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MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I'll call this meeting to order at 7:00 with a pledge to the flag.

(Whereupon, all stood for the Pledge of Allegiance.)

Please remain standing for a moment of silence.

I would like to remember Bessie LaFreniere, Trustee Phillips' mother, who passed away recently.

(Whereupon, all remain standing for a moment of silence.)

Thank you. You may be seated.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mayor Hubbard, thank you very much for remembering my mother. She used to enjoy critiquing every Village Board meeting that she used to watch on Channel 22, and I will miss that.

Thank you very much for acknowledging.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. I have a few announcements.

The annual Fire Department hydrant testing will take place on this Sunday, October 25th, beginning at 9 a.m. Please refrain from doing laundry, or doing your sheets, or your delicates on Sunday morning.

(Laughter)

Usually, discolored water usually lasts about
two hours. By noontime, it should be cleared up. But refrain from using water, if possible, just so you don't have problems with that.

All right. The Village, in conjunction with the PTA and the Library, will be sponsoring a Halloween event, with the Halloween Parade beginning at the Carousel at 9:45 a.m. on October 31st. Village Hall will be closed on November 11th in observance of Veterans' Day.

We've got several liquor license applications. We have a new application for Claudio's Clam Bar, 111 Main Street; a new application for Claudio's Tavern, 111 Main Street; a new application for "entity to be formed". Is that in parentheses, then? At 111 Main Street.

All right. Public Hearings:

Chapter 150 of the Bed & Breakfast Regulations. We've noticed the Public Hearing, the information has been out there. Basically, what they were doing was they want to change our Village Code from three -- three-room bed and breakfast to five-room. You'd have to back -- anybody who wants to do that would have to go back for a new site plan. If they have enough parking and space for enough bedrooms, they'd be allowed to expand from three to five rooms.
That's basically it. We'll take public comment on the Chapter 150, Bed and Breakfast Regulations. Name and address for the record, please.

MR. SAWYER: Clayton Sawyer, 104 Bay Avenue, Greenport, New York, 11944.

Okay. This is probably -- you've heard this before. There are currently seven B&Bs in Greenport Village. I'm speaking for us, the Greenport members of bbnofo, the area B&B group, bbnofo.com. We are trying to make our livings running a B&B in Greenport Village. We are not in this to get rich, obviously. But Donna pointed out that it's not so obvious. And I do have to stress, that with three rooms, it is just about getting by with expenses, insurance, all the different things we have to do, guests opening air -- you know, air conditioners, windows open, you know, things that you don't really think about. We just kind of scrape by with three rooms.

But, obviously, we do this because we love what we do. It's a primary source of income. It's not a way to supplement our second, or vacation home, or investment property. If I lose my job, I lose my house.

I've run my inn for over 15 years. The B&Bs and innkeepers are people that love this area. They
know Greenport, its history. Am I going too fast?

We've helped support the town over the years before it was a tourist destination it is today, endlessly donating to various charities and causes, volunteering on various boards, such as Chamber of Commerce and the Greenport Merchants Association. We live here and our bed and breakfasts are owner-occupied.

It's basically impossible to turn a profit, as we are limited to three rooms right now. We are simply asking that we are put into -- onto a level playing field as far as the other B&Bs in the area, that's all.

Southold is all around us with a five-room max. When guests call us for reservations and we cannot accommodate them here, we have to refer the business out of Greenport. I'm all for competition, as long as it's fair. And with the onslaught of online booking-type transient and nightly rentals running rampant, resort condominiums rented by the night, and places a few blocks away renting out nightly with a revoked C of O, it makes it virtually impossible to operate.

We recognize your time is valuable and you're busy. We would appreciate your changing the room max
of Greenport's B&Bs regulation to five. We understand we would have to apply on a case-by-case basis. Five is below the New York State average, which is seven, but we'd be thrilled with five. This will make it a bit more fair, and we'd be able to compete in the current environment.

And as a side note, the American Disabilities Act recognizes that five is the magic number for B&Bs, excluding owner-occupied lodgings with rooms of five or less from having to follow ADA requirements. And by this logic, all lodgings that are non-owner-occupied five rooms or less are in violation of ADA, just so you know.

The B&Bs in the Village have to charge sales tax, hospitality tax, which is used for grants that benefit the North Fork and Greenport Village. We are owner-occupied. We pay B&B insurance and adhere to the local variance -- Village requirements, and we should not be the only ones to have to adhere to those laws.

We urge you to help and to help Greenport business by upping us to a five-room max, and we'll be able to compete with Southold Township B&Bs by being on a more level playing field. Thank you very much.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. Anybody else wish to address the Board?

MS. POLLACK: Hello, everybody. I'm Karen Pollack, 630 First Street.

I do have an opinion about this. New York State may allow five or more bedrooms be rented out in a B&B, but that doesn't mean that we're required to allow this here. Most places in New York State, in wine country, in the Finger Lakes region, in the Catskills and the Adirondacks, we're talking about much bigger plots of land that larger B&Bs operate. In those areas, the extra guests don't present any problem to anybody.

Now I feel that our existing B&B law with three bedrooms is already very generous. It allows the operation of hotel-type businesses in residential districts. Renting out three bedrooms can easily bring in $750 per night. Isn't this enough?

It may come as a surprise, but for some of us who are year-round residents, summer is our favorite season, too. Please bear this in mind before creating even more crowding and further reducing the parking to be found in our business district.

Now, the gentleman that just spoke made some very good arguments, but what he is talking about is
running a business. We're allowing it in residential areas. They are de facto hotels, and on lots -- most of our lots here in Greenport are 50 feet wide, a third of an acre, a quarter of an acre, less. We're not talking about the size of the lots that are average in the Township of Southold. So just -- I just hope you'll bear that in mind. We're crowded enough as it is. Thanks a lot.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street. I've spoken in favor of this law before. It's logical in this day and age. These people have to make a living, they have to compete with the Airbnbs and these other things that are going on. And the only thing I -- comment I would make is we got restaurants in this town with no parking, we got other things with no parking. They're allowed to use off-site parking, including the bank.

Granted, these Airbnbs should have a parking space for each room, but maybe you could allow -- say it is impossible in this spot. You could say this spot on the street we could designate it, because I think you got to be a little be liberal with this. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.
MS. WILLIAMS: Hi. My name is Jane Williams, 229 Fifth Street. I have a question about -- in the code, it says under 2-1, this shall -- "This chapter shall apply to all rental dwelling units located within the Village of Greenport, whether or not the use and occupancy thereof shall be permitted under the applicable use regulations for the zoning district in which such rental dwellings are located." How do we find out the regulations for the different zoning districts?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. We'll refer that. Joe, do you have an answer on that part?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: What section? I'm sorry to ask this.

MS. WILLIAMS: It's 2-1, the second --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Oh, yeah.

MS. WILLIAMS: The first paragraph.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Of the B&B Law?

MS. WILLIAMS: Yes.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Of the actual code?

MS. WILLIAMS: Of the Local Law.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The actual code.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I don't have a comment about that. I'm sorry. I would -- it's because --

MS. WILLIAMS: Well, that's fine. But if
someone could get back to me on it, that would be fine as well.

   ATTORNEY PROKOP: Yeah. I think it's because the B&B was meant to supersede the -- you know, supersede the use regulations of the code once it was established. But I'll take a look at that and get back to you.

   MS. WILLIAMS: Thank you, I'd appreciate it.

   ATTORNEY PROKOP: Okay.

   MS. WILLIAMS: I'd also like to make a suggestion. I do approve of the HomeAway, the Airbnbs within restrictions. I think that there should be definitely a substantial permit fee for us, as well as an inspection.

   And I highly recommend that maybe the Code Enforcers have alternating or staggered hours. Someone could be on an earlier shift, someone could be on a later one. This way dwellings could be checked in the evening hours, in the early morning hours, you know, as opposed to appointed times, where people can prep their rental properties and have it, you know, up to code.

   You know, I highly approve of this. I think these places bring in a lot of guests to the Village, and I think with strict regulations, though, it would
benefit us all. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MR. SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street. I have no opinion about the -- about the amount of rooms, but I do have an opinion about the code. I'm a B&B guy, I'm a hotel guy.

I would recommend to the Board, I would suggest to the Board that the B&B code was passed in 1989, and the Village Board at that time -- New York State Law was in effect in 1989 and so was Southold Town's. And the Village Board of Greenport chose to deviate from five rooms to three rooms, for whatever reason. I don't know the reason. I'm saying maybe there's something that they saw that right now we don't, you know, the motivation for them. They had New York State Law in front of them, they had Southold Town law in front of them, they chose this code.

B&B is a conditional use, they're allowed only in R-1. They took it into account. They thought it out, is what I'm saying. They restricted B&Bs from waterfront commercial, from commercial retail, restricted it to the residential zones, so they gave it some thought. They decided what the proper lot size was, 10,000 square feet. They decided what size the -- the minimum size the house should be, 2,000
square feet. They decided room size. So they took
time and effort to think about this.

We should -- we should at least inquire from
the people that were around then what their
motivation was, what their reasoning was.

Just -- and also, I got this today from
somebody. The Village of Patchogue in 2009 enacted a
B&B code and they used Greenport's code as a model,
three rooms. I'm not sure what that means, but, you
know, Patchogue decided that Greenport -- they went
with Greenport's rule, as opposed to New York State
or -- I'm not sure where Patchogue is, Brookhaven, or
whatever.

And as a reminder, if you do pass it and one of
the caveats is, is that lot size, 10,000 square feet,
and parking would have to conform, so B&Bs would have
to have a 10,000 square foot lot. They would have to
provide parking for five rooms.

The code also says -- again, I'm a code horse.
The code also says that you need off-street parking
for every room. You need parking in a designated
area, and five feet back from the property line, from
the boundary. So, you know, cars that are parked
with the rear bumper touching the sidewalk, that's --
that doesn't conform to the code.
It also says that parking must be screened from
the neighbors by a fence or plantings at a minimum of
five feet high. So, if you go from three cars to
five cars, you're going to have to screen it from the
neighbors. The minimum height is going to be -- has
to be, according to the code, five feet.

So it's not just -- there's more to -- all I'm
saying is there's more to consider than just let's
add two rooms to the code, let's find parking for two
additional cars. There's a whole lot more in the
code that has to be considered than just upping the
ante a little bit.

I would also like to remind the Board that
there was two public meetings well attended, like
this one, in 2011. I understand the dynamic at those	
two meetings. It was a little -- the issue was a
little different, it was about something -- it was
about raising the rooms to five, but also there were
certain personalities involved and stuff like that,
but they were well attended. Two of the members from
that Board are here tonight. You chose not to do it.
Tonight perhaps you'll -- I don't know if you're
going to vote tonight, but --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. We're just having the
public hearing, and then it would be -- a vote would
be next month, if we do it.

MR. SALADINO: But these are the other things
that I would ask you to consider as far as the code.
Do they need the money? Yeah, probably. Everybody
needs a little extra money. If -- but there's other
things in involved. There's other portions of the
code that you have to consider aside from two
additional parking spaces and two additional rooms.
And without going into the code, there's a whole lot
more.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah.

MR. SALADINO: I mean, window size and stuff
like that. So thank you for listening.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MR. REED: Mike Reed, 430 Front Street. I'm
going back to the years, you've been going, you know,
have, have not. Most of these people are, you know,
pretty hardworking people, like this gentleman here,
put his livelihood, correct, into it. Mostly, people
who have bed and breakfasts are pretty nice people.

I live right across from the Bartlett House.
It just got bought out a couple of months ago. Nice
people, put a lot of money into it. And the nice
thing about having these people here is that if you
have a problem, you can knock on the door and go to
them. It's better than the absentee landlord issues that were having these problems, that we have a place we're having a problem with. You know, it's good for -- you know, good for the town, you know? They're just trying to make, you know, an honest living. They try to take care of their property. Most of the bed and breakfasts are beautiful. You know, they put a lot of time and take a lot of pride in it, more than some of the -- I won't call them slumlords, but you see around here, you know. It's pretty good, you know. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MS. GORDON: Good evening. Dinni Gordon, 152 Sixth Street. I just want for a minute to put this in a larger perspective.

It seems to me that with this and the short-term rental issue, and the general rent regulation law, we are in the process of really trying to regulate the tourist economy that is the basis of Greenport now. That's a very complicated, very complicated set of issues and really important for all of us. I think balance is what's really important. And I'm pleased that there's some real effort to find balance in the short-term rental situation, but I think this is linked to the
short-term rental questions.

If we are reducing short-term rentals in one context, the Airbnb type of thing, I think we also need to be thinking about the expansion of a short-term -- what is essentially a short-term rental, but is much more -- much more regulated, much more supervised, with a kind of control that we don't -- haven't had over the Airbnb type of short-term rental. We're talking about something that would be a maximum -- in particular, a maximum additional 21 rooms. If you have seven B&Bs and they all expand by two rooms, which is probably unlikely, you're talking about another 21 rooms.

MR. SAWYER: Fourteen.

MS. GORDON: I'm sorry. Yes, two times seven is 14. I didn't go to the Greenport School.

(Laughter)

Maybe I should have. But I think we're not talking about a very large expansion, and we are talking about an expansion which would be under the control -- under a kind of control of Greenporters, the owners of the B&Bs, that I think is probably a part of achieving this balance that we're all concerned with.

One final thing is just I have a stepson who is
32. He comes occasionally to visit. We don't have room for him always, so he stays in either a B&B or a motel, or sometimes an Airbnb kind of place. And he and I had a kind of lively argument about the Airbnb situation in which he said -- and I realize that from his perspective, Airbnb is absolutely wonderful, you know. You can come and have this beautiful environment for a couple of days and go home, and you haven't broken the bank to do that. And he said, finally, "Well, why aren't there more B&B rooms," and which would solve the kinds of problems that I was bringing up.

And I think, you know, there -- this is a very hard kind of comment to -- it's a very hard argument to answer intelligently. But I think if we had a little more B&B space, we would be better equipped to respond to the B&B -- the Airbnb issue. And I think they have to be considered together. And I have the feeling that the Board is considering them together with its proposal, which we will deal with in future times. So thanks.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Anybody else wish to address the Board?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Mr. Mayor?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Can I read a constituent email into the record?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Doug Moore, I promised him. This is from Douglas Moore. He's not writing in his capacity as Chair of the ZBA, but as a Greenport citizen.

"I support increasing the allowable rooms for B&Bs from three to five. As the parking requirement for a five-bedroom B&B would total seven parking spaces, five could be for guests and two for residents. The required 10,000 minimum lot size might be insufficient. This might result in more straining in the parking lots! I suggest the minimum lot size for a -- excuse me -- the minimum lot size for a four or five guest room B&B be increased to 12,500 square feet. Additionally, I suggest the minimum lot sides for B&B registered for one or two guest rooms be allowed on a standard minimum lot size of 7500 square feet in the R-2 District. This would promote the availability of smaller capacity B&Bs in the Village. Respectfully, Douglas M. Moore, 145 Sterling Street." Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Is that the only one you had?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yes, sir.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, okay. Okay. I'd like to entertain a motion to adjourn the public hearing.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any discussion?

(No Response)

All right. We'll discuss this. We'll put it on the agenda for our work session. All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

Okay. The second public hearing we have is Chapter 132, Vehicles and Traffic. The overall gist of this is, basically, to create a taxi spot, one taxi spot on First Street between the exit of Adams Street parking lot and the entrance to Preston's property, where Latham Farm Stand is. There will be one spot there that will be designated as a taxi stand. So in the evenings, or whatever, it would be open. I believe we set the date -- time from six at night until six in the morning, or six at night until
midnight --

CLERK PIRILLO: Until four, four in the morning.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Four in the morning. Okay.

