1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
2	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
3	
4	REGULAR MEETING
5	April 16, 2014
6	5:00 p.m.
7	
8	
9	Meeting held at the Greenport Firehouse
10	236 Third Street, Greenport, New York 11944
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	Douglas Moore - Chairman
14	David Corwin
15	Charles Benjamin
16	Ellen Neff
17	
18	David Abatelli – Village Administrator
19	
20	
21	

April 16, 2014

1	(whereupon, the meeting was called to order
2	at 5:05 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think we can begin.
4	This will be the regular meeting of the Greenport
5	Zoning Board of Appeals, and it's about
6	five-after-five.
7	Just to let folks know, if you're not
8	familiar with tonight's agenda, if anyone came
9	for information, continuing discussions about the
10	Sommer application, which I think is North
11	Street, or Costello on Main and Broadway, those
12	both have been withdrawn, so they won't be
13	discussed. I don't know if anyone was here for
14	those issues or not, in case you were.
15	Just as a little bit of housekeeping to
16	start, I wanted to remind the Board Members that
17	there is a training event May 14th, and I've been

18	trying to find out if we need to tell them in
19	advance who might be coming. But if you could
20	let me know in the next week or so if you would
21	want to come, it's May 14th in Riverhead at 5:30
22	until 9:30.
23	MR. BENJAMIN: Yeah. I won't be here.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah. So if you could
25	iust catch up to me and let me know.

3

April 16, 2014

1 MS. NEFF: I could come the 14th. 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. And that will 3 satisfy the training requirements for this calendar year, I believe. I don't know if you're 4 5 caught up by doing any online, or you'd like to 6 attend the meeting. MR. CORWIN: Well, my question is what's 7 8 going to be the agenda? CHAIRMAN MOORE: I haven't seen it. 9 10 Because the last couple of MR. CORWIN: years, they've been -- I certainly haven't 11 12 learned anything in the last couple of years I've 13 gone.

14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Well, I'll try and
15	find out the agenda, then. I had looked at
16	the I didn't look at the website, but I looked
17	at the bulletin that was sent and it didn't
18	indicate the agenda.
19	MS. NEFF: Do you know what time it is,
20	like six?
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: 5:30 to 9:30 on that.
22	MS. NEFF: 5:30, okay. Thank you.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's a Wednesday, I
24	believe, and it doesn't conflict with our
25	meeting, which would be the following week in May.

1	So the first item on the agenda is a public
2	hearing, and this is for a request from the
3	Planning Board for an interpretation of Section
4	159-9 A & B, Permitted and Conditional Uses in
5	the Retail Commercial District. The Planning
6	Board is considering an application for
7	establishment of a car service, taxi service,
8	proposed to be located on a parcel designated as
9	Retail Commercial.

And this is the property of the store,
which is named —— the name is pronounced Layyah,
I believe, and it was noticed in the Suffolk
Times. Also, the adjoining properties were
noticed, and I believe I have them here. It is
the Congregation Israel on 519 Fourth Street;
John Williams, 403 Front Street; West Dublin
Reality, 400 Fourth Street; Greenporter Land,
LLC, 202 Front Street; Simpson Management Trust,
329 Front Street, and Cadden, 520 Fourth Street.
So those were the properties that were notified,
and we do have the mail receipts here. It was
also placarded, I believe. There was a sign on
the property concerning the public hearing.
And I'd like to point out that this public

hearing is a technical question concerning

whether the activity that's proposed to the
Planning Board is permitted by that section of
the code applying to Commercial Retail, it's not
about the merits of this particular application
before the Planning Board. With that in mind, if

6	anyone from the public would like to speak, we
7	could take comment.
8	MS. GREENBERG: Hello. My name is Adrianne
9	Greenberg. I'm the President of Congregation
10	Tifereth Israel right opposite Layyah.
11	Our synagogue is I have a letter in
12	which I will give the Board. "Our synagogue is
13	located at 519 Fourth Street and we are a close
14	neighbor to Layyah. Since its opening, we have
15	noted the following negative items:
16	Marked increase in rubbish in the
17	neighbor's property located to the south of
18	Layyah and in our park, which is across Fourth
19	Street from the business. This includes garbage,
20	wrappings, multiple cans, alcoholic and
21	nonalcoholic.
22	Preponderance of people hanging out at
23	night. It's been observed and reported that
24	illicit drugs have been sold, transferred. One
25	death has been reported on the property.

person has been urinating in the property during
the daylight hours, suggesting that the clientele
just don't feel that they're being monitored.

Employees have not been helpful when complaints have been made.

The resale value of the property to the south has significantly declined.

The dumpster located to the south is often not closed and garbage is seen outside of it."

In this letter, we said no garbage receptacle is placed. I notice that there is a garbage receptacle placed now in front of the business, but we still have wrappers and tossed debris throughout the whole parking lot. There's one garbage disposal, and people tend go to their cars, unwrap and just toss.

"If the car service vehicles are to be parked to the south of the building, which I understand from Eileen Wingate this is what is supposed to be happening, where does the dumpster for garbage get placed? A worsening unhealthy scenario will unfold with increased vermin.

If the car service is to be parked to the south of the building, doesn't that extend the

T	business line and place it too close to the
2	neighbor's line? Ten feet? I don't even think
3	that's 10 feet.
4	Parked cars only lead to damage from
5	vandals. Given the amount of gasoline and
6	possibility of leaking oil and petroleum products
7	from such vehicles, one might anticipate a
8	possible fire hazard. And, certainly, the
9	Greenport Fire Department should weigh in on this
10	issue, particularly since they are the ones
11	who'll be called such in such a disaster.
12	Are there New York State ordinances with
13	reference to such car depots that need to be
14	investigated? Are there Southold ordinances?
15	If there is any approval of if there is
16	an approval of this request, I think it should be
17	time-limited, and I think there should be a
18	possibility that it be monitored to note the
19	physical condition surrounding the property.
20	Layyah was accepted, it was pushed through
21	somehow, but the place is uncared for and it's
22	very obvious. You just drive up and you see the

place is dirty and it's embarrassing, and it's not a good reflection to the Village that we really love.

April 16, 2014

8

1 Since this opening, we have not noticed any 2 positive improvements to the area and to our neighborhood. 3 Respectfully submitted, Adrianne Greenberg, 4 President of Congregation Tifereth Israel." 5 6 Thank you. 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you. Would any 8 other members of the public like to speak? 9 MR. WILLIAMS: Yup. My name is John B. Williams, Jr. I've got a procedural question. 10 11 MR. CORWIN: Could you say that slower? MR. WILLIAMS: John B. Williams, Jr. 12 13 MR. CORWIN: Thank you. 14 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Sorry. I have kind 15 of a procedural question to ask. I'm kind of 16 unfamiliar with this process. Does Layyah currently have a provisional or conditional 17 permission to operate a car service at this time? 18

L9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's my understanding that
20	they have a proposal before the Planning Board.
21	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And the reason for the
23	meeting tonight is for us to provide input to the
24	Planning Board as to whether the code will
25	support this type of activity.

9

April 16, 2014

1 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. CHAIRMAN MOORE: So they have, I think, 2 abstained from going forward at this point 3 4 without an interpretation of the code from us. 5 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So, again, as I would like 7 to mention, we're not judging the merits of the 8 service, simply whether it -- whether it could be 9 allowed or not. 10 MR. WILLIAMS: I understand, it's not about the merits, it's about procedure and legality --11 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. MR. WILLIAMS: -- as I see it. And maybe 13 you can give me an explanation. Maybe the 14

proprietor can give me an explanation.

For at least a month there has been a taxicab parked there with a taxi sign on it and a Layyah Taxi Service sign on both sides. I've observed it many, many times. I've seen the same taxi dropping off passengers at the Shelter Island Ferry. And it's my understanding there's a flier for the taxi service or the car service out at the ferry in Orient Point.

And I guess what I'm wondering is if Layyah
does not, in fact, have any legal permission to

April 16, 2014

10

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

9

10

1 do this, or any provisional permission to do 2 this, how does this come to happen, and why? Is 3 he -- in other words, one could conclude that 4 somebody can just come in, open a business, and 5 essentially laugh at our rules, and our ordinances, and our ways of doing things, while 6 7 those of us who play by the rules sit by 8 disappointed at best.

So I have nothing against a car service in Greenport. I know the proprietor here is a nice

	11	guy, but, you know, I have yet to how did this
	12	happen? It's my understanding that when they
0,	13	opened up the first time, they did without a C of
	14	and don't even know if they have one now. Does
	15	the Zoning Board know if Layyah does, in fact,
	16	have a C of 0?
	17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I wouldn't know.
	18	MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Anyway, it's a
	19	travesty if, you know, somebody could just come
	20	in and do this. And, you know, how does that
	21	attitude then translate into how one runs a
	22	business, respects authority, that kind of thing?
	23	So I would like very much to know what the
	24	legal situation is here. Do they have permission
	25	to run already a run a business that they're

11

theoretically asking permission to run? And if
someone can give me an answer at some point, I'd
love to know. Thank you very much.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you. Any other
members of the public who would like to speak?

6 Yes.

MS. CADDEN: Hi. My name this is Jeanne
Cadden. I am the neighbor just to the south of
Layyah. I don't live on the property. We bought
it as an investment, and we built the house just
south of that house. Everything that we've done
there we tried to do in keeping with the Village
and with respect for the property. In the last
eight months, we've renovated the house and we've
done extensive work inside and outside. And
every real estate person I've spoke to has said,
"You're going to have a very tough sell there,"
because of the convenience store, and
particularly because of the way that it's kept.
So it's frustrating, because it really is a big
investment for us, and we don't know what to do
about it.
I have tried to go over to the store a
counle of times but I haven't seen the owner

couple of times, but I haven't seen the owner there or the tenant there. And I would like to

April 16, 2014

- have an opportunity to talk to him, because I
- 2 know I did talk to him. Was it you? Yeah.

