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(The meeting was called to order at 7 p.m.)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Call the meeting to order with the Pledge to the Flag.

(All Stood for the Pledge of Allegiance)


(All Remained Standing for a Moment of Silence)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you. You may be seated.

Okay. I've got quite a few announcements. The Village Offices will be closed on November 28th, 2019 and November 29th, 2019 in celebration of Thanksgiving.

The annual Parade of Lights has been scheduled for December 7th, 2019, beginning at 5 p.m.

The annual Menorah Lighting has been scheduled for December 22nd, 2019, beginning at 5 p.m.

The Village Offices will be closed at 12 noon on December 24th, 2019, and will be closed for the entire day on December 25th, 2019.
in celebration of Christmas.

A thank you to Frank and Phyllis Musto and their Family for the donation of this year's Christmas tree in Mitchell Park. It's a beautiful tree that's set up. The rest of the decorations will be getting put up going on this week and next week, so it will be all set to go. And it's a very beautiful tree, and I want to thank them especially for that.

All right. At this point, we have a presentation of the Wastewater Treatment System Asset Management Program. We have Tim Taber here. Come on up to the podium with Paul Pallas.

And this is a program that with the upgrade to the sewer treatment plant, they've start collecting data and doing work on that. That's my brief description of what it is, and I'll let the experts take over from there.

MR. PALLAS: Thank you, Mayor. As the Mayor said, this is -- and I had mentioned at last, last week's meeting, that this is an Asset Management Program, a fully computerized system for the wastewater treatment system as a whole, not just the plant, but the pipes and manholes and all of that.
It's a grant project that was funded by the DEC, and it's -- I had mentioned last week I'm very impressed with the system and very -- I think it's a good, a good program for us to be involved in.

Tim Taber is here from Barton and Loguidice, who's been instrumental in this whole, in this whole process in -- you know, I thank the Mayor for his support on this project.

Additionally, myself, that have worked on it, staff-wise, our Engineering Aide, Matt Lasorsa, who has provided engineering support for the program, our Chief Operator, Adam Hubbard, our Operator, Dan King, the Treasurer, Robert Brandt, and the Deputy Treasurer, who were involved from the financial aspect of it and the rate-making aspect of the, of the process.

And with that, I will turn it over to Tim.

MR. TABER: Thank you, Paul. So I'm just going to briefly go through a presentation, which is in the packet you have there. The packet you have there I'll explain the contents.

The first part is an executive summary of your asset management plan, so I encourage you to read that. It really covers really good
information about your wastewater infrastructure here in the Village, and all the data and information we collected about -- as part of the project. There is some guidance documents from EPA and DEC in there. And then the very back of that packet is this presentation that I'm going to cover right now, a few of the slides in.

So the presentation, the agenda, I'm going to just give a brief overview of the pilot program, why asset management is important. So Paul and I will cover some of that, the state of the assets, the wastewater infrastructure assets here in the Village, talking about the critical infrastructure and managing that, and maintaining the levels of service.

So a little overview of the pilot program. The pilot program started a few years ago. The New York State DEC, in conjunction with the EFC, decided that they really think municipalities should be doing a little bit more in managing wastewater infrastructure assets here in New York State, so they put out information about this program. Eighty-six municipalities across the state applied to participate in this program, 10 were selected. So the Village of Greenport was
lucky that it was selected to participate. The DEC was looking to incorporate municipalities, all different types, sizes and regions across the state. So this is the only municipality on Long Island that's part of the program.

It's a multi-year, a four-year project. We're in year 2 1/2. And as part of that, we've implemented asset management practices for all 10 municipalities across the state.

So there's been no cost to the Village of Greenport to participate in this program. The State has provided all of the services or all of the consulting team services. Included with those services is we conducted a comprehensive inventory of all of the wastewater assets here for the Village. That included mapping all of the collection system assets. So every pipe in the ground and every manhole, we went out and GPS-located it. The State also provided software that we used as the backbone as the data -- database for the project.

So the software selected by the State is a product called IBM Maximo. We also provided training to the Village Staff on how to use that program, and that is used to keep an inventory of...
the assets, preventive maintenance schedules, manage the condition of assets, and do all of the reporting and analysis.

Also, as part of the work we did, we did a criticality assessment where we looked at each asset, and the Village has over 1,000 assets, wastewater infrastructure assets, and we determined the criticality of each one.

We also did a sewer rate study, looking at the sewer rates that are charged for sewer services, wastewater services, compared that with all the municipalities in the state, and looked at a long-term model to see if the appropriate revenue was being collected to sustain the levels of service and keep the infrastructure in good condition. And then all of that's summarized in the Asset Management Plan document, which Paul has over there, and the executive summary, which you guys all have.

The approach and asset management model we used is an EPA and international model that's focused on what's called the five core questions of asset management, and, essentially, this model poses five questions to any organization. And if your organization could adequately answer these
questions, it demonstrates that you have good
asset management practices in place.
So the five questions are what's the current
state of your assets? Which assets are critical
to providing the services? What's your required
sustainable levels of service? What's your best
operation and maintenance and capital improvement
strategies? And what's your long -- best
long-term funding strategy? So all of the work
we did through State with the Village was in an
effort to be able to answer these questions, and
how the Village can adequately and confidently
answer all these questions.

MR. PALLAS: So one of the -- one of things
when this was first brought to us is I was asking
myself why would I want to be involved in this,
and I've always believed that you should be
proactive instead of reactive. It saves you a
tremendous amount of money and heartache, and I
wanted to bring that, that here. You can better
manage lifestyle -- life cycle costs, and it can
apply to other Village Departments.

When we first started this, when I started
seeing some of the programs that they offer, I
asked a very simple question, "Can I use this for
any infrastructure?" And they said, "Of course. You just have to change a title here and there."
So we can expand this into other, other departments. The system will provide all the tools necessary to do that, and to be, as I said, proactive instead of reactive.

MR. TABER: So just a little bit of a summary of what some of the results are. We looked at the total count of assets and we kind of organized it into three main types of assets. So we have the collection system, which was all of the pipes and manholes, which are pretty much your buried infrastructure here in the Village. There is 507 collection system assets. We have the assets in the pumping stations, which are remote around the Village, and used to pump the wastewater to the treatment plant. There's 176 components of the various eight pump stations. And then we looked at the treatment plant itself, and there is 300 assets over at the treatment plant, and that makes up the buildings, the pumps, the motors, the screens, the tanks, and all of those components.

If we looked at replacement costs for all of that infrastructure that the Village owns,
just in the collection system, it's close to
$34 million in 2017 dollars if you had to replace
all of your sewers and manholes. The pump
station is about 1.2 million, and the treatment
plant, close to $16 million in replacement costs
that --

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Excuse me. Did you say 15
or 50? She was opening the door.

MR. TABER: At the -- at the treatment
plant?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yeah, yes.

MR. TABER: It's 15.8 million.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Okay. Thank you.

MR. TABER: Yeah. So Paul's going to talk
a little bit about your assets.

MR. PALLAS: Before I go into some of just
the highlights of the major components, I did
want to introduce Adam Hubbard, who I mentioned
is the Chief Operator of the Wastewater Treatment
Plant. I just want to point him out to
everybody.

MR. HUBBARD: Good evening.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Welcome.

MR. PALLAS: So if there's a problem, see
him.
(Laughter)

MR. TABER: And I guess while we're introducing people, Alyssa from the DEC just joined us as well, so she has been part of this program as well.

MR. PALLAS: In the slides, on the ten things -- the tenth slide is a simplified process system diagram. It lists some of the major components in this system, from the headworks through the BNR basins, what they call a secondary treatment area, the solids handling and disinfection components.

The first picture in the slide set is of the BNR basins. The BNR basins are the first component after the headworks, the first major component that wastewater hits before it continues on its way.

And just again, another close-up of the BNR basin. And, basically, it's a -- these are rotating drums that, I guess, aerate is the right way to describe it, to keep the process moving.

The next set of slides is two large -- that look like fuel oil tanks, is what they look like, but they're what's known as clarifiers. In the clarifiers is where the solids settle out, and
the liquid that's on the top then heads on its
way to the UV disinfection part of the system
before it heads out into the Sound. And, again,
just another picture of the clarifiers.

Our pump stations, we have eight
Village-owned pump stations. In this slide, in
each pump station it lists the number of assets,
specific singular assets at each pump station.
Every pump station is slightly different,
depending on what happens there and what the
needs are in any particular pump station. For
example, the one at the hospital has the most
components, because it has things like gas
detection, blowers, and what's called a
comminutor, if I could say that correctly.

(Laughter)

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: In the collection
system, the system total consists of a little
over 17 miles of pipe, both pressure main and
gravity main. A large majority of that pipe
dates back to the 1920s and 1930s.

MR. TABER: So that buried infrastructure,
the buried pipe in the ground, dating back to the
'20s and '30s, is one of the biggest challenges
with any municipality that owns wastewater
infrastructure, because it's out of site, out of mind. You're not sure what condition it's in. You're not sure how much life might be remaining in those pipes. They might last another 50 years without any headaches, or tomorrow you might start seeing some failures to your pipes. So it's one of the largest challenges and one of the big reasons why New York State wanted this program and is continuing to support this program.

So here in Greenport we looked at the pipes by diameter and the different materials. Most of the pipes you have in your system are a vitrified clay tile pipe, which was very common material that was installed in that time period. More common, the newer materials being used for pipes being installed today is PVC, and you don't have any PVC pipes in your system, I don't think, except for some new ones.

MR. HUBBARD: Repairs would be.

MR. TABER: Yeah, just some of the repairs. You do have a little bit of cast iron pipe in some of the larger diameter. And then the pressure pipes, which are the force mains, most of that is cast iron, and then there is a little
bit of PVC pipe in the system.

