that people are renting spaces. Up to ten people in a two-bedroom apartment; that has to end, and then there would be room for families.

Thank you.

MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street.
You know, sympathize with these people, but the bottom line in Greenport has become about the money. In other words, we all know it, we see people putting 3, $400,000 into a house to convert it to, you know -- they're not doing that to rent it for 1,000 or 1,500 a month; they're looking for 40, 50,000 for a four-month season, and the Village Board does nothing about it. It's just done, it's a done deal, and I don't know what else you can do about it. It's sad, but, you know, this STR thing has been around, what, for now a year? You're not gonna do anything about it. You know, couple of trustees may suggest something, but the rest of it is just like, oh, ow, ah and it gets to winter and we'll forget about it.

It's about money.

MS. McENTEE: Joann McEntee, 242 Fifth Avenue.

First of all, I'd like to try to find out why is it that Greenport, the
Village of Greenport, it's their responsibility to house everyone and make it low-income housing. Other towns need to take responsibility and pick up the pieces as well.

As far as rental law, I would suggest that there should be two rental laws or, let's call it rental law Roman numeral I, long-term rental, Roman numeral 2, short-term rental; and make it separated so we all understand what it truly means.

For long-term rentals, I disagreed with many of the strikeouts for the proposal, especially, and most of you have seen this prior, especially with Section 103-17, presumptive evidences of multi-family occupancy. It strikes out that if you have more than one mailbox, have more than one electric meter, one doorbell, more than one connecting line for Cablevision, more than one satellite dish, three or more vehicles registered to this dwelling,
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three waste receptacles, separate
entrances, partitions, or interior
doors, it goes on, two or more
kitchens, and there are bedrooms that
are separately locked; so that should
not be striked out [sic] out in my
opinion.

Also we go on to say that this is
striked out as well, which I feel
should not be: Section 103-13,
presumptive evidence of owner's
residence. Presumed that an owner of a
property does not reside within said
rental property. If two or more of the
following set forth an address then
that would be the rental property, so
it strikes out voter registration,
motor vehicle registration, strikes out
driver's license, utility bills, and
this is presumption, you know, this
doesn't make sense. That's how we find
people, that's in our law. It is in
our law, and it should stay there. It
should stay there so we can follow, we
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can actually find out and actually
force the code, issue a violation. To
me the removal is not red tape
according to others that have said it,
it is clear language.

The Village Board wants to
accommodate the landlord rather than
the landlord following our laws. The
landlord does not want to fill out our
rental permit. Too bad, don't rent it.

The Board needs to take into
consideration the long-term rentals
that are overcrowded with undocumented
workers and illegal aliens, and I
believe, and I know there are many that
are not here illegally, there are
people that are here on good terms,
they work in our community, they have
working papers, but let's take care of
what is a problem. This is the root of
our Village problem. By having
undocumented workers and illegal
aliens, they're taking away from
employment and housing from the legal
citizens of our community along with saturating our schools. Presumptive is a way of control and safety, yet if removed would continue to overflow this Village.

I believe we should return to R-1 zoning in the entire Village, then R-2 zoning, and some do not agree with this, then R-2 zoning would then have to be applied for to the Planning Board and the ZBA for approval. This would create control of our Village, stop the subdivisions on substandard and nonconforming properties.

I'd like to also just mention that there was an article this week in the Newsday and lo and behold, I'm reading rent of county, Los Angeles County, number 1; number 2, Harrison, Texas; number 3, Suffolk County. This is saturation.

Please make it your best judgment.

I do feel that separating the two, whether you have the same in some of
them in long-term rentals and
short-term rentals, it would benefit
for an understanding to all
communities.

Thank you.

MR. SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street.

I was wondering if the Board could share, I read the rental permit law,
and we know nothing over 29 days comes under the rental permit law. I wonder
if you can share with us -- because I got to be honest with you, I listen to
everything you guys say, and I'm still a little confused at what we're trying
for. If we change the rental permit law to include short-term rentals, I'm not sure how that increases housing;
you know, all it does is put a certain class of rental under a law that doesn't apply to them right now.

So perhaps you guys could share with us exactly what your motivation is; and I heard at a previous meeting
that we want to make every rental safe;
and that's a good thing; but my own
recollection is, prior to that
statement being made was, it was kind
of to not control housing, but to make
more housing perhaps available to -- I
think we all have to admit that I don't
know whether it's just a coincidence,
but I said this before if you read the
Suffolk Times four years ago, there was
30 apartments for rent, the share
economy came into being about that
time, short-term rentals came in about
that time. I read the Suffolk Times
today, Tim's here, he can probably
attest to it, there are no apartments
to rent.

Personally I believe, like my
neighbor Bill said, people are profit
motivated, it's easier to make 40 grand
over four months than it is to make
24,000 over 12 months, so I think we
have to, first of all, we have to admit
short-term rentals, regardless of what
we heard at the last couple of
meetings, that they have absolutely no
effect on -- and I'm not going to say
affordable housing because the rentals,
whether they're expensive, they're not
expensive, there's definitely a
correlation between short-term rentals
and the lack of housing.

Once we decide that, once we admit
that, perhaps we craft a law that
addresses that.

I'm honestly confused by what's
going on here. I don't know where you
guys are going. I hear a lot of stuff,
I hear a lot of talk, and I leave the
meeting, I leave the public hearing and
say, what did they say, what exactly is
happening; and I don't know.

Aside from that, the thing I would
ask to legislate with your head, not
with your hearts; don't let --
Greenport is, I don't want to say built
out, but it's close to being built out.
The last thing I think we should do is
consider affecting the quality of life of the 22 or 2,300 people that live here to increase density and build on substandard lots, build apartment houses. I think we have to look at the code, and make the hard choice, admit to ourselves that perhaps building projects or multi-family houses, perhaps would affect, I'm not saying positively will, perhaps would affect the quality of life of everybody; so I would ask you to do those two things. Let us know the direction you's are traveling as far as short-term rentals -- I didn't think enough people spoke up last time in favor of long-term rentals, and keep in mind that perhaps there just isn't enough property in Greenport to subdivide every piece of property, not every 5,000-square-foot lot deserves two houses on it.

Thanks for listening.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Fifth Avenue.

I think one of the first places you need to start with a rental law is there has got to be a level playing field. Anyone, whether you rent it for an hour or you rent it all year, needs to have a rental permit. I don't care if you are owner-occupied or not, every single building that is renting out should have to have a rental permit.

You start from there and then you can add on; this is for long-term, this is what the permit is; this is for short-term.

There are a lot of places -- I mean to me, the biggest problem for me right now is, I would love to have a different place, and I can't find another place, you know, I've lived here my entire life; it is difficult, I don't, you know, I'm not disputing that at all. There are also a lot of abandoned homes and there are empty
lots. Like John said, instead of taking some of these lots and trying to put even more on the lot, there are other places that could be utilized.

That being said, when you have someone who may know, I can jam 15, 20 people into a house for a weekend, I can make $20,000 for the weekend, no problem at all. They're not gonna do long-term rental unless they are underneath the same umbrella as every other rental that they need a certificate of occupancy. There is a four-bedroom home that someone's gonna rent short-term, they're gonna do it for a three-day weekend. On their rental, it would say what the certificate of occupancy is. If it says ten people, then you go after them with the fines because they put 15, 30 people in there.

You know, there is no control over short-term rentals. What's going on? There has been a big dialogue online
about this where some people feel, oh,
leave them alone, they're not hurting
anybody. Yeah, they are in more ways
than one.

To me, it's a safety issue, same
with long-terms with overcrowding and I
can understand why people all move into
a one- or two-bedroom because they
can't find anyplace else. That's
dangerous and it's not safe. Every
single rental needs to follow the same
guidelines up to a certain point; and
then you figure, okay long-term these
are -- because most of the guidelines
in the rental permit are sufficient.
When I rented my apartment almost three
years ago, I had to put down the make
of my car and my license plate, you
know, so if I have somebody else's car
someone would call up and say, hey,
there's been this car at this house for
the past three days; does it belong
there? There is a checks and balances
there. I don't think it's an
invasion -- someone said it's an invasion of privacy to give out your, you know, your license number. No, that's so the landlord knows who is living in your building; be it a one-family, two-family, multi-family.

But to me the biggest issue right now with this law, not with what's going on, but with the law itself, there needs to be a level playing field for everyone.

Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

Anybody else wish to address the Board on Chapter 103?

(No response.)

At this point, I believe we're going to keep this public hearing open and just adjourn the public hearing for another month and see what we come back with at next month's meeting.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Motion to adjourn.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We don't need a
motion, I don't believe. We're keeping it open, we're just stopping discussion tonight and bringing it back up next month.

We now move on to Public to Address the Board on any topic.

MR. OSINSKI: Mike Osinski, 307 Flint Street, Village of Greenport. I'm here to address item number 22, the drilling over to Shelter Island.

I want to make two points, it's gonna take me a few minutes to make both points. The two points are, one, in general, I have a problem with us being used by Shelter Island; and two, I understand, I've sat on this Board and I understand what George said earlier about, you know, you see a lucrative opportunity in the Village, you don't want to raise taxes and you want to do the best for the Village. I've been in your shoes, and I know how it is, and I can understand the urge to want to get that money and it does look
like it may, you know it may pass, and
in a relatively short amount of time.

Let me make the second point
quickly. If you do go ahead and drill,
I know that there is an environmental
impact; there's an environmental impact
to shellfish, it's on their form, it's
on their impact statement,
environmental impact statement. I grow
oysters in these waters, I need to
know -- fall is my busy time. I spend
three -- my wife, my children and I
spend, we grow a three-year-old animal
and we sell, you know, it's a prize
commodity; and fall is where we make
all our money. This is our season. I
would urge you to move this back at
least into February or March. There is
where we do all of our business, in the
fall, starting in September, you know,
oysters are in season. Many people
that live in Greenport know oyster
season is from September into April.
We slow down when it gets real cold,
but from now until Christmas, from September to Christmas, we're booming. If they shut us down, we can't make that money back; that's our time of year.

We spend three years, my back is stiff from the way I bend out there all day today.

If you do go ahead with this, please take into account the oyster farmers that need that window. Now, I don't know, I'm not crying wolf, I don't know what the impact is, it may be localized to where the drilling is going on. I don't know if it spreads down to where I am, I don't know that; I'm not trying to be an alarmist, but I urge you to investigate that.