So — and he was always accommodating, but I don't know why he doesn't take care of his property.

So for the last, like I said, eight months, we've been working on the house, and I come out a couple of times a week, and the first thing I do is get out of my truck and walk along my property and pick up all the garbage, and then I walk around to his property and pick up all the garbage on that property. And, you know, I have a picture right here just from two days ago, and I had picked up the garbage four days ago, and then two days ago I went and I picked it up again, had a piece of cardboard that had flown over, put all the garbage on top of that.

So that and many — you know, many other things, the care of the plants, the planters. There's no curbing there. I don't know why there was ever — no required curbing there. There is in any other — every other municipality on commercial property like that, but there's no curbing anywhere, so there's no protection for us in terms of runoff, snow pileup. You know, the

plants that are put in are completely run over.

2	And it amazes me.
3	This I don't live here. This is your
4	Village. You know, this is the gateway to your
5	Village, and as you drive in, this is what you
6	see, seven or eight holly plants that are
7	completely run over, the black plastic pulled up
8	from the garden all flying in the wind, the
9	puddles from the divots in the macadam and the
10	soil where there's garbage floating in it, and
11	every day I go there and I pick it up. So I just
12	don't understand why that's allowed to happen.
13	I hope that you will consider at least
14	inspecting the property periodically, and
15	inspecting the noise factor from the fans behind.
16	I don't know if they're appropriate or not. They
17	seem loud to me, but they may not be. I mean, I
18	did buy next to commercial property, but this is
19	a complete change of use of what was there. And
20	it's very frustrating, because there doesn't seem
21	to be any pride in the care of the property.
22	So, if you can't maintain what's there now,
23	why would anybody be entertaining putting an

additional — an additional business there? And I don't begrudge anyone for running a taxi or,

April 16, 2014

14

19

1 you know, making a living, there's no problem 2 there, but just conform to what's supposed to be there. Put your ingress and egress where you 3 have -- supposed to have an exit only. Make sure 4 5 people only use it as an exit, not an entrance and exit, not flying in and out. 6 7 That driveway is right next door to my 8 driveway. There are children that are walking 9 past there all the time because there's no 10 sidewalk. It's dangerous. The bus comes around. 11 I have a picture of it from today; almost hit me 12 today coming around that curve. 13 So these things should be considered. 14 You're here to protect the residents, not just one, you know, business, but all of the 15 residents, and every one of us. You know, the 16 Temple, John and Jane, you know, that affects us. 17 What you do on your property affects us 18

tremendously. So, if you're going to entertain

20	putting another business in there, please
21	consider what's happening now on the property.
22	And just as a side note, I'm a landscape
23	designer. I would be happy to propose anything
24	for you to make to beautify it, and I would do
25	it for free just to have it look attractive, so I

can sell my home and, you know, give someone a

So that's really all I have to say. If you guys are interested in seeing any of these pictures of the garbage that I've collected, you're — you know, it's all here. And I would like to continue, if it's okay with the tenant, the owner, or whoever, I'd like to continue to pick up the garbage. The only problem is I'm not going to be here that much longer, because I'm — this is my busy season, so I won't be out here as often. But I'd like to continue to pick up the garbage and, you know, just have it as attractive as possible. I'd also like to plant along the

fence, some small planting along the fence that's

10	on my border just to beautity it, but that's
17	another thing. It's a little hard when the snow
18	is piled all up against the fence and the
19	snowplow plows into the fence, so now every
20	single fence all the way down is busted. So, you
21	know, that's a little frustrating, too.
22	MS. GREENBERG: That's on the Layyah side
23	pushing into your property.
24	MS. CADDEN: Pushing into my property,

25

10

11

16

April 16, 2014

which, by the way, I have 65 evergreens planted

1 there to mitigate all of the debris that used to 2 come under the fence. Now I raised the grade up 3 by 120 yards of soil, which is a lot of soil, and planted 35 along that border just to keep the 4 garbage from coming in, because there was never 5 6 any curb put in to protect our property, so -and I don't -- you know, I -- I'm not trying to 7 create more problems, I'm just trying to state 8 9 facts and the unfairness of what's going on.

Anyway, thank you.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Any other members of the

12	public wish to speak?
13	MR. GOLDMAN: Yeah, I would like to say
14	something. My name is Philip Goldman, and I am a
15	member of Congregation Tifereth Israel. I
16	would just like to comment that the traffic
17	coming off that property, the traffic from the
18	synagogue and the Jittney bus coming down that
19	street, sometimes it gets a bit hairy. The
20	school children from Greenport School walk from
21	where they go to eat lunch right through the same
22	space. It gets dangerous sometimes.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you. Any other
24	members of the public wish to speak?
25	(No response.)

nublic wish to speak?

April 16, 2014

17

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: If not, would the Board offer a motion? 2 MR. CORWIN: Just before we close, I just 3 4 want to make clear that all these comments are 5 germane to the question of locating a taxi 6 service there, but what we're here for tonight is to interpret the code. So I'm not sure that what 7

8	we've heard necessarily applies; am I correct in
9	that, Mr. Chairman?
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: That's correct. And I
11	would recommend that you retain your information,
12	and there will be a time before the Planning
13	Board, presumably, that this issue will come up,
14	and that's probably the more appropriate place to
15	make those comments, and that's regarding the
16	project itself.
17	I'm not sure in all cases the Planning
18	Board notices conforming uses, but perhaps a
19	request could be made that the notice is provided
20	to the community regarding the project.
21	MR. ABATELLI: I think you also could just,
22	or we could, you know, just have the Planning
23	Board look at these minutes.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Sure.
25	MR. ABATELLI: I mean, aside from

1	CHAIRMAN MO	ORE: And	they'll	be available
2	because and yo	u can get	them	
3	MR. ABATELL	I: And t	hen they	could go to

4	the meeting, but the Planning Board meetings							
5	aren't noticed, other than just that they're							
6	you don't get a notice to your house. They're							
7	noticed in the paper and the usual places.							
8	MS. GREENBERG: I don't understand. Are							
9	you saying I need that to be repeated. I'm							
10	sorry.							
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Perhaps I could explain							
12	the mechanics of what this is.							
13	MS. GREENBERG: Yes.							
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: This would normally be an							
15	issue directly before the Planning Board.							
16	MS. GREENBERG: Okay.							
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I believe, if our							
18	interpretation follows, you know, this is							
19	presuming it is an activity that's part of the							
20	allowances in the district that applies, which is							
21	a Retail Commercial, the Planning Board would							
22	deal with the matter and approve a site plan and							
23	go through the process, or not approve a site							
24	plan.							
25	They have asked us for an interpretation,							

- because Mr. Prokop, during their meeting
- 2 apparently advised them that this activity may
- 3 not be supported by the code for this district,
- 4 and so they've referred it to us.
- 5 MS. GREENBERG: I see.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: If we should decide that
- 7 this does not meet the code requirements, then a
- 8 use variance would have to be applied for, again,
- 9 back to the Zoning Board of appeals before the
- 10 Planning Board could move forward. If we
- determine that this is permissible under the
- 12 code, it just is returned to the Planning Board
- for their consideration and the process
- 14 continues.
- 15 So there will be, you know, ample
- opportunities for the public to speak regarding
- 17 the actual merits of the application and issues
- 18 at the site that this activity could presumably
- 19 complicate it.
- 20 MS. GREENBERG: Would we -- how would we
- 21 know? Is there a way for us to know when such a
- 22 meeting would be for us to appear?
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The Planning Board's
- 24 agenda is usually published within a day or two

20

1 website, the Village website. So I would keep a 2 keen eye out. And then ask -- you know, Greenport's a small town. Ask around when the 3 meeting is. And the Chair is Peter Jauquet. 4 5 MR. ABATELLI: Right. But the calendar is --6 MS. NEFF: When are the regular scheduled 7 meetings? MR. ABATELLI: The regular meetings are the 9 last Thursday and the first Thursday of the 10 month. But to note, the last Thursday of the 11 month and the first. They have a work session, 12 then a regular meeting. But if you want to know 13 for sure that this is on the agenda, you'd have 14 to either look at the website just before the 15 meeting, or you could just call or come to the Village and ask somebody. It probably will be. 16 17 MS. NEFF: In our meetings, again, this would only come to us. Depending on what happens 18 today, they would have to apply, the owner would 19 20 apply for a use variance; is that correct?

22	MS. NEFF: That would come to us only at
23	our regular meeting, which is always the third
24	Wednesday. And it could be the very next one,
25	but it might not be. But there we only meet
	April 16, 2014
1	on the third Wednesday.
	·
2	MS. GREENBERG: Okay.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Not simple, but a regular
4	process that occurs.
5	MS. GREENBERG: But, in the meantime, we
6	should not be seeing any taxis picking up and
7	delivering people and/or parking in Layyah's?
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm not certain of the
9	details of what can and can't be done, but you
10	can certainly inquire with the Building
11	Department and Code Enforcement regarding that.
12	That would be the appropriate place to ask if you
13	think something inappropriate is going on.
14	MS. GREENBERG: Okay. Thank you.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And any issues on a
16	property that has maintenance issues, you know,

CHAIRMAN MOORE: (Nodded yes.)

17	that's the place to go to. That's certainly what
18	I would do if I had trouble with a neighbor.
19	MS. GREENBERG: And the Chair of that?
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: That person is Eileen
21	Wingate in the Village office.
22	MS. GREENBERG: Okay. I believe that I
23	believe Eileen Wingate has been spoken to
24	already, apprised of the condition, no?
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. I think we'll have

22

April 16, 2014

1 to move forward now. MS. CADDEN: I've talked to Eileen on 2 occasion about -- you know, just about being a 3 4 neighbor to the property. But may I ask a 5 question? May I? CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, and if you can come 6 7 I assume it's procedural, something about 8 what has happened. 9 MS. CADDEN: Yeah. Well, that's what I'm not sure of. I don't know if this is considered 10 11 procedural. The car itself, the taxi, I don't know if 12

13	there's one of them, or if there's a fleet, or
14	what. I've seen, you know, a taxi in there often
15	lately. Where does it is it procedure? Where
16	does where is it parked? Where is it supposed
17	to park? I mean, is it supposed to have its own
18	because there's only five parking spots there,
19	I believe, and then there is one handicapped
20	spot.
21	You know, there's a code for the size of
22	the building and how many parking spots are

supposed to be there. They're under the amount

of parking spots as it is. So the next thing is,

and my question is, where are they going to park?

