	1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
	2	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
	3	
	4	REGULAR MEETING
	5	June 18, 2014
	6	5:00 p.m.
	7	
	8	
	9	Meeting held at the Greenport Firehouse
	10	236 Third Street, Greenport, New York 11944
	11	
	12	APPEARANCES:
	13	Douglas Moore – Chairman
	14	David Corwin
	15	Charles Benjamin
	16	Ellen Neff
	17	
	18	Joseph Prokop – Village Attorney
Director	19	David Abatelli – Community Development
	20	
	21	

Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14

1	(Whereupon, the meeting was called to
2	order at 5:07 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So this is the regular
4	monthly meeting of the Zoning Board of
5	Appeals. It's about seven after five. We do
6	have three members present, and I would
7	anticipate that Mr. Corwin would be joining
8	us, but we'll go ahead and start without him.
9	We have a fairly brief agenda tonight.
10	We have a public hearing to start, which is a
11	public hearing for a request from the Building
12	Inspector for an interpretation of Sections
13	150-12A and 150-13D of the Village of
14	Greenport Code. An interpretation is
15	requested regarding the exclusion or inclusion
16	of Bilco doors, basement stairwells, chimneys,
17	and similar components for consideration in

L8	the yard setback requirements stated in
L9	Section 150-12A.
20	I should mention that this was properly
21	noticed in the Suffolk Times. There aren't
22	any adjacent neighbors to notify, nor placard
23	to be placed, because this was just a request
24	for an interpretation from the Building
25	Inspector.

If there's any members of the public

3

2	that would like to speak in regard to this
3	issue, you could come up to the podium. You
4	may have to adjust it.
5	MR. TASKER: I'll put it in place and
6	we'll get underway.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you very much.
8	So we appreciate any public input before we
9	have a discussion about this.
10	MR. TASKER: Is that on here?
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm not sure it's
12	turned on, but
13	MR. TASKER: Is that on now?

14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yup, now you're
15	talking.
16	MR. TASKER: Good evening. I'm Arthur
17	Tasker. I live at 17 Beach Street in
18	Greenport. It's nice to be before you again.
19	It's been almost a year, I think.
20	First of all, I'm curious as to the
21	nature of this proceeding, because it seems to
22	be to me, it's a little bit procedurally
23	inept. It would seem to me that the way that
24	this should be proceeding is that a request
25	for a building permit should have been made

/	1	

1 that had certain side yard plans, and for the 2 Building Official, if she felt it appropriate, 3 to have required a variance for the side yard. 4 That would have permitted this Board to look 5 at that project, that Bilco door, that chimney, and make a determination with respect 6 to that project. But since we're here, let's 7 8 carry on.

9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Absolutely.

MR. TASKER: What's really happening
here is that the question that the Building
Inspector has presented, the Building Official
has presented to you is what is a building
envelope, what does it include? Bilco doors,
chimneys, decks, porches, and so forth. The
real question is what is a setback? And
deciding whether or not Bilco doors are
appropriate or not is only going to postpone
the inevitable until somebody says, "Well, I'm
going to put an astragal window in that's
going to bow out three feet from my second
story, and what about that? And then it's
going to be, well, if chimneys aren't included
in the setback requirement, suppose somebody
builds a baronial house with a baronial

chimney, big enough for yule logs, and build
the back of the chimney out to the lot line.
That's crazy. Common sense approach is
required.

5 If you go to Black's Law Dictionary, it

says that a setback is the distance from the
road or curb where nothing can be built upon.
And that's not New York State Law, but those
kinds of definitions have been adopted in many
states, and I would expect in New York State.
And since I don't have access to Westlaw, I
couldn't do it, but a five-minute search on
Westlaw on New York cases and statutes could
easily ascertain what the definition of
setback is.

So the question that you've been asked to examine isn't going to solve the problem or answer the situation, because what will happen is if someone disagrees with your interpretation and the Building Inspector issues a building permit based on it, then someone is going to challenge that building permit and you're going to be right back here again.