Six at night until four in the morning. Just so people know that there's a designated spot. If they need a ride home or if they need something, there's a place they can go to catch a taxi. That's -- basically, there's a lot of words and everything else in the whole law. That's really all we're doing, is just creating one parking spot as a taxi stop right in front of where the buses stop on First Street.

All right. At this point, I'll open it up to the public, if anybody wants to address the public hearing.

MS. WILLIAMS: Jane Williams, 229 Fifth Street. Just one quick question. If they're in this -- in this proposal, is there -- what does a second cab do? What happens if there's a second cab? Is there a penalty if a second cab is also parking in this -- in this area, or is there a special place the second cab can wait? I think that has to be considered, because it's going to be a hot spot for one cab, and I think that has to be taken into consideration. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. DAPARMA: How are you doing?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Hello.

MR. DAPARMA: Brian DaParma, Hometown Taxi, 1560 Youngs Avenue, Southold, New York.

I love the idea that the Board is looking to do something with taxicabs. You have a few things, just logistically, I wanted you guys to be aware of, is during the night hours, where you're looking to put this taxi stand, it's kind of in the middle of nowhere, in the dark. Just for safety reasons, somebody's walking from Claudio's, they're going to have to walk halfway across the town, probably inebriated, through the back alleys, through the parking lots. If it was my 21-year-old daughter, I'd tell her not to.

As for all the locals, I'm one of the largest -- basically, the only game in town, you know, as one of the -- a smaller company. All locals know us, they know our phone number. They call us, we pull up in front of the bar. As for all the tourists, where the taxi stand is, they'll never find us there. If I could urge the Board to maybe look at relocating.

Parking is not -- parking is not a premium at night around the Village, there's always open spots.
If they could designate several spots around the Village, Brix and Rye, Claudio's, Blue Canoe, maybe one spot, 8 p.m. to 4 a.m., taxis only right in front, so we could pull up and drop off without having to double-park in the street, which makes it really dangerous when we unload.

And, also, I was instrumental in creating the Southampton Town, East Hampton Town taxi laws, and I was appointed to the board of the Suffolk County Taxi and Limousine Commission. And if the Village here would like to create a committee or a work session to look at possibly regulating the taxis, or doing something to quiet down the congestion of all the out-of-town cabs that are coming in town, myself, like everybody else, we need to make money during the summer, and especially the B&Bs that I hear everybody saying they're renting out 750 a night. It would be all well and good if they rent them out every night, seven days a week, 365 days a year. Same with me, if I'm busy all the time, I wouldn't really need it, but we need to make money during the summertime to leave the doors open in the winter. Thank you for your time.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.

MR. SALADINO: John Saladino, Sixth Street.
Would it be appropriate for me to ask a question? I had asked this question -- I'll add, I had asked this question at the work session about the RFP for the taxi that's going to go to the taxi stand, and I had asked the Attorney. Nobody seems to know, or that particular night, nobody seemed to know what the deal with the taxi, with the RFP was. Do we pay them, do they pay us? Do they have -- do we know the name of the company? Is it just --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. They would be paying the taxi themselves. It was just to see if there was interest in somebody doing it. That's why the RFP was done.

MR. SALADINO: So we got an RFP back and someone was interested in doing it?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

MR. SALADINO: And do we know how many taxis?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: He's sitting right there.

MR. SALADINO: I'm sorry?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: He's sitting right there.

MR. DAPARMA: That was --

MR. SALADINO: That was you?

MR. DAPARMA: That was me. You could ask any questions you want

MR. SALADINO: Well, I can't ask you, I have to
ask you through the Board.

    MAYOR HUBBARD: No, that's okay.

    MR. SALADINO: So for a taxi to stay at the
taxi stand and have one taxi, or I don't know how
many taxis are involved, but if there's one or two
taxis and they both have fares, and the fares are
someplace other than the dynamic envelope of
Greenport Village, so now there's a space that's open
that somebody can't park in for 45, or 50, or one
hour from what time?

    MR. DAPARMA: Six p.m. to 4 a.m.

    MR. SALADINO: Six p.m.

    TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Unless he's got a second --
if I may, unless has a second car sitting out on
Moore's Lane, so when one car goes to Riverhead, the
next --

    MR. SALADINO: Does he have a second car
sitting on Moore's Lane?

    TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm assuming he does have
more than one car. He's nodding yes.

    MR. DAPARMA: Yes.

    MR. SALADINO: It seems like an elaborate
solution to a nonexistent problem. Even the taxi guy
doesn't think it's a great place to have a taxi
stand. And I think I heard you say everybody has
your phone number, right? I would ask the Board to take that into consideration.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. No, we will. I think the intention, John, was to make a designated spot, so people in town knew where there might be a taxi to be able to get one. I think that was the intention of putting the -- having the public hearing and doing the law to say the taxi should be available after 6 o'clock at night in this area, right in front of where the buses go. People, everybody knows to go there to get the bus. Right in front of that, there's a place to get a taxi. And it was just for public knowledge and say, "If you need a taxi, that's the spot you should be able to catch one." That was the intention of the whole thing.

MR. SALADINO: I understand, and the road to hell is paved with good intentions. But the reality is -- I mean, if we talk in the abstract, it's a great idea. But the reality is, is that if you're in a saloon and you need a taxicab, you're going to tell the bartender to call you a taxicab.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

MR. SALADINO: If you're at Claudio's or you're at a hotel, you're not going to -- even the taxi owner guy said nobody's walking from Claudio's to
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that spot.

MR. DAPARMA: It's a great start. It's a great start.

MR. SALADINO: Perhaps. Perhaps a different place.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. SALADINO: Perhaps. Thank you. Thank you for listening.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Anyone else wish to address the Board on this topic?

MR. PELTON: Good evening. Brent Pelton, 300 Main Street. I'm just happy that the Board is addressing this. Anything that we can do to get people home safely at night is obviously important to everyone. We've had a couple of tragedies on the North Fork over the past years. And I really thank the Board for looking into this issue and I think it's important. I don't know what the exact location is or what, but I just think that it's important to be addressing this.

We also talked about the B&Bs earlier, and Greenport has terrific B&B owners, proprietors. And I just want to give my full support to raising the number of rooms from three to five. I think it makes a lot of sense. They're great people who are working
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really hard and do a good job to showcase our area.
So thank you.
    MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Anybody else wish
to address the Board on this topic?
    (No Response)
    Okay.
    TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Mayor, may I read --
    MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, yes. Yeah, go ahead.
    Sorry.
    TRUSTEE ROBERTS: "Douglas M. Moore, 145
    Sterling Street. I support the plans to establish a
taxi stand in the Village. The proposed location of
the taxi stand seemed removed from the concentration
of restaurants serving alcohol. I would suggest the
stand be located closer to the intersection of First
and Front Streets, perhaps at the east or west side
of First Street at Front Street. As the stand is set
for nighttime hours, this location would not
interfere with daytime shopping/parking needs.
Respectfully, Doug Moore."
    MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. I'll
entertain a motion to close the public hearing on the
Vehicle and Traffic Law.
    TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
    MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried. We'll discuss that at the work session and come up with comments from ourselves.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Sure.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. The next topic is public to address the Board. At this time, I'll open up the meeting to anybody who wants to address the Board.

MR. SWISKEY: All right. This is going to be one of the topics I'm talking about tonight.

William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street. I have several things I want to ask. First of all, is there going to be an Executive Session tonight?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I do not believe so.

MR. SWISKEY: All right. Are there any walk-on resolutions, resolutions other than on the agenda that are going to come up?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Not that I have.

MR. SWISKEY: Okay. Any of the other Trustees going to bring one up?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: (Shook head no.)

MR. SWISKEY: All right. Well, first of all, I just want to ask one thing. I said it at the work session and I'm asking tonight about is the report ready on what went wrong at the light plant that you directed Village Administrator Pallas to prepare, I guess, a month or two ago; is that ready?

MAYOR HUBBARD: The report on the last outage?

MR. SWISKEY: No, no. On the whole situation of what went wrong, I believe what went wrong over the last two or three years at the light plant with the --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right, the overall Phase I project.

MR. PALLAS: No.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's going to be part of what they're doing if we go through with Resolution 22. They'll be working on that and putting everything together

MR. SWISKEY: But I thought he was supposed to put together before we even started to -- that was my understanding, right, Mary Bess, you asked for it?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: My request had been to give us, between the Village Administrator and the Village Attorney, an outline of what -- what we would be
looking for in -- before spending any money for litigation. In other words, taking a look at the contract to make sure that there -- what clauses were in there, if there were any, that would cause some issues. There was some question as to what actually was wrong with the outline -- I mean, with the -- with the drafting or the schematic that was created for the Phase I. That's what I was asking for, because I can't -- I really -- before spending any money on legal fees, I would like to have an idea if we even are at a point where we would be successful in it.

MR. SWISKEY: So that's still not ready, although I thought it was more comprehensive. It was supposed to be, all right, this was supposed to happen and it didn't happen and this --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, that's what I'm talking about, Bill.

MR. SWISKEY: And we, in other words -- and why isn't it ready? It's been more than sufficient time, I can tell you that.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That, sir, I have asked, and that's a question for the Mayor and the Village Administrator.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, maybe somebody should ask
them a little bit harder, because I can tell you that
you should be able to put that together in a week or
less, from experience.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I do know, Bill, that I
passed on information from my back files when the
Director of Utilities was involved in it, the
previous Director of Utilities, and even some
information that I gathered from files from back in
19 -- 1989, was it, or 1990. There was some
information dealing with Genesys and the Village,
which I have given to Village Administrator. So he
must be working on it. He's asked for information,
I've given it to him. I don't know what more I can
do, other than keep pushing forward, which I've been
doing.

MR. SWISKEY: Basically, what I've learned is
if you're in charge and somebody's not doing it, you
tell them to get off their butt and get it done.
That's the way it usually works.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Bill, as I said, I've
discussed it with the Mayor and the Village
Administrator, and that's my focus at the moment.
Your questions need to be directed to them.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, in my opinion, the Board
should direct -- put a resolution on tonight
directing that it be ready in like, and I'm going to be very liberal, in three weeks. Set a hard deadline, three weeks or a month, but there should be a hard deadline and it should be available to the public.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

MR. SWISKEY: All right. Now --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: If I could, Mr. Swiskey. In Mr. Pallas' defense, he's had to spend many hours reviewing Building Department files in the last month, so there hasn't been much work maybe on the power plant or the Managing Director.

MR. SWISKEY: When you take the job, Doug, because I used to have basically almost the same job, you had to be able to multitask. This thing about time, it doesn't -- it didn't cut the mustard with Dave Kapell, it shouldn't cut the mustard with this Board, is what I'm saying. We're paying a lot of money, get the work done.

Now, this appeared on a -- now, Trustee Phillips, you have a Facebook page, "Let's Talk Greenport," right? I'm --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's my own Facebook page, yes.

MR. SWISKEY: All right. But, basically, since
it's your page and you're a Trustee, people relate to what goes on that page as coming from the Village.

Now, since -- are we going to investigate the head of the Department of Public Works, the Road Department? I mean, there's some pretty strong accusations on there that are public now.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Are we going to investigate him for what, Bill?

MR. SWISKEY: Read the paper, George. It's like unbelievable what went up on that page.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Swiskey, to answer you, I've already had a discussion with the Village Administrator, I've had a discussion with the Department -- with the Supervisor of the Road Department. I will be discussing some of the issues that I found with the Mayor in the next day or two. I will be answering Scott Corwin directly.

I will tell you that the -- some of the issues as for the bid process will be corrected tonight on the agenda. I'm sure you've noticed that we have annual bids going out. There are some misconceptions and some visual misconceptions, and that I will be directing with the Mayor first before I answer Mr. Corwin.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, I'm going to tell you
there, this pissed me off. Did you read that last paragraph? What is that saying there, George? You're a logical --

MAYOR HUBBARD: It says most of the work is done as an emergency. I didn't read the whole thing, Bill, so I'm just --

MR. SWISKEY: But, I mean, the last paragraph, and I think it's -- where is it? Yeah.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That they're using the old bid for two men and a machine, because the yearly bids did not go out for the past two years and we're rectifying that.

MR. SWISKEY: I realize.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And they're ready to go out now.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, what I'm saying is -- I'll read it, then.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

MR. SWISKEY: "They seem to bid everything out but this work. If you ask, they would tell you this is a current bid also, but, upon close examination, is an old bid. They say this followed when the work is billed for; not true. They also deemed most of this work is emergency status, which means all bets are off and they can do whatever they want."
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And this is the part that pisses me off. This was implemented and perfected many years ago by yours truly as a clever away to circumvent and get -- and get what you want. That's bullshit.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well --

MR. SWISKEY: And I resent this being on a Trustee's -- I'm sorry for the language, but I resent that being on what's basically a semi-official site. She's a Trustee. It's a closed site, she only discusses with certain people. I'm lucky that somebody gave this to me. This -- you know, this is basically telling these people I'm a crook and they're passing this on.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Bill, did you bother to print, or did they bother to print off the rest of that particular site?

MR. SWISKEY: I don't know. I was handed this and I find this a disgrace. I think -- I think that -- I think the Village Board needs to look into this. No, accusations have been made now, so I want an investigation.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's what --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Bill, you just handed that to me. I didn't read the whole thing. I was trying to skim it.
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MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, I understand that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I didn't see Bill S. at the bottom.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay? So I will -- I'll go to this page and I will read it and --

MR. SWISKEY: But I find it -- you know, for a Trustee to be involved -- well, now, now it's a site, now you have to do something. And I'm not afraid of an investigation.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Bill, Bill.

MR. SWISKEY: Mary Bess, I'm speaking, please. This is bad enough. In other words, it's -- I can tell you that these bids went out every year. Mr. Corwin had a chance to bid. He bid a couple of times, he was high bid. After that, he didn't bid. Well, he had the same opportunity for -- I think this went into effect in the late 1990s, for those eight to nine years, all right?

I realize when David Nyce became Mayor, somehow this bid process fell through. In fact, how can -- how could the Clerk -- all right. And this is the Clerk's job, basically, because these are yearly bids. She's part of the department, ask, "Are the bids ready?" How can these things not be
ready every June? That I don't get. Did we even put
them out last year?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, they were not put out last
year, Bill.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, then I think you have to
speak to some of your staff in the office, because --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, I can -- I could explain
briefly what happened with it. I mean, we had -- a
new Treasurer came in, that was part of an
organization that said you didn't need to do yearly
bids, and they were going with blanket POs. And the
Village switched over to doing blanket POs under our
previous Treasurer, and that's how most of this stuff
was done, and said, "You don't need to do these
yearly bids," per the organization that they were
coming down with, and that was the procedure, besides
fuel oil, and sludge hauling and gasoline; were the
only yearly bids that were done for several years
when that person was Treasurer. They said we didn't
need them per the procurement policy. That's where
it happened.