23

23

24

25

8

I don't know if you addressed this, Adrianne,

because I came late, but -
CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, you know, this is

getting off topic, because -
MS. CADDEN: Is it? Okay. I didn't know.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: I would just say that is

something that would come up with the Planning

Board.

9	MS. CADDEN: Planning Board, okay. Sorry.
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Very much so.
11	MS. GREENBERG: We'll discuss it.
12	MS. CADDEN: Okay.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I'm sorry. I'm not
14	trying to put you off, but it's just not part of
15	our job to do that.
16	I think we've had the full public input,
17	those who wish to speak. At this point, then,
18	I'd entertain a motion to close the public
19	hearing.
20	MR. CORWIN: So moved.
21	MR. BENJAMIN: The owner doesn't want to
22	speak?
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm not sure. Did to want
24	say something
25	MR. KHAN: Yes.

24

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- regarding our technical 2 interpretation?

3 MR. KHAN: Yes.

4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I hope you can -- I know

5	people	were	speaking	issues	besides	the	code

6	MR. KHAN:	No,	I'm not	going	to	go	out	of
7	the code.							

8	CHAIRMAN	MOORF:	Tf	VOII	can	keen	i+	hrief.
0	CHATCHAIN	HUUNL.	TI.	you	Call	vcch	ΤL	DITEL

9 MR. KHAN: My name is Imran Qasim Khan.

And before I started that taxi business, my taxi
business is still running. I'm taking the
customers and on and off we're dropping, taking

13 customers.

Before I started, I went to the Village
Office, I talked to Mr. Dave and Miss Eileen. I
told them I'm opening up a taxi business, is
there any law about the taxi business, opening a
taxi business? There is no — they don't have
anything in the Village. They don't have
anything in the Village.

I went to the Town of Southold, they gave me the same answer, they don't have any, because there's too many taxis working here. One of the taxis, they have the office in Southampton and they are taking care of the customers in the

1 North Fork.

And when I started and I talked to Eileen, she said there is no code, you don't need anything. And I told her, in my office, I'm going to make office. Basically everything is going to be on the phone, which I am using right now, everything on the phone. We don't need any office for the taxi, basically. And that's why I questioned. I asked her if I can put a small sign board on the building. That's why I gave the application to the Village, and I want to just make my office as a taxi office, in case if somebody need a taxi, they can come into the store. Otherwise, I don't need an office. And there's too many taxi services, they don't have office at all, they are doing it on the phone.

And there's too many other questions, but they were — my only answer for it, how are we going to take teach the customer they don't have to throw anything in the parking lot? I have the dumpster there. We clean the garbage every day. If somebody is just eating something and they throw the wrapper outside, we have to teach them?

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Well, thank you. I believe you do have an application for a site

21

1	plan review with the Planning Board; is that
2	correct? They wouldn't be hearing
3	MR. KAHN: No, there was nothing, only
4	about I was asking them to put the sign board
5	only. I came only for the sign board, not for
6	the taxi business.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Well, I'm not
8	familiar with exactly the details between the
9	Building Department and the Planning Board.
10	MR. KAHN: I talked to Mr. Dave and I
11	talked to Ms. Eileen, and she said they're not
12	supposed to put you into the Zoning Board
13	meeting, it's supposed to be everything's
14	supposed to be done in one meeting, which was the
15	first meeting. And she said, "I don't know if
16	they are not educated enough, the Planning Board,
17	why they put you over into the second session."
18	She said, "I was not there that time, I don't
19	know."
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, it's part of their

 $\label{eq:code} \mbox{right to seek an opinion of the code if they wish}$

to ask, and that's what they did. So we're 23 responding to the Planning Board regarding that. 0kay? 24 25 MR. KAHN: Thank you. April 16, 2014 1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. So, with that in 2 mind, I believe Mr. Corwin has made a motion to 3 close the public hearing. May I have a second, 4 please? 5 MS. NEFF: Second. CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor? 6 7 MR. CORWIN: Aye. 8 MS. NEFF: Aye. 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. 10 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye. 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Any opposed? 12 (No response.) 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: None. So it carries. And 14 so the public hearing is closed on that matter. 15 We're going to move into our regular 16 agenda.

MS. GREENBERG:

Thank you.

22

17

18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Sure, thanks.
19	MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think we can keep the
21	regular order. In deference to Mr. LaMaina from
22	Lucharitos, we were taking this item first on the
23	agenda and then would deal with the
24	interpretation.
25	Mr. LaMaina is not going to be present
	April 16, 2014
1	tonight, but it doesn't prevent us from
2	proceeding with our discussions regarding the
3	request he has for a sign variance.
4	Just to recap, we did ask for some input
5	from the Historic Preservation Commission, and
6	Mr. Uellendahl has responded by email, which we
7	received the 8th of April. And I'll just read
8	it, it's not too long.
9	"In response to your request to offer HPC
10	assistance regarding the sign application for the

Lucharitos Restaurant, located at 119 Main

2014. All HPC members were in attendance.

Street, I called a special meeting on March 10,

28

11

12

14 The agenda stated that the sign that was to 15 be approved had already been installed above the entry door of the restaurant without having 16 17 applied for a permit. The applicant, 18 Mr. LaMaina, was present. He explained to us the 19 details of the sign, the design regarding its illumination with additional flashing light 20 21 bulbs. We had a lively discussion about the 22 actual design, size and colors, and the affect 23 the sign would have on the community by having it 24 lit up according to the applicant's specifications. 25

April 16, 2014

1	The design of the sign seemed to be well
2	liked in its appearance while unlit. The
3	flashing part of the design was rejected
4	unanimously. However, a discussion ensued
5	whether we could permit to have the individual
6	light bulbs lit, not flashing, creating a dim
7	glowing effect.
8	I decided to offer two motions. Motion #1
9	to approve the sign as fabricated installed, but

10	under the condition that the sign would remain
11	dark. If desired, the sign could only be lit
12	from the outside by way of a sconce or similar
13	light source. If approved, the applicant would
14	not have to remove the sign. The motion carried
15	unanimously 5-0-0.
16	Motion #2, to approve the sign with very
17	limited lighting, meaning that the individual
18	light bulbs, as part of the sign, could only be

light bulbs, as part of the sign, could only be turned on when drastically dimmed, and under no circumstances would be flashing. The degree of the dimmed lighting appearance would be determined during the site visit after dark. The motion carried 4-0-1, that's with one no vote, because the light is recessed within the doorway and not visible when approaching the restaurant

April 16, 2014

30

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

5

1 via sidewalk.

2 Chairman Frank Uellendahl opposed the 3 motion based on the Village Code Section 4 150-15G(2), which prohibits illuminated and flashing signs. He did not want to set another

6	precedent for more lit signs in the Historic
7	District.
8	The applicant announced that he might
9	remove the sign from the outside and install it
10	inside the restaurant.
11	I hope this helps you in your
12	decision-making process. Best regards, Frank
13	Uellendahl."
14	So thank you, Frank, for writing that.
15	MR. UELLENDAHL: You're welcome.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Perhaps I could ask you,
17	since you're available, the discussion about
18	removing the sign from the outside to the inside,
19	was any location mentioned within the restaurant?
20	MR. UELLENDAHL: Frank Uellendahl, 123
21	Central Avenue, and also Chair of the Historic
22	Preservation Commission.
23	Well, this is something that going forward
24	we have to discuss amongst ourselves, because I
25	would hate to see that exact sign be put right in

31

1 the storefront behind the glass. And we have

other examples on Front Street across the street from the post office, next to Noah's, where we have those signs right behind the glass, and I would not like to see that in the Historic District. But then again, a lot of people don't know where the Historic District starts and where it ends. Unfortunately, it's only a part of Main Street, that portion of Main Street that belongs to the Historic District.

We did not discuss the exact location of the sign. I would prefer to, and this was sort of my understanding talking to Mr. LaMaina, that he would put the sign within the bar area on the inside and not right behind the storefront glass.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Thank you. So, to just continue that discussion, I had in mind to ask Mr. LaMaina that same basic question. And in the Village Code, under 150–15(B), which is actually the definition of the sign in the Sign Regulation Section, it refers to public display — publicly displayed out of doors or located indoors, but directly — directed out of doors, and particularly illuminated, which means a sign is still a sign if it's in the window, and that

applies.

21

1	So that if we were inclined not to approve
2	the sign and Mr. LaMaina wanted to put it inside,
3	the window location is not permissible by code,
4	so that would be restricted.
5	And since he's not here to offer what he
6	really intends to do with the sign, we have to
7	assume he still wishes it to be outside, and our
8	discussion should follow along those lines. So I
9	would like to hear from the Board.
10	We did have public testimony. Mr. Claudio
11	last two months ago indicated that he
12	supported the sign as lit, because it was not
13	terribly obtrusive, but he did not support the
14	flashing effect, and I think some of the Board
15	Members made comments in a similar fashion.
16	And the reference was made to the Las Vegas
17	effect, which I think everybody then gets an
18	image of what we're trying to avoid in the
19	Village, and the code does not support flashing
20	signs. So, in this case, we would be making an

exception, providing that the conditions of the

23	MR. BENJAMIN: Historic Board.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And to the Historic Board
25	as well. It was still come, I believe, before
	4 13 46 2044
	April 16, 2014
1	the Historic Board for your official review.
2	MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes, we were going to I
3	mean, we did approve the sign lit in my second
4	in our second motion, but at a very dimmed sort
5	of, you know, illumination, and constant light,
6	not flashing, so because the lights are there,
7	and we like the sign, we like the colors, we like
8	the design, we approved that in our first motion.
9	But in the second motion, we wanted to make sure
10	that the lights would not be bright. And we were
11	going to look at this, if he decides to go ahead
12	with this.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: You would have to check to
14	see if your response to the ZBA also can register
15	as your actual review by the Historic
16	Preservation Commission.
17	MR. UFLLENDAHL: Yes. okav.

sign were agreeable to the Zoning Board.

