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(The meeting was called to order at 4:00 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Good afternoon, it is July 30, 2020, 4:00 p.m.

This is the Village Greenport Planning Board Work Session and Regular Meeting at the fire station in Greenport.

Item Number 1: Motion to accept and approve the minutes of the June 4, 2020 Planning Board meeting.

Do I have a second on this motion?

MR. COTUGNO: Second.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)

The motion is accepted.

Item Number 2: Motion to accept and approve the minutes of the June 25, 2020 Planning Board meeting.

Do I have a second?

MS. HAMMES: Second.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)
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Motion carries.

Item Number 3:  Motion to schedule the Planning Board Work Session and Regular Meeting, joint meeting for 4:00 p.m. on August 27, 2020.

The initial agenda says August 6. We're gonna schedule this joint session August 27.

Do I have a second for this motion?

MR. KYRK:  Second.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE:  All those in favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)

Motion carries.

Item Number 4:  214 Front Street this is a motion to accept the findings and determinations for 214 Front Street, LLC.

This property is located in the Commercial Retail District and is not located in the Historic District.

This is Suffolk County Tax Map 1004-4-9-21.

I take it all the Board members
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have received a draft of the findings and
determinations and with that, do I have a
second to accept this motion?

MR. COTUGNO: Second.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in
favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)

Motion is accepted.

Item Number 5: 111 Main Street.

This is a motion to accept the findings
and determinations for PWIB Claudio
Real Estate, LLC.

This property is located in the
Waterfront Commercial District. This
property is also located in the
Historic District.

It's Suffolk County Tax map
1001-5-4-25, 38.1 and 39.

Again, I take it that all members
have received the draft findings and
determinations that were circulated
previously.

Do I have a second for this
motion?
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MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Second.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)

Item Number 6 on the original agenda is being postponed to the next session.

Item Number 7: 300 Main Street.

This is a pre-submission conference and possible motion to schedule a public hearing for August 27 regarding the Site Plan Review Application for Sterling Square LLC. Care of Brent Pelton. Represented by Robert Brown.

The applicant proposes interior and exterior renovations.

This property is located in the Commercial Retail District and is located in the Historic District.

Suffolk County Tax Map 1004 -- I'm sorry. 1001-4-7-29.1.

Would the applicant like to speak at this time?

MR. BROWN: Thank you. Good to
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see you all in person.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Announce yourself.

MR. BROWN: Robert Brown, architect for the applicant, Sterling Square.

This is an amalgam of some small projects around the project that's already been discussed and approved.

One item is removing the bilco doors on the south side of the property on Bay Avenue and replacing it with an exterior stair down to the door.

The bilco door itself is in bad shape. The stair is dangerous at best. And the owner is looking for a way to clean up the look of it by creating an exterior stair with a handrail and a fence, the same as the fence that's already established on the property on the north side that separates the service ally driveway from the courtyard.

I should say that we simultaneously made an application to the
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Historic Board for all these things, and we are waiting for our opportunity to discuss that with them.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Do you know when that's been scheduled?

MR. BROWN: I don't.

MR. PALLAS: The next HPC meeting is a week -- the 20th, a week before your next meeting.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: It's on that docket?

MR. PALLAS: I haven't reviewed the application, but I'm assuming that it would need --

You know, we have time to correct it if there is anything missing. I do believe everything is there in the application.

MR. BROWN: I believe the only thing we don't have at this point is a fabric sample for the proposed new awning.

MR. PALLAS: Assuming you have that by that meeting, the HPC will have everything they need.
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I do not believe they will schedule a hearing. I can't speak for them, but I don't believe they will schedule a hearing. I believe they will hear it and whether they take action on the 20th is entirely up to them.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So they can take action without scheduling a hearing?

MR. PALLAS: Only certain -- only a certain level of project requires a hearing in our code for Historic Preservation. I don't believe this meets that criteria, ultimately that's up to that Board, but I have not seen them schedule a hearing for projects of this size.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So what are the major renovations being proposed in this application? Is it just the bilco door and --

MR. BROWN: Well, it's the bilco door. It is replacing glass on the storefront.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Transom glass.

MR. BROWN: The small transom
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glass pieces on top, several are broken.

MR. COTUGNO: But it's gonna be
exactly the same --

MR. BROWN: Yes, a replacement.