We've changed that, we're going back to doing
it, plus we've increased the list of stuff we're
going to do for yearly bids. And we're trying to get
back to the practice of doing what we all feel is a
MR. SWISKEY: Well, it was the proper way to do it to begin with. And this former Treasurer and this current Treasurer you've got, they do some very weird things, George, because I was in government for a long time. And I look at some of these -- some of these change orders that are coming out, and here's one where we're spending -- oh, what is it? Basically, you're transferring $88,000 to sidewalks and curb repair. If you look at the total transactions that he's going through just to transfer money from appropriated fund balance to road construction, it's $354,000 worth. I don't know of any other organization that does it this way, and I've been in this business a fair amount of time. You know, and this is the guy that can't even get the -- they can't even get the bids out on time, and then we got this? You know, I'm really pissed, okay?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. SWISKEY: I would like -- we have an Ethics Code in this Village, right?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, we do.

MR. SWISKEY: Because I was thinking, why was the Village Clerk offering $50-a-head tickets to the Land and Sea Gala? I mean, Village Trustees, you
can't do that. Do you know what your ethics --

MAYOR HUBBARD: She wasn't offering them, Bill.

Somebody said, "Can you give" -- "Pass this

information along to the Trustees." Somebody made an

offer. She had our email addresses, so she just

passed the offer along.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, what she should have said

is, "No." This is in violation -- does she even know

what the Ethics Code says?

MAYOR HUBBARD: We know what Ethics Code -- she

was doing the person a favor from the BID, who said,

"I'm passing this along. Can you send it around to

the Trustees and the Mayor?" Nobody took her up on

it, but that's -- it wasn't her offer.

MR. SWISKEY: But she shouldn't have done it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Who else was offered tickets?

MAYOR HUBBARD: What's that?

MR. SWISKEY: She shouldn't have even done it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Who else was offered tickets?

Was anyone else offered tickets?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What do you mean?

MAYOR HUBBARD: The Village Board was offered

tickets.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. I just got another one

in my mailbox for a cruise or something. So no one
else was offered tickets to this Land and Sea Gala?

   CLERK PIRILLO: No.
   MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Did anybody up here accept
   the $150 tickets?
   MAYOR HUBBARD: No.
   TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.
   CLERK PIRILLO: No.
   TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: To be honest with you, my
   email, Doug, said that I wouldn't accept it, because
   we're not supposed to accept any --
   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.
   TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: -- according to the Ethic
   Code, and you saw my email.
   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah. And I want to say I
   wrote a check to support this cause and was there.
   MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.
   CLERK PIRILLO: It wasn't my job to make the
   decision for you. I just passed -- I simply passed
   along the information.
   TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And no other staff were
   offered tickets?
   (No Response)
   Okay. Just a simple question, I'm just asking.
   MR. SWISKEY: Because, you know, it's -- and
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these -- I don't know. I'm just not even going to
address it, because I'm pissed. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. You're welcome. Anybody
else want to address the -- go ahead, Mike.

MR. REED: Mike Reed, 430 Front Street.

Looking at Resolution 10-2015-8, I've got a
problem with this, George. I'm going to go back. No
disrespect to all the other people, George
understands. We had Al Herzog, Bob Pierce and
Wendell (phonetic). They were working foreman,
remember?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

MR. REED: They did sidewalks, they did tree
work. Why are you doing this? You have a Road
Department. Are they not inept -- are they inept
enough to do it -- inept not to do it? Why are you
bidding it out? I don't understand. Can you explain
that?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. A lot of that stuff,
Mike, we've always bid that stuff out.

MR. REED: No. Come on, George. Herzog?
Bobby? Bobby Pierson, Bobby did it, he did sidewalks
and stuff.

MAYOR HUBBARD: If you look at the project
that's going on downtown right now, they've got 12
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guys down there working, doing curbs and sidewalks
and everything else. Our guys wouldn't be able to
handle that. They could do one slab here or there,
but that's --

MR. REED: What are they -- all right. Give me
the description of what the job for them to do at the
Village Road Department, can you?

MAYOR HUBBARD: A job description?
MR. REED: Of the Village Road Department.

MAYOR HUBBARD: To take care of everything that
needs to be done, mowing lawns, the marina, setting
up the ice rink.

MR. REED: Case in point. You got sidewalks
with trees. You have to hire tree people to do the
tree work. They can't do tree work? You have a
bucket truck, yes or no?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Over the past three
weeks, Mike --

MR. REED: Yes or no?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, we do.

MR. REED: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We have several of them.

MR. REED: All right. You know, why can't we
use that?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Would you like an answer?
MR. REED: Yeah, give me an answer.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. If you look around the Village over the past three weeks, they've cut down over 40 trees, the electric crew, along with the Highway Department. Instead of going out to bid -- the Tree Committee suggested we go out to bid. I said, "No, we're going to do this inhouse," and they did.

If you look around, all the trees that were on both lists, I believe it's 46 trees, don't hold me to the exact number, the Electric Department took the tops off, the Road Crew cut up the bottom part and carted it away. Fourth Avenue, Manor Place, Atlantic, in front of the synagogue, all around the whole Village. The two lists that are out there, I'll give you the exact locations.

MR. REED: All right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Our guys did all that.

MR. REED: You still have trees and a lot of rotten stuff. You know, I don't understand the process of this. You've had trees for years.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. REED: You know, I know we're "Tree City USA", but, you know, you got a lot of -- God forbid a nor'easter comes, you'll have more problems.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. REED: You know, my -- you know, my Dad, God rest his soul, was tree a man, they did the right thing. You guys v-notch and then you create more problems, where you get water and stuff freezing, you crack the tree. Now you go around -- I can name a lot of trees. And, you know, you have some ribbons, others you don't go by.

Another thing -- I lost my train of thought. With snow removal, why do -- why do you have to bid the snow removal out? We don't have enough trucks?

MAYOR HUBBARD: If you look at what went on downtown last winter, no. We had other people with bigger trucks to do it to make the job go faster. And so we're looking to get a price of a 10-wheeler, instead of using our small dump trucks, to do the job twice as fast and get it cleaned up.

MR. REED: Yeah, but you have more people working now than you did when Bobby, and Al Herzog and Windell (phonetic) worked as road foreman. He wasn't supervisor, he was working road foreman.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. And there's a lot more stuff that they're doing. And we didn't have a marina then, we didn't have an ice rink, we didn't have the other stuff, and the road crew takes care of
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all those things

    MR. REED: You got some people that really do
their due diligence. You got other people, they hide
in the woods, you know, slack off. I've seen them at
IGA.

    MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, if you see them hiding in
the woods, let me know that see them hiding, Mike.

    MR. REED: I've seen them at BJs on the Village
truck.

    You've got another thing. When was the last
time you checked any of the maintenance logs? Do
they have maintenance logs? No, they don't.

    You got a Cat, because I redid that before I
left. I put a new windshield in. That same
windshield is cracked. You've got a bracket brace
underneath it that's been there for months. You know
what, everything comes out of taxes. You guys got a
free check, you know, for them do it right on the
taxpayers' dime. It should be done. You're a
mechanic.

    MAYOR HUBBARD: A lot of the repairs they do.
If you look at their monthly reports, they had the
repairs of what vehicles they did.

    MR. REED: Do you have -- have they ever gave
you maintenance logs? Have you ever asked for

Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service
(631) 727-1107
maintenance logs?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, I have not.

MR. REED: Why not? You're the Mayor.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't feel that's my responsibility. Everything is up and running.

MR. REED: You're the Mayor.

MAYOR HUBBARD: It's being worked.

MR. REED: The buck stops with you, George.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Exactly, Mike.

MR. REED: Then you should be responsible enough to say, "Hey, where's the maintenance logs?"

Am I -- am I out of place?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I believe you are, yes.

MR. REED: Well, you're delusional, then.

Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you very much.

MR. REED: Yup.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anybody else wish to address the Board? Oh, somebody else before you.

MR. PELTON: Hi. Brent Pelton, 300 Main Street.

I am a member of Mary Bess's "Let's Talk Village of Greenport," and on that same post, they were talking about how people are working on the Village streets and not getting paid prevailing

_Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service_

(631) 727-1107
wages. And prevailing wages are a part of the New
York State Constitution, and it sure seems to me as
though prevailing wages would be required to be paid
for the sidewalk and roadwork being done.

And I don't know if we're going to be
addressing the short-term rental law that was posted
on the Village website later tonight or --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, we're not going to be
discussing that. The Village Board will be
discussing that at their work session.

MR. PELTON: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Next month.

MR. PELTON: I had a chance to review the draft
as posted on the website, and I was concerned that we
were completely prohibiting any sort of short-term
rental usage in the commercial zone and shifting that
activity into the residential zone.

From what I've heard from individuals, there's
a lot of people who are concerned about people
running businesses within the residential area. My
understanding was that commercial -- the commercial
zone was put in place very much for commercial use.

Greenport has a long history of being a
seasonal recreational area, and we have long hosted
transient renters. The apartments in Sterling Square
were cold water flats, from what I hear, and they had been rented out back in the days of Greenport fishing village. And, yes, there is a new mechanism in which people are renting online, but Greenport has a long history of allowing short-term rental. And I don't understand why it would be specifically excluded within the commercial zone. So I'd ask the Board reconsider that.

And I'd be happy to speak with anyone in anymore detail to discuss this concern. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you.

MR. LUDACER: Kenneth Ludacer, 133 1/2 Sixth Street.

Yeah. I understand that at the last Board meeting, both mine and Mr. Roberts' properties have come under, you know, some extraordinary --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Scrutiny.

MR. LUDACER: Scrutiny, exactly. And, you know -- and I just want an investigation. It has been started, you know, based on certain allegations from Mr. Corwin and Ms. Pollack. I was just wondering if you could give me an update on where -- what exactly this investigation is all about, where it's going, and what -- you know, what is, you know, probable to come.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, technically it's not an investigation. The Village Administrator is reviewing the files. He took all the files that were listed in that piece of paper that we had gotten last month, they were put away off to the side, and he's reviewing the files to see if there's any basis to what was said, and that's where he's at. I don't how far he's gotten through it. He's in the process of working on it now. We will have some kind of update next month, because it's also questioning a union worker and the union worker has rights and stuff like that. So we're trying to do -- he's looking at it right now. We'll see what his answer is. And then if we need to do technically an investigation, it will be done by an outside person.

MR. LUDACER: Okay. When you say what was said, I really don't know exactly what the allegations were.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We were handed, I think, six pages of properties. They didn't have names on it, it just had tax map numbers of stuff that was done improperly on those six -- I don't know how many pieces of property it was on those six pages. So the six pages went to the Village Administrator. He took the files for those pieces of property. He has them.
and he's reviewing them to see if -- what's actually written on those pieces of paper, if it's actually truthful or not.

MR. LUDACER: Okay. And the Village Administrator is reviewing those by himself, or is he reviewing them alongside Mr. Corwin and Ms. Pollack, do you know?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. He's reviewing that himself. He's doing that, he's looking at that. He's not doing it with anybody, no Trustees, myself. He's looking at that to tell us if there's any basis of what we should be doing appropriately after that.

MR. LUDACER: Okay. I mean, you know, it's just sort of a -- you know, like a curtain's been drawn around this. In a way, I'm just sort of guessing what -- you know, what I might need to be responding to.

It was suggested that -- I should explain to the Board that I don't have an abundance of free time. So, in terms of my property, I think the suggestion had been that, oh, it's being occupied. I don't have a lot of free time. I steal a few hours in the morning when I can to work on the site, and in the evening. I leave the lights on, and when I have access to a spare vehicle, you know, I'll leave it
parked there, and, you know, walk to my shop and get my other vehicle before going to Orient.

If the property appears to be occupied, that's because that's how I want it to appear. There's been a string of robberies in Greenport, and this is something I really wasn't -- I was hoping not to have to disclose in such a public forum. But in as much as that seemed to be a -- you know, something that was being suggested as a code violation on my part, I guess, you know, here I am. And my next call tomorrow, I guess, will be to, you know, some home security outfit, an expense I was hoping to avoid. And I guess that's all I have to say.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Thank you. I could just tell you, we're trying to get this wrapped up and him review the files as fast as possible to get a conclusion for everybody, property owners, everybody that's involved in it to come to a conclusion on this. So he is working on it fastly.

MR. LUDACER: Okay.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Ken, can I just ask you a question real quick?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Do you have an actual copy of the document that was presented to the Village
Board by Mr. Corwin and Ms. Pollack?

MR. LUDACER: No, I don't.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Perhaps we could get a copy of it for him, for the packet from the Village Clerk's Office.

CLERK PIRILLO: (Nodded yes.)

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That might give you some guidance as to what was said.

MR. LUDACER: Okay. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MR. KEHL: Bob Kehl, 242 Fifth Avenue, Greenport. This is in reference to the short-term housing rentals.

After reading the proposed law, it looks very much to me like a few people decided they don't want short-term rentals in Greenport, and are trying very hard to do away with them, or make it so nonprofitable for them that they're going to give up, with no regard for the amount of money and time that has been spent to set up most of them and are -- set up most of them. Most of these houses are far nicer than our own homes.

A few other questions. Why is there a $500 fee for short-term rentals when there's only a $200 fee for a year-round rental? What's good for one is good
Why are there short -- why are short-term rentals only allowed one rental per property with owners -- owner occupies, while our two-family homes don't have to be owner-occupied?

Why won't the people with existing short-term rentals be grandfathered in to run as they've been, as long as they meet all the requirements? If you did it -- did away with R-2 zoning today, I would bet that -- I would guarantee that you would -- and went back to R-1, that you grandfathered every one of the two-family houses in Greenport, the rentals.

I mean, what would someone like Mr. Olinkiewicz do with his 20-some houses if you could only be one family per house, and he had to be owner-occupied in everyone in his house? You're pretty much telling him to get the hell out, and it's kind of what you're doing with these people.

If grandfathered in, you would still preserve the availability of residential housing in the Village of Greenport and maintain the essential -- essentially residential character of the Village, at the same time, not going backwards in time. In doing this, you would protect homeowners that have worked hard to fix up and maintain the short-term rentals for all.
over the years, and this has been going for quite a few years.

    As far as I know, free enterprise is still allowed in this country, and there was no previous laws on the books against short-term rentals.

    Why don't the Board and Code Committee sit down with the short-term rental owners in a group discussion? I don't mean at 3 o'clock in the afternoon where no one can say anything.

    I applaud Doug Roberts for reaching out to the community to get the discussion going. Where was the rest of the Board?

    Many local people are employed by the short-term rentals. You've got cleaning staffs, you've got people doing the yard maintenance, you've got painters, you've got carpenters. This isn't the case with most of the two-family rentals in this Village. Maybe you think rundown houses with eight or ten cars parked in the yard and on the road year-round is better for Greenport. I don't think most Village homeowners agree.

    And as for the cars, each house is supposed to have enough parking for the people at the house. And now I see -- because on the rental agreement is starting to push this, that you have to -- you're
only allowed -- they're going by three for one
family, three cars for one family, so that means six
cars if you have a two-family. Well, cars at the
houses that we've been seeing around the Village had
eight or ten cars, they got smart, they put six cars
in the property and they parked the rest of them on
the street, so you don't know what's going on, or
they think you don't.

You should make it so there's no parking on the
road after 10 o'clock on residential streets
year-round. Give one permit, register one permit per
house for a guest or one extra car to park on that
road during the day or night. That way you can tell
that people aren't switching permits around for
different houses. And if you -- and unless you don't
have -- if you don't have the permit, you get
ticketed and towed. And maybe we could actually get
the streets swept, because they can't even get down
the damn road. They come down, the cars are parked
all over the place. The streets are never clean
around here.

And then absolutely no parking on the streets
during snowstorms, and actually take it and tow the
people.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. KEHL: All right? I mean, I don't know if you have any other -- any questions for me, but, you know --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. I mean, the Village did have three months worth of public hearings.