18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So that you may have to
19	check with Mr. Prokop about whether that
20	constituted an official
21	MR. UELLENDAHL: We will do that.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: approval of that.
23	MR. UELLANDAHL: Okay. Thank you.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: My feeling is that I've
25	seen the sign. Mr. LaMaina has since last

April 16, 2014

month's meeting was cancelled, has reilluminated
the sign. We had asked him to not light the sign
until a decision was made. And I was able to see
this time that the sign actually is internally
illuminated, and fairly softly, but it is lit on
its own regard from within, plus the light bulbs
as decorations.

And I talked to him. I reminded him by

And I talked to him, I reminded him by phone call the night that we were having the meeting and he indicated he'd be unable to come. He actually indicated he did not know that at our previously meeting, that he only knew about the outside light bulbs. But my impression of the

14	sign is in the absence of the flashing lights,
15	and especially if the individual bulbs can be
16	toned down to be just low level illumination,
17	that it was really quite comfortable to me as far
18	as its visibility and
19	MR. UELLENDAHL: I would like to see that,
20	and I agree with that, yes.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And not more illuminated
22	than could be accomplished from external
23	lighting. So to me it seemed like a decent
24	compromise if we could work out that kind of a
25	detail

35

1 MR. UELLENDAHL: Right. And we decided, 2 and, I mean, I refrained from voting on this vote, or I was against it because of the code, 3 4 but I -- we looked at the situation. The sign is 5 really not visible as you walk down the sidewalk, 6 because it's recessed within the doorway. And 7 that's why we said there is no harm in having it dimly lit. 8 9

CHAIRMAN MOORE: And Mr. LaMaina could,

10	with appropriate applications, hang a bracket, an
11	overhead sign
12	MR. UELLENDAHL: Right
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: which could be
14	externally illuminated and actually be quite
15	bright.
16	MR. UELLENDAHL: Right, absolutely.
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So that I thought, again,
18	the position of the sign was not terribly
19	obtrusive.
20	MR. UELLENDAHL: Right.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Any other comments from
22	the Board Members on the sign?
23	MR. CORWIN: I'll make two comments. One
24	is that if you look in the Coronet Restaurant,
25	they have what Frank was just talking about, they

36

have a sign in the window that says "open" and
that flashes, and it's a very modest one. And
during the week, there's not a lot of people in
the Coronet Restaurant. It's really for the
vacationers, and the second homeowners patronize

it. But I don't know where the Coronet's little
sign begins, and if Mr. LaMaina was to put his
sign in the window, where it ends. But that's
always a problem. Let's not wrestle with that now.

As far as Mr. LaMaina's sign, when I looked at it yesterday, I didn't even notice that it was illuminated itself, but I did notice that it had — the lights were shifted from the way he originally had it, where they just ran around in a circle, and they're shifted now to light the top lights, then the bottom lights, then the top lights. And there's 40 light bulbs in that sign.

MS. NEFF: Wow.

MR. CORWIN: And I don't know what wattage they are, but my thinking is I have no objection to the sign, and I have no objection to him lighting the sign, the light bulbs in the sign. But I do have an objection to the flashing of the sign, which I think everybody's kind of coming to that conclusion. So that would probably be the

April 16, 2014

way I would want to go, that, provisionally, he

can turn on all the lights at once, but he can'thave a flashing sign.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I think another comment that you brought up about the signs in the windows is that the various signs indicating that the business is open, or Budweiser, or some other product being advertised is kind of uncharted territory as far as signs. I think the main focus has been on the store sign itself, the sign identifying the business.

It would probably be quite a dark village if none of these signs were illuminated. And, of course, as Mr. Corwin mentions, it would be hard to tell if the store might be open or not.

But, anyway, we're focusing on this particular sign variance request. And the rest of the Board Members, any additional comments, contradictions, feelings?

MR. BENJAMIN: Yeah. We, you know, had several signs come up before us, and for different reasons we chose to go different ways. The one for the movie theater, it was like an iconic sign, something of the past. It was like more like art work or something. And we also

1	rejected requests because somebody wanted to put
2	a sign, a lighted sign in Trader Bill's, and that
3	was for a different reason, and each one is a
4	case-by-case. And when a person comes up and
5	asks for a sign, especially after the fact, for
6	the reason of attracting customers, well, I
7	pretty much know the area and the people that go
8	to the restaurants don't go because of the sign,
9	they usually go because somebody told them, or
10	this is the latest spot to hang out.
11	So the reasons that are there for having
12	the sign, you know, to me, I don't know if they
13	make a lot of sense. But to give somebody
14	permission to, you know, do something against the
15	code, I would like to have a good reason for
16	that, you know. And so I see most of you are
17	accepting that reason. I'm not so sure about it.
18	But, definitely, when you have a sign like that,
19	it seems like that sign can be controlled, and it
20	can be flashing, or, you know, have all different
21	kinds of variations on the lights and not plain.
22	So I just think that if we're going to do it, it

24 Oh, yes. And the last thing is that the 25 lady on the corner that was around the bend, and April 16, 2014 1 nobody could see her, even, you know -- now that 2 was a good reason to have a sign. 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: You're referring to Scrimshaw. 4 5 MR. UELLENDAHL: Scrimshaw. 6 MR. BENJAMIN: Scrimshaw, yeah. 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. 8 MR. BENJAMIN: So she —— you know, she was 9 working hard to make a living and, you know, she had to get noticed, and that seems a little more 10 11 reasonable. 12 I don't know if that sign is going to bring 13 anymore business or not there. You know, I think 14 good food and good service and stuff will bring more people than a sign. So that's the 15 16 old-fashioned way. MR. CORWIN: I would make a comment. 17 18 MR. BENJAMIN: Yes.

23

39

has to be the least.

19	MR. CORWIN: When you drive by or even walk
20	by, but more drive by a place like LaMaina's,
21	it's kind of dark inside. I guess he wants it
22	that way. You can't tell that store is open
23	unless he's got that sign lit. That would be my
24	observation. And the same thing with the
25	Coronet, because there's no people in the

40

1 Coronet. You wonder, is that even open? 2 MR. BENJAMIN: Right. 3 MR. CORWIN: But when he's got that sign in 4 the window that says "open," well, then you can 5 make the determination, yeah, he's open. So I 6 think that's a legitimate reason to have a sign 7 that is lighted, because then somebody going by 8 can say it's open. 9 And I think, when that original code was 10 written, no self-illuminated signs --11 MR. BENJAMIN: Right. 12 MR. CORWIN: -- it was aimed at neon signs. MR. BENJAMIN: Neon. 13 MR. CORWIN: Because they were very big and 14

L6	MR. BENJAMIN: Right.
L7	MR. CORWIN: And I think that was written
L8	to get a handle on them. And, personally, I have
L9	no objection to neon signs that are done
20	tastefully like Scrimshaw's was. And then I
21	would have to extrapolate a little and say I
22	don't have an objection to LaMaina's sign if it's
23	tasteful. It's not tasteful when it's flashing.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think one of the things
25	ves do ahead blease

they were kind of a new thing then.

April 16, 2014

MS. NEFF: I think the thing that — the general agreement is, is not flashing is kind of easier to go with than any other set of words or paragraphs and that there's agreement about that. I also think the code, as it exists and it's implemented for the most part, that small is our friend. And that's incredibly important, that they're not huge signs. And we — that's in there, thank God. I think that with that, you know, that we can move on with this one, and

that I feel kind of that it hangs together, the interpretation of a sign that works.

And I think there are many times when people are walking around this Village, this is not one of them, this particular kind of day in April, when a lot of people have not been here 15 other times, or live here. And that for that purpose, signs are important, especially summer evenings. People walk all over, they see things they have a never seen before, and the lit sign is very helpful. So we want to help the business owners and I think this is a good compromise.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think another point to be made is that technology is changing. At our last meeting, a point was made, I believe, about

April 16, 2014

1 Crabby Jerry's having either an LED or some kind 2 of a light rope that decorates the sign. And 3 while it's not a lighted sign, it is additional 4 illumination around the border of the sign.

We recently approved the hospital'sreplacement signs, which is a totally different

7	type of sign, an internally lighted, but very
8	muted. That actually was my opinion, a big
9	improvement over the box signs that are being
10	removed.
11	So I think we have to recognize that there
12	are some changes in the way business lighting is
13	being done, and perhaps it would be a good
14	recommendation in our process to suggest that the
15	Village Board and the BID have some conversations
16	about self-regulation and limits to what should
17	be done as far as lighting. I mean, everybody
18	wants to do their own thing, but there has to be

So I think we're moving in the right
direction, but we'll have to do our test and see

and then it will be back to the code as

April 16, 2014

some unity. Or if everybody comes forward with

the latest lighted sign request, sooner or later,

you know, the patience for variances will run out

43

19

20

21

22

23

specified.

how this particular application comes out.

