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(Whereupon, the meeting was called to order at 7:01 p.m.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I call this meeting to order at 7:01. Pledge to the flag.

(Whereupon, all stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Please remain standing for a moment of silence for Margaret Baier and Thomas J. Moran.

(Whereupon, all remained standing for a Moment of Silence.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. You may be seated.

All right. I got a few announcements.

The East End Seaport Museum Maritime Festival will be held from September 25th through September 27th.

The Deer Management Hunting Program will open on October 1st in the western portion of Moore's Woods. Applications are due to the Clerk's Office by September 29th, and the lottery will take place on September 30th. Please contact the Clerk's Office for additional details.

The October meetings of the Audit and Code Committee will be held on October 5th. That's a week earlier, because it's Columbus Day the following week.
and Village Hall will be closed.

The dates for the Greenport Farmers Market have been extended through October 10th, with the exception of September 26th, this Saturday, when there will be no Farmers Market.

The Village, in conjunction with the PTA and Library, will be sponsoring a Halloween event, with the Halloween Parade beginning at the Carousel at 9:45 a.m. on October 31st.

Okay. That's all I have under announcements. We'll go to the public to address the Board.

Mr. Corwin.

MR. CORWIN: My name is David Corwin. Excuse me. My name is David Corwin. This is the third time I have registered complaints with the Village Board about nonfeasance or misfeasance in the Building Department. I would like to make you aware some of the deficiencies, mistakes or omissions in your Building Department files.

The first file I refer to is Suffolk County Tax Map #1001-7-1-15.1, 131 South (Sixth) Street, which is owned by Douglas Roberts. This is a swimming -- there is a swimming pool located on the property which needed a variance for a problem a previous owner created when they subdivided the property. The
Zoning Board of Appeals gave the swimming pool a variance in August of 2014 that allowed Mr. Roberts to complete the purchase of the property.

The swimming pool had a temporary Certificate of Occupancy that expired in 2010. A temporary CO must be granted by the ZBA. There is no paperwork indicating the ZBA approved the issuance of a temporary CO with a swimming pool. The Building Inspector issued the temporary CO, and as I interpret the code, the ZBA must be -- issue the temporary CO.

All pools must have a four-foot-high fence for obvious reasons. When the wall of the house forms part of the required fence, the New York State Building Code says:

- Section G107, Swimming Pool and Spa Alarms;
  - G107.1 Applicability, a swimming pool or spa installed, constructed or substantially modified after December 2006 must be equipped with an approved pool alarm.

Exceptions: A swimming pool equipped with an automatic power safety cover which complies with ASTM F1346, as listed in Section AG109.

As a member of the ZBA, I inspected the swimming pool with the ZBA and did not see any of these devices. Perhaps an additional fence or other
device has been installed since the inspection. Mr. Roberts has a small child. There is nothing in the file to indicate an inspection to verify that such devices were installed. There is nothing in the file to indicate the swimming pool has a CO or is part of a CO.

The next house south of Mr. Roberts is 133 Sixth Street, Suffolk County Tax Map #1001-7-1-15.2, the subdivided lot from the former 131 Sixth Street property. This structure is in a flood zone and is built on concrete piers.

The building permit has been removed from the front of the house and it looks like it may be occupied on weekends. The building permit is supposed to remain until the house is completed and a Certificate of Occupancy is issued.

There is a liquid petroleum gas tank shown on a site plan in the file at the southwest corner of the property. The 2007 Fuel Or Gas Code of New York State says LPG tanks must be designed and installed above the design flood elevation, or to resist hydrostatic and hydrodynamic loads and stresses, including the effects of buoyancy during flooding. There is no plan showing anchoring for the LPG tank which will be subject to flooding. There is a 420
pound LPG tank located on the south side of the house at ground elevation. From the street, the tank does not appear to be anchored.

The house does not appear to be complete. The house has not been issued a Certificate of Occupancy. There is no punch list or tickler in the file indicating additional inspections and paperwork are needed. The house does appear that it is being used or possibly rented on weekends.

The house at 437 First Street, Suffolk County Tax Map #1001-4-6-39, some of you may remember that as Marion Hartley's house. This house looks like it is occupied at least on the weekends. The building permit has been removed from the window. No Certificate of Occupancy appears to have been issued.

The house needed a variance from the Zoning Board of Appeals for a deck in the rear. The ZBA gave the house a variance for the work in 2014. Part of the variance required that all rainwater be retained on the property. There are two front porch rainwater leaders that lead to a concrete driveway sloping to First Street. No attempt appears to have been made to conform with the ZBA requirements.

There is no punch list or tickler for final inspection, paperwork or Certificate of Occupancy for...
this house in the file.

I realize it is just a matter of time before the last two houses request Certificate of Occupancies. My concern is that these items, the LPG tank and the stormwater runoff will be missed or ignored. It is not hard to find missed requirements of this nature in the files in the Building Department.

I asked you to replace the Building Inspector a couple of months ago, and I ask again that you replace the Building Inspector, not hire an overseer for the Building Inspector? If you don't want to or can't terminate the Building Inspector because of the union, you could always find another position for the Building Inspector. Possibly, the Building Inspector could be the hospitality coordinator whose duties could include Dances in the Park. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. Anybody else want to address the Board? Name and address for the record.

MS. POLLACK: Karen Pollack, 630 First Street. My name is Karen Pollack, 630 First Street. I'm here tonight to talk to you about the Building Department.

I have over 10 years of experience in residential construction management and have been
involved in development in Hauppauge, Islandia Farmingville and Port Jefferson. I've worked with Building Departments in the Towns of Islip, Brookhaven and Riverhead. I've applied for dozens of building permits, scheduled many inspections, and have successfully applied for subdivisions and variances.

In my experience, the duties of the Building Inspector focus on two bodies of law which constitute the "Bible". The "Old Testament" is local zoning, which specifies what can be legally built and where. The "New Testament" is New York State Code, which specifies how it can be built. These codes are not guidelines, recommendations or suggestions, these are laws.

The Village of Greenport is no different. Our Village Code clearly states the duties of the Building Inspector. Her job is to enforce the zoning and building codes of this Village in this State, nothing more and nothing less. The Building Inspector doesn't make the rules.

The permitting process in building can be complex, and that is why proper procedures need to be followed. These procedures are followed elsewhere and they are supposed to be followed in Greenport.
All projects begin with the application for a building permit and State Law tells us what is required for the application. This is the law, not -- simply stated, if a project requires a permit, it requires plans and specifications, not a sketch, an elevation or merely an idea. If a site plan is required, it must be in place before the application is accepted. If additional approvals from Historic Preservation, Planning or Zoning Boards are required, then those bodies need to know exactly what's proposed, and have documentation that each project will conform with State Codes.

For example, if the ZBA grants a variance, that approval is for a specific project with the complete printed application and for nothing else. As you can see from this algorithm provided by Eileen Wingate to the Board of Trustees, these procedures are alive and well in Greenport. So my question is, why aren't they being followed?

I reviewed a number of Building Department files and have been shocked and appalled by what I've seen. Our Building Inspector has been breaking our laws recklessly and frequently. Her work is sloppy and unacceptable.

For 629 Main Street, here's an illegal CO
signed by Eileen Wingate for the same address. Same address, here's an illegal building permit, and here's another illegal CO. That's just the beginning of what's wrong with this file.

Here's another example, and this one is my favorite, 314 Center Street. In 2006, Eileen Wingate inspected a garage on this property to confirm that it was not being used as a residence, and yet, in 2011, she issued a building permit illegally to convert the very same garage into an accessory apartment, followed by a Certificate of Occupancy. All of you should know that there's no such thing as an accessory apartment in Greenport, unless it fits the criteria for legal nonconformity in the Village Code. No reasonable person would think that this illegal permit is a mere mistake. In my opinion, this is malfeasance and wreaks of corruption. I will be filing a formal complaint, and Ed Ward can enforce the code that the Building Inspector saw fit to ignore.

If you've been assuming that all required inspections have been performed and documented as required by law, you need to think again.

Going back to 629 Main Street, a building permit was issued for a 600 square foot garage.
There is no record that the footings were inspected, neither was the framing or strapping. There's no record of electrical inspection. There is no final inspection. So a CO should not have been issued, yet it was.

The same permit included repair and/or replacement of two porches. Not only are there no stamped plans or specs, there is no record that the work was ever inspected.

On the chart provided, you will find several other instances where inspections haven't been done. As David pointed out, temporary COs have been written without ZBA approval. The Building Inspector can write a CO or deny a CO, but only the ZBA can approve the writing of a temporary CO, that's in our code.

Now let's talk about money. Nowhere in the Village Code does it say that the Building Inspector has any discretionary authority whatsoever to reduce, modify or waive Building Department fees. Always remember that the fees assessed are not dependant on the success of the application. The meter is running and Village money is being spent whether the application is successful or not.

On this chart, you will see more than a dozen instances where fees owed to the Village went
uncollected. I've reviewed only a handful of files and identified nearly $4,000 that was left on the table.

Recently, a resolution was passed by this Board to require that the cost of transcription be passed on to the applicants. Would this be necessary if the fees were collected? Are you aware that there have been public hearings for which the applicant didn't pay a penny? Not a penny.

Now for a reality check. I've analyzed the Building Department reports submitted to the Board -- to the Board -- blah -- of Trustees going back years. First, I am at a loss as to why the Board even accepts reports that tell you almost nothing. You don't know what type of projects, the locations, or what fees were paid. You will read about tremendous and enormous levels of building activity. Really?

Please take the time to actually look at the number of permits issued over time. You will see that our Building Department processes fewer than one permit per week. Planning Board applications are at a rate of 1.6 per month. Is this why the Village hired a Planning Board Consultant? How much is that costing taxpayers? ZBA applications are at a whopping 1.3 per month.
Regarding the work done in enforcing the new rental law, my understanding is that, so far, 260 letters with applications have been sent. But, of course, that doesn't mean that 260 individual case-specific letters were written. It means that one letter were sent 260 times. It might seem like a big job until you realize that it's taken nearly a year to get this far.

Here's some more reality: The Town of Southold consists of part Laurel, Mattituck, New Suffolk, Cutchogue, Peconic, Southold, East Marion and Orient, not to mention the part of Greenport outside of the Village; nearly eight times the population of Greenport, over 40 times the area of Greenport. They process approximately five times the number of building permits, and they do it with two full-time Building Inspectors.

I can't believe that a village of one square mile, this Board can even consider the appointment of yet another full-time Building Inspector. The solution is to remove the problem, not throw the taxpayers' money at it. There's no way that fees and fines can cover even a small percentage of salary and benefits for yet another full-time employee.