If we were to look at this chart that says "Managing Our Critical Assets, Percent Life Consumed," just the chart, one of the things we did is we looked at all of the assets that the Village owns, and tried to figure out how much life might be remaining in them, so you know when they need to be invested in, either rehabilitated or replaced. You could see that at the treatment plant, a large percent or a large value of assets actually only has 5% of life consumed, relatively new. That's a result of the 2011 major upgrade there. That means most of the assets at the treatment plant have 95% of their life remaining. So you shouldn't need to make any major investments in the treatment plant.

But if you look at the collection system, a lot of the assets show about 85 to 95% of their life consumed. That's because those pipes in the ground were put in in the '20s and '30s. The expected life of vitrified clay is about 100 years. That doesn't mean it's going to fail right at 100 years. Some of those pipes might last 140 years without any headaches, 150 years. Some of them already failed. So there's no set
number that each pipe is going to fail, but it just shows you that they're getting old and you're probably going to need to start investigating your collection system, which I know you have some projects going on now doing some investigation, and then rehabilitation and replacements necessary.

Going on to the next slide, where it says, "Managing Our Critical Assets", one of the things we did is we did a criticality analysis of every asset that the Village owns, wastewater asset, and the way we did that is we looked at what the consequence would be if a particular asset failed. So consequences would be disruption for the community, violation of regulatory permits, cost, other types of consequences. And then we looked at how likely that is to happen for each asset, and that likelihood is really driven by the condition and age of the asset. So assets that are highly likely to fail, so they're old, they're in poor condition, and have a big consequence if they do fail, are the ones that pose the Village the most risk. So, fortunately, our analysis show that you only actually have one asset in the most critical high risk scenario.
The one asset is just an electric panel at one of your major pump stations, which is actually scheduled for replacement, so that's being done.

A lot of the other risk that we determined was related to the older sewer system, which, again, we had to sort of assume the condition of those pipes. There's no way for us to see them and to know how much life is remaining. A more thorough sewer system evaluation, which I know is ongoing, will help you really fine tune where the risks are. But, overall, your risk matrix actually was one of the best of all the 10 municipalities here, you didn't show a lot of risk. Probably a lot of that was due to the 2011 big upgrade at the treatment plant.

Looking at the next slide there, "Managing Our Critical Assets", it shows in 2017 dollars, when the Village had made significant investments in wastewater infrastructure. So you could see the first investments, the first sewer systems were put in here in about 1925 to 1930. Between 1935 and 1940, there has been another big investment made, and, again, that's mostly in the collection system. And then you could see various smaller investments made over years. And
then the 2011 big upgrade at the treatment plant
is the next big investment that shows. So that
just shows over time how much investment in 2017
dollars has been made.

Another critical aspect of the Asset
Management Program was to really identify the
levels of service that the Village is managing
its wastewater infrastructure to. So we worked
with a team, came up with the different
categories of service that's being provided,
system reliability, meeting regulatory
compliance, public and employee health and
safety, fiscal, and public confidence that the
Village is doing a good job managing its system.
And from those levels of service, that's the
criteria we use to establish the consequences of
each asset failing.

MR. PALLAS: As part of our commitment to
this process, we developed the statement, Level
of Service Statement. I'm just going to read it,
it's brief.

"The Village of Greenport strives to
provide excellent customer service through the
management and reduction of infiltration and
inflow, energy-efficient operations, and the
implementation of proven fiscal management practices. The Village aims to increase operational efficiencies and capital delivery effectiveness while achieving a perfect track record of compliance."

MR. TABER: So with that level of service in mind, the whole Asset Management Plan was laid out to help the Village manage to that. And, again, as I mentioned earlier, one of the things that was done was the comprehensive sewer rate analysis, a sewer rate study, and that looked at what you currently charge for sewer rates. It compared to the affordability index from EPA, and also to the other municipalities in the program, and also compared that to forecasted capital investments the Village needs to make.

So all that information was provided, and it actually looked pretty good for the Village. There was no major recommended increases in sewer rates. But there is the goal of continuously looking at that, and making sure that you're bringing in enough revenue to maintain the infrastructure to meet those levels of service.

In addition, a long-term capital improvement program was put together, so we
looked at 25 years of all the assets to look when investments need to be made, and, again, that was used as an input to the sewer rate study.

So the goal, now that this program is in place, is to not make it static, to keep it evolving, to keep improving the information. All of the data and tools that were used to develop all of this have been turned over to the Village, so that they can keep the asset inventories up to date, the condition of the assets, and then also make sure that the infrastructure is being properly operated and maintained.

MR. PALLAS: That's the presentation. I'll certainly entertain any questions that anybody might have.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any questions?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I think it was a great presentation, by the way, and I feel quite reassured to hear this. I think it was professionally prepared, and I'm going to definitely read the -- review what was provided to the Board, so thank you.

MR. TABER: Thank you. Thanks. Have a great Thanksgiving, everybody.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. You,
too. Thank you very much.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Next, we have a public hearing, Proposed amendment to Chapter 132 (Vehicles and Traffic) Section 54A, Schedule XVI (Limited Time Parking) of the Village of Greenport. There are maps available. This has been posted on the website, I believe.

MR. PALLAS: The maps haven't been, but we will --

MAYOR HUBBARD: The maps haven't, but --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We will do that. We should be able to do that tomorrow.

I do just want to point out, there was one error in the Local Law. It was my error, the -- for Adams Street, I noted it as the -- as the north side, and it should have been the south side. It's just a one-word change that we'll implement.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All right. Well, if the public hasn't really seen this, it's hard to have a public hearing without everybody seeing it ahead of time. I know --

MR. PALLAS: The law was posted.

CLERK PIRILLO: The law was posted.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The law was posted.
on the website

MAYOR HUBBARD: The law was posted, okay.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'm sorry.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. The only major change on this is a lot of it is all changing the timed parking spots. Instead of 8 a.m. to 6 p.m., it's changing it to 10 a.m. to 8 p.m., because, usually, first thing in the morning, there is not a lot of congestion, and it doesn't -- it doesn't really need to be checked and enforced. But in the evenings, it's harder for that. So we're trying to keep the people moving and circulating until 8 p.m., which we would be enforcing that code to that point.

The others, a couple of minor changes. One's spots behind Front Street Station were 30-minute spots when it was a dry cleaner, but it's no longer a dry cleaner, those are changed to two-hour spots?

MR. PALLAS: Yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Two-hour spots there. So if somebody is dining or doing whatever, gets to park there and walk down to the Village and back, thirty minutes didn't really leave enough time.

And the rest of it is really just kind of
coordinating. Instead of 30-minute, one-hour, two-hour spots, coordinate everything and make most -- a general area all 30-minute, or one-hour, or two-hour, and eliminating the spots, like there's two spots were 30-minute, and the rest of them were one-hour.

The map is available, it will be posted tomorrow.

If anybody would like to comment on the changes that I just read, your name and address for the record, and come on up and speak to it, please.

MS. WADE: Hi. Randy Wade, Sixth Street.

Generally, I support high turnover, but I was wondering why 8 o'clock around the grocery store and the post office. I mean, ideally, it would be different on Friday and Saturday nights, but it just seems like, you know, then people can't park for dinner on First Street there.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, the grocery store is open until 7 o'clock. Before, we stopped at 6. So I was trying to make it when more people are down there, to keep the spots available for people beyond the 6 o'clock time frame.

MS. WADE: So can it go until 7, rather 8?
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No, 8 --

MAYOR HUBBARD: It could be a suggestion. It was suggested, you know, six months ago, when this all started, instead of going from 6 in the morning -- from 8 in the morning until 6 at night, because the first two hours there is really not a problem with parking. To make it go on later in the evening --

MS. WADE: No. It makes -- everything makes really a lot sense, yeah.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- to make stuff still available.

MS. WADE: It's just that one little thing about the grocery store and the drug store. It seems like it doesn't need to be a half hour after the grocery store closes is all. But thank you for that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, if they close --
yeah, if they close at 7, it's one-hour parking. 8 o'clock we stop enforcement, so they'd be able to park there for an hour and go and eat.

MS. WADE: Okay. Ah, now I understand. So it's really after 7, 7:01.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. The drug store and the IGA closed, so if somebody parked there at
7:05 --

MS. WADE: Ahh.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- we stop enforcing at 8 o'clock, but just trying to keep things circulating, and moving the time frame more when you need the enforcement to get spots moving is later in the evening.

MS. WADE: Thank you for explaining.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other questions?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I'll offer a motion to close the public hearing on Chapter 132.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

Okay. We will discuss that at our work session next month.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yes, sir.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. At this point, public to address the Board. If anybody would like to address the Board on any topic, name and address for the record, and come on up.

MR. WEISS: Good evening. Weiss, W-E-I-S-S, first name, Stephen, with a P-H.

Resolution 11-2019-20, wetlands permit. I had some comments to make at the last meeting, and I've thought about it a little bit more, and I think possibly the Board shouldn't hold up on making an approval or disapproval at this time.

It's a wetlands permit having to do with a piece of property that may possibly be Waterfront Commercial, it may not be Waterfront Commercial. If it is Waterfront Commercial, the docks, the bulkheads have a whole lot to do what happens with the property.

I was also at a meeting last week, a work session, where it was brought to the Board's attention that possibly a boat, a large boat, assuming it's a large boat, 15 feet of dredging, should not be put on the east bulkhead. I heard Mary Bess, who is as expert as anybody on the Board on this, say that she didn't think that
should happen. She was overridden. I think maybe you should rethink this.

You know, we all know that there's a problem there. Maybe alleviated when it's dredged, but it's going to have to be dredged again. Dredging once doesn't solve the problem.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

MR. WEISS: We all have seen close to accidents. I've seen accidents. I live 200 feet from the site. I've seen boats on Joe Schoensteins dock hit, I've seen boats on Bob Burns' dock hit. So maybe you should rethink doing this at the moment. And that's my answer to that.

Resolution 19, SEQRA on this, I didn't know this was happening. Now this might be my fault, but I don't think I've seen any kind of public information on this. Is that so?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. We had a public hearing. It was in the newspaper, on the website. We had the public hearing last month and this was all discussed.