So I think you should be looking at what

Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14

1 is a setback, not what kind of things are

- permitted to protrude beyond the setback,
- because, by definition, nothing can protrude
- 4 beyond the setback. Thank you.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay, good. Thank you.
- 6 Is anyone else interested in speaking? Sir?
- 7 (Whereupon, Kenneth MacAlpin made a statement
- 8 that was stricken from the record.)
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Now, did you say that
- they were coming here?
- MR. ABATELLI: Yeah. Well, they were
- 12 called.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah. But he's gone,
- 14 unless he decides to come back.
- I apologize to those assembled for his
- outburst. We can move on now.
- 17 Is there anyone else that would like to
- make a comment about the issue at hand, the
- 19 public hearing?
- 20 MR. TASKER: It's not wide open anymore?
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
- 22 MR. ABATELLI: This is my last meeting.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, and I would like to --
- 24 MS. NEFF: I actually would like to make
- 25 a motion --

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
2	MS. NEFF: that the last speaker's
3	comments be stricken from the record, unless
4	we need the record for some legal purposes,
5	but I do not think it will benefit anyone.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Is that Mr. Prokop,
7	is that suitable?
8	MR. PROKOP: What my recommendation is,
9	is that it be made part of a separate record,
10	because, obviously, I'd like to have them
11	preserved for legal purposes.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, I think so, in
13	case any issues come after this.
14	MR. PROKOP: So, if the motion could be
15	amended to preserve the speaker's the last
16	speaker's comments as a separate record.
17	Let's strike them from the public hearing
18	that's being conducted.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And as probably not
20	applicable to the topic at hand.
21	MS. NEFF: I would like to characterize
22	it as an inappropriate, vicious rant, and it

24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And that is moved. May 25 I have a second, please? Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14 1 MR. BENJAMIN: (Raised hand.) 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor? 3 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye. 4 MS. NEFF: Aye. 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. So that will be the way it is. And, oh, 6 7 we haven't closed the hearing. 8 MS. MUNDUS: I would. 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. Why don't you 10 come up and speak, please. Can you address 11 the zoning issue that's at hand? 12 MS. MUNDUS: My name is Pat Mundus. 13 I would just like to thank the members of the community who are serving on the Zoning Board 14 15 of Appeals as volunteers, community service. 16 Thank you very much. It's above and beyond

what you normally have to take. Thank you for

being so patient and not making it more

should be kept as a separate record, fine.

23

17

18

19	confrontational.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
21	MS. MUNDUS: Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you. And I
23	should mention for the record that Pat Mundus
24	is also a volunteer on the Planning Board and
25	a resident of Greenport.
	Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14
1	If there are no more public comments, I
2	would like to make a motion to close the
3	public hearing. May I have a second?
4	MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And any discussion?
6	(No response.)
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: If not, all in favor?
8	MS. NEFF: Aye.
9	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
11	And the public hearing is closed.
12	I'd just like to mention, in somewhat a
13	response to Mr. Tasker's comment, the code
14	does provide for an interpretation from an

15	official of the Greenport Village for an
16	interpretation of the code.
17	MR. TASKER: Yes.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So even though a case
19	is not pending regarding a particular setback
20	the code does permit that task be taken up by
21	the Zoning Board of Appeals.
22	MR. TASKER: Well, I think my point
23	is that the Building Official has not
24	presented a question that can be adjudicated
25	hy determining whether or not it is in the

setback area.

2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, I understand what

3 you're saying.

So, what I'd like to do is just to give
a little background on how this came up. It
did relate and to a degree to an issue we've
had. I think perhaps there are a number of
cases in which we've had very close
approximations to property lines for parts of
the building. And the most recent case was a

11	stairwell, and a ways back was a bay window.
12	And I've provided a handout to the members of
13	the Board, and I have a couple of extras, if
14	you'd like to look at it.
15	The Village of Greenport Code does not
16	define or exclude particular components of the
17	building from a setback requirement.
18	(Police Officers entered the Firehouse.)
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ah, yes. Well, thank
20	you for coming. I'll just take a break from
21	the proceedings.
22	(Whereupon, the meeting was recessed at
23	5:24 p.m. and resumed at 5:28 p.m.)
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All right. I think
25	we're ready to begin again. I'll iust make

11

note that Mr. Corwin has joined us.

Now, the issue that came up is that the
Southold Zoning Code very specifically
didentifies areas that are included within and

5 other areas that are excluded from setback

6 requirements. And the Southold Code does

exempt cornices, and chimneys, fireplaces,
steps, open porches, and exterior cellar
doors, stairwells. The Village of Greenport
Code is more abbreviated and says nothing
about what constitutes things that are
included or exempted. So, if taken literally
as printed, essentially every part of the
building is included in a setback requirement.
Discussions we've had with the Building
Inspector indicate that there has been a
custom over the years to exclude chimneys, and
stairwells, and things like that, from
consideration when looking at setback
requirements, or setback building envelopes
and whether they're entering into a restricted
zone or not.

