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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Folks, good evening. This is the Village of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals regular meeting.

Item No. 1 is a motion to accept the minutes of the October 15th, 2019 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.

MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

MEMBER REARDON: Aye.

MEMBER TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

Item No. 2 is a motion to approve the minutes of the August 20th, 2019 and September 17th, 2019 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MEMBER TASKER: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

MEMBER REARDON: Aye.

MEMBER TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote aye.

Any abstentions?
MEMBER CORWIN: Abstain.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And one abstention. Item No. 3 is a motion to schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for Tuesday, December 17th, 2019 at 6 p.m. at the Third Street Fire Station, Greenport, New York 11944. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.

MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

MEMBER REARDON: Aye.

MEMBER TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye. Item No. 4 is 326 Front Street. It's a continuation of a Public Hearing regarding area variances requested by ANVK Holdings Trust (the Greenporter Hotel) for the property located at 326 Front Street. The Suffolk County -- Suffolk County Tax Map Number is 1001-4.-8-29,30 & 31.

Before we -- before we open it up to the public, there's a couple of things I want to -- I want to -- we have a letter from -- the applicant has -- the applicant I don't believe is here. Her architect is not here. We've been informed that
she's retained counsel. I have a letter from the
counsel, it's addressed to Mr. Pallas.

It says, "Dear Mr. Pallas, I've been asked
to assist the owners of the Greenporter Hotel with
the application before the Zoning Board of
Appeals. I understand the matter is on the Zoning
Board's agenda for tomorrow evening,
November 19th, 2019. I have a conflict with a
matter in the Town of Riverhead, and, therefore,
respectfully request that the hearing be
adjourned." So we're going to take that into
consideration.

Also, before we let the public speak, if
anyone from the public wants to speak, we have --
we have -- should I ask the public if they want to
speak first, or read this Planning Board memo into
the record?

MEMBER GORDON: Read it.

MEMBERTASKER: You don't need to read it

at all.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Why not?

MR. CONNOLLY: Yeah, I mean, they asked for
an adjournment, so we'd just adjourn the public
hearing until next month.

MEMBERTASKER: Yes.
MR. CONNOLLY: Since they're not here to respond to any --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yeah, but the public's here. The public shouldn't be penalized because the attorney is in Riverhead talking about something else.

MR. CONNOLLY: The applicant has a right to an adjournment.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, let me ask. Not that I'm opposed to it, but let me ask you, what's the difference between adjourning the public hearing or just keeping it open and --

MR. CONNOLLY: Well, they're asking for you to adjourn so it's not discussed this evening.

MEMBER GORDON: Is there a requirement on us to respond to that request?

MR. CONNOLLY: Well, it's usually -- it's a courtesy that is normally granted to applicants when they ask that.

MEMBER GORDON: But we could -- we could respond to the request after we do a little bit of the business that is usually done in the hearing, right?

MR. CONNOLLY: Well, there's nobody, there's nobody here to respond to it for the applicant.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, they could respond next month. What's the -- basically, what I'm asking is like what's the detriment? What harm does it do?

MR. CONNOLLY: Because they asked for an adjournment. I mean, that's --

MEMBER REARDON: Their will.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Between me and you, or between --

MEMBER REARDON: No, it's --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- me and them?

MEMBER TASKER: It's like being a little bit pregnant, John. If you have an adjournment, you have an adjournment.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll leave it to the members. Should -- I'll make a motion that we withhold the Planning Board's remarks until next month, we adjourn this public hearing until December -- help me out here.

MEMBER REARDON: Seventeenth.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: December 17th meeting.

So moved.

MEMBER TASKER: I'd like to propose an amendment that you delete the reference to the Planning Board's note -- letter to us, and simply
adjourn the public hearing until next month, as requested by Mr. Kay. I move that amendment. Is there a second?

MEMBER REARDON: I'll second that amendment.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Can we have a discussion? Why, why would it be inappropriate to read these comments and provide the applicant with a copy of them? She's already been to -- the applicant's already been to the Planning Board. Why would it -- why would it be inappropriate to read the applicant -- the Planning Board's comments? They held a special hearing -- a special meeting, actually, to make these comments.

MEMBER TASKER: At our -- at our request.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And they accommodated us.

MEMBER TASKER: Yes. And this is in response to our questions or our request for information. It is not a submission from the public that necessarily should be part of the public hearing. It can -- it is to be discussed by us in our deliberations, and if we want to take it into account in the determination we make on that, we may do that, but all of it should be done in front of the applicant.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the request that
we made -- I'm not sure I agree with that Arthur, but I'll leave it up to the members. But just to clarify, the request that was made was the Board of Appeals has requested that the opinions regarding these details and any others that affect the aforementioned application be conveyed in writing before the next meeting on November 19th, 2019, at which time the Zoning Board will continue the public hearing for 326 Front Street.

**MEMBER REARDON:** We -- I'm sorry.

**CHAIRMAN SALADINO:** That was our -- that was our request.

**MEMBER REARDON:** We have an amendment on the table, we have to move on that.

**MEMBER TASKER:** The amendment's been made and seconded.

**MEMBER REARDON:** Right, we have to vote on that.

**MEMBER TASKER:** I call the question.

**CHAIRMAN SALADINO:** No discussion? Isn't that what I asked for, discussion?

**MEMBER REARDON:** Right.

**MEMBER TASKER:** To the amendment.

**CHAIRMAN SALADINO:** Yeah.

**MEMBER TASKER:** I call the question.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All those in favor?
I'll call the roll. David.