MR. WEISS: On the SEQRA part? On the SEQRA part?

MAYOR HUBBARD: SEQRA, that's part of the
overall operation when we do that.

MR. WEISS: All right. The SEQRA is --
then is for this dredging, not for the building
itself.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Not the dredging. This is
for the wetland -- this is for repairing the
dock.

MR. WEISS: This is for repairing the dock,
okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Dock, that's all.

MR. WEISS: Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Not on dredging, that's
separate.

MR. WEISS: I have an item on
Resolution 32. That's the hiring of Holzmacher
to oversee the building and the project. I had
lots of questions on that, but I've just seen in
the agenda Holzmacher's contract, which answers
some of my questions, but then brings up others.
And if you'd just bear with me for a second,
because I've just seen this. And so I've just
made some really rough notes, and let me look at
them before I do anything here.

It says here Village Representative,
Holzmacher, will perform visual inspections of
subsurface conditions, verify subsurface preparation when necessary, verification of third-party compaction inspections and test reports. How can they do that? They're not on board yet and all this work has been done already. All the subsurface work has been done. The concrete's been poured, it's been backfilled, the site has been raised. What are we going to do about that? It's too late for Holzmacher to look at it.

   MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

   MR. WEISS: So I ask the Village, what are we going to do about that? Should we --

   MAYOR HUBBARD: The stuff that --

   MR. WEISS: Should we stop construction until somebody looks at something and makes a determination?

   MAYOR HUBBARD: The stuff that was built was already approved and they have a permit for that. This was to get --

   MR. WEISS: This has nothing to do with a permit, George, it has to do with the construction itself. Who looked at the subsurface conditions? Who verified that they were -- they were good? Look at the tons and
tons and tons of rebar and concrete that were put in there.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

MR. WEISS: Look at the backfilling. I didn't see anybody doing any compaction in there, except for the first two, the top two inches. I didn't see one compactor in that site, and as I said, I live 200 feet from there. So I need to know what's going to be done with that.

Assistance to review and file materials test reports. Has the Village tested the concrete? I don't know.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, the Village does not test concrete.

MR. WEISS: Doesn't test concrete. That concrete shouldn't be tested when it comes off the transit mix trucks. Question.

TRUSTEE MARTILLOTTA: Testing?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.

MR. WEISS: I don't know the answer.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We do not have the equipment and machinery to test concrete on that.

MR. WEISS: Oh, that's good. Then we're going to put up a three-story building and we haven't tested the concrete.
MAYOR HUBBARD: It's got to be -- Steve, it has to comply to code. There's restrictions and stuff that has to be built per code.

MR. WEISS: Why do we --

MAYOR HUBBARD: They have --

MR. WEISS: Why do we have inspectors? If everybody's going to apply and just have code in front of them and say, "Well, we're going to build according to code," why do we need inspectors at all? Everybody's going to do the right thing, we know that. Every contractor in the world does the right thing, they never cut corners.

MR. PALLAS: Mr. Mayor, if I may. As far as things like concrete testing, the owner does provide us with not just concrete testing, but any other requirement in -- as per the building permit to show us those test reports. We would have that information.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. WEISS: Are there test reports on the concrete as they came off the transit mix?

MR. PALLAS: I have -- there should be.

MR. WEISS: All right.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: If we don't, I will
certainly make sure that I get them.

MR. WEISS: Can they be made available?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I beg your pardon?

MR. WEISS: Can they be made available?

Because that's going to be my next question.

MR. PALLAS: You could FOIL the information, sure.

MR. WEISS: Oh, we can FOIL it, okay.

MR. PALLAS: Yes.

MR. WEISS: Okay. And, again, you have to bear with me, because I just saw this 15 minutes ago.

I think at the last meeting, George, you said something about Holzmacher looking at the permits, the building permit, and the FEMA requirements to make sure everything was according to law.

MAYOR HUBBARD: When they are -- yes, it's a --

MR. WEISS: Now, is that going to happen? Is that going to happen?

MAYOR HUBBARD: When -- if the contract gets signed and we bring them on board, they will be looking at the overall project. They will be overseeing it. It's an extra set of eyes to try
to make everybody feel at home, that -- you've been questioning whether we were looking at everything properly. We brought in a professional engineer to assist in the process.

MR. WEISS: All right.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's what this was for.

MR. WEISS: All right. My concern is a lot of work has been done and that -- and that man has not even been brought on board yet. We're only -- we're only talking about bringing him on board.

MAYOR HUBBARD: We're going to, if we -- if this gets approved tonight, we'll be voting on this tonight and I'll be signing a contract tomorrow.

MR. WEISS: Well, okay. I'm going to ask that the -- a Stop Work Order be issued until Holzmacher is on board, has reviewed the plans, made sure everything is up to snuff and inspected what's already in the ground. I make that request formally.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. WEISS: Okay? And I also, once Holzmacher is on board, I think somebody from the neighborhood should be part of meetings, on
paperwork that's done, and I think there should be a complete, complete thing of openness about this, which there hasn't been so far.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. That's not part of the code, that's not something we've ever enforced on another project. That --

MR. WEISS: But I'm asking you to do that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: You're asking for the Homeowners Association to be part of this gentleman's building project?

MR. WEISS: Yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't believe legally we could do that.

MR. WEISS: Well, he can't -- we can't be part of any meeting or any paperwork that Holzmacher provides?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Anything that --

MR. WEISS: Is that a secret?

MAYOR HUBBARD: No. Anything that Holzmacher supplies to the Village would be available to anybody to review. You're asking for somebody from the Neighborhood Association to be on the gentleman's project, and that's not --

MR. WEISS: No, no, no.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Nobody's going to allow
MR. WEISS: To sit next to a Village employee who sits at the meeting.

MAYOR HUBBARD: They can come to Village Hall and review anything that's at Village Hall. Any paperwork that we're getting from this engineering firm would be submitted to us, written paperwork, and that would be available to all the public, because that's who paying for the bill.

MR. WEISS: That's fine. But I also ask, I'll ask for a Stop Work Order, that somebody from the Neighborhood Association sit with the Village employees when they talk to Holzmacher. You don't have to make that decision here.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's a precedent.

That's --

MAYOR HUBBARD: I don't see how we can legally do that.

MR. WEISS: Well, let's see if the Lawyers think we can legally do that. Let's see if your Lawyer thinks we can do it and our Lawyer thinks we can do it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's setting a precedence.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. I mean, people are allowed to do stuff on their property. If they're following code, the neighbors can't say, "I want to see everything that goes on with this neighbor's property." If it's following code and they're doing things properly, and it's built according to plans, neighbors can't say, "I want you to go" -- "I want to go and look at everything that goes on on their property."

MR. WEISS: The reason I ask that, George, is we've heard the word "transparency" for months and months and months and there hasn't been any. People say one thing and then something else happens.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's rumors that you you're hearing, Steve, that's not --

MR. WEISS: No, that's not rumors. That's sitting across from the table from people and having them say it to me, and then the next day something else happens.

MAYOR HUBBARD: At this meeting, I've never said that to you.

MR. WEISS: Not at this meeting.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, do you know what meeting he's talking about? Do we have --
MR. PALLAS: No, I don't.
MR. WEISS: No, this is --
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. WEISS: This is meetings with the developer.
MAYOR HUBBARD: But, again, that's --
MR. WEISS: Well, okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: We don't have control over what the developer --
MR. WEISS: Okay.
MAYOR HUBBARD: If he meets with you, I don't have control over that.
MR. WEISS: I've asked for several things and we'll just leave it at that, and you'll come back to me and tell me whether we can do it or not.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. WEISS: I've asked for a Stop Work Order until Holzmacher gets on board and looks at what's already onsite.
MAYOR HUBBARD: We will discuss that. I don't believe we can legally -- we don't have grounds to issue a Stop Work Order. But I will refer that to the Village Attorney and the Village Administrator and we will discuss that.
MR. WEISS: Because you're hiring a guy to do this work that's already been done. So maybe you ought to cut his project costs a little bit if he's not going to do the work.

MAYOR HUBBARD: He's there -- Steve, the reason we went ahead to try to do this was to make people feel better about it, not make people feel worse.

MR. WEISS: And it's a step --

MAYOR HUBBARD: And we were trying to do a step in the right direction to try to appease your fears and stuff like that. If you're against this, we don't have to hire him at all and go the way we are.

MR. WEISS: It is a step in the right direction.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, that's why we're -- that's why we're trying to do it.

MR. WEISS: Maybe we should take a bigger step. You know what I'm asking, George.

MAYOR HUBBARD: I know what you're asking. You want everything to stop down there until you guys get what you want, but I can't legally say that.

MR. WEISS: No, no. I want -- I want them
to stop down there until Holzmacher gets on board
and approves what's been done.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. We will review, send
that to the Village Attorney and the Village
Administrator to answer that question if it can
legally be done.

MR. WEISS: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: You're welcome. Okay.

MS. MUNDUS: Hi there. Pat Mundus, 182
Sterling Street.

I -- first of all, George, I -- let's try
to like stop the elevating excitement level,
because when you said to Steve that, you know,
until us guys get what we want, that's not where
we're coming from. And I'm here to say thank you
very much, because I really appreciate the
resolution to hire Holtsman, and -- Holzbach --
macher?

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Holzmacher.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Holzmacher.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Holzmacher.

MS. MUNDUS: Holzmacher.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

MS. MUNDUS: Sorry, it's a hard one for me.

And I think it's really a brilliant step in the
right direction.

And I think that in terms of what Mr. Pallas was saying about being proactive rather than reactive, this is a brilliant step in the right direction. But I just wanted to read what you said the other night at the work session, if you don't mind.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Sure.