2 Would the Board support moving ahead with

```
4
                   decision?
           5
                         MS. NEFF: Yes.
           6
                         CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes? Okay. Very good.
           7
                         So the first activity is to make a motion,
                   which I'll do, to declare the Zoning Board of
           8
           9
                   Appeals Lead Agency, and by SEQRA regard this as
          10
                   a Type II Action. So moved. May I have a
          11
                   second, please?
          12
                         MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
                         CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
          13
          14
                         MR. CORWIN: Aye.
          15
                         MS. NEFF: Aye.
          16
                         MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
          17
                         CHAIRMAN MOORE: And aye.
                         So unanimous, so that carries.
          18
          19
                         And then we have the questions. The first --
yes?
                         MR. CORWIN: Now I'm not sure. Do we need
          20
          21
                   to know what the gist of the motion is going to
          22
                   be before we answer the questions?
          23
                         CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. I think that would
          24
                   be the thing. We could do a similar process that
                   the Historic Board did, which we could float a
          25
```

the questions and the process and making a

Т	motion to approve the sign as currently tit. but
2	I think we've moved beyond that point, and that
3	we would specify that the sign could be
4	illuminated according to its design internally
5	and be decorated by the border lights. But their
6	brightness would have to be determined at some
7	point down the road, and that under no
8	circumstances could any flashing lights or
9	animated lights be part of the sign illumination.
10	That would be the basic motion, I think, that we
11	would make.
12	MR. CORWIN: So maybe I could sum it up
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Sure.
14	MR. CORWIN: as a form in the form of
15	a motion
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
17	MR. CORWIN: and say:
18	Whereas, Mark LaMaina made an application
19	for a self-illuminated sign.
20	And whereas, the Historic Preservation
21	Board has made a recommendation that no flashing
22	sign should be allowed.
23	And whereas, the Zoning Board of Appeals

April 16, 2014 1 kept in place, but no flashing lights are 2 allowed. The border lights may be used provisionally, subject to the Zoning Board of 3 Appeals and the Historic Preservation Commission 4 review of the illumination level once the sign is 5 set up to have steady lights around the border 6 7 and the sign itself illuminated. Does that cover it? 8 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think that's good. 10 we'll have to hold that thought, and you'll just 11 make reference to that motion when we get there, 12 because we first have to go through the test 13 questions. 14 Whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or 15 a detriment to nearby properties will be created 16 by granting the area variance? And responses? 17 MR. CORWIN: No. 18 MS. NEFF: No.

has considered the sign and come to the

conclusion that the self-illuminated sign may be

24

25

19

20	MR. BENJAMIN: No.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And no. So that is good.
22	Whether the benefit sought by the applicant
23	can be achieved by some method feasible for the
24	applicant to pursue other than the area variance?
25 Benjamin?	And I'll just ask down the line. Mr.
46	April 16, 2014
1	MR. BENJAMIN: Yes.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
3	MR. CORWIN: No.
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
5	MS. NEFF: No.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I would say yes.
7	Whether the requested area variance is
8	substantial?
9	Mr. Benjamin?
10	MR. BENJAMIN: No.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
12	MR. CORWIN: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
14	MS. NEFF: No.

15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I would say no.
16	Whether the proposed variance will have an
17	adverse effect or impact on the physical
18	environmental conditions in the neighborhood or
19	district?
20	Mr. Benjamin?
21	MR. BENJAMIN: No.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
23	MR. CORWIN: No.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
25	MS. NEFF: No.

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I would say no. Whether the alleged difficulty was 2 self-created, which consideration shall be 3 relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals, 4 5 but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance? 6 7 Mr. Benjamin? 8 MR. BENJAMIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin? 9 MR. CORWIN: Yes. 10

11	CHAIRMAN MUURE: MS. NETT?
12	MS. NEFF: Yes.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I'll also answer yes.
14	And then overall, considering all of the
15	questions, and taking into account the motion
16	that Mr. Corwin spelled out, that we would make a
17	motion to approve the requested variance based on
18	those stated conditions and
19	MR. BENJAMIN: What about the condition of
20	does it go off when he closes down for the
21	night? It doesn't stay on all night, right?
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: We didn't talk about hours
23	of operation. Is that an issue for the Board to
24	consider?
25	MR. CORWIN: No, not to me.

1	o
4	О

1 MR. BENJAMIN: And the other thing is that
2 if he sells the business, then somebody else has
3 to start all over again.
4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. I think we would add
5 that this would apply only to the current sign —
6 MS. NEFF: The owner —

7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: identifying that
8	business, because, clearly, if a new sign were
9	installed, it would have to have an additional
10	variance if it were also out of code.
11	So, with that motion having been made, I
12	would then ask for the votes on whether we shall
13	approve the requested variance. Mr. Benjamin?
14	MR. CORWIN: A second.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, sorry. One other
16	thought?
17	MR. CORWIN: No. We need a second on the
18	motion.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, a second on the
20	motion. Okay.
21	MS. NEFF: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: A second from Ms. Neff.
23	And then all in favor? Mr. Benjamin?
24	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
25	MS. NEFF: "All in favor" is aye, all of

49

1 us, isn't it?

2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, I'm going to go with

3 a roll call on this, just so we have the votes down. 4 5 MS. NEFF: All right. 0kav. 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin? 7 MR. CORWIN: Yes. CHAIRMAN MOORE: And Ms. Neff? 9 MS. NEFF: Yes. 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I'll also vote yes. 11 So that motion carries and the variance is 12 approved according to the stipulations that were outlined by Mr. Corwin. 13 14 So we can move now to the next item, and this is discussion about the interpretation of 15 16 the code. And the issue that comes up is that 17 the Planning Board, through apparently Mr. 18 Prokop's advice, were not convinced that this 19 activity would be permitted in this district, 20 which is a Retail Commercial. And one of the 21 complications is —— and if you look at the zoning 22 map with the districts, there is a General 23 Commercial District, which basically follows the 24 railroad tracks down to the waterfront, and it

includes the railroad depot, the staging area for

1	the terry, a number of business properties, Mr.
2	Costello's marine business, and also Village
3	property I believe may border that zone, the
4	parking lot.
5	MS. NEFF: You're talking about
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, and the parking lot
7	for the bus depot are all labeled this General
8	Commercial. And within that district there are a
9	series of permitted uses. And for one thing, the
10	Commercial General District is any use in the
11	Retail Commercial, plus service establishments
12	furnishing services other than personal nature,
13	self-service gasoline stations, light
14	manufacturing, wholesaling, research and design.
15	And then there's an issue, utility and public
16	transportation facilities, and also then motor
17	vehicle sales.
18	The reason for this Number 7, which is
19	utility and public transportation facilities, may
20	be that when the code was written, these
21	activities were already present in that area.
22	And to give a sanctification for that type of
23	activity, that may be the reason for this very

24 limited district.

Now, the Retail Commercial District is a

April 16, 2014

51

19

1 much larger area. It covers most of the downtown 2 areas. And then there's this outpost area up 3 in -- I believe the Townsend Manor Inn might be 4 that property, that are Retail Commercial. And you're welcome to -- can I take that? 5 MR. CORWIN: Yes. 6 7 MS. NEFF: I'll pass it back. CHAIRMAN MOORE: And in this case, the 8 9 Commercial Retail permitted activities are retail stores and banks, personal service stores, which 10 11 are referenced as barber shops, beauty parlors, 12 etcetera, eating and drinking places, business, professional, government offices. And then 13 14 another item is service establishments furnishing 15 services other than of a personal nature; 16 theaters, hotels, motels, outlets and pickup 17 stations for laundries and cleaning 18 establishments, newspaper printing,

manufacturing, clubs, funeral parlors, marina

20 docking facilities, gasoline stations and 21 self-service gasoline station. So that the 22 confusing issue here -- and, of course, service 23 repair garages as well is listed in Retail Commercial. 24

25 So the question that comes up is that

April 16, 2014

public transportation services, I assume, are

52

1

5

6

8

2 those things such as regularly scheduled 3 transportation services, the train, the buses and 4 things like that; whether or not a taxi service is considered a public transportation service or more of a private service. But is it a 7 nonpersonal service that would cover for both regions, or are we really talking about the fact that the General Commercial section does have 9 reference to public transportation services? 10 11 So that's kind of the area of our 12 discussion as to whether services, other than 13 personal nature, would include a taxi service. So I'd entertain discussion along those lines. 14 MR. CORWIN: I'm afraid I didn't do my 15

16	homework on this, so
17	MS. NEFF: Could you read the part of the
18	code, the CG, that you said personal, that might
19	relate to that. I know it said laundry and
20	theaters and all this, but is there anything that
21	comes close to this?
22	MR. CORWIN: But, wait.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
24	MR. CORWIN: Before you do that, the
25	designation of that parcel now is Commercial

1 Retail? CHAIRMAN MOORE: Retail Commercial, I 2 3 believe. 4 MR. CORWIN: And the one with the -- that you referred to that says public transportation 5 services? 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, General Commercial, 7 8 yes. 9 MR. CORWIN: Is General Commercial? CHAIRMAN MOORE: And that is this district 10 that basically parallels the Long Island Railroad 11

12	line to the dock.
13	MR. CORWIN: Oh, I see. So they threw that
14	in for the Long Island Railroad and the ferry.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm assuming that this
16	strip, which was not just transportation, there
17	are some other private businesses.
18	MR. CORWIN: So that's more or less a
19	special case.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It seems like a special
21	case. And the question before us is whether
22	within the Retail Commercial District something
23	such as a taxi service could be defined. And I
24	would have to assume it would only be defined

under service establishments furnishing services

54

25

other than a personal nature, whether that — you know, being personal service stores would be things that are things like beauty parlors, and barber shops, and tailors. And that's really the crux of the matter, is whether the fact that the — General Commercial mentions public transportation services, whether that limits

8 them, such as a taxi service, to that district, 9 which is very limited within the Village. 10 There's only, I think, one property there that 11 was used for storage of vehicles that had been a 12 car rental agency out on the main road. MR. CORWIN: On the old Burt's Reliable 13 14 property. 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I believe, yeah. I'm not sure that this --16 17 MR. CORWIN: The Burt's property. CHAIRMAN MOORE: That was the old Burt's 18 19 property, yeah. 20 MR. CORWIN: Let me ask Mr. Abatelli a 21 questions. There are some changes proposed for the zoning code. Do they in any way affect this? 22 23 MR. ABATELLI: I don't think so, no. I know taxis never came up, and none of -- it's 24

April 16, 2014

really all —— the only changes are mostly in the

55

- 1 Waterfront Commercial Zone.
- 2 MR. CORWIN: And then, as I said, what is a
- 3 taxi service? I mean, it's basically saying

4	vou '	re	aoina	to	park	the	car	there,	Ι	auess
•	you		gorng		pain	CIIC	Cui	ciici c ,	_	gacssi

CHAIRMAN MOORE: And, you know, that I
think one of the things that was pointed out
today is that the public comments basically were
being directed to the nature of how the business
would operate, and any offenses that the
increased use of the facility might, you know,
cause negative effects on the community. And
that's all, in my understanding, a Planning Board
activity, that they deal with these issues, and
approve or disapprove an intensification of use
based on these factors.