MEMBER CORWIN: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Diana.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack.

MEMBER REARDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur.

MEMBER TASKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll vote no.

So we're going to put that on the side.

We're not going to read the Planning Board's comments.

MEMBER TASKER: We're back to your amended motion, which is to adjourn the public hearing, I believe, until next month, at the request of the attorney for the applicant.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Was that seconded?

MEMBER TASKER: Yes, it was.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes, I think so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. David.

MEMBER CORWIN: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Diana.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack.
MEMBER REARDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur.

MEMBER TASKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't have a problem adjourning the public hearing. You know, I had just thought that -- I'm going to vote yes, also, but my explanation is I had thought that perhaps there's people from the public that would have liked to speak. They took the time to come here. We should have afforded them that courtesy. We imposed the Planning Board to hold a special meeting, they had comments, they did. I just thought we should -- our courtesy should extend to the public and the Planning Board in addition to the applicant. I vote yes, also.

MEMBER REARDON: And I acknowledge your comment, it is a good courtesy to do that. Unfortunately, protocol, you know, sort of prohibits us from doing that, that's how I see it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't see anything in our mandate that says we're obligated to do that.

MEMBER TASKER: To do?

MEMBER GORDON: We did have two meetings at which we held a hearing and there were a lot of people who spoke, so I don't feel as though we're
stifling public comment.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't feel we're --

MEMBER TASKER: We have -- we have comment --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't feel we're stifling -- excuse me. I don't feel we're stifling public comment. I feel that sometimes people leave their home, we encourage them. This Board, the Village Board, the Planning Board encourage people to leave their home, leave their dinner on the table, come to these meetings to be informed, be aware, and make their statement.

Now, because -- because of something we received yesterday, those people are enjoined, they're not afforded, whoever they might be, they're not afforded the opportunity to come. So they left their dinner, they left their family at home, and now, because someone else is choosing to speak at a wastewater discussion in Riverhead, those people who live in Greenport don't get a chance to speak on this application. I don't think it's right. I think we're here to --

MEMBER TASKER: Mr. Chairman the only thing I'll -- the thing that I will disagree with you on this is that it's not like we have never --
the Zoning Board, not we, the Zoning Board of
Appeals has never adjourned a meeting, or, rather,
adjourned a public hearing on short notice without
early notice to the public, and they've showed up
hungry and gone home unsatisfied because they
haven't been able to speak. This is not the first
time this has occurred.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Never, never on my vote.
Never, never by me voting yes, so I can't -- I
can't speak. I don't have the minutes or the --
that information in front of me, but I have never
done that.

MEMBER TASKER: Nor do I, but I'll bet you
money, marbles and chalk it's been done before.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There's no doubt in my
mind, Arthur, that it's been done before, but
because it's been done before doesn't necessarily
make it right.

So moving on. Item No. 5 is a public -- are
you guys ready for us to move on? Item No. 5 is
426 Second Street. A Public Hearing regarding
area variances requested by Eric Fischer for the
property located at 426 Second Street. The
Suffolk County Tax Map is 1001-4.-2-34.1. And for
the public that's -- that might be interested, I
believe the public notice is attached to the agenda somewhere.

Is the applicant here?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Hello. I'm here to represent the client, from Thomas Blore, Architect's Office. Good evening. My name is Ahmed Abdelmoneim from Thomas Blore, Architect's Office, located at 60 Carlton Avenue, Suite 202, Islip Terrace, New York. You want me to speak about the project?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just so we're -- I do, but I'm going to go out of order here a few seconds. I didn't have my list in front of me. I have -- I have the mailings, and I also -- I'm not sure if I have -- and I apologize.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: That's okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not sure if I have the applicant's affidavit that you're here to represent them. Do I have that?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: You should. If not, I could see if I have a copy of it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you could just give me -- and I would ask the Building Clerk.

MR. PALLAS: We have it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This was noticed in
the --

MR. PALLAS: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And we have -- we have Mr. Fischer's thing. I'll -- if it's okay with the Board and the public, I'll read the mailings after we get the comments. I'm sorry.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: That's okay. All right.

Shall I proceed?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Sure.

MEMBER CORWIN: Just can I say one thing?

The representative was going to be the Architect, Eric Fischer.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Eric Fischer is the homeowner, sir.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Eric Fischer is the applicant.

MEMBER CORWIN: The applicant. Thomas Blore, the -- is going to be the Architect?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Correct.

MEMBER CORWIN: So you work for Mr. Blore and are representing him?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Yes.

MEMBER CORWIN: Thank you.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Thank you. The project is
located at 426 Second Street, Greenport, New York. The lot size is 50.22 feet by 138.18 feet. It's about 6,940 square feet. We're proposing a lot coverage of 22.28%, approximately 1,546 square feet, in the Zone of R-2. Tax Map Number is 1001-4.-2-34.001. This is an existing one-family residence. The property is located on the east side of Second Street, plus or minus, 206 feet south of North Street in Greenport, New York.

The intent of this application is to propose a new inground pool, a new hot tub, and a new rear covered porch. The proposed work will be utilized by the owner's family for recreational activities.

The proposed rear deck will be in line with the existing wood stoop, which is off of the existing side door of the residence, to allow circulation into the rear yard via a covered deck from the existing side door. The proposed deck will wrap around the house to provide circulation to the opposite side of the property as well.

We're also proposing fencing and gates at the midway point of the house to screen any structurals -- any structures from the street view.