I also noticed on their application, their environmental application that they said there's no agricultural district where they're growing; there's two of us in an ag district on this side of Fanny Point,
if you go on the other side of Fanny Point from where the drilling is, there's two other guys in an ag district, so there is an ag district and they're wrong in what they filled out on the form.

I urge you, if this goes ahead, if it goes ahead quickly, to take into account the people that have spent years trying to bring a product to market and if they shut us down, and I don't know if they will shut us down, but I urge you to contact, and I'm going to contact, you know, the guys I deal with at the DEC, will this drilling shut us down because we can't make that money back. It's hard to grow them out for three years, and you have some mortality out of the three years, big amount of mortality and we'll lose those animals.

But let's go back to the general concept and why -- today we work, you know, we work all morning, we had to go
run some errands in Riverhead, and
we're coming back into the Village and
my wife says turn around, turn the car
around, let's go see where they were
drilling before. This is not the first
time that PSE&G attempted to lay this
cable; so we went down there.

I don't know if anybody here has
gone down to talk to those people. It
was a nightmare. It wasn't two or
three months. They told you, they told
them two or three months, it was six
months and a failure.

The Town of Southold will not let
them do what they want to do here.
There is a power line that runs from
Southampton, they won't let them do
what they want you to do in the Village
of Greenport.

In the Town of Shelter Island, all
they have to do is put up a substation,
they won't need this line. They don't
need any of this drilling, they refuse
to build a substation in their own town.
and they want to bring it here. Why do they want to bring it here? Because they want to dangle some money in front of you, take that bait, get you on the hook. They won't build a substation.

They have the ability -- PSE&G did a study on Shelter Island, they can build a substation on their dump, and they refuse to build a substation on their dump, and they want to build it right through, they want to tear up, you know, Fifth Street, but they won't build a substation on their own dump to provide themselves with power.

Look what was here before me. What was here before me? The people want to send more power to the South Fork. I wish somebody on the Board, when he asked for questions, asked why don't you build a power generator on the South Fork? They won't build their own power generator. They come here, wave some money at you, take the bait. Why don't they build their own power
generator on the South Fork?

You got to ask yourself these questions. Why are they coming to you? Because they think you'll take the bait. That's the only reason. Southold won't let them do this. It's much closer to drill over there in Compton Point over to Shelter Island; it's a much longer drill here from Fifth Street, much longer, so it took them -- they said two months, they drilled for six months, boom, boom, boom, I can hear it every morning at 7:00 in my house, and it failed, it failed.

Why do you think it's gonna succeed now? They failed once. Have they told you, oh, it's gonna work? According to the people that live there, they'll tell you everything when they come in and then you'll never see them again.

They ripped the place up to bits over there in Conkling Point and the
Town of Southold, they really have to, they had to lean on them, they put a couple patches on the road when they walked away after they failed. The Town of Southold made them come in to repave things, but they will tell you everything now. They'll tell you all kinds of things, make sure, you know, that you go down and talk to the people. Talk to the people that went through this. Talk to the people in Southold, the Town officials, ask them why they won't let them drill at a shorter distance and they're coming in here. It's a shorter drilling distance.

This is going on over and over again, and there is an irony in all this. Here we have this beautiful village, we got so many people coming in here that you guys are stuck in a very difficult situation, I don't envy your situation about these rental laws, this a very difficult situation for you.
to make the judgment on this, but why
do you have these -- you have so many
people that want to live here, this is
a great place; we don't need to be the
patsy for Shelter Island, for the South
Fork, build, you know, have their power
generators here, have their power lines
run through our village.  We are in and
of ourselves a great place.

    I don't think, you know, you can,
you know, don't miss the truth, don't
forget that.  We, ourselves, we don't
need to be the patsy for the South Fork
and for Shelter Island, I don't think
we needs that at all to build a great
village here.  I think we have a great
thing going, I don't think anybody will
deny that.

    Anyway, if you do take it, and I
understand there is a lot of incentive,
please think about the guys that have
spent three years trying to grow an
animal and go to harvest in the next
two to three months, please them to
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delay this to winter. And why can't they drill from Shelter Island, why does the drilling equipment have to be here? Because they want the power, put the drilling equipment over there. What the hell are you doing having drilling equipment over here? It just doesn't make any sense. Let them drill from there to here. I understand you'd have to rip up the streets, okay, but why aren't they drilling from there? It doesn't make any sense to me.

Okay. Thanks for your time, and I hope I didn't, you know, I understand you're in a difficult position and I'm glad I'm sitting here and not there.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MR. OSINSKI: Oh, there was one other thing. Sorry.

Currently we supply all the ferry service to the same island that wants the power. Now they want power lines, they want lines, what about the ferry, they pay themselves hundreds of
thousands of dollars a year with that ferry role, they give us nothing. They give us nothing for that ferry. Come on, you got to open your eyes, that is Palm Beach over there, folks. It is not the little island that it was 20 years ago. I was shocked, I went bicycling with the wife and kids last year, it looks, the closest thing that that island looks like is Palm Beach, Florida, it's mansion, tennis court, yacht, pool, mansion, tennis court, yacht, pool, mansion, tennis court, yacht, pool everywhere over there. That's what it is and they're looking at us as like the poor stepchild and wave some money at them and they'll do whatever we want.

I'm done.

MR. SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street.

I have to question why we wouldn't seek lead agency status for PSE&G. It was my understanding that SEQRA was
usually instituted by a municipality, they're an IDA, but why would we want to give up control for the environmental impact statement for the right -- they would ask for coordinated reviews from Greenport, they would ask for coordinated review from Shelter Island, and they would get, they would get the report if I understand the law correctly.

They're the ones, after reading the report after your input, make the determination. If it's a positive, a negative impact on the environment, I'm not sure why we would want to give them that right. I understand, I read someplace that since they're involved in all phases of the operation, they seemed the most likely. I dispute that. There is a thing in SEQRA called segmentation. There could be different lead agencies. The project would be segmented here, there and then the drilling. Me personally, I think it
would be a mistake to let them decide
the environmental impact, whether it's
there, whether it's the harbor, or
whether it's in Greenport. You know,
it's kind of like the mine operator
writing the environmental impact
statement for his new strip mine. I
just don't see the logic in giving them
that right.

Is there something you can share
with me that made that seem like a good
idea?

MAYOR HUBBARD: The discussion
that I had with them and their attorney
and everything else is, you got three
different jurisdictions that the
project encompasses, Shelter Island
Heights, Shelter Island Town and the
Village. Myself, I did not feel we had
the personnel that would be able to
handle the scope of what the overall
project is with all that.

PSE&G is not doing it themselves,
they have a firm that will be doing it
for them and giving the report to all
of us, so they're not actually doing it
themselves, they are paying for the
consultant, they are paying for the
firm to do it, to put everything
together and that's part of the
package.

MR. SALADINO: Well, my
understanding again of the law is that
lead agency status can be shared for
different portions of the project, so
Greenport could, in fact, be the lead
agency, Shelter Island for the project
on that side could, in fact, be the
lead agency.

As far as we're not prepared to do
it, I would tend to agree with you
there, but it's usual and customary to
hire an environmental consultant at the
expense of the applicant. I just
don't -- the applicant would pay for
the environmental consultant, he would
make his report to us, and this way,
you don't have a consultant that's
being paid by the applicant. I mean it just doesn't -- it doesn't -- especially PSE&G, you know, so I would ask you to reconsider.

As far as the project, I don't know enough about it to make a comment on the project, but as far as the environmental impact statement, I think it would be shortsighted to let them be the lead agency.

Thank you.

Is there going to be an executive session?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No executive. There is one walk-on agenda item. The Greenport Ocean Race that we are co-sponsoring, so there will be an additional agenda item to vote on that.

MR. SALADINO: Can we make a comment about that because I have a comment about that?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. If you'd like to, sure.

MR. SALADINO: It's always been my
opinion that the Village never needed a mass public assembly permit, but if you did partner with somebody, you would, in fact, get one; we see that with the Maritime Festival.

I don't know the logistics of the race anymore, but originally last week when I heard the proposal, it was gonna be at the Seaport Museum outside, perhaps it would be in Tuthill Park. I would have no problem with it being inside their building, they wouldn't need a mass public assembly permit. I think anyplace on public property, I understand the MTA property is not public, but we are the lessor, the lessee, so it kind of makes it public. I think for them to do any of that, do we need, you would need a mass public assembly permit.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: They won't be using any Village property for a mass assembly.

MAYOR HUBBARD: They're having
everything, the reception and
everything else is being moved down to,
I believe Hanff's Boatyard.

MS. WALDEN: Diane Walden, 331
Wiggins Street. I'm here about the
ferry line.

I see you're going to make it -- I
just would like to make it just a
little bit shorter, maybe even do it to
September 31st because there's really
basically no problem. The ferries can
handle the cars. In the spring it's
the trucks, there might be three trucks
that take the whole Wiggins Street, so
I just wanted the ask that.

I also wanted to know if you could
get a sign somewhere on Sixth Street
and say only access to the Shelter
Island Ferry or something, that might
courage people to go down there
instead of Third Street.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I can say
on the sign that the Village
Administrator did go do it with the DOT
to make up new routes and new signs and
everything else, and we're asking for
permission to install the new signs
now, to get everybody in the proper
direction even going up onto 48 and
coming down.

MS. WALDEN: Fourth Street, I
think it's supposed to be 114, but on
GPS Third Street is 114 now.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, it is.

MS. WALDEN: Thank you.

MR. EDWARDS: Ralph Edwards, 163
Fifth Street.

As someone who is very new to
town, but somebody who loves this town
very much, I got to tell you, you all
look like a bunch of patsies because
the interests of Shelter Island are
being taken into consideration, the
interest of Shelter Island Heights is
being taken into consideration, but
nobody has reached out to me, and I'm
gonna be really impacted when the
project begins.
I live eight houses in from the park on Fifth Street, and yes, I am willing to listen to why this is a great idea for our town. I certainly hear the economics, and it sounds like it's worth considering, but I think if you're the Town Board and you're supposed to be representing our interests, it's important to reach out to the people who are going to be most affected by this plan, and I'm one of them; and I don't feel -- when I read things in the paper, I get upset. I get upset that things are going to move and nobody is gonna reach out to us. We're full-time residents. We're here all the time, you can reach out to me at 477-6053 at any point in time. You can call me and say, hey, Ralph, hey Sarah, this is what's going on, and yes, it's gonna impact you and yes, you're going to bear the brunt of it, but this is why it's a good deal. It's a good deal for the Town of Greenport,
it makes sense for us as well as for
the people on the other side of the
water; and here is why, and yeah,
you're going to be taking it on the
chin for a couple of months, but we're
gonna make sure it's a couple of
months, not the duration that we hear
stories about. It will be done without
the Board being bulldozed because
that's what the newspaper makes it seem
like.