MS. MUNDUS: You said, "This is to alleviate neighborhood concerns and anybody else's concerns, and so there are no questions at all about the building permit construction designs, floodplains and everything else. Our staff is more than qualified to do it, but we are doing this also to put everyone's mind at ease by taking the extra step to make sure everyone's concerns are addressed and to eliminate any second-guessing. This will require the owner to make sure that everything is taken care of, so that we can, hopefully, move forward with the project, make any compromises, and do what needs to be done, and to make sure that there is no second-guessing, and that everyone" -- "everything is being done to code." That's really great.
I then went back and looked at the scope of the services for Holzmacher, and it doesn't mention anything about review of the floodplain. As you see, that is a big concern here, especially if Mr. Pawlowski is going to return to the Planning Board to ask for residential, because the plans, the stipulation -- the site plans attached to the stipulation 12 years ago were for 7.2 feet for the ground floor elevation. He's in a 6, which means it has to be 8.

So we could save a lot of time and a lot of money for -- and especially time, because FOILing, I feel like I'm the queen of FOIL. I spend so much time with all these things, but I'm not an expert either.

The flood elevation is going to be a major, major point here if he comes back again for residential use.

So I recommend that in scope of services that you should include -- because it says review of electrical, plumbing, mechanical, structural, architectural, fire suppression, ADA compliance, and means of egress and accessibility plans. That's really great, but before you get to all that, the present foundation that's going in --
Mr. Pawlowski was here when he did his pre-submission before the Planning Board and he said, "Oh, no, I'm not going to backfill," you know, because we all looked at it. Steve said he lives 200 feet away. We were watching it on an hour-by-hour basis what's going on over there. And, of course, found the footings are -- were built on grade. The foundation went in, the waterproofing went on. And he stood right here in front of everyone and said that he was going to put stone facade on there, and that's what was going on, and, of course, now it's backfilled.

So I'm not an engineer either, but a 15,000 square foot hole, one foot deep, the fill that was put in there inside, that's 556 yards, cubic yards of dirt already. That's before anything is even been built there. And I'm not -- I'm not an engineer, but I don't know how to calculate the amount of grade that's backfilled. But I have done enough poured concrete projects in my own experience that I know you have to backfill outside to equal the pressure on the inside. So that's already a lot of dirt that's been moved around before it even has a wetlands permit in hand.
So I think when Steve gets, you know, emotionally involved with the amount of work that's going on versus the amount of supervision, you know, it is a valid point.

And I know that we have a Code Enforcement Officer, and I know, as you said, he's qualified to do the job. But it heartens me to see that there is a seasoned, experienced group of professional engineers who are going to be helping the Building Inspector. And I am assuming that he's going to be the point man for this in the -- in how it's going to work with the supervision of Holzmacher, and he's going to be working with the Building Inspector, because that explicitly says that in their contract, also.

MR. PALLAS: Yes. Yeah. I mean, I will, I will, of course, be extremely involved in that process as well.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay. But are you an engineer, or, I mean, are you --

MR. PALLAS: Yes.

MS. MUNDUS: Are you qualified to deal with projects of this construction, 45,000 square feet?

MR. PALLAS: That's why we're hiring
Holzmacher.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay. So --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I will review with a--

MS. MUNDUS: -- we're going to rely on Holzmacher's engineering expertise primarily, then, since the Village doesn't really have that kind of expertise.

MR. PALLAS: Well, no. But, I mean, I am a licensed engineer as well.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So, I mean, I would be certainly qualified to review their reports for accuracy.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: So --

MS. MUNDUS: Okay. And I do, for the record, want to point out one more time, I've already been on record once before, my feelings about the floodplain. The Stirling Basin Neighborhood Association's experts have already pointed out, and I've pointed out myself, that the line of breaking waves passes right straight through the parcel, right down the middle of the parcel.
It's not really easy to figure out, because it's not listed on the legend, but that little thing that looks like a cold front, dash, little flag, dash, little flash, dash, you see it goes all the way around through the whole -- you can go to the LWRP and look at that same map. It goes right straight through the middle of the property.

So mark my words, this floodplain issue is going to come back and back and back, like another building high tide over and over and over again.

I also would like to comment on the other resolution, which is 11-2019-20. That's the wetlands permit itself. I wasn't here for the work session, but I watched the videotape, and I was fascinated that there was a lot of back and forth about that face dock.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: About that what?

MS. MUNDUS: The face dock, the dock that is -- runs right along parallel to the channel.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: The east bulkhead.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Bulkhead.

MS. MUNDUS: Yes, yeah. It's my professional opinion, and I come and go from that
inlet two, three, sometimes four times a day in
the summertime, that a boat there with a beam of
15 feet or less is probably okay. I mean, month
by month that thing keeps getting narrower and
narrower and narrower. But I don't think, in all
fairness to the owner of a piece of prime real
estate, I really don't think that it's fair to
put anything in the wetlands permit about what he
can tie up there or what it is. But you might
want to restrict temporarily or on a review basis
the beam of the vessel, because if that channel
is supposed to be 100 feet wide and you put
150-foot motor yacht there with a 30-foot beam,
that's three-quarters of the existing channel
now. Somebody -- and as Steve pointed out,
people do routinely run aground there. I mean,
granted, it could be because they're not very
experienced boaters. But when a 60-foot motor
yacht runs aground on that sandbar, nobody comes
or goes.

    MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

    MS. MUNDUS: And it holds everybody up for
the entire afternoon. And I'm not the only one
who uses that harbor to make a living. So I'm
just using my experience to share with you the
impact it has on everybody else that does use it. But I don't think that you should restrict it to the size of the boat.

That said, what's going to happen in the future? That, you know -- where are we at with this dredging permit? And I would like to volunteer. Is there anything that I can do as a citizen, or to help maybe the CAC? Or who's in charge of riding herd on the permit process?

**MAYOR HUBBARD:** Right now we're waiting --
go ahead.

**MR. PALLAS:** Yeah. We are awaiting permits at the moment. We've -- I've been in communication with all the agencies and we're just waiting for responses on permit applications.

**MS. MUNDUS:** What was the last action?

**MR. PALLAS:** There's several permit -- I think it was Department of State was the -- I believe was the one that I got.

**MS. MUNDUS:** Okay.

**ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS:** The last.

**MS. MUNDUS:** I do have experience with this. I was one of the people in another neighborhood group on the other side of the water
in East Hampton and we got Northwest Creek dredged. That was same exact scenario. They had a maintenance permit, it slipped between the cracks, they lost it. They had to go back for a new application with a maintenance permit built in. And I'm happy, if there's anything that I can do. And I can tell you that it took a lot of personal riding herd. And you've got a thousand other projects that you also have to ride herd on, and I'd like to volunteer. If there's anything I could do, I'd like to help with that, because with 200 floating docks over there, there's a lot of people whose livelihood depends on this. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Thank you.

MS. WADE: I also think it was -- Randy Wade, again, Sixth Street -- brilliant that you are hiring Holzmacher. I just was wondering, is he an engineer on call? Why did you choose that firm rather than H2M?

MR. PALLAS: I'm sorry, I didn't hear that question.

MS. WADE: Why did you choose Holzmacher?

I --

MR. PALLAS: We've worked with them in the
past. They're doing similar work in another municipality.

MS. WADE: I went to the website and it seems that they came out of the one that became H2M, that the children took over, and that there were a lot of engineers, and that it's one of the more senior ones who seemed to be the only engineer with the company. Do you know if they have more?

MR. PALLAS: They have several engineers --

MS. WADE: Oh, great.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: -- and an architect on staff.

MS. WADE: Excellent, excellent. Then, yeah, as long as the wetlands part is put in there, because remember when you said that it wasn't a wetlands? But, really, we think it is a wetlands.

MR. PALLAS: I didn't -- okay.

MS. WADE: Well, whatever, whatever. But it's in the floodplain. It's not a wetlands, it's in the floodplain. And so it -- since wetlands permits cover floodplain issues, I would certainly hope -- you don't have to tell him to stop work at all, just, you know, he'll be liable
if there's a problem and he'll have to remediate. But you do need Holzmacher to review before you sign off on a wetlands permit. That's a critical step. Thanks very much.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Mr. Mayor.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: May I just point out, and I just brought this to the Village Attorney's attention, on Page 4 of this contract with Holzmacher, it says, "Interpretation of subsurface conditions." So maybe, Joe, you could explain a little bit. Does that mention the floodplains?

MR. PROKOP: The floodplain -- floodplain and FEMA regulations are incorporated in two parts of the contract. One is with regard to subsurface conditions and surface conditions, and also with regard to compliance with the Building Code, International Building Code, because the International Building Code requires conformance with FEMA regulations. So the engineer, I would expect that the engineer would also be reviewing compliance with FEMA where necessary --

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Does that answer every --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: -- what we call -- what
was discussed as floodplain.

   TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I just wanted to point
   that out, that that's in here.

   MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

   TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay.

   MAYOR HUBBARD: And the reviews we've done
   already have -- is the elevation proper with
   what's been reviewed already?

   MR. PALLAS: From everything, and I've
   mentioned this before, from everything that I've
   reviewed, the building itself is in AE-6,
   requires a one-foot elevation, one foot above
   Base Flood Elevation. It's designed as a
   7.2 feet, so it does comply.

   MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

   MS. MUNDUS: Pat Mundus, 182 Sterling
   Street. That information, from what I
   understand, is correct for the present permit,
   which is for 15,000 square feet of commercial
   space, not residential.

   Mr. Pawlowski is on the fence right now.

   He says he wants to come before the Planning
   Board and put residential on the first floor. If
   it's residential on the first floor, it has to be
   8 feet; is that correct?
MR. PALLAS: I believe that's correct. And we would -- we would certainly require that.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.

MR. PALLAS: Whatever mitigation would be needed.

MS. MUNDUS: So this is a little bit of where the angst is coming from in the neighborhood, that there's a foundation going in, it's under construction, but he still hasn't declared the exact use of the project. So --

MR. PALLAS: There are -- just to be clear, there are methods, even if he changes it and gets approval for residential, there are methods that he could employ to mitigate that --

MS. MUNDUS: Okay.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: -- in compliance with FEMA regulations.