The proposed pool dimension are 30 feet by
16 feet. The proposed hot top is manufactured by Hot Spring, the model is Grandee, and its dimensions are 8 feet 4 inches by 7 feet 7 inches by 38 inches high. The proposed rear deck is approximately 498 square feet.

We are requesting five variance items. The first one is for a rear yard setback to the inground pool. We're requesting a rear yard setback of 18.37 feet, approximately 18 feet 4 inches, instead of the required 20 feet, to the proposed inground pool.

We're requesting a north side yard setback to the inground pool of 16.45 feet, approximately 16 feet 5 inches, instead of the required 20 feet. This will require a variance of 3 feet 7 inches to the north side of the pool.

The south, we are also requesting a south side yard setback to the pool of 16 feet 5 inches, instead of the required 20 feet, requiring also a 3 foot 7 inch setback to the pool.

I'd like to clarify the requested setback to the hot tub, as it is noted incorrectly, or maybe mistyped, on the Notice of Disapproval. And the Notice of Disapproval is stating that the seeking setback is to be 15 feet off of the north side of
the property line, where, in fact, we're looking for a 13-foot side yard setback, proposed side yard setback, requesting a 7 foot allowance area.

So this goes to the fifth -- this goes to my fourth request, which is to the north side yard of the hot tub. We're requesting a 13 foot side yard setback, instead of the required 20 foot, to the proposed hot tub, requiring a variance of 7 foot on the north side setback of the proposed hot tub.

And lastly, the fifth variance we are seeking is for the total side yards, which is to the covered deck. We're requesting a total side yard setback of 21.81 feet, which is 21 feet 10 inches, instead of the required 25 feet of total side yards, to the proposed rear covered deck, requiring a variance of 3 feet 2 inches for total side yards.

If you have any questions, I'll be happy to answer them for you.

MEMBER CORWIN: I have a couple of questions.

MR. ABDELMOEIM: Sure.

MEMBER CORWIN: You went pretty fast for me. I'm slow, no question about it. And the covered porch roof, is that included in that denial?
MR. ABDELMONEIM: The rear covered deck has a -- is -- we're requesting a variance for the total side yards to the covered deck.

MEMBER CORWIN: To the covered --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Correct.

MEMBER CORWIN: All right, fine.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: That would be the only provision that is going against your zoning codes.

MEMBER CORWIN: I went out there a little earlier this afternoon, when the light was a little better, and there's a shed on the property next door, and it's not noted on the survey, so I guess that's saying that shed is not on Eric's property. Are you familiar with the shed there?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: I could confirm that with the survey we have and just give you a definite answer.

MEMBER CORWIN: There's a shed back here.

MEMBER GORDON: Oh, that, yes.

MEMBER CORWIN: What it was, was a -- was a timeline. It was Harry Monsell's probably chicken coop, and then there was a little shed off of the chicken coop. In other words, the piece of property to the east. And then I didn't think to pull out the survey when I went there. I tried to
determine where the property line was, and from where I guessed at the property line, that shed is on the property. I see the survey, it's not on the property.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Correct.

MEMBER CORWIN: I look at the Southold Town tax parcel identity map, which is a very poor reference, and it shows the shed a little bit. So I guess I'm just wondering.

They took the property line that divided all those lots up and down north and south and it's jogged over a little for this particular piece of property. But I just want to be assured in my mind that that shed, where it is on this piece of property and that shed opens to the west. So the only way it could open into that little lean-to shed is to go onto this property, as I can see. So are you familiar with that at all?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Before you --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Sorry.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David, is that shed on this survey?

MEMBER CORWIN: It is not.

MEMBER TASKER: Should it be?
MEMBER CORWIN: So that's my question, should it be?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: So this survey was updated March 8th, 2019 of this year. So if that shed was on this property, it would have been indicated. That would lead me to believe it's not on this property.

MEMBER CORWIN: But you're not saying 100% certainty.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Well, if a licensed surveyor surveyed the property, I think it's safe to say it's not.

MEMBER CORWIN: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: My survey says the updated survey was March 8th, 2019, is that --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Correct, yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And on this survey the shed is not there. So, David, your question is?

MEMBER CORWIN: Well, was there a mistake made someplace, maybe by the surveyor? Because I looked for a property line. As best I could tell, the shed was over the property line, but that doesn't mean anything. I looked in the Town's tax map locator, that shed is over the property, but that doesn't mean anything. The survey,
obviously, has more weight than any of the things I saw.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Could it be suggested that the shed was added after?

MEMBER CORWIN: Oh, no, no. That shed's been there 100 years.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Then do you think we should just go by the survey?

MEMBER CORWIN: At this point in time, yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So we'll do that.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: We're not proposing a shed, sir, so if it is there, the owner would be most likely be happy to remove it as well, if it's on his property.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Any other member?

MEMBER TASKER: Yeah, I had a question.

MEMBER CORWIN: I had some more.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, I'm sorry. I'm sorry.

MEMBER TASKER: Excuse me.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David's not done.

MEMBER TASKER: David, pardon me.

MEMBER CORWIN: The circulating pump for the pool and the hot tub, where are they going to be located?
MR. ABDELMONEIM: The equipment you're asking?

MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: The equipment, so we created an access panel beneath the deck that would allow for the equipment to be stored there. So the deck is raised.

MEMBER CORWIN: North side, south side? The reason I ask is because we've had people come in here before and it's noisy.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Yes.

MEMBER CORWIN: Then the pool guy comes in and he says, hey, this is the quietest pump they ever made.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Right.