MR. KEHL: Yeah, I know, but then the thing, there's was nothing after that. It was just like you picked and took stuff out of that and no one actually, you know, came back to talk to the people. I mean, if you just talked to people that have Airbnbs, I mean, how many people here have actually been into anyone's Airbnbs?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I haven't.

MR. KEHL: I mean, people, they put a lot of money into these, and it's not cheap to set them up. I mean, you got to figure -- you got to figure the knives and forks, all the way through the whole thing to set up a house. You know, if you have two apartments in the house and you're setting it up, you could spend 50 to $100,000 to set these houses up. And now to kind of say, "No, you can't, you have to live in one of them and you can't rent out the other," but, yet, you've got other people doing two-family houses, that are buying house after house in the Village and renting them out? They're not
owner-occupied

MAYOR HUBBARD: They're long-term rentals instead of short-term, that was the difference, but --

MR. KEHL: Well --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, it's a draft, that's why it is a draft.

MR. KEHL: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We will have a public hearing on it and we will go aboard, we'll take comment from them.

MR. KEHL: Okay. I thought they were supposed to have a public hearing tonight on it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. Actually, we tabled it at the work session, because Trustee Roberts was not at the work session. And the process is Code Committee sends it to the Village Board, the Village Board discuss it, and then we schedule a public hearing.

MR. KEHL: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: He was not there, and he had done some leg work on it. And as a courtesy, the Board decided to table it for a month so we could have the discussion together at our next work session.

MR. KEHL: Fair enough.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay?

MR. KEHL: Okay. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Yup.

MS. MC ENTEE: Good evening. My name is Joanne McEntee. I'm at 242 Fifth Avenue, Greenport.

I just have a few questions in reference to the Building Department. Can someone please tell me what the -- what Eileen Wingate's position was when she was first hired, what was it called?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Back then, I do not know, I was not on the Board. I don't know what her title was when she was first hired.

MS. MC ENTEE: Can someone tell me what it is currently today?

MAYOR HUBBARD: She's the Director of Community Development, is that --

MR. PALLAS: Her title, yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, Director of Community Development is what the Civil Service title is.

MS. MC ENTEE: Okay. And so everything that has been signed all these years for her as a Building Inspector is what, null and void?

MAYOR HUBBARD: She is a certified Building Inspector.

MS. MC ENTEE: She's a certified Building Inspector.
Inspector?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes, she is.

MS. MC ENTEE: Regardless. Okay.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Joanne, just to clarify, if you look at her job description, it goes down and explains the actual activity of being a Building Inspector and some other things. And when she first started working for the Village, she was on a part-time basis.

MS. MC ENTEE: I understand. My concern is we are hiring someone now for Senior Building Inspector, and I'm looking at the cost to have her position and another person hired. And I personally don't feel that Ms. Wingate has done her position, has served us justice in our Village.

I complained about two years ago and faithfully -- had been faithfully coming to this -- these meetings. And when Mr. Pallas came aboard and we addressed several issues with him about the shoddiness of the homes that were just thrown together, and were not built -- not corrected to code, yet families, two families and three families were moving into these two-family homes, and then -- or these attached apartments on the -- on the lot itself. So there were three in particular homes --
on certain lots, there were three families that
should have been -- there were there. They allow
this to happen. All these things happened.

When I addressed Mr. Pallas in reference to
these issues, it was null and void, he was not going
backwards. So now I'm hoping that all these issues
that we're having right now with Ms. Wingate, that
they are addressed, going back I think even further,
because when she has done us injustice in our Village
of what she's done with our building code is very
unfair.

I hate to see these young kids living in our
Village, living in these homes. There are -- there
are mold in these homes and no one cared about it.
She really knew about it. She just let it go or she
just simply didn't know. And I'm very sad to see her
stay here and stay on with our Village.

I understand the cost. I completely understand
the value of the money that it's going to cost this
Village, but to hire someone else and to keep her on
does not make dollar sense.

Thank you for listening to me tonight.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay, go ahead.

MS. POLLACK: I hope I haven't warn out my
welcome. Karen Pollack, 630 First Street.
I'm here to talk about the Building Department. Before I even start, this was never ever intended to be focused on anyone's particular property. There are so many issues that have come across, practically every file I opened has deficiencies.

So that being said, some of you may assume that conformance with State and local building law is the responsibility of the Village, and in particular, the Building Inspector, but you would be wrong. Obeying all these complex laws falls to the property owner. Ask Mr. Prokop, he'll tell you. You'll see on a CO, you'll see on a permit, you'll see a disclaimer that says all the State and local laws are the responsibility of the property owner.

So what's the point of this Building Department business, why do we need it? Why we have a Building Department is for the purpose of seeing to it that property owners conform with laws that the average person may not understand or even be aware of. How many of us know the intricacies of the New York State Building Code? Very few, and nor should we be expected to. It's a lifetime of study to understand them. You know, if you work -- I don't know the first thing about cars, that's why I go to a mechanic. If you want to know about building, you go
Now the service of the Building Department, this is something we pay for with our tax dollars. They're there to serve us so that we're in compliance, so that if something horrible happens, we're protected. That's the whole point of it all.

So how is a Building Department supposed to be managed? New York State Title 19, Chapter 32, Part 1203 tells us these are the minimum requirements for a Building Department, and they are the responsibility of local government. That would be you, yes?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

MS. POLLACK: Yes? How many of you know -- how many of you know that law, show of hands? Even are familiar with that law, the minimum requirements of code enforcement, New York State? Not really, okay.

Well, I just want you know that's something that you probably -- if you have some free time, look it up, it's online. Again, New York State Title 19, Chapter 32, Part 1203, it's written in plain language. It's not this thick (indicating), it's a few pages, and it's in layman's language, it tells you what a Building Department is supposed to do. It tells you that a building permit is supposed to be
issued with an expiration date. It tells you that an
application for a permit is not to be accepted unless
it has stamped plans and a survey when required, not
a sketch, not a drawing.

Our Village Code tells us that the fees for
these services are due upon application, not if you
get approved. That's in our code. These are laws
that are available for all of us to see and look at.
That's how a Building Department is supposed to be
run. This way, if something's required of you, it's
required of me, it's required of you. It doesn't
matter who you are or what you do, if something is
required, it should be for all of us. That's the
only way that this could be fair.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Can I just comment for a
second? I'm sorry. So what you -- I just want to
point out to the Board that what you're referring to
is the administrative provisions of the Building
Code, right?

MS. POLLACK: Yes, correct.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: The 1200 provisions is the
administrative provisions of the Building Code.

MS. POLLACK: Correct.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: It's about 12 pages that are
at the beginning of the code.
MS. POLLACK: But it's a very important part that anyone can understand, that really does spell out this is how you run a Building Department.

Now, if you don't have a working knowledge of this law, you, our local government, then how do you even know if the job of the Building Inspector is being done? Do you allow the Building Inspector to tell you what the job is? That doesn't make sense to me. She works for us, we don't work for her.

The law is important -- this law is important enough that the Town of Southold has incorporated sections of it right into their Town Code. But in Greenport, apparently, this law doesn't even exist. When you look at these files, you don't see evidence that this -- the law is really being carefully followed, diligently followed. And the law only requires substantial -- what is the phrase? Mr. Prokop will know the phrase. Substantial compliance? But substantial compliance gives you a little wiggle room, but it shouldn't mean we just throw the whole thing out and do whatever you want. You're supposed to be trying to do this right.

So, thusly, in a properly managed Building Department, the issuance of a Certificate of Occupancy actually means something. Property owners
depend on it. Banks and insurance companies rely on them also. But a CO is only as good as the documentation that supports it. And unless a CO is issued properly, it's nothing more than a piece of paper.

Now, with no offense to anyone, but this is what I have found: In my opinion, the CO for the pool at 133 Sixth Street isn't worth the paper it's written on. You can read about it in the timeline that I provided, which has one example after another of how not to run a Building Department.

What I've learned is that in Greenport, unless you're concerned about any potential lawsuit, you don't need a permit. If you need a -- if you need a CO, just go to Eileen Wingate and she'll give you one, on the same day. Build something with no permit and then walk in and say, "I need a CO," and she'll give you one. That's what happened here. So this way you can enjoy a luxury item, like an in-ground gunite pool and pay no taxes on it.

This pool has existed since 2003, and, so far, nobody has paid a penny. According to the Assessor, over time, these taxes would have amounted to at least $5,000. How do you explain this to any of our residents who are having trouble keeping up with
paying their taxes?

It's a Building -- Building Inspector's responsibility to report building permits to the Assessor's Office so that we pay our taxes equitably in our Village; that if you add onto your house, if you make an improvement, if you build a garage, you pay your fair share of taxes. If we can't depend on this to be done, some of us are going to be paying more than we should and some of us are not paying what they should.

The fact that this pool was never -- this pool was never reported to the Assessor was made known to Eileen Wingate in 2010. She didn't report it. She wrote -- she wrote a permit in 2010, but she never reported it. It was made clear to her during the ZBA hearing in 2014, "Hey, how come this isn't on the Assessor's card?" It's there in -- it's there in the ZBA -- ZBA minutes that the comment -- remark was made, "How come this is not on the Assessors card?"

Now that was in August of 2014, and now it's October of 2015. I went to the Assessor's Office on Tuesday, it's still not -- still not there, still not reported.

I learned that it was actually the members of the ZBA who noticed code violations that went
unnoticed by the Building Inspector. How is that possible?

Now, on August 20th of 2014, with property changing hands, a second variance was required. ZBA came to inspect, and they noticed, well, gee, what do you know, there's no fence on the south property line to be a part of the pool enclosure, 43 feet. ZBA members noticed it. The ZBA members noticed that a five-by-five porch on the north side of the house provided a place where anyone, and I quote, "Anyone can climb over this into the pool." How is it the Building Inspector didn't pick this up?

I built an attic -- an attic build-out in Riverhead where the Building Inspector noticed a code violation of a half an inch. I've built houses in the Town of Islip where the Building Inspector noticed that it was missing the cadding -- the cladding for the garage door, that it was missing the hurricane -- hurricane clips. But how do you miss 43 feet of missing fence? The fact that the fence was continuous onto the next lot doesn't matter a bit. A pool enclosure has to be on the subject property.

When I read the transcript, the ZBA transcript from August 20th, I became aware that our Building Inspector has made -- has made false and misleading
statements on the record. Is this what we're paying for? You'll see -- you'll see it on the chart. Those are quotes right -- if you need me to print them out from the minutes, I will, but those are quotes right from the minutes.

Now, I'd like to bring your attention to another permit. Sorry. Sorry, Mr. Roberts. Sorry, Mr. Ludacer.

I'd like to bring your attention to another permit written for a pool on Sixth Avenue, this one on Sixth Avenue. This project also required a variance, and it was granted with conditions on June 17th of this year. One of the conditions was that a covenant preventing subdivision of the lot was required, yet a building permit was issued the day after the ZBA decision. And four months later, there is still no certified copy of a recorded deed with required covenant. There's no mention of this requirement on the permit. So where is it? Is it going to be said, "Oh, well, don't worry about that, there's plenty of time to get the covenant"? No, you get the covenant before you get the permit, because that's the only time you really have true leverage to get that done. Once you give out the permit, people build something, they don't even necessarily call to
get a CO, and in Greenport, apparently, that flies just fine.

So what's going to happen -- what's going to happen a year or two down the road when this owner or a future owner says, "Okay, now I'd like a CO"? No one's going to remember about the covenant and it's going to be missed. I wouldn't bet money -- money going the other way. And that was important.

Our ZBA members serve without compensation to render decisions that affect the character and the future of this Village, and if they said, "Sure, we'll let you build this pool in this location, we're going to let you do it, we're going to" -- "we're going to bend our own laws to let you do this, but what we need from you is a covenant on your deed," you know how easy that is to do? You call your lawyer, he draws up a new deed with the covenant, you run it down to the County Center, submit it for recording with your little fee, and then put the little things on it with the liber and page and you bring it back. It's not -- it's not a big deal to do it. So why isn't it done?

Now, on that particular pool also, a survey was filed with a permit application clearly showing that one side of the pool fence isn't actually on the
subject property. Now, it's not off by a lot, it's maybe a foot, but did Eileen Wingate even look at the survey?

We're supposed to care about property lines. Here's an opportunity to correct a fence that's built in the wrong place. It should have been required. The use of professional judgment would have demanded that the recorded deed be on file, and the fence be moved before issuing a permit. Is this what we're paying for? I mean, how many times do you hear -- I mean, you do hear about property line disputes. You hear about cases of adverse possession and legal issues, and, you know, "Where's your fence?" "No, that's my fence." "No, it's your fence." "Where is your property line?"

Few of us really know for sure where our property line is. It's not like there's some kind of like, you know, chalk line, you know, on the grass. You know, most of us think of a property line as, well, you know, it's like a little past the lilac bush. Only a surveyor tells us really where the property line is.

Now, Ed Ward was hired to help with code enforcement. Glynis Berry was hired to help with the Planning Board application. Thusly, Eileen Wingate
has been rewarded for this unacceptable work by the reduction of her workload.

Now, we've all heard about the work involved with the enforcement of the rental law. Have any of you actually looked at what has been done so far, really, like let's see the file, let's see -- let's see those applications that have come back? Has anyone actually seen them?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. We had an accounting of it last week.

MS. POLLACK: Okay. That's good to know. That is good to know. And how is it working out so far with -- you know, this rental law has been on the books now for what, a couple of years?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. There is a list, that you could get a copy of the report. It was discussed at the work session. I don't remember the numbers offhand now, but it's in the minutes of the work session, I gave out all the numbers.

MS. POLLACK: I'm just -- I'm not trying to be -- I know, I know I am obnoxious, but I'm not really trying to be extra obnoxious in asking these questions.

(Laughter)
It just seems it's a long time to be waiting for the implementation of a law when your Building Department workload is only -- as I have said last month, it's less than one permit a week. That's -- you can verify that up one side and down the other, it's less than one permit a week.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Trustee Martilotta -- excuse me. Trustee Martilotta and I have asked for a public hearing to review the efficacy of this rental permit law and we hope everyone will go along with that.

MS. POLLACK: Okay. All right. Now, you want to give Eileen Wingate the ultimate reward by hiring someone else to do her job, while her duties will be reduced to next to nothing. This flies in the face of common sense and common decency. When someone doesn't do their job properly and in accordance with law, as someone who is breaking the public trust, they should be dismissed. You want to increase our taxes for this?

I don't care if it's hard to dismiss a Civil Service employee, I really don't care. Some things have to be done. Sometimes things are hard. If you need more documentation, I'll get it for you. The only problem is that one of the batch of files that I asked to look for by way of FOIL took six weeks to
get. The last -- the last batch of files that I
looked at and had set up very carefully for what I
needed to be copied, half of the copies I didn't
receive, and I -- but I did receive copies of stuff I
never asked for. I mean, it's really -- you know, it
could be -- make you want to pull your hair out.
I've spent hours and hours on this. And everything
that I've recorded, you can -- you'll see in those
files. These aren't allegations, these are facts.

You are our Trustees. The taxpayers of this
community are trusting you not to do what's easy, but
to do what's right. In the next couple of weeks,
I'll be compiling copies of all the documents that go
with the chart that I gave you last month, as well as
these issues I spoke of tonight. I hope this will
make the investigation easier. And I invite
Mr. Pallas to contact me to get copies that support
what I've -- you know, the issues that are on that
chart. I have them, it's just an awful lot of
photocopying at my own expense.