MS. MUNDUS: Okay. I just wanted to be clear for the record. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Anybody else wish to --

MR. MACKEN: Hi. Frank Macken, 138 Sterling Avenue.

So this is -- so "transparency" is a word we've been hearing a lot of and not having
So there was a meeting here in April 15th of 2018 with Mr. Raskin, the previous owner, and he made a whole presentation. It was a joint Village Board meeting with Mr. Raskin making a presentation. And at that meeting, Mr. DiGiovanni, who is also the current architect, made a -- made -- at Mr. Raskin's invitation, described the project. And he said, and I quote, there'll be limited access to the -- the elevator would go up there, the stairs would access it for legal means of egress. The height of the building is limited to 30 feet from the grade to the roof. And then what we've done, if you look at this elevation, the stairs go up a little bit higher and they slope down, basically trying to keep the height as low as we can.

Also, he goes on, nautical type feel, tried to -- New England type feel, using -- again, trying to keep with the traditional look as far as the facades to fit in with the -- with the neighborhood.

And he says, natural materials, things that would match in residential quality, clapboard, stone, Wainscotting, whatever, keeping all
neutral colors, and so on.

So they -- it goes on and on, and Mr. Raskin makes numerous comments about how they're trying to work with the community, and to -- obviously, he wants to -- he's proposing changes to it. He states that the stipulated building is no longer economically viable, because the neighborhood has changed, business has changed, a lot of things have changed since then, and he says that it makes no sense. The traffic issue with commercial would be too much for the neighborhood, and what they're proposing is much more in keeping with the neighborhood.

So, basically, he's saying that he's applying for all of these things. He's admitted that the building was on -- was -- that the site with that approved -- with the approved stipulated building was on the market for 12 years and nobody wanted to buy it, because nobody could see it being in any way economical. So, clearly, the only way that this thing could work is if it -- if it changes.

So -- and then, Mr. Hubbard, you're saying that you met -- you continue that nothing's been approved, they haven't approved anything. And I
have it -- have you at a disadvantage here, because I'm quoting, I've reviewed the minutes. But you said, you know, that -- and Mr. Raskin says that it was just -- basically, he said that you said that it was just you and the Administrator and the lawyers who met occasionally with -- and one -- one or two Trustees who met with the -- with Raskin and the guys, and talking to them, and -- but nothing had been decided, and so on, so everything was going to have to be reviewed, any changes.

Mr. Raskin says, "This could be a modification of the stipulation, but if it gets too confrontational or too difficult or drawn out, or we begin to have lawsuits, we'd probably make an application for a whole new application process." And that's where it sat, and then everything else happened in total obscurity from then on.

As far as we know, the next thing -- we didn't know anything until some time. There was a rumor the next spring that a permit had been issued. So a permit was --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Well, let me just -- if I could just clarify.
MR. MACKEN: Sure.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Mr. Raskin no longer owns the property, he sold the property.

MR. MACKEN: Yes, okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That was a meeting, that's stuff that happened two years before he sold the property.

MR. MACKEN: But it wasn't two years, it was just actually six months. And in those six months he got a permit approved. He submitted these plans by the same architect, and the plans were approved, the permit was issued, and then it was transferred immediately to this other person, Mr. Pawlowksi, who then came in in May and said that he was going to -- he wanted to build something completely different. He wanted to work with the community, build townhouses, cluster housing, this and that and that. But he had this full set of plans, which nobody had seen, nobody had seen, for a building which was now four feet higher than the one the architect had said he was going to build, he wanted to build in May of the previous year.

So now, one year later, the building is four feet higher, a lot bulkier. And the -- for
example, the ground floor ceilings are 12 feet high. Now that building is not 30 feet, it's 34 feet. Measure it from the top of the foundation. If you look at the plans, you'll see it's measured from the top of the foundation, not from grade. So grade is normally measured from the crown of the road. If you look at the plan, and if you look at what's actually happening down there now, they poured this huge foundation, which they were backfilling today, so Mr. Holzmacher won't be able to investigate anything, and they poured this whole thing. And I said Mr. Pawlowski at the last time, he was sitting over there, and I said, "You've got this waterproofing, and, obviously, you're regrading to that." He said, "No, we're putting face stone on it." But what's happened, in fact, they've graded it, so you can see it's all now two feet higher.

He also said on the height, in turn -- and coming back to the height thing again, so we were -- you know, a building that's 30 feet high they they're trying to work into the neighborhood, and make work with the neighborhood, is now a 34-foot building that is totally remodeled to
look like something for the Brooklyn waterfront. It's completely different, nothing to do with the neighborhood.

Mr. Pawlowski -- I said it's way -- going to be way higher than anything else in the neighborhood, and as it stands, it's already 10 feet higher than any building on the street. So that's from the top of the gable. So Mr. Pawlowski said, "It's going to be no higher than Sterling Street" -- "Stirling Cove Condos." And I said that just is not credible, because if you look at those, they're two story with a pitched roof, a kind of a mansardy kind of mish-mash of a roof up there, and that's 25 feet. I talked to somebody who lives at the condo, they're 25 feet. This thing starts at 34 feet above a two-foot foundation, that's 36 feet. Then there'd be parapets. He said there are going to be no parapets. Well, you know, then he said, "Oh, there won't be" -- "there won't be an elevator," there won't -- I said, "What about the elevator bulkheads?" Mr. DiGiovanni says, "Oh, yes, there'll be access to the roof and the elevator," and the bulkhead will go up, will go up a bit higher, and the stair bulkheads and all
that. He admitted all of that, but that's starting from 30 feet.

Mr. Pawlowski said, "We're going to have no bulkheads at all. The elevator's not going up to the roof." But you can't have an elevator without motor room on top, and it's too close -- you know, they can't sink a hydraulic unit in a pit, because they're too close to the water, the water level. So it's just not feasible. So then he says, "Oh, well, the stairs will go up there."

Well, the stairs have to go up there for legal access. But all of the mechanical equipment, they cannot be put in the ground floor because it's flood-prone.

You know, all of that stuff, all of these questions, and so we're looking at this. And while it's very nice to have a professional company, outside company, which we've been calling for, finally you're talking about putting -- about hiring this guy, it's a bit late, because a lot of -- as Steve pointed out, a lot of this stuff has been being done. There's no way that he can investigate the subsurface. He can review tests that were done in 2004 or 2005, or whatever those tests are that are on
the -- actually on the site plan, but he can't review anything else. He can't review the foundations other than from the plans, because now it's all been backfilled. And the site is -- and Mr. Pawlowski said he wouldn't regrade, but, in fact, he has regraded.

So, I mean, there are all of these things that are just basically fete accompli. And when he appeared before the Planning Board last week, or at the Planning session, Mr. Foote, the Chairman, said, "You want all these changes, and you proposed" -- "you know, you came to this May meeting in 2019 and you said you were going to" -- "you wanted to build these townhouses and clusters." He said, "Why didn't you build those, Paul?" He said, "Oh, well, it was parking." He said, "Paul, we could have worked out the parking. What was your real reason?" He said, "Oh, well, I talked to my investors," and this and that. Yeah, okay, they made a dollar and cents decision, or whatever, but that was after coming to the neighborhood and saying -- and he keeps saying how much he's talked to the neighborhood. He came to the neighborhood and he said he was going to do one thing, turned around
and did something else with a set of plans that nobody had seen, a permit that was not posted onsite for six months. It was all done in complete secrecy. There were rumors of permits and stuff, but like there was no -- there was no transparency of any kind. And that's what we're -- that's what has people annoyed, and that's what has people concerned.

And the floodplain issue, that whole floodplain, well, that floodway goes right through there, the wave action and everything, from the 2009 FEMA flood map that was done when it was an empty site. And they said there should be no encumbrances of this. Well, now there's an encumbrance, there's a big, massive encumbrance on that, so the water has to go around that.

So I've pointed before, he's building a high island there. The water has to go around that. It's going to go right up our street and it's going to go into the houses up behind the back of Sterling Avenue as well, and these are what we're concerned about.

So what is Holzmacher going to do about that? If he reviews that and he finds that there actually -- the foundation has to be changed,
that it needs to be a wash-through, that they
need -- that the water needs to go through there,
what's going to happen? Are the Village Board
going to actually require him to change any of
this stuff?

I mean, Walter -- I forget his first name. Is it Walter Foote? Mr. Foote from the Planning
Board, he said, "Why did you build this, Paul? You're coming to make all these changes." You
know, so he's -- he's using the stipulation to
beat us and say, "I'm going to build this if
not," but he never intended to build to that
stipulation, because he knew and the previous
owner knew, and 12 years of the market have
proved that it was not viable. So he intended
all the time to just like put in this thing.

And he said -- and when Paul -- when
Mr. Foote said, "Why did you build that? Why
didn't you just come and work out all this stuff
and then before? Why did you go ahead and
build?" "Oh, I wanted to get it done before the
winter." He said, "Yeah, but, I mean, you know,
why" -- "there are all of these plans and all
these changes and you hadn't decided and
everything. Why did you go ahead and do that?"
"Oh, it's the best piece of property, it's the waterfront property." And it's the Waterfront Commercial property and it's part of the property, it's not the residential, the huge residential part of the back. Half the thing is residential, but he didn't build there. He made a claim, he basically like did a -- like the old gold mining days, he staked his claim up on this thing on the front and dares anybody to change it.

So our simple question is what happens when the floods come, when the next flood comes? Because Sandy, that whole lot flooded. What happens when the flood comes up higher than it's ever come before and gets into our basements, gets into our houses, and who's going to pay for that? Is he going to post a bond to cover that? And everybody's flood insurance rates are going to go up.

