1	
2	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
3	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
4	
5	REGULAR MEETING
6	December 17, 2014
7	5:00 p.m.
8	
9	
10	Meeting held at the Greenport Firehouse
11	236 Third Street, Greenport, New York 11944
12	
13	APPEARANCES:
14	Douglas Moore – Chairman
15	David Corwin
16	Charles Benjamin
17	Dinni Gordon
18	Ellen Neff
19	
20	Joseph Prokop – Village Attorney
21	Eileen Wingate - Village Building Inspector

ZBA 12/17/14

1	(Whereupon, the meeting was called to order
2	at 5:07 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So it's about five after
4	seven-after-five this evening, and this is the
5	regular meeting of the Greenport Zoning Board of
6	Appeals.
7	We have tonight two public hearings. The
8	first hearing is a public hearing for an appeal
9	for an area variance for Edward Werthner, Post
10	Office Box 982, Southold, New York. The
11	applicant seeks a building permit to construct a
12	house on a vacant lot located on the south side
13	of Bridge Street adjacent to 213 Bridge Street,
14	Greenport, New York; Suffolk County Tax Map
15	1001-2-2-8.4. The proposed house is 1,533.5
16	square feet, and the proposed rear deck is 150
17	square feet. The property is located in the R-1

18	District.
19	The proposed house is sited so the front of
20	the house is set back 20 feet from the north
21	property line.
22	Section 150-12A of the Greenport Village
23	Code requires a 30-foot front yard set back in
24	the R-1 District, requiring a 10-yard a
25	10-foot front yard setback variance.

The proposed house is sited so that the

3

2	rear of the house is set back 27.96 feet from the
۷	Teal of the house is set back 27.90 feet from the
3	south property line.
4	Again, Section 150-12A of the Greenport
5	Village Code requires a 30-foot rear yard setback
6	in the R-1 District, requiring a 2.04-foot rear
7	yard setback variance.
8	The proposed rear deck is sited so that the
9	proposed rear deck is set back 17.96 feet from
10	the south property line.
11	Section 150-12A of the Village of Greenport
12	Code requires a 30-foot rear yard setback in the
13	R-1 District, requiring a 12.04-foot rear yard

14	variance for the proposed rear deck.
15	This was properly noticed in the Suffolk
16	Times. The following people were noticed, which
17	are the adjacent properties, and one across the
18	street from the proposed construction site:
19	Anthony Farley. I do not have the local
20	address. It's 905 West End Avenue, New York
21	City. Shuman and I can't read the last
22	M-O-N, fortan, Monfortan Shuman, Monfortan,
23	337 Stuyvesant Avenue, Brooklyn. Rogue Wave
24	Associates, LLC, 300 West 23rd Street, New York
25	City. And then two local addresses: Mark

4

5

6

7

8

1	LaColla, 213 Bridge Street, and Dorothy Owen, 22
2	Bridge Street, Greenport, New York.

I believe the placard on the property was properly sited, as required.

And with that, I'd take any comments from the applicant or representative, if you wish to describe the overall project and what you're seeking to do.

9 MR. WERTHNER: Sure.

10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And then we'll take public
11	comments.
12	MR. WERTHNER: Good evening. Basically,
13	what I'm trying to do is just build a
14	three-bedroom two-story house on this lot and
15	make it my retirement home, and live a nice life
16	in Greenport and walk the Town. And that's a
17	real simple house and doesn't take up much room.
18	I kind of shortened it. I do like the deck in
19	the back, like everybody else likes a deck in the
20	back of the house for, you know, the barbecue,
21	and what have you. And it's, basically, that
22	simple. If anybody's got any questions or
23	anything, I'm here to answer.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And just one question is -
25	MR. WERTHNER: Sure.

5

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: —— the 20-foot front
2 setback is due to the garage extending from the
3 body of the house; is that correct?
4 MR. WERTHNER: Yeah. Basically, that's

5 correct. Yes, that's correct.

6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And so there's
7	approximately an additional five or eight feet?
8	MR. WERTHNER: Eight for the house where
9	it's
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: To the house, house.
11	MR. WERTHNER: That's correct, about eight
12	foot, eight foot back.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So you would the bulk
14	of the house would be 28 feet
15	MR. WERTHNER: That's correct.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: but the garage is 20
17	feet from the front line?
18	MR. WERTHNER: That's right.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And a relatively small
20	deck. And it brings it, though, 14 feet, if I'm
21	remember what I was reading, from the rear line?
22	MR. WERTHNER: About that, yes.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Or 17. I'm sorry, 17.
24	MR. WERTHNER: Seventeen-nine.
25	MS. NEFF: Seventeen.

- 2 MS. NEFF: That's practically 18 feet.
- 3 It's 17.9 feet.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 5 MR. WERTHNER: Yeah, 17.9.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I was trying to see this
- 7 drawing and it's not coming through very clearly.
- 8 It looked like 14 to me, but it's 18 feet.
- 9 Any questions from the Board Members at
- 10 this point?
- 11 (No response.)
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Well, thank you, Ed.
- MR. WERTHNER: Okay. Thank you.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And are there any members
- of the public that would like to speak regarding
- this variance application?
- 17 (No response.)
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: If not, no one's
- interested in speaking, I would then make a
- 20 motion that we close the public hearing, and I
- 21 would ask for a second.
- MS. NEFF: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- MR. CORWIN: Aye.

1	MS. GORDON: Aye.
2	MS. NEFF: Aye.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
4	Any opposed or abstaining?
5	(No response.)
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So that motion carries and
7	the public hearing is closed.
8	I'll get organized here. The second public
9	hearing tonight is a request for an
10	interpretation of the Village of Greenport Code
11	for Philip Karlin, North Fork Smoked Fish
12	Company, 200 Wilson Road, Cutchogue, New York,
13	11935. The property is located at 414 First
14	Street, Greenport; Suffolk County Tax Map
15	1001-4-7-5. It is located in the Retail
16	Commercial District. The applicant is
17	represented by Mary Bess Phillips, Secretary
18	Treasurer, K & M Properties, Incorporated, the
19	landlord to the tenant, North Fork Fish Company.
20	The applicant requests an interpretation of
21	the Village Code Section 150-9A(9) as follows:
22	Interpretation: The applicant is

23	requesting interpretation by the Zoning Board of
24	Appeals as to whether the proposed use of the
25	premises is consistent with 150-9A of the Village

8

18

1 of Greenport Code; 2 Permitted uses: (9) Manufacturing, 3 assembling, converting, altering, finishing, 4 cleaning, or any other processing of products where goods so produced or processed are to be 5 sold at retail exclusively on the premises, 6 7 provided that: (a) The space so used is fully concealed 8 9 from any street and equal in area to no more than 20% of the square footage devoted to retail 10 11 sales. 12 (b) Not more than two employees are engaged 13 in such production or processing. 14 I should mention at this point that there 15 was originally a request for a use variance, but 16 that was withdrawn by the applicant on the 10th of December. So tonight we will be taking any 17

public comments regarding the interpretation of

19	that section of the code.
20	I should point out that this comes from the
21	Planning Board, and the applicant is seeking the
22	interpretation at the request of the Planning
23	Board because of discussions that have been going
24	on with their use evaluation proposal that's
25	currently pending with the Planning Board.

9

1 This was properly noticed in the Suffolk Times, and I will read the notifications. 2 And, Ms. Phillips, if I miss one of them, 3 4 or I'm not getting the one that had some trouble being delivered, just let me know. 5 6 MS. PHILLIPS: It's Thompson. 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thompson, was it? MS. PHILLIPS: It's Laura Thompson is the 8 9 It has the sheet of paper attached to it one. 10 that shows the post office. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, I see. So that was 11 12 unable to be delivered. MS. PHILLIPS: Well, it's there waiting for 13 her to pick it up. 14

15	CHAIRMAN MOURE: I See.
16	MS. PHILLIPS: She never picked it up.
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So I'll read that one
18	first. It's Laura Thompson, Box 593, from 422
19	First Street, Greenport, New York.
20	The others all were successfully delivered,
21	and they are Carman Hoge Thistle, 312 Buckingham
22	Road, West Palm Beach. I do not know the local
23	address. Charles Kulsziski, 433 Main Street,
24	Greenport. K & M Properties, care of Phillips,
25	210 Atlantic Avenue, Greenport. K & M Properties

10

10

1	again, care of Phillips, Atlantic Avenue,
2	Greenport. Joseph Henry, 421 First Street,
3	Greenport. Joseph and Elaine Henry, 34 South
4	Street, Greenport, New York. William and Helen
5	Shearer, I believe it is, 425 Main Street,
6	Greenport, New York. And Alice Eichorn, Post
7	Office Box 243, Peconic, New York. I don't know
8	the local address. And those are
9	MS. PHILLIPS: She lives across the street

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Across the street?

11	MS. PHILLIPS: She lives across the street.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay, thank you. So those
13	are the people that were contacted.
14	So I'd just like to make a note that this
15	regards our public testimony tonight regards
16	the interpretation that's being requested of the
17	Zoning Board of Appeals. At this point, there is
18	no use variance being requested.
19	Would the operator or the property owner
20	wish to make any statements?
21	MS. PHILLIPS: Yes, please. Chairman
22	Moore, did you have a copy for
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes I, passed them around.
24	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay.
25	MR. PROKOP: Are there anymore copies of

1	this?

6

11

2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: There's one here. Take
3 this one. And we might want to provide a spare
4 once to the transcriptionist later.
5 MS. PHILLIPS: Good evening, Chairman Moore

MS. PHILLIPS: Good evening, Chairman Moore and ZBA Members. I'd like to thank you for

7	listening to my comments this afternoon. And I'd
8	like to please enter into the public hearing
9	record, dated December 17th, 2014, from North
10	Fork Smoked Fish Company the following
11	information for the Village of Greenport Zoning
12	Board of Appeals:
13	North Fork Smoke Fish Company approached
14	K & M, Inc. with a business plan looking for a
15	site to process, prepare, smoke and ship the
16	products via his website to retail and local
17	wholesale customers. Smoking Fish is a specialty
18	product in the seafood market industry. For the
19	past three years their website has developed a
20	strong retail customer base. This has resulted
21	in a business income split of 70% retail, 30%
22	wholesale.
23	To quote the IRS, "Retailing has long been
24	held to be more of an art than a science." The
25	intuitive ability to correctly anticinate

12

consumer's interest will be key."