Hiring an unnecessary second Building Inspector
would result in each building permit costing this Village approximately $4,000. How is that not insanity?

Here's what I think is a more efficient and more cost effective idea: Dismiss the current Building Inspector, and if you need to, offer her a different position within the Village. Hire a competent Building Inspector who can keep up, keep up with one permit a week, and not cause problems that this Village will have to live with forever.

I would be happy to meet with any or all of you at any time to discuss these issues in greater detail, and I've got the background for it. At the very least, I beg you to table the resolution vote on hiring a Senior Building Inspector until you have a clearer understanding of the extent and the breadth and the depth of the problem at hand. Thank you for your attention.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.


Two things first. I just want to thank Mary Bess Phillips for having her Facebook page. I've been -- and having things acted on fairly quickly. I had a recent incident where there smelled like someone died underneath our store. I didn't know
where it was coming from. I let Mary Bess know and
Julie Robins came right over to see if there was
anything she could do.

Second, when we had the power outage, I noticed
a huge difference this time versus the last time. I
understood that things took time, and Julia Robins
went around to each of the stores and just made sure
that we were all in the know, so I appreciate that
also.

So the reason I'm here tonight is because maybe
a year-and-a-half or two years, I kind of came out
with guns blazing talking about like the health
benefits. And I think at that time, because I
personally didn't have health benefits, and I do have
a husband who has an illness, I took it personally
that a part-time Board would allow themselves to take
advantage of a full benefit package. And I
understand a lot of the feedback was, "Well, it's
there, so we take it." But now, since not having
health insurance, we have acquired it with the
Affordable Care Act. It has been a life-saver,
literally. We got it just in time. And I'm just
here to tell you how -- that it's very, very easy.
It's extremely affordable. One prescription that I
got was $3, something that I used to pay $20 for, and
I thought that was a mistake.

So when we're looking at things like we don't have the money in the budget for like park improvement or certain things here and there, I almost think that maybe if we did have the extra funds from, I guess, three Trustees not taking health benefits, maybe those kinds of things could go towards those. I don't want to get between anyone and their health benefits, because I know what it's like not to have it, but I'm just here to sort of say that it's very, very easy to get and really affordable, and that's really it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.

MS. WILHELM: Just something to consider.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Anybody else want to address the Board? Bill.

MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street.

What David and the young -- especially what the young lady had to say is very serious. This is no longer -- there has to be an investigation. And if things are as bad as she's saying, you can dismiss this employee, believe me, Civil Service or not. But something is very wrong here, and it touches on something else.
I went to the Planning Board meeting tonight and I've been going to the Planning Board. This Village does not follow the same -- in other words, one person comes in and they get what they want. The next person comes in, they got to have this, they have parking. The inconsistencies and the selective enforcement in this Village, to me, it's almost like unbelievable. We don't even have a code anymore when it applies to certain people, and other people are made to jump through hoops.

This can't go on. It makes the whole thing, maybe -- I can't even find a word for it, but this Board is going to have to settle this issue. And the issue of the Building Inspector, yeah, you can't -- it's really bad.

And, you know, another problem is our Attorney. I've asked him a couple -- and he seems to interpret one thing one way and the next thing -- maybe we had better start looking at the whole structure of what's going on in Planning, Building and Zoning here, because something surely isn't right, and it'll reach the point where somebody might sue us and actually win a large settlement because we can't do what we're doing.

Anyway, that was just a -- the next thing is,
oh, George, on the same subject about the Bego-Ezair
Hotel. You promised me an answer at the work
session, why they were required to have parking and
the others places aren't. Did you get that answer?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: George, I can answer that.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, well, Mary Bess, yes.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. When that particular
project, when that project came before the ZBA at the
time, they had parking behind the building. The
owner wanted to take the parking away to create a --
I think it was called Zen Garden at the time. So the
ZBA told her that she had to -- at the time, they
owned not only that piece, but the piece across the
street, Eldabew (phonetic) Restaurant. The ZBA and
the owner at the time agreed to put the parking
across the street, that they originally had parking
behind the building. She took it away, and the ZBA
at the time requested from her that she, and she
agreed to it, to put the parking behind the
restaurant across the street for the tenants of
the --

MR. SWISKEY: But according to the Village
Code, Mary Bess, and it's quite specific, you know,
with the Planning Board, you couldn't require them to
have any parking.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Once again, the ZBA made the decision. I didn't go back and look at the file or the minutes, but that's what my recollection was.

MR. SWISKEY: The ZBA made a decision, but the ZBA violated the Village Code. That was an existing building. It needed no -- was that what the Lawyer advised?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Bill, that was how many years ago? I honestly -- I'm going off the top of my memory, because I didn't have a chance to look at the building file this week, to be honest with you.

MR. SWISKEY: But I was promised a straight answer on it this week, because, I mean, it's like this -- the Village Code is quite clear. We can't force anybody, even if they have parking, to keep the parking. In other words, basically, we're the Wild West, and whether we like it or not, we can't force somebody to do something with parking, yet we force this person. But now, when I asked about -- because this American Beech is a Type I, a SEQRA, whatever it is, well, couldn't we force them to provide some parking? And then the Planning Board says we're not going do that.

Well, selective enforcement is the big thing. I don't care if this one has parking and this one
doesn't. We have to be consistent, and we are nowhere near consistent in the way we apply our building permits, and our codes or anything. It's got to stop.

And this -- that was -- when this young woman stood up and she started -- she did a thorough investigation, and you may have to get your labor counsel to mirror this investigation, because if this has been happening, there's grounds there for dismissal, I can tell you that. You know, I've been around and you could fire people.

Or here's the better one: This is the one I was going say before all of this came up, hiring a Senior Building Inspector. You know, I'm looking around this Village and it seems to have become -- if somebody doesn't want to do their job, we'll hire somebody else to do it. Well, maybe it's time to put not only her but some other people back to work. In other words, we pay a lot of money for these people, let's get our monies worth.

Anyway, to get down to some issues here. The rebuilding of the -- 15,000 to rebuild the two pumps at the Central Pump Station. Do we have a spare pump for there? Because it used to be a procedure we had a spare pump.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I believe so. One -- they use one and they send the other one out to rebuilt, so they always keep a spare.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, that's correct.

MR. SWISKEY: So why is it that this doesn't even make the agenda? In other words, this used to be just a common thing, you know what I mean?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I think because he was using -- he's doing a budget mod to cover the cost of it, that's why it's on there.

MR. PALLAS: Yes, it's a budget mod.

CLERK PIRILLO: It's a budget mod.

MAYOR HUBBARD: It was coming from a different line item, Bill, so that's why he did the budget mod to move the money over.

MR. SWISKEY: Because that's -- like I say, that's the standard.

MAYOR HUBBARD: It should be routine, yes.

MR. SWISKEY: You know, if you want -- now, the other one is Resolution Number 10, the emergency repairs at the Light Plant. It amounts to $55,000. You know, that's when we didn't know the cable failed.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

MR. SWISKEY: We thought the transformer was...
bad. That's a lot of money for incompetence. You should have been able to determine on day one, and I'm saying this from experience, within an hour that your cable had failed following the procedure that used to be set down, because there was a procedure for this. I don't know whether it got lost over the years, or whatever it was, but it used to be right on the switchboard what you would do when the -- so I think there has to be a shakeup there, too. Something has -- we can't go on like this. In other words, we're throwing money away that we shouldn't be throwing money away. It's -- and it comes to a -- what is it, a 3.5 million dollar upgrade, that most of it don't work, or most of it's not right.

And I was looking at -- I got this thing in -- from Mary Bess, she sent it to me. We're going to spend -- I didn't do the figures -- over another million and some dollars to correct the mistakes in the 3.5 -- I think this Board should have a serious discussion before it spends anymore money at all. In other words, if you looked at some of those things -- didn't we, in the last eight years in the Nyce administration, brag on we rebuilt the engines at the Light Plant?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, they did new liners, and
did pistons and other work on the engines, yes.

MR. SWISKEY: They did a lot of work on those
engines.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

MR. SWISKEY: Yet, now we're saying these
engines need all this work.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I know it's -- I don't believe
it's repairing stuff that was done before, the work
that George and Eddie had done. I don't believe
they're redoing any of that stuff up there.

MR. PALLAS: It's different, it's different
items.

MAYOR HUBBARD: It's different items.

MR. SWISKEY: What different items?

MR. PALLAS: Pardon me?

MR. SWISKEY: What different items?

MR. PALLAS: Bill, I don't have the list in
front of me. It's auxiliary equipment, pound boards,
things like that, pumps. I think a variety of --

MR. SWISKEY: What's wrong with the pumps? The
pump either works or it doesn't.

MR. PALLAS: I don't have the list in front of
me, so I'd have to review the list.

MR. SWISKEY: I think maybe somebody had better
take a serious look and see if we have to really
spend this much money on -- for an engine that you
basically aren't going to run 100 hours a year. That
old eight-inch pump, believe it or not, is going to
run. If it's a packing pump, you maintain the
packing. You grease the motor, it will run, it will
pump. In other words, it's -- we replaced the switch
gear. Now we can't even get the damn engines to
operate right online. So there's multiple things
there that -- I just feel that --

MAYOR HUBBARD: We're taking a long, hard look
at all of that, Bill, to try to come up with the best
solution, yes.

MR. SWISKEY: And before you spend any money,
take a real hard look.

And, all right, now we're -- here, Item Number
18, the rejection of the cooling tower bids, all
right? Who's handling the engineering on that
cooling tower bid?

MAYOR HUBBARD: The engineering work was
already done and the design was okay, but we only had
one person that bid on it. So they're going to
get -- see if they get more people to bid on doing
the work.

MR. SWISKEY: This is Braun Engineering. Are
you sure this work is sufficient?
MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm told it is. I'm not an engineer myself, Bill, so I can't answer that.

MR. SWISKEY: Because a lot of the promises were made that things were going to be right and they're not. I would be a little leery. Usually, when you bid this project out, you also have the engineer in to review. In other words, if you hire -- if I was doing a project, all right, the engineer -- we deal with the engineer, we come up with a set of plans. But when the bids come in, you sit down with the engineer, is it meeting all our criteria, is it meeting the spec we called for? So who is the engineer that's going to help review these bids?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Do we have who's going to help us work through that, Paul?

MR. PALLAS: I will go through them myself. If I'm not comfortable with that, I would ask the Board if I can get somebody in to look at it. The project itself is relatively straightforward. It's not a -- nowhere near as complex as the switch gear upgrade. So I'm comfortable at least reviewing it once myself. If I'm not comfortable, then I would talk to the Board about next steps.