When FEMA redrew that map, me and a lot of our neighbors, we stopped paying flood insurance. We didn't have to pay flood insurance, because they figured it out exactly. And funny enough, when you look at that map, the line of it is where -- there are no houses on that, because the
people in the old days, they knew better than to build on a place that was going to flood. So they had boat charts and boat access and this and that. But that line, if you follow it down Sterling Street and all the way in through there, none of that has a house on it, except for something in the back end of Ludlam that was added later on.

So, I mean, you know, it's a floodplain. He's built on this thing now, he's staked his claim, and what the hell are we going to do about it, and who will be responsible? Those are the questions that we have.

And the whole issue about these -- Holzmacher is going to come in. Is he going to look at those plans to see -- at the approved plans and say, "Why is it so high?" Is there -- who made the decision to allow that building to go from 30 to 34 feet, which is a huge jump of already a tall building. And there were no height specifications in the stipulation, none, there were none.

So, I mean, there -- and the -- and the rendition of the -- of the industrial type building, the schematic that Raskin talks about
at this meeting, that was very much lower. You could tell it was lower, at like 10 feet. That thing probably didn't even get above like 28 feet, 26, 28. Didn't need to, because, I mean, you've got office space, 8-foot office space on one -- on two levels, and you've got whatever, you know, are residential, whatever. But it was like it has like a low profile.

This thing is maxed out. And this -- so the developer has been allowed to max out to the last square inch and beyond, because he's expecting to get a lot of variances and a lot of allowances for things that aren't -- that aren't on this plan. When you look at his plans, there are no elevator bulkheads. There's no elevation -- there are no -- none of that stuff that's going to be on the roof, as we know from The Menhaden, none of that what is actually happens. You look at The Menhaden and you can see that freakin' thing that's 12 or -- 10 or 12 feet high. You can see that from just back up the street. You can't see it from directly across the street, but you can see it right anywhere, anywhere on Third Street.

And okay, you know, it's context. As Randy
said, you got the cinema there and stuff, you know. But the context, where we are, is 25-foot houses, 25-foot gables all the way around, maybe 30 where Mr. Kelly is on that last house that was built, but, I mean, you know, to the very top of the gable, to the ridge. And this thing is bulking up. It's got no setbacks, it's got no break, it's not -- it's just hard and square and looks nothing like anything else.

So I -- but that's what I have to say.

Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MS. WADE: I'm sorry, you just reminded me of one little thing. Sorry. Me again, Wade, Sixth Street. I'm so sorry. You're so patient to listen to all this.

In looking at the code, only multi-family specifies that it's 2 1/2 stories or 35 feet, whichever is less. It's the only place in the code that actually says that out loud.

And, Mr. Mayor, when you were saying that anybody could do anything, as long as they follow the code, this was a compromise, it was a court settlement, and it doesn't follow the code in about every which way you could imagine. Condos
aren't allowed. It should have been 2 1/2 stories, not three stories, because it's obviously the only thing related to multi-family, even though condos are not allowed. So just and other things, but thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MS. MUNDUS: In case you forgot, I'm Pat Mundus, 182 Sterling Street. I'm not -- I know we're here for a wetlands permit and we're getting way, way, way off the topic. But, for the record, because I don't know if it's the right protocol to comment on a comment, but it is important that during the Planning Board pre-submission, the Chairman, Walter Foote, who is also a lawyer, mused out loud that he -- he wondered whether actually not building anything on that property in the 12 years since the stipulation was de facto abandonment. That's an interesting twist on this whole story.

So, you know, there's a lot of history, and there is a lot of emotion, and I'm sorry that you have to endure all of the churning, but, you know, a two man double-check, whether it comes from the public or from the neighbors, who have seen it flood and who understand it, it is -- you
know, it's worth being patient through, and I
thank you for that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Anybody else wish to
address the Board?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. We'll move on to our
regular agenda.

RESOLUTION #11-2019-1, RESOLUTION adopting
the November, 2019 meeting agenda as printed. So
moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried. Trustee
Clarke.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: RESOLUTION #11-2019-2,
Accepting the monthly reports of the Greenport
Fire Department, Village Administrator, Village
Treasurer, Village Clerk, Village Attorney, Mayor
and Board of Trustees. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-3, RESOLUTION ratifying the following resolution previously approved by the Board of Trustees at the Trustees' work session meeting held on November 21st, 2019:

RESOLUTION authorizing withdrawal from the negotiating class in the pending Opioid Crisis Federal Court litigation, authorizing the commencement of separate litigation, and approving a legal services contract between the Village of Greenport and Tate Grossman Kelly & Iaccarino, LLP.

So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #11-2019-4,

RESOLUTION approving the application for

membership of Russell Wayne Harvey to the Eagle

Hose Company of the Greenport Fire Department, as

approved by the Greenport Fire Department Board

of Wardens on November 20th, 2019. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS:  **RESOLUTION #11-2019-5,**

**RESOLUTION** approving the application for

*membership of Joseph Verley, Jr. to the Standard

Hose Company of the Greenport Fire Department, as

approved by the Greenport Fire Department Board

of Wardens on November 20th, 2019. So moved.

TRUSTEE CLARKE:  Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD:  All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA:  Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE:  Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS:  Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS:  Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD:  Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD:  Motion carried.

TRUSTEE CLARKE:  **RESOLUTION #11-2019-6,**

**Awarding the contract for tree and stump removal

and stump grinding services on specified Village

of Greenport streets to Johnson Tree Company, for

a total contract price of $16,850.00 per the bid

opening on October 31st, 2019; and authorizing

Mayor Hubbard to sign the contract between the

Village of Greenport and Johnson Tree Company.

So moved.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-7,
RESOLUTION hiring Timothy McDonald as a part-time, seasonal employee for the Village of Greenport Ice Rink, at a pay rate of $12.00 per hour, effective November 26, 2019. So moved.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA:  RESOLUTION #11-2019-8,
RESOLUTION hiring -- ratifying the hiring of
Georgia Neighley and Daelyn Healy as part-time,
seasonal Carousel and Ice Rink employees, at a
pay rate of $13.00 per hour, effective
November 23rd, 2019. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS:  Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD:  All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA:  Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE:  Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS:  Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS:  Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD:  Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD:  Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS:  RESOLUTION #11-2019-9,
RESOLUTION ratifying the re-hiring of Stephen
Venuti as a part-time, seasonal Carousel and Ice
Rink employee, at a pay rate of $16.00 per hour,
effective November 23rd, 2019. So moved.

TRUSTEE CLARKE:  Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD:  All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA:  Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE:  Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: RESOLUTION #11-2019-10, Authorizing the attendance of Ethan Holland at NEPPA's 2020 Apprentice Program for Second-Year Training at Northwest Lineman College in Littleton, Massachusetts. Complete training is scheduled as six sessions to be held on:
January 28th, 2020 - January 31st (make-up class), February 4th - February 7th, (make-up class), March 3rd - March 6th, 2020 (start of second-year classes), March 17th, 2020 - March 20th, 2020 (regular class), September 29th, 2020 - October 2nd, 2020 (regular class), and November 3rd - November 6th, 2020 (regular class) at a total cost of: $3,200.00 for the training classes, a lodging rate of $2,073.12, reimbursable meal expenses not to exceed $35 per day, applicable transportation costs, and standard mileage reimbursements per the Village of Greenport Travel Policy; to be expensed from
account E.0785.210 (Employee Training). So
moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-11,

RESOLUTION authorizing the attendance of Douglas
Rocco at NEPPA's 2020 Apprentice Program for
Fourth-Year Training at Northwest Lineman College
in Littleton, Massachusetts. Complete training
is scheduled as five sessions to be held on
February 11th, 2020 - February 14th, 2020
(make-up class), February 25th, 2020 -
February 28th, 2020 (start of fourth-year regular
class), March 31st, 2020 - April 3rd, 2020
(regular class), April (sic) (October) 20th,
2020 - October 23rd, 2020 (regular class) and
December 7th, 2020 - December 10th, 2020 (regular
class) at a total cost of: $3,200.00 for the training classes, a lodging rate of $2,073.12, reimbursable meal expenses not to exceed $35 per day, applicable transportation costs, and standard mileage reimbursements per the Village of Greenport Travel Policy; to be expensed from account E.0785.210 (Employee Training). So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION

#11-2019-12, RESOLUTION ratifying the attendance of William Schulz at the New York State Department of Health Backflow Device Tester Certification Course, from October 1st, 2019 through October 4th, 2019 in Copiague, New York. So moved
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-13, RESOLUTION approving an increase in the hourly wage rate for William Schulz, from $18.40 to $19.90 per hour, effective November 27th, 2019 owing to the assumption of additional duties, per Article VII (Salaries and Compensation), Section 9(a) - Merit Clause - of the collective bargaining agreement currently in force between the Village of Greenport and CSEA Local 1000. So moved.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: RESOLUTION #11-2019-14,

Approving an increase in the hourly wage rate for Deborah McLoughlin, from $20.98 to $23.00 per hour, effective November 27th, 2019 owing to the assumption of additional duties, per Article VII (Salaries and Compensation), Section 9 (a) - Merit Clause - of the collective bargaining agreement currently in force between the Village of Greenport and CSEA Local 1000. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-15,
RESOLUTION accepting the abandoned building at the Water Tower site on Moore's Lane from Verizon, per the lease abandonment by Verizon, and authorizing Village Administrator Pallas to execute any requisite corresponding documents subject to Village Attorney approval - assuming no financial impact to the Village of Greenport - regarding the acceptance of the abandonment. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #11-2019-16,
RESOLUTION authorizing Treasurer Brandt to perform attached Budget Amendment #4229, to fund tree and stump removal services, and directing that Budget Transfer #4229 be included as part of the formal meeting minutes for the November 25th,
2019 regular meeting of the Board of Trustees.