And one thing that has been going
back and forth, on the north side of the
portion of the property in question --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Facing which
street, Main Street?

MR. BROWN: No, facing the
courtyard, I'm talking about now.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.

MR. BROWN: The old Doofpot, we
had proposed a glass door, folding glass
wall -- it changed a number of times -- to
replace the window. The owners have decided
that, in fact, we're going to just replace
the window, the sash, leave the existing
frame, replace the sash which is in bad
shape.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I see.

MS. HAMMES: Originally, they were
gonna put a door over there similar to the
door where the boutique is now, right?
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MR. BROWN: Yes.

MS. HAMMES: And that had been approved by Historic in the last round?

MR. BROWN: That had been approved by Historic, but on further consideration,

they decided to --

MS. HAMMES: Just leave the entrance where it is?

MR. BROWN: To just provide the one entrance on Main Street, rather than having two separate entrances and so we're --

MS. HAMMES: And it's still gonna be used as a reception area?

MR. BROWN: Yes, it will be the same use as it was, no change in that.

It's just a question of the access point, where we had two access points, one on Main Street and one on the north side from the courtyard, it is now just going to be Main Street.

And that's essentially it.

MR. COTUGNO: I see you want to take out the step in the front and make it a
ramp.

MR. BROWN: Yes.

Actually, I believe that was on the original application.

MR. COTUGNO: Really?

MR. BROWN: I thought it was.

MR. COTUGNO: I didn't notice it if it was.

MR. BROWN: In any case, yeah, we're --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I thought the ramp was in the courtyard originally.

MR. COTUGNO: Yeah. There was a handicap ramp in the courtyard. This was gonna --

MR. BROWN: I knew there was a ramp, I apologize.

MR. COTUGNO: But this wasn't it because, to be honest --

MR. BROWN: -- for a while.

MR. COTUGNO: -- I would rather you leave it the way it is because to have a ramp right up to the door is unsafe.

The code requires a five-foot
level section before a door --

MR. BROWN: Well --

MR. COTUGNO: So this is gonna
ramp right up to the door.

I would prefer to leave it the way
it is to and have your handicap ramp like
you had it. I don't see --

MR. BROWN: Well, that ramp has
been eliminated because that's no longer a
door.

MR. COTUGNO: So now you don't
have --

MR. BROWN: But the door is
swinging in which is permissible, it's the
way it is existing and it is permissible
because it's an existing building and
because of the number of occupants --
maximum number of occupants per code.

MR. COTUGNO: I think it's unsafe
to be standing on a ramp and trying to open
up a door. That's why the code says it has
to have a five-foot flat section on either
side of the door; it doesn't matter which
way it swings. So I would prefer to leave
it the way it is, and you won't have to deal
with non-handicap access.

MR. BROWN: Which is
permissible --

MR. COTUGNO: But this isn't
handicap access anyway.

MR. BROWN: Yeah, which is
permissible given the code which states for
an existing building, accessibility is where
reasonable -- where it's reasonable to
provide and because of the proximity of the
existing door to the sidewalk, that becomes
an issue obviously.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Who makes that
determination; is that a Building Department
determination?

MR. COTUGNO: You're supposed to
go to the State.

MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: It's
currently being used as the lobby, right, as
it is now?

MR. BROWN: It's currently being
renovated.

MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Okay.
MR. COTUGNO: It is feasible because you had it before. The owner just decided to leave it as a window, but it is feasible to have handicap access --

MR. BROWN: Well, the change --

MR. COTUGNO: -- not to do it.

MR. BROWN: The change from the glass wall and ramp is financially not feasible at this time.

MR. COTUGNO: And I notice on your sump pump, it says, ejector -- I'm sorry, on the drain for the exterior stair it says, "ejector pump to grade.:

Does that mean you're gonna spill the water out the sidewalk?

MR. BROWN: That's where all the water goes right now.

MR. COTUGNO: Well, there's a bilco door there now, so it doesn't have --

MR. BROWN: So it runs off to grade from the bilco door.

MR. COTUGNO: I would never permit that.

They had trouble with American
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Beech pumping water on the street when they do other things, that's the last thing you want is another pump. A pump is different than just water spilling off a bilco door, a pump is concentrated water.