I mean, the problem is, not that
the newspaper doesn't get bad
information or give out bad
information, but we all listen to that
and we say, wait a minute, nobody is,
obody is representing us, and that's
why I say, you end up looking like a
bunch of patsies who are representing
the interest of PSE&G or other
communities instead of representing the
people who are going to be most
impacted.

I'm not here to say I don't want
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it, I am here to say I'm a good member of this community, and I want what's right for the community, but I also want this done with eyes wide open, and I don't want to hear, contract's been signed, it starts tomorrow and oh, by the way, here's what it is going to be.

Thank you.

MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street.

You know, the main thing I want to talk about is the PSE&G contract. There were several items I found out about during the week that I think really should be, the Board should maybe answer some questions.

You know, Trustee Roberts put on his Facebook pages today there's material available in the Village Hall from PSE&G. There was a letter from the LIPA to the Village Attorney. He didn't even CC the Village Board on it. It was not put out, it was received before the work session and could have
been discussed, and the clerk tells me
she has no information.

So why is that? I mean, I should
have been able to go to the Village
Hall and get this information.

CLERK PIRILLO: Excuse me.

That's not what I said.

MR. SWISKEY: That's what you
said. There was three witnesses there.

CLERK PIRILLO: When Mr. Swiskey
came into the office this afternoon --

MR. SWISKEY: I'm speaking,
Sylvia.

CLERK PIRILLO: -- I had not yet
seen Mr. Roberts --

MR. SWISKEY: I'm speaking.

CLERK PIRILLO: -- I had --

MR. SWISKEY: You hadn't seen
it -- she hadn't seen this letter?

CLERK PIRILLO: I had not seen the
post to which you referred of Mr.
Roberts.

MR. SWISKEY: I asked for the
information. I asked for this letter,
and I asked for this other information.

What I got really ticks me off. I had to print this out from a Facebook page. This should have been mailed for the work session, it should have been available on this agenda.

What's going on here? You know what half of it is? Is the public aware that there been eight meetings between the mayor and two people who don't even live in the Village between PSE&G, Shelter Island and Greenport.

What's going on here?

MAYOR HUBBARD: That not truthful, Bill.

MR. SWISKEY: What does this say, George, how many meetings does it --

MAYOR HUBBARD: I had four meetings, I did not have eight meetings. Don't say that. Read the words.

MR. SWISKEY: I'm gonna read you --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Read what it says,
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Bill, exactly, then you will have exact numbers and times --

MR. SWISKEY: October 30, 2015; December 15, 2015; March 7, 2016; August 8, 2016; July 20, 2015; July -- what's going on here? I mean, the people of Fifth Street were told, oh, we're gonna keep you informed and suddenly, we see on the agenda for this month, it's like, oh, we're gonna make them lead agency. No. What you do, you can do the same thing. You become lead agency and you tell PSE&G, you're gonna pay for it or we're not even considering it. That's what you do when you go to the Planning Board, when you go to the ZBA.

What are we patsies for Shelter Island, as he says? In other words, these are people, they won't even give us a fee for using our land, for messing up our traffic and you and the Village Attorney say, oh, we can't do that. If we can't do that, then they
can't have their cable. In other words, I'm tired of being a patsy for the people on Shelter -- they can split that 69,000-volt cable over there by the dump and put in a substation. Why are we stuck?

You know, I was for this for a while, but now it's reached -- I don't give a damn if it's a million or $2 million. I'm not gonna bend down for Shelter Island, and I don't think anybody should in Greenport. If Shelter Island wants this, you tell them we want a dollar fee for every car that gets on that ferry from Greenport or you don't get it, we'll see what happens then.

I mean this is -- I mean, who are you mayor for, Shelter Island or Greenport?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Are you really gonna ask me that, Bill?

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, I'm asking you that, yeah.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm the mayor of Greenport, always have been and --

MR. SWISKEY: Well then, represent the people of Greenport.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I am, Bill. I'm trying to do something in the best interest of what I feel is for the Village and the Board --

MR. SWISKEY: You feel --

MAYOR HUBBARD: I discussed with the other Board members --

MR. SWISKEY: (Inaudible.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Excuse me. I'm speaking now. You want to play the game that way, we'll play the game that way.

MR. SWISKEY: I'll play the game, George.

MAYOR HUBBARD: You keep throwing out, all I care about is Shelter Island. That's a total bunch of crap. I have lived all my life in this village, and all I care about is this village.
MR. SWISKEY: Yeah.

MAYOR HUBBARD: What I'm trying to do is trying to put some revenue in to try to help the Village out. That's all I'm trying to do.

Read the meetings. There's four meetings on there that I attended. The rest were with Shelter Island and somebody else; so don't make up things that aren't truthful that are not written down there.

(Whereupon, Mayor Hubbard and Mr. Swiskey spoke simultaneously.)

MR. SWISKEY: -- Shelter Island right now, George.

MAYOR HUBBARD: What's that?

MR. SWISKEY: Did you tell that trustee, that trustee, that trustee, and that trustee anything because they didn't seem to have any information at all, and I agree with what Trustee Phillips is gonna suggest, I think we ought to just table this motion at least for a month, so the rest of us
can read this information. I got these things today. I can't -- you know, I been asking for months for plans, why don't I see the plans? Well, this is the plan they got here, it's four pages. It's crap. It talks about manholes on the street, digging up the street, it doesn't say anything about warning people; so I think maybe we should just postpone this for a month and I would urge each Board member because there is an election coming up and two of you are up for election and if you screw the people, there will be two new trustees; and I'm sure Trustee Roberts and Trustee Martilotta, when they were running, this is not what they agreed to for the people of the Village.

I don't know what's going on here, but it stinks.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: First of all, Bill, I think we need to have clarification, and I think the rest of
the Board members will agree with us.

This paperwork, we did not receive until an hour before work session; so we also need time to digest it all.
You're not the only one that's been trying to understand what's going on.

The mayor has mentioned to us issues of negotiation of the contract, but I will tell you that, I know I have been asking for this paperwork for more than eight months, nine months. I know that Trustee Robbins has been asking for it, Trustee Roberts, and Trustee Martilotta; and the one that's really at fault at giving us this last-minute information is PSE&G and LIPA.

MR. SWISKEY: Maybe --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's a clarification because I want you to understand, we did promise, and I did promise to tell people and keep them updated as to what's going on. Okay.

The Shelter Island Reporter report is misleading because if you take a
look and you listen to the video from
the Shelter Island Town Board meeting
on August 23rd, you will hear exactly
what Supervisor Dougherty said. Okay.

Unfortunately Shelter Island
Reporter misled the rest of us down the
pike, including with the title. I'm
very upset at it, believe me, there was
a lot of commotion over it in the last
day or two and I have to say that Mayor
Hubbard was very gracious in trying to
explain to us that he was misquoted.

So let's put that on the back and
let everybody understand. I am just as
frustrated as the gentleman on the
street, just as you are, and everyone
else that this is coming at the last
minute.

This environmental form, when I
took a look at it and it said it had no
ag district and it had no agriculture,
the first thing that came out of my
mind was, wait a minute here. Okay.

So let us get the chance to do our
job. Yes, I am going to make a recommendation that we table it. Table it and have a special meeting at some point next week to discuss this stuff after we have had a chance to digest it, but that's gonna be my recommendation, whether it flies or not, that'll be up to the Board, but at this point, please, please, number one, we have been looking for the information. The mayor has been keeping us updated as best he can, okay. I can't attest to staff members going to meetings; that's a different story, that's an in-house issue that has nothing to do with this issue right now.

The environmental impact statement that's here, I have questions as to who is the permitting agency. PSE&G is a contract agent for LIPA.

MR. SWISKEY: Right.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So we have a couple of questions, but we all are on
board and the mayor is trying to do something for the Village. We have the financial aspect of it, we have the quality of life for people having to deal with it, but do not, and I do mean, do not tell me that I don't care about the people that live down on Fourth, Fifth and Sixth Street.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, then maybe we should show it because what went on in the last week in this ducking and dodging. In other words, give me a break. I mean how many people on Fifth -- have you sent letters out to people on Fifth Street? There gotta be 80 houses on Fifth Street, how many actually know that this is happening?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Bill, in fairness, we don't yet have an agreement.

MR. SWISKEY: You can send out general information telling them, hey, we're considering this deal. I spoke to people that had no idea what was
going on.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I have been doing that to everyone whose e-mail I have. I'm sorry.

We don't have an agreement yet, so there is nothing to share. We have confidential discussions with counsel about potential terms, but we don't have anything on paper, so I think, what I've been telling everybody is, let us get to a place where we have an agreement and part of why we don't have an agreement is, frankly, I think PSE&G has been running the mayor through the wringer. He's in a really tough spot. Do I agree with everything that's going on? No, but we've discussed it, we have discussed our agreements and disagreements, we just don't have a draft. When we have a draft, you will get a copy and then we can all talk about whether we want to do this or not.

MR. SWISKEY: Did you hear what
you just said? PSE&G has been running the mayor through the wringer. Who does PSE&G think they are --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Exactly.

MR. SWISKEY: -- Village, I would tell them take a hike.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's why --

MR. SWISKEY: -- from Conkling's Point.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Exactly, but that's why --

(Whereupon, Trustee Roberts and Mr. Swiskey spoke simultaneously.)

MR. SWISKEY: -- offering you is not worth giving up your pride and your integrity.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We can't make them respond to the e-mail.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, then tell them the deal's off. Be a tough negotiator, we're not negotiating tough with these people, and they do have a plan, they sent it to Mr. Prokop on the 17th.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: To be clear
though, four of us are not negotiating
with them at all. I've never spoken
with PSE&G; I don't know anything about
them, so we're just beginning the
process.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, I'm saying any
SEQRA process for this project is gonna
take months; you're not gonna start in
January. This process could run until
spring if it's done right. Unless
you're just gonna fluff it off and plan
that this -- what do they call it here?
I've never quite seen it, it's what you
put up today. Smart growth impact
statement is what you're gonna consider
their environmental review. I mean,
this environmental review, when they're
done, should be this thick, we know
that from past experience.