I think the question comes up as to whether, you know, a taxi service is a — other than a personal service that can operate from a retail business. The code does not mention every permitted activity, and I — you know, if — you know, retail stores covers a pretty wide range of things, but they also include gasoline stations, self-service gasoline stations and repair garages, which that property formerly was.

MR. ABATELLI: I --

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And —— go ahead, yeah.

MR. ABATELLI: In trying to remember back to the Planning Board process, they were —— I mean, they had the exact problem you're talking about, whether it was clearly permitted or not permitted. They were acknowledging that there are taxis even now, you know, that just are in the Village. They park actually at the marina. A lot of times during the summer there's just a car parked in there and other places, they just are there. They mostly were concerned about where they would keep the cars, how many cars. I mean, there was always talk just about two cars. They didn't want to interfere with the existing parking, which they knew was just barely adequate, if adequate at all.

They did talk about the dumpster, but there wasn't — they just really weren't sure whether a taxi service is permitted or not, per se. They acknowledge that taxis exist, but it's the operation, you know, that's — they almost were saying even two — you know, one of the people said, "Well, you could park the car in your driveway and take phone calls over the" — you

20

April 16, 2014

57

1 almost -- like a taxi could be, like you've had 2 in the past, like one guy with a car and he parks 3 it at his house, and he passes cards around and 4 stuff. 5 MR. BENJAMIN: A car service, yeah. MR. CORWIN: Which is what everybody's 6 7 doing right now, because there's a lot of them around, and it's parked in somebody's driveway. 8 9 MR. ABATELLI: So it was -- you know, they 10 weren't really sure how to deal with it and you 11 guys are stuck with it. 12 MS. NEFF: If I may, I think in the absence 13 of a drawing that shows what was approved at the 14 time this was approved, with the parking spaces 15 shown, the land, everything, the idea that it 16 could exist with no curb whatsoever to the 17 property to the south seems rather amazing. 18 And there is a lot of -- there are a lot of 19 people, particularly little people, you know,

children, that have to pass by in that area, so I

Z 1	don't see now we could really talk about this
22	without that.
23	And, yes, a car service, I'm very familiar
24	with them in areas that I visit in the City, in
25	Brooklyn. It's a little place with a lot of cars

coming and going and at least one sitting there.

58

1

2 Is this envisioned as a place where two cars, two 3 taxis might sit in the evening hours, or whenever 4 they're not busy? 5 MR. ABATELLI: I think, and again, I don't 6 want to completely answer, but --7 MS. NEFF: Mind you, subcontracting them 8 from the other parking spaces. 9 MR. ABATELLI: But what the Planning Board 10 -- he was always talking about two cars. The Planning Board did feel that whatever -- if they 11 12 were to approve something, they would have to 13 have a number of cars, because there's clearly --14 I think it's almost like a restaurant, there's a 15 big difference between one and 20 cars. You know, so it was clearly -- they would put a limit 16

17	on the number of cars and where they would park,
18	you know, and they would of course, the
19	applicant would say, which ideally would be true
20	in a perfect world, that cars would be out all
21	the time driving around, but a lot of times they
22	wouldn't be. But they could also park at the
23	train station, or at the marina, or anywhere, you
24	know, waiting for
25	MR. BENJAMIN: But it seems like there's

59

two questions. One is it permitted by the code? 1 2 But, then, if it was, could it be permitted in 3 this place? But if he already has designated 4 parking areas, and if areas are designated, then 5 they can't be used for something else. CHAIRMAN MOORE: And that's sort of not the 6 7 question we're being asked, of course. 8 MR. BENJAMIN: Right. 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think they're getting to 10 that. MR. BENJAMIN: So —— but what comes up is a 11 12 lot more questions, you know, a lot more

13	questions. And it seems like it's just a
14	question that was never answered before, that's
15	all.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. Under the oh,
17	go ahead, yes.
18	MR. CORWIN: Our question is can somebody
19	have a taxi service in Commercial Retail?
20	MS. NEFF: In a Commercial Retail.
21	MR. CORWIN: Our questions —— the Planning
22	Board's question is do they have enough parking
23	spaces, and are they taking care of their
24	property? That's not our question. So I don't
25	think we can conflate is that the right

60

word -- the two things together. I think, can a 1 2 taxi service be allowed in Commercial Retail? 3 And that's really all we should address, and let the Planning Board, and the Code Inspector, and 4 5 the Building Inspector address the question of do they have adequate parking, and is it going to 6 make more of a mess, or be a hazard for 7 8 pedestrians.

9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, and I think that's
10	the point here. And when you look through the
11	code for some specific applications that are
12	identified, a service station or repair garage
13	has about a dozen operational stipulations as far
14	as how it would operate. The same goes for
15	self-service gasoline stations. Most of these
16	are safety-related because of the fact that
17	customers are dispensing the gas. Many of the
18	other operations are just defined by name, and
19	the service establishments, furnishing services
20	other than a personal nature could be any number
21	of different services being provided, as well as
22	personal service stores, where, you know,
23	different kind of personal services are applied.
24	I don't think the code necessarily excludes

it. You know, there's a slightly broader section

April 16, 2014

61

9

- in the General Commercial that identifies, you 1
- know, utility and public transportation 2
- facilities, but that does not necessarily mean 3
- that a private car service fits that definition. 4

You know, it could, but, you know, my inclination
is that the code isn't restrictive of that kind
of operation, and it is a service being provided
through a commercial entity. So that I think
most of the difficulties come to the operational
questions before the Planning Board. And I don't
have a lot of objection to that activity being a
part of a Commercial District

MR. BENJAMIN: Yeah, but it's not associated with that business. If it was Layyah that was having a delivery service or something, or a laundry had a delivery service, or the pizza had a delivery service, or the hotel had a pickup service, you see, they would all be associated with it, but this is not associated with this particular business.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, it is the owner's particular business. It's another facet of his commercial business. I mean, I believe the code, especially in the sign regulations, talk about businesses with more than one type of business

- 1 activity in an individual building. I mean, he
- 2 could just as well operate a tax consulting
- 3 service out of the store at the same time, I
- 4 believe. But, again, it would come to the
- 5 Planning Board dealing with customer flow,
- 6 parking --
- 7 MR. BENJAMIN: Right.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: —— and things like that.
- 9 I think part of the difficulty is that the, you
- 10 know, stated operational difficulties that might
- 11 currently be happening casts a kind of negative
- 12 effect on the public regarding an intensification
- of activity, and, again, that's a Planning Board
- issue. They have to deal with whether a property
- 15 can carry a certain level of activity and deal
- 16 with that.
- 17 MR. BENJAMIN: Then the other thing is that
- if it required a variance, an area -- a use
- variance, well, we don't give use variances, do
- 20 we?
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, they can.
- MS. NEFF: Yes.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: They're more difficult to
- 24 obtain.
- 25 MR. BENJAMIN: And that would require

1 financial reports. 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: You know, and, certainly, 3 if there are issues -- one of the thoughts I had, 4 which is again operational, not technical, is a 5 lot of private taxi services such as these have a 6 number of cars that are not currently operating 7 because they're mechanically broken. They have a 8 tendency to accumulate in the parking lot of the taxi service. So, again, that's not a matter of 9 whether that's allowed or not as a taxi service. 10 11 It's an operational difficulty that is a fact of 12 life, and, again, a Planning Board issue. 13 So I don't know if you'd want -- we'd want 14 to just move through just an up or down vote as 15 to whether we a support a taxi service under 16 Commercial Retail, under Item 5, which is a service other than a personal nature, and let it 17 18 go at that; would that be the approach? 19 MR. CORWIN: I'm inclined to agree with 20 your interpretation, that it's basically an

allowed use, so, yeah.

23	Board be inclined to offer their support or lack
24	of support for that direction?
25	MR. BENJAMIN: Service establishments
	April 16, 2014
1	furnishing services other than personal nature.
2	I don't
3	MR. CORWIN: The thing that strikes me
4	about this is it's a needed service, because
5	you've got a lot of people in Greenport, they
6	come out from the big city, they need a taxi to
7	go from the railroad station to wherever.
8	MR. BENJAMIN: Yeah.
9	MR. CORWIN: And in this respect you're
10	having more control over it because you're
11	allowing it in a commercial use, rather than in
12	somebody's driveway.
13	MR. BENJAMIN: Right.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And that same statement
15	about other than personal nature is also in the
16	General Commercial District. You know, that
17	could also operate. In fact, if the Planning

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Would the rest of the

18	Board determined they couldn't carry that amount
19	of spaces, then the owner would have to find
20	other places to keep the cars. I mean, it's
21	really an operational question.
22	So, if the Board would want to go ahead
23	with an initial discussion
24	MS. NEFF: I just want to say that it seems
25	to put more things happening in the site.