MEMBER CORWIN: He plugs it in, shows us how quiet it is, it's great. Of course, five years later, the bearings get a little sloppy.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Right.

MEMBER CORWIN: They start making noise. Then people say, "Uh, the Village of Greenport."

So --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: We'll be happy to.

MEMBER CORWIN: I'd like to see specifications for the pumps --
MR. ABDELMONEIM: Sure.

MEMBER CORWIN: -- and the location of the pump.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Absolutely. Is there a preferred location you'd like to see it? We would be happy to locate it at your discretion.

MEMBER CORWIN: Well, I don't -- I'm not going to design it.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Okay.

MEMBER CORWIN: I'm just saying I want to see where it is --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Sure.

MEMBER CORWIN: -- and the specifications for the pump.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Absolutely. In our construction documents we show an access panel through the deck that would allow for equipment to be stored beneath it.

MEMBER CORWIN: But you're not saying north or south or --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: We can definitely indicate this on the site plan accordingly.

MEMBER CORWIN: I would appreciate that.

Stormwater. That area, that's a little bit higher. If you go a block south, it's a very low
area with poor drainage. So the one leader that I saw was on the northeast corner, I didn't think to look for the others, and it's just being discharged to the ground. And I -- like I said, I didn't look for the others. But I'm a little concerned that it would be better if that stormwater discharge was into a -- some kind of receptacle, some kind of dry well.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Okay.

MEMBER CORWIN: So that's one thing I would like to see.

On the Short Environmental Impact Statement, you -- it says that this was a remediation site. What was the nature of any contamination, what was the remediation?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Well, that was taken directly from DEC. They have a short environmental mapper software that you can access online. So I don't have the exact knowledge as to what type of remediation the site was prior to its current use. I could look into it and find out.

MEMBER CORWIN: I think maybe the -- what do you call it? The greenhouse, the glass, there was a -- what do you call them? Greenhouse, I guess, on -- in that location, and that may have been
what the -- do you remember where that was, Arthur?

MEMBER TASKER: No, I don't.

MEMBER CORWIN: That may have been what that remediation was.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Okay.

MEMBER CORWIN: The topsoil there, what you have on that property is not what I would call topsoil.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Okay.

MEMBER CORWIN: I don't know what you put down there, but it doesn't look like good drainage material to me --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Okay.

MEMBER CORWIN: -- which goes back to my question about stormwater discharge. So, I mean, maybe you don't have any information about what was spread there or the nature of the soil, but unless you say to me, "Hey, I saw six inches of topsoil spread there," I'm just kind of wondering what the nature of the soil there is.

And I don't have a big problem with this pool as it stands now, unless we have input from the neighbors. But if the Zoning Board is going to give you a gift, I think the Village of
Greenport deserves one or two gifts like dry wells --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Sure.

MEMBER CORWIN: -- in an area that has a problem with rainwater runoff.

And then I think, finally, it must say on the plans, I looked at them carefully, but I don't remember, what's the nature of the roof over the deck? What are the materials?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: It would be a standing seam roof.

MEMBER CORWIN: So it's metal, so it's --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Correct. Pretty rigid, good looking.

MEMBER CORWIN: Pretty fireproof, that's what I would say.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Yes. And we're actually proposing Type X soffits below it for fire rating.

MEMBER CORWIN: Good. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur, before -- before Arthur, just one question about the EAF. It says, "Has the site of the proposed action or adjoining property have been subject to remediation." Do we know if it was that particular property.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: We don't.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Or the property next door?

MR. ABDelmoneim: Yeah. I don't know exact information, specific informations regarding this, and I can definitely look into it more. This is taken directly from DEC's website.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Mr. Tasker has a question.

MEMBER TASKER: Just an observation. It may be, I'm not exactly sure which parcel this is, but this parcel and an adjacent one, whether to the north or south or the back end of the Monsell property, and it was subdivided a few years ago. It may be that if the property to the south is the other half of that, that there was common ownership of the two going back to the -- a common ownership with the house in front that faces on First Street. So that may give you a lead in terms of what's going on there in terms of remediation.

MR. ABDelmoneim: Okay.

MEMBER TASKER: I have a question about parking. You show the parking on the side of the house. Is that to meet any code requirement, any particular code requirement?
MR. ABDELMONEIM: No, sir. I think the client was just looking to see how the dimensions of their driveway and see a real picture and plan of how their cars would be able to line up.

MEMBER TASKER: Well, that's good that you point that out, because you show three cars in that space.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Right.

MEMBER TASKER: And as I just scaled it off, the space from the westerly property line to the line where the childproof self-closing gate latch is, is 36 feet.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Okay

MEMBER TASKER: And I'm not sure how you're going to come up with three 12-foot cars to fit in there. Moreover, it shows that if you scale it off of the 5-foot width of the existing gravel driveway, it says that -- or is that 15?

MEMBER REARDON: Fifteen feet.

MEMBER TASKER: Well, then I'm mistaken. But, certainly, the cars are very small in scale compared to the actual size. Do you intend three cars there, really?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Well, the owner may want space for three cars if they ever have guests.
MEMBER TASKER: Well, there are going to be three 12-foot cars. Where is he going to come up with those?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: If that's the case, sir, we would move the fence further back a few more feet.

MEMBER TASKER: Into the wooden stoop?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: No, sir. Probably, in a smart way, locate the fence to allow for space for three cars, if that's what the owner is seeking. Otherwise, it's more than enough space for two cars.