In closing, I've seen that at times the Village
does try to do things properly. The I's are dotted
and the T's are crossed with ZBA decision documents,
voting records, SEQRA requirements. The Village
protects itself against the potential for lawsuit.
So why is the Building Department being allowed to do whatever it wants?

Some of you may conclude that none of this is really very important. So what's all this fuss about? But I guarantee you, I'll show you something, if any of you, God forbid, were ever served with something like this, you'd know why. Back when I was in building, when I was a construction foreman, I was sued for 10 million dollars. I didn't enjoy it one little bit. I faced financial ruin and I had done nothing wrong. You know what the first thing my attorney wanted to know? Are your permits and inspections in order? It's a damn good thing they were.

This was in the Town of Islip. We were building an 18-house development, and it's a good thing Town of Islip Building Department knew what it was doing, because every approval or permit that they gave me was backed up by the required documentation. I didn't have a thing to worry about. The case was dismissed. But, nonetheless, do you think it's fun thing to be sued? I still had to spend a great deal of my own money, I was sued personally, to answer a summons and complaint.

You think it can't happen to any of you? You
read about lawsuits all the time. Yeah, well, it can. You know, a lawsuit can happen. That's why --
that's why we have a Building Department, so that all our ducks are in a row, we've done everything right, so that if something horrible happens, if there's an injury or something like that, and you get a piece of paper like served to you while you're walking down your driveway, you can say, "It's a good thing I have my permits."

Okay. Thank you. I'm sorry I'm a pain.

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Good job.

(Applause)

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Mr. Hubbard, may I ask a couple of questions of Ms. Pollack?

MS. POLLACK: You want me to come back up?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is that all right?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: A bunch of information. So what's your -- what is your -- what's your goal?

MS. POLLACK: Why am I doing this?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: If you were up here with us, what would you be asking us to do?

MS. POLLACK: What I'm asking you to do is to -- is, just frankly, to find -- see your way clear to dismiss this Building Inspector. I feel that she's
violated the public trust. I don't feel that she
does the job properly. And I can find you hundreds
of examples where she has not performed her duties in
an acceptable fashion. I would like her -- I would
like you to hire a Building Inspector who knows
something about Title 19, Chapter 32, Section 1302,
knows how to accept a proper building permit
application.

We have -- we have cases in front of the ZBA
where the ZBA is given a piece of paper with a sketch
on it. That's not supposed to happen. The process
is that it all starts with the building permit
application. The application is complete. If plans
are required, then plans are there. If a survey is
required, then a survey is there. And then when that
application is denied, then that denial is the legal
basis for appeal with the ZBA. So when the ZBA sits
down with these papers and they're making a decision,
do I approve or disapprove this, they know exactly
what they're approving, they know exactly where it's
to be located. And why? Because they have a survey
that's prepared by a surveyor, not by a pencil. You
know, I mean --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Fair enough, sure.

MS. POLLACK: And, I mean, that's my goal, is
to have someone that does this right. Other -- the
Town of Southold used to be -- you know, used to be
notoriously horrible, the Building Department, but
even they've gotten with the program.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: They have 10 times the people
than us, but yeah.

MS. POLLACK: You know, and, I mean, New York
State Uniform Code was passed in 1984. Some places
were a little quicker than others to catch on. Up
the Island, we caught on a little faster, because
it's bigger, it's -- there's more resources.
Southold was slow, but Greenport's even slower.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So you want us to get this
information and act on it tonight?

MS. POLLACK: Yeah. Let's build --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So we don't get to ask to see
the reports in advance. And, in fact, tonight the
Clerk handed us all your report, which --

MS. POLLACK: Right.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: -- how did that come to be?

MS. POLLACK: Well, because I just -- I had
just gotten another look at the 133 Sixth Street
file, so that I could put together how in the world
did this happen. How did we end up in front of the
ZBA for a second variance?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

MS. POLLACK: You know, a subdivision, pool location, what happened, put together a timeline. I only just finished the timeline like yesterday.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. I'm just curious. The Clerk handed us the report, so how did that come to be?

MS. POLLACK: Because I made copies of it at home and brought them in and gave them to Sylvia. Does Sylvia --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: To the Village Clerk?

MS. POLLACK: Yes.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Who then gave them to us?

MS. POLLACK: And then I guess -- I guess Sylvia -- Sylvia passed them out.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

CLERK PIRILLO: I think the answer to the question is it happened tonight before the meeting.

Trustee Roberts is asking --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And the last one.

CLERK PIRILLO: -- when did that happen.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Two minutes before the meeting, the Clerk handed us a report --

MS. POLLACK: Oh, yeah, that's -- yeah.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: -- with no name on it. So I don't know, I thought it was from the Clerk.
MS. POLLACK: Oh, no. I'm sorry, I know.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: She gives us documents --

MS. POLLACK: Now I realize that this last --

this last timeline that I gave didn't have my name on it. But the last report, at the end of the report, it said, "Prepared by Karen Pollack," and it has my phone number and email on it, in case anyone --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So this is -- this is only your own work?

MS. POLLACK: Yeah.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. And do you have an email account?

MS. POLLACK: Yeah. It's on -- it's on the first --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

MS. POLLACK: That chart, the first chart I gave you last month.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: If you could email the report to us, that would help, because we were sort of getting it right away.

MS. POLLACK: Yeah, and I don't expect any answers on it today either. I just -- I'm just giving it to you so that you can look it over and see what happened. As I said, it was a comedy -- I mean, on your pool, it was a comedy of errors.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And how did you get access to these files?

MS. POLLACK: FOIL.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And how long was the process from -- what was the process of you FOIL-ing for the files?

MS. POLLACK: Well, for some of them -- for some of them, what I -- how I even got the information about what to look for was I went to the -- I went to the ZBA agendas and said, well, that is something that -- where I can get an address of something that's going on and that I will look into. So no one's telling me, "Oh, look at this and look at that." You know, I looked for new houses. I looked for swimming pools, because I saw -- why did I look for swimming pools, is because I saw that, oh, my God, almost all swimming pools in Greenport need a variance.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And what search tool do you use -- yeah, it's a 20-foot setback. What search tool -- so I've had trouble searching through these PDFs we post online. There's no universal search tool. We don't put our documents online the way the Town does. So I was curious what sort of Google magic you worked to go find a report from 2014 about
133 Sixth Street? In other words, I don't know how to search our files to find this information.

  MS. POLLACK: I didn't --
  TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I couldn't go -- I'm sorry.

I couldn't go tell you the last 20 pools that got C of O's, there's no way for me to do that on my own.

  MS. POLLACK: Me either. Me either.
  TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So how did you come up with --

  MS. POLLACK: How did I come -- so how did I come up with yours?
  TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.

  MS. POLLACK: Well, when I looked at -- I looked painstakingly, the old fashioned way, month by month, with a piece of paper next to me with my computer, looked at ZBA agendas and jotted down addresses for things that needed variances, because I saw a lot of -- a trend of a lot of problems with things that needed variances.

  TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I see.

  MS. POLLACK: So that's -- that was like, okay, that's what I want to look at, because there's no master list of building permits.

  TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Right.

  MS. POLLACK: On Ms. Wingate's reports, you
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Well, as a Village, we don't store documents.

MS. POLLACK: And then I would FOIL for those, for those files.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And you'd walk in and fill out the form?

MS. POLLACK: And I would walk in to the Clerk, fill out the form requesting Building Department files for this address --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And how long --

MS. POLLACK: -- this tax map number.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: How long did it take you to get access to the files?

MS. POLLACK: Sometimes -- sometimes in as little as I week. But, as I said, one group of files took six -- between six and seven weeks to even -- to even be able to look at the files.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: You never -- it's never the case that you walked in and filled out a FOIL form and handed a file right away?

MS. POLLACK: No.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Never?

MS. POLLACK: Not once.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And it always took a week?
MS. POLLACK: At least.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. And the -- where -- do you have any sense of where these files were? Were they in the Building Department, were they somewhere else?

MS. POLLACK: Well, as far as -- I can't answer that. As far as I know, they were in the Building Department.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Who brought them to you?

MS. POLLACK: Sylvia.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: The Clerk went and got the Building Department file and brought it to you?

CLERK PIRILLO: No.

MS. POLLACK: No.

CLERK PIRILLO: The Clerk did not go get a Building Department file. The Clerk or someone on the Clerk's staff requests the files from the Building Department, and then they are brought, as a subject of FOIL, to the person that's requesting the FOIL.

MS. POLLACK: Sylvia would give me a phone call --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

MS. POLLACK: -- to arrange an appointment for me to come in to examine files, at which point they
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would be brought to me by Sylvia.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: In which room do you sit to review them?

MS. POLLACK: More often than not, right in front, behind the counter on the desk, on the desk to the right.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Are there other papers on that desk?

MS. POLLACK: I guess there must be, but I couldn't say that I actually looked at any.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Did you have a pen out?

MS. POLLACK: Do I have a pen?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Yeah.

MS. POLLACK: Of course.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Is anybody sort of observing this process?

MS. POLLACK: Always being observed by someone.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: By whom?

MS. POLLACK: Well, the last time, it was a Village employee named Joe. I don't know his last name.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Joe is a temporary employee with us. Is that part of his job description? The answer is no.

MS. POLLACK: Sometimes Sylvia herself, that --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So the Clerk would sit with you with the Building file?

MS. POLLACK: The Clerk would be with me when I reviewed the files.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Never the direct supervisor of the Building Department, the Village Administrator?

MS. POLLACK: No.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Did you ever FOIL for a file and find things missing or find things additional that you didn't FOIL for?

MS. POLLACK: I found a lot of things missing in the Building Department files.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sorry, something you were specifically requesting, you know.

MS. POLLACK: Well, like I'll just give you a little example. I mean, the first time I looked at 133 Sixth Street, there was no CO whatsoever for a swimming pool of any kind.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And the fact --

MS. POLLACK: The second time I FOILed --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And a copy of a hearing posted on a Facebook didn't move you at all?

MS. POLLACK: Well, second time I-- second time I looked at the file, there it was. But the first
time --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So missing in the file -- I have it. Somehow the file doesn't, I guess.

MS. POLLACK: Well, yeah, there's -- you know, I -- you know, my issue with this Building Inspector is not poor recordkeeping, it's not misfiling, although those are issues. It's not improperly filled out documents, which is also an issue. My issue with the Building Inspector is that she's not performing inspections properly, she's not requiring the documentation that's mandated by law in order to issue permits and CO's.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So I FOILed for my own, for 133 Sixth Street today.

MS. POLLACK: Oh, did you?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I did. I was told I had to FOIL for it. And I, like you, reviewed it out in the open in the office there. I also had Ken's 133 1/2 Sixth Street file and it was sandwiched in, so I got more than I FOILed for.

MS. POLLACK: Yeah.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm not sure who's watching these files, is my point.

And did you notice that there was a record of inspection of a fence in 133 Sixth Street this time?
MS. POLLACK: The only -- the only record of inspection associated with that swimming pool or enclosure occurred on --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: 9/21/14.

MS. POLLACK: No.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'll show it to you, I have the date.

MS. POLLACK: 2010.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'll show you what I have.

MS. POLLACK: 2010.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And, again, I'm no expert in this. I could be wrong. I just read the document and I just took a picture of it today.

Are you a Building Inspector by trade?

MS. POLLACK: No.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you have a background in building engineering?

MS. POLLACK: I'm not an engineer, but I was a builder.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: You were a builder, okay. Do you have a background in law?

MS. POLLACK: No.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: But you're --

MS. POLLACK: Other than for all the legal work that had to be done in subdividing --
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

MS. POLLACK: -- these big subdivisions and working with lawyers a lot, closings, contracts.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And one of these reports mentioned a couple of legalese words that took me for a spin, malfeasance. Have you been working with a lawyer?

MS. POLLACK: No.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Not working with a lawyer?

MS. POLLACK: No. But I did look up the meaning of the word malfeasance.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I see, okay.

MS. POLLACK: I looked it up in the dictionary on my telephone.

(Laughter)

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay.

MS. POLLACK: Because I didn't want to misuse the word. I wanted to be sure what -- that I was using the word properly. And it is a wrongdoing most often by a public official. I am not assuming any kind of corruption, other than the corruption of our laws.

I've brought these matters up in conversation to many people, and the first thing out of their mouths is, "Someone's getting paid off." Now, if --
that's not the kind of impression we want for our Village. I don't believe it's the case.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Got it.

MS. POLLACK: But that's common, a common perception. One Building Inspector that I knew in the Town of Islip, that, you know, I knew him quite well, I knew him personally -- personally, he came and did inspections all the time. I mean, our development was his -- part of his territory. And one time I offered to take him out to lunch. Can't do that. Can't do that. "I can't accept even" -- I was going to buy him a slice and a coke, and he said he couldn't do it, you know, just because it wouldn't -- it wouldn't look right. It wouldn't look right.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Just a couple of more questions, if you don't mind.

MS. POLLACK: No.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Did you make photocopies?

MS. POLLACK: I got photocopies of most everything that I needed. That last batch, I --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Did you make them? Did you walk over to the copier and make copies?

MS. POLLACK: No.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Who did it?

MS. POLLACK: No. I requested them. Most of
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the time it was Jeanmarie that assisted me with
copies, because --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: The Deputy Clerk would pick
up the paper, go to the copier and bring it back?

CLERK PIRILLO: No. The process is that -- the
process is that Post-it Notes were provided so that
they could be placed on the documents that were
requested to be copied. And you did not tell me that
there was a problem with the last set of copies, so I
apologize for that. If we knew that --

MS. POLLACK: No, it wasn't you.

CLERK PIRILLO: If we knew that, we could have
corrected it. And that was only with the last set, correct?

MS. POLLACK: Correct.

CLERK PIRILLO: Correct, okay.

MS. POLLACK: Correct, yeah.

CLERK PIRILLO: Okay. Thank you.

MS. POLLACK: No, it was a problem -- you know, I think that there was some miscommunication, and
when I -- when I got my pile of copies, it was $11
worth of copies. I was like, "What's this?" It
missed -- it was missing a lot, but these things do
happen. I'm not, you know --

CLERK PIRILLO: I appreciate that. Thank you.
MS. POLLACK: I'm not sweating the small stuff, you know.

CLERK PIRILLO: Thank you.

MS. POLLACK: Like, as I said, we're supposed to have confidence in the Building Department. We're supposed to know that we're -- you know, if you build something, you build it right.

Now, I mean, Southold, as I said, we used -- that used to be like, you know, not getting it for a long time, bad reputation. Even they, when you build something that requires a foundation of any kind, they want their foundations plotted on a survey before you continue. You know, I think that's pretty forward-thinking, because this way, this way, when -- if someone blows a dimension, builds something bigger than what they were supposed to, or smaller than what they were supposed to, or whatever the case might be, it's caught right at the point of foundation, rather than the finished product, and then tell the people, "Oh, look, now you blew the setback and you need a variance." I mean --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: In your review of the ZBA minutes, did you note that the Village Attorney was in attendance?

MS. POLLACK: Yes.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And did you note that all of these proceedings, the Village Attorney was in attendance?

MS. POLLACK: I can't -- I can't swear to all of them, but I would say --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Almost all, yeah.

MS. POLLACK: I would say, sure, it was typical that the Village Attorney was there.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I'm just curious. You brought up the section of law, which I clearly need to go and get familiar with, so thanks for bringing it up. We rely on the Village Attorney to make sure we're following the law. So this is curious to me that all of this focused on the nonlawyer in the mix and not the Village Attorney. Just sort of curious to me.