65

April 16, 2014

that from the speakers who addressed us is a 1 2 problem and it has negative impact on the 3 neighboring properties. That's not our job to address, but I think it has to be noted. And I 4 5 think that it could be a permitted use, but the 6 safety of people in the vicinity is not like a 7 small thing. 8 MR. CORWIN: I think the better term is the 9 quality of life. 10 MS. NEFF: Well, I think safety and quality 11 of life, but I don't see it as different. Because I don't accept the 12 MR. CORWIN: argument that little kids are going to get run 13

14	over because of the taxi there. There's laws
15	against reckless driving. But you're correct in
16	that it's a quality of life issue, but I don't
17	think that's our purview to address it, it's the
18	Planning Board's.
19	MS. NEFF: Okay.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: You know, and not to be
21	facetious, but avoiding the taxi service under
22	Item Number 5, light manufacturing is permitted
23	up to 20% of square footage for those items being
24	offered for sale at the business. The owner

could apply also for a mortuary at the site. He

66

25

8

9

could reintroduce a gasoline service station such
as it was before. Those are all permitted uses,
but I think if he proposed all of those together,
he's going to hit a brick wall, because it's just
not feasible on the property.

MS. NEFF: Right, right.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: It really comes down to

CHAIRMAN MOORE: It really comes down to property capacity. And the question, we're not being asked that question. We're just being

10	asked does the code under 150–9 through which
11	I can see as the only place that it's permissible
12	is Number 5, service establishments furnishing
13	services other than a personal nature. Does that
14	define a taxi operation? My opinion is it does.
15	It doesn't it doesn't exclude it.
16	MR. CORWIN: Well, let's have a vote and
17	see what happens.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah. Why not?
19	MS. NEFF: Let's vote.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. First item is we
21	again have to declare ourselves Lead Agency
22	according SEQRA; declare it also a Type II
23	Action. And I would make that motion and ask for
24	a second.
25	MS. NEFF: Second.

67

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
2 MR. CORWIN: Aye.

3 MS. NEFF: Aye.

4 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.

5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.

6	And then the motion, see if I can make it,
7	is that according to the request from the
8	Planning Board for interpretation of 150-9,
9	Commercial Retail District, that we would offer
10	our interpretation that under $150-9(A)(5)$,
11	service establishments furnishing services other
12	than a personal nature could include a taxi
13	service business. And with that, I make that
14	motion and ask for a second.
15	MR. CORWIN: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
17	MS. NEFF: Aye.
18	MR. CORWIN: Let's do a roll call.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Let's do a roll call, very
20	well.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Corwin?
22	MR. CORWIN: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ms. Neff?
24	MS. NEFF: Aye.
25	CHATRMAN MOORF: Mr. Benjamin?

68

1 MR. BENJAMIN: Yes.

2	CHAIRMAN MOURE: And I would also say yes.
3	So the motion carries.
4	And we will send a communication back to
5	the Planning Board that our interpretation is
6	that a taxi service would not be restricted in a
7	Commercial Retail District. Very good. Thank
8	you.
9	And we can now move on. Item #3 is a
10	motion to accept an appeal for an area variance,
11	schedule a site visit and schedule a public
12	hearing for Orin and Jamie Kimball, 818 Main
13	Street, Greenport, New York; Suffolk County Tax
14	Map 1001-2-2-24.1. The property is located in
15	the R-1 District and within the Historic
16	District.
17	The owners propose to build an addition to
18	an existing structure, and the building permit
19	was disapproved on the following grounds:
20	The proposed side yard setback for the new
21	construction is nine feet, requiring a three-foot
22	side yard area variance for the new foundation
23	walls.
24	The proposed addition has a basement access
25	door which will diminish the side yard by an

1	additional 3' 8", reducing the side yard to 5' 4"
2	increasing the required variance to 6' 8" on the
3	south property line.
4	Section 150-12A of the Village of Greenport
5	Code requires a 12-foot side yard setback in the
6	R-1 District.
7	Just to make a comment on this, there has
8	been discussion on these two items. One is that
9	the addition, if you've reviewed the property
10	plan, clearly enters in the restricted side yard
11	area. And there has been some discussion as well
12	as a stairwell, which is a substantial size piece
13	of foundation, extends even closer to the side
14	property line. So, at this point, we have
15	included that in the variance request.
16	There's another item that will follow,
17	which is a more general question as to whether in
18	all cases a Bilco door or a stairwell should be
19	included in or excluded from a need for a
20	variance if it does enter a side yard.
21	But the Item #3 is basically to accept this
22	application for consideration of an area

April 16, 2014 1 order, and the proper information has been 2 provided. So, with that, I would make a motion 3 that we accept this application for consideration. 5 MR. CORWIN: Questions on this application 6 prior to voting. 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. 8 MR. CORWIN: I have to acknowledge first 9 that I haven't been doing my homework on these 10 things. What I used to do is go into the 11 Building Inspector's office and review something 12 like this prior to the meeting. But I really can't deal with the Building Inspector anymore 13 14 because she has told me too many times that she 15 wants low-income housing for her children, and 16 she's pursued that agenda, so I have chosen to avoid her as much as I can. And that's certainly 17

a shortcoming on my part. So be it.

variance, and then schedule the necessary public

I have reviewed it. It looks like it's in

hearing and public notifications.

23

24

25

18

Now, we've had in the last year two
applications that I assume the Building Inspector
reviewed. I assume Mr. Abatelli does not review
these things, and they were not properly
reviewed. And we ended up, when the tape was
off, I won't say hollering and screaming, but
raising our voices a little. The Attorney was

April 16, 2014

1 upset.

And the last one was that house on North

Street where there were several other variances
that were needed and it came before us with just
one variance request.

So the point here is these things are not reviewed properly. And until I have the opportunity to review it myself, I can't vote yes on it.

So then look at this application, look at the second page, and down at the bottom it says, "Signature of Applicant." There's no signature, but it's notarized, and it's not notarized properly. So I don't see how we can accept this

15	application.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm just following here
17	that you're referring to this notary signature
18	here?
19	MR. CORWIN: Yes. It's not signed by the
20	applicant that I can see, but it's notarized, but
21	it's not notarized properly. You have to have
22	the county that the notary is registered in and
23	the notary's
24	MS. NEFF: Stamp.
25	MR. BENJAMIN: Seal.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Or the imprint, right? 1 2 MR. CORWIN: Seal number. You don't have to have the imprint, you have to have the county 3 and the seal number. 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So what's the 5 pleasure of the Board? Would we reject this 6 application and send it back for clarification? 7 Would that be appropriate? 8 9 MS. NEFF: Uh-huh. CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm looking to see if 10

11	there is any signature. Mr. Uellendahl?
12	MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes. I did submit the
13	application, and I was going to go to my bank and
14	have it notarized and there was no notary
15	present. I went to the Village, there was no
16	notary present. And I went to Eileen Wingate and
17	she said we don't need "You don't need a
18	signed notary." With that, I left it with her.
19	And that has happened before, that I did not have
20	to have a notary.
21	Now I'm dealing my applications are
22	dealing more with Southold Town, where I do have
23	to have the notarization. But in this case she
24	said, "I'll accept it as this."

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Well, I think --

73

25

1 MR. UELLENDAHL: I could have done this any
2 time. This should not be a reason to not accept
3 at least the application. I can do it tomorrow
4 morning and have it submitted to the Building
5 Inspector.

6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Would the Board accept a

7	provisional acceptance of the application pending
8	clarification of the proper signature, would that
9	be appropriate? Because then
10	MR. CORWIN: Not for me, because
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
12	MR. CORWIN: I'm trying to illustrate a
13	principle here, that the Building Inspector does
14	not do these things properly. The Building
15	Inspector can't take the form and decide how it
16	should be filled out. There's a line there to
17	notarize it. She said, "Forget about it." Come
18	on, what's going on here?
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Mr. Abatelli, is it
20	a requirement? I'm not familiar with the
21	process. I only see these when they arrive as
22	photocopies. Is that the normal process? Is it
23	signed and notarized?
24	MR. ABATELLI: It certainly should have
25	heen signed.

74

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: As a requirement? Yes.

2 MR. ABATELLI: I wouldn't want to -- I'm

```
3
                   not even, to be honest —— does it have like an
           4
                   actual notary place?
           5
                         CHAIRMAN MOORE:
                                         There's a signature and a
           6
                   date, but, as Mr. Corwin says, there's no --
           7
                         MR. UELLENDAHL: It's not notarized
           8
                         CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's not notarized.
                                                               0kav.
So --
           9
                         MS. NEFF: But is the signature in the
          10
                   wrong place?
          11
                        MR. UELLENDAHL: No. I signed it.
          12
                         MS. NEFF: Okay.
          13
                         MR. UELLENDAHL: But I was going to have it
          14
                   notarized, but there was no notary present. And
          15
                   I can have this done first thing in the morning.
                   I mean, I can go to Southold Town, because they
          16
          17
                   have notaries there --
          18
                         MS. NEFF: The bank has notaries.
                         MR. UELLENDAHL: -- from 8 to 4:30.
          19
          20
                         CHAIRMAN MOORE: And what is the purpose --
          21
                   I'm uneducated in this area. What is the purpose
          22
                   of the notary for your or the applicant's
                   signature? Is it because you're acting in behalf
          23
          24
                   of?
                         MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes, I'm acting in behalf
          25
```

1	of the well, even if I was not the you
2	know, I am the representative of the owner, but
3	the owner could have done it himself.
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
5	MR. UELLENDAHL: But the owner himself
6	would also have to have it notarized.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
8	MR. UELLENDAHL: So it doesn't matter.
9	Either the owner or I would have to have it
10	notarized.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So what I think
12	is — the other thing that I have noticed in the
13	past is that when a representative is coming to
14	the meetings in behalf of the applicant, that
15	that person have a letter from the applicant
16	authorizing them to serve in their behalf, and I
17	don't see that in the package.
18	MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes. And I asked Eileen
19	that as well. I said, "Do you want me to file a
20	letter," and she said no.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. I think we're going
22	to have to return it to have those
23	MS. NEFF: Can I say something?