MEMBER TASKER: Okay. Well, I don't see that it implicates matters that are of our concern. It strikes me as a lot of cars in a small space. Thank you.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just this is a one-family house?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Yes, sir.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So he's only obligated to have two parking spaces?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Correct?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So he puts on the drawing.
MR. ABDELMONEIM: Right. I think it's just for them, just so they could see if they want to squeeze a third car, maybe to have a MINI Cooper, you know.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: (Laughter) Okay. Okay.

MEMBER REARDON: Or three MINI Coopers.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As long as we have room for two cars, right? That's the only thing we should be concerned with.

MEMBER TASKER: And there's room for two 18-foot cars in the space that's shown there.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have to be honest with you, I'm a car guy, I have no idea how long my car is.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Well, he's correct, because I guess a typical parking stall is 9-by-18 or 8-by-20 or 9-by-20.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: In Greenport, it's 10-by-20.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Ten-by-20, so he makes a good point.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we're going to -- we're going to point that out to the Building Department, and they, perhaps, will have a conversation with the --
MR. ABDEL MONEIM: Sure.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- with the homeowner. Is there anyone else on this Board that has a question for this applicant's representative?
(No Response)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No? Okay. Before I -- thank you.

MR. ABDEL MONEIM: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Before I open it up to the public, I'm going to have to read, if we can -- if I can find it, the mailings into the record.

MEMBER GORDON: I have a list.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I feel like Ellen Neff here now with all the papers spread out.


MEMBER CORWIN: Mr. Chairman, I got to tell
you, Judy Ahrens moved out of that house probably
two or three years ago, so these seem like old tax
map names. And Tom Monsell died two or three
years ago. So I don't know. Possibly, the 2019
Southold Town tax map still has those names on
them, but I would certainly question Ahrens.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, they have the
receipt, they have return receipts. Don't --
doesn't the Village have -- we don't know, we
don't know if -- we certainly know that
Mr. Monsell passed away, and we suspect -- and we
know that Judy Ahrens doesn't live there anymore,
we all seem to know that. But we don't know if
one of their family members or --

MEMBER TASKER: Both of the Judy Ahrens
lots, which were the back end of Judy -- of the
house on First Street, both of the two lots that
were subdivided off of there were sold to -- in
two separate transactions to an individual who
built a house back there. That was at least four
years ago.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not --

MEMBER CORWIN: I got to say, the names,
they seem old. They need to be checked, because
if it went -- I don't think the neighbors are
going to object, I don't know, but if it went to Judy Ahrens, it got thrown away, and the rightful owner of the property didn't get notified.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Can we -- can I ask the -- before I ask the Building Department, could I ask the Attorney?

MR. CONNOLLY: Well, the applicant is merely required to give notice to the individuals who are listed on the tax rolls. So if those are still the addresses that are --

MR. PALLAS: That's where we -- we obtain the names and addresses from the tax rolls, and it's --


MEMBER TASKER: So that's for two --

MR. PALLAS: It's -- we go into the computerized system, that's not a paper system.

MEMBER CORWIN: The 2019 tax rolls, is that the one that you used to give them the names?

MR. PALLAS: Again, I don't -- Mr. Corwin, I don't know what -- when we look it up in the system, what the date of that information is. I'm assuming it's as up to date as Southold keeps it, and that we have no other recourse other than that. This is where the tax bills are mailed.
MEMBER TASKER: Okay, you answered my
question. That is the address to which the tax
map -- the tax bill is sent.

MR. PALLAS: Yeah, that's the same -- it's
my understanding it's the same system.

MEMBER TASKER: Or is it the address of the
known property owner?

MR. PALLAS: Well, it's where -- it's from
the tax -- my understanding is it's from the tax,
the property tax system in Southold, that's my
understanding.

MEMBER GORDON: I'm concerned about it, too,
because I have good friends who live in the
relatively new house just to the south of this
house, and who own the two lots there to the -- to
the south. There's an empty lot and then there's
their house. Now it's possible, it's possible, I
suppose, that they're using a corporate entity
that is mentioned here and doesn't have their
name, but I'm sort of doubtful about that. So
just adding to the concern of others, that we need
to be sure about this.

MEMBER CORWIN: Well, let's just leave it,
the hearing open and check on the names, or make a
motion subject to the names being the 2019
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we have the assurance --

MEMBER CORWIN: The tax assessment roll.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We have the assurance of the Building Department that that's the source of information. It's not that --

MEMBER CORWIN: No, that's not what he said. He said we got it off a computer. You can get anything you want off a computer. What's the tax roll, 2019 assessment roll Southold Town put up on their website? Is it on their website, or was it on a Village of Greenport cobbled-together website?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It is not on a cobbled-together website from the Village. It's from the Town of Southold that we get the information. I cannot tell you sitting here what specific Town of Southold piece of information it's received from, but it is what we've been using, as far as I know, as long as -- for many, many, many years.

MEMBER CORWIN: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, again, so -- so there's no question in anyone's mind. David
raised the question, it appears that the head of the Building Department answered it. So there's no question in anyone's mind, the applicant's mind, the public's mind. I'm going to ask the Attorney, are we -- are we on solid ground here by using the information that was provided to the Building Department from the Town of Southold about the people that live in these houses or on --

MR. CONNOLLY: Yeah, yeah. The applicant complied with the requirements of the Village of Greenport, and the properties that were noticed were the properties that were provided to the applicant by the Village.