MR. BROWN: I would argue that it's the same volume of water, and is de minimis compared to the amount that's coming off the roofs. There are drywells on the property as existing.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Are you saying that the elimination of bilco door is gonna create more of a water runoff issue?

MR. COTUGNO: (Unintelligible.)

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Are you saying that the elimination of the bilco door, replacing it with an exterior staircase is gonna create more of a water runoff issue?

MR. COTUGNO: It's concentrated, yes. You're running it through a pipe and it's gonna run it -- it says it right on here. This would never be permitted anywhere. I couldn't imagine having a pump --
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Suppose you had a sump pump in your basement because you get water in your basement and you just run that water out to the curb so it freezes in the winter and people slip and fall.

And there was a problem with American Beech several years ago about them spilling water onto the street so this is just exasperating the situation.

MR. BROWN: That was a very different situation. That was hosing down equipment onto Carpenter Street which has been resolved. This is a matter of, you know, a couple of cubic feet of water compared to the rest of the property. It's the same area as the bilco door was and so it's the same volume of water.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So the sump pump is connected to -- where does it pump out the water?

MR. BROWN: Onto grade, onto the ground adjacent to the stairs.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So onto the ground where the water would have gone if it
would have just been the bilco door?

MR. BROWN: Exactly the same place.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Going back to the glass door replacement, you said it was a cost issue.

Is that because they envisioned a glass door which I imagine is the more expensive than a regular standard door; is it less of a cost issue?

MR. BROWN: It's significantly more expensive.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So would they consider -- from the design effect, would they be comfortable going back to the original plan because we can accommodate the ramp requirement, as John suggested, and replace it with a more standard, less expensive door. Would that be something that they would be comfortable?

MR. BROWN: Certainly, I would have to discuss it, but if it's not financially feasible then the alternative is that the code says these accommodations have
to be made to an existing building only where feasible.

MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right now it's steps?

MR. BROWN: I'm sorry?

MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: Right now it's some sort of steps?

MR. BROWN: Right now it's one step up, yes.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I mean, I don't know the precise standards when you enforce or not, but we're dealing with a hotel with a lot of guests over a period of time, and it just seems like ADA compliance, it's that much more important in this context than I can think of any other.

MR. COTUGNO: That's right.

Sometimes you have to go above and beyond the code if that's true.

And say where we, as a planning board, we want this place to be safe and practical and you can't say the cost is too much. He is spending so much money, this cost can't be that much to make a building
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safe for handicapped people and for everybody else.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Does any --
I'm sorry. Finish.

MR. COTUGNO: That's it.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I was gonna say, would anyone else on the Board want to weigh in on this particular issue and express your thoughts on it?

MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: The one thought I have is, since it is a hotel lobby, there's probably often staff there, so it might not be -- it's not like, you know, a house entrance where you're opening the door yourself, so the not having the -- what John is saying, it might not be quite as much of an issue.

MR. BROWN: Somebody assists them.

MS. DOUGHERTY-JOHNSON: But, I mean, that doesn't mean that will always happen.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Can you hear us?

(Stenographer responds.)

MR. KYRK: Just so I made sure
that I caught this when you said it before, did you say that there was a ramp to that north door?

MR. BROWN: That was part of the original design.

MR. KYRK: And the ramp has been removed or -- oh, I see. It's in the design, it wasn't --

MR. BROWN: No, there is no ramp there, not yet.

MR. KYRK: Okay. I'm sorry. I was a little confused about that.

MS. HAMMES: I guess I understand the issue and obviously I agree that it would be best if this was handicap accessible, but I do kind of feel that, to some extent, that if they hadn't proposed that glass door originally, I'm not sure we would have told them they had to put another door in to provide for the handicap accessibility, so I'm not quite sure where I stand on this at this point. I need to think about it some more.

Frankly, a glass door, I know that
you were gonna match the one for the
boutique, but I actually never -- I'm just
surprised Historic approved it because I
don't think it's really in keeping with the
historical area, so it is what it is. But
part of me feels like we're sitting here
having this discussion because they came and
wanted to do something that they're now not
doing and if they hadn't brought it up in
the first place, I'm not sure we would be
having this discussion, but it doesn't mean
it's not a viable discussion.