Did you also note the vote on the 133 Sixth Street pool CO of the ZBA members?

MS. POLLACK: No. It wasn't -- that wasn't even important to me.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. It was five to nothing. Did you note this piece? By Chairman Moore: "So there was a mistake." Referring to the pool being in the wrong place, which we didn't do it.

MS. POLLACK: No. Right, right.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: So there was a mistake. And it was noticed not -- "It was not noticed during any of the construction" -- I'm reading from the minutes of 8/20/14 ZBA.

MS. POLLACK: Oh, okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: "And it was not noticed during any of the construction, or any inspections, or what may have been done subsequent to that. So that we have now sort of a dilemma that you have a pool, which isn't likely to move again, and we have a request to make this correct by issuing a second variance. And it's unfortunate, because, usually, when you issue a variance for an exception, that's what's done, you get the exception and everybody's happy, but now we're back again.

You know, I could point out that the pool has survived without complaint, but, of course" -- not until now. "But, of course, the property owner of both properties are the Ludacers who built the pool, so they obviously aren't unhappy to have a pool.

I'm looking ahead now to the future." This is still Doug Moore, Chairman of the ZBA. "The vacant lot, we understand, is to be built on by the Ludacers for their residence, and so, again, I doubt they will complain about the position of the pool, its
proximity to the property line. But we have to look forward to the future property owners of that property, and they may not be happy. So that one of the thoughts I had was that in condition, if we should approve a second variance, that a proper and a high-as-permissible fence be included in the process, should a variance be granted, along that property line."

Mr. Kapell, who was our real estate agent, spoke on our behalf.

"It's the Roberts' intention," that's me, "to install a six-and-a-half-foot privacy fence along that line. So, if you want to make that a condition of the variance, that's fine."

So those of you driving by my house and slowing down, first of all, I'll ask you not to do it when my wife and I are playing with our daughter. Any of you who are parents will know how incredibly unsettling that is when you're playing with a two-year-old girl in your front yard. But, listen, if you want to -- if you really want to take a look, maybe do the polite and neighborly thing. Pull up and say, "Hey, how are you doing?" Maybe I'll invite you in if I like the cut of your jib.

The point is the fence is there. The ZBA told...
us to put it there, we did. And the piece you
mentioned from Mr. Benjamin, I mean, any of you who
have children know how important this is. He told
us -- so Eileen came and told us we had to put a
picket fence at the end of that five-by-five deck,
which was a condition of this -- of this CO. So I
have -- it really looks pretty ugly. I have a picket
fence against a very lovely white rail -- railing
that my kid could easily crawl through, and that's
what I do for safety. I've got pool locks. The pool
doesn't connect to the fence.

So, again, you know, I hope people will respect
our privacy. Thanks for your research. Thanks for
bringing these concerns to us. Obviously, if there
is -- if there is, in fact, malfeasance, whatever
that means, in our Building Department, I think we
all share your concerns. Thank you.

MS. POLLACK: Okay. Before --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Could I ask a question?

Oh, I'm sorry.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, go ahead.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Would that be right? Just
because I'm not -- I'm not -- I ask a couple of quick
things. I'm not a -- I have no background in
building, so my -- as I'm looking through this
quickly, it said that there was -- there was no permit found for the original structure.

MS. POLLACK: No.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yet, as we're talking, and I'm sure that right now we have like a great FOIL system, and things are well recorded and kept track of. But, I mean, this is an honest question. Is it possible that in the past it was moved, it was someplace else?

MS. POLLACK: Highly unlikely, and I'll tell you exactly why.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Okay. Well, please. Thank you.

MS. POLLACK: Okay. Because, naturally, you know, that's something that certainly would be -- you know, you'd think, well, maybe it was just misplaced, you know --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Okay.

MS. POLLACK: -- misfiled, you know, lost in time.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sure.

MS. POLLACK: But there a number of pieces of evidence to support the fact that there was never a building permit. One of them is that on the Underwriters Certificate, which the electricians
provided, there is a spot for a permit number. And
every electrical inspector always asks you what's the
permit number, but that spot is left blank.

There's Eileen's inspection ticket from when
the temporary CO was written, and her inspection
ticket says, "No permit." There are -- I had a whole
list of --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Okay.

MS. POLLACK: -- other factors that indicate
that there never -- there never was a permit.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: This is just for me like
trying to learn a little bit here as we're going
through this.

MS. POLLACK: And that's also how -- how it can
be that a pool can be built not where it was supposed
to have been located, because no one was there to
look at it. That's a gunite pool and that should
have had stamped plans from a licensed engineer.
There's a lot of engineering for a gunite pool, more
so than a vinyl pool, and there should have been
plans.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Well, that's kind of what
I'm wondering, is -- and, again, I'm not a pool
person, but it seems like a lot of work went into it,
and I would think that someone who was going to do
all this work for -- you know, seems to be a fairly
extensive thing, a pool. I know I don't have one. I
would think that like they would try and get these --
what I'm not seeing is for someone to have made the
investments to go through all of this, I would think
that they would be looking for, like you said, to
cover themselves.

MS. POLLACK: Right.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: But --

MS. POLLACK: But it could be -- again, I'm not
speculating as to why there was never a permit for
the pool, it could be any number of things.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: It just seems so strange,
though, you know what I mean?

MS. POLLACK: It could be that the original
2003 ZBA variance, when that was granted, that may
have been taken as, "Okay, I can proceed." It could
be, not to be cynical, but it could be no permit, no
taxes. I don't know, I can't answer that.

MS. LUDACER: Yeah, I can answer that. I've
had just about enough. I'm going. I've had just
about enough of you.

MS. POLLACK: I'm sorry to offend anybody, but
I'm just -- I'm just -- I've looked into the file. I
know something about Building -- Building Department
processes, and, as I said, there was no permit for 
this pool.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mayor.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Did you have anything else?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. I think it's time --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, yeah, we've got a lot of 
people here, so just --

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTTA: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. If you've got something 
else.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTTA: I don't know. No. But 
the one thing you said that stuck with me, just so 
you know, when you were saying how you were sued 
personally, but you were glad that the permits were 
there to back you up, like, right?

MS. POLLACK: You better believe it.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTTA: Well, it would seem -- I'm 
just curious. As you say that, I was thinking to 
myself, why would someone then risk the safety of 
that permit?

MS. POLLACK: Easy, because it's a headache.

You know, an old expression in building from going 
back to when I was in this -- in it, is that you can 
do things the hard way or the easy way. The easy way 
is actually to get the permits ahead of time and, you
know, properly. The hard way is usually when you build something without a permit and then -- and then the municipality will come down on you like a ton of bricks. You know, then you really pay. And so why? Because people will think it's easier if they don't. It's maybe like what you call laziness, call it -- call it "I forgot," you know.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I just want to point out, your -- I was going to wait until you were finished, but I think that there's --

MS. POLLACK: I'm done.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: There's an inference from what you're saying now, which was not -- which I believe is not fair --

MS. POLLACK: Go ahead.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: -- to the property owners, where you're basically saying -- inferring that there might have been -- you're drifting from procedural issues and other issues that you feel that you've determined in one of our departments to a discussion about the property owners, which I don't think is -- first of all, I don't think it's fair. But I don't think that in any case, that this -- what anything on this sheet that I've seen or anything that you said, other than what you're now going into, should in any
way be inferred or a justifiable comment about any of these people.

MS. POLLACK: I apologize.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think that's grossly unfair. I mean, the same way, I mean, you could have asked me about my property tonight and I wouldn't be prepared to say anything about it, and not that -- not that there's anything wrong with it. But just it's -- I think it's unbelievable that anybody would be able to -- as a property owner, would be in a public forum be held accountable for that. And I don't think that any inference about anything that you say tonight or the last time that you spoke should be deemed to be or even considered by anybody a reflection of any of these property owners that are involved in this.

MS. POLLACK: I couldn't agree with you more, and I apologize if anything --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: The other thing I'd like to say, if --

MS. POLLACK: -- if there's any remarks from me that were -- that were out of line with regard to -- with regard to the property owners. The conversation just drifted in that direction. I've tried to keep -- to keep this --
ATTORNEY PROKOP: So can I just make a -- can I finish up my comment and then you can finish?

MS. POLLACK: Go ahead.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Because you may have something to say after I say it.

MS. POLLACK: Okay.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'd just like to point out, having been in the proceedings for both of these -- the two properties that you discussed tonight, I know that one of them, and possibly the other one also, were actually applications that were filed to correct prior mistakes.

MS. POLLACK: Correct.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: They were not applications that came out of anything, any malintent of either of the property owners that were -- that were involved.

MS. POLLACK: I agree.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: They were -- basically, the people came to -- as I understand it, the people came to the Village to try to create a -- correct a situation that neither of them had anything to do with, if -- unless I'm mistaken, I believe that that's the case. The other thing that I would like to say, since we got into the FOIL process, is the procedure for this is, is that there's two --
there's two things that have been going on when you request a Building Department file or the Building Department, meaning Planning or -- Planning Department. The first is that if it's not your property that's involved, it's going to go through the normal FOIL process, and that's not instantaneous. We have -- you know, we have to protect the integrity of our files, and we also -- basically that's it.

However, if you are requesting your own file for -- meaning the -- for your property, we do, as a policy, try to help you instantaneously, you know, as quickly as possible. And often you will be given -- provided the file to look at right away for your own property.

Looking at the file means that somebody from the Clerk's Office, the Clerk -- the Clerk, because the Clerk is not somebody from the Building Department, but the Clerk's Office is the Freedom of Information Officer for the Village of Greenport. Somebody from the Village of Greenport Village Clerk's Office will be present when the file is reviewed, and, more often than not, will be reviewed at the counter, not in a private place, somewhere back in the Village Hall.
If anybody believes that they requested a record or a copy and have not been able to locate it, then there's an appeals process, and you just have to let us know that and we'll address it.

As far as the code, the New York State Code by law is our -- is the Village Code. It's -- we're required to enforce it. Mr. Ward is now a code certified -- has some level of code certification. Mr. Ward and I -- Mr. Ward and I are in Southold Town Justice Court every Friday enforcing that code on a number of properties, and it happens, it happens all the time.

And if you have any other questions for me about procedure, I'm willing to -- you know, I'm happy to answer them, but I felt that those comments needed to be made.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: This is an exercise in why the people of this Village, we owe it to them to document these FOIL processes. So it would have saved a lot of time tonight, a lot of questions that have been asked. Let's write it down, I'd really like to see us do that.

MS. POLLACK: And just as a last thing, I have no problem with the FOIL process.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: It is a procedure that's
adopted.

MS. POLLACK: It take however long --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I've asked for it several
times, no one will email it to me. I mean, if you
don't respond to my email, I assume you don't have it.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I'll get -- I've never been
asked, but I'll get it to you right away.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Thank you.

MS. POLLACK: Thank you everybody for
listening. I'm sorry to take up so much time.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, we have other
people that are standing and waiting. Go ahead.

MR. HOLLID: Joe Hollid, 415 South Street in
Greenport here. And I have a fence company that I've
had for a number of years, I don't use it anymore.
But the code in Southold, where I lived for many
years, and I built a lot of fences in Southold, they
require four foot minimum height, and that's either
stockade, or vinyl, or chain link fence.

The requirement that I had when I worked there
through the Building Department was that the two inch
diameter chain link or vinyl link was a little too
wide. So the Building Inspector I had, which was
Victor Lessard, he told me it had to be lesser than
that, because most kids can not only can jump a
four-foot fence, no matter if it's stockade, or chain link or vinyl link fence, it had to be lesser, so they had a little bit lesser ability to jump over the fence. There has to be checks and balances on that. I think four foot is too -- too little, but I'm not making any effort -- any issues with the fence right now.

The reason I'm calling on the Board to -- just to go over something that I've had done in my neighborhood, and it goes according to Building Department lines. And I live at 415 South Street. Across from me is a lady that just bought that house probably about three-and-a-half, four years ago. She's renovated, she's made it look really nice to the neighborhood. And next to her -- I've been there, it will be three years in December, late December. And before I bought it, I used to walk -- I still walk around the Village all the time.

So now I'm looking at this -- even before I bought that house and I'm walking the street, and there was a house next to her to the right, I don't know the number, but it's a white house that was -- apparently, was really down in the dumps, as far as what happened with the previous owner. So it was State -- it was gotten to be State-owned somehow.
And the State had this big sign that was probably about 10 feet across on the property in front of the house that was being renovated.

Before I bought my house and before it even started renovations, this sign had been up there for -- I don't know how long before that. And even after I had been there for two years, say, and after the renovations were done, the sign was still kept up there for a period of time. I'm not disputing that property, per se, because from what I understand, the State kind of goes over everything else, and they recognize the fact that they can do pretty much anything they want, because the house -- New York Housing Alliance had that through, I don't know, HUD, or whatever the case maybe.

Now, in back of this house, there was a little shack, people called it a chicken coop, and people, squatters were living there prior to whatever happened in the interim. Now, all of a sudden, and I'm not saying all of a sudden, but it took a little time, but this house is a good size house, it's a two-story, I think it has an attic, too. There was nothing behind it, right, nothing at all. It was a separate lot. Now I don't know if it was -- it must have been divided somehow into a smaller -- two
smaller lots, but the house itself took up most of
that property in front, and the chicken coop behind
it picked up the other property, I guess, in the
back.

So it comes along that the State says, "Okay,
we can take that little thing down, and we can,"
other than -- unbeknownst to the Board, or the
Building Department, or whether it was and it just
got flown over, because it was State, run by the
Housing Alliance through HUD, whatever, they decided
they're going to put another house attached to that
house with a breezeway through it, and another house
attached to that breezeway behind this house.
Picture it. I don't know if anybody's been by that.

My neighbor next door is still coming out,
she's going to be moving in this coming month, she
lives across -- there was a six-foot stockade fence,
but there's a house there to her right. She has no
privacy. It's a two-story house with a -- it's just
as big as the one in the front. You know, I'm beside
myself with that. I don't understand that. I don't
get it. How does that happen in the Village? This
looks like crap to me. It's a nice looking house,
don't get me wrong, but it's two houses, like it's
bigger than this building, for Christ sake. Pardon
my French, but it just drives me crazy when I look at this house.

So I don't get it why -- how did it go through the Building Department? Doesn't -- didn't anybody have a say in this in the block? None of the people seem to have any say in what's going on. They just allow it to happen. The sign goes up, it's okay to go.

Anybody got any ideas what the heck's going on, what happened?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I really don't know what happened there, but we can check on it.

MR. HOLLID: It's been there now for a couple of -- probably --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Do you have the date?

MR. HOLLID: And there's only -- there's two families that supposedly live -- one family in the front and one family in the back. It's all Section 8, I understand. I mean, that's what I understand. So I'm not saying that the people don't have a right to live there or anything like that, but how it got through, that's what bothers me more than anything --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Sir, when did they start building it?

MR. HOLLID: -- is how that got through. It's
such a monstrosity looking thing. Down the block from it are only single-family houses or -- pardon me two-family -- two-story houses.

And then the house next door to it is a derelict house that also is owned by the Housing Alliance. And number one, there's a tank in kind of the front part of the house on the right side, an oil tank that's sitting there, a 275 gallon oil tank that's leaking in the ground. Nobody sees this.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, I'm glad you told us about that, and then we'll have it checked out tomorrow.

MR. HOLLID: Nobody sees it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, somebody will go over and look at it tomorrow.