24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- correctly. Yes. 25 MS. NEFF: Well, in effect, I want to ask, April 16, 2014 1 is signature on his -- is that the owner's? 2 MR. UELLENDAHL: This is the owner's 3 signature. I had -- because this is his own 4 answers to the first two questions. 5 MS. NEFF: Right. MR. UELLENDAHL: And I could not answer 6 7 those, because it's very personal. And I had him 8 -- I asked him to sign it, and this should 9 account for something. 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. 11 MS. NEFF: May I say something? CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, here it is. 12 I have 13 that copy. 14 MS. NEFF: May I say something? CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, go ahead. 15 MS. NEFF: Okay. In view of the fact that 16 this is signed by the owner, not notarized, and 17 18 that your name is on the plans, and that you can 19 provide both a signature and a notary, and

22	MR. UELLENDAHL: I can do it first thing in
23	the morning. I'll submit it tomorrow.
24	MS. NEFF: Yes. That I'm willing and
25	the sense of what this application says, doesn't
	April 16, 2014
1	say time is in the essence in so many words, but
2	it talks about a need.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, I was aware of that,
4	a health issue.
5	MS. NEFF: That have this signed and that
6	we could put it we accept it and put it on the
7	calendar is in my view a possible compromise.
8	MR. UELLENDAHL: Thank you.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. And I would like
10	to make that recommendation also, so not to
11	penalize either Mr. Uellendahl or the owner, but
12	maybe make reference back to the source of the
13	problem, and provisionally accept this pending
14	proper submission. And I'll make that motion and
15	ask for a vote. since we've discussed it. And

there's a notary in every bank in Greenport, at

20

21

77

least one.

16	we'll take it as it goes, and any no votes will
17	be duly noted. And I'm sure we'll get it
18	straightened out. So with that, I make that
19	motion and ask for a second.
20	MS. NEFF: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
22	MS. NEFF: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
24	Any discussion?
25	MR. BENJAMIN: Yeah. I was going to say

78

April 16, 2014

1 something. We could all see it tomorrow, then it 2 would be tentative on seeing it tomorrow. 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, we can't --MR. BENJAMIN: If it was sooner, we could 4 5 see it. 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- form another meeting 7 tomorrow, unless we convene an official meeting. So I would say we have to do it provisionally. 8 9 And the test will be that if the paperwork is not in order at the next meeting, then nothing 10 happens, but --11

12	MR. UELLENDAHL: No. I WILL make sure that
13	it will be there tomorrow. But I would really
14	like to ask you to you know, to talk to the
15	Building Inspector. And, you know, going
16	forward, I mean
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
18	MR. UELLENDAHL: I don't know how to
19	proceed with other projects.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. I will certainly
21	make that note. So we have a vote. And,
22	Mr. Benjamin, can you vote, please, whether you
23	support accepting this application with that
24	provision? And it's a yes or no vote, so you can
25	say as you like.

79

7

1 MR. BENJAMIN: Yes.
2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes? And, Mr. Corwin?
3 MR. CORWIN: You're calling for the no
4 votes?
5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm calling for the no
6 votes or yes votes.

MR. CORWIN: No.

8	CHAIRMAN MOURE: And I will say yes just to
9	move the process forward. And Mr. Corwin's no
10	vote is understood, and noted and
11	MR. CORWIN: Now I just want to point out
12	again, this has happened repeatedly, that the
13	Building Inspector has screwed these things up,
14	and nothing comes of it. It's a free pass. It's
15	civil service, you do what you want to do. And
16	I'm paying her salary and I don't like it one
17	bit. Not only does she screw the applications
18	up, she is extremely biased. We're all biased.
19	She takes it to a new level.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Thank you. Well,
21	so that has been forwarded for scheduling. And
22	we will be asking to have the proper
23	documentation in place, and, hopefully, in the
24	next few days.
25	Now, relating to this application above,

80

I'm making a motion to accept the request from
the Building Inspector for an interpretation and
schedule a public hearing. As per a memo from

the Building Inspector, dated 4/16/2014, and in connection with a pending appeal for variance, Item 3 above, an interpretation is requested of Section 150-12A regarding whether to include below grade improvements in consideration of side yard setback variance requests.

The crux of this matter is that in the past, the Building Department has not included stairwells, or Bilco doors, or chimneys in the foundation footprint when calculating setbacks.

And, in practical terms, if an applicant is coming forward with a house that meets the proper setback requirements, and only a Bilco door perhaps is intruding into a side yard, the cost of that process, not only time but monetarily to the applicant, might be considered to be unfair.

And what I'd like to do is have a discussion next month, based on the request of the Building Inspector, to try and determine whether we should or shouldn't include, or conditionally include them under certain circumstances.

1 I think, Mr. Corwin, you've had some 2 discussions with the Building Inspector from a 3 past project where we overlooked a Bilco door that did intrude into a side yard. It never came 4 5 up in our discussion process. And there are circumstances that perhaps 6 7 this might be important to an adjacent property owner if it's quite close to the property line. 8 So I think, you know, we deserve a discussion of 9 10 this next month, and then we can make a recommendation back to the Building Department. 11 So I'd make a motion to accept that request 12 13 for interpretation. 14 MR. CORWIN: So now can we do this before 15 we pass on the --Yes. 16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: 17 MR. CORWIN: The question #3, I mean, this 18 should -- 3a should come ahead of #3. 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think we will put that on the agenda ahead of the other discussion, so 20

It's an interesting proposal that is being made for this addition for Item #3, because there is already a structural wall that will enclose

that we can or cannot include it in the request.

21

22

23

20

April 16, 2014

82

1 so that it would be invisible to the neighboring 2 property. So it may not be such a pertinent 3 discussion for this case. But when you inspect the property, which we'll do before the meeting 4 5 next month, you'll see what I mean. It's a very 6 interesting project as it is currently, and this 7 addition actually fits within that structure. So I'm making that motion, that we will discuss this at the next meeting, and I'd like a 9 10 second, please. 11 MS. NEFF: Second. CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor? 12 13 MR. CORWIN: Aye. 14 MS. NEFF: Aye. 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. And, Mr. Benjamin, did you answer? 16 MR. BENJAMIN: Yes, aye. 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, aye. So that motion 18 carries. 19

Number 4 is motion to accept the ZBA

21 minutes for February 19th, 2014. So moved. 22 I have a second, please? MR. BENJAMIN: Second. 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor? 24 25 MR. CORWIN: Aye. April 16, 2014 1 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye. 2 MS. NEFF: Aye. 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. 4 The motion carries. 5 Motion to approve the ZBA minutes for 6 January 15, 2014, that should be, not '13. So moved. Second, please. 7 MS. NEFF: Second. 8 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor? 10 MR. CORWIN: Aye. 11 MS. NEFF: Aye. 12 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. 13 14 And then motion to schedule the next 15 regular ZBA meeting for May 21st, 2014.

And I would also suggest that we meet at

83

	18	MS. NEFF: Uh-huh.
	19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: To look at the property.
	20	And we would also ask that that area be staked
	21	out. We'll have to communicate that back to
	22	Eileen to tell the property owner.
	23	MR. UELLENDAHL: I will take care of that.
	24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. Oh, sorry. I forgot
	25	you were there.
84		April 16, 2014
	1	MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes.
	2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And that will be done,
	3	then, next month, May 21st. Everybody's
	4	agreeable to that? I don't know if we have to
	5	have a formal motion on that.
	6	MS. NEFF: Yes.
	7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And then the final is Item
#7 ,		
	8	which is a motion to adjourn. And a second,
	9	please?
	10	MR. CORWIN: Did we vote on #6?
	11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: If you'd like to. I'll

17 4:30 at the 8 -- is it 818 Main Street?

12	make that motion for May 21st, 2014. And a
13	second, please.
14	MS. NEFF: Second.
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
16	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
17	MS. NEFF: Aye.
18	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
20	The motion carries.
21	And then a motion to adjourn.
22	MR. CORWIN: Prior to adjourning.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
24	MR. CORWIN: There's this letter here from
25	Mr. Costello, and he asked for an additional 18

85

7

months. And my understanding is that that
approval of that project, the time frame expired.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: It did.

MR. CORWIN: And he had to submit a new
application.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: He had to submit an

application for a variance, which would involve

8	an extension of the normal six-month limit. And
9	he has since withdrawn that request and says that
10	when the time comes that he wished to move
11	forward, he will reapply for a variance, so that
12	has satisfied that question. In other words
13	MR. CORWIN: Okay. So his
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's off the table.
15	MR. CORWIN: It's off the table, period.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
17	MR. CORWIN: Okay.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And he says he'll just
19	come back in the future and reapply.
20	MS. NEFF: Okay.
21	MR. ABATELLI: I think that was the case.
22	And the Sommer
23	MR. ABATELLI: I think he's even
24	considering changing the
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah.

86

1 MR. ABATELLI: -- plan a little bit.

2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. And the Sommer

3 application is also withdrawn.

4 MR. CORWIN: So this time you're going to review it, rather than the Building Inspector, 5 6 right? 7 MR. ABATELLI: I won't actually be here. 8 Somebody —— the new person will, most likely. I 9 mean, if it's 18 months, I hope. 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, someone. MR. CORWIN: Well, we could call you out of 11 12 retirement. MR. BENJAMIN: I have his cell phone. 13 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I have a feeling you may 15 be trying to get far away from the ZBA. So, if there's no further discussion, could 16 17 I make a motion to adjourn and ask for a second? 18 MR. CORWIN: Second. 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Very good. And all in 20 favor? 21 MR. CORWIN: Aye. MS. NEFF: Aye. 22 23 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye. 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. 25 (Whereupon, the meeting was concluded at 6:41 p.m.)

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
4) SS:
5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)
6	
7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and
8	Notary Public for and within the State of New
9	York, do hereby certify:
10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a
11	true and correct transcription of the proceedings
12	taken on April 16, 2014.
13	I further certify that I am not
14	related to any of the parties to this action by
15	blood or marriage, and that I am in no way
16	interested in the outcome of this matter.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
18	set my hand this 26th day of April, 2014.
19	
20	
21	
22	 Lucia Braaten
23	Lucia Diaaten
24	