And you don't know either, too, that new property owners may not have updated their addresses with the Town of Southold Town Assessor's Office, so there's, you know, a burden on new homeowners, too, to update the information.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I'm going to ask the members, are we satisfied with that explanation? Are we satisfied with that explanation enough --

MEMBER GORDON: I guess --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- not to keep this
MEMBER GORDON: I have a question. If we close the public hearing and we have a property owner who was not informed and is concerned, can -- do we reopen the hearing? What is the procedure?

MEMBER TASKER: If they had actual notice of the meeting, that is as good as receiving the mailed notice. So if they knew there was a public hearing on this proposal tonight and failed to attend, that's no different than receiving the mail notice and failed to attend.

MEMBER GORDON: Even notice, it's constructive.

MEMBER TASKER: No, it's actual notice. If they knew actually that there's a hearing tonight, read about in the Suffolk Times --

MEMBER GORDON: All right

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There was public notice posted in front of the applicant's property.

MEMBER GORDON: That's true.

MR. CONNOLLY: It was posted in the newspaper, it was on the Village website.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It was posted in the newspaper.
MEMBER GORDON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm --

MEMBER GORDON: Okay.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll show my cards. I'm okay with this, but I'll leave it to the members. Are we satisfied with this?

MEMBER CORWIN: I'm satisfied until I go home and look up the 2019 Southold Town assessment roll.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: When you do --

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: David, when you do that, when you do that, call Paul Pallas.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: He's going to leave you his cell phone address -- his cell phone number and you can call him and let him know.

All right. Having done that, having done that, which seems like a while ago, I'm going to open up this public hearing to the -- to the public. Is there anyone from the public that would like to comment about this public hearing?

No?

(No Response)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'll make a motion that
we close this public hearing.

MEMBER TASKER: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.

MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

MEMBER REARDON: Aye.

MEMBER TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

I have an agenda. I have a file here someplace. I apologize, folks.

MR. PALLAS: Mr. Chairman, if I may, there was a lot of discussion about what was requested of the applicant. Can we enumerate that somehow, so we can --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Can we go --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: You got it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As part of our discussion? As part of our discussion, or before we close the public hearing?

MR. PALLAS: So it was toward discussion that we may subsequently have with the applicant to ensure you get the information you requested.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's your pleasure.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I believe David and
Arthur were the only two that had asked from the applicant. Do we remember what we were asking, so that the Clerk of the Boards can make a note and make sure that the applicant knows?

MEMBER CORWIN: I want -- I want to inspect the property again so I can look and see where the storm water's going. If I'm satisfied it stays on the property, that's one thing. If I look and I see that the stormwater has the potential to go onto Second Street, then I'm going to say dry wells. I want the location of the pool pump and specifications on it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Would you be satisfied if the applicant, if the applicant stipulated that they would put a dry well in to handle stormwater runoff?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: I think that's a fair request.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we can eliminate --

MEMBER CORWIN: If that's what the applicant will do, yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. And as far as the pool equipment, you're looking for a location, and what, decibel level or --

MEMBER CORWIN: Well, yeah, that's the
The decibel level of the pump, the circulating pump.

MEMBER REARDON: The location and type of
housing that they're going to use to enclose it? Because they might decide they're going to -- they
want to put it out, away.

MEMBER CORWIN: Well, he's already said he's
going to put it under the deck.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, he's already said
where he's going to put it.

MEMBER GORDON: Underneath.

MEMBER REARDON: Right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: He said the location.

Would that be something the -- I get chastised for
saying this all the time. Is that something that
we should rely on the Building Department to -- I
mean, I'm sure there's standards for these pumps
and --

MEMBER CORWIN: I have no problem with
putting that to the Building Department, that they
make a judgment on location and sound. But if
you're looking to approve tonight, let the
Building Department do that, make a location -- a
judgment on location and sound. And if they are
satisfied with it, in other words, a motion to
approve subject to. So that's two things, subject
to dry wells installed, and the Building
Department being satisfied with the location and
the decibel level of the circulator pump for the
pool.

MEMBER REARDON: Can I ask you this? Would
it be easy enough to generate another plot plan
that would show those two things?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Oh, absolutely. Yeah,
I'll locate the pool, the pool equipment, the hot
tub equipment. I'll get a spec on it, whether
it's Hayward or whatever, I got pools.

MEMBER REARDON: Right.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: I know exactly what pool
we're work working with. And see if they have a
decibel reading as far as how much noise it makes
during the time it's running, maybe even give you
a schedule as far as the filtering process.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And that's good, and
thank you for that.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The problem is that --
and I'm not necessarily saying that we should
approve this. I'm not looking to approve this	onight. I'm looking for a consensus from the
members, and what the members decide is what we'll
do here tonight.

I don't want -- I don't want -- I would rather not have to make this decision next month predicated on the decibel level of the pool. I'm willing to cede my authority in voting yes or no on this to the Building Department. If they're satisfied -- and I believe David said that also.

MEMBER GORDON: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If they're satisfied with the decibel level and the location, as long as it doesn't intrude on the sideline, I would be -- me, personally.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Sure.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't speak for my colleagues. Me, personally, I would be satisfied in leaving that up to them to decide if the pool pump is in an appropriate area and it fits the -- I don't know if there's a standard for decibel levels for pool pumps. But if they're satisfied, if you can satisfy them, I would be satisfied with that.

And, again, I don't want to speak for the members. Do the members have any comments on that, letting the Building Department decide if the spot is appropriate and the decibel level is
within Village Code?

MEMBER TASKER: They've got the expertise that we don't have.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, at least four of us don't, one of us might. But --

MEMBER GORDON: Well, if that -- if the one who is going to have more technical understanding of this is prepared to accept the decisions of the Building Department, that seems to me to be what we need.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. And, I believe, yes. David, you're okay with that?

MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So those --

Arthur, and your concern?

MEMBER TASKER: My concern was the parking question, and that's been addressed, so --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the parking, just so we're on the page --

MEMBER TASKER: Three clown cars will fit, so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we don't have --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: With all due respect, sir, it's a one-family home, they require two parking stalls.
MEMBER TASKER: I understand.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: They have two parking stalls.

MEMBER TASKER: But it showed three cars.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: We'll show you two, I'll revise that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Don't revise it on our account.

MEMBER TASKER: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's your site plan. It's your site plan, you put whatever you want on it.

MEMBER GORDON: That's for the one weekend when it's snowing and they have guests.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So, I'm going to --

MEMBER CORWIN: Can I ask one more question?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.

MEMBER CORWIN: I hate to belabor this, I apologize, but new bike shed, and there's no dimensions to the property line on that. What's the dimension from the property line, and what is a bike shed? And what is a changing room and an outdoor shower?
MR. ABDELMONEIM: So, actually, we show dimension of 5.81 feet.

MEMBER CORWIN: All right. But you don't have an arrow there that shows me 5.8 --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Yeah, we may have -- it may have just faded into the line rings.

MEMBER CORWIN: Well, there's several like that on the drawing that are problematic. But 5.81 feet to the new bike shed.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Correct, 10-feet-by-5-foot bike shed.

MEMBER CORWIN: And what exactly is a bike shed?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: It would be to store their bikes.

MEMBER CORWIN: But, I mean, is it texture 111 4-by-8 sheets or --

MR. ABDELMONEIM: I could provide you with the spec, if it's something they choose to buy, or maybe technical drawings if it's something they choose to build, if that's what you're asking.

MEMBER CORWIN: But does that -- when we give a variance for a side yard setback, are we giving a variance to that 5.8 feet, too?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: It's an accessory.
MR. ABDELMONEIM: It's an accessory shed, correct.

MEMBER CORWIN: It's an accessory and it doesn't need any, you're telling me?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: I believe so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, he needs 5 feet.

MEMBER CORWIN: As an accessory, okay. I'm sorry, I see now. Thank you.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anyone else before I read this?

MEMBER REARDON: I have none.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur?

MEMBER TASKER: I'm all set.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinni?

MEMBER GORDON: No, it's fine. Have we closed the public hearing?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We did. I believe we did, right?

MR. CONNOLLY: Yeah, you did. You kept talking about it, but you closed it.

(Laughter)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Item No. 6 is 326 Front Street. We're going to, since we -- it's a discussion and possible motion on the area
variance. Since we adjourned the public hearing, we're not going to take that item up.

Item No. 7 is 426 Second Street. It's a discussion and possible motion on the area variances applied for by Eric Fischer for the property located at 426 Second Street, Greenport, New York 11944. The Suffolk County Tax Map Number is 1001-4-2-34.1.

Is there any discussion from the members on this, aside from what we just spoke about? No?

(No Response)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Then -- no, we're sure? David? Diana, no?

MEMBER CORWIN: No.

MEMBER GORDON: I think the -- these variances are all individually pretty small, pretty insignificant. The accumulation of them makes for what I regard as a pretty crowded lot and house. And I'm sort of sorry about that, but they all seem to me to be reasonable requests, so -- so I guess I'm not going to make an objection.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So your comment is, is that the totality of the -- of the variances --

MEMBER GORDON: Yes, yes. We don't really
have a standard for -- I mean, it's sort of like a
proposed combined total area. I mean, we don't
really have a standard for the accumulated
structures that are proposed here, so --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we do, we have a
standard for lot coverage.

MEMBER GORDON: The lot coverage -- but the
lot coverage is okay here, right?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Unfortunate -- well, to
address your concern, which I kind of have the
same concern, but, unfortunately, in our code,
swimming pools don't go towards --

MEMBER GORDON: Right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- lot coverage, right?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Correct.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So --

MEMBER GORDON: And hot tubs are not even --

MEMBER TASKER: Well, if I can further
observe on Dinni's comment, I understand where
she's coming from in terms of accumulation of
variances. And we're at a disadvantage when we go
on a site visit, because we're seeing a
two-dimensional staked out area, not a
three-dimensional setup, so that we have no sense
at all of the bulk of what is being built, whether
it's decks, pools, pool houses and so forth, so
you get no sense of that at all.

But the second point is that we do have a
way to address that cumulative effect, if you
will, every one of which is not so bad in itself,
and that is when we address the quality, the
change in the nature of the neighborhood, and such
items in our deliberations.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I've made that comment
myself, when we have applicants that request, and
we all know the application, that request a
variance for a front yard setback. I freely
admit, I don't have the ability to look at a -- at
a plan and visualize that in real life in front
of -- I don't -- I don't have the ability. It was
not, you know, part of my training or job
description.

So I understand what you're saying, but I
also understand, and I remember my colleague,
Dinni, saying the thing that people request the
most from the Village of Greenport are kitchens --

MEMBER GORDON: Kitchens.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- and swimming pools.

MEMBER GORDON: Swimming pools.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, out of the 15
swimming pools that we -- that we granted in the last few years --

Member Gordon: And this isn't even the biggest.

Chairman Saladino: No, not even close.

Member Corwin: Just to note, that what is happening, Greenport's changing, and every other house is going to have a swimming pool in the backyard. So it's almost something you need to give a lot of thinking about in terms of what's the total effect.