So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-17, RESOLUTION approving the Public Assembly Permit Application submitted by Elizabeth Mandara on behalf of the United States Power Squadron District 3, for the use of a portion of Mitchell Park from 9 a.m. through 11 p.m. from July 30th, 2020 through August 2nd, 2020 for the annual Rendezvous for District 3. So moved.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Second

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: RESOLUTION #11-2019-18,

Scheduling a public hearing for 7:00 p.m. on December 26, 2019 at the Third Street Fire Station, Third and South Streets, Greenport, New York, 11944 regarding the Wetlands Permit Application submitted by Robert E. Hermann of En-Consultants on behalf of Pipes Cove Management Association for the property at 131 Sixth Street, Greenport, New York, 11944 to perform work concerning removals, replacements, reconstructions and re-constructions, per the attached Project Design (Description). So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-19,
RESOLUTION adopting the attached SEQRA resolution regarding the approval of the Wetlands Permit Application submitted by the applicant Paul Pawlowski on behalf of 123 Sterling Avenue, LLC for the property at 123 Sterling Avenue, Greenport, New York, 11944; adopting lead agency status, determining the approval of the application is a Type II Action for purposes of SEQRA, and adopting a Negative Declaration determining that the approval of the Wetlands Permit Application will not have a significant negative impact on the environment. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Opposed

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried. What's that?
TRUSTEE CLARKE: I opposed it.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Oh, okay. Excuse me. That was four in favor, one opposed.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #11-2019-20, RESOLUTION approving the Wetlands Permit
Application submitted by the applicant Paul Pawlowski on behalf of 123 Sterling Avenue, LLC for the property at 123 Sterling Avenue, Greenport, NY 11944 to install:
- a 4-foot-wide by 6-foot-long fixed platform leading to a 3-foot-wide by 15-foot-long ramp leading to a 4-foot-wide by 30-foot-long (sic) (34-foot-long) float,
- a 4-foot-wide by 20-foot-long float,
three (3) 3-foot-wide by 20-foot-long finger floats at the northern float and
- two (2) 3-foot-wide by 20-foot-long finger floats on the western float; each secured by one (1) 12-inch-diameter timber pile, four (4) safety ladders and four (4) 12-inch diameter timber piles.

Per the Village of Greenport Conservation Advisory Council, the following conditions shall also apply to the approval of this permit application:
The approved Wetlands Permit Application will have an expiration date of two (2) years from the date of approval.

The applicant must install a pump-out station on the premises that is accessible to the public, and

Any required fill material shall come from site material previously removed and currently stored onsite. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second. And I have a question.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Paul, in reference to this, any required fill material, in getting back to the question of the foundation that was raised with some of the concerns of Holzmacher examining some of the stuff, will this affect anything? Will he have fill coming in from someplace for this project, digging something?

MR. PALLAS: No. That's the -- no. It says that it will come from site material previously removed and stored onsite.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: But he's going to be digging? But he's going to be -- he's going to be digging someplace for this?
MR. PALLAS: To put the bulkhead in, I believe there's minimal digging, if I remember correctly. I'd have to look at the plans, but I don't --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Well, this application is just for the floating docks and everything else.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah, it's not a bulkhead replacement.

MAYOR HUBBARD: This has nothing to do with the property or the building or anything, this wetlands permit application.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: It has --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: This is just for the bulkhead.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: This is just for the bulkhead?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, this is not for the bulkhead.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: This is not the bulkhead.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: This is not the bulkhead, this is floating docks.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: This is the floating --
MAYOR HUBBARD: This is the floating docks and everything else.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Yep.

MR. PALLAS: I'm sorry, I apologize.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's why I'm asking.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No, that's --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah.

MAYOR HUBBARD: This is just the floating docks, the pilings, the ramps and the other stuff. This is not the bulkhead or the other stuff.

MR. PALLAS: Correct. I'm sorry, I apologize.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. That's why I'm asking.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's why, I mean, we had the public hearing. This was -- the other issues are all part of the regular building permit. This is just the floating docks and the ramps, just what was read off, that's all we're voting on.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. That's what I wanted to double-check and make sure everyone was clear on that. That's why I'm asking the
question.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. Okay.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other discussion on --

AUDIENCE MEMBER: Can you read that again?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Read it again?

AUDIENCE MEMBER: You need to read this.

MS. ALLEN: We're not allowed to interrupt them.

MAYOR HUBBARD: No.

MS. ALLEN: Be quiet.

MAYOR HUBBARD: It's right in the agenda. If you read the agenda, Item 20 is just the floating docks. There's no bulkhead listed on there. We're not voting on a bulkhead.

MS. WADE: The wetlands permit goes to the --

MAYOR HUBBARD: We're approving what is listed in the agenda, that's all we're approving. That's what he asked for. That's what's --

MR. WEISS: The public hearing had bulkheads.

MAYOR HUBBARD: What's that?

MR. WEISS: The public hearing had
bulkheads.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The public hearing -- what we have here is what we're voting. There is no bulkhead on this.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: A 6-foot-long platform leading to a 3-foot-wide ramp, a 20-foot-wide float. There's no -- there's no mention of bulkhead in this.

MR. WEISS: Well, the public hearing had bulkheads and dredging.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Dredging?

MAYOR HUBBARD: I never heard about dredging either.

MR. WEISS: It was 15-foot dredging. Remember, we discussed that?

MS. WADE: But, George --

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Just give the Village Administrator a moment to clarify what's on the agenda. This is -- this is what we're voting on is what's on here. People keep bringing up all kinds of other things. We're voting on this right here, that's all that's on the agenda.

MR. PALLAS: My memory is that the bulkhead work had been done previously, if I remember
correctly.

MR. SALADINO: The bulkhead work --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: There's another member of the CAC is here, if I may.

MR. SALADINO: When we made -- I'm sorry.

When we made the site inspection, only 135 feet of the east end of the property required the bulkhead. The other hundred and something feet was previously done. When the CAC did the site inspection, we looked at the 135 feet of the east end of the bulkhead and that seemed fine.

And as far as the docks and the floating docks, he had told us that was previously done. We didn't consider that. And as far as the floating dock, all he was doing was relocating a pile, a piling at the end to straighten the dock out. There was no new dock, there was no new walkways. All the CAC -- all the CAC said -- took into consideration was 135 -- 134 feet of bulkhead and the relocation of three pilings to straighten the floating docks out, or four pilings to straighten out the floating docks.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: That's right.

MR. WEISS: The public notice reads, the language of the public notice reflects that --
MAYOR HUBBARD: All right. Just we can't -- everybody can't be hollering back and forth. Just the Village Attorney wants to say something on the application.

MR. PROKOP: The language of the public notice -- the language of the public notice of the public hearing reflects exactly the notice -- the language of the resolution and does not mention bulkhead.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct.

MR. PROKOP: It mentions timber piles, which are going to secure the floating dock -- the floats, excuse me, the floats, but it does not mention bulkhead.

MR. WEISS: Doesn't talk about raising it 18 inches? Doesn't talk about putting the --

ATTORNEY PROKOP: No, it's exactly the language.

MR. WEISS: -- in front of the steel?

ATTORNEY PROKOP: No.

MR. WEISS: Doesn't talk about 15 feet of dredging?

MS. WADE: Was it part of 19 rather than 20?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.
MR. PROKOP: So what's before the Board is the language that's in the resolution.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. All we're voting on is the work on the pilings and the floating docks. That's all that's being approved at this time, nothing else is being approved.

MS. WADE: Can I ask a question?

MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct?

MS. ALLEN: No.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That's --

MR. PALLAS: That's the resolution on the -- the agenda item --

MAYOR HUBBARD: All right.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We'll review the file in detail to see if -- if he was looking for the rest of it, it would have to be a separate hearing for that if there is.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Was there not correspondence from him that stated that he was only doing the --

MR. PALLAS: Again, there's a tremendous amount of documents there to review and I can't do that in a minute.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: No. And I pulled it --

I pulled it out. Is he in a hurry to do this
work right now, or can we table this until we get a clarification?

MR. PALLAS: If he -- that's up to you.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Well, no.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I didn't -- I didn't have any discussion with him about what his needs are.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. I mean, if it's the feeling of the Board, if -- I mean, you can table it, but this has got nothing to do with bulkhead or any of the other stuff that's being mentioned right now. This is what was in the application.

When we had the public hearing last month, the conversation got waylaid into other things right from the beginning from the first speaker moving forward of talking about different things, and I was trying to keep everybody focused just on the public hearing on this part of the wetlands permit application. That's what I was trying to do when we had that and just to focus on the one thing. The building and all the other stuff is a different issue than what this is. This application was just on the floating docks and the pilings.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: That's my recollection of
that.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I understand, but I do recollect discussion of depth of dredging and bulkhead heights, per Steve's memory. I can't find it in my paperwork either, but that's my recollection. And I can't now remember how -- if that came up as a conversation point, because this project has so much energy behind it --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Correct.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: -- from the public, or whether it was in a document. So now I'm not clear. I'm sorry.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Uh-huh.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I recall the Mayor trying to keep the conversation centered around the floating docks.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I do distinctly remember that as well.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Yes, so.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: But we discussed bulkhead, bulkhead heights. I remember 18 inches, I remember dredging depths for the floating docks.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay.

MR. PALLAS: My only -- my only suggestion, if the Village Attorney concurs, is if additional
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work was missed, for whatever reason, the docks are definitely part of it. The bulkhead may or may not be, we have to review it. It would need a -- in any event, if you voted on the docks and he did intend to do the bulkhead, that would just have to be re-noticed as a separate hearing.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That would have to be a separate hearing and everything else --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct.

MAYOR HUBBARD: -- if he's going to replace part of the bulkhead.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Because this is all that we had the public -- we noticed the public hearing last month, we discussed it at the work session, and what we were talking about is just what's on the agenda now.

MR. PALLAS: Correct.

MAYOR HUBBARD: That matches the public hearing, it matches what we discussed at work session, it matches what we're going to vote on this evening.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Is that correct, Joe?

MR. PROKOP: Yes, that's correct.
MAYOR HUBBARD: So if we vote and approve the wetlands permit for the floating docks, the ramps and that stuff, that's all we're approving at this point.