MR. BROWN: I'd also point out
that it's not a very big ramp. It's only
just a few feet to traverse the small height
of the step.

MS. HAMMES: At least one of the
rooms, if I'm -- I think I'm correct. I
think he advertises that at least one of the
rooms is handicap accessible.

MR. BROWN: There is a room on
grade --

MS. HAMMES: Ground floor.

MR. BROWN: On the first floor
adjacent to what is currently the lobby.

MS. HAMMES: So that in my mind would argue the greater importance of the handicap accessibility because that is something that he does market that he's got handicap accessible accommodations.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Maybe what would help, me anyway, is getting a little more detail on the proposed ramp that you want to put on Main Street, just so -- maybe it's already here actually.

MR. BROWN: If there isn't, I will provide it.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: It is mentioned in the site plan, it says proposed access ramp. From this site plan, it doesn't really tell me much about a ramp.

MR. BROWN: We have to do a cross-section anyway. I'll do a cross-section.

MR. KYRK: What's represented here is, you know, making the six inches up from the sidewalk to the step in largely in the vestibule, that's what I'm looking at.
CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right, so it literally slopes up from the sidewalk?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: An eighth per foot?

MR. BROWN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: What is the -- I know the code has a --

MR. BROWN: The code -- the ADA code requires one inch per foot, but there is leeway.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.

And your point is that it pitches the whole way and it doesn't level off.

MR. COTUGNO: Correct. The code says you have to have a five-foot section of absolutely flat on either side of the door, which makes sense. Even if you're not handicapped and you're walking up there, you don't want to be walking on a slant and trying to open the door at the same time.

MR. KYRK: Yeah, you're trying -- in a wheelchair, you're to open the door.

MR. COTUGNO: It's common sense.
Forgot the code, forget handicap issues, it's common sense. You don't open a door when you're standing on an incline, that's why it's safer to leave it the way it is now.

MR. BROWN: I guess that's an option.

MR. PELTON: Don't we need to have a ramp?

I'm sorry. Brent Pelton, owner of 300 Main Street.

MR. BROWN: As I was explaining, the code stipulates in an existing structure, especially historical, that ADA compliance is subject to feasibility.

MR. PELTON: It doesn't seem as though it's that big of a step.

MR. BROWN: It's not.

MR. PELTON: I would think that it would be highly feasible -- I've already -- there are attorneys who file lawsuits for ADA compliance, I would certainly want a ramp in front this property and there should be plenty of space to do so without making
Planning Board July 30, 2020

it --

MR. BROWN: The argument is: The specifics of the ADA require a flat landing step before the door; however, given the circumstances here, I would argue that that's not feasible, therefore we should be allowed to have a ramp.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: The other option which we discussed before you came was keeping the ramp where it was originally proposed to be and Robert explained that because of the cost issue you no longer --

MR. BROWN: Originally we had the glass wall facing the courtyard.

MR. PELTON: Yeah. We never had the ramp from that down to the store.

MR. BROWN: No. Not on the side, no.

That's a point, the entrance level of the courtyard is substantially higher than the sidewalk so that a ramp there really doesn't allow access into the interior of the lobby.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So there wasn't a
ramp in the original plans.

MR. PELTON: We just all assumed --

MR. BROWN: I think there was a ramp to bring that entrance, but then there were steps down from the entrance.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: You guys can -- the Building Department can just confirm what the plans that were approved previously, whether or not it provided for a ramp.

MR. PALLAS: I will confirm that, but my memory is that there wasn't a ramp; but I think -- if I may. If I remember correctly, the access to the courtyard from Bay Avenue is level with the sidewalk, correct, there's no steps on that side?

MR. PELTON: The access from -- yes, from the courtyard is level from Bay Avenue but --

MR. PALLAS: Right.

I think that's the -- I think that was the point of the door on the side.

MR. PELTON: But the door on the
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side is probably this high (indicating)
Four feet -- three and a half, four feet off
the grade level of the store.

Don't forget, to get to the
courtyard level to the sidewalk level --

MR. PALLAS: I remember now.

MR. PELTON: You're going up a
number of steps.

But the step to the front door is
very minimal and there's a setback such that
I think it would be entirely safe to do a
very minimal grade at that level and just
have the door. I think that that makes
absolute sense. We do have people that do
it all --

MR. BROWN: We're doing as much as
we can to comply, even though it's not
feasible to comply fully because of the
area.