Shelter Island, they tick me --
you know they block -- you know,
there's cones down there with no
attendant, so I go down that street in
the morning. The cars come down Third
Street, go around cones, and get into
the ferry line. Why don't we just get
those cones out of the street? They
don't belong on a Village street
unattended. There's no work in
progress, there's nobody monitoring it.
Will those cones be gone tomorrow,
please?

    George?

    MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I will talk
to them tomorrow.

    You're hollering at everybody
else, I wasn't sure who you're
hollering at at this point.

    MR. SWISKEY: Mayor of the Village
of Greenport who is allowing Shelter
Island to just do what they want down
at the ferry terminal.

    MAYOR HUBBARD: You have been
saying the same thing for a
year-and-a-half.

    MR. SWISKEY: That's right, and it
hasn't changed, has it?

    MAYOR HUBBARD: No, because it's
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not getting through to you, you're not understanding everything that's going on. I can't help that.

MR. SWISKEY: I can't help you, George, if they're walking over you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: You're entitled to your opinion, Mr. Swiskey.

MR. SWISKEY: That's right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's right.

It's not gonna change ever, I know.

MR. SWISKEY: No, it's not gonna change, it's not gonna --

MAYOR HUBBARD: You're right.

MR. SWISKEY: Let's get back to some other items since --

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: I'd like to comment.

MR. SWISKEY: Go ahead.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: I have read every -- I have read the documents that we received prior to the work session, we've been working our way through them, and I'll make my notes on them.

I have been briefed by George, and

Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
(631) 727-1107
backtracking to where this began, there was a lag time of almost a month, I think before PSE&G came back to us with any kind of a proposal. Everything else was just pretty much preliminary requests. From everything I've heard, George and the people he has been negotiating with have driven a very hard bargain and demanded as much as they possibly can for the Village, and it sounds to me like this could be a tremendously lucrative windfall for the Village. I think that it has been handled correctly, and I don't think anybody's trying to hide anything from the people on Fifth Street.

MR. SWISKEY: You might want to speak to the people up there at Conkling Point about how well that was handled, Julia.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: I was --

MR. SWISKEY: This is the same two agencies that --

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: -- on over

Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service (631) 727-1107
Whereupon, Trustee Robbins and Mr. Swiskey spoke simultaneously.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: -- spoke with people up there, as a matter of fact, and --

MR. SWISKEY: Oh, they said it was --

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: -- I'm not a hundred percent convinced that this project can be achieved in the timeframe that they're saying; although, there are things being built into this to protect us if that occurs in the form of penalties and things like that, but until we have something that, you know, complete that we can present to the public, you know, you're making decisions on things based on newspaper articles that were obviously incorrect. I mean, you know, I saw that article, the headline was a statement that the project is moving forward and in the first sentence of
the article it said, well, it's still pending; so I mean, to me that's not reliable journalism. I'm aware of what's --

MR. SWISKEY: It's just not worth it if you --

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: And --

MR. SWISKEY: Even if it's a million dollars, it's just not worth it to lie down for Shelter Island. It's time somebody stood up for the Village and said stop this.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: The other thing is --

MR. SWISKEY: We don't owe them anything.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: I --

MR. SWISKEY: They don't want a substation, a substation in the dump. You know what it is, it's a transformer, a few regulators and some switches; it could be half as big as this firehouse, and they don't want it.

MS. ROBBINS: I'm looking at this
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as a bigger picture, and --

MR. SWISKEY: The bigger picture is they rub, they shove, they wave a little bit of money and probably won't --

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: The bigger --

(Whereupon, Trustee Robbins and Mr. Swiskey spoke simultaneously.)

MR. SWISKEY: -- and that's the bigger picture.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: The bigger picture is the distribution of electricity throughout Long Island.

MR. SWISKEY: No. It's --

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: (Inaudible.)

(Whereupon, Trustee Robbins and Mr. Swiskey spoke simultaneously.)

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: -- Shelter Island is a part of Long Island, we have a lot of people that live in Greenport and the area around here who work on Shelter Island --

MR. SWISKEY: Am I hearing this?

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: -- provide jobs
to our people, so, you know, to have
this animosity against Shelter Island.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: You're the
Village of Greenport, you're not Long
Island, you're not Suffolk County.

MR. SWISKEY: I can't believe I
just heard --

(Whereupon, several people spoke
simultaneously.)

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: They are our
neighbors.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Worry about us.
Worry about your oyster farmer.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm not
saying --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Let's get back
to --

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: -- saying, I
think there is a big gain for this
Village by this project and hopefully,
if it complies with our regulations and
we think it's a safe thing to do, and
you know, let us and PSE&G, you know,
take care of our residents and make
sure if we do go through with it, that,
you know, we make it go as smoothly as
possible and address the needs of our
constituents.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: What we're
saying though is we can't ask Shelter
Island to protect us. I agree --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Can you get back
up to the podium. The transcriptionist
can't keep track of everybody going
back and forth, so finish what you had,
Julia, and you can come back up and
speak. She's trying to figure out who
is saying what and trying to keep a
record for us. That's all. Do it
orderly and we'll be fine.

Go ahead, Mr. Swiskey.

MR. SWISKEY: This gets on my
question that I was gonna ask.

How come we never hired special
counsel to research if we can charge
Shelter Island the ferry fee or not?
This Board turned it down, I mean,
what's ten grand, I mean to find out if
we legally can do it or not?

I would like one of your trustees to bring it up tonight just as an added motion and vote up or down.

What's a few thousand bucks to find out if we can bring $100,000 from the Shelter Island Ferry, cars getting on and off, which some people tell me we can, we can fix every road in Greenport in ten years, so I would appreciate it if some trustee, perhaps Trustee Robbins or Trustee -- just bring it up, just put it on.

Anybody willing to do it.

Mary Beth?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I already checked with the Budget Office for Suffolk County, and they are telling us they have to build it into their meeting because we cannot --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Right, the County controls it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The County controls the --
AUDIENCE MEMBER: Ask them.

MR. SWISKEY: The County controls the rate.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: All you have to do is ask the --

MR. SWISKEY: If the fuel tank goes up tomorrow --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: You want --

MR. SWISKEY: No. No. I'm answering.

If the fuel tax goes up tomorrow on the ferry, the County's got nothing to do with that. You know, so we put a tax on it, the County's got nothing to do with it, it's an expense that the County would take into consideration when they give the next rate increase.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They County has control over --

MR. SWISKEY: They have control over the rates, not the Village of Greenport, that's why I say hire special counsel and see.

Are you willing to farm it out for...
special counsel, anybody on this Board or no?

I guess that's another one for Shelter Island. I guess it's (inaudible) I mean, that's getting to be silly.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That enough, Bill.

MR. SWISKEY: All right. Another thing was the -- last week Arthur Tasker stood up and asked, have we put out an RFP for the sewer at Sandy Beach yet?

MR. PALLAS: No.

MR. SWISKEY: Why not? I mean wasn't -- this has been going on, what, for a month or two now and we can't even put out a simple RFP to see if we can get an engineer to do some engineering. In the beginning, we were willing to vote on a $350,000 proposal.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, we weren't, Bill.

He brought up the numbers, the
whole Board was shocked by the numbers that were brought up and it went no further --

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, it went no further --

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- we never --

(Whereupon, Mayor Hubbard and Mr. Swiskey spoke simultaneously.)

MR. SWISKEY: -- because the public screamed.

How about being a little bit truthful, George.

In other words, what was the main reason for hiring a parking enforcement officer because I was at every meeting over the years, what was the main reason for hiring a parking enforcement officer? Wasn't it because of the timed parking down the street?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, it was not.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. That was only part of the overall program.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, I got the impression that it was gonna be a big
part of the program.

He issued two, I think four
overtime parking tickets in two months.

I mean, what's going on here? We
got a guy running around the side
streets writing tickets for -- 83
tickets for expired registrations. We
don't need the TCO to do that. We need
to move, turn the parking over downtown
and if it's not gonna do it, we might
as well get rid of the job because it's
not doing anything.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Actually, Bill, I
think the streets are safer downtown,
you can move through traffic easier.
The corners are not jammed up with
cars. I think we've accomplished what
we tried to do, and we said right from
the beginning, I said it at three
different meetings that we are not
going after the timed parking at this
point; we're going after the fire
hydrants, the handicap zones and the
parking on the corners blocking traffic
from being able to go through; and I
said it at three different meetings and
that's what we stuck to.

MR. SWISKEY: I drove through town
today and it's not any better, George,
I drive through every weekend --

MAYOR HUBBARD: He doesn't work on
Thursdays, so people know that.

MR. SWISKEY: He works on the
weekends and on weekends they're all
over the place. I mean, come on, be
realistic. We live in this town.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So do we, Bill, we
all do.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, and the grant
money from Wiggins Street, we're gonna
spend -- are we gonna spend that
$300,000 for an engineer? I mean with
no guarantee we'll get it back?

MAYOR HUBBARD: It's money that's
already there. Money that's already
been awarded, we can get reimbursed
whatever money is spent on it.

MR. SWISKEY: But it was for a
ferry terminal that was discussed, and
now you want to move it -- has the
State agreed that we can move this
money or not?

MAYOR HUBBARD: The State begged
me to move it and do something with it
because they want to get it off their
books. Two years in a row, they have
said, will you please do something with
this money and we've had a use for it.

MR. SWISKEY: Um-hum. Can I see
that letter from the State that says
you can spend this ferry money for
that?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't have a
letter, it was a meeting I had at
Village Hall with the State and federal
government had four representatives
there from the MTA, and we sat down and
we talked about it.

MR. SWISKEY: We talked about it.
We have no guarantee that we're gonna
get the money. That's the only --
because I've seen these kind of grants
before over my time, we're gonna get this thing, and we don't get squat.

This grant was for a ferry terminal, not for parking or ferry thing on Wiggins Street. Now, until I see something from the State, I wouldn't spend one cent that they're gonna reimburse this money.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. That's your opinion again, Bill.

MR. SWISKEY: That's fact. You sit down with these guys and they tell you this, without anything in writing, you got nothing.

You know, number 4 engine, Paul, how many heads did we pull off?

MR. PALLAS: As of today, I don't know, three or four.