And one of the scenarios that I can imagine is you may have a building which is fully within the building envelope setback

Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14

- 1 requirements, and for the sake of a single
- 2 Bilco door installation, might require an

application for a variance and the fees
associated, rather than a simple building
permit.

feelings?

- So, with those discussions at hand, the question was presented to us whether —— you know, what is the story on this. And, you know, I use the term it's been a custom. It's not a policy, because if it were a policy, it would have some sort of written documentation documenting the policy.
 - So I'm opening the discussion to the Board Members to see whether this is something they'd like to comment on as to the definition within the Village Code as to what constitutes the building footprint or building area. Would anyone care to comment on their
 - MR. BENJAMIN: The only thought I had was you're looking at a building that's like so many feet wide and so many feet deep, and that's the area of the lot it covers, but so you want that setback from the property lines so much in the rear, so much in the

23

1	front, so much in the side. But, if you put a		
2	little two-foot chimney there, that's quite a		
3	bit different from a 40-foot building.		
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.		
5	MR. BENJAMIN: So it's really not		
6	significant, in my eyes, unless it goes too		
7	close to the property line. You know, you		
8	can't say you can't build a building like		
9	two feet from the property line, then put your		
10	chimney on top of the property line. So there		
11	has to be reasonable distance for all these		
12	little juts out.		
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. The thing we		
14	have to be careful of is that while we can do		
15	interpretations of the code, the Zoning Board		
16	of Appeals does not have Legislative authority		
17	to create codes. So we can't amend the code		
18	to exclude or include certain items with		
19	specific language.		
20	So one of the outcomes of our discussion		
21	could be that we would make a recommendation		
22	to the Board to be more specific and add		

perhaps an additional section to the code to

25 You know, as I indicated, the Southold Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14 1 Code is rather specific in things that it does 2 exempt from the setback requirements. Mr. Corwin, do you have thoughts? 3 MR. CORWIN: Well, the only thing I can 4 5 say is I saw a fire on First Street early Spring, and these buildings in Greenport are 6 7 so close together, and that fire on First Street, if the wind had been blowing, it would 8 9 have probably set the Methodist Parsonage on 10 fire. So I think these chimneys should be 11 counted. 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Should be counted. 13 MR. CORWIN: At least the chimneys. 14 I'm not comfortable with the exit doors of the basements either, because sometimes they're 15 left open and then present an opportunity to 16 fall down a stairwell. 17 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So the opportunity 19 would be, then, for an applicant, if they

either include or exclude these items.

24

20	wished to add those items to a house, if we		
21	were keeping it the way it's written, would be		
22	that they would then require a variance that		
23	would require additional considerations for		
24	that addition?		
25	MR. CORWIN: Yes, or that's part of the		
	Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14		
1	measurement. The measurement should be to		
2	chimneys or stairwells.		
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: That, you know, could		
4	be the result of our discussion, is to simply		
5	leave the code alone, and be very specific		
6	that any part of the building outline be		
7	considered in the setback, and that would		
8	include stairwells, Bilco doors, and chimneys		
9	and fireplaces. If you		
10	MS. NEFF: Would		
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.		

MS. NEFF: If I could just, and that would — what would happen is what happens presently, which is the building permit would be denied, and the people would have the

opportunity to bring it to the ZBA, where
certainly we have dealt with matters of that
nature. But the whole context, the whole
building lot, the whole structure gets further
scrutiny, which I think that's sufficient.
I think the part you brought up about

I think the part you brought up about fires, I live across the street from where two buildings burned down, but it was a case in which the first building to catch on fire was already built on the property line. It had

Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14

nothing to do with our code. It existed 80 to 100 years before. So it is — we are often dealing with 50-foot-wide or less lots, and I think it's appropriate that such things get referred to a community ZBA.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Does that seem as like it's kind of best to leave it alone and let it be run through the process, that any incursion into the side yards, front yards or backyards would require a variance application?

MR. CORWIN: That would be my thinking.