MR. SWISKEY: I'm beginning to really worry here, because just spec'ing out a simple transformer
was supposed to be simple. You take -- spec the 2000
or 1998 and put it out there again. We didn't even
do that. We wound up with something that didn't
match the first one. So I'm beginning to get nervous
all over here again.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. SWISKEY: And you can't go down the same
road twice.

All right. Now curbs and sidewalks. You know
what you should do, all right, submit a list of the
roads that we're going to fix to the paper. Just a
suggestion, you know what I mean? You're on here,
you're -- just so people know if -- like on First
Street, "Wow, we're going to get our road" -- they'll
we'll be happy. You know, let them know, don't keep
them in the dark.

And the health benefits, now six months ago, I
asked at the organizational meeting, and I got
answers from three Trustees. Now I'm not sure what
one said, so I'm not going to quote her, but I know
at least two quoted basically that in six months they
would be off the hospitalization. Well, it's six
months and I would ask those people to honor that
commitment and to pass this resolution, because this
is a lot of money and it could be used for a lot of
things. And this is not pounding on anybody, but it's time it stopped. You know, it's tight times and we need the money for other things. And besides that, it's a personal promise that people made. I expect, you know, people to keep their promises.

And basically, oh, one other thing. Are you going to act on the -- I guess you have a joint -- I don't know what you call it. On the American Beech Restaurant, you're part of the process. Are you going to vote on that tonight so it could get back to the Planning Board so they could give them a decision?

MR. MC DERMOTT: That was my question.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't think there's anything on the agenda on that. No, there's nothing on the agenda for --

CLERK PIRILLO: No.

MR. SWISKEY: Because American Beech is waiting for comments from this Board to the Planning Board. From what I understood from the Planning Board, that's the way it works. And the Planning Board can't act unless the Village Board says, "These are our comments," or "We've decided to make no comment." So just as a courtesy to those people at American Beech, I think this Board should make a decision.
tonight. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. MC DERMOTT: Dennis McDermott from American Beech.

My question to the Board is will you be making a determination for next week's October 1st Planning Board meeting so they can finalize their SEQRA decision?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I mean, it wasn't on the agenda. We normally don't take stuff on without discussing it and going through it beforehand.

MR. MC DERMOTT: It's my understanding that you've had that for 60 days so far. And we've got -- Mary Bess made a comment about it, and Doug also made a comment about his opinion on it. I think what the Planning Board needs is a full opinion from the Town Board so they can finalize their determination on the SEQRA findings.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. MC DERMOTT: I was asking if you would be able to make that decision by next week.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. We would have to have -- we would have to have a special meeting called and discuss it, but we could do that. I mean --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: If I may, Mr. Mayor. I would
submit, since this didn't come up at our work
session, that maybe we don't have any comments to
make, and we make a resolution tonight that we have
no comments. Trustee Phillips made some, I came to
the meeting tonight, but I would assume that someone
would have brought it up at the meeting if we had
comments to make.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We could definitely do that.

MR. MC DERMOTT: I do appreciate Bill bringing
it up, too, because I'm concerned that this project
is being held up by your decision. It would great to
have a decision one way or the other so we know how
to --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But, Dennis, in all honesty,
there was some discussion at the work session.

MR. MC DERMOTT: Okay.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: And I'm not sure why --
there was apparently a misunderstanding, at least
from what I'm gathering, as I asked questions, if we
were going to formulate an opinion. There apparently
was some confusion as to how the process here was
supposed to go. It's a relatively new process.

MR. MC DERMOTT: No, I'm not --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Which -- no, no. Which is
why I comment as an individual as to my concerns.
And I'm sure Trustee Roberts, if he attended the meeting, must have expressed his concerns. I think that it's something that the Board -- other Board Members need to hear what we both had to say.

And, George, if -- I believe everyone received a copy of my comments this afternoon, did they not, my email?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, I received it this afternoon. I didn't know there was a date, that they were waiting for our comments by next Thursday.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: As I said --

MAYOR HUBBARD: I did not -- I missed that, that's all.

MR. MC DERMOTT: Yeah. I'm not trying to be difficult. I just want to see -- bring it to your attention.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, that's fine.

MR. MC DERMOTT: Mary Bess, I believe your comments were read into the record at the Planning Board meeting this afternoon.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay. Thank you very much. I'm glad they were. I hope they were helpful.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'll go on record tonight saying that I'm not going to make any comments to the Planning Board, okay?
MR. PROKOP: I just wanted to clarify, what happened at the Planning Board meeting was that they -- the matter was put over until next week's meeting to see if any -- any comments came from the Trustees in the meantime.

The Planning Board did not set a deadline of next week for comments to come in. I think the Board indicated that if they still wanted to make comments, I think that the Planning Board would be willing to wait. The thing is that we have to have -- if we are interested in making comments, we have to have a process to formulate those. I'm willing to help out. If the Board could have a discussion and then come forward with comments, if you choose to, or decide that you're not going to, I'm willing -- I will assist you in putting together a memorandum to the Planning Board.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Who's responsible for this process, for leading the process to make sure that we respond to Planning?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I didn't hear the beginning part of your question, Doug.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Who's responsible for leading the process of bringing this to our work session to make sure we discuss it and get back to Planning?
MAYOR HUBBARD: I believe that's where the fault is, because I'm not really sure right now. With the coordinated review and everything else, we just started that a month ago, and, obviously, we need take a better -- a closer look at how we're going to do this to make sure that everybody knows when comments are expected back. So that's something that we will work on and make sure that doesn't happen again.

I didn't realize that comments were due for -- you know, that they wanted them for next week. So we'll look at that. We'll make sure we get comments back to the Planning Board before their meeting and we'll try to take care of this.

MR. MC DERMOTT: I think that's great news.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.

MR. MC DERMOTT: Thank you very much.

MAYOR HUBBARD: You're welcome, sure.

MR. MC DERMOTT: Going back to work.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sorry to belabor this. Mayor. Their meeting is next week, so a proposal could be -- or I guess I would propose to you that if Trustee Phillips wanted to move her comments that she sent around earlier, maybe read them into the record,
or to be our comments, I would second that, and maybe we could have a discussion there and we could just get this over with, unless any of you felt strongly otherwise.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, as long as no other Trustees had any. Her comments were read in as an individual, not as a Trustee --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- just stating that, because that was in her letter that she was doing it. We'll have a discussion when we get done with the Public Portion and see if we want to put together a comment to put on that.

MR. MC DERMOTT: Great. Thank you so much.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. You're welcome, sure.

MR. SALADINO: You got that straight?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I hope so.

MR. SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street. Are there any resolutions that you're going to vote on tonight that aren't on the agenda?

MAYOR HUBBARD: There may be one for American Beech.

MR. SALADINO: Is there going to be an Executive Session?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
MR. SALADINO: My other question is are you going to vote on this agenda as it's written?

MAYOR HUBBARD: There's one modification on one item, because it says "soil" and not "fill" on Number --

MR. SALADINO: 30?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Would have been Number 31. That was a mistake. With rereading this 20 times, when I read it the last time, I thought we had it all correct over the weekend. And the second part, under Section A, contingent upon results of fill testing in three different areas of Section A, because the fill was put in down the far end of that project. It was put -- I believe around 100 yards of sand was put in all the way to the east end of the bulkhead. Everything else was stuff that was reused on site. And we wanted to have the fill that was brought in to be tested to make sure that it was clean fill that was brought in.

MR. SALADINO: So the Board's contention with this resolution is the soil that was there, that most Federal agencies suspect is perhaps as toxic inclusion, you don't want to test, you want to test the clean fill that's being brought in?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct.
MR. SALADINO: Does that kind of make any sense to anybody?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: The Mayor's not speaking for me, he's speaking for himself, I guess, but --

MR. SALADINO: But before -- before I get into that, I have a few questions about that resolution and the other one, 30. But I would like -- I just have two quick questions about the agenda.

One is I see two trucks here of 2014 and 2013. Is it one truck or is it two trucks?

MAYOR HUBBARD: One is a truck and one is a bucket loader

MR. SALADINO: No. There's two trucks and --

MAYOR HUBBARD: There shouldn't be. There was one truck for the Sewer Department.

MR. SALADINO: I'm not saying no to the Mayor.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Which number, John.

MR. SALADINO: One is Resolution 19, and one is Resolution -- one is Resolution 19 and one is Resolution 12. One is for a 2014 truck, and one is for a 2013 truck. Are we buying one truck or two trucks?

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. That's got to be a typo on the year of the truck, because I was told about moving the money over for the same vehicle.
MR. SWISKEY: It's a typo on the budget mod.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Do we have a copy of the budget mod there?

CLERK PIRILLO: I'll look.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The budget mod said it's for a 2014 -- John's right. And the other one says 2013, to actually buy it.

CLERK PIRILLO: I'm not sure

MR. PALLAS: I'm not 100% certain; '14 is the correct number.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So Resolution Number 19 is incorrect, then, it should be 2014?

MR. PALLAS: I can't say that with certainty, but I believe that's correct.

MR. SALADINO: But it's only one truck.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. It's only one truck for the Sewer Department, John. We're buying one truck, and we're buying the Komatsu, the machine, that's all.

MR. SALADINO: I don't care about the -- I just thought it might be two trucks.

And the other thing, I want to offer my support. I'm in favor of Resolution 25, if you guys care about that.

Resolution 30, I'm curious as to the Board's
reasoning why this is a Type I action. There's no coordinated review. Usually that's mandated by SEQRA. Along with coordinated review comes other things, you know, a 30-day comment period, and if they didn't file a draft EIS, public hearing. To say that there's no other interested party as your reasoning -- I'm assuming that's your reasoning.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

MR. SALADINO: I kind of dispute that. I mean, the reason this became a Type I action in my mind is because of its proximity to the historic zone. The HPC should be an interested party, and they're not obligated to be. And if anything, the DEC has filed a report on this, so they've automatically become an interested party. I don't know how you can -- I mean, it's -- to me, it's kind of like let's find a way that we don't have to comply with SEQRA. I mean, SEQRA is clear, all Type I actions need a coordinated review.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. No, I agree with that. We're going to end up -- we're going to end up adding that on as part of this. That's going to be part of the discussion when we get to that.

MR. SALADINO: Great.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry, we're adding?
MR. SALADINO: Thank you. I would also -- I would also like to comment about this and ask your reasoning why you would separate the two projects. One project, obviously, is done; one project is set to be done. When the CAC went there -- and as full disclosure, I'm a member of the CAC, but I'm not here speaking for them tonight.