MR. PELTON: I'd welcome you to
come over and take a look, but I certainly
think that this is the best solution, to
just have a general ramp.

I apologize for being late. I
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thought we were seven on the list.

MR. KYRK: I mean I think a lot of
that could -- a lot of the issues, you know,
if you're opening the door yourself,
particularly in a wheelchair and you need --

MR. PALLAS: Reed, we can't hear
you.

MR. KYRK: If the door opens with
some other mechanism besides your own energy
pushing against it --

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Push bottoms.

MR. KYRK: Yeah, the push button
one or something, I don't want to get this
out of hand, but, you know, the mechanics of
trying to be in a wheelchair and push out
are, you know, having been in a wheelchair
for short periods of time, I think it's
probably very, very difficult. I wouldn't
speak other than from awkward experiences.

MR. PALLAS: This ramp is nothing,
it's a very gentle slope, it's minimal to
what I see routinely utilized throughout
this state, throughout this country,
throughout the country. I think that it's a
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huge improvement versus what it is now, and the whole point of this is to make it more accessible and I believe it's a very easy solution that works.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Would you be open to what Reed suggested, you know, we've all seen them in buildings, push button to the door that opens automatically; is that something you could accommodate for this.

MR. PELTON: We can look into it.

MR. BROWN: We can look into it.

And alternatively, we could do something like with just a door buzzer for assistance and have somebody open the door.

MR. KYRK: Yeah, I mean, the whole thing is the mechanics of doing that action are infeasible.

MR. BROWN: I frequently see, you know, ring bell for assistance for accessibility and have somebody just ring a doorbell and somebody comes to open the door.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: So where is the lobby disk in relation to the front door,
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how close -- how far in is it.

MR. PELTON: It's back towards the
back of the room. I'd say three-quarters of
the way back.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Right in the
middle or on the side or --

MR. PELTON: As I said, about
three-quarters of the way back by in the
middle.

MS. HAMMES: Centered with the
door?

MR. BROWN: Centered with the
door.

MR. COTUGNO: It would probably be
a good idea to show how the lobby is
furnished so they can -- they can answer the
question. A picture is worth a thousand
words.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: It's just if
we're gonna go with the buzzer solution, the
person at the lobby desk is like 150 feet
away, that's not a practical solution.

MR. PELTON: It's not a grand
lobby by any means.
MR. BROWN: And, you know, it's the same distance that someone would go walk through the door and step to the desk.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Yes.

Okay. I think we have to consider this a little bit more, get a little more feedback from the Building Department, but, I mean, personally, I recognize the effort to accommodate. It's a good idea, just we have to get comfortable that it's the right solution in my personal viewpoint on this.

Does anybody else have anything else to add on this application at this time?

MR. KYRK: No.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay.

I'm not sure if we're ready to schedule this. I think we need a little more information on the questions that we asked and then we can, at that time, schedule, you know, a hearing on it. I don't think we're quite ready for that.

I move that we continue the pre-submission conference at this time.
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Do I have the second?

MR. COTUGNO: Second.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All in favor?

MR. PELTON: I'm sorry.

How long would that be for the next meeting?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: The next meeting is the end of next month.

MR. PELTON: If we finish the building, would we be able to get a temporary CO, and then we just do this afterwards?

MR. BROWN: Given that this is an amendment to a previous approval.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I can't speak to that.

MR. PALLAS: I'll have to take a look at what was approved and see if this -- how much change this is before I can do that. I can't answer that sitting here.

MR. PELTON: Is there anything else that we can do to give you more comfort to get this towards a vote?

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I mean, I'm happy
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to put it to a vote. We have to have a
public hearing right, so the question is:
can we schedule a public hearing at the end
of next month or do we wait until further
pre-submission is discussed? I'm happy to
put it to the vote of the entire Board if
they would like the schedule the public
hearing for next -- the end of next month
with the understanding that you would
provide us with the requested information
that we asked for at this hearing in the
interim.