MR. SWISKEY: What is the extent of the damage to that machine and what happened to it?

MR. PALLAS: One cracked head as a result of water intrusion. As they were checking other things, there are
heads that have other issues that are long-term issues that didn't result from any one incident.

MR. SWISKEY: Long-term issues. What kind of long-term issues? We just spend a bunch of money under the Nyce administration to make those things, A, number one because I asked about it --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We didn't do number 4, they only did 5 and 6.

MR. SWISKEY: We didn't do number 4, we only did -- boy, stories change. The stories really do change on this Board.

Good night.

MS. McENTEEN: Joann McEntee, 242 Fifth Avenue.

This is on the lighter side of things tonight. I actually just would like to find out what is the process to find out the condition of the Fifth Avenue, the old clay sewer system, the sewer and drainage system that when it was originally installed; is there any
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way?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Is there a record anywhere in Village Hall that would --

MS. McENTEE: There's got to be something.

MR. PALLAS: There should be a record. I'll have to do some research. I don't know off the top of my head.

MS. McENTEE: Do you know what department I would start with?

MR. PALLAS: I just have --

MS. McENTEE: With you?

MR. PALLAS: Yeah. I'll take a look at the records.

MS. McENTEE: It's Fifth Avenue, I'm very concerned and basically we have a constant backup problem. They're old clay pipes, they break, the roots are growing into the system, they jam up, and it cannot carry the volume.

We're considering Sandy Beach which is, you know, another project, but, you know, looking into the old streets here first, I think would be a
little bit more advantageous and a
little bit more important, rather than
reaching out of the Village and
spending a little money here first.
You know, as -- and the reason why I'm
really looking at this is because we
have proposed two to three additional
families in two new homes that are
proposed to be built on Fifth Avenue
and this is gonna create more damage
within those pipes, so I really feel
this is very essential to take a look
at.

Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD:  Anybody else wants
to address the Board on any topic?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS:  While she is
walking, the records should reflect
that Sandy Beach is in the Village, so
in the Village.

MS. GORDON:  Linda Gordon, Fifth
Street.

I just wanted to talk about, two
years ago, I had a permitted conversion
in my garage to the pool house, and they had to run the sewer line, the waste line; and when they were doing that, they asked me if I wanted the main pipe replaced, and he said it was over $2,000. I said, no, I'll wait. My concern is if they do this work on Fifth Street, how that might impact -- because the gentleman told me that he was doing them through the Village that they're old, and I'm concerned that with this drilling and digging up that it's going to damage it or break it, and what does, you know, that leave me and probably other people on the street? I would like that considered in the, in your negotiating something like that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's how we began, any damage done to our infrastructure underground will be repaired at their expense.

MS. GORDON: Thank you.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Does that
include personal -- because the 
homeowner owns the connector pipe.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. If they're 
digging on the street whatever, we have 
water lines, we have sewer lines, what 
we have down there, if they hit 
something, they damage something, it's 
up to them to repair it completely.

MS. DUFFY-EDWARDS: My name is 
Sarah Duffy-Edwards. I live at 163 
Fifth Street.

I have an observation. We are in 
control of this situation right now 
regarding Shelter Island and PSE&G. 
Let's not cede control. I think we 
need to be, and I'm not opposed to this 
project, I'm really not; but I just 
don't feel that we're being thoughtful 
yet. I don't think that we we're being 
strategic, and I don't think that we're 
taking advantage of the situation that 
we're in.

There is no rush for this. Let's 
really think it through. Let's
understand all the angles. Let's present all the information before we make a decision.

Right now I know it feels like the bum's rush, so I'm just saying let's take a breath, let's think about it, let's really get to the bottom of it before we make an informed decision.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MS. OSINSKI: Isabel Osinski, 307 Flint Street.

I was wondering, the application form for the proposed electrical line has a reference to ag district, and I'm wondering what the implication is to the ag district and if it impacts if there is an ag district adjacent in the project area, what the implication of that is, and why are they asking about the ag district.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's one of the criteria, significance, under the New York State Department of Environmental Conservation. They have criteria for
significance, and what they do is they have a form called an EAF, Environmental Assessment Form; and they have questions for the lead agency to answer and one of those is with regard to ag districts because they, depending on what the answer is, it might increase the level of concern for the potential impact.

MS. OSINSKI: So there is some concern -- will it impede the project if there's ag districts involved?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: What it is, is a flag of an area that might be reviewed. It doesn't mean automatically that there will be an impact, but a yes answer would be that it's an area that should be reviewed.

MS. OSINSKI: Can you keep the people in the ag district updated on this because it's our livelihood and not only, according to what I read, it kills shellfish and, you know, fish. I think it makes dead zones, it could...
possibly, I'm not saying I know, but if it does make dead zones, we need to know about this because we're oyster farmers and we're concerned about that.

Also, I would again ask you to try to delay the project because our season is coming up, and we work hard to get our stuff to harvest in the next four or five months. Our season ends in January, maybe February.

MAYOR HUBBARD: You both had very valid concerns. I didn't know that was your prime time. We were trying to figure it in when people were not using the beach and everything else, and we'd have it done before spring, so we would definitely discuss that.

MR. OSINSKI: I appreciate your concern.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We figured November, December, January would be the time to do it because people weren't using the stuff much at that point.
MR. OSINSKI: This is the best time to eat an oyster.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We learned something tonight, and we'll discuss it and see where it goes.

MS. OSINSKI: Thank you.

MR. WEISKOTT: Jack Weiskott. Fifth Avenue.

I'd just like to amplify a little bit of something that Joann was talking about. She made a passing reference to the fact that there are two proposed subdivisions for Fifth Avenue.

I think it ties in a little bit with the rental laws because these subdivisions are going to be for rental houses and they're going to be for overcrowded rental houses that will definitely increase the use of the sewers that are aged, at least; we don't know how old they are, but they're old and frail, let's say.

I just think the Board should be aware of the fact that they're going to
be, that there are proposed subdivisions creating substandard lots, increasing the density of the Village even more than it is.

As far as I'm concerned, we're full. I think the Village is, all the cubby holes are filled and there is really not a lot of space left, and we live in the Town of Southold and the Village of Greenport and there is a lot of other land available, and Southold Town needs to step up a little bit if going to be building housing because the Village of Greenport has done more than their fair share of this.

In addition, even though it's a side note, the rental agreement and side issue of that would be the Airbnbs which we also have on Fifth Avenue. The Airbnbs are not playing on a level playing field with the regular -- if I had a B&B, I'd be really upset about the Airbnbs because to have a B&B, you have to live in the building,
you have a limited number of rooms you can rent out. The Airbnbs are not owner occupied for the most part, and for instance, there is a neighbor on our street or it's owned by someone who is a neighboring house on the street, his advertisement online is that it accommodates 12 to 14 people. It costs more if you have more than 12 people, you have to pay for the two additional people. We're talking about an additional four to six cars in the driveway every weekend, and these are not people we can go over to and ask to borrow a cup of sugar, they can't watch our dog if we're away. If we had a cat and it got out, they wouldn't know whose house it belonged to; we'll never see them again, they're not contributing to the neighborhood at all. They're not gonna volunteer to coach a Little League team, they're not gonna join the PTA, the only thing they're going to do is spend money in
the Village, which is a good thing, but they're also not gonna be members of our community, so I think the Airbnb issue must be addressed in some way, it can't go on. It's the wild west right now, they can do anything they want and for the most part, the Airbnbbs are the houses that the sidewalk doesn't get shoveled because in the winter because there's no one there to do it and they're the houses where the hedges don't get trimmed and stick out over the sidewalk so you can't walk double file on the sidewalk. The owner of the Airbnb may have good intentions, but they're not there to see what's going on, so the Village needs to be there to see what's going on and also to rein it in a little bit.

That's all I have to say. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

Anybody else want to address the Board?
MR. CHARTERS: Gary Charters.
Bridge Street, Greenport.

Agenda item number about the code enforcement officer, which one is that?
Ten.

Is he going to have more time for TCO work too?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Actually, we're planning on trying to have him take over the position that Ed Ward was doing, train him to do that and everything else.

MR. CHARTERS: Sure.
Like 50/50?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I believe so.
That's the intention, yes.

MR. CHARTERS: I would think that if the Village had any intention of hiring a TCO that we're just going to enforce Village Code in the downtown, that's kind of -- this is a one-square-mile village. I don't know why you would limit it to downtown.

I read his report, I know some of
his Village code violations he's issued. He's issued for wrong-side-of-the-street parking, great thing. To me I always consider them quality of life, just events people park on the wrong side, people parking too close to the intersection, there's 30 feet limits, it's been lax for a long time, and I think he's doing a great job. Inspection tickets, it's an equipment violation, I'd rather have the TCO with that little summons reminding people that they don't have an inspection. I don't know what the timeframe is, I don't know how long they were expired, I have no clue about that, but with my experience, it was always people who would rather pay a $35 summons for an inspection ticket than they would a $400 brake job. In my mind, if they're reminded that their inspection is out of date, they can get things taken care of and get it done; but the whole idea, I believe and I
think Mary Bess said something, the entire Village, not just the Business District is what the TCO is here for; and I thank you and the rest of the Village Board for bringing somebody onboard finally to handle these little issues that really needed to be taken care of a long time ago.

I just wanted to make sure that he's not gonna switch to all building code enforcement and not traffic enforcement.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. He's gonna -- he'll do his training and everything else and when he's certified, he'll be doing more of that stuff during the winter because there's not that much going on for a TCO in January and February.

MR. CHARTERS: A lot of the other code enforcement people in other villages like Port Jeff Village, there is two or three people, and they have ticket printers. They enter the data.
into it and the ticket prints out so they don't have to physically write them, so, you know, somewhere down the road, if this is working out and this is helping things along, you might want to look to some other means of, use a service and maybe change direction a little bit, but I think he's doing a great job.

Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

Anybody else want to address the Board?

MR. MADISON: Sean Madison, Greenport, Fifth Street.

Just backing up what they were talking about. I'm confused as to there -- has there been a study done or is it proposed to be done?

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's what this is determining, who is going to be lead agency, that's all we're voting on this evening. It has not been done yet.

This is the start of the process, the
paperwork was sent out by them August 17th, I believe it was, so it has to be done by September 17th, is the 30-day timeframe to do it.

MR. MADISON: Thirty-day timeframe for the entire study?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

MR. SWISKEY: What?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. Thirty days to respond --

MAYOR HUBBARD: The comment period is 30 days from when they send the letters out.