When the CAC went there for the inspection, Section A was already completed. We made our comments about that. When we went on to section B, we made no inspection. We offered no comments on it, because we were told that the negotiation between the owner and the CAC members present was that the project would stop here for the moment, because they ran out of sheeting, and this is why the project was stopped. We made no inspection of the other portion of the property.

There was a lot of comments that could be made, but our recommendations to this Board, when we filled out the consistency review, was that you separate the two projects. That project is being done. Obviously, we had an opinion about how you would handle it. But we also would like to have an opinion about Section B. There's a lot of things there, there's a lot of stuff that's going on there.
There's a lot of debris on the property.

To separate the two and just make it part of the first part without an inspection, without any oversight by anyone would not be doing justice to the process. I would ask you to reconsider that. If you must, after the -- after the coordinated review, after the comment period, after the process has been done, if you choose to issue the permit, that's certainly your prerogative. But as far as Section B, I would suggest -- the CAC, the Village has always -- has always -- every project was a stand-alone project. If this project stops and it takes up again, it should be a stand-alone project. It should be judged on those merits. The review should be on the merits of that particular piece of property that's being worked on.

I personally don't see it as a big deal, except that they have to come back again. You know, if you're not going to do this project in a year or two -- well, you would have to do it in a year because the permit's only good for a year. But what's the problem in coming back, you know?

So those are my comments with this. As far as -- as far as Resolution 31, I think it's -- I can't think of a polite way to say it. I think it's crazy.
I think it's crazy to test clean fill and not test the fill that the questions are raised about. That's pure appeasement. That's -- I just don't understand that. I don't understand that. We had -- we had a -- you know what, I'm going to leave it at that. Obviously, you guys worked this out with the contractor, you worked it out with the owner.

I just want to remind you, your responsibility is to the Village. Your responsibility is to the people. There's 2400 residents. It's your responsibility -- and threats about financial hardship or closing, they really shouldn't come into this discussion. Your job is to look out for me, your job is to look at for them, and we should all be looked out for equally.

If there's a question about toxic inclusion in this property, how could you possibly justify to somebody that's sitting in the audience there, "Well, we're going to test the stuff that we bring in, we're not going to test the stuff that's there"? When you go to the doctor, nobody's afraid of the test. We all understand that we're all afraid of the results, and that in my mind is the only reason that you guys are refusing to do it. So you can do the right thing or you could not, but I would ask you to reconsider.
And, also, with Section 30 -- with Resolution Number 30, about actually reading the SEQRA document and seeing that coordinated review is kind of mandated by that document, and follow whatever comes with that. Thank you. Thanks for listening.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. You're welcome.

MS. ALLEN: I just have one -- oh, sorry.

Chatty Allen, Fifth Avenue.

I just have one comment about the taxi stand. Is that going to be every day or just weekends? And six o'clock seems really early. I would say, you know, I would push it to at least eight o'clock. You know, and that way, the stores are all closed at that point, you know, you're not taking up any parking spaces.

The other thing which is coming back up again because of maritime and the parking issues, and a lot of it just boils down to people just don't care. I pulled into IGA parking lot one weekend and where it forks, someone literally pulled straight in. I was behind him and I said to the gentleman, "Excuse me, sir, but you're parked illegal and that's going to cause a hazard." He gave me attitude and I said, "Okay, I'm just going to go get a police officer." I drove away, went just around the block, because I
realized I had my camera, his car was gone.

During the Tall Ships, and I believe they are the ones that did these up, there were yard things that they put up, "Please be courteous," "Please don't block driveways." Is there a way, maybe, that the Village could borrow those for Maritime, especially around in here, so people aren't being blocked in?

Someone posted on Facebook that -- I think it was during the Tall Ships, that they couldn't get out of their driveway because their driveway was blocked. It seems that if there's something visually there, that people would be like, "Ooh, you know, maybe I better not park in front of this person's driveway," or in there driveway. And then down the road, maybe the Village could get their own to put out all summer long for any events, trying to keep people to park properly.

I mean, granted, if it -- if I had my way, we would have someone out there enforcing this, you know, ticketing the people that are double-parked, that are parked where they shouldn't be, in front of fire hydrants, which is my biggest pet peeve. But just a suggestion. Like I said, it's being brought up again, because -- I'm heading the other way on
Saturday, so I'll miss it.

And another question I have, just to throw out there, I don't know how far back it goes with health insurance. I'm someone who has had both, I have been without health insurance, and have gone into such a debt. I have health insurance now and I'm still going further into debt. This is something that has been offered as far back at least '70s, '80s. I don't feel -- if it's part of the Mayor's position or Trustees' position, Village employees, they are offered health insurance. If two of the Trustees decide, "We're not going to take it, we have coverage," I don't feel anyone else should be made to give it up. That's my personal feeling, be that as it may.

And then on a side note with what was brought up by Mr. Corwin, I would just like to ask Mr. Roberts if the things he brought up, have they been corrected? Have you gotten the right permits? Does the pool have what it's supposed to have? I don't like that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I thank you for the opportunity. I was not a Village Trustee at the time.

MS. ALLEN: That has no -- that has no bearing.
on it. You're a resident. I'm just asking as a resident, were there things --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Be it as it may, the implication was that there was some sort of influence that I was peddling, but I was a member of the public.

There was a fence, Mr. Corwin. His memory is not correct, there was a fence there. Since then, we bought -- we subdivided, or the person we bought from subdivided. So there was no fence between what was -- what is now our two different yards. So we put a fence up between our two yards, between his lot and mine. So that's a six-foot tall fence on the south side, which the Building Inspector inspected. There was a four-foot-tall fence enclosing the pool when Mr. Corwin was there. And so we have four foot in the front, we have six foot on the side, six foot in the back. There are locking door -- gate lock mechanisms, which the Building Inspector inspected.

And I have to go check my records, but I believe I have the proper paperwork, and if I don't, you know, fine me. I'm telling you, I'm pretty sure there is -- this is all set.

And I guess I have a real strong concern if a member of our Zoning Board is using his position on
He's also -- Mr. Corwin didn't participate in the CAC review of the shipyard, which was on the agenda tonight. So I think for reasons that are only known to him, but his agenda is clear, and so I think you have to sort of read the bias in what's happening.

MS. ALLEN: Well, I mean, part of what he did bring up, the house that's next door to you, because I pass it how many times a day in my bus, is not finished. So that is a concern if people are living in there.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I don't know anything about the house.

MS. ALLEN: You know, I see it all the time. That house is not finished, because my kids always say, "Oh, what did they do today? Is anyone living there yet?" You know, I see that, you know. So, I mean, Mr. Corwin brought up a lot of concerns, which --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Come check it out. There's a fence, it's locked, it's safe, it's got an alarm.

MS. ALLEN: No, I know you lock the fence. I'm not asking -- that wasn't what I asked. I just asked you -- it was brought up. Do you have the stuff
that, you know --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Come over, have some coffee.

MS. ALLEN: So, all right, that's it. Thank you.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MR. SWISKEY: Can I say something, George?

MR. SALADINO: Too late.

MS. SMITH: Hang on, Bill. Liz Smith, 309 South Street.

I just need a little clarification. So I apologize that I didn't get to review this earlier, and I couldn't sort of pester Paul. This is for Resolution 30, which is about the -- which is about the shipyard.

So, according to Resolution 30, we moved -- the Village is now identifying it has a Type I action. So my questions are from a process standpoint. So the full environmental review that needs to be done, I did just look up in my email to make sure I didn't miss anything. When the original application was submitted, a short environmental form was submitted because of how DEC had originally identified the work. Now is the Village taking ownership? And as Lead Agency, we're identifying as Type I, which means
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it doesn't need a short review form, it needs a long
review form. I'm just trying to understand from a
process standpoint, when that happens, will that
happen -- do we already have that form in hand, and
if so, do we get to see it? And if not, when would
we be getting that form?

I'm not sure who to look at. I'm looking down
there, looking at Paul, looking at --

MR. PROKOP: So the form needs to be done now.
And it's my understanding that what's going to happen
is with regard to 30, this action will be taken, and
then a 30-day notice will be sent out.

MS. SMITH: So you'll get the form and there'll
be a 30-day public notice?

MR. PROKOP: Right. The notice gets sent out
and the form gets circulated. Excuse me. The form
gets circulated with the notice.

MS. SMITH: Right.

MR. PROKOP: And that's it. So the time -- the
answer is the time to do the form is now.

MS. SMITH: And so does that mean that there's
no work going on right now until that form gets
submitted and that public comment period is had? Am
I --

MR. PROKOP: I'm not at that end of the
review --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.

MR. PROKOP: -- but my understanding is that
there's no work going on.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, there's no work going --
there's no work going on there at all right now.

MS. SMITH: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And I could just -- just to
clarify some of that.

MS. SMITH: Yeah.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The wording of this from our
work session on Thursday until Tuesday afternoon, we
worked on the wording of all this, of -- DEC said it
was a Type II Action, you know, Mr. Saladino was
saying it's Type I. Originally, we had it listed as
unlisted. So putting it all together, and then we're
talking with the property owner and with Joe today,
you know, Type I is the way we're going.

And the coordinated review, I actually told one
of the Trustees that we didn't have to do that, there
was feeling because Zoning Board and Planning Board
look at that as a bulkhead. There's really -- and
Historic Preservation, there's really nothing for
them to look at. And I got an interpretation that we
didn't have to do it and we're going to do it,
because that's what it says.

So we're going to go through the coordinated review. This all just came about this afternoon.

We're going to go through the process. We'll do that and then see what comes from there.

MS. SMITH: Okay. And just one last question. I'm sorry to be a pest about this. Would you mind, anybody, just telling all of us why we moved or -- again, I think the "we" as the Village, so that's all of us. So why there was a change from the -- you just identified that there has been a lot of, is it a Type II, is it unlisted? Now we're at a Type I. Can anyone articulate just why the mindset changed? I'm not saying it's a bad thing or a good thing, I just want to know why. I think it would be easier --

MAYOR HUBBARD: I think just trying to do the proper thing for what it was. I mean, you know, originally we were told, you know, by the contractor and the property owner, "DEC said it's a Type II, it doesn't need anything. And looking at just normal bulkheads, we know when we have bulkheads, that's just an unlisted action, because they're taking one out and putting it back in, there's usually not an issue.

Being next to historic preservation that

Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
(631) 727-1107
Mr. Saladino brought up, I heard his questions last week and I wanted to make sure that we did everything properly when it was discussed. And that's why it was just reviewing the whole thing.

Normally, the agenda is done Monday afternoon. It was into Tuesday evening, like five o'clock by the time we finally finished with the wording, and then we have to modify it again to make sure that we're doing it the correct way.