Member Tasker: Well, in terms of assessing the total effect, maybe for future stakeouts, we should look for a three-dimensional stakeout with strings to show the height of the deck, for example, the height of the pool house as part of the layout, and that would give us three dimension, better three dimensional sense than a few stakes in the ground.

Chairman Saladino: Do we have the right to ask for something like that?

Member Tasker: Sure.

Chairman Saladino: (Pointing to Mr. Connolly). Mr. Connolly: I don't see why it couldn't.

Chairman Saladino: Okay.
MR. CONNOLLY: I mean, it's a little onerous, but --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. My concern is, is that I personally think that, that the portion of the code that dictates that the pool has to be 20 feet from a side yard -- from a property line, I -- and I freely admit this, I never quite understood that. I understand there's probably a safety thing involved, or someone jumping over a fence and they can only go 18 feet, as opposed to 20 feet, and not land in the pool. I honestly don't know. I think that might be something that at the next Code Committee meeting somebody brings that up, and maybe they want to look at that. Maybe the Village -- this way it gets it off the Zoning Board's plate and into the Planning Board's realm of -- I don't know. But right now we have this. The hearing now is this application.

So if anyone -- does anyone have any other comments?

(No Response)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Then I'll do SEQRA. I'm going to make a motion that the Zoning Board of Appeals declares itself Lead Agency for the
purposes of SEQRA. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.

MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

MEMBER REARDON: Aye.

MEMBER TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

I'll make a motion that this is a Type II,

Type II Action --

MR. CONNOLLY: (Nodded yes).

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- for the purposes of

SEQRA. So moved.

MEMBER TASKER: Second.

MEMBER REARDON: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.

MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

MEMBER REARDON: Aye.

MEMBER TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

If it's okay with the members, I'll read

these five questions, and perhaps we can vote on

this request.

Are we going to take -- as we've done in the
past, but I'll ask again, we're going to take all the variances as one, instead of individually.

MEMBER REARDON: That's good with me.

MEMBER GORDON: Yeah, I think that's appropriate, because they're very similar in size, each one, and there's no specific problem with a particular one that has arisen in our discussion.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. David, we okay with that? You're all good?

(No Response)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Question one is whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of this area variance. David.

MEMBER CORWIN: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Diana. Dinni.

MEMBER GORDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack.

MEMBER REARDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur.

MEMBER TASKER: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no. Whether the benefit sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the
applicant to pursue other than an area variance.

David.

MEMBER CORWIN: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinni.

MEMBER GORDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack.

MEMBER REARDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur.

MEMBER TASKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no.

Whether the requested area variance is substantial. David.

MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinni.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack.

MEMBER REARDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur.

MEMBER TASKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to vote no.

Whether the proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. David.

MEMBER CORWIN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinni.

MEMBER GORDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack.

MEMBER REARDON: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur.

MEMBER TASKER: No.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to vote no, but we're not concerned about the tree -- the tree frog, the northern cricket frog? We don't care about that? Okay.

MEMBER TASKER: I missed it, John.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: On the EAF. We don't care about it?

MR. ABDELMONEIM: That's an endangered species in the area, right? As per DEC, of course.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Whether the -- whether the alleged difficulty was self-created, which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals, but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of the area variance.

David.

MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinni.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack.
MEMBER REARDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur.
MEMBER TASKER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.
I'm going to make a motion that we grant the area variance. David.
MEMBER CORWIN: Subject to --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, I'm sorry.
MEMBER CORWIN: There's going to be some more to that motion, isn't there?
MEMBER TASKER: Yeah.
MEMBER CORWIN: A motion to -- do you want me to try to make it?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What, to grant the area variance subject to the information provided to the Building Department?
MR. CONNOLLY: And the installation of the --
MEMBER CORWIN: Well, I would like that on the record.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I'll make that motion, that we grant the area variance predicated -- subject to the applicant supplying the requested information to the Building
Department and them accepting that information.

MEMBER CORWIN: No, I'm not okay with that motion.

MEMBER TASKER: And?

MEMBER CORWIN: It needs to include that the applicant will install dry wells to handle roof water runoff.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We made -- we listed that as a condition. We listed that as a request, the applicant agreed to it.

MEMBER TASKER: The condition in the variance.

MEMBER CORWIN: But I want that in the motion.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.

MEMBER CORWIN: I want to hear that in the motion.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I'll make a motion that we grant the area variance predicated on the applicant installing dry wells for stormwater runoff, and also supplying to the Building Department the specifications and location of the pool mechanical equipment.

MEMBER CORWIN: For their judgment as to its --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: For their --

MEMBER GORDON: Approval?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- approval.

MEMBER CORWIN: I second that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So moved. Second by David? David?

MEMBER CORWIN: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. How do you vote?

MEMBER CORWIN: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinni.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack.

MEMBER REARDON: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Arthur.

MEMBER TASKER: Yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'm going to vote yes. Easy-peasy.

MR. ABDELMONEIM: Thank you, members, I appreciate it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Item No. 8 is any other Zoning Board of Appeals business that might properly come before this Board. Anyone have anything they would like to mention or suggest?

No?

(No Response)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Any member of the public that has any questions?

(No Response)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If not, Item No. 9 is a motion to adjourn. So moved.

MEMBER TASKER: Second.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

MEMBER CORWIN: Aye.

MEMBER GORDON: Aye.

MEMBER REARDON: Aye.

MEMBER TASKER: Aye.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

Thank you, folks.

(Time Noted: 7:04 p.m.)
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