MR. MADISON: Oh, the comment period. Not the period of time for the actual --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. The newspaper article that said September 7th, that's not true because papers were mailed on the 17th, as you got 30 days to comment on it from anybody; us, you know, anybody can comment during that period. This is just determining who is going to be lead agency to compile all the
MR. MADISON: At what point is the decision made on how this is proceeding and the timeframes and everything else?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Joe could answer that better than I can on the actual law of SEQRA.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Which decision, I'm sorry?

MR. MADISON: In terms of moving ahead with lead agency and what the next steps are. What recourse or input do we have?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: There is no -- if there is a dispute about lead agency, if the Village or anybody else sends back a notice saying that we would like to be lead agency and that's not resolved, than what happens is, there is an additional 20-day period try to resolve disputes like that. If it's not resolvable in the 20-day period then either party can refer to the commissioner of the DEC, and at
that point the commissioner of the DEC can determine which agency should be lead agency, whether it's LIPA, Shelter Island or Greenport, or the DEC could take over lead agency, so one of the options is that if we cannot resolve it, that the Village request the DEC be lead agency.

MR. MADISON: All right.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Does that answer your question?

MR. MADISON: Yes.

Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else want to address the Board?

(No response.)

Okay. We'll close the public comment period and move to our regular agenda.

We'll take a few minutes break.

(Whereupon, a recess was taken at this time.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: I'll start with resolution number 1.
Resolution Number 08-2016-1,
resolution adopting the August, 2016 agenda as printed.

So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carried.

CLERK PIRILLO: Excuse me.

Is there not an addition? With one addition.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, with one addition.

Offer a resolution adopting the 2016 agenda as printed with Resolution 08-2016-27 added to the tail end, that would be the last one on the Ocean Race Co-sponsor.

CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

Thank you for that.

CLERK PIRILLO: You're welcome.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Resolution

08-2016-2, resolution accepting the monthly reports of the Greenport Fire Department, Village Administrator, Village Treasurer, Village Clerk, Village Attorney, Mayor and Board of Trustees.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Resolution 08-2016-3, resolution accepting the application of Megan Melly to the Village of Greenport Fire Department Rescue Squad per the application as approved on August 17, 2016 by the Village of Greenport Fire Department Board of Wardens.

So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Resolution 08-2016-4, Resolution awarding the
contract for the purchase of two 2017 Chevrolet Tahoes to be used as chiefs' vehicles for the Village of Greenport Fire Department in the total amount of $96,596 per the bid opening on August 15, 2016 to Eagle Auto Mall Sales, Inc.

So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Resolution 08-2016-5, resolution ratifying the hiring of Tara Selheim as a part-time seasonal employee at Mitchell Park Marina at a pay rate of $15 per hour effective August 3, 2016.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Resolution 08-2016-6, resolution ratifying the hiring of Gary Primm and Zajenai Everett as seasonal carousel employees at a pay rate of $9 per hour effective August 3, 2016.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Resolution 08-2016-7, resolution ratifying the hiring of seasonal carousel employee and park attendant Jeremy Bennett at a pay rate of $9 per hour effective August 12, 2016.

So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Resolution 08-2016-8, resolution ratifying the hiring of seasonal marina office employee Miquella Farris at a pay rate of $10 per hour effective August 12, 2016.

So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Resolution

08-2016-9, resolution hiring Douglas Rocco as a full-time power distribution lines mechanic I for the Village of Greenport at a pay rate of $20 per hour effective August 31, 2016.

All health insurance and other full-time employment benefit provisions specified in the current contract between the Village of Greenport and CSEA Local 1000 apply to this hiring.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just want to say, Paul, thank you for getting another lineman because I think we're gonna need him.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Resolution

08-2016-10, resolution hiring Gregory Morris as a full-time code enforcement officer for the Village of Greenport at a pay rate of $20 per hour effective August 31, 2016; and further authorizing an increase in the hourly pay rate of Gregory Morris to $22 upon receipt of proof by the Clerks' Office of successful completion of all six courses of the New York State Fire Prevention and Building Code Enforcement program.

All health insurance and other full-time employment benefit provisions.
specified in the current contract between the Village of Greenport and CSEA Local 1000 apply to this hiring.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?
(No response.)
Motion carries.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Resolution 08-2016-11, resolution rehiring Grace Izzo as a lifeguard at a pay rate of $15 per hour effective August 15, 2016.

So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Resolution 08-2016-12, resolution rehiring John Scully as a park attendant for the Village of Greenport at a pay rate of $9 per hour effective August 17, 2016.

So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Resolution 08-2016-13, resolution authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Amendment Number 3507 to appropriate fund balance from the General Fund for the repair of the
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carousel doors and directing that Budget Amendment Number 3507 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes for the August 25, 2016 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Resolution 08-2016-14, resolution authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Amendment 3510 to appropriate fire apparatus reserves to find the purchase of two new chiefs' vehicles for the Greenport Fire Department and directing that Budget Amendment 3510 be included as part of the formal minutes
for the August 25, 2016 regular meeting
of the Board of Trustees.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Resolution 08-2016-15, resolution accepting the report received by the Village of Greenport on August 8, 2016 of the Greenport Fire Department member year-end points for calendar year 2015 for the Greenport Fire Department Length of Service Awards Program.

So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?
(No response.)
Motion carries.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Resolution 08-2016-16, resolution authorizing Mayor Hubbard to sign the Ambulance Services Agreement between the Village of Greenport and the Suffolk County Department of Health Services as approved by the Board of Wardens on August 17, 2016.
So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?
(No response.)
Motion carries.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Resolution

08-2016-17, resolution scheduling a public hearing for September 22, 2016 at 7:00 p.m. at the Third Street Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York 11944 regarding the Wetlands Permit Application submitted by Eastern Long Island Hospital to install seawall and curb along the eastern, western and southern sides of the building and to install an electrical generator on a raised concrete platform.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Resolution
08-2016-18, resolution directing the Conservation Advisory Council to review the project proposed per the Wetlands Permit Application as submitted by Eastern Long Island Hospital, and directing the Conservation Advisory Council to provide the corresponding report to the Board of Trustees by September 8, 2016.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Resolution 08-2016-19, resolution authorizing the solicitation of bids for the removal of trees and stumps and the pruning, trimming of specified Village trees, as
recommended by the Village of Greenport

Tree Committee.

So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Discussion, please.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We asked for this list last week, we still don't have it.

CLERK PIRILLO: That's my fault.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

CLERK PIRILLO: Absolutely my fault. I have it here. I apologize. It will be distributed tomorrow morning.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: To everyone, right; public, us?

CLERK PIRILLO: Yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Great.

Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any other discussions?

(No response.)
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All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?
(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Resolution 08-2016-20, resolution adopting the attached SEQRA resolution regarding the proposed local law of 2016 amending Chapter 132 of the Village of Greenport Code, Vehicles and Traffic; adopting lead agency status, determining the adoption of the local law to be an Unlisted action, determining that the adoption of the local law will not have a significant impact on one or more aspects of the environment and adopting a negative declaration for purposes of SEQRA, as stated in the attached resolution, adopting Local Law number to be named of 2016 amending Chapter

So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Resolution 08-2016-21, resolution adopting local law number to be determined of 2016 amending Chapter 132, Vehicles and Traffic of the Village of Greenport Code to amend the south side no parking regulation on Wiggins Street from Fifth Street to Third Street to be in effect from April 1st through October 31st.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Discussion on
this?

We had the one requested change from October 31st to September 30th.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think when we discussed it -- I'm sorry.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Go ahead, Mary Bess.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think that when we discussed it, we were also considering that school buses would be running in that time, to give them a little breathing space, I think, with parking, that was part of the reason why we chose October 31st.

I'm for leaving it October 31st.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Mine as well, if all of a sudden we see that there's no need for it, we can always revisit it and adjust accordingly.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's fine.

Any other discussion?

(No response.)

All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?
(No response.)
Motion carries.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Resolution 08-2016-22, resolution approving the adoption of lead agency status by PSE&G Long Island for the Shelter Island to Greenport LIPA connection project.
So moved.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Any discussion?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Discussion.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The discussion on the lead agency. I'm going to ask that we make a motion to table this discussion until we all have had an opportunity to review the forms that we received and to have input; and I have several other questions that I would like to have answered. Especially in reference to multiple lead agencies,
whether it means the Village of Greenport once they came within the Village boundaries, it then -- we can take over lead status since these environmental issues are a little bit stronger for us than some of the other areas; so I'm requesting, I'm making a motion to table this resolution until a date that we can mutually decide what we're discussing.

So moved.

MS. ROBBINS: I think that because we received this packet just simultaneous with our work session last week, that we as a Board collectively really haven't had an opportunity to go over all the information that we received.

I was prepared to come tonight to vote to give the lead agency status to PSE&G after having conversations with people on the Village staff. I felt that we weren't in the position to oversee the management of all three
sites and everything that's going on, I am certainly taking into consideration the response from the number of residents who came here tonight and expressed their concern about not knowing about this project. We do need our own time to get up to speed on it. The only thing I'm a little concerned about is if there are any time constraints that could prevent us from realizing something that could be very good for the Village. I am concerned about that. I don't want us to lose an opportunity. I'm honestly not sure how to do this tonight and where to put my vote, but I'd like to hear comments from the other Board members before I cast my vote.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: May I put out there, I don't think -- I think PSE&G has shown their cards. They also don't have the capability to be the lead agency because what are they doing? They're outsourcing it.
So I think we adopt lead agency status, we're the ones who are going to get the most pain from this thing. We're doing this basically sort of as a favor to Shelter Island who does no favors for us. They're lovely people there, but why are we going out of our way to do something that we don't need. Let's adopt lead agency status, we're the ones most affected, and then PSE&G can read that in the paper tomorrow and they can say, all right, contractor who was going to work for us, here is the money that we were gonna pay them, put it in escrow for Village of Greenport, and you now report to the mayor of Greenport Village and Board of Trustees.