MS. SMITH: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So that's -- just trying to work through to get an agenda to get something that is proper that protects everybody and all and --

MS. SMITH: Great. So the coordinated review will happen -- oh, the EAF, the long form will be submitted? My guy's back there.

MR. PROKOP: A long form will be completed, yes.

MS. SMITH: That has to be completed. The coordinated review has to happen. The work won't -- no work will happen until -- until that's all finished?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.

MS. SMITH: Right? We got that on camera?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
MS. SMITH: Perfect.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And Just to clarify one other thing. When the application came to us, it was the overall project. And they asked me at the work session to do it as two separate pieces. I was asked by one of the Trustees to do that, and I said the application came as one piece, we had a public hearing as one piece, so I suggested that we continue that as one piece. Obviously, it seems now that it's going to end up being separated in two pieces.

The property owner said he's probably not going to be able to do it within the next year anyway, so it would have to go over. I didn't want to double up public hearings and everything else, have everybody come back here and March so he can go back to work. So that's why I kept it together, because that's how we received the application. But it sounds now that it's not going to happen in -- within the next year, probably, so we probably will end up separating it out after we do the coordinated review and separate it as two pieces.

MS. SMITH: Okay. And I just have one very short comment on Resolution 31, and I'm echoing John, but I can't not. But the idea of choosing fill versus the -- the fill that's coming in versus the
current -- so the stuff that's coming in versus
what's in the water, to think that it's an either/or
choice, we either test one or the other, is insane
from an environmental standpoint, so it's -- so I
would strongly recommend that there's some thought
about why we are only examining something that's
coming in from afar and not what we know is in
waters, that if you look at the DEC waters are
identified as -- what's the --

MR. SALADINO: Nonclassified.

MS. SMITH: Nonclassified waters. It's all in
the red zone. It's an historic shipyard with a lot
of toxins and heavy metals. So I would just strongly
encourage people to revisit that, because it really
doesn't make any sense at all.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SWISKEY: George, can I say one more thing?

Excuse me. William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street.
The hospitalization doesn't go back to the
'70s, it goes back --


MR. SWISKEY: Yeah. All right. I just wanted
everybody to know that it's not -- and when it was
first suggested by Bill Littell (phonetic), the
Trustees could join, but they had to pay. It was in
the Kapell administration that it became a benefit. Thank you.

    MAYOR HUBBARD: Yup.

    MS. POLLACK: Karen Pollack again, 630 First Street.

    I didn't want to leave here tonight without just clearing the air about just a certain issue about Mr. Roberts' pool. The issue isn't whether or not you have a fence, the issue isn't whether or not Eileen came to look at it, the issue is documentation and procedure, the proper way of doing things. If an inspection isn't documented, it didn't happen.

    And so, when you look at the Building Department file for your property, and this is not -- it's not personal towards you, because this applies to every pool I've looked at, and there's been several, there are no plans or specifications for the pool enclosure, nor are there for any of the other three or four pools that I looked at.

    The New York State Code is very specific about pool enclosures, because they're such an important issue, so that we don't hear about a three-year-old floating in a pool in Greenport. We hear about it every summer, there's children floating in pools dead.
And so from your Building Department file, we don't know. You cannot ascertain from the file if your fence is made out of string cheese, you know, chain link, reinforced concrete. We don't know if your self-closing gates are kept shut with a wad of bubble gum, if they were approved or not, if they were inspected or not.

And I know I'm speaking for myself, I'm not picking on you personally, I'm picking on the improper job being done on important issues involving public safety. And if I can speak for David, too, I don't believe that he's picking on you either. We've seen this in all the pool files. Okay. Thank you.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I understand your point, ma'am. I have a two-year-old who I love very much and she's very active, and -- almost two-year-old, and it's -- I would be a horrible Dad if I didn't make that thing airtight. So come on over, check it out and then we'll document it.

MS. POLLACK: That's -- just a little addendum. We don't document it, the Building Inspector does.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yes, ma'am.

MS. POLLACK: And she's not been doing it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I hear you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Anybody else wish to
address the Board? Okay. We'll move to the regular agenda. All right.

RESOLUTION #09-2015-1, RESOLUTION adopting the September 2015 agenda as printed. I so move.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried. I'll ask Trustee Roberts to read #2.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-2, RESOLUTION accepting the monthly reports of the Greenport Fire Department, Village Administrator, Village Treasurer, Village Clerk, Village Attorney, Mayor and Board of Trustees. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION # 09-2015-3,

RESOLUTION ratifying the following resolutions as approved at the Work Session meeting of the Board of Trustees on September 17th, 2015:

RESOLUTION authorizing Trustee Robins to attend the NYAPP Annual Fall meeting on September 23rd and 24th, 2015 in Albany, New York at a conference fee of $225.00 and a room rate of $149.00 per night, plus all applicable travel costs, to be expensed from account A.1010.400 (Board of Trustees Contractual Expense).

RESOLUTION authorizing Attorney Prokop to commence a Supreme Court action to prevent the occupation of two specific properties within the Village of Greenport, and further to ensure that the two properties are not inhabited until they are made safe to be habitable. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #09-2015-4,
RESOLUTION declaring as surplus, and no longer needed
for municipal purposes, the equipment and materials
per the attached list. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-5,
RESOLUTION creating the position of Recreation
Specialist for the Village of Greenport, as a
non-competitive Civil Service title. So moved.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed? What's that?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I am not opposed.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I just heard, so I didn't know --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm trying to ask a question. I'll do it on the next one.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, all right. All right.

That motion is carried. Did you have a question?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I technically didn't vote.

Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I can't ask a question until it's --

MAYOR HUBBARD: You're going to ask a question on the next one?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I guess so, yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, you read the next one.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's my turn, right.
RESOLUTION #09-2015-6, RESOLUTION hiring Margaret DeVito as a full-time Recreation Specialist, effective September 28, 2015; at an hourly pay rate of $21.98 for a 35-hour work week, and including all applicable medical and other benefits, and subject to the requisite six-month probationary period. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Now, if I may.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Discussion.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yes, thank you. So I'd like to -- first of all, I'm really excited about this. I'd like to read a document that I received from the Clerk earlier today that talks about what Ms. DeVito's going to do. We have -- she's going to be working -- she's going to be sort of managing the parks. She's going to be managing the Carousel. She's going to bring a recreation-centered directed approach, a child-centered approach at Carousel. I'm excited about it and I'm looking forward to working with her. I know the Carousel Committee would be as well. She's going to be maintaining lifeguards.

The thing I'm most excited about is we now have a full-time position that can look into the issue of trying to raise some money to help the American
Legion get the roller rink back going and have stuff for the kids to do in the winter.

So we have to, obviously, talk to the American Legion, and I know Jack has reached out, and sort of build a partnership there. But this is the kind of -- there's a whole list of these things, we should share this document, that she's going to be doing to help the kids in town and help provide year-round activities. I'm very excited about it.

I just want to -- I'm just a little concerned about the process. I do think we should have a job description document that describes what this person is going to do. We're about to spend taxpayer money on it. Given all the things she'd be overseeing, like Carousel, which are all profitable things, I'm not worried about the -- it paying for itself. But we sort of didn't get this description of what she'd do until very late in the process.

And I'm also concerned that it came from the Clerk's Office, when the Village Administrator is going to be her manager. And I just -- I just want to say that when we hire people, I think we should be clear about who's doing the hiring, what they're going to be doing, and we need to communicate with these people who we're hiring, how much we're going
to be paying them and all that. And I support this.

MR. PALLAS: Mayor, if I may.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

MR. PALLAS: Just to clarify one point. As far as the document coming from the Clerk's Office, I asked Sylvia to prepare it. I was -- when I saw the email, I was away and could not prepare it and get it to you. So I discussed it in detail with the Clerk and she merely just condensed it to writing.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Fair enough. I think just earlier in the process, so there's transparency, would be appreciated next time.

MR. PALLAS: Understood.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other discussion?

(No Response)

All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried. Trustee Robins.
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TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-7,
RESOLUTION approving the hiring of Michael Allen
Davis as a part-time After-Care Aide for the Village
of Greenport Recreation Center, at an hourly pay rate
of $9.00; effective September 25th, 2015. So moved.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #09-2015-8,
RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform
attached Budget Amendment #2260, to fund Village-wide
repaving projects, and directing that Budget
Amendment #2260 be included as part of the formal
meeting minutes for the September 24th, 2015 regular
meeting of the Board of Trustees. So moved.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
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TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-9, RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Amendment #2262, to fund the rebuilding of both pumps at Central Pump Station, and directing that Budget Amendment #2262 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes for the September 24th, 2015 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees.
So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-10, RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Amendment #2263, to fund the emergency repairs at the Light Plant, and directing that Budget Amendment #2263 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes for the September 24th, 2015 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-11, RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Amendment #2264 to appropriate general fund surplus to fund the purchase of a 2001 Case 621C pay-loader, and directing that Budget Amendment #2264 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes for the September 24th, 2015 regular
meeting of the Board of Trustees. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTTA: RESOLUTION #09-2015-12,

RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform
attached Budget Amendment #2265, to appropriate sewer
fund surplus to fund the purchase of a -- is this the
right year?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Is it 2014?

CLERK PIRILLO: Yes.

MR. PALLAS: Yes, 14.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, 14.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTTA: Of a 2014 Ford F-350

pick-up truck, and directing that Budget Amendment
#2265 be included as part of the formal meeting
minutes for the September 24th, 2015 regular meeting
of the Board of Trustees. So moved.
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TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-13,
RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to move the
following bank accounts from Chase Bank to
Bridgehampton National Bank:
Consumer Deposit Checking (G/L account
E.0244.200), and Consumer Deposit Savings (G/L
account E.0191.100). So moved.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-14,
RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to move the following bank accounts from Chase Bank to Suffolk County National Bank:
  Retirement Savings (G/L account TA.0201.000), NYSEFC (G/L account G.0205.000), T & A Special Escrow (G/L account TA.0201.002), Concert Fund (G/L account TA.0201.008), Justice Court (G/L account TA.0201.004), and Water Fund Capital (G/L account F.0200.400). So moved.
  TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
  MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
  TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Aye.
  TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
  TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
  TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
  MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
  Opposed?
  (No Response)
  Motion carried.
  TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-15,
RESOLUTION authorizing the Village of Greenport to conduct a lottery for permission to hunt deer, via
bow and arrow, as per New York State hunting
regulations, in the western portion of Moore's Woods,
beginning October 1st, 2015; with not more than five
people at one time hunting in the western portion of
Moore's Woods. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #09-2015-16,
RESOLUTION approving the attached Service Fee
Agreement as proposed by Penflex, Incorporated for
administrative services and the creation of a "LOSAP
Audit Package" for the Village of Greenport Fire
Department Length of Service Award Program, and
further authorizing Mayor Hubbard to sign the Service
Fee Agreement. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-17,
RESOLUTION approving the Certificate of Investment
Powers from RBC Wealth Management for the Village of
Greenport Fire Department Length of Service Award
Program, and further authorizing Mayor Hubbard and
Treasurer Brandt to sign the Certificate of
Investment Powers from RBC Wealth Management. So
moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?