12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. If that's the
13	thinking, I could in a moment propose a motion
14	that we would return an interpretation that
15	basically leaves the code as is, and that it
16	would be more specific, especially considering
17	the small lot sizes in Greenport, to recognize
18	all of the parts of the building, whether they
19	be chimneys, or stairwells, or actually parts
20	of the foundation line.
21	So, with that, I would first make a
22	motion that we declare the Zoning Board of
23	Appeals the Lead Agency according to SEQRA,
24	and declare this a Type II Action. So moved.
25	And may I have a second please?

17

MS. NEFF: Second.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?

MR. CORWIN: Aye.

MS. NEFF: Aye.

MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.

7 The motion carries unanimously.

8	And then I suppose I would propose in
9	our to write a brief interpretation letter
10	back to the Building Inspector that the
11	Greenport Village Code does not specifically
12	exclude these items, such as are currently
13	excluded in the Southold Town Code, and, as
14	such, would require the inclusion of these
15	parts of the building within the consideration
16	for setback requirements.
17	So I'd make that motion. May I have a
18	second?
19	MR. CORWIN: Second.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor? Or
21	any discussion on the matter?
22	MR. BENJAMIN: You know, that's saying
23	that a 20-foot-wide building is now 22 feet
24	wide because it has a chimney.
25	MR. TASKER: That's right.

- 1 MR. BENJAMIN: Well, that's -- you know, 2 that math is all wrong.
- 3 And the other thing is that if it has a

4	cellar already, now you're saying that if it's			
5	a four-foot cellar door, and now that the			
6	width of the building is a 20-foot building			
7	is now 24 feet wide.			
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think one thing, as			
9	we just discussed, is that even though the			
10	part of the chimney is a very small amount of			
11	square footage			
12	MR. BENJAMIN: Right			
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: —— that when			
14	considering a request for a variance, its			
15	scale relative to the overall setback			
16	requirement would be taken into consideration,			
17	because usually these issues come to be			
18	because a building is being expanded, and part			
19	of the building might be a chimney addition as			
20	well. So I think in that case, you know, we'd			
21	be able to look at the relative impact of this			
22	additional square footage.			
23	I'm not sure there's anything in the			
24	code that says you can automatically, or by			
25	some interpretation, exclude certain			

- 1 components of the building --2 MR. BENJAMIN: Right. 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- as currently written. And we could add to the letter a 4 5 recommendation that the Village Board consider this matter, but, as Mr. Corwin indicated --6 7 MR. BENJAMIN: When there's no clear definition, there should be a clear 8 9 definition. 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Perhaps. Perhaps that 11 would be something they'd want to consider. 12 MR. BENJAMIN: You know, I don't know. If it was a -- if it was a bay window that 13 14 extended 40 feet long, you know, and jutted 15 out two feet, well, I could say, "Hey that's a
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: You know, we --

lot of lot coverage," you know.

- 18 MR. BENJAMIN: But it's just my opinion.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah. Okay. Well, why
- 20 don't we take it to the vote? And we had a
- 21 second on that, so I'll ask, all in favor?
- MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- MS. NEFF: Aye.

16

MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.

20
1 And any opposed?
2 (No response.)
3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So that motion carries.

4 So I'll prepare a brief letter that will

5 go back to the Building Inspector. And if

6 you'd like, I will bring it to next month's

7 meeting for approval, or shall I just send it?

8 What would the Board wish to do on that?

9 Would you want to go through a formal approval

10 process?

11 MR. CORWIN: I have confidence in you,

12 you would just send it.

13 MS. NEFF: I have confidence also.

14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So then I'll

just prepare a letter and send it. That's

16 acceptable, Mr. Prokop?

17 MR. PROKOP: Yeah, I think that's fine.

18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Good, because it's a

19 pretty straightforward matter.

20 Okay. So we have gone into the regular

meeting agenda, which is our discussion and action on the request for an interpretation.