2 North Fork Smoked Fish Company is part of

the new method of selling seafood products. The seafood industry has gone beyond the traditional retail market to grow into direct sales from harvesters, green markets, farmer's markets, etcetera. The E-commerce of lobsters from Maine, shrimp from the Gulf of Mexico, or salmon from Alaska give the customer -- I'm sorry, give the consumer access to retail products nationwide.

During his visit for the use evaluation, the Building Inspector asked if he would open the door to retail customers. His answer was no, as 30% of his income from wholesalers would stop purchasing from him. Any other form of retail sales was not requested by the Building Inspector. It seemed to hinge on whether retail customers entered the building.

In reviewing the definitions in the Village of the Greenport — I mean, the Village of Greenport Code, there is not one for retail. In considering the interpretation of Section 150–9(A), Subsection 9 of the Village Code, please review the retail descriptions from the IRS and New York State. The company's New York

1	State Principal Business NAICS Code is 445220,
2	Fish & Seafood Markets, with the following
3	definition:
4	"A marketplace used for marketing fish
5	products. It can be dedicated to wholesale trade
6	between fishermen and fish merchants, or to the
7	sale of seafood to individual consumers or both.
8	In reviewing IRS Chapter 43, Retail
9	Industry, the following sections describe retail
LØ	activity.
11	Composition: Some retailers are both
L2	manufacturers and retailers, because they produce
L3	the products they sell.
L4	Retail Industry Classification has a
L 5	description that reads as follows:
L6	"Retail consists of two principal types of
L7	establishment, store retailers and non-store
18	retailers."
L9	"Non-store retailers are similar to a store
20	retailer, which is organized to serve the general
21	public, but their retailing methods differ."
22	IRS has included a description of
)3	F_Commerce that online retailers bring to the

consumer the same products in the same way as traditional store retailers.

ZBA 12/17/14

14

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

In reviewing the code, North Fork Smoked Fish Company business activities are as follows: Under the permitted uses for 150-9(A)(9) is manufacturing, assembling, converting, altering, finishing, cleaning, or any other processing of products where goods so produced or processed are to be sold at retail exclusively on the premises. Following the retail descriptions from IRS and New York State, the company is processing, packaging and shipping and/or delivering to website -- website retail sales customers the produce or product processed from that building. Under Subsection A, the Building (Department) is in possession of an updated site plan, which, after much going back and reviewing the original application, I found there were some errors in communication between everyone. The back room of the building is used for

processing, similar to all seafood markets, and

20 the front section of the building is used for 21 packaging and shipping the requested sales for 22 retail and wholesale customers. There is an 23 office in the building where paperwork is 24 processed for the pickup of UPS, FedEx, and 25 etcetera.

ZBA 12/17/14

15

1

Subsection B, the production or processing is done by the owner and one employee. 2 I have included in this package a copy of 3 North Fork Smoked Fish Company's website, along 4 5 with two other examples of retail online seafood retailers. 6 7 Thank you for taking this information for 8 the record and reviewing the requested 9 interpretation process for this site. 10 Does anyone have any questions? 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: We'll be able to ask 12 additional questions during our deliberations, if 13 it comes up. 14 MS. PHILLIPS: Okay, fine. Okay. Thank 15 you.

10	CHAIRMAN MOURE: Any questions of the Board
17	right now that you would like to ask?
18	(No response.)
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: If not, then thank you.
20	MR. CORWIN: Maybe one question.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, Mr. Corwin.
22	MR. CORWIN: Could you just go over again
23	this online retail versus wholesale, the numbers?
24	In other words, how much
25	MS DHILLIDS: Okay Morth Fork Smoked

1	Fish originally for three years started out as a
2	retail online business. He's only recently got
3	into where he has been taking a certain
4	percentage and selling it wholesale to Southold
5	Fish Market, IGA, some of the other places. It's
6	one of the issues is that his production, he
7	was trying to diversify. Whether he stays in
8	wholesale, that's going to depend on the markets
9	continuing to buy the product here.
10	As I said, his customer base is really

based on retail. That's where most of his income

16

12	comes from. These numbers I'm getting from him,
13	I'm not pulling them out of the air.
14	MR. CORWIN: Do you have a percentage, one
15	versus the other?
16	MS. PHILLIPS: As far as?
17	MR. CORWIN: Online retailing is how much
18	percentage than whole
19	MS. PHILLIPS: That's 70. Seventy all
20	of his stuff is online retail, that's the 70%,
21	okay?
22	MR. CORWIN: That's 70%.
23	MS. PHILLIPS: All right. That's the 70.
24	And that's for the numbers I asked him for, was
25	his online retail customers. As I said, for the

17

last three years, he's been online. Most of his
customers order online. He will either deliver
it to them as he goes up west, or he ships it out
of there, which, as I explained originally, we
were trying to keep a low — a low impact
business in that particular area due to that it
just needed to be to compliment the other tenants

8	that are all sharing that property, okay?
9	Any other questions? I can wait until you
10	want to discuss
11	MS. GORDON: I have a question. I
12	understand that the packaging and shipping is
13	done from the front room of the building. Is the
14	response to the retail customers' orders done
15	also in that building
16	MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
17	MS. GORDON: or is it done somewhere
18	else?
19	MS. PHILLIPS: No it's done in the office.
20	That's why I showed you when you went to the site
21	visit that there is the front where there's the
22	packaging. As you walk back, there's a bathroom,
23	and then there's also an office, which is where
24	he does all his records and all his shipping, is
25	out of there.

18

The website address has not been changed,
because when I discovered that there had be some
errors in the beginning with his starting his

4	business, okay, and we stopped everything to get
5	through the use evaluation, his web master has
6	not changed anything yet until after we get
7	through this process. Then the web master will
8	show 414 First Street as the correct address.
9	All his sales, if you look at his website, you
10	will you can go up and see that he actually
11	has an order online account, the whole nine yards
12	that any other retailer has with their own
13	company, okay?
14	MS. GORDON: Thank you.
15	MR. CORWIN: Question. When I was looking
16	at the front of the building, I saw a little
17	letter board there telling people where they
18	could go to purchase the smoked fish. There was
19	Alice's, Southold Fish Market, and one or two
20	others. I don't recall seeing a website address.
21	Did I miss something, or is there none?
22	MS. PHILLIPS: The website address is on
23	the sign.
24	MR. CORWIN: It is on the sign?
25	MS. PHILLIPS: It's on the sign, exactly.

- 1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I believe it's on the
- 2 window, the colored sign.
- 3 MS. PHILLIPS: That's what I'm talking
- 4 about, it's on the window.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's actually this way.
- 6 This is not very clear, but there is a website
- 7 there, right above "wholesale only".
- 8 MS. PHILLIPS: As I said, when I discovered
- 9 that there had been a lapse in process,
- 10 everything just came to a stop until we get
- 11 through this process. But his whole commitment
- is to stay there. The landlord is the whole
- commitment is for him to succeed, plus we're
- 14 trying to compliment and to work with the other
- tenants, who really wanted something that was not
- qoing to be so traffic—bound as what the previous
- 17 tenant was.
- 18 MR. CORWIN: On here, it says, "Wholesale
- only," which that's to keep people from going in
- the front door, and blah, blah, blah.
- 21 MS. PHILLIPS: I --
- MR. CORWIN: But --
- 23 MS. PHILLIPS: I'm sorry, go ahead.
- 24 MR. CORWIN: Would the sign be more clear

20

1 MS. PHILLIPS: That is what it's supposed 2 to say, okay? As I said, there -- everything 3 came to a halt. He was supposed to have the 4 website address put into the window. I -- as I 5 said, there's been a lot of miscommunication on this one. The wholesale customers in the 7 beginning were put there to appease some of the 8 other customers. Eventually, that sign in the 9 front will be coming down off the door, and the 10 sign will be moved over to the door and it will have the website and retail sale only, but we 11 12 haven't gotten that far yet, okay? 13 MR. CORWIN: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Thank you. 15 MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. 16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I have a question. 17 Mr. Prokop, this statement of 70% retail and 30% 18 wholesale, would there need to be some 19 substantiation of that, if at some point that becomes a critical issue either in enforcement or 20

23	really what yes.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm just curious.
25	MR. PROKOP: And I'm not sure exactly what
	7DA 12/17/14
	ZBA 12/17/14
1	means, if it's transactional or a dollar amount.
2	MS. PHILLIPS: Dollar amount.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: But that might be
4	something that would be required down the road.
5	I don't think it affects our decision tonight,
6	but, certainly, the outcome of a decision might
7	be an issue.
8	MS. PHILLIPS: As I said, and well, I'll
9	until you start, if you want to ask questions
10	later.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Sure. Now any members of
12	the public wish to speak? Could I have a show of
13	hands who might be interested in speaking, just
14	so we know about time constraints? Yes. Why
15	don't you go ahead. You seem to be the only one.
16	So if you could speak five minutes or less, it

the planning process?

MR. PROKOP: Yes. Well, I'm not sure

21

22

1/	would be appreclated.
18	MR. HENRY: My name is Joseph Henry. I
19	live at 421 First Street, across the street. Is
20	this — this is going on right now, his business
21	is going on right now, right?
22	MS. PHILLIPS: Yes.
23	MR. HENRY: Okay. I just have basically
24	two concerns. I'm not against the business being
25	there, but I just in the future, you know,

22

1 like if his businesses grow, and my two concerns 2 is the hours of operation, you know, that it 3 doesn't become an all-night, 24-hour operation, 4 which I don't think it is now, because I don't 5 even know that they're there. But -- and, also, just I know friends of 6 7 mine that live close to other businesses, 8 refrigeration trucks, and stuff like that, 9 running all night, waiting to load up, or 10 something like that, I don't want it to turn -like I said, it's not doing that now, but I don't 11 12 know where this is going to end up.

13	So that was my two concerns, is basically
14	refrigeration trucks in the street at night, and
15	the hours of operation.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Thank you.
17	MS. PHILLIPS: Would you like me to answer
18	that now, Mr. Moore, or no?
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: If you wish, yes, go
20	ahead.
21	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. As I stated before,
22	this is a specialty product that's done in the
23	fishing industry. It's usually a one-man
24	operation or two-man operation. The way he is at
25	the memont and I really den't envision it

23

8

changing, because he has — smoking fish is
really a one-person operation. He comes in in
the morning and he leaves at night. There is no
all-night operations, there's no refrigerated
trucks coming in. He has a van and a pickup
truck, and that's it.