(No Response)
Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-18,
RESOLUTION rejecting all bids as received for the
Village of Greenport Power Plant Condenser Water
System Upgrade of Cooling Water System as opened on
October 9th, 2014; and authorizing the re-bid of the
Upgrade of Cooling Water System, and further
authorizing Clerk Pirillo to notice the re-bid
accordingly. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just would like to get a
clarification from Paul, if possible, okay? These --
the cooling tower plans were in the original design
back in 1998, is that -- or have they been updated?

MR. PALLAS: No, these are new plans.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: These are new plans?

MR. PALLAS: Correct, yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's what I wanted
to make sure. These were drawn up by Braun.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But they were updated.

Okay.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, yeah, yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Those came from 2013, didn't
they? I believe the plans were.
MR. PALLAS: No. That was new -- those were new plans since I've been there.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Newer even since -- newer than 2013?

MR. PALLAS: Yeah. I have to check the date on the plans --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. PALLAS: -- but I believe.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just want that clarified, that we're talking about plans that have been reviewed going out to bid that were not going to fall into the confusion of plans.

MR. PALLAS: No.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay, just checking.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other discussion?

(No Response)

All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Am I reading this next resolution, George, about the truck?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-19, RESOLUTION awarding the contract for the purchase of a 2013 Ford F-350 pick-up truck in the amount of $31,278; to Lucas Ford, per the bid opening on September 15th, 2015. The cost of this vehicle is to be expensed from account G.8130.204 (Major Equipment Repairs/Purchases). So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And it was 2014.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We had to amend it, right?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Oh, 2014.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Motion to amend to 2014.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is that legal?

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor of the amendment?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)
The amendment passes.

All in favor of the resolution as a whole?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #09-2015-20,

RESOLUTION awarding the contract for the purchase of

a 2001 Case full-size wheel loader in the amount of

$32,000 to Pase Motors, Incorporated, per the bid

opening on September 15th, 2015. The cost of this

vehicle is to be expensed from account #H.5110.520

(Road Construction Equipment). So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-21, RESOLUTION adopting the attached SEQRA resolution whereby the Board of Trustees adopts Lead Agency Status for purposes of SEQRA, determining -- determines that the paving of specified Village roads and the repair of specific curbs and sidewalks is an unlisted action for purposes of SEQRA, and that the paving of specified Village streets and the repair of -- I'm sorry, it's been a long day -- specific curbs and sidewalks will not have a significant negative impact on any aspect of the environment, and that, therefore, a negative declaration is adopted for the purposes of SEQRA. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-22, RESOLUTION authorizing repairs as required to specified curbs and sidewalks in the Village of Greenport prior to the undertaking of paving specified streets, and further directing Clerk Pirillo to notice a solicitation for bids for the repairs of specified curbs and sidewalks. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Discussion.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: If I could.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I just got the list a couple of days ago. Can you tell -- I'm just curious how the list was developed.

MAYOR HUBBARD: It was developed by the roads that were going to be paved. We walked them, Derryl, Paul and myself, along with a list of trip hazards and places that we've had complaints on.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So we walked downtown and everything else. And I was going say that we have a map for the curbs and sidewalks. We also have a map for the road paving. I'll have the Clerk post them on our website and forward them to the newspapers, so
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everybody could see what we've got on.

It's a pretty extensive list of curbs and sidewalks, more than we've done in one big chunk in the past, and a lot of it is stuff that is going to be repaired. So when they pave the roads, we don't have to tear it back up to do the repairs afterwards. I know there are other ones that are on the list that other people have requested. Those we'll be doing in the springtime, we'll do another blast at that point.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And do we have -- is the idea of getting an outside firm to provide an analysis on this, is that gone, or are we --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. Certain roads we have to do that on, if we're going to change the whole elevation and pitch of the road.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: These are basically just sidewalks that are raised up that are -- you know, have been ground down. There's a couple of bad trees that have lifted everything up. A tree is coming down on Bay Avenue. And, you know, so it's a coordinated thing between the list -- Derryl keeps a list of all -- every time we get a complaint, he makes up a list and he keeps that, and then we just took the list and walked around and picked out the
bad ones. If anybody has any others, let us know.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other discussion?

(No Response)

All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-23,

RESOLUTION authorizing the paving by Corazzini
Asphalt, Inc. of specified Village streets, in the
total amount of $338,061.00, per the Town of Southold
contracted price with Suffolk County for:

- Full-depth milling of $4.50 per square yard;
- Inch-and-a half binder at $85 per ton;
- Inch-and-a-half top coat at $85 per ton;
- Two-inch asphalt overlay, including tack coat,
at $85 per ton, to be expensed from account
A.0202.000 (General Fund III). So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #09-2015-24,

RESOLUTION amending Resolution #08-2015-23, to change
the date of the A Capella Student Sing-Off event from
May 7th, 2016, with a rain date of May 8th, 2016 to
May 14th, 2016, with a rain date of May 15th, 2016.

So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-25,
RESOLUTION ending the health care benefits for the
current Mayor and Board of Trustees and any future
persons elected to the office of Mayor or Trustees,
effective December 31st, 2015; and directing
Treasurer Brandt to reserve these funds for increased
staffing or capital improvements in each of the funds
from which they were drawn. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Just to open this up for
discussion, I just want to clarify a couple of things
on it.

The overall cost to the Village right now for
the health benefits right now is $66,744, not
$125,000. That's thrown out there quite common --
quite often. I did those numbers with the Treasurer
yesterday, and that is the exact amount, minus co-pay
and everything that the Village pays. So that's --
it's $66,744.

I said at the organizational meeting and during
the campaign that I was ending the health coverage
for myself. My new coverage starts November 1st.
I've already signed up and sent in. I have to mail a
check in on October 1st and I'll have my new coverage
then. So I will be off the policy as of November
1st, just to let everybody know that.

I had a question. I know in a lot of the comments and everything else, I would just like to ask Trustee Roberts, do you take taxpayer-funded health insurance?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Do you take taxpayer-funded health insurance?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. And I know Trustee Martilotta, I already spoke to him, he says he does also. I just -- it's just a question. I just -- people say, "Well, where do the other guys get it?" You get it from different taxpayers, not Village taxpayers, you get it from other taxpayers. That was just a question I wanted to ask just to put everything on the board so everybody knows.

I'll open this up to any other discussion.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'd just like to make a comment about my coverage.

Yes, I do agree that providing health insurance benefits to Trustees could be perceived as burdensome to some of the residents. To help ease the burden, effective December 1st, I'm downgrading my personal coverage from family to single, thereby creating a
cost savings to the taxpayers of $12,000 a year.

Thank you.

MR. PROKOP: I have a comment about the resolution. I'm sorry. I had -- I was told that the wording could not be amended when it came my turn to review the agenda. However, I would comment that the resolution specifies any future person elected to the office of Mayor or Trustee. I believe that you're not able to bind future Boards.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: You're not.

MR. PROKOP: So I just have -- you know, I just have that comment.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Can you cite a law or a precedent for that?

MR. PROKOP: I believe it's case law. I can't -- I'm not prepared to cite a case for it, and I believe that that's case law, you cannot bind further Boards. This Board actually has changed this a couple of times, if I'm not mistaken, prior Boards.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, the villages, whose websites I read, did so, so they must have broken the law, I guess. I don't know. I guess we need to see some sort of proof of this, or law, or precedent, or else it's sort of speculation, Joe.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct. Okay. Well, we'll
just -- any other discussion? Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'd like to -- I'd like to respond to your question, not directly.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: This is not about what each of us do in our personal lives, this is not personal. This is not about what you do, what I do. Everyone knows I'm married to a teacher, so, yeah, taxpayer-funded. But this is about our relationship with the public.

And I want to be clear, this idea, Jack and I didn't come up with this. We just walked around the Village and knocked on everybody's doors. I mean, we walked every block in this place twice between February and March. You have no idea how often this came up. This is -- this was their idea. This was your idea, this was the people of Greenport's idea.

The last thing I want to do is get between somebody and his or her health care, this is not what this is about. This is about -- the figure could be $20. This is about 66,000. And, by the way, part of the reason it's 66,000, because Jack and I don't take it. But this is about what's right to do. This is about what the people have spoken very clear that they want, and so I just think everyone's committed...
to do it.

This is sort of a formality and it shouldn't be such a big deal. Everyone's committed to doing it, people want us to do it. And I think, you know, you'll have to explain to everybody, if you don't vote for it, why you're going back on your commitments. So that's all I have to say about it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I believe, with all your Facebook comments over the past three days, every four hours a dozen comments calling out myself and the other two Trustees with your comments about stuff that wasn't done, that we didn't do because we took the health insurance, you made it personal, the two of you, because both -- it had both your names on it, "Jack and I feel this way, both of us say that." You called us out on it. You've been doing that for three days. So you're saying it's not personal, it is. You made it personal when you started posting those things every four hours and that's how I took it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's about the issue and it's always been about the issue

MAYOR HUBBARD: Saying we haven't pruned trees in eight years? Like nobody on the Board did anything for eight years because we took health insurance? That's what you wrote.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah, I said --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So you made it personal when you did that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Mr. Mayor, I pointed out things that the Village Board has not done for the people of this Village, and I made the contrast with the fact that the Village Board has paid itself $35,000 a year each. So, I mean, that's -- that's just fact and it's --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So excuse me.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. But we have pruned trees. It's not like nothing was done in eight years. Your comments were inflammatory, trying to bring people out here and everything less, and they were direct comments against the three Board Members that have been taking something that was offered.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sorry you took it personally.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, it has been personal, in all honesty, Doug. And to say that we haven't done anything over the last eight years, that's a little difficult for me to swallow, because there's many people in the community that know that I have been very nose to the ground, trying to take care of stuff. There have been things that I have suggested that have helped our budget, it helped us free up
enough money.

And, to be honest with you, there are discussions that need to be taking place on changing this process. Yes, eventually, we need to change this so that Trustees can pay into it. But, at this point, I think that the personal attacks that have been perpetrated on the Facebook pages, I'm sorry, this week I've been a little busy with other things. I didn't exactly see all of those, so thank you, Mayor Hubbard, for bringing it to my attention that I've been inundated with being told I didn't do anything for the last couple of years. That really is hard to swallow, okay, really is.