MR. BROWN: If I may, it seems to
me that the issue at hand is really an issue
that would need to be decided ultimately by
the Building Department, so I don't see the
problem with proceeding with Planning Board
approval subject to Building Department
approval.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Well, not
exactly. We raised some issues that are a
concern of this Board, so it not just the
Building Department, it's the Planning Board
that has issues with this, so we're just
I want to keep the process efficient, but I don't want to gloss over any concerns that we have. The main focus really seems to be on this particular ramp and whether or not it is viable.

MR. PELTON: In the application is also the stairway to the basement.

MR. BROWN: We've already discussed that.

MR. PELTON: Which we were hoping to get that done this spring, hoping to get this done, hoping to get this done, and I'd hate to have that held up any more time because I very much am trying to get that stair changed because I'm not proud of that condition at the property. I think that the ramp should be a relatively easy fix. I think that we would be able to submit anything to you over the next month.

Unless, Rob, I'm speaking out of turn.

MR. BROWN: No.

If the ejector pump is that
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serious an issue, we can put the 55-gallon
drywell drum under the drain.

MR. COTUGNO: As long as the water
table is not that high, that would be the
smarter thing to do.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Okay. Well, I
don't mind correcting myself in terms of
procedure. If the rest of the Board is
comfortable, I don't mind scheduling the
public hearing with the understanding that
we're also gonna -- our workload is pretty
light for the end of next month, anyway,
right, we don't have a lot on the docket
coming up.

MR. PALLAS: I don't believe so.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: I don't mind
doing that and with the understanding that
you guys, in the meantime, will have
addressed and attempted to rectify the
concerns that have been raised and we're
always -- the Board's always free to comment
even during the public hearing process, so I
don't see any downside to expediting the
process.
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So with that in mind, I move to schedule a public hearing on this matter for the end of August, the 27th.

Do I have a second from the Board?

MS. HAMMES: Second.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)

Motion carries.

So scheduled.

MR. BROWN: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: Item Number 8:

Motion to adjourn.

MR. COTUGNO: Second.

CHAIRMAN FOOTE: All those in favor?

(Chorus of ayes.)

So moved.

(Time noted: 4:33 p.m.)
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IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 30th day of July, 2020.

______________________  
STEPHANIE O'KEEFFE

Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
(631) 727-1107
### A

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>August</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bad</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basement</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>because</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>been</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>becomes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>15/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>being</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>believe</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bell</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beyond</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>big</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bilco</td>
<td>61/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blood</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>board</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board's</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottoms</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boutique</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bring</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broken</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brought</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>building</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can't</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carries</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caught</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certain</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certainly</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIRMAN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>changed</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorus</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circulated</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circumstances</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>close</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>close</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>colo</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comment</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compared</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concentrated</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>continue</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correct</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correcting</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correctly</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>could</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>couldn't</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>country</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTRY</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>couple</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>courtyard</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>create</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creating</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>criteria</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cross</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curb</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>currently</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### B

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>back</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>basement</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bay</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>be</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>become</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>been</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>becomes</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beech</td>
<td>15/6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>being</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>believe</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bell</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>besides</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>best</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>beyond</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>big</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bilco</td>
<td>61/11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bit</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>blood</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>board</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Board's</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottoms</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>boutique</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brent</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bring</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>broken</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>brought</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brown</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>building</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can't</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carries</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caught</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certain</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certainly</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIRMAN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>changed</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorus</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circulated</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circumstances</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>close</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>close</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comment</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compared</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concentrated</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>continue</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correct</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correcting</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correctly</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>could</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>couldn't</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>country</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTRY</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>couple</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>courtyard</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>create</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creating</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>criteria</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cross</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curb</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>currently</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### C

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>called</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>came</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>can't</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Care</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carpenter</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>carries</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>case</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>caught</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centered</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certain</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>certainly</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHAIRMAN</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>change</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>changed</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chorus</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circulated</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>circumstances</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claudio</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>close</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>close</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>comment</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commercial</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>compared</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>concentrated</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>continue</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correct</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correcting</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>correctly</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cost</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>could</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>couldn't</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>country</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTRY</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>couple</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>courtyard</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>create</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>creating</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>criteria</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cross</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cross-section</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cubic</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>curb</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>currently</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### D

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Word</th>
<th>Page Numbers</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>dangerous</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>day</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>de</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>deal</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dealing</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>decided</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Department</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: The page numbers are placeholders and do not reflect actual page numbers in a document.