VILLAGE OF GREENPORT COUNTY OF SUFFOLK
STATE OF NEW YORK

---------------------------------

ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS

---------------------------------

Third Street Firehouse
Greenport, New York

June 19, 2018
6:02 p.m.

JOHN SALADINO - CHAIRMAN
DAVID CORWIN - MEMBER
ELLEN NEFF - MEMBER
DINI GORDON - MEMBER
ARTHUR TASKER - MEMBER

KRISTINA LINGG - BUILDING CLERK
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item 1 is a motion to accept the minutes of the May 15, 2018 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting.

So moved.

MS. NEFF: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MS. GORDON: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

MR. TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

Any opposed?

(No response.)

Item number 2 is motion to approve the minutes of the April 18, 2018 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting.

So moved.

MS. GORDON: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MS. GORDON: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Any
abstentions?

MR. CORWIN: Abstain.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: One abstention.

MR. TASKER: I abstain. I'm sorry. I abstain because I was not present.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Two abstentions, \

Item number 3, motion to the schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for July 17, 2018 at 6:00 p.m. at the Third Street Fire Station, Greenport, New York 11944.

So moved.

MS. NEFF: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MS. GORDON: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

MR. TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote aye.

Item number 4 is motion to accept the application, schedule a public
hearing and arrange the site visit for
the application of Frank Macken.

The property is located at 138
Sterling Avenue, Greenport, New York
11944. Suffolk County Tax Map number
1001-3-4-29.

Is the applicant here?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Good evening,
Chairman, members of the Board, Nigel
Robert Williamson, PO Box 1758,
Southold, New York 11971.

The applicant is Mr. Frank Macken,
who is also present in case you have
any questions for him.

We are seeking a variance for an
issue brought by Code Enforcement
Office 530-18, Section 150-8A1 and
Section 150-7A.

The property is located in the R-2
zone, it's a lot area of 7,437 square
feet, where under Article 5 --

MR. TASKER: Excuse me, sir.

May I ask you to speak into the
microphone a little louder?

Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
(631) 727-1107
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Or raise it up a little bit.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay. Is that better?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's good.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay. I get complained at for screaming, so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're all hard of hearing, so.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Did you hear me, sir; would you like me to start over?

MR. TASKER: No. No. I'm fine.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay.

There is a previous -- there was a previous determination and variance given under Section 85-6C1C which was dated November 25, 1985, for the use of the barn as an artist studio, workshop and studio; and there was electric, there was a bathroom, there was a sink and water provided at that time for the applicant, Mr. Kozium (phonetic) and his wife.

My client has installed a septic
system, a separate septic system to the structure. He had a conversation with the previous Village administrator who had suggested to him that he should apply for an accessory apartment. He had a list of things -- sorry, sir.

MR. CORWIN: Separate septic system for --

MR. WILLIAMSON: Sewer line, sewer line, sorry, sewer line.

MR. CORWIN: Who was the previous code enforcement who you spoke with?

MR. WILLIAMSON: The Village administrator was Mr. Abatelli at the time.

So -- and Mr. Abatelli had given him a list of things to do to apply for an accessory structure and apartment. He had completed most of them and then he got distracted with something in his life, never did it.

The zoning changed and he's now stuck with the artist studio which he would like to make into an accessory
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do you have --
I had looked at the file and the only
thing I could see from 1985 was a
building permit for non-habitable
structure.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Correct.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do you have --
you said you got an approval from --
we're Zoning Board. Do you have a
zoning variance issued by a previous
board?

MR. WILLIAMSON: There was a
public notice and there's minutes of
the meeting, but I don't know. I
couldn't, in the file, I couldn't find
the approval; and I don't know.

Kristina, you went through the
file when I couldn't find this, and it
was not to be found anywhere today
anyway.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I also read
the minutes and it doesn't indicate
that there was an approval. So what we
have is -- what we have is a building permit for a non-habitable structure.

Your application is for a use variance. I'm sure you know the requirements for a use variance.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Well, that would be my question to you, Mr. Chairman; the use variance under the bulk variance, are bulk and district under the multifamily?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It would be a use not approved in a particular district.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And a second dwelling unit on the property is not an approved use.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Did the Building Department explain this to you? I mean this is your application.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Well, I just got notice of disapproval from Section 150-8.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There was no conversation with the Building Department?

MR. WILLIAMSON: There was no conversation at the time.

MS. GORDON: Is this a --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're going to decide if we're gonna accept this application or not, if the application is completed correctly. The way we find that out is with questions.