And the other part of the process is, yes, it is a little frustrating to -- when you're working as a Trustee for the first four years, as I did, and I worked, okay, to understand that the pay scale was a little different because other people were taking insurance. At this point, yes, there will be a change, I hope there will be a change, but it's not going to be with this resolution tonight on my part.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other discussion on the motion?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Am I allowed to just make a comment? Probably not.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Not at this point, no, you don't.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: No one dragged me here.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I'll ask the Clerk to call the roll.

(Roll Call Vote by the Village Clerk)

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: No.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No at this time. We will address this in the future.

CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yup. Motion is defeated two to three. Mary Bess.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It's not me, it's Doug Roberts.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Trustee Roberts.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-26,
RESOLUTION authorizing the Village management staff and Village Attorney to proceed to the next steps toward undertaking the recommendations per the Sewer Expansion Feasibility Report - Western Study Area and the Sewer Expansion Feasibility Report - Eastern Study Area, as submitted by D&B Engineers and

Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
(631) 727-1107
Architects, P.C. per the grant agreement between the
Village of Greenport and NYSERDA. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-27,

RESOLUTION creating the position of Senior Building Inspector for the Village of Greenport - a competitive Civil Service title - and authorizing the canvassing and interviewing of candidates to fill the full-time Senior Building Inspector position. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Just discussion on this. I would just like to say, in light of what we heard tonight with the paperwork, I'd like to review this and everything else. This gives us the opportunity to look for somebody, to advertise for

*Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service*

*(631) 727-1107*
somebody. It does not mean that we're hiring anybody at this time. So I'd like to review the overall total Building Department and all. But after we do that and we have discussions, if we feel the need to go and fill -- to hire somebody, that's what this would do. But we're not hiring anybody at this point right now.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It was my understanding that it was just starting the process --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Exactly, yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- to reach out to see what was available.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And see what's available, see what's out there. But I really -- I think, seriously, with the paperwork that we got this evening and the other comments, along with other ones, this is the most damning report that I've seen, and some of the stuff I didn't even know about, and I really -- we all will get together and review that and see the money, everything else that's going on there.

I mean, we need to -- we need to fix the problem, and that's why this started, was to fix the problem. Maybe that's not the right way to go about fixing it, but we're going to discuss that.
All right. So this just sets us up to hire somebody, if we feel the need, after we do a review and investigate everything.

Any other discussion?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: If I may.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I asked at the work session for financial analysis for how we're going to pay for this; I haven't received it. So I think everyone should just be aware that the understanding is that this is going to come out of the tax base, unless we cut something else. So I just think we should all have that in mind.

I don't -- the last one, Ms. DeVito, I think we rushed it through, but I think we know how we're going to pay if it, I was comfortable voting for it. This is -- I just believe this is irresponsible. We're talking about hiring somebody. We're going to have more Building Inspectors than villages much larger than ours. And we don't know how we're going to pay for it, which means people sitting in this room and on TV are going to pay for it. And so if that's a commitment we're willing to make, I think we should be absolutely sure it's the right one.

So I urge you to not vote for this now, but --
and, please, let's do real fiscal analysis on this. Let's be responsible with the taxpayers' dollars, please. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. There is no way to do a cost analysis on something that's a job. It's a position we're creating to fill a need by -- based by complaints from Board Members. And people that live in the community say they want equal enforcement, they want more enforcement done, so this was a need on it. We can't base -- the analysis would be "X" amount of dollars that might come in in fines, but you can't generate it on that, because you don't know what that could be. We're trying to fill a need that people requested, and it would come from the General Fund and it would be funded that way.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But we have to at least look at, if it's a lean year, what we're -- you know, let's say the Marina is lean and the other revenue generation -- other revenue-generating sites are lean, what would we potentially cut? I just think we should be honest with people about what we're doing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay, yeah. We had a couple of comments about it. Some people feel we don't need it. When we do the investigation, if we feel that it's still needed, the Board will vote on it at that
TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: You want to table it or -- is that what you're asking?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, it's not.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. We'll vote accordingly.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: You got it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any other discussion?

(No Response)

I'll ask the Clerk to call the roll.

(Roll Call Vote by the Village Clerk)

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yes.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion passed four to one.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: RESOLUTION #09-2015-28, RESOLUTION scheduling a Public Hearing for October 22nd, 2015 at 6 p.m. at the Third Street Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, NY, 11944 regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 150-7(B), 7(c), (d) and (m) (Bed and Breakfast Regulations) to the Village of Greenport Code, and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the public hearing accordingly. So
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-29, RESOLUTION scheduling a Public Hearing for October 22nd, 2015 at 6 p.m. at the Third Street Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, NY, 11944 regarding a proposed amendment to Chapter 132 (Vehicles and Traffic), Section 17 (Loading Zones) of the Village of Greenport Code, for the proposed addition of a taxi stand, to operate during the hours of 6 p.m. through 4 a.m., and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the public hearing accordingly. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
Motion carried.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. On this one here, we're going to -- I want to put this out before we vote on it. We're going to -- I would like to amend this motion. Joe, we could amend that before we even go through it, or do we have to read the whole thing and then amend it?
MR. PROKOP: No. We can take a motion amend it. I could make a recommendation to the amendment, if you'd like.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I could start off with that and read what I wanted amended, too?
MR. PROKOP: Yes.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I would like to amend motion -- Resolution 09-2015-30. And I'm just going to read off what I have on it. We're going to try to separate this into two projects. We're going to do Section A. It will be a Type I Action, send it for coordinated review. And I'll read it as that. That's how I'm going to read it, and then we can
discuss it from that point, okay?

Resolution adopting the attached conditional SEQRA resolution whereby the Board of Trustees adopts Lead Agency Status for the purposes of SEQRA, determines that the approval of the Wetlands Permit Application submitted by Costello Marine Contracting to remove and replace 346 feet of existing bulkhead ("Section A"), in-kind and in-place. Then we're dropping the rest of that, up to Section B.

In-place at 210 Carpenter Street, Greenport, New York, 11944 is a Type I Action for purposes of SEQRA, and that coordinated review will be done with a 30-day period?

MR. PROKOP: Yes, a 30-day --

MAYOR HUBBARD: With a 30-day notification and comment period. And then we're going to drop the rest of it, because we're not going do anymore of that until after the coordinated review; is that correct, Joe?

MR. PROKOP: Yes, sir, that's correct.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. I offer that motion, so moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any discussion?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: A point of order question.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So this is referencing the SEQRA resolution that's in the back of the document?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Is that correct, Joe? We've had --

MR. PROKOP: That resolution would be correspond -- would be amended corresponding to the amendment of this agenda resolution. So, actually, the resolution -- the resolution that's attached would actually be substituted by the agenda resolution. And the resolution that's attached, which is the full resolution, determining that there is no significant negative impact, would be -- would be held off. And you're not -- you're not -- I'm sorry. That goes to the wording of this agenda resolution. Thanks for bringing that to my attention. Sorry.

So the first line, "Resolution adopting the attached conditional SEQRA resolution," that has to be changed. And the attached corresponding -- the attached conditional SEQRA resolution. So that resolution would be amended. That's the attached resolution would be amended so that the language of that attached resolution would correspond to the change in the agenda resolution where -- so there is
no -- there is no determination that there will be --
not be a significant negative impact on the
environment. There will only be a determination that
the Board will be a Lead Agency, and that this is a
Type I action, and that a coordinated review is
initiated with a 30-day notification period. The
findings regarding no significant impact would be
removed from that resolution.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. I'm having a hard
time following this. The two pages on the back of
the agenda are -- I'm not voting on that right now?

MR. PROKOP: No. They would be -- you're not
voting on it as they're attached. You're voting on
it only to find that the Board will be Lead Agency --
Lead Agency, that this is a Type I Action, and that a
coordinated review will be commenced with a 30-day
notification period.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So there's no negative
declaration?

MR. PROKOP: There's no negative declaration,
no, not yet, and no findings as to significance.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So we're going to do the
coordinate review and find out what they come back
with, and then we'll be a able to make a
MR. PROKOP: Yes

MAYOR HUBBARD: So that the attached SEQRA resolution is completely gone, we're not even doing that.

MR. PROKOP: Right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So I read it because I was looking at the bottom part, trying to read that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So I believe we have to amend it.

MR. PROKOP: So that language could come out of the first line, actually. Resolution whereby the -- Resolution whereby the -- whereby the Board of Trustees adopts -- so we can take out "adopting the attached conditional SEQRA resolution."

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Cross that out.

MR. PROKOP: So it would just be the first word and then the last word of that first line would stay.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So let me just get this clear here, because maybe I'm a little confused, okay? We're taking -- "resolution" is the first word. We're going to be removing "adopting the attached conditional SEQRA resolution."

MR. PROKOP: Yeah, that's coming out.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. And then continuing on to "Whereby the Board of Trustees adopts Lead Agency Status for the purpose of SEQRA," correct?

MR. PROKOP: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: "Determines that the approval of the Wetlands Permit Application submitted by Costello Marine Contracting to remove and replace 346 feet of existing bulkhead," and we're still leaving in Section A?

MR. PROKOP: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: In-kind, in place and --

MR. PROKOP: Is a -- is a Type I Action.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. So then --

MR. PROKOP: Oh, excuse me, at 210 Carpenter Street.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. "At," okay. So we're removing out of it, we're taking out of it "to remove and replace 204 feet of existing bulkhead, ("Section b") in-kind, in-place." So we're going to go "in-kind, in-place at" --

MR. PROKOP: 210 Carpenter Street, Greenport, New York, 11944, as a Type I Action for purposes of SEQRA," and --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Coordinated review will be done with a 30-day --
MR. PROKOP: Right. "A coordinated review will be commenced with a 30-day notification period."

MAYOR HUBBARD: 30-day notification.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Coordinate the review will commence, with a 30-day notification?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, coordinated review will be commenced with 30-day notification.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And that begins where?

MR. PROKOP: After Type I Action for purposes of SEQRA, and that a 30-day --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: A 30-day?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.

MR. PROKOP: -- coordinated review will be commenced with a 30-day notification period.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Don't we now have to move to amend as such?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Amend the amendment. Is there any other discussion on it?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Maybe a discussion. Why don't we vote this down and then just read the whole thing anew, and walk that on and talk about that?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think we just -- yeah, we accept the amendment.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. Well, I mean, we're
doing the amendment. I would just -- let me read, because I made the motion to amend the original resolution. Let me just read exactly what we're going to have, because we really -- we didn't vote on that. Let me just -- we're going through the wording of what we're going to vote on, so I'll read exactly what we're going to vote on as the amended motion.