MR. HOLLID: But it's a code thing. I mean, I know you have only one code guy, and I know this is only a one-square-mile village, and why we need -- why don't we use the people that -- I think there should be enough people involved in this, in this department, in different departments that can control the Village.

And the only other thing I have to ask is what is a requirement contractor? I never heard of that one?
MAYOR HUBBARD: What is a what?

MR. HOLLID: A requirement contractor, as opposed -- in the resolution, authorizing the solicitation of annual bids.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Hold on. I have it. I have it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Oh, great.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. That's just a term that was used for it. That's basically where we have two men and a machine. It's a category that's written that way. People that are doing excavating work for us, digging down to sewer lines and other stuff like that, that's a category, that's what it is. Why it says "requirement contractor," I don't know.

MR. HOLLID: Well, that's a good question, isn't it, then?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Well, we have -- because they put in bids for putting in cesspool rings, drainage rings, and everything else, that's what that is.

MR. HOLLID: I think it should be notified that this should be -- it shouldn't be just done as requirement contractor like that. And also removal and stump grinding of trees. Now I have a neighbor across from me that's on the corner of the north -- I don't know if it's north, probably north. Anyway, it's on the corner of Fifth
-- Fifth Ave. and South Street, on the north side.

And he just had a tree removed, and I understand that
that tree had a yellow ribbon on it. But according
to him, he didn't want that tree removed. Now he
wasn't notified that the tree was removed or was
going to be removed, it was just removed. Now I
looked at the stump, I don't know what's going on
with the tree, and I don't know, you know, how this
works, if it's -- I know there are tree -- the tree
organization?

MAYOR HUBBARD: There's a Tree Committee, yes.

MR. HOLLID: And I'd just like to find out.

Now I had a situation where a guy came by my house
and there was one tree on one side of my property,
and he looked and he said, "Would you like a tree on
the other side?" I said, "Hey, if you're going to
put another tree on the other side, great." That was
two years ago. I don't know. You know, things are
strange. Some things just don't add up sometimes
and --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I mean, the trees --

MR. HOLLID: We're all trying to work together.
I love the Village, but a lot of things that I see
going on or not see going on are kind of perplexing
to me. It's just kind of bothering me, because our
street is a horror, not only south, south between
Third and Fourth is bumpy as hell, and nothing going
on there, and our street, between Fourth and Fifth
Ave. is a disaster.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

MR. HOLLID: And my neighbor, who has the house
now, that's moving in across the street from me,
questioned the Board probably six months ago, said,
"What's going on?" "Oh, it's going to take a
minimum" -- we have to get a -- some kind of review
board to look at it. "It's going to take a minimum
of a year-and-a-half." Now that the puddle on her
side gets crazy with water, and it's all broken up,
the street is broken up in a lot of places, but
nothing seems to be -- nothing is going on. I don't
see anything going on.

She tripped on the road between Third and
Fourth, coming back from one of these meetings. The
night that she was here, she tripped, because the
pavement was like up this high (indicating) from a
tree. I mean, there are so many places where the
tree, the surface roots just come up and they bring
the sidewalk up. And a lot of places don't have
markings on them. At least have the guys go around
and, hey, mark them with the yellow or orange paint
so people can see it. But at night, she didn't see it, she tripped and fell. She didn't have anything happen to her, luckily.

So, you know, these are things that everybody sees, and it just seems like we don't seem to get any --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Have you been downtown over the past couple of days?

MR. HOLLID: I've been around town. Yeah, I've been passing through --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. Well, down on --

MR. HOLLID: -- but I haven't seen any activity.

MAYOR HUBBARD: There's a lot of work on Main Street, South Street, curbs, sidewalks around -- almost $90,000 worth of work that's being done with that right now.

MR. HOLLID: On Front -- on Main, but I haven't gone down Main too much, but Front --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Main, South, Bay, in the area down there.

MR. HOLLID: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Coming up Fourth Avenue, there's going to be curb and sidewalk done there. And that section of South Street is going to be
repaved starting November 2nd --

MR. HOLLID: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- between Fourth Avenue going
down to the First Street.

MR. HOLLID: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The section of your block has
to be -- we have to get an engineer to go in to put
drains in there, because if we just pave it, it's
going to be higher than the curbs that are there.

MR. HOLLID: Right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And it's going to flood
everybody's front yard.

MR. HOLLID: Right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: So I don't know who told her
it's going to be a year-and-a-half where anything is
done. That is going to be looked at, and we should
be doing work on that block in the springtime.

MR. HOLLID: Excellent.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right? So that's the work
that's going on now.

MR. HOLLID: Okay. I appreciate all of your
effort, I really do.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. You're welcome. All
right.

MR. HOLLID: I hope it continues. Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Thank you.

MR. LUDACER: Real quick.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We've got some other people that haven't spoken yet, so just -- oh, okay. Go ahead. All right. That's fine.

MR. SWISKEY: William Swiskey, 184 Fifth Street. I noticed that Mrs. Pollack was up here and she was making her complaints, and the Board was listening, and they should accept -- but what should have not happened is Mr. Roberts or your Lawyer spoke back. This is an investigation. You have an employee under investigation, there's the investigator. This panel should not be answering back in this forum. I don't care if it was your swimming pool, or you felt that the FOIL -- that's a no-no, believe me. And if I were the union rep for the Village, I'd be all over you. You can't do that. She can make her objections, she can hand you the paperwork, he can conduct the investigation, but you can't do that back and forth, and your Attorney should know better.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Bill, didn't we question you about -- you made a complaint tonight, right? We asked you questions.

MR. SWISKEY: Yeah, I made a complaint, but
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there's no investigation on the complaint. You're investigating the Building Department, there's the investigator.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: No. The Mayor said earlier we're not doing an investigation. If you look in the record, you'd see that.

MR. SWISKEY: Well, that's the -- I FOILed for the name of the person investigating, and Sylvia --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. It's not being classified technically an investigation, because that's a legal term. He's reviewing the allegations of what was submitted to us. That's what I said at the beginning. At the beginning, I said it wasn't an investigation. He's reviewing the allegations and he'll have an answer for us next month.

MR. SWISKEY: But it's a form of investigation and shouldn't be discussed.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, that's -- okay. Yeah, just keep it brief, please.

MR. LUDACER: Yeah. Ken Ludacer, 133 Sixth Street. I just had a quick observation and then a comment to make after hearing Ms. Pollack speak. She stated up here very clearly that her goal or purpose was to get rid of Eileen Wingate as the Building Inspector. And now our most damaging
evidence to date is this -- you know, the Roberts', my former pool on Sixth Street, which, you know, was built in 2003, seven years before Eileen Wingate even, you know, began working for the Village. You know, it seems like a lot of what's being, you know, noted here is -- you know, it's really, you know, something -- I mean, the building of it, the building permit for it, you know, all predates Eileen Wingate by, you know, seven years.

And it reminded me that it seems like every six months or so, a couple of people or a handful of people will come, you know, screaming for the head of Eileen Wingate. And I think I would just want the Board to realize that that can, you know, create like a little echo chamber, where you think that, you know, the whole Village is, you know, completely dissatisfied with her, or thinks that, you know, she should be run out of town. You know, I don't think that's true.

I don't think you're ever going to have a beloved building inspector. I just don't think it comes with the job title.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.

MR. LUDACER: Not if they're doing their job.

And I think to a good extent, probably a lot of the
discontent being voiced, you know, springs, you know, more from her doing her job than not. And that's all I have to say. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. Okay. Anybody else wish to address the Board?

(No Response)

Okay. We'll move on to our regular agenda.

All right RESOLUTION #10-2015-1, resolution adopting the October 2015 agenda as printed. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

Trustee ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

I'll ask Trustee Roberts to read the --

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-2, RESOLUTION accepting the monthly reports of the Greenport Fire Department, Village Administrator, Village Treasurer, Village Clerk, Village Attorney,
Mayor and Board of Trustees. So moved.

Trustee ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

Trustee ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-3,

RESOLUTION ratifying the attached resolution authorizing the non-renewal of the agreement dated October 28, 2013 between the Village of Greenport and Adventure Treks, LTD; as approved at the October 15, 2015 Work Session meeting of the Board of Trustees of the Village of Greenport. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

Trustee ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #10-2015-4, RESOLUTION awarding the contract for the purchase of a Type II Emergency Vehicle for the Greenport Fire Department to Hendrickson Fire Rescue Equipment, in the amount of $203,254.00 per the bid opening on July 9th, 2015 and as previously approved by the Village of Greenport Fire Department Board of Wardens on October 20th, 2015 and determining that the bid of Specialty Vehicles in the amount of $185,754.00 is rejected as non-compliant; and further authorizing Mayor George Hubbard, Jr., to sign the contract for the purchase of a Type II Emergency Vehicle from Hendrickson Fire Rescue Equipment. This purchase is to be expensed from account A.3410.200 (Fire Department Equipment). So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I just want to clarify that. When you're saying Fire Department equipment, I believe we take that from the Apparatus Fund, don't we? We don't have to 203,000. I didn't notice that before.

CLERK PIRILLO: This is the number given to me
by the Treasurer, the account number.

MAYOR HUBBARD: By the Treasurer?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I think it gets transferred. I think the Apparatus Fund is a reserve fund. I mean, I'm sorry, I'm not the Treasurer, obviously, but I believe.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. We'll let it go through with that. Then he's going to -- we'll have to do a budget modification in the future to put the money into that, because that's a normal -- that's an operating line item for the Fire Department, and I believe it only starts at 80,000 for the year for the Department equipment.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: What, the 3410.20?

MAYOR HUBBARD: What's that?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Isn't the --

MAYOR HUBBARD: The 3410.20 is their regular operating equipment line item. That's not the Apparatus Replacement Fund where we put the money away to pay for it. The money will have to come out of that line item to pay for it, but it should have been listed that way, and I did not notice the number before.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I thought -- okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right? So we'll go ahead
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and do that, but he's going to have to do a budget modification to fund that account before we go to pay for it, because that is not the correct line item it should be coming from.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But we're not paying for it yet, correct? We're just --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. They're just ordering it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Oh, okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: But, still, we should -- we should state where the money is going to come from when we go to buy it when we sign the contract.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm not disagreeing, I'm just checking it out for myself.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Okay. Well, I just -- as he's reading it right now, I just noticed the number, and I'm just -- okay, any other discussion?

(No Response)

All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

Trustee ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)
Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-5,
RESOLUTION approving the agreement between the Village of Greenport and the Greenport Hockey Club as attached with the following revisions:
The removal of Item #5, and the dates and times for the re-scheduling of cancelled sessions will be selected by the Village of Greenport; and further authorizing Mayor George W. Hubbard, Jr. to sign the agreement between the Village of Greenport and the Greenport Hockey Club. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

Trustee ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-6,
RESOLUTION approving the request of The Long Island Divers Association to gain access to the Village-owned portion of Clark's Beach, one weekend
per month, beginning in May of 2016 through October of 2016, for a maximum of 50 divers per time. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have a couple of questions.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Are they going to be providing us insurance coverage?

MAYOR HUBBARD: What's that?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: They're providing us insurance coverage?

CLERK PIRILLO: Yes.

MR. PALLAS: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm asking, because it's not in here, and I didn't hear it discussed at work session.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I recall he did say that they would be providing insurance at the work session.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

Trustee ROBINS: And he gave us a --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: -- presentation.

MAYOR HUBBARD: They're going to be doing cleanup up there, and they're going to provide
insurance for the use of the property.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Well, that's -- okay. That's the agreement -- okay. It's just it's not clear exactly what they're doing in this resolution. I know we all discussed it at work session, but it's just -- I just wish the resolution was a little clearer, but that's okay.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: If I may, one thing, I think it's great. I think I'd love the divers to use it. The thing that makes me uncomfortable is, is that it's just not open to the -- for everyone to use.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct. And we discussed that at the work session, that when we -- when they're going to have it open, we're going to know what weekends they are, and we're going to try to open it up and let the public know when it's going to be there and be available to Village residents to use it.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Would it be possible for us to craft this in such a way that that's all together, you know what I mean? So we're allowing them to use it, and Clark's Beach will be open on the associated dates, or some way that we're allowing the public to use it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. I mean, we could do
that any time we want, because that's Village property. We could open it up at any time we want. You know, if we said, you know, that right now, we wanted the month of August, we want to make the beach available to everybody, we're allowed to do that at any point.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yeah. Just it makes me uncomfortable that just --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. That we're letting just them use it and not regular Village residents?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes, sir. That's what I was trying to say. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: And I want to -- I'm sorry. I want to echo Trustee Phillips' concern about lack of documentation, and just point out that we have until May. So is there a way for us to sort of call the Divers Association and say, "Yes, we want to support you," but let's get our ducks in a row and follow Jack's suggestion and make a more comprehensive plan for next spring and summer at Clark's Beach.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Well, you could table the motion and we don't take action on it today. You could table it if you want to put other wording into
it and try to do something else.

MR. PALLAS: The only thing I would ask is if you could just let me know what information you need and I'll contact them for you on this.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah. Well, normally, when somebody uses our property, we have a blanket insurance policy. So it would just say that they're going to be using our property, but they would have their normal liability, as if they were using Mitchell Park or whatever else.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, I understood that. I thought there was a part that -- it sounded like there was more information than that.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Could we add this?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Well, there is a basic agreement. It will be an indemnification and hold harmless, and there's certain things that we need from them. But that just flows out of this, it's not -- it's normally in the resolution. It's up to the Board.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But the discussion when we were at work session, and this brings up another point, we did not -- if we're requiring -- we're requiring them to have insurance coverage and then we're opening it up to the public, is that something
they would agree to?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: The public would be covered under our insurance. But we didn't discuss making the public -- excuse me. We didn't decide to include the public in this resolution for tonight. It's up to the Board. It's up to the Board if they want to amend it or table it to amend it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. The reason we haven't had it opened to the public in the past, because if you're going to open it up for swimming and everything else, you need facilities to have it as that. We could open it up as being a walk area, or whatever. We can't provide swimming and say there's access to the water without bathrooms, running water and the other stuff that we have down Sixth Street. That's been -- that's been the biggest roadblock of opening it up in the past. But if we open it up as -- it's going to be open on this weekend and you're -- it's available to go and do walks through the area, or whatever. But to open it up and saying, "You're allowed to use our beach up there," for regular residents without facilities, we're not allowed to do that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Most of the town beaches don't have facilities.
MAYOR HUBBARD: What's that?

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Sixty-seven Steps has no facilities and the Town seems to get by over there. Just throwing it out there.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes. That's a typical road end and everything else. This is was -- at the time they wanted to make it so it was available for swimming and everything else and we had push-back on it, so --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah. There's also not any real parking there. I mean, it's right on the North Road. People have to park their cars on the North Road?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, no. If it's open, you drive inside. There's parking areas inside. If the gate is open, you'd be going in there.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: And there is a sewage discharge pipe going out there, too, correct?