So Item #2 is a motion to approve the Findings, Determination and Decision Document approving an area variance for Orin and Jamie

Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14

Kimball, 818 Main Street, Greenport, New York,

21

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

Suffolk County Tax Map 1001-2-2-24.1. The property is located in the R-1 District and within the Historic District. The owners propose to build an addition to an existing structure, requiring a three-foot side yard setback area variance for the new foundation walls. The proposed addition has a basement access door stairwell, which requires a variance of six-feet-eight-inches on the south property line. Has everyone had a chance to review the document that was prepared? It was pretty straightforward. It does have the requirements within the conditions of the variance that all rainwater

	18	property.
	19	With that in mind, I will make a motion
	20	that we approve the Determination Document as
	21	written. May I have a second, please?
	22	MS. NEFF: Second.
	23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And any discussion on
	24	the matter?
	25	(No response.)
22		Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14
	1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
	2	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
	3	MS. NEFF: Aye.
	4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
	5	I'm sorry. Mr. Benjamin, did you say
	6	aye or
	7	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
	8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. And opposed?
	9	(No response.)
	10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: The motion carries.

The next item is the motion to approve a

 $\ensuremath{\mathsf{ZBA}}$ Interpretation Document for a request from

from the new addition be retained on the

17

11

13	the Planning Board for interpretation of
14	Section 150-9 A $\&$ B, permitted and conditional
15	uses in the Retail Commercial District. The
16	Planning Board is considering an application
17	for establishment of a car service, taxi
18	service, proposed to be located in a parcel
19	designated as Retail Commercial.
20	Again, I did circulate that document to
21	the Board, if anyone has any comments on it.
22	If not, I would make a motion that we approve
23	that document as written. And may I have a
24	second?
25	MS. NEFF: Second.

l	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And any discussion?
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
1	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
5	MS. NEFF: Aye.
õ	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
7	MR. BENJAMIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Any opposed?

23

9	(No response.)
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: The motion carries.
11	So that document is approved. I'll sign
12	them and return them to the Building
13	Inspector.
14	And next is a motion to accept the ZBA
15	minutes for May 21, 2014. So moved. May I
16	have a second?
17	MS. NEFF: Second.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
19	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
20	MS. NEFF: Aye.
21	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
23	The motion carries.
24	Motion to approve the ZBA minutes for
25	April 16, 2014. So moved. Second, please.

1	MR. BENJAMIN: Second
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
3	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
4	MS. NEFF: Aye.

5 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. 6 The motion carries. 7 And then a motion to schedule the next 8 9 regular ZBA meeting for July 16, 2014. I 10 believe we will have a few new applications, 11 but they will only be accepted, so we won't be 12 able to have any site visits. So it will be 13 at 5 p.m., July 16. I'll make that motion. 14 May I have a second, please? 15 MR. CORWIN: Second. 16 MS. NEFF: Second. 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Everybody's available for that meeting, I suppose. 18 19 MS. NEFF: Just --20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, Ms. Neff. MS. NEFF: Could you just say the date 21 22 again? 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: July 16, Wednesday. 24 MS. NEFF: July 16. 25 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Third Wednesday.

- 1 MS. NEFF: Got it. Okay.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay? And --
- 3 MS. NEFF: So our regular meeting time,
- 4 5:00?
- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Regular meeting time,
- 6 5:00. And did I get a second on that? If
- 7 not, may I have a second?
- 8 MR. CORWIN: Second.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Second. And all in
- 10 favor?
- 11 MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- MS. NEFF: Aye.
- 13 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- And then, before I make a motion to
- 16 adjourn, Mr. Abatelli has left, but I just
- 17 would like to thank him. This will be his
- 18 last ZBA meeting before he retires at the end
- of the month, so we'd like to thank him. And
- I'm sure he will enjoy his future. And we'll
- see how things go in his absence.
- I'd like to make a motion to adjourn.
- 23 I'll ask for a second.
- MS. NEFF: Second.
- 25 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?

```
MR. CORWIN: Aye.
 1
               MS. NEFF: Aye.
 2
 3
               MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
               CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
 4
               The motion carries. It was an
 5
 6
         interesting meeting.
               (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at
7
         5:44 p.m.)
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
```

	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
27		Zoning Board of Appeals 6/18/14
	1	CERTIFICATION
	2	
	3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
	4) SS:
	5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)
	6	
	7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and
	8	Notary Public for and within the State of New
	9	York, do hereby certify:
	10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a
	11	true and correct transcription of the
	12	proceedings taken on June 18, 2014.
	13	I further certify that I am not
	14	related to any of the parties to this action
	15	by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way
	16	interested in the outcome of this matter.
	17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto

18	set	my	hand	this	2nd	day	of	July,	2014.	
19										
20										
21										
22										
23							L	ucia B	raaten	
24										
25										