Resolution 09-2015-30, RESOLUTION that the Board of Trustees adopts Lead Agency Status for the purposes of SEQRA, determines that the approval of the Wetland Permit Application submitted by Costello Marine Contracting to remove and replace 346 feet of existing bulkhead, in-kind, in-place at 210 Carpenter Street, Greenport, New York, 11944, is a Type I Action for the purposes of SEQRA, initiating coordinated review with a 30-day notification period.

That's it.

MR. PROKOP: That's it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And that's it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: With a 30-day comment period. Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Is everybody comfortable with that?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: (Nodded yes.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: I will offer that as a motion.
So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The amended motion, I should say.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. All in favor of the amended motion?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

All in favor of the motion as a whole as amended?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

That motion is carried.

Due to that, Resolution 31, I offer a motion to table 31 until we go through the process, because we're not going to be doing any of that. So I offer
a motion to table RESOLUTION #09-2015-31. So moved.

   TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
   MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
   TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
   TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
   TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
   MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

   Motion is tabled.

   All right. Now, I'll go back to my notes. Who was next?

   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I think it might be me.
   MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
   TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I think Doug is.
   CLERK PIRILLO: Trustee Roberts.
   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-32,

   RESOLUTION confirming the Planning Board's adoption of Lead Agency Status, and the Planning Board's determination that the application of Wayne Turett and Jessica Leighton for the construction of a new single family home and the approval of a wetlands permit for that application and the construction will not have a significant negative impact on the environment and confirming the adoption of a negative
declaration. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #09-2015-33,

RESOLUTION approving the Wetlands Permit Application as submitted by Wayne Turett and Jessica Leighton for the construction of a new single-family home at 746 Main Street, Greenport, NY, 11944, per the public hearing held on August 27th, 2015. This approval is contingent upon a new review of the application by the Village of Greenport Historic Preservation Commission, and is also contingent upon the adoption by Wayne Turett and Jessica Leighton of the conditions set forth by the Village of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals and Planning Board, including all previous conditions as set forth. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MR. PALLAS: Mayor, if I may. One condition was left off of here, and I assume the one further down, is the Conservation Advisory Council conditions, in addition to the Zoning Board and Planning Board, should have been included, or I thought it was going to be included.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So you want to add in the CAC?

MR. PALLAS: After Planning Board, and conditions as presented by the CAC, something like that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So a motion --

MAYOR HUBBARD: I'm trying to -- all right.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Motion to amend adding the words after Planning Board, "And Conservation Advisory Council," comma, so moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. All in favor of the amended motion?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
All opposed?

(No Response)

That carries.

All in favor of the motion as a whole?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #09-2015-34,

RESOLUTION approving all checks per the Voucher Summary Report dated September 18, 2015 in the amount of $727,588.34 consisting of:

- All regular checks in the amount of $675,268.07, and
- All prepaid checks (including wire transfers) in the amount of $52,320.27. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed? (No Response)
Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Mr. Mayor.
MAYOR HUBBARD: I offer a motion to adjourn at --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm sorry. We didn't deal with the Planning Board thing.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh.
MR. SWISKEY: American Beech.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. Any Board Members have comments that they would like to forward to the Planning Board on the 308 Main Street, American Beech project?
MR. PROKOP: I just, if I could, we -- I had circulated, when this process began two months ago, the section of the DEC regulations that deal with significance. And I just wanted to, if I could have your permission, please, to go over some of the areas that you could comment on, which is adverse change in air quality, solid waste production, traffic or noise levels.
The removal of large quantities of vegetation.
or fauna, impact on animal or plant life. The impact
-- excuse me -- the impairment of environmental
characteristics of a critical environmental area.
Creation of material conflict with community's
current goals or plans. The impairment of the
charter or quality of an important historical or
archeological, architectural or aesthetic resources
of an existing community or neighborhood character.
A major change in the use or quantity of energy. A
hazard to human health. A substantial change in the
use, intensity of use of land. The encouragement or
attracting of a large number of people to a place or
places for more than a few days, compared to the
number of people who would come to that place absent
the action. The creation of a material demand for
other actions that would result in one or more of the
above consequences.

So those are the types of considerations that
the DEC would like you to take into account, if
you -- I'm just giving these to you to help you
structure comments, if you have any. Otherwise, you
could determine that there are no comments, if you'd
like to do that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. PROKOP: Or you could use your own
criteria. These are just suggestions for criteria.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Were there any
Board Members that had any other comments that they
would like to forward on this, the application?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, the only thing is, is
that, I mean, I presented my own comments --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- but I do have concerns.
First of all, the intensification of use is that
particular piece of property is one tax number, and
it has increased with the varieties of businesses
that used to be on there. So my concern is with
their activity of delivery trucks, but I'm also
concerned about emergency vehicles being able to get
in there, since that property has now gone to
retails, three restaurants, a deli, apartment houses
-- I mean, there are apartments on the top.

My concern is that section of Main Street, and
that's part of my comments, that and the back on
Carpenter Street, that -- between Bay Avenue and
Central Avenue. The activity along that whole
section has become intensified just by the
development within the Village. So my concern is,
it's not his responsibility to correct that problem,
but it's ours. But I think it should be mentioned in
whatever the decision is that, as I said, I -- we should be -- we should be looking at that stretch of Main Street as a Village Board, but I don't know how to enter that into this particular comment. That's why I made my own today.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I mean, myself, I'm comfortable with the Board's doing their coordinated review, doing what they have to. I mean, I'd rather, if we can, let the Boards do their job and keep us out of it, so we're not overruling them. I feel they're very capable in what they're doing. I mean, personally, you made your comments and all. Myself, I have no comments to make as being from the table here from the Board. I think let our Boards do what they have to do and leave it at that. I mean, follow the code, do what's proper, and I don't see where we could actually try to overrule them. That's my feeling, you know.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I don't think that's what the coordinated review is for. I think it's just to --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. If we feel there's a major thing that we can --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: You know, addressing the roads,
make them one-ways, or whatever. That's something we could address ourselves. But to this property owner, I don't feel -- I wouldn't feel comfortable with us overriding the Planning Board and telling them, "We want you to do this or that." I think we're taking away their authority. They're trained in it. I believe that they can handle it well for us.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But my understanding of coordinated review is not telling them, is to make suggestions as this is what we see. Is that not my understanding, coordinated review? We're not telling the Planning Board what to do directly, we're just giving them our observations --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Input.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- from another Board within the municipality government, correct?

MR. PROKOP: It's just input. I mean, there is an initial question as to whether or not you want -- you would like to become Lead Agency instead of the Planning Board, but there's no interest for that. So after that, it's just comments and input.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That was my understanding, that we weren't trying to override the Planning Board, we were just giving them observations from our section of the -- of the -- and I'm assuming
ZBA's also giving them comments, yes, within a coordinated review?

MR. PROKOP: They were asked to.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

MR. PROKOP: I don't believe that there were any comments.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I agree with George, Mayor Hubbard. I think that the Boards need to feel that they can act in their own right. I think that's the purpose of the Boards. And unless there's something egregious that I feel that we, as a Board, need to give them our input, that they should have the opportunity to function as autonomous Boards and make their own decisions, and I think they are capable of doing that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. So that being said, if there's no consensus for a motion, then we'll just review that we, the Village Board, has no formal comments to issue to them on the American Beech. Everybody's comfortable with that?

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Very.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I offer --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I second. At the meeting, I seconded Trustee Phillips comments. I thought they were spot on.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And I would like for this Board to use this as an opportunity at a work session to discuss the traffic flow and parking over there, because the emergency vehicle thing is a real issue, so great.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. At nine o'clock, I'll offer a motion to adjourn.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

We are adjourned. Thank you all for coming.

(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 9:00 p.m.)
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Approved: Created by: ROBERT

Description: TO FUND TWO PUMP REBUILDINGS AT CENTRAL PUMP STATION

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account No.</th>
<th>Account Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.5990</td>
<td>APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.8130.203</td>
<td>MAJOR PUMP STATION REPAIR..</td>
<td>15,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Amount: 30,000.00

Account # Order: No
Print Parent Account: No
Status: Batch

VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
Budget Adjustment Form

Year: 2016  Period: 9  Trans Type: B2 - Amend  Status: Batch
Trans No: 2263  Trans Date: 09/11/2015  User Ref: ROBERT
Requested: P. PALLAS  Approved:  Created by: ROBERT  09/11/2015
Description: FUNDING OF EMERGENCY WORK FOR THE LIGHT PLANT

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account No.</th>
<th>Account Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E.5990</td>
<td>APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE</td>
<td>31,998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.0742.110</td>
<td>REPAIRS TO DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.</td>
<td>31,998.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.5990</td>
<td>APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE</td>
<td>23,901.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E.0742.110</td>
<td>REPAIRS TO DISTRIBUTION SYSTEM.</td>
<td>23,901.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Amount:** 111,798.00
VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
Budget Adjustment Form

Year: 2016  Period: 9  Trans Type: B2 - Amend  Status: Batch
Trans No: 2264  Trans Date: 09/17/2015  User Ref: ROBERT  09/17/2015
Requested: P. PALLAS  Approved:  Created by: ROBERT
Description: TO APPROPRIATE GENERAL FUND SURPLUS TO FUND THE PURCHASE OF A
2001 CASE 621C PAY-LOADER
Account # Order: No  Print Parent Account: No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account No.</th>
<th>Account Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.5990</td>
<td>APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE</td>
<td>32,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.8843.900</td>
<td>TRANSFER TO CAPITAL..</td>
<td>32,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.2816.500</td>
<td>TRANSFER FROM GENERAL..</td>
<td>32,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.5110.520</td>
<td>ROAD CONSTRUCTION.EQUIPMENT</td>
<td>32,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Amount:</td>
<td>128,000.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
Budget Adjustment Form

Year: 2016
Period: 9
Trans No: 2265
Trans Date: 09/17/2015
User Ref: ROBERT
Status: Batch
Requested: P. PALLAS
Approved: Created by: ROBERT
09/17/2015
Description: TO APPROPRIATE SEWER FUND SURPLUS TO FUND THE PURCHASE OF A 2014 FORD F-350 PICK UP TRUCK
Account # Order: No
Print Parent Account: No

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account No.</th>
<th>Account Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G.8130.204</td>
<td>MAJOR EQUIP REPAIRS/PURCHASES..</td>
<td>31,278.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G.5990</td>
<td>APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE</td>
<td>31,278.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Total Amount:</td>
<td>62,556.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>