I was going to move, Trustee Phillips, that we strike the words PSE&G Long Island from this and insert Village of Greenport.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: You're saying for the entire project; are you saying
trying to do the segmentation?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Potentially --

MAYOR HUBBARD: We have a motion to table which didn't get a second.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We did have a motion on the table.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I think we're all --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Attaching, putting lead agency status on the project, it's not just on Greenport's side, it would be the project itself, the whole project.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: This would be from --

MAYOR HUBBARD: The whole project.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Just so I'm clear what's going on, from the Southold side all the way to Shelter Island.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The complete project.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But remember --

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's what you're
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: To clarify, the same outside agency that PSE&G is gonna hire would now, instead of reporting to the people who -- this is the thing everybody needs to remember. If you read this 300 pages of documents, I started going through it because someone told me, you can't vote to give them lead agency status if you haven't read all the documents; and no one has read the documents and given us any advice who has more knowledge than I do about this, which is very little, so I started reading it myself. Very deep in this thing, PSE&G is spending 850 grand a year to power Shelter Island in the summer. That's a lot of summer visitors, air conditioning, and I guess some wild parties at Sunset Beach. I don't know what all that money is for, but 850 grand is currently what -- that's the pain that they're feeling. It's not our pain, its PSE&G's pain. I
have no emotional reaction to it, that's their business, not ours.

They're the ones who have the most at stake here. They're the ones who have the most to risk if the environmental impact study comes back negative. Why would we let them be in charge of this? They're the only ones desperate to do this. I mean, Shelter Island is kind of like, yeah, sure, let Greenport deal with it. We're the ones with the major risk here to our community; yet these guys have a big financial risk. Why would we put them in charge of this? We can't do it; so I'm gonna ask Mr. Prokop, what do we have to do tonight to protect ourselves from PSE&G taking this thing over? Because guess what, if they run it, it's gonna come back negative.

Go Google, by the way, this is what I did, I Googled LIPA and PSE&G lead agency. You find stuff from Southampton where Town Board of
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Southampton gave them lead agency status over a transmission cable in 2008, then the Town of Southampton was upset because PSE&G just sort of --

MR. SWISKEY: They're screwed.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah. They sort of ran over them. They declared everything negative. The town had to go petition the DEC to get the DEC to change lead agency status. Guess what? DEC went with PSE&G in almost every case I found PSE&G wins with DEC.

We have to keep the control while we have it, so that's the long way of asking Mr. Prokop, what do we have to do to protect this Village from PSE&G being lead agency?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: The way to do that is for the Board to vote to adopt lead agency status for the Village of Greenport.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Which is what my research turned up as well; so that's why I'm proposing it.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Just to clarify, the $850,000 for those generators, they do not run them. They're just there in case of a storm.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I understand but --

MAYOR HUBBARD: You're saying their power and this and that, they don't run them. They're just there as a backup plan.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Which is even worse for PSE&G that they have to spend that money and they don't get --

MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm not questioning, I'm just saying they're not running, you said they were powering air conditioners and everything else, they don't run. They're diesel engines right behind somebody's house, so they only run them if power goes out.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's why they want the backup cables, so the cable
gets hit by an anchor again at Sunset Beach, that happened to the last one, that they have some way to power the island.

That's all. Just to clarify that they do not use them unless it's an emergency.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: If I may, I like where you're coming from, I think that to say that right now, I tend to lean more toward what Mary Bess said, Trustee Robbins asked, so I want to get mine out. I like what the mayor's been doing. I think the project potentially is a great benefit. A couple people raised some concern I hadn't even thought of; for example, the harvesting of the oysters, something I would have never known, and I'm glad that I learned it tonight. I think there's really no harm in tabling this to let people from the public be informed. I'm not saying you're wrong, Trustee Roberts, I don't want to make that at
all; and maybe us taking lead agency would be the way to go. I would just like to see it planned out over the next few weeks, so we have a more whole proposal.

Does that make sense?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Trustee Martilotta, I appreciate where you're doing, but I don't -- we can ask her to read the record back but I think Joe will confirm if I say back to you what he just said to us, the only -- my question was, what's the way to protect ourselves from PSE&G taking the lead agency status? His answer was declare the Village of Greenport as lead agency status. That is our only the play both defense and offense, so we don't -- we could eventually decide not to be lead agency at some point, but tonight, remember there's a clock on this, 30 days. September 17th, guess who is lead agency; PSE&G if we do nothing.

So the only way to protect
ourselves tonight is to make ourselves lead agency status and then since none, I don't think any of us up here actually knows how to be lead agency for a project like this. We better go talk to PSE&G and figure out how they're going to pay for engineering to do that, but for now, we've got to protect ourselves.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Trustee Roberts, first of all, you and I have had many conversations over the last couple of days in reference to this; I have a tendency to say if we have to have a meeting among ourselves in the next two or three weeks that's open to the public, but I think the EAF, as far as I started reading it, I saw a lot of things that I have questions for. I think we need to get that out to the public. We need to have both sides of the story. We still have time to September 17th. Even if we declare lead agency, we should be commenting on
some of the issues in there. We do not have a contract with them yet, and there are some issues in there that i.e., as you pointed out to me the time of work where on the Greenport side, we have been going to 8 o'clock at night.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And they're allowed to make noise.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But in the meantime, we do not have, my understanding is that the contract that we do develop with them or finally do approve or not approve or however we move forward with the contract, we set the activity within the Village. If we do not put those comments into the SEQRA, I'm concerned that they will get overlooked or become a bargaining point. I think we need to take a step back. I think we need to logically look at everything. We have time to do it, we're not as if we can't come together as a group by putting a notice out and saying okay, here it is, this
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is what's going on, we're having a meeting, we're having a discussion. I just feel we need to take the responsibility to do all the search and research before getting into a panic, not a panic, getting into situations where we can't, we're not being wise in our decisions, and I would rather do my homework first.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: To clarify that, Trustee Phillips, we've got -- so we need two or three days to notice a public meeting. You're proposing a meeting before the next meeting, September 15th, so you're proposing a meeting between now and then, so take off three days from the calendar, so we got to meet by, because we have to notice the meeting, so we really have until September 13th or so. So in that time, we have to, I believe secure an environmental engineer to review all this stuff for us. We have to secure potentially counsel that specializes in
both utilities and environmental, because this, I mean, our Village attorney has to keep doing the Village Attorney job. This is a big job. We have to do all that. We have to read 400 pages of material that frankly I don't understand a lot of it because this is not my area of expertise. I need an expert to tell me what's going on. No expert has told me anything about what's going on, so we have a lot of work to do in three weeks, we can do it, but we also all have day jobs. We can try to do that. There's no risk to us in declaring lead agency status, it costs us zero. It's just a couple of words that we have to put into the record and we've protected ourselves just in case everything falls apart and we don't get all that done by September 13th.

MS. ROBBINS: I want a little clarification from either Joe or Paul.

If we do become lead agency, there
is not additional work or expense on our part if we do that?

MR. PALLAS: I'm not sure what the -- it sounded like the proposal was to request them to pay for whatever it's going to cost, if they agree to that, it wouldn't be, if they don't, I would assume we would have to do the work somehow.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Or not. We're the lead agency and we haven't done any work because we haven't gotten to it yet because we don't yet have an agreement from PSE&G about what we're going to be paid for doing this, so maybe now when we are lead agency, we are in charge, so give us an agreement and then we'll talk about SEQRA.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: The problem is, the wheels are already turning on this because of the notice they provided, and the thing this Board would need to do is protect itself in the timetable that has been given, so the only way to
do that is to either adopt lead agency
tonight or to adopt it, plan on
adopting it the first week of
September. In no way would I wait
until the second or third week of
September.

The way SEQRA works when there is
an environmental study like this is
that, or environmental review like
this, is the that the lead agency often
has the -- excuse me, the party that's
actually doing the work or whose
project it is almost always fronts the
money for the study that needs to be
done; so what we would be doing is, we
would be as lead agency managing it,
and determining what areas we would
like to have in particular be reviewed,
but the actual environmental study
part, the engineering would be done at
the expense of LIPA, and I'm sure that
we could insist on that if we were the
lead agency; so we don't need the
background as an environmental
engineer, we'll contract that out through LIPA under our direction.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: There is no requirement timeline for us to complete that study, is there?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: There is a timeline. Once you go into -- there is a timeline, and I think in this case, it would be an expanded long-form unless we determine that there were going to be one or more areas with a negative impact and then we could request an environmental impact statement which would really take a long time to do.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But maybe we'll do it right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I got a general question for the Board as a whole. I understand where everybody is coming from this and everything else, and I'm talking from Greenport, not from Shelter Island, Mr. Swiskey, is Greenport in favor of still going
forward with this project as a Board?
I mean, if we are at this point now, if we're going to go down every angle, every paperwork, everything that comes up, are we in favor of the project or do you think we should say the heck with it and forget the project?

All I've heard is people complaining, unhappy about everything else; what is the Board's feeling on just saying, you know what, forget the project, forget the money, forget the whole thing and --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's not our responsibility as a Board; we're supposed to look at all sides of it, and that's what I'm trying to say is that however it comes out, we need to get all the facts on the table; we need to have time to digest this. I understand where Trustee Roberts is coming from, but I also think in fairness to all of the Village residents, we need to take a look at
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this project as a whole. You have been discussing it. We don't have a final negotiated agreement with them. PSE&G may just tell us after all of this effort, it's not worth it. Okay. They're not gonna sign a contact, but at this point I think that that's part of what we're supposed to be doing and that's where I stand is we need to be looking out for the Village residents, not just those on Fifth Street but the whole Village as a whole.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Without a doubt, I did not say that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know.

MAYOR HUBBARD: What I'm saying is, I don't want to go through this, we're gonna spend three months doing the SEQRA, getting it taken care of, could be at our expense, could be at their expense, we'll figure that out, who has to pay for it and everything else but then once this is done, three months from now, and then we go into
contract, are we gonna be able to put a
together that everybody here
feels comfortable with or are we just
beating a dead horse and I just don't
want to keep putting a lot of time and
energy into this if we're not gonna
have the votes later on if people
aren't comfortable with it and the
neighbors are uncomfortable with it,
should we just scrap it?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: In fairness to
you, I said it before, you're in a
tough spot, it's to be the mayor,
particularly in this situation.