MS. GORDON: I would like to know when your client bought this property, was there, was this use as it is, as it has been?

MR. WILLIAMSON: It was the artist studio. When we bought it, it was 1998, January of '98, it was --

MR. MACKEN: Would you like the --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Name and address.

MR. MACKEN: Frank Macken, 158 Sterling Avenue, Greenport.

So when we bought it in '98, it
was approved as a studio and when we --
so for the first five years, we
actually used it ourselves as a family
and rented out the house because we,
you know, we always -- when we came to
look for a house in Greenport, we
needed a place that had a rental
possibility, so when we renovated in
2003, Mr. Abatelli said, well, given
the lot size, you could actually have a
three-family, two-family in the house
and a one-family in the cottage; or you
could have a two-family, one family in
the house and one family in the
cottage; and you can do that as of
right.

He gave me a --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have to be
honest with you, you know. We all know
Dave Abatelli. He's a pretty smart
guy. I'm finding it hard to believe
that he would say that. That's totally
contrary to the Village code.

MR. MACKEN: Based on the lot size
of 7,500 square feet, I understood that
the zoning was changed later on to say
that you couldn't. And he said -- so
he said -- okay. So this is what he
said. He said, well, we would just do
one family in the house and one family
in the cottage and he gave me a list of
things to do; separate the utilities,
put in certain types of windows and
this and that. So I did a bunch of
work on it.

I put in radiant heat, floor
heating and stuff. But then we ran out
of money after finishing renovating the
house. And then the zoning changed
which I never realized it could do. I
was trying to do everything myself,
which I unfortunately have a tendency
to do without getting professional
help, advice, so we ended up in this
kind of catch 22 where the house was
complete as a one-family, then, you
know, we're just kind of left in this
kind of limbo situation and that's
basically been it.

MS. NEFF: Chairman and applicant, I am confused about the expression zoning change.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Under Mayor Nyce there was an issue back around 2007, 2008, something like that, there was an issue about 7,500 square feet and there was a lot of, like, stuff going on, chatter going on about this. And so I think that they were trying to stop a certain developer from overloading properties so that they said overnight, just said, okay, from now on, this is no longer as of right, it's --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think what you're referring to is a moratorium that they put on subdivision, and this would have nothing to do -- you didn't apply for a subdivision, did you?

MR. MACKEN: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There was a moratorium on subdivisions. The code changed in 1971. I don't think we have
had a code change since 1971.

MR. CORWIN: No.

After that, Mr. Kapell, Mayor Kapell said there's a bunch of 7,500 square feet lots around when the lot size was 10,000 square feet, so he said let's make an allowable lot size 7,500 square feet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I don't think that has anything to do with what we're talking about.

MR. TASKER: I think the questions and the concerns that we have have nothing to do with the lot size, it's the use.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's the use and, I mean, what we have in front of us is an accessory building, a non-habitable accessory building, and you're asking to, if I'm reading your application correct, we have a non-habitable accessory building, and you're asking us to allow you to make that into a residence.
The code -- the codes on many issues doesn't allow that. So what we have in front of us here is an application for a use variance and it's a little, there's a few things missing from it. What is the concern that you have?

MR. CORWIN: Well, that has a survey, it doesn't have an environmental assessment.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There's no EAF with your application which kind of makes it incomplete.

Also, the criteria for a use variance is undue hardship and how you determine that is strictly dollars and cents.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So if you're gonna make that application, I don't have any financial information here and stuff.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Well, Mr. Chairman, would it be a use variance
and that's why --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's your application.

MR. WILLIAMSON: No.

-- or an area variance because would it come under Article 5 for the district bulk and parking regulations schedule of regulations for R-2 District two-family dwelling?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Why do you keep insisting on lot size? It has nothing to do with lot size.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: An artist studio is an accessory use to the principal building. Usually artist studios are inside. If you read the code, artist studios, they even dictate how much floor space in the principal building and stuff.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's never, usually never --

MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay. Just
asking.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So you're basically asking us to let you turn a barn into a house, a second dwelling unit on the property which we would have to -- right now, all we're talking about is accepting this application.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As far as the merits, we would get into that after a public hearing.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Right.

MS. NEFF: Can I ask one question? Are there any COs for the property as it's presently situated or exists?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Correct. There is a CO, a pre-CO for the house. There is a CO or CC for the porch addition on the front.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the deck.

MR. WILLIAMSON: And the deck, yes.

And the deck in the back, I think there was a CO for, and then there is a
CO for artist studio as a non-habitable structure.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. All we have is a building permit for that, we don't have a CO or a pre-CO for that.