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's a nonissue. That's out in the water. It's 200 feet out, it's not an issue.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: It's the cleanest effluent in New York State, Julia.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes, it is.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I, personally, would be more comfortable, Mr. Mayor, if we could craft this
in such a way that we're able to turn around to the
public and say, "Yes, we are supporting it," and I
think this is a great thing. But if we could then
say that it would open to the public as well on those
dates, that would make me much more comfortable.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: If that's something you're
comfortable with.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, that's fine. I mean, that
was the intention. That's what we discussed, that we
say when it's going to be open, yes.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: But I think -- you know,
what I mean? If we put this all together and put it
out there, I think the public would be much more
behind it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's fine.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'd be willing to --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Do you want to table this for
this evening and get --

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Please.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Somebody give a motion table.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I make a motion to table

RESOLUTION #10-2015-6.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor on tabling
resolution -06 -- I mean -6?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

That is tabled. Trustee Robins.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. RESOLUTION #10-2015-7, RESOLUTION accepting the proposal as submitted by LaserIndustries, Inc., for the replacement of specified sidewalks and curbs in the Village of Greenport, at a cost not to exceed $88,600.00, per the results of the formal bid opening on October 1st, 2015; and authorizing Mayor George W. Hubbard, Jr. to sign the contract between the Village of Greenport and Laser Industries, Inc. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #10-2015-8, RESOLUTION authorizing the solicitation of the following annual bids by the Village of Greenport, and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the bids accordingly:

Fuel Requirement contractor
Sidewalks & curbs
Removal and stump grinding of trees
Snow removal
Sludge removal, and
Landscaping services. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second. I would like to amend and put a description in for what the requirement contractor is. According to the email that we received from Village Treasurer, it had unit price schedule for one man, one man with backhoe, two men, two men with backhoe for half a day, full day and hourly rates, including regular time and overtime. That's what the description was for the required contractor. Can we have that added to the resolution? I'd like to amend the resolution to read
that.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Discussion on the amendment?

(No Response)

All right. All in favor of the amendment?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

Motion on the -- do I have a motion on the resolution as a whole?

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Second. All in favor of the motion as a whole?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-9, RESOLUTION authorizing the solicitation of the following Requests for Proposals by the Village of Greenport, and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the Requests for Proposals accordingly:

Financial auditing
Cleaning services
Information technology services, and
Insurance.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-10, RESOLUTION approving the request from the Railroad
Museum of Long Island to direct a letter from the
Village of Greenport to the Metropolitan Transit
Authority in support of the request of the Railroad
Museum of Long Island to include as part of the
Railroad Museum request to lease the property
directly north of the Railroad Museum building, which
is currently included in the lease agreement between
the Village of Greenport and Suffolk County, directly
from the Metropolitan Transit Authority. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Brief discussion.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Go ahead.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Don, I support this. Get
your parking lot. Thanks for all the work you're
doing. I just have a concern about prioritization.
I sent an email a week ago asking that we send
a letter from the Mayor to Senator LaValle, so he
could help us find the $5 million to connect Sandy
Beach on our sewer system. This is -- this is
important, but that's also important. So if I vote
for this, can we please send that letter tomorrow?

(Laughter)

MR. FISHER: Negotiations.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: We just got to ask the guy
for help and go have a meeting, and it may work out

_Regular Session 10/22/15_ 136

_Flynn Stenography & Transcription Service_
_(631) 727-1107_
great for this Village.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, I got your demand for the letter and everything else. I had not had time to review it and go over it yet.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Fair enough.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We will get a letter sent to Senator LaValle. I'll call him personally.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any other discussion?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Just I would like to see the letter before it goes out, and to get your help in the drafting of the letter. The only consideration that I'd like to have with this, moving ahead with it, is that the property is never -- I would like the condition, if the property ceases to be used by the Railroad Museum, that it reverts back to the Village, so it stays within the Village control.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, work with the Clerk on drafting a letter, then, and we'll review it before it goes out. Any other discussion?

(No Response)

All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-11, RESOLUTION accepting the resignation of James Fogarty from the Village of Greenport Electric Department, effective October 9, 2015. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #10-2015-12, RESOLUTION hiring George Pope as an After-Care Aide/Counselor for the Village of Greenport Recreation Center, at a pay rate of $9.00 per hour, effective September 19, 2015. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second. Sorry.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Resolution #10-2015-13, RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Amendment #2275, to fund the gravel replacement of Mitchell Park pathways, and directing that Budget Amendment #2275 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes for the October 22nd, 2015 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-14, RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Amendment #2276 to fund Village sidewalk and curb repair projects at Fourth Street, South and Main Streets, the Adams Street Gazebo, and Bay and Main Streets, and directing that Budget Amendment #2276 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes for the October 22nd, 2015 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees. So moved. TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second. MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor? TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye. TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye. TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye. TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye. MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye. Opposed? (No Response) Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-15, RESOLUTION to restrict the use of the Tall Ships 2015 event profit of $58,659 for the purpose of funding repairs at Mitchell Park. So moved.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I have one question.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: The funding of repairs, does that -- do we have a list of exactly what we plan on using with that money, or is this going to be included in the overall amount and kind of for the daily day-to-day operations? Are we going to be specific as to what we're going to use it for?

MAYOR HUBBARD: What we plan on doing is paint and repair the rest of the doors down there and putting some benches in the park.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: If you have any other suggestions, you could do it, but I think that will take care of most of the money, and we'll have -- all the doors will be working for next year.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No.

MAYOR HUBBARD: And we'll have some new benches.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. I just don't want the money go for --

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, it's not for bulkheads or anything else. It's to do stuff --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: -- that needs to be done right now.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. Because that's why I'm asking, that's all.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Boardwalk lights. Boardwalk lights would be great.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any other discussion?

(No Response)

All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION 10-2015-16, RESOLUTION to restrict the use of Carousel year-end revenue, net of expenses, for Carousel repairs and improvements. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-17,

RESOLUTION to take the unspent balance of $37,675 in the Length of Service Award Program budget expense line and contribute it into the Volunteer Firefighter Length of Service Award Program. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-18,

RESOLUTION appointing Marjory Stevens as a member of the Village of Greenport Carousel Committee. So moved.
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TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-19,

RESOLUTION directing Clerk Pirillo to schedule, and notice accordingly, a public hearing for November 30th, 2015 at 7:00 p.m. at the Third Street Firehouse, Greenport, New York, 11944 regarding the Wetlands Permit Application submitted by Costello Marine Contracting as applicant for Nathaniel and Emily Ewing for the property at 230 Fourth Street, Greenport, NY, 11944 to construct a dock consisting of a 4' x 28' catwalk decked with open-grate decking leading to a 3'x 16' seasonal ramp and 8' x 24' seasonal floating dock with a 4' x 6' access platform. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #10-2015-20,
RESOLUTION directing the Conservation Advisory
Council to review the Wetlands Permit Application
submitted by Costello Marine Contracting as applicant
for Nathaniel and Emily Ewing for the property at 230
Fourth Street, Greenport, NY, 11944 to construct a
dock consisting of a 4'x 28' catwalk decked with
open-grate decking leading to a 3'x 16' seasonal ramp
and 8'x 24' seasonal floating dock with a 4'x 6'
access platform, and directing the Conservation
Advisory Council to provide the corresponding report
to the Village of Greenport Board of Trustees by
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-21,
RESOLUTION amending Resolution #07-2015-23 from the
23rd -- July 23rd, 2015 regular meeting of the
Village of Greenport Board of Trustees, to amend the
start time of the annual Homecoming Parade to
4:30 p.m., instead of 5:30 p.m., as requested by the
Greenport High School, on October 8th, 2015. So
moved.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-22,
RESOLUTION authorizing Village Attorney Prokop to commence legal proceedings related to the engineering and design portions of Upgrade I and Upgrade II of the Village of Greenport Power Plant. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm going to ask Joe Prokop --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Hang on, we need a second first.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Discussion?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I was going to ask Attorney Prokop to clarify that a little bit. I'm confused on the Upgrade I and Upgrade II part of this.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: I have a suggestion that we -- of the upgrade, that the language of the motion -- excuse me -- the language of the resolution is changed to the engineering and design portions of the upgrade of the Village of Greenport power plant so it's not specific to I or II, because there is -- there has always been a question about what is I and what is II, and how they're related. So it would be my preference -- my recommendation that the reference to I and II is taken out, if that answers your question.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So you need to amend that
motion?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: So it would be an amendment to the motion, yes, a motion to amend the resolution.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'll make a motion to amend RESOLUTION #10-2015-22 to read the following:

RESOLUTION authorization Village Attorney Prokop to commence legal proceeding related to the engineering and design portions of the upgrade of the Village of Greenport -- Village of Greenport Power Plant.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Upgrades.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Is that how you want me to read it?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Upgrades of the Village.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Upgrades?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Upgrades.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So moved.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Is there a second?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second

MAYOR HUBBARD: Second on the amendment -- I mean, all in favor of the amendment?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
The amendment carries.
Motion on the resolution as a whole.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I wanted to ask about the
budget here. I mean --
MAYOR HUBBARD: Is that the what?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I just wanted to ask about
the budget. You discussed this at the work session.
My apologies, but litigation could be very expensive.
So what are we voting on tonight? What action are we
voting on tonight? Is this everything, so
everything, you know, can go to trial?
ATTORNEY PROKOP: No. This is -- this is an
authorization -- I consider -- if you're asking me,
Trustee Roberts, I consider this to be an
authorization to start the work necessary
specifically to -- for litigation, without -- I don't
want to discuss the trial strategy in public, and I
don't want -- please don't ask me to do that, but
what we're doing is -- and I'm responding to
questions that were asked before. The -- I had -- I
have assembled all of the paperwork in forms of -- in
the form of the contracts and the scope of the work
that was to have been done.

We do have reported deficiencies, which came from A & F Testing, which the Village has discussed receipt and discussed previously. I'm working now on assembling that, the information for those agreements with Village Administrator Pallas to develop the litigation. At that point, I will be able to develop a -- what I consider a reasonable timeline and budget for the Board.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Oh. So, in other words, this is not a blank check, there would be another resolution if we were to take further action?

MAYOR HUBBARD: This is basically just answering what we told the Attorney to send letters to the contractors, and he had a certain time frame to respond back to us. He has not responded back to us and this is taking the next step.

ATTORNEY PROKOP: Right, and --

MAYOR HUBBARD: I believe there's language in there that the maximum he could be sued for was a certain dollar amount, so we're not going to spend that amount of money to get nothing back. But we're just taking the next step, because he did not respond back to our formal letters.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Okay. Thank you.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Any other discussion?

(No Response)

All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion as a whole carries.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-23,
RESOLUTION accepting the donation of Engine 33 from
William James Mills, III to the Village of Greenport
Fire Department, with the condition that "in no event
shall the truck be disposed of without the unanimous
affirmative vote of the Board of Fire Wardens, as
well as the then Captains of each company," per the
written request by William James Mills, III. So
moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #10-2015-24,

RESOLUTION allowing the second Annual Greenport Turkey Trot to begin in Mitchell Park on Thanksgiving morning, November 26, 2015 at 7:30 a.m., with the 5KM race course to proceed through the streets of the Village of Greenport and to end in the Sterlington Commons Shopping Plaza by 10:30 a.m. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-25,

RESOLUTION accepting the resignation of Patrick Lofthouse for the Village of Greenport Carousel
Committee, effective October 15th, 2015. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: RESOLUTION #10-2015-26, RESOLUTION approving all checks per the Voucher Summary Report dated October 16, 2015; in the total amount of $1,322,091.96 consisting of:

- All regular checks in the amount of $532,111.00, and
- All prepaid checks (including wire transfers) in the amount of $789,980.96.

So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
Motion carried.
I'll offer a motion to adjourn at 9:39.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: I vote to make a brief statement, if I may.
Citizens of Greenport Village, at last month's Village Board meeting, Trustee Martilotta and I proposed a resolution removing the free health care compensation worth $22,000 offered to the Mayor and Trustees of this Village. As you know, the resolution was voted down 3-2. The dialogue in advance of the vote indicated that some of my colleagues on this Board agree with the spirit of the resolution, but decided to vote against it, in part because of my posts -- posts on Facebook. We, and myself included, need to find a more productive way to cooperate and effect real change for our Village, and I'm committed to it.
Look, I'm not a politician. I'm a dad who
cares about the community where I'm raising my child. I ran for the Board to try to make a difference. I know it's going to be a tough road. I was elected because my campaign pushed for a change in how things are done at Village Hall, to increase the public's asset -- access to information about its government, and to root out cronyism and corruption. I never thought this would be easy, and I expected to have to ruffle some feathers and take some licks.

I'm disappointed that my wife and young daughter were used as pawns in a political issue, but I'm prepared to take my licks from the establishment as I work hard to carry out my commitments to you folks, to the people who put me in office here.

I want to say thank you to everybody who came up to me in the park, at the IGA, or elsewhere around town to offer words of support. The "Attaboys" were nice to hear. But I also had a few people ask, "Are you going to give up?" And here's what I want to say to everybody in Greenport watching tonight at home and here. I will not stop asking tough questions. I will not stop fighting to end the cronyism. I will not give up. I've got 3 1/2 years left in this office. I will keep the heat on until we see -- until we see real change in how this Village does...
business.

My response to the events that unfolded at the last meeting is, I've got nothing to hide, got no skeletons in the closet. I didn't run for this office for any personal gain. And I'm optimistic that rational -- rational, reasonable positions on the issues and solid ethical behavior, not personal attacks or political maneuvering, will eventually carry the day.

This Board is currently on the road to perpetual discord unless we figure out how to work together. I mean, we had a four-to-one vote on Monday Night Dances. Some voted against it because, I don't know, maybe because I was the liaison, I'm not sure. I think we owe it to the people of this Village to engage in real dialogue on how we can meet in the middle, and I am committed to trying to figure that out with all of you.

You don't have to like me or my style, but if we agree on something, let's find the common ground and let's get good things done for the people of this Village, they're asking us for it, they're desperate for it. Let's keep our families out of it. Let's rise above the personal attacks.

Thank you for listening. Thank you, the people
of this great Village, for the privilege of being your Trustee. Thank you.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Actually, can I say just 30 seconds or less?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Go right ahead.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I did want to say -- last week it came up. I should have spoken to it last week, I couldn't. I've been in a pretty big car accident. I wanted to let everybody know that we get health care in my family, we get it through my wife's plan -- it had come up and I just didn't respond to it last week -- to which we pay into. That's part of my wife's compensation.

Additionally, I do also want to make sure to let everybody know I also get it through the VA, again, part of the contract I signed up for. So when Mr. Hubbard said, and it was a fair statement, that I do get subsidized health care, I do, but I just wanted to make sure that I said where it did come from. And that was it. That's it.

TRUSTEE ROBERTS: After what you did for us, for this country, dodging bullets over there, you deserve every ounce of health care you get from the taxpayers.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Well, be that as it may, I
just wanted to make -- you know, I wanted to make
sure I got it out there. So that was it. I'm good.

Thank you, sir.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I hope you take your own
advice, Doug.

Motion to adjourn. All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBERTS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

Motion carried.

(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 9:41 p.m.)
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Description: TO APPROPRIATE PARK REPAIR RESERVE FOR THE REPAIR OF THE MITCHELL PARK PATHWAYS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account No.</th>
<th>Account Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.5990</td>
<td>APPROPRIATED FUND BALANCE</td>
<td>34,405.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.7110.401</td>
<td>PARKS EXPENSE RECREATION</td>
<td>34,405.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Amount: 68,810.00
**VILLAGE OF GREENPORT**

**Budget Adjustment Form**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Account No.</th>
<th>Account Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A.5990</td>
<td>Appropriated Fund Balance</td>
<td>88,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A.8843.900</td>
<td>Transfer to Capital</td>
<td>88,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.2816.500</td>
<td>Transfer From General</td>
<td>88,800.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H.5110.200</td>
<td>Road Construction</td>
<td>88,800.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total Amount:** 354,400.00