MR. WEISS: I think you're cherry-picking.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Is everybody comfortable with that?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: I'm very comfortable with it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yeah.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: I'm comfortable with it.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I'm comfortable.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Opposed.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Four in favor, one opposed. Motion is carried for them to go ahead and do the floats and ramps only.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-21, RESOLUTION authorizing the annual solicitation of bids for the delivery of unleaded (87 octane)
gasoline to various locations throughout the
Village of Greenport, and directing Clerk Pirillo
to notice the solicitation of bids accordingly.
So moved.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: RESOLUTION #11-2019-22,
Authorizing the annual solicitation of bids for
the delivery of No. 2 heating oil to various
locations throughout the Village of Greenport,
and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the
solicitation of bids accordingly. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-23,
RESOLUTION authorizing the annual solicitation of
bids for the delivery of diesel fuel to various
locations throughout the Village of Greenport,
and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the
solicitation of bids accordingly. So moved.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #11-2019-24,
RESOLUTION authorizing the solicitation of quotes
for a 10-yard dump truck and driver to assist the
Village with the removal and disposal of snow as
needed, and directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the
Request for Quotations accordingly. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-25,

RESOLUTION authorizing the solicitation of quotes
for a requirement contractor, with a unit price
schedule for hourly, half-day and daily rates for
one (1) worker, one (1) worker with a back hoe,
two (2) workers, and two (2) workers with a back
hoe, including regular time and overtime, and
directing Clerk Pirillo to notice the Request for
Quotations accordingly. So moved.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: RESOLUTION #11-2019-26,
Approving the attached contract between the
Village of Greenport and the Greenport Hockey
Club, Ltd. For the use of the Village of
Greenport Ice Rink and its associated equipment,
and authorizing Mayor Hubbard to sign the
contract between the Village of Greenport and the
Greenport Hockey Club, Ltd. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-27,
RESOLUTION approving the attached contract between the Village of Greenport and the Greenport Open Hockey League for the use of the Village of Greenport Ice Rink and associated equipment, and authorizing Mayor Hubbard to sign the contract between the Village of Greenport and the Greenport Open Hockey League. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #11-2019-28, RESOLUTION approving the proposed Inter-Municipal Agreement between the Village of Greenport and the Greenport Union Free School District for a joint program from 12:30 p.m. through 3:00 p.m. on: December 26th, 27th / January 2nd, 2020 / January 3rd, 2020 / February 18th, 2020 through February 21st, 2020 and April 6th, 2020 through
April 9th, 2020 at the Greenport School, and
authorizing Mayor Hubbard to sign the Inter-
Municipal Agreement between the Village of
Greenport and the Greenport Union Free School
District. So moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-29,
RESOLUTION authorizing the issuance of a check
made payable to Paul Pallas as Village
Administrator, in the amount of $1,000.00, to be
used to set up the required cash drawer / banks
for the operation of the Village of Greenport
ice skating rink. So moved.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: RESOLUTION #11-2019-30,
Ratifying the attendance of Clerk Pirillo at the
Long Island Village Clerks and Treasurers
Association meeting on November 14th, 2019 in
Riverhead, New York with the $35.00 registration
fee and all applicable travel costs in accordance
with the official Village of Greenport Travel
Policy to be reimbursed from account A.1410.400
(Clerk Contractual Expense). So moved.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.
MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?
TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.
TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.
TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.
TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.
MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.
Opposed?
(No Response)
MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-31,

RESOLUTION approving Order on Consent resolving
and settling NYS DEC Case No. RI-20190620-150 and
authorizing Mayor Hubbard to execute any
documents required for the completion of the
Order on Consent. So moved.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: RESOLUTION #11-2019-32,

RESOLUTION accepting the attached proposal from
J.R. Holzmacher P.E., LLC for professional
engineering and consulting services to assist the
Village of Greenport Building Department with
plan review and inspection services for any
application and approved development per the
Village of Greenport Code and the Uniform
Building and Fire Prevention Codes, for the
property located at 123 Sterling Avenue,
Greenport, New York. Applicant Paul Pawlowski
agrees to reimburse the Village for all costs
incurred by the Village with respect to the
Holzmacher services for this project, with the
Village to be reimbursed by payments from an
escrow account controlled by the Village that
will be established for that purpose and which
will be funded by 123 Sterling Avenue, LLC. So
moved.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Second. And I have a
procedure question. The contact point for the
community and anybody within the Village having
questions about what's going on will be directed
to Village Hall and not contacting Holzmacher,
correct?

MAYOR HUBBARD: They should be going to the
Village Administrator and the Building
Department.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right.
That's -- I just wanted to make that clear. I
just want --

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yeah, the point person
would be the Village Administrator. Paul Pallas
would be the person, because he's going to be the one that authorizes what to review, what not to review, and what they're supposed to be doing.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Yes.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Just because, you know, somebody just says, "I want you to go look at that," they can't contact them and tell them to do it, it has to be run through the Village Administrator's Office.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. I just wanted to make the public aware of that.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: That's --

MAYOR HUBBARD: So any questions, comments will all be directed to Paul, and Mr. Pallas will make sure they get forwarded and gets answers on them.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Okay. All right. Okay. All right. Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Any other discussion?

TRUSTEE CLARKE: I did have a question from tonight's conversation of whether the scope of this contract should be modified and any consideration to additional points that were brought up, or is, in our Board's opinion, the
scope of prior construction issues, prior
construction, inspection and other notes of
compaction, concrete, and backfilling, and
heights of -- I forgot the word, I beg your
pardon.

MAYOR HUBBARD: The height and the
floodplain, the elevation and the floodplain.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Elevations, the change of
elevations, if any of that should be added into
the contract as a check and balance for
additional confidence and additional security
that everything heretofore has been to all
specifications and site plans and agreements
previously noted.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Right. Well, my feeling on
this is that we're hiring them to assist the
Building Department, so it's an application
before the Planning Board. If they're going to
be the ones that are dealing with the elevation
issue, then these people would be consulted to
deal with that issue before the Planning Board
when they request it, when the application is
there. And then if they're going to change the
height, it has to go to the Zoning Board, they
would also work with the Zoning Board to give
them interpretations. So all our statutory Boards would have use of their services.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: So, Mayor, can I ask a question? Perhaps Village Administrator Pallas can answer this one. If the property owner decides to go through the process of the Planning Board and the ZBA, will there be -- the work will stop down on the site?

MR. PALLAS: I mean, it's not an easy -- it depends. I mean, if he's keeping the frame the same and as the building permit, and it's just interior changes to convert the first floor commercial to residential, it would be whether or not the existing plans would accommodate that. If they do, then there would be no need to stop, because he has a valid building permit.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: I understand he has a valid building permit, okay, but he's -- I'm sorry, he's playing both sides of the field. And either he's going to finish going through with the process of the Planning Board, or he's going to finish doing his building, one or the other. He has -- he's got -- he has got not only the neighborhood in limbo, he's got us in limbo. I'm sorry, that's how I see it. And if he's
going to be changing to residential use, I'm assuming that that change is some of what he's done with the foundation with some type of changes for breakaway.

I mean, the floodplain that they were speaking about, in all honesty, Stirling Condos down there are going to be all underwater anyway. They're in the same position that he's in.

So that's why I'm asking, is we all want this to go forward, we want the public to be -- have faith in us and we have faith in the applicant, so that's why I'm asking the questions.

If he's -- if for some reason Holzmacher finds something, we are going to stop him and make him correct it, correct, on some points?

MR. PALLAS: Of course. If there's something that doesn't meet code, he would be required to mitigate it, yes.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Right. But if -- you know, if he goes to the Planning Board and decides to change things, is that going to stop the construction for a moment until he gets permission?

MR. PALLAS: Again --
MR. PROKOP: No. If the -- if he has an existing building permit, then he could continue to construct, as long as he's within -- in compliance with that permit and the code. It's at his -- that's what we discussed.

And then I wanted to say two things. I wanted to make a comment that the Building Inspector operate -- the Building Department operates independently. As an official, he can take advice, but he'll be making the decision.

And then the other thing is with regard to this proposal, the Board is accepting the proposal tonight -- excuse me. The Board will vote on the proposal tonight. We have a standard contract form that the Village uses that the proposal will get attached to. And if you'd like other -- there's a line or two of conformance language that you would like in it, I can put that language in the contract, if you -- if the Board wants.

But I don't see anything that's been mentioned tonight that I wouldn't consider to all be within the scope of his duties, as far as making sure that the existing building, the existing building permit, and the -- any future
work is in compliance with all relevant codes. I believe that that's covered by the scope as it is.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Joe, that's the way I read this, too. I mean, it basically states to assist the Greenport Building Department with plan review and inspection services for any application and approved development per the Village of Greenport Code and the Uniform Building and Fire Prevention Codes for the property located at 123 Sterling Avenue, Greenport, New York. I think that's kind of all encompassing, it includes everything. That's the way I'm reading it.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Thank you.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Okay. Any other discussion?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTIOLTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?
(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: RESOLUTION #11-2019-33, RESOLUTION approving all checks per the Voucher Summary Report dated November 22nd, 2019, in the total amount of $826,271.51 consisting of:

- All regular checks in the amount of $702,606.60, and
- All prepaid checks (including wire transfers) in the amount of $123,664.91.

So moved.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried.

All right. I just want to wish everybody a Happy Thanksgiving. Enjoy your family and friends. Enjoy the holiday.

And I will offer a motion to adjourn at
8:40. So moved.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Second.

MAYOR HUBBARD: All in favor?

TRUSTEE MARTILOTTA: Aye.

TRUSTEE CLARKE: Aye.

TRUSTEE PHILLIPS: Aye.

TRUSTEE ROBINS: Aye.

MAYOR HUBBARD: Aye.

Opposed?

(No Response)

MAYOR HUBBARD: Motion carried. We're adjourned. Thank you.

(Time Noted: 8:40 p.m.)
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