To answer your question, I am in
favor generally of the concept of us
doing something that could potentially
bring in millions of dollars to the
Village, yes; and if that's what's on
the table, then what I will ask you
all, for us to do is to engage in a
serious community outreach marketing
campaign to go door to door, which I
said probably three months ago, that we
should go and talk to people and say, here's what we can do; we can make Fifth Street Beach look like this, we can fix every road end which would clean up our waters and make sure oyster fishermen can do their thing. You know, right now, I don't have that list of things, so what I'm looking at is if PSE&G decides to be lead agency, they can just shove this thing down everyone's throats. If we're lead agency, as Joe said, we can protect ourselves from that happening, then we have time for you to keep doing what you're doing, and I know you're working hard on behalf of the Village to negotiate a great deal, Mr. Mayor, I know you are. I appreciate it. I know you're in a tough spot. I will support this if the numbers are right because it can be an amazing thing for the Village. I think that's important for people to know.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: I basically do
support this project, most of what I've heard about it, I think it's a win/win for the Village. You know, I would be willing to support the resolution, Trustee Roberts, to adopt lead agency status, but I think that there's enough plus side to want to move forward.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Do you want to make a motion, Trustee Roberts?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I move again --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: There was a second.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Should I move again?

I move to amend Resolution 08-2016-22 by striking the words PSE&G Long Island, replacing them with Village of Greenport.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We can still discuss, can't we?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Go ahead and discuss it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Trustee Phillips, I think you and I are trying to do the same thing. I just think that there is a risk factor to try and get everybody back together with their busy schedules and, you know, we have this moment right here to protect ourself and I think we can still do what you want to do while also being lead agency status, and if this ends up being the wrong thing, we can always take it back, but what we can't take back is September 17th, PSE&G is lead agency status and all those other things can happen, so I think we're trying to do the same thing. I'm not
trying to undo what you're doing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Once we vote on this, we are going for lead agency status, we're not gonna rescind that later on. We can't wait until September 20th and say we don't want it anymore, if we're pushing to be lead agency status, then that's what we're going for.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Actually, to reference Trustee Roberts' question about special counsel, this is something that I do, so I can advise the Board in detail about these things, but the thing to do is to adopt lead agency status because if you don't do that, you can't get it back. There is no way we can decide next month that we want to turn around and beg PSE&G to let us be lead agency. If we adopt lead agency status, we then -- it doesn't mean we are going to be the lead agency, what it means is necessarily, what it means is that we
are at a point where it has to be
discussed and decided between us and
PSE&G and if we can't decide it, it's
going to go to the DEC to decide, or
the DEC may actually be lead agency;
but we don't have that option, we don't
have that right to discuss it with
PSE&G unless we adopt lead agency
status because if we don't to go to the
table with them.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Let me ask you
a question now because (inaudible) and
we are going to -- are you telling me
that we need to adopt lead agency to
protect ourselves from anyone else that
wants to take on lead agency or we're
just going to -- explain to me how that
works when it starts being more than
one person wanting lead agency.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's the same
thing. If there's more than one person
that -- we need to protect the Village
to make sure there is a proper study of
the environmental impact by adopting
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lead agency status because if we don't do that, we have no right now to manage what will be happening with LIPA doing the study. If we do adopt lead agency status, it's a narrow window, but we will be in a position where we can continue to maintain that, continue to fight to be lead agency or to have a serious discussion with LIPA about exactly how we want the study to be conducted. If we don't adopt lead agency status, we don't have that ability.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: They have shown a history, if you look at their other dealings with lead agency status, they have a history of ignoring what the municipality says and getting sued and then the DEC siding with LIPA or PSE&G whereas here, if we both want lead agency, maybe DEC steps in, maybe County steps in or something else, I don't even know.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: We have no idea
what the cost is going to be to us once we pick up on lead agency, right?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, we don't have an idea, but I can tell you that in many similar projects, the cost was paid for by the applicant who in this case is LIPA.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Same as our applicants to ZBA or planning, they pay for the cost.

MAYOR HUBBARD: They're not applying for a permit from us, but we have to ask them to do it.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Mayor, they're not applying for a permit, they're applying for approval of the easement, so that's --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

Any other discussion?

(No response.)

I'll have the clerk call the roll.

CLERK PIRILLO: Trustee Roberts.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

CLERK PIRILLO: Trustee Robbins.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Yes.

CLERK PIRILLO: Trustee Martilotta.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

CLERK PIRILLO: Trustee Phillips.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll agree, yes.

CLERK PIRILLO: Mayor Hubbard.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry.

Don't we have to adopt the full resolution now?

MAYOR HUBBARD: It was voted on.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We voted on the amended; is that right?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: You voted the resolution as amended.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So we're good. We voted on it, we're done. Right, Joe?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, we voted to amend it and now have to vote on the actual resolution.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Vote to approve the amended motion.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Second.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Resolution 08-2016-23, resolution appointing Joseph Cherepowich to the Village of Greenport Tree Committee.

So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Resolution 08-2016-24,

resolution authorizing the Village of Greenport to re-assign allocated grant monies for improvements to the Village of Greenport staging, queuing and parking areas at the ferry terminal at Third and Wiggins Streets and authorizing the issuance of a Request for Proposals for engineering, upon confirmation and approval of the grant details by the New York State Department of Transportation.

So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

I have one question.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I know there has been discussion of what the Shelter Island and North Ferry is going to come up to the place. On the engineering
report, even though it may not come up
to the $350,000, what portion is North
Ferry going to put in towards the
engineering, what percentage?

MAYOR HUBBARD: At this point
there is nothing that they're putting
in towards it because we're getting the
engineering study for our property in
Greenport.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Just Wiggins
Street?

MAYOR HUBBARD: This is not for
Wiggins Street; this is for the parking
area and MTA property between the
parking lot and the train tracks, the
north side of the tracks.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: But it's
mentioning North Ferry, correct?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, it says North
Ferry in the second sentence.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What is the
North Ferry investing in this $350,000
windfall that we have? We're asking
the taxpayers to put the money out and
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they'll bring it back to us, but what
are they putting into it?

MAYOR HUBBARD: At this portion
right now, they are putting nothing in
towards that. When we move further
towards the grant, the e-mail I sent
you the other day has the breakdown of
what money would be spent there.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right, but if
we're putting out -- say we put out
$100,000 to the grant, can't we at
least get some percentage from the
ferry company reimbursing us?

MAYOR HUBBARD: All the money is
coming from the State and the federal
government, they're paying the bill.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: On a grant that
the Village won. I see where you're
going, Trustee Phillips, and I do like
the idea of people paying us down there
for using our streets to get on the
ferry. It's good -- I was thinking
about this, I've seen the mayor's
e-mail, which basically outlined that
any future work that gets done, there
would be a match, you know, even by the
ferry and by us. I think that's
generally a good plan. This money is
sitting there, we could lose it if we
don't spend it. That's what concerns
me. I'd rather, I don't know if we
have time to get the Heights to come up
with something, what I'd rather do is
put whatever agreement we sign with
them to do any work because we're the
tenants of that site, so we're gonna
charge; so we'll just take a balance
off of, you know, whatever they were
gonna pay for matching and they also
pay us a fee to reimburse us later on
so we're whole was what I was gonna
suggest.

I don't think we should turn away
the 350 because this is an important
project.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's not what
I'm saying. I'm saying that I want to
put on record that every time we're
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dealing with it, I want that question
asked because it's important and that
money, even though it was found money,
it's Village residents that are going
to be putting it out first, waiting for
the reimbursement to come back.

MAYOR HUBBARD: If it's not 100
percent reimbursed, if there is a
coopay or a match on it, the ferry
would be approached about getting money
on it at that point, but this is money,
it's a pass through to us, we get a
bill, put the bill into New York State,
they give us a check back on it. It's
a pass through on it. If there is a
coopay, it ends up being 80/20 or
70/30, whatever, the ferry would have
to pay their portion of it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

But right now, as I've been told
from Jean from New York State, this
money is money that's there for us to
use.

I just want to put it on the
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record.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Did you want to amend it?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm going along with the trust that it will happen.

MAYOR HUBBARD: If you're gonna put in trust, you got to amend the motion that you want new wording in there.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll amend the motion to read resolution authorizing the Village of Greenport to resign allocated grant money to the improvement to the Village of Greenport staging, queueing and parking areas as the ferry terminal at Third and Wiggins Streets, and authorizing the issuance of the request for proposals for engineering, upon confirmation and approval of the grant details by the New York State Department of Transportation including any percentage of, any percentage if the grant money is broken
down into 20 percent front money from
the Village if the Shelter Island Ferry
Company will reimburse us.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: I think this
resolution basically is conditional on
the fact that the State is willing to
re-purpose this grant for this purpose,
so it's kind of protected in the
resolution.

MAYOR HUBBARD: She wants to make
sure if there is anything that comes,
if there's any expenses to the Village,
that the North Ferry pays their share
of it is what she's asking.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: With respect,
Trustee Phillips, can I take a shot at
this?

I would like to amend the
resolution adding at the end a comma
after the word transportation and these
words, with any matching funds required
to execute the grant to be funded by
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the Shelter Island Heights.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Joe, how do we word this to try to get this if there ends up being a co-pay that the Village would have to pay and we get that back from North Ferry?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I would say that any matching funds required to be paid by the Village of Greenport in order to access this money must be reimbursed by the North Ferry Company.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: That's what I just said, so then I think the way you make sure that that happens is that you don't -- if they tell us we have to provide matching funds and Shelter Island Heights Corp won't provide them, then we don't take the grant.

MAYOR HUBBARD: As of what they told me, when I had the meeting a couple weeks ago, there is no money that's required, but --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I think this is just --
MAYOR HUBBARD: Do you want to second on that amendment?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor on the amendment?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?
(No response.)
Motion carries.
All in favor on the resolution as a whole?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?
(No response.)
Motion carries.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Resolution 08-2016-25, resolution authorizing that a letter be directed to the New York
State Department of Transportation, Suffolk County and the Town of Southold concerning required safety upgrades and traffic remediation and enhanced traffic flow at the intersection of Route 48 and Main Street.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Resolution 08-2016-26, resolution approving all checks per the voucher summary report dated August 19, 2016 in the total amount of $566,252.76.

All regular checks in the amount of $515,970.72, and all prepaid checks including wire transfers in the amount
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of $50,282.04.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?

(No response.)

Motion carries.

I'll offer Resolution 08-2016-27, resolution designating the Village of Greenport as a co-sponsor for the Greenport Ocean Race and the Greenport Bay Race which begins on October 1, 2016.

So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?
(No response.)
Motion carries.
Offer a motion to adjourn at 9:53.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBBINS: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Opposed?
(No response.)
Motion carries.
We're adjourned.
Thank you all for coming.
(Time noted: 9:53 p.m.)
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