If he were to do any growing, he's going to

have to grow out of that building. If he were to

9	do exactly what Mr. Henry was suggesting, he
10	would have to really move out of that building,
11	because it's not meant for anything more than
12	that small type of an operation, okay? Any other
13	questions?
14	(No response.)
15	MS. PHILLIPS: Okay.
16	MR. HENRY: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Excuse me. Anybody else
18	wish to speak regarding this interpretation?
19	(No response.)
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, if not excuse me.
21	If not, then I would make a motion. Any further
22	discussion from the Board Members?
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: If not, then I'd make a
25	motion that we close the public hearing for the

- 1 Hearing #2, and I will accept the second.
- 2 MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
- 4 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.

5	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
6	MS. GORDON: Aye.
7	MS. NEFF: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
9	Any opposed or abstaining?
10	(No response.)
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: The motion carries.
12	Excuse me. I might have to ask for a tag
13	team.
14	MS. GORDON: Would you like a lozenge?
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I have one currently.
16	All right. So then I'm going to move on to
17	the regular agenda.
18	Item #1 is the continuation of discussion
19	we've been having regarding the application for
20	an area variance for Ralph and Sarah Edwards at
21	163 Fifth Street regarding the construction of a
22	swimming pool.
23	And as of last meeting, there were three
24	variances pending before the Board. And I would
25	just ask the we're not having a public hearing

tonight, that was closed last time, but based on any new information that might come out regarding the requested variances, Mr. Uellendahl might want to update us on what has happened.

MR. UELLENDAHL: Correct. Thank you for having us back. Good evening.

First of all, I would like to note that on the agenda, under #2, the proposed swimming pool setback is 12 feet, etcetera, etcetera. This whole paragraph should be eliminated, because we submitted a revised site plan where we eliminated the third variance on the westerly setback line, which was not 12 feet, it should have said 17 feet originally. But we moved the pool, the proposed pool, back three more feet, so it will be 20 feet off the westerly lot line. So that would eliminate the third variance as submitted. I think you have the revised site plan in front of you.

In addition, we — my clients are very concerned about the concerns that were voiced at last month's meeting, in particular, about the noise that would be created by the mechanical equipment, the pool equipment. And we did a lot of research. We contacted a local pool

21

1	consultant. And, as a matter of fact, the owner,
2	Ralph Edwards, is here tonight, and he would like
3	to, you know, as a mock-up, to actually tell you
4	what it means, what a decibel is.
5	We talk about decibels and nobody really
6	knows what it actually means. And I think we
7	should have a comparison compared to a
8	refrigerator, or to something else that we all
9	know. And I think, going forward, because you
10	will be dealing with pool applications and that
11	kind of noise complaints, particularly in the
12	close Village of Greenport, it would be very
13	interesting to see what it actually means to have
14	a 49 decibel noise, versus a 60 decibel noise.
15	I would like to turn it over to Ralph
16	Edwards. He has a little bit to show for you.
17	So I hope this will help clarify, also for future
18	projects, that we can actually maintain a very
19	quiet noise, if it's done correctly. And, as a
20	matter of fact, we are going to house this even

in. Even if it's open to the sky, it's not

22	really that bad. But in this case, because we
23	had the complaints, or the voices from a couple
24	of neighbors, we are willing to put the
25	mechanical equipment into a shed and soundproof
	ZBA 12/17/14
1	this, even though it really should not be a
2	problem. So I would like to turn it over to
3	Ralph Edwards, if I may.
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. I just want to ask
5	you one question. There's a revised drawing that
6	I picked up a few days ago. The setbacks for the
7	north and south are still 10 and 14, so the
8	MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: The only change is 20 from
10	the back?
11	MR. UELLENDAHL: Correct.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I had heard there was some
13	comment about further movement of the pool that
14	might have changed it.
15	MR. UELLENDAHL: Well, we discussed this,
16	but we'd like to keep it at the 10-foot setback

and the 14-foot setback, because we looked

18	further at saving the big tree that is on the
19	property, and the root system will not be
20	affected by the current location of the pool,
21	as
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
23	MR. UELLENDAHL: proposed in our site
24	plan.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Thank you.

28

1

11

12

13

ZBA 12/17/14

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Edwards. 2 3 MR. EDWARDS: I am the applicant, Ralph 4 Edwards. Thank you for this opportunity to talk. 5 We -- I've been coming -- my wife and I 6 have been coming to Greenport for six or seven 7 years. And two years ago, we rented a house at 8 the corner of Sixth and Linnet and we loved it. 9 We had a great summer, and we decided this is where we're going to retire. 10

With Frank's help, we are rebuilding and

doing an extensive renovation to 163 Fifth

Street, as you know, when you look at the site

MR. UELLENDAHL: Okay.

plan. We're thrilled to be here, and we plan to be full-time residents as soon as the house is done.

We were here last month, both my wife and

I. My wife couldn't be here tonight, but we were

both here last night — last month, and we didn't

talk, because we thought it was our turn to

listen and hear the concerns of the community and

our neighbors. We were very gratified that

Marian Friedmann spoke on our behalf about the

quality of the renovation, but we also heard two

concerns about the noise. And, you know, Frank

ZBA 12/17/14

has put the pool equipment in the shed to further mitigate the noise issue that Ms. MacKenzie spoke extensively of. She shares a seven-foot boundary on the rear of our property on the west side.

So, at that point, what I did is I undertook to understand how noisy this equipment is going to be outside of a shed. And I want to keep emphasizing that our plan all along has been to mitigate the noise issue, to enclose this

10	fully. And I went and I talked to Bill Berkmier,
11	who is at North Fork Pool Care, and he spec'd out
12	the kind of pump that we would be using, which is
13	a multi-speed pump, which is considerably
14	quieter. It's a 45 decibel pump, and he in a
15	brochure of IntelliFlo pumps, they do have a
16	variety of different decibel levels. If I can
17	give you copies of this, would that be helpful?
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Sure. I will certainly
19	pass it on to the Building Inspector, if it has
20	any useful reference information.
21	MR. EDWARDS: Thank you. At 45 decibels
22	I mean, decibels are tricky things. I knew
23	nothing about decibels a month ago. They're
24	tricky things. It's a logarithmic scale, so it's
25	not adds additional a 45 decibel pump is

30

1 equivalent to a very quiet dishwasher.

2 Dishwashers, if you go to Lowe's, the one in

3 Riverhead sells dishwashers that are between 48

4 and 63 decibels; 48 is considered to be very

5 quiet. Because decibels are a log. scale, every

6	three decibels is a big difference, so a 60
7	decibel dishwasher is considerably more noisy.

In talking to Bill, he also asked if I wanted to borrow his display. His display has what an old pump sounds like. It's a 67 decibel pump, and what the pump that we are proposing, a multi-speed sounds like, not in a shed. Keep in mind that this will be further abated, the noise will be abated by putting it in a shed.

I know that Ms. MacKenzie is concerned about the Coly pump that is in the middle of —between her and another neighbor, Cate, who spoke — who is not contiguous to our property, but is also very concerned about the noise. And the Colys have an older pool with an older pump, with — it's out in the open.

So, if I could just play this for you, I think it helps. It is illustrative to me. The first noise is not the noise, it's 67 decibels, and the second noise is 45 decibels. So, if

ZBA 12/17/14

1 that's okay with you, I'll push that button and

2 you can hear. 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: That's fine. And I should 4 note that this is indoors. And this will only 5 take a moment, right? 6 MR. EDWARDS: Oh, this will only take --7 The first is very noisy, then it stops, and 8 then it --9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm just glad we're not 10 talking about foghorns or chainsaws. 11 MR. EDWARDS: No, no, no. CHAIRMAN MOORE: So let's -- go right 12 13 ahead. 14 MR. EDWARDS: Absolutely not. Oh, and by 15 the way, a refrigerator is typically 50 decibels. CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's 50, okay. 16 17 MR. EDWARDS: So here's the first one, it's 18 going to be 67, and then the multi-speed pump 19 will be the second one and that will be 45. 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's very quiet. 21 (Laughter) 22 (Whereupon, pool pump decibel level was demonstrated my Mr. Edwards.) 23 24 MR. EDWARDS: That's 67.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: That's the actual pump?

1	MR. EDWARDS: That's the pump. That's a
2	tape of the pump noise.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, I'm sorry. I thought
4	you had the actual pump operating.
5	MR. EDWARDS: That is what the pump looks
6	like.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
8	MR. EDWARDS: That's the tape of the pump.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All right. Okay.
10	MR. EDWARDS: That's 67.
11	(Whereupon, pool pump decibel level was
12	demonstrated by Mr. Edwards.)
13	MR. EDWARDS: That's 47.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
15	MR. EDWARDS: And that's
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, thank you.
17	MR. EDWARDS: And we'll put that in the
18	shed, so that will be enclosed. Thank you.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you very much.
20	MR. EDWARDS: Thank you for your time.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And we are currently then
22	in the discussion stage among the Board Members.

I certainly appreciate the recorded sounds, but I
think relative to other pieces of equipment that
we're familiar with, you get an understanding of

ZBA 12/17/14

1 the pump.

I believe one of the issues also is it will be enclosed in a structure, which can be further insulated to prevent the noise.

I think one of the concerns that was expressed by a few of the neighbors was the incidental noise that the presence of a pool causes, either children or adults enjoying the pool at various hours of the day, and I understand those concerns. This is an area where there are quite a few pools. But I think, on the same lines, that if you had yard equipment, recreational equipment for children, that the same noise issues would be there. And I think the way to deal with the noise issues is code enforcement, and I think that's something that perhaps would take care of these problems.

I personally think that they've done as

L9	much as they can, considering the lot, to
20	attenuate the noise and the visibility issues
21	with the landscaping that's being done. And I
22	don't see this as greatly different than other
23	pools that have been previously approved by the
24	ZBA.
25	Comments from others on the Board, please?

34

1 Anybody wish to comment? MR. CORWIN: Well, as someone that doesn't 2 3 like noise, I'm not too thrilled with the whole 4 thing. And the setbacks were set in the code for 5 some reason, so I really don't know which way to 6 go on this. 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Would the Board -- yes? 8 MS. NEFF: I just want to --9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. 10 MS. NEFF: The fact that this lot was -- is 11 not a straightforward lot, but the change made in 12 it happened long before the applicants before us, and that there is an attempt within the width of 13 the yard at the point where the pool is going to 14

15	be is 40 feet, not 50 or 60 feet, which might be
16	a more typical yard, I can see an attempt to work
17	with the dimensions in a way that has less
18	impact, particularly moving it away from the
19	rear, the west lot line.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Any other comments?
21	MR. CORWIN: Question. On the two people
22	that objected about noise, one was, I guess,
23	MacKenzie?
24	MS. NEFF: Uh-huh.
25	CHATRMAN MOORE. Yes.

1	MR. CORWIN: If anybody recalls
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
3	MR. CORWIN: because I don't. And that
4	complaint is almost brought into compliance with
5	the code by moving the pool.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Correct.
7	MR. CORWIN: The other one was Byrne, I
8	believe.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, Cate Byrne. And that
10	individual was concerned about not being noticed,

11	and also that there are six pools, by her claim,
12	in the neighborhood. And her comment was, I
13	think, regarding the accessibility of the bay to
14	these properties, as opposed to using a swimming
15	pool.
16	MR. CORWIN: So I would just note that the
17	corner of her property, Byrne, is going to be
18	these 15-feet scales are hard to use. It's going
19	to be a good 30 feet away from the pool, though
20	about 15 well, not even 15 feet away from the
21	lot line.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Was the Byrne property
23	in which position relative to this property, do
24	you recall?
25	MR. CORWIN: Well, I have to here. I'm not

36

25

6

1 sure if I'm correct. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, it was down, yes, to 2 the south and west. I think that was the only 3 4 one I didn't note was there. Yes? 5 MS. GORDON: I think that this is

information sort of external to the immediate

7	concern. I live one door to the north of
8	MacKenzie, and we hear the Coly pool all summer
9	long, very loud. It's not the shed noise or the
L0	pump noise that we hear, it's the noise of
11	revelers, both children and young adults at two
12	in the morning.
13	So I think I'd like to endorse my Chair's
L4	comment about code enforcement here, and conside
15	that that's something I mean, I realize this
16	is not the issue we're talking about right now,
L7	but it is something that could be taken to the -
18	to the Code to the Code Committee as an issue
L9	that's going to be recurring as there are more
20	and more pools, and that that should be a
21	priority for the Code Enforcement Officer.
22	MR. CORWIN: But how do you regulate that?
23	Do you tell somebody you can't sit in your

backyard, and drink beer, and yuck it up with your friends?

ZBA 12/17/14

37

24

25

MS. GORDON: Is there -- but is there a 1 2 decibel level for human reveling? Probably. I

3 mean, I used to live on Shelter Island and there were certainly efforts to regulate noise at 4 5 public places, anyway, when the decibel level 6 reached a certain point. 7 MR. CORWIN: I think it's a wonderful idea if you could do it, but I don't see how you can 8 9 possibly regulate it. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, let me ask the 10 11 Building Inspector. Are there any objective standards that are used currently for noise in 12 13 general at properties? 14 MS. WINGATE: There is a section of the 15 code that deals with decibel level of noise, when 16 and how, except we don't have a decibel meter. 17 So it's hard to go out and check decibel levels at this point in time. 18 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. 20 MR. CORWIN: But that's for recorded music. 21 MS. WINGATE: No, that's actually --22 MR. CORWIN: That's for a band or 23 something, isn't it? MS. WINGATE: There's a whole list of --24 25 it's not only recorded music, it's yard noise and

all kinds of other --

2 MR. CORWIN: So it would be a machine or 3 something. Again, if you're sitting in your 4 backyard with your buddies drinking beer, and the 5 Code Inspector comes along and says, "You're making too much noise," you're going to get a 6 whole lot of attitude and say, "Hey, do something 7 about it." 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think --9 10 MR. BENJAMIN: I have one more comment. 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. 12 MR. BENJAMIN: Plantings, planting trees 13 and bushes to absorb the noise and block the noise works, and in a lot of places they're 14 15 required. We can cut down the noise considerably 16 by planting a tree or a group of trees, right? MR. CORWIN: 17 I agree with you, that would 18 be a big help. This plan is showing trees 19 planted on the Boucher property, so I don't know. 20 I don't remember if they're there now, or what 21 shape they're in, or what. And it's kind of showing some --22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: To the west. 23

24 MR. CORWIN: -- planting on the Edwards 25 property to the west. But I don't see any

ZBA 12/17/14

39

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

specifications or any idea what they would be, and I wouldn't know what, other than something that grows tall, what would be appropriate. MR. BENJAMIN: Yeah. Evergreens sometimes work. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, I think one thing -comment about the noise issues is I think the more frequent result of a noisy situation is that the police arrive, and usually the police encourage the activity to diminish. And I'm not aware whether citations have been issued as a result of failure of people to comply, but I think more often the police are summoned than someone with a decibel meter. MR. CORWIN: Yeah. In my experiences, they say, "Quiet down." The Greek Church is an excellent example. Although they're not as bad as they used to be, the cop says, "Quiet down,"

they turn the music down, the cop gets two blocks

21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. Well
22	MR. CORWIN: So we could debate this all
23	night.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think we could talk
25	about this all night.
	ZBA 12/17/14
1	Would the Board wish to proceed with making
2	a decision on this?
3	MS. NEFF: Yes.
4	MS. GORDON: Uh-huh.
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So, first
6	MR. BENJAMIN: Perhaps.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, perhaps.
8	MR. BENJAMIN: Perhaps a condition.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, we can consider when
10	we get to that point to apply some conditions
11	that would be based on potential approval.
12	First issue is that the ZBA would declare
13	itself Lead Agency according to SEQRA, and
14	declare this a Type II Action. And I would ask
15	in order if you approve.

away, and they turn the music back up.

16	(Roll Call Vote by Chairman Moore.)
17	MR. BENJAMIN: Yes.
18	MR. CORWIN: Yes.
19	MS. GORDON: Yes.
20	MS. NEFF: Yes.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I say yes.
22	The next item is the conditions. And ${\tt I}$
23	think that the different questions, the
24	conditions that are seem to be emerging is
25	that, one, it's granted that the equipment will

41

1 be minimally noisy and contained in a shed, and, 2 secondly, that there would be an effort to 3 maximize the plantings to minimize noise 4 transmission past the property line. 5 I imagine there would be a third issue, 6 that any effluent from the pool would have to be 7 discharged not beyond the property line, more 8 likely into the sanitary sewer. 9 The first question is whether an undesirable change will be produced in the 10 character of the neighborhood, or detriment to 11

12	nearby properties will be created by the granting
13	of an area variance? And I would ask Mr.
14	Benjamin.
15	(Roll Call Vote by Chairman Moore)
16	MR. BENJAMIN: No.
17	MR. CORWIN: Yes.
18	MS. GORDON: No.
19	MS. NEFF: No.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I would answer no.
21	Whether the benefit sought by the applicant
22	can be achieved by some method feasible for the
23	applicant to pursue other than an area variance?
24	(Roll Call Vote by Chairman Moore)
25	MR. BENJAMIN: No.

1	MR. CORWIN: NO.
2	MS. GORDON: No.
3	MS. NEFF: No.
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I'd answer no.
5	Whether the requested area variance is
6	substantial?
7	(Roll Call Vote by Chairman Moore)

	8	MR. BENJAMIN: Yes.
	9	MR. CORWIN: Yes.
	10	MS. GORDON: Yes.
	11	MS. NEFF: Yes.
	12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I would answer no.
	13	Whether the proposed variance will have an
	14	adverse effect or impact on the physical or
	15	environmental conditions in the neighborhood or
	16	district?
	17	(Roll Call Vote by Chairman Moore)
	18	MR. BENJAMIN: That's condition
	19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Adverse effect on
	20	conditions.
V05	21	MR. BENJAMIN: I would say I would say
yes.	22	MD CODUTAL V
	22	MR. CORWIN: Yes.
	23	MS. GORDON: No.
	24	MS. NEFF: No.
	25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I answer no.
43		ZBA 12/17/14

2

3

And whether the alleged difficulty was

relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals,

self-created, which consideration shall be

4	but shall not necessarily preclude the granting
5	of an area variance?
6	(Roll Call Vote by Chairman Moore)
7	MR. BENJAMIN: No.
8	MR. CORWIN: No.
9	MS. GORDON: No.
10	MS. NEFF: Yes.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I would answer yes.
12	And then the last is to approve the
13	requested variances, which are now two, which is
14	a setback variance of 10 feet to the north, and a
15	variance of six feet to the south. And I would
16	make a motion that we approve the requested
17	variance with conditions that we previously
18	stated regarding the sound attenuation by using a
19	quiet pump and enclosing it in the shed, the
20	vegetation, and the discharge of any effluent
21	from the pool, and with
22	MR. CORWIN: Who's going to judge the
23	vegetation?
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Good question. What would
25	the Board suggest? Would the Building Inspector

- be able, through the inspections, to determine if
- 2 an appropriate amount of vegetation is in place?
- 3 MS. WINGATE: I can do that
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Would that be suitable, I
- 5 mean, between the Building Inspector and the
- 6 designer; would that be acceptable?
- 7 MR. CORWIN: Could we ask the applicant if
- 8 they're agreeable to some kind of enhanced
- 9 plantings?
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I guess that's just a --
- 11 basically a yes or no.
- 12 MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes. But I would like to
- mention that the six-foot solid fence that we're
- proposing is much more effective, what we're
- talking about here, as far as sound attenuation.
- 16 The plantings do not much it's just visual.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. And is that on the
- 18 north and the west?
- 19 MR. UELLENDAHL: But we are the owners
- are ready to do whatever the Board requires them
- 21 to do as far as planting is concerned. They
- 22 would like this to look nice. But I'm saying the
- planting, a bush does not do much for wearing off
- 24 sound.

1	exposed sides of the property?
2	MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes, yes.
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
4	MR. BENJAMIN: Is that —— is that an
5	official statement? Are you an expert in
6	plantings; is that why you're making that
7	statement?
8	MR. UELLENDAHL: Well, I live in the
9	Village of Greenport, and I know when I have a
10	hedge close by a driveway, which I do, then I
11	hear every car that drives by. If I have a solid
12	fence, that sound is much less. Yes, it's just
13	very simple.
14	MR. BENJAMIN: But that's a difference in
15	vegetation.
16	MR. UELLENDAHL: The vegetation really
17	doesn't do much. It's really more than
18	vegetation, it's area. And in the wintertime, I
19	mean, in the wintertime they don't go swimming,
20	but, you know, it's usually empty, unless you

21	plant deciduous trees and bushes. But that's all
22	I wanted you to understand.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Understood.
24	MR. UELLENDAHL: The planting, to me, is
25	not as important as the fencing.
	ZBA 12/17/14
1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, we just ask you
2	to
3	MR. UELLENDAHL: The six-foot fencing is
4	much is much more effective.
5	MR. BENJAMIN: A privet hedge is not.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Well, thank you
7	very much.
8	MR. BENJAMIN: Not plantings.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So we'll move ahead. So,
10	with those conditions mentioned, I would make a
11	motion to approve the requested variance and ask
12	for
13	MS. NEFF: Second.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, I guess it's just to
15	go ahead. And I would make a motion that we
16	approve the requested variance, and then ask for

17	the members to vote.
18	(Roll Call Vote by Chairman Moore)
19	MR. BENJAMIN: Yes.
20	MR. CORWIN: Yes.
21	MS. GORDON: Yes.
22	MS. NEFF: Yes.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I vote yes.
24	And so the motion carries, and it is
25	approved. So very good.

ZBA 12/17/14

1 Just let me ask, is there a proposed 2 construction schedule for this, when the 3 construction would commence? 4 MR. UELLENDAHL: Well, the -- since it's approved, we can pour concrete in January. The 5 6 pool can be in place by March or April. 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So I think by next 8 month, it --MR. UELLENDAHL: Of course, we need a 9 building permit. 10 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm thinking that we'd probably have a Decision Document for this by 12

13	next month that would be available to sign. So I
14	think that won't hinder anything, since it's not
15	until January that you would begin. I just want
16	to look at the coordination of efforts.
17	MR. UELLENDAHL: Okay.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So the next item on
19	the agenda —
20	MR. UELLENDAHL: Thank you.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. Is a discussion and
22	possible decision for an appeal for an area
23	variance for Ed Werthner, Post Office Box 982,
24	Southold. The applicant seeks a building permit
25	to construction a house on a vacant lot located

48

on the south side of Bridge Street, adjacent to
2 213 Bridge Street, Greenport, New York; Suffolk
3 County Tax Map 1001-2-2-8.4.
4 I describe the conditions of the house,
5 that basically the applicant's looking if a
6 20-foot north street setback, which would require
7 a 10-foot front yard setback variance.

8 MS. NEFF: From the street?

9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm sorry?
10	MS. NEFF: I'm sorry.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I said the front yard
12	setback to the street.
13	MS. NEFF: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Would require a 10-foot
15	front yard setback variance. The proposed house
16	to the rear would require a 2.04-foot rear yard
17	setback variance, and including the deck, it
18	would require a 17.96-foot sorry, a 12.04-foot
19	rear yard variance. So those are the dimensions
20	of the variances being requested.
21	We did get a chance to talk to the
22	applicant, and I believe the history on this
23	property is that it at one time was a single
24	property between Monsell Place and Bridge Street.
25	The property was subdivided equally in a previous

- 1 ZBA Board, and then subsequent to that, the
- 2 former owner of the property with the house on
- 3 Monsell Place further moved, with the ZBA
- 4 approval, further to the north, making this lot

5	substandard as far as lot area was concerned.
6	So Mr. Werthner now is proposing a house,
7	which is fairly modest on the property, which
8	does require basically two variances, which is 20
9	feet from the front, and about what did I say
10	before from the rear, 12 feet? Twelve feet from
11	the rear as far as the variance.
12	Discussions from the Board members on the
13	proposed variance?
14	MR. PROKOP: Did you say
15	MR. CORWIN: I made an go ahead,
16	Mr. Prokop
17	MR. PROKOP: I'm sorry.
18	MR. CORWIN: I made an inspection by myself
19	earlier in the afternoon when Mr. Werthner was
20	marking out the outlines of the building, and ${\tt I}$
21	thought this is no big deal. But after I went
22	back and looked on Google and started playing
23	around with the setbacks of the adjacent houses,
24	I thought maybe this isn't that great, because
25	the front yard extended 30 feet, and the houses

- 1 along there averaged, I guess, more than 30 feet,
- 2 if you measure off of Google, which is not an
- 3 accurate thing to measure from. And I thought is
- 4 this the right way to go, to stick -- even the
- 5 house to the west is 24 feet off the edge of the
- 6 road. Where the property line is, I'm not sure.
- 7 I don't think we could really see that based on
- 8 an approximation.
- 9 MS. NEFF: Can I just say, these monuments
- 10 that show on the edges of the property, I doubt
- very much that they're at the road. I certainly
- agree with you, that 24 feet for the house to the
- west from the street, but I would -- I think it's
- fair to say that the way this house is sited is
- 15 actually further back than the house to the west,
- and that the -- on the other side of Bridge
- 17 Street actually is not the Village of Greenport,
- 18 to the best of my knowledge.
- 19 MR. CORWIN: That's correct.
- 20 MS. NEFF: Those houses. There's a lot of
- 21 variance of the siting of houses, but I don't
- think it's true that the house to the west is
- 23 closer to the road than this house. The
- 24 monument, if that's a monument that marks my
- 25 property, is there's a sidewalk in front of it

1	and then the road.
2	MR. CORWIN: But we didn't see any
3	monuments out there.
4	MS. NEFF: Well, then all I'm saying is,
5	you're right, but this thing that's drawn on this
6	survey, if it is a monument, I don't know. I
7	mean, I don't know whether they're called
8	monuments, but they are in fact, mark the
9	corner of lots. The depth of the lot is not from
LØ	the street, it's from where that point is, in the
l1	Village of Greenport, to the best of my
12	knowledge.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: For the requested setback,
L4	though
15	MS. NEFF: Yes.
L 6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: it is being it is 20
L7	feet from the property line, which is the
18	appropriate measurement. I think my observation,
19	I agree with Mr. Corwin, the house to the east,
20	which is a small ranch house, is set back
01	considerably more

22	MS. NEFF: Yes, yes.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: The house to the west, I
24	believe, would be similar in its position to the
25	front of the garage. Perhaps it was set back on

ZBA 12/17/14

52

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

or two feet, you would guess, from the proposed 20-foot mark, but it's very similar to the proposed house. And one of the advantages I see on this, the rear setback request is actually the smallest

amount, and that's for the deck, which isn't very large. The house on the property off of Monsell Street is actually very far forward on the property, so this house will be quite separate, well separated from the house to the rear. So I don't see a big problem with the rear setback variance. It's nearly what's required for the bulk of the house, and the deck brings it closer to the rear property line, which is essentially unoccupied in the property to the other side.

The proximity to the street is somewhat of a concern, that the -- there would be sufficient

18	room for a car to park in front of the garage, if
19	necessary, and I believe 20 feet is an allowable
20	amount for a car parking place.
21	MR. CORWIN: But I wanted to say a couple
22	of more things.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
24	MR. CORWIN: And my measurements are not
25	accurate, I have to say that, but the garage is

about seven -- it's over 700 square feet. The

53

2	house itself, the first floor is 665 square feet,
3	and the garage is it's a two-car garage, and
4	it's wider or longer; it faces the road. It's
5	deeper than it needs to be. So I would be
6	happier if the garage was shallower than it is,
7	and gave the was more in line with the average
8	of the houses in the Village of Greenport on
9	Bridge Street.
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. One of the things
11	with the garage is when you look at the the
12	floor plan is one thing. The actual artistic,
13	artist rendition of the appearance of the house

14	it is has a monolithic root line, which
15	essentially extends downward. So you don't
16	notice the garage's position so much, other than
17	the actual square the setback from the front.
18	I don't know if that's an option, that other than
19	the setback allowance that might be permitted.
20	We're not so much into aesthetics as physical
21	dimension of a property and whether it's really
22	compatible with the neighborhood.
23	Any other comments from the Board?
24	MR. PROKOP: Can I ask a couple of
25	questions?

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
2	MR. PROKOP: So did we approve this as a
3	substandard lot?
4	MS. WINGATE: Yes.
5	MR. PROKOP: Is 7500 substandard? It
6	wasn't exactly 7500, because it's in we
7	thought it was in R-2.
8	MS. WINGATE: No, it's in R-1

MR. PROKOP: It's in R-1.

54

10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: But the square foot for an
11	R-1 lot requirement is still 7500, or is it
12	higher?
13	MR. PROKOP: No, R-1 is 10,000.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Ten thousand, so it is a
15	substandard lot.
16	MR. PROKOP: Okay. Then the other question
17	was I'm also looking at the one survey. The
18	survey that I just saw has the deck as indicated
19	as 14 feet, and then the house is indicated as
20	another 10 feet, for 24 feet.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Which dimension are you
22	referring to, Mr. Prokop?
23	MR. PROKOP: These rear dimensions.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, yeah. This is I
25	don't believe this is 14, according to the

55

1 requested variance. It's a longer distance.

2 It's something like 17 feet off the rear line.

3 MR. PROKOP: I know. But was is the -- was

4 there a survey or a plan submitted that shows

5 that?

6	MS. WINGATE: Yes. He's got everything.
7	MS. GORDON: 17.96.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, it's 17 feet, 17.96
9	to the deck. It looks like 14, but I'm not I
10	don't believe that's the dimensions.
11	MR. WERTHNER: I think that was an older
12	I think that was an older take-off
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
14	MR. WERTHNER: okay, with a scale that
15	was not exact. That's why I went and had it done
16	by the surveyor properly.
17	MR. PROKOP: So do we have that in the file
18	at all?
19	MR. WERTHNER: Yeah.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I just have the three
21	drawings, which is the original site without the
22	house, and then a proposed house, which is hard
23	to read, and then the hand-drawn part, which puts
24	the house on the lot. And it's 27, and the deck
25	is 10, so that makes it 17.

- 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Plus off -- 17.96 off the
- 3 receiver lot line.
- 4 MR. PROKOP: Was this stamped by anybody,
- 5 the one --
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Not this one. This one is
- 7 not, but this one -- this one is.
- 8 MS. WINGATE: It's current.
- 9 MR. PROKOP: It's current, but is it
- 10 stamped?
- 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The trouble is the
- dimension from the house to the rear lot line is
- not shown on the stamped drawing, and it's just
- 14 10 and what looks like 14, which is apparently
- not correct, it's actually 10 plus 17. So we may
- need to clarify that, I don't know.
- 17 MS. WINGATE: Can I see?
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. It's just that the
- 19 reproduction of the dimensions makes it look like
- 20 14 feet here. It looks like a 14.
- 21 MS. WINGATE: It does.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And this one makes sense,
- 23 that if this is 27, this clearly is 17-plus, so
- it's not clear.
- 25 MR. WERTHNER: Maybe it's because I do my

Τ.	75 tike foreigners do, with the cross in them.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It looks like 14.3, or
3	something, but it's very small. Do you have a
4	larger version of this?
5	MR. WERTHNER: I don't know. I don't think
6	so, no.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So are we concerned that
8	we might be approving a 14-foot setback instead
9	of the 17 foot?
LØ	MS. WINGATE: I've done the math. I would
l1	go with the math.
L2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So the math works out to
L3	17 feet. So it's safe to say if you take the
L4	depth of the house, 54 and 10 is 64, off of 81.
L 5	MS. NEFF: It's 81.96.
L6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Or 82, so 64
L7	MS. GORDON: It's 17.9.
L8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: You're getting about 18
L9	feet, so it comes out correct.
20	MR. PROKOP: I don't think that the Zoning
21	Board should be doing these calculations.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: No, no, it takes up a lot

ZBA 12/17/14 1 process, because they —— we have a requirement 2 that everybody's submissions have to be stamped. 3 MS. WINGATE: It is. 4 MR. PROKOP: Or certified. 5 MR. WERTHNER: They just did it two weeks ago, the Peconic Surveyors, off the 2004 version. 6 7 MR. PROKOP: Do you have a stamped and 8 certified plan that shows the variances that you're requesting? 9 10 MR. WERTHNER: I guess I did get one. I 11 thought I gave it to you. 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, it's just that the 13 drawing here seems to depict the wrong dimension off the rear line. The math, if you do the 14 15 setbacks and the depth of the house and the depth of the deck, it comes out to about 18 feet, but 16 it appears to be marked as 14 feet. So that 17 18 question is where is the -- what is the actual

MR. PROKOP: We've had other applications

in the Village that we've turned down in that

23

24

25

58

of our time.

19	dimension that's being approved? You know, the
20	difficulty is if 18 feet is approved as a rear
21	setback and the house ends up being 14 feet, it's
22	not right. And how do we rectify that?
23	MS. WINGATE: If you notice, the plains of
24	the rear of the house are not on the same plain.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm not hearing you, I'm

1	sorry, if you could point that out to me. Just
2	if we can get the correct dimensions, we can move
3	forward. Otherwise, we need a better drawing.
4	MS. WINGATE: Where are you? If you go to
5	the plain of the rear of the house
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah.
7	MS. WINGATE: Oh, here, are not the same,
8	because here he's taking the measurement from the
9	from the bay window, and here he's taking it
10	from the house. So what he's doing here is from
11	the bay window and not from the house.
12	MS. NEFF: Yeah.
13	MS. WINGATE: So that's the that three
14	feet is the difference between 14 and 17.

15	CHAIRMAN MOURE: So what is it, is it 14 or
16	is it 17 feet where the rear deck is positioned?
17	MS. WINGATE: It's this is right. The
18	survey is right, but I was taking it from the
19	house, because that's the bulk of the variance.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So what is the variance
21	being requested? So that the we're spending a
22	lot of time on this, but the rear deck
23	MS. WINGATE: The bay window is at three
24	feet.
25	CHATRMAN MOORF: It says that the rear deck

1	is sited so that the proposed rear deck is set
2	back 17.96 feet from the rear property line,
3	requiring a 12.04-foot rear yard variance. So
4	are you saying that this house will be 18 feet
5	off the back property line?
6	MS. WINGATE: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: The deck?
8	MS. WINGATE: From well, from the plans
9	that I have, the assumption was the bay window
10	was not part of the foundation. The bay window

11	wasn't
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
13	MS. NEFF: Okay.
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So then if we approve a
15	12-foot variance, that means that nothing can be
16	past that point
17	MS. WINGATE: Correct.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: on the construction.
19	Is that suitable to people, that we approve
20	MR. PROKOP: No, that's not right.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: what it needs to be.
22	MR. PROKOP: I'm sorry.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
24	MS. WINGATE: What?
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It seems the drawing is

61

depicting something else, so --

2 MR. PROKOP: I know, but we still don't --

3 we're looking at a -- the deck is indicated as 14

4 feet, and the -- it doesn't explain the

5 discrepancy in the deck.

6 MS. WINGATE: There's no discrepancy in the

,	deck, the discrepancy is in the roundation.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All I want to know is how
9	far is the deck going to be from the rear
10	property line? This drawing doesn't depict it as
11	the variance application states, that's the
12	problem I have.
13	MR. PROKOP: The drawing shows 14 feet and
14	some inches, and the variance requested is 17
15	feet. Excuse me, the variance requested is
16	basically on a setback of 17.9 feet.
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's essentially, yeah, 18
18	feet. So how do we rectify that problem? What's
19	the solution? Correct, have a proper survey, or
20	do we change the variance to a smaller variance
21	that might not succeed? What would the Board's
22	pleasure be?
23	MR. PROKOP: Wait. The problem is we don't
24	have a survey that shows what is being requested.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So why don't we

62

25

1 just -- the Board would ask that we -- I'll make

2 a motion to table the application pending the

```
3 submission of a drawing that properly depicts the
```

- 4 requested setbacks. So I make that motion, and
- 5 ask for a second.
- 6 MS. GORDON: Second
- 7 MR. BENJAMIN: Second
- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
- 9 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 10 MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- 11 MS. GORDON: Aye.
- MS. NEFF: Aye.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 14 Any opposed or abstaining?
- 15 (No response.)
- 16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So that's the way it is.
- 17 We're going to have to have a better drawing.
- 18 Sorry.
- 19 MR. WERTHNER: Okay.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: But we don't want to have
- 21 a mistake of granting a variance that can't be
- 22 met.
- MR. WERTHNER: Okay.
- 24 MR. PROKOP: And just to follow up, because
- 25 I know we have --

1	CHAIRMAN MOURE: Yes.
2	MR. PROKOP: We have a sketch, or whatever
3	that is here.
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
5	MR. PROKOP: I don't have the benefit of
6	it, but I don't think that we can act based on a
7	I don't want to have the applicant come in
8	with a sketch the next time.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. Well, let's ask
10	for a stamped drawing with the proper dimensions
11	MS. WINGATE: He can go back to the
12	surveyor that did that.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Exactly.
14	MS. WINGATE: I also have the opportunity
15	now to find out if the bay window is part of the
16	foundation or
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: We just want the
18	dimensions that clearly show the setbacks
19	required. Whether there's a bay window back
20	there or not doesn't matter, really.
21	MR. WERTHNER: Can we do can we do the
22	14-foot setback and just leave it that way, and
2.2	I'll just huild it right to that? That's fine

ZBA 12/17/14 1 MS. NEFF: Just to clarify, that would --2 it would involve a greater variance than it seems 3 that the plans actually suggest, but it's not 4 definite. That's why we're asking you to --5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. And the problem with that is to grant a larger variance 6 7 administratively puts it back to a public hearing, so it's going to take as much or more 8 9 time than just getting the proper drawing and 10 clearing it up next month with the final vote. MS. NEFF: That it matches 17.9 --11 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It matches what's being 13 asked for, correct. 14 MS. NEFF: The drawing matches that. MR. PROKOP: And if you're going to make 15 any changes on what -- what the notice says is 16 what was requested, and this is what was 17 18 published.

MR. WERTHNER:

Right.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: That's shaving it --

24

25

19

64

with me.

MR. PROKOP: So if whatever you submit
that's stamped and sealed by a surveyor is
different from this, you need to get us — and
you want to be on for the next time. If you
don't care about being on in January, it's up to
you. But if you'd like to be on in January, you

ZBA 12/17/14

65

1 have to get it to us in time so that we can get 2 the public notice out, which means it's --CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, if you're going to 3 4 change the variance. 5 MR. PROKOP: That's what I said. 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. 7 MR. PROKOP: That's the first thing. 8 MR. WERTHNER: Yeah. Something's wrong, 9 because like I said, we -- Eileen said -- told 10 me -- the Building Department told me that I have to put the house on the plan, and now the -- that 11 12 take-off, but I thought you had that in your 13 possession. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, in a way, but it's 14 15 depicted with the wrong dimensions. And I

L6	think
L7	MS. WINGATE: Can you move forward on the
L8	house and work on the deck?
L9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Let's just get a proper
20	drawing and take care of it next month. I think,
21	you know, it's not a major question, but we've
22	had to deal with swimming pools that ended up in
23	the wrong place and required variances
24	MR. WERTHNER: I don't want that to happen.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: 8, 10 years after they

66

1 were granted. So --2 MR. WERTHNER: I agree. CHAIRMAN MOORE: So, rather than have 3 successive variances, let's just get it right one 4 5 time. 6 MR. WERTHNER: Okay. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay? So we did vote on 7 8 that to -- correct? 9 MR. PROKOP: Yes. CHAIRMAN MOORE: We did push it off until 10 next month, and I apologize for that, but it will 11

12 eventually get done.

the next issue is the discussion for the request for an interpretation of section 150-9A of the Village of Greenport Code, and if you wish, I'll read that again.

I did bring a little bit of documentation with me concerning the Commercial Retail District, because I think the interpretation we're being asked to do is to interpret what the actual statement of that section means relative to the Commercial Retail District. And then we can talk about some of the particulars of the current situation at the property as to — and, you know, whether we're going to get into that or

ZBA 12/17/14

not, I'll ask Mr. Prokop whether it's incumbent on the Zoning Board of Appeals to then determine whether the information so far presented meets that definition of the Code of the Village of Greenport.

Are we just issuing a straightforward
interpretation of what it means, and then letting

8	other entities and agencies deal with the
9	compliance, or are we actually making a reference
10	to the situation of this particular use of the
11	property relative to the code? I don't know if
12	that's a clear enough question.
13	MR. PROKOP: Are you asking me right now?
14	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah.
15	MR. PROKOP: Oh, I'm sorry.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, if you could.
17	MR. PROKOP: I thought you were saying
18	later on you were going to ask me.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: No, no, I'm asking it
20	right now.
21	MR. PROKOP: I think it's specific to this
22	application.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
24	MR. PROKOP: And this use.
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All right, very good. And

- we're not discussing a variance, but we're just
- 2 discussing whether this use fits with the
- 3 requirement of the code.

Now one of the things that confuses this is the nature of the activities at the property, but I think we ought to first talk about the code itself. And if you'll just bear with me for a minute, I'm going to find the chapters here.

There was a lot of discussion about this property in the past, and I just want to confirm that there was no question, that this property is Retail Commercial; is that correct, that this district and this property is included in the Commercial Retail District? So we're talking about that Chapter of the Code.

In the permitted uses, there's a whole series of things, there are 18, in fact. And, typically, they are retail stores and banks, there's personal service and nonpersonal service agencies, eating and drinking places, business and professional offices, theaters, hotels, motels, cleaning establishments, newspaper printing, clubs, mortuaries, various things.

The issue that we're talking about is the Issue #9, which is manufacturing, assembling,

converting, altering, finishing, cleaning, or any other processing of products or goods so produced or processed are to be sold at retail exclusively on the premises, provided that the space so used is fully concealed from any street, and equal in area not more than 20% of the square footage devoted to retail sales; not more than two employees are engaged in such production or processing.

I think the point here is that this comes off of the basis of retail stores and banks, and Section 9 essentially allows for a certain amount of manufacturing on a premises that is a retail business.

There is a restriction, which is fairly strict, that 80% of the floor space has to be devoted to the retail sales, and the production can only occupy 20%.

So I think that this issue reflects the intent of the code, that the principal business is retail sales at the facility.

If you go to the General Commercial

District, there are permitted uses such as light
manufacturing, and assembling, and warehousing,

20

ZBA 12/17/14

70

1 production facility would be located. The Waterfront Commercial District also 2 3 allows for this kind of activity under the category Fish and Shellfish Processing Plants, 4 5 also retail and wholesale of seafood products. 6 One of the things that I think confuses the issue a bit is that throughout the application 7 process, this facility has been referred to as a 9 wholesale facility. It's been before the 10 Planning Board, and in the Planning Board's 11 actual application is a description which is processing wholesale smoked fish business. The 12 13 business is the sole owner/operator, with one 14 employee, who smokes seafood product for 15 wholesale and other retail businesses for 16 consumer -- oh, to other retail businesses for consumer consumption, basically what a production 17 wholesale business is. 18 There is -- the Building Inspector's 19

inspection of the property refers to the previous

21	use being classified by New York State Code as
22	M-Mercantile. The new occupancy is classified as
23	F-Factory. And in the application for use
24	evaluation, signed by Mary Bess Phillips, is the
25	proposed action for this building is a production

1	wholesale facility not open to the public. The
2	facility will not participate in retail sales.
3	So, consistently, the applicant has been
4	presenting this as a production facility.
5	MS. PHILLIPS: Could I make a
6	clarification?
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And just let me finish.
8	When we go the minutes of the Planning Board back
9	in October, there was a lot of discussion about
10	the type of activity at the facility. And
11	Ms. Phillips says she is referring to odors
12	"No one even knows that they're there. This is a
13	wholesale operation." It goes on to say, "You
14	know, he has a wholesale business that he does."
15	There was actually discussion that there were
16	restrictions in the lease that retail sales from

17 the premises were not permitted.

So my impression of this whole dialogue is that this is a production facility, producing materials for principally wholesale use. And there has been some discussion that actually this grew out of a retail business. I just find it difficult to look at the facility, that it's shuttered up, that it's not open to the public, it's not a retail business in the common sense,

ZBA 12/17/14

yet the assertion that materials are being sold through the internet as a retail business without substantiation as to the percentage, establishes this as a retail business suitable in the Retail Commercial District. That's just my personal feelings. I think it's a stretch.

And I think if this were to be used as an example for retail business in Greenport as I whole, I can't imagine businesses on Front and Main Street shuttering their businesses, going into production and selling their wares on the internet. I don't think that's why this section

13	of the code was written, to allow for a 20% floor
14	space production in the Retail Commercial
15	District. So, you know, my impression is that
16	this does not meet the requirement of Section 9.
17	Any other discussion from Board Members as
18	to opinions?
19	MS. GORDON: I think we're sort of stuck
20	with a we're stuck with code wording that does
21	not take into consideration the modern internet
22	sales sector, and that adds to the problem. I
23	mean, I, too, would like to see you said that
24	the way the $70/30$ is measured is by the value of
25	sales, right? So I would assume that it is

73

possible to provide that information. I'm quite
prepared to consider this as predominantly
retail, given that the primary business is done
over the -
MS. PHILLIPS: Internet.

MS. GORDON: -- the internet to purchasers
from anywhere, if I saw, on the basis of the
receipts of the business, that it really was

70/30, but we haven't been given that material.
CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I think one of the
other difficulties is even if granted that the
business could be considered a retail operation,
the percentage requirements at the facility are
clearly not being met. It's not an 80/20
situation. There's not an 80% front end retail
business. And one of the difficulties I have is
it's not open to the public, it's a closed
facility, and the lease arrangements prohibit it
from being so.
MS. GORDON: The reason I asked the
question about where the business is —— where the
internet business is done is that if the internet
business is mostly done in the office, then,
clearly, it does meet the 80/20 thing, because

that's where the retail transactions occur. So,

74

25

3

9

I mean, I really do think that a problem we have 1

2 here is with the code and the assumptions about

retail as in the 21st Century, where so much

retail is done by -- through the internet. 4

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, I think if this were not a manufacturing facility, if it was simply a Retail Commercial zoned district that used online services to establish its customer base, that could easily be classified as a business or professional office, that fits, I mean, if a storefront wishes to have a business of operating as an internet business selling things and shipping things. The trouble is that the section of the code here restricts such activities which involve manufacturing.

And, you know, my impression that the facility is — you know, front to back, the facility looks like a manufacturing plant, it doesn't look like a retail outlet. And, you know, there may be some retail sales that aren't substantiated that are occurring, but it's documented as a — as a wholesale business. And there are restrictions on operating as a retail business at the facility. So that I think it's kind of a stretch of the definition to say that

- this is a retail facility that's involved in a
 minor way in manufacturing. I think it's a
- 3 manufacturing facility.
- 4 Comments from other Board Members?
- 5 MR. CORWIN: Well, I tend to agree with
- 6 what you're saying. I don't have a big problem
- 7 with the operation there, if there was another
- 8 route go, but the applicant dropped the variance
- 9 request, and it's pretty hard to say that it's
- 10 retail or the space is correct.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah. I think, even if by
- 12 consensus on the Board this would be defined as a
- retail business, and I can't speak for the Board,
- I can only speak for myself, and we haven't taken
- a vote, the operation would still not meet the
- 16 requirements of the code and would require a
- 17 variance. In that case, it might be an area
- 18 variance instead of a use variance.
- 19 So, if you're dealing with the dimensional
- 20 aspects of a retail business and this
- 21 requirement, would that be an area, as opposed to
- a -- Mr. Prokop?
- 23 MR. PROKOP: I think that you need --
- 24 excuse me. No, I don't think it is. I think you
- 25 need —— my recommendation would be that you read,

1	the Board reads Subsection 9, because you're
2	coming you're applying ratios that I don't
3	believe exist, and the so it's "manufacturing,
4	assembling, converting, altering, finishing,
5	cleaning, or any other processing of products
6	where goods so produced or processed are to be
7	sold as retail exclusively on the premises,
8	provided that the space so used is fully
9	concealed from the street and equal in area to no
10	more than 20% of the square footage devoted to
11	retail sales, and not more than two employees are
12	engaged." So I think the 20% that is being, you
13	know, bantered around really applies to the
14	square footage of the floor, not the ratio to the
15	sales.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right.
17	MR. PROKOP: And then there actually is no
18	ratio of sales.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I'm saying
20	MR. PROKOP: You asked me that's what
21	I'm saying in response to your question, that I

23 being asked to interpret. I don't want to get 24 involved in the interpretation. 25 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. No, I understand. ZBA 12/17/14 1 MR. PROKOP: I just caution you to, please, 2 read it. 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I believe I was stating that even if being regarded as a retail 4 operation, the dimensional aspects of the retail 5 sales versus production do not meet the square 6 7 foot requirements. So that I would say the 8 operation didn't meet the requirements of the code, even if defined -- if it would be defined 9 10 as a retail operation. 11 MR. PROKOP: Then that would be an area 12 variance, if that's your question. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, that would be my 13 14 question, yeah. Other comments? Who haven't we 15 hear from? 16 MS. GORDON: So your assumption would be

that that -- the 20% refers to the front part of

would just carefully read the code that you're

22

17

18	the building
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: No, the
20	MS. GORDON: that area, space?
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: The space allowed for the
22	production aspects of a mixed business, which is
23	retail and manufacturing, would be that the
24	manufacturing could not occupy more than 20% of
25	the overall space of the retail operation. You

know, there is some shipping from the front and,

78

1

2 you know, completing orders in the front, but 3 there's also completion of the manufacturing process in the front. So I don't see this as 4 5 meeting the requirement. I'm thinking of an example, that the Surf 6 7 Shop in Greenport is a 100% retail operation. 8 Mr. Dowling decided to start producing paddle 9 boards himself, he could do so in the rear of his 10 store for 20% of the floor space, providing that 11 he is selling these through retail operations in 12 80% of the retail space. 13 So, even if the newer types of ordering,

that this facility is not open to the public, it
is not an over-the-counter retail business, but
an online retail and wholesale business, I would
say that it does not meet the requirements of the
code, because it's essentially I would say,
probably 80% of the operation occupies it
occupies 80% of the floor space to complete the
production of the materials, and a very small
portion is devoted to completing the orders by
shipping them and packaging them.
MR. BENJAMIN: It brings up the guestion of

the size of the product, if you had 80% of the

area to sell a one-pint container, you see. And
the difference in the marketing, you know,
there's not a clerk that has to put something in
a bag and run a cash register, there's a guy that
just has to push a button. So this is like a
totally different concept in retailing.

Another thing, I think there's a couple of
businesses in town that make things and sell

things out of their store, but, yet, they're a

10	retail-only.
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Correct.
12	MR. BENJAMIN: I think of Aldo's with his
13	coffee. You know, he manufactures well, he
14	processes coffee. I don't know if Preston's
15	anymore is doing it, but they used to make frames
16	for their pictures and sell them. So they but
17	they also had a store.
18	CHAIRMAN MOORE: That's correct.
19	MR. BENJAMIN: But they're all retail, but
20	they're different. So this is a different thing,
21	but it's dictated by the size of the product.
22	That's, you know, a point that
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think the examples you
24	used would meet the requirement of the code for
25	Retail Commercial. because they do you know.

80

they do occupy a small percentage of the floor

space of the facility for the production aspects,

and they are in the environment of a retail

business that's open to the public.

5 We're now talking about some new concepts

6	that I certainly don't want to dismiss, but I
7	think they may be coloring the argument a bit to
8	basically have a production facility operating as
9	a production facility front to back, which just
10	happens to be selling a certain amount retail
11	through mail order. I certainly imagine that a
12	certain amount of these materials for wholesale
13	production are delivered directly by the
14	producer. And I'm just having difficulty getting
15	my hands around this particular operation meeting
16	the requirements of Section 9.
17	MR. BENJAMIN: That's probably what it
18	would have to do. You have to prove that it's at
19	least more than 50% in order to be something.
20	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think as far as the
21	interpretation, if the Board is going to just
22	vote on an interpretation
23	MR. BENJAMIN: Okay.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: as the interpretation
25	of Section 9 is as written, that it is an

81

1 allowance for 20% floor space of a facility to be

devoted to production in the environment of an 80% retail operation. And it does indicate here also that to be sold at retail exclusively on the premises. That could include shipping, which then I think satisfies the argument that the applicants are making.

But I think the simplest way of looking at the interpretation is it says what it means, 80%/20%, and that any business that cannot meet that requirement is not operating within the requirements of the code. And the basis of this is that you're basically starting with a retail store and you have some manufacturing within. Is that agreeable with the Board as far as an overall interpretation? How would you suggest the discussion be wrapped around?

MR. CORWIN: It's a very awkward paragraph. What it's saying, that is what, 60 years old, 60 years ago or so, 70 years ago, and, certainly, things are changing. But, unfortunately, I don't think it's our place to — it's the Village Boards's place to change it and make it more compatible to some other type of use. So it's very difficult to say yes or no, other than what

Τ	1 Salu Deloie. 1 Can understand the variance.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Go ahead.
3	MS. NEFF: Well, on the occasions where
4	they've asked to provide an interpretation, we
5	can also say we prefer not to, which I like.
6	On the other hand, there's also if you
7	think about a code that was written whatever
8	number of years from number 70 to 50, whenever it
9	was written. The intention behind it, it seems
LØ	to me, is you don't want manufacturing to be, or
11	all of those things that went with manufacturing,
L2	to be a disturbance to the rest of the uses in
13	the neighborhood. And that one way to look at ar
L4	applicant again, this is this is the
15	Planning Board's job is to say the scale.
16	And, actually, the owner of the building also
L7	suggested that if it was much bigger, it couldn't
18	be there. Does it fit into the site plan of the
L9	whole area, this particular use? Is it I
20	think that's one way to look at it.
21	And I like the idea that we don't have to
22	offer an interpretation, that all those words

people will walk up to that. And you do have to
work on signage that's very clear about -because they're used to walking up to it and --

ZBA 12/17/14

83

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

but what is actually going on in a safe manner, where all the rest of that, which is not our business to discuss, has a lot of ring of appropriate to me. CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think I would have far less trouble with trying to interpret that not only -- the meaning of the code here, I think, is clear. It says 80%/20% within a retail business. MS. NEFF: I think that's a very outmoded way --CHAIRMAN MOORE: I know, but that's --MS. NEFF: -- in a lot of ways, that's my point. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, and that's what it states, but it's in the environment of a retail store. I would have far less trouble dealing with the percentage of this if it, in fact, were

a retail outlet that had customers walking in and

19	out and could purchase the product at the site.
20	I see that as making it far more palatable as a
21	retail operation, because it is open to the
22	public, and it's not just a factory, it's not
23	just a front door closed, "Don't come in."
24	MR. BENJAMIN: Exclusive.
25	CHATRMAN MOORE: You know exclusive to

production. That's what this facility is, in a

84

2	sense. And it ships —— you know, any production
3	facility is going to ship its materials, and it's
4	going to do it by telephone orders, it's going to
5	do it by internet orders. But the point is that
6	you're making something and shipping it, and
7	that's exactly what a factory would do.
8	In the absence of a visible retail
9	operation, I don't see how it fits in the Retail
10	Commercial District, it just doesn't do it for me
11	MR. CORWIN: Let me just make one aside.
12	When I was a young man, not that that place was a
13	retail fish market, but the rest of that
14	operation was an ice plant.

15	MS. NEFF: Absolutely.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, that's
17	MR. CORWIN: So that was manufacturing. It
18	was a soda plant, that was manufacturing, and you
19	didn't buy it retail in there. And it was the
20	supplies for fishermen, that was not really a
21	retail operation.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right, right.
23	MR. CORWIN: So it kind of what was
24	there originally was kind of pushed out at the
25	CHAIRMAN MOORE: That's right

85

1 MR. CORWIN: With the zoning that was 2 picked for it, I guess. 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And in that case, you 4 know, the -- as the manufacturing or general commercial activities leave that site, they 5 become outdated and eliminated. And the purpose 6 7 and intent of the zoning, right from the 8 beginning of the chapter, is the gradual 9 elimination of nonconforming use. So that there's really no justification for continued 10

11	nonconforming use because it used to be, and
12	those nonconforming uses have lapsed. This
13	current building has been used as a retail
14	facility for more than 20 years, probably, but
15	it's not been a manufacturing facility, so
16	MS. NEFF: And it's but it's integral to
17	a site that is completely very much like it used
18	to be
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
20	MS. NEFF: with tenants, not exactly the
21	same as ice plant, fishermen supplies, but
22	there's looking at the whole site.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, it's used as retail
24	activity. There is warehousing, which
25	MS. NEFF: There's all kinds of it's a

86

1 mix.

6

CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- is a long-term, but the 2 furniture store is a retail operation. The 3 current building was used as a retail facility 4 for at least 20 years. And the warehousing 5 operation has been there for many years. It's

7	perhaps a continuation of a nonconforming use,
8	but that's not our question tonight.
9	How would the Board wish to proceed on
10	this, because time will run out shortly.
11	MR. PROKOP: I just have one other quick
12	question.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes
14	MR. PROKOP: So this came to you know, I
15	feel like I want to start a two-hour discussion
16	about this point, but so I'm sorry
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: You can have a 10-minute
18	discussion on this.
19	MR. PROKOP: Okay. This the application
20	for an interpretation originally came as sort of
21	a tag-on to an application for a use variance,
22	okay? So the application
23	MS. WINGATE: Evaluation.
24	MR. PROKOP: I'm sorry, use evaluation. So
25	there was an application for a use evaluation,

- which was denied, and then there was an
- 2 application for a use variance.

3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I don't believe it was denied. 4 5 MS. WINGATE: It wasn't denied, it was sent 6 here. 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It was tabled pending an 8 interpretation of the Zoning Board. And in 9 conjunction with that, the applicant filed a use 10 variance. Actually, the applicant filed it all. The Planning Board has not filed a request. 11 12 that the basis -- the applicant has standing to 13 ask for an interpretation, which normally a 14 regular applicant wouldn't --15 MR. PROKOP: Okay. 16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- because of an adverse, 17 you know, decision pending before the Planning 18 So that we have no objection to having 19 Mary Bess Phillips ask for an interpretation. 20 MS. PHILLIPS: Can I ask a question? 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. 22 MS. PHILLIPS: Because my understanding is that the Planning Board is the one that referred 23 24 this, and my understanding from Eileen is I had

to fill out a change of use application.

88

23

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: That, the application you	
2	filled out is a request for an interpretation,	
3	and originally	
4	MS. PHILLIPS: That was my request.	
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: a request for a use	
6	variance.	
7	MS. PHILLIPS: But the Planning Board asked	
8	for	
9	MS. WINGATE: The Planning Board asked that	
10	you go for a use variance.	
11	MS. PHILLIPS: Correct.	
12	MS. WINGATE: That they didn't believe that	
13	the business suited the code, and that's why they	
14	wanted you to come to Zoning for a use variance,	
15	and you asked for an interpretation.	
16	MS. PHILLIPS: I asked for the	
17	interpretation because the use to change the	
18	use the use variance could not I would not	
19	have met all the criteria for that, for the	
20	income.	
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm sorry, could you say	
22	that again? I don't follow that.	

MS. PHILLIPS: Okay. Under the conditions

not have met all of the conditions, even down to ZBA 12/17/14 1 the income section, because it just -- no matter how, it just wasn't -- the percentages wouldn't 2 3 work. 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah. 5 MS. PHILLIPS: I was advised by my attorney to withdraw the use variance. 6 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, okay. MS. PHILLIPS: As I have explained, and I 8 9 will now explain, and I have explained to the 10 Village Attorney, is that I am going to be 11 amending the Planning Board's use evaluation, 12 because there was much communication issues in 13 dealing with this application from the beginning, 14 okay? There have been mistakes made on the applicant's part. I became involved in it when I 15 found out the mistake, came to the Village and 16 said, "Okay, we have issues here, I need to 17

straighten it out," okay?

I -- technically, I am a little confused on

of changing the use, the use variance, I would

24

25

18

19

20	your interpretation of what you're saying,
21	because it has been a fish market in the past,
22	and a fish market does process food, okay? It
23	does wholesale. As I you know, as I explained
24	in the document, the seafood industry has
25	changed. I am having a hard time, and this is

something that you'll have to decide. I'm

90

calling it a factory, or a manufacturing, when
it's really a seafood seafood establishment,
okay? That's in my eyes, that's what it is.
But trying to fit it into the code, I understand
where you're coming from.
CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yup.
MS. PHILLIPS: But I also know that it is
it is an old code, and that building has had
uses in it before that were acceptable, i.e. fish
market, i.e., before Salamanders became what it
was, it started as a bakery, which sold out of
there.
So, at this point, I $$ as I said, I have
presented he's in a different he's in a

16	different type of retailing. Village Code does
17	not there are no definitions for retail, okay?
18	In our code, there is no definition of what
19	retail means. Retail means not open to the
20	public, but serves the public, okay? That's why
21	I put the definition in from New York State and
22	IRS, because there is nothing in the Village to
23	go by which designates retail.
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right.
25	MS. PHILLIPS: So, as I said, that's you

know, that's where I'm coming from. It's -- to

CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think the way that we'd have to proceed here is to simply either support or not support the Section 9 as written, and then infer back to the Planning Board that we have concerns that the current setup of the business, which is already operating, we have concerns that it may not meet the requirements of the code as written. And we'd have to leave it to the Planning Board to address that, and the Building

12	Department as well, I suppose. Is that
13	agreeable?
14	MR. CORWIN: Well, that's doing the
15	Planning Board's work for them. And the more I'm
16	thinking about this and hearing what the Building
17	Inspector said, I like Ellen's idea, that we
18	don't have to make a recommendation.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: We don't have to make a
20	recommendation, but we would simply make a motion
21	that we agreed with the wording of the
22	MS. NEFF: When you say
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Section 9?
24	MS. NEFF: Could I just say one thing
25	before you speak?

\sim	\neg
ч	•
	_

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
2	MS. NEFF: When you say, "May not fit the
3	code as written," then I can support that,
4	because that doesn't sound like we're saying
5	exactly what it means
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
7	MS. NEFF: —— and what it doesn't mean,

ŏ	what is this. But I am not comfortable with
9	supporting that we got totally clear what the
10	interpretation is of that section, and that this
11	application doesn't fit it. That I can't
12	support that
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
14	MS. NEFF: based on what I know at this
15	time.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: No. I would say that the
17	only thing we can do is agree that the Section 9
18	supports the Retail Commercial District by
19	limiting the amount of manufacturing to a