MR. MACKEN: I have seen it.

MR. WILLIAMSON: (Handing something to Chairman Saladino.)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The use is a non-habitable artist studio.

This is yours. Thank you (handing).

Do we have that?

MR. TASKER: It's in the file.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do any of the members have something they would like to ask before we vote to accept this application?

I'm just uncomfortable with the information that was provided to us. We have all -- everybody that's been through training seminar learns that for us to accept an application, it has to be complete and correct.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just by the couple of things that we mentioned here, at this point in time, this application is incomplete. We can kind of talk about it a little bit if we want to perhaps accept the application and look for this information next time, or we could ask for the information and have him resubmit the application.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Would you like to table it till the next time, Mr. Chairman?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm gonna ask the members.

If you're asking to table it, we could table it, you'll take the pressure off us.

MS. GORDON: I think it would be, if we accepted it conditional on providing some financial information that supports the contention that it would be an undue hardship to reject.
this and the environmental impact statement, I guess the short form, we could do that.

But the problem with that is that if we are having the hearing when we have just received that information, we’re not going to be able to have absorbed it and figured out how it fits.

That's my view.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We pride ourselves in doing our homework, so we would like the information, you know, a reasonable amount of time before so we can digest it.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Absolutely.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: To be fair to you, also so we have all the information.

MR. WILLIAMSON: So I would not withdraw, we would just be tabling it till --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We would table it.
MR. WILLIAMSON: Okay.

MS. NEFF: Can I ask one more --

MR. TASKER: I'm sorry, we're gonna table it? Table it with conditions?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're gonna talk about that. He's willing -- he doesn't want to withdraw his application. He would like to table it and provide us with the additional information, and it would come up next --

MS. GORDON: It would come up for reviewing it, rather than coming up as --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Whether to accept it or not.

MS. GORDON: We wouldn't be holding the hearing.

MR. TASKER: Postponing the acceptance?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes.

Ellen, did you have a question?

MS. NEFF: Where I see this, it
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says something about it's a two-family dwelling. My question is about the CO.

We don't know that this structure, the principal structure is a two-family house, right, we don't know that?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. Actually in his testimony, he has it's a one-family house.

MS. NEFF: Right, and his contention about two-family has to do with that there are two-family houses in the zone.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Maybe we should get into that when the public hearing and --

MS. NEFF: I think it's an important clarification because if he's basing his request to have dwelling one -- well, you know, house, other thing, that if it was a two-family house, I think what I'm hearing is it could have been a two-family house --

MR. WILLIAMSON: Exactly.

MS. NEFF: But, in fact, it is not...
a two-family house as specified in the Village --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm confused.

MR. MACKEN: Because we made the decision, based on what Mr. Abatelli told me, we made the decision to make the house a one-family instead of designing a two-family within the one house.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Was it ever a two-family house?

MR. MACKEN: It wasn't a two-family house.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It was never a two-family house.

MR. MACKEN: No, but there were two separate -- but Abatelli said that we could, he gave us the option of doing that and based on what he said, so turn around and try and, you know, we redesign, renovate the house to accommodate -- I mean, basically, we he need the rental income, so, I mean, that's what I'm to do.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We certainly understand that and -- we certainly understand that and obviously if this wasn't important to you, you wouldn't be here today.

Just to answer Ellen's question, it was never at any time a two-family house.

MR. MACKEN: It's taxed under, the Southold tax bill says two-family, the Greenport tax bill said one-family. I know, but I'm just saying.

MS. NEFF: I think it would be useful to have any document about tax status as part of the package.

MS. GORDON: I have another question.

How many square feet, livable square feet does the cottage, as you describe it, have?

MR. WILLIAMSON: It has 194.8 square feet on the second floor, and it has 271 on the first floor, habitable space, excluding bathrooms, excluding
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But the footprint is considerably bigger.

MR. WILLIAMSON: The footprint is absolutely bigger. I just measured the habitable space.

MR. MACKEN: Excluding the bathroom.

MR. WILLIAMSON: The habitable space, just living area, bedroom area, excluding porch, excluding bathroom, excluding closet.

MS. GORDON: However it's measured, it's going to be less than a thousand square feet?

MR. WILLIAMSON: Absolutely.

MR. TASKER: With respect to the application and modification that you can make in anticipation, I invite you to look more closely at the reasons for use variance, this page here enumerates the reasons for use variance (indicating).

Number 4, which requires, which
states the alleged hardship has not been self-created because -- I think you need to review your response to that as to whether or not it was self-created.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have -- that's a good point, Arthur, and in anticipating that, I printed out from New York State Village law, from Mr. Coon's which is the zoning bible, handbook and it gives you the definition of a use variance, it gives you -- it also goes into what an undue hardship is, it also gives you examples of how a hardship is self-created. You know, if you perhaps were the contract vendee, this might not be a self-created hardship, but if someone perhaps buys a piece of property with particular accessory building and then, you know -- New York State doesn't consider that -- they consider that a self-created hardship.

Also, just to remind you, just to
remind the applicant that unlike an area variance, we're bound by these four questions. One yes answer to these four questions, by law, the application has to be rejected.

So I printed it out for you so you could review it a little better, perhaps modify your answer, maybe sway us a little better. And this is online too, you know, I printed it for you, so you can have that and familiarize yourself.

What is the pleasure of the Board? Are we gonna ask the applicant -- are we gonna table this till next month with certain information that we have been asking of him; is that what we're thinking here?

MS. GORDON: Yes.

MR. CORWIN: We're not going to schedule an inspection or public hearing?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. We're gonna table the application, he's gonna
come next month and provide us with the
information and we'll hopefully accept
the application, then schedule a public
hearing, site inspection and that's it.

So I'm going to make a motion that
we table this application until our
July 17th meeting, and we're gonna ask
the applicant, just so everybody's on
the same page, we're gonna need some
financial information, we're gonna need
an EAF, short form.

Anybody else have anything?

MR. TASKER: And the review of the
responses to the question about --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're gonna
leave that up to him, Arthur. He has
the information, he knows what he has
to do to get the variances. We're not
going to tell him what to write. It's
his application, so that's what we're
gonna do.

I'm gonna make that motion. So
moved.

MS. GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MS. GORDON: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

MR. TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote aye. Thank you.

MR. WILLIAMSON: Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Thank you, Board members.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item number 5, motion to accept the application, schedule a public hearing and arrange a site visit for the application of Paul Livesey and Sally Grant for the property located at 150 Sterling Avenue, Greenport, New York 11944. Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-3-4-27.

Are you the applicant?

MR. LOVELESS: I'm Jared Loveless (phonetic); I'm speaking on their behalf.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do we have --
MR. LOVELESS: We filed it through our company Beckter East (phonetic); I'm the president.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.

MR. LOVELESS: We are seeking a side yard variance at map Section 100 or Section 3, block 4, lot 27. The address is 150 Sterling Avenue. They're looking, generally speaking, to extend the house backwards towards the backyard.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. And did I read it's going to be a kitchen mudroom?

MR. LOVELESS: Yeah, primarily to extend the kitchen and add a laundry room.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And it's a one-story?

MR. LOVELESS: The main house is two stories, the addition will be one.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The addition is one story?

MR. LOVELESS: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just for my own -- maybe these guys can read these plans a little better than me. I'm looking at the front of the house, and I had read something that -- did I read that you're gonna rebuild this tower on the top?

MR. LOVELESS: Yes, that's correct.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is that there already?

MR. LOVELESS: It is there, yes. The tower is there, it's flat on the top right now because at one point the rest of it blew off.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. But the footprint is -- what I'm thinking about is the front yard setback, the --

MR. LOVELESS: Yeah, the tower is there, it's staying.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.

MR. TASKER: I have to say, a very good friend or ours lived next door to
that house for many years and the tower was always kind of mysterious. We presumed it was a staircase.

MR. LOVELESS: It does have a spiral staircase in it.

MR. TASKER: It was always quite mysterious.

You're undertaking a lot of work; I think it's going to be a very handsome building if this goes forward.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: We hope so.

MR. TASKER: I would like to mention, and this has nothing to do with your application, it does have to do with the notice of disapproval; the Building Department would have, first it states that the property is located at 150 Sterling Street, when, in fact, it's Sterling Avenue, and at the bottom part of the notice of disapproval it says the premises of which this application applies to is located at 416 South Street in the R-2 District, confusing another application before us.
now. So we need a corrected notice of disapproval from the Building Department before we can proceed on this.

MS. LINGG: I'll get that taken care of tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're not suggesting that we wait --

MR. TASKER: No. No. Do it conditional on receiving that from the Building Department.

MR. LOVELESS: Thank you.

MS. GORDON: I have a question.

It does say somewhere that this is in the Historic District and I should have looked to see whether that's the case.

MR. LOVELESS: There is a little bit of confusion. I think we're right on the edge of it, but they would like to stay as historically accurate as possible.

MS. GORDON: If you're in the Historic District, you have to provide
the form that comes from the Historic District Commission and I'm --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You would have had to see them first.

MR. LOVELESS: I believe that's a mistake; I don't believe we're in the Historic District.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have the map here.

MR. LOVELESS: That should tell us.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we're going to find it.

MR. LOVELESS: It's the third in from the corner.

MS. LINGG: It's not in the Historic District; I've got an app.

MS. GORDON: It's just that you checked in the application, the very first question is, is this property in the Historic District, and you checked yes.

MR. LOVELESS: That was an error.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's the third
property from the corner?

MR. LOVELESS: Yeah.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: According to the map, you're not in the Historic District.

MS. GORDON: Okay.

MR. LOVELESS: But they're gonna treat it as if they were. They want to restore it to its full beauty. They want to restore it with wood windows.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sounds expensive.

MR. LOVELESS: Thank you for saying that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What's the pleasure of the Board? Are we gonna accept this application?

MR. CORWIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm gonna make a motion that we accept this application and we'll schedule a public hearing for July 17th, and we'll schedule a site visit for July 17th at 5 o'clock. I'll make that motion.
I'll make a motion to accept the application, schedule a public hearing for July 17th at 6:00 p.m. We'll schedule a site visit for the same day, July 17th at 5:00 p.m. at the property.

MR. LOVELESS: Sounds good.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're gonna vote first.

So moved.

MR. CORWIN: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MS. GORDON: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

MR. TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

MR. LOVELESS: Thank you for your time.

MR. TASKER: Stake out the new addition.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Usually we ask you to stake out the addition.

MR. LOVELESS: Certainly.
MS. NEFF: Of course, post the various permit --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's between them and the Building Department, but we would just remind you that you're gonna need a placard and perhaps just look at it and make sure everything is right on it because if the placard is wrong, we can't do the public hearing.

MR. LOVELESS: You will provide the placard or we have to --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Building Department provides that.

MR. LOVELESS: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item number 6 is a motion to accept the application, schedule a public hearing and arrange a site visit for the application of Laura Di Capua for the property located at 416 South Street, Greenport, New York 11944.

Suffolk County Tax Map number 1001-4-5-12.

Is the applicant here?
I'm uncomfortable accepting this application without the applicant.

MR. TASKER: Me too.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're gonna make a motion that we table this application to the next meeting.

MR. CORWIN: Can I ask why you don't want to accept it?

Notwithstanding the fact that there is no survey.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I'm uncomfortable accepting the application without the applicant being here. I mean, I thought she should at least be here to explain what she wants to do. Perhaps explain why there is no survey. Perhaps we could have told her to provide a survey since we're going to put a condition on accepting this application.

I'll put it up for a vote.

I'll make a motion that we table this application until our next meeting.
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So moved.

MR. TASKER: It's not stapled.

MR. CORWIN: Can you please note in the motion that there is no survey?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.

I'll remake that motion.

MS. GORDON: I would like to have some explanation of, it says prior appeal has been made with respect to this property in 2012. I think just the basic information about that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Diana.

I'm uncomfortable accepting the application without the applicant being here. I mean, David has concerns about a survey, you have concerns about an additional question on the application. I feel that --

MR. TASKER: I think our collective concerns merit waiting on this.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I agree.

I'm gonna make that motion again.

I'm going to make a motion that we
table this application until our next meeting. At that time, we're going to ask the applicant to provide us with a stamped and signed survey, a question perhaps to be answered --

MS. GORDON: History of prior appeal.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: History of the prior appeal that she checked on her application and -- are there other concerns?

(No response.)

So moved.

MS. NEFF: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MS. GORDON: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

MR. TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote aye.

Any opposed?

(No response.)

MR. CORWIN: That puts us in a position of having an inspection.
scheduled for 5 o'clock. It would be better scheduled for 5:30.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Either that or you can take us all out for a hamburger between 5:15 and 6 o'clock.

All right, the last item is item number 7 -- before I make this motion to adjourn, Kristina, are you gonna notify this applicant and let her know that usually they show up at these meetings?

MS. LINGG: She was aware of the time of this meeting and I had gone over the process; I'm not sure why she didn't show up, but I will inform her.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're hoping there wasn't an emergency or problem.

MS. LINGG: Yes. I'll check in with her tomorrow.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item number 7 is a motion to adjourn.

So moved.

MR. TASKER: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MS. GORDON: Aye.

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

MR. TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote aye.

Thanks for coming, folks.

(Time noted: 6:40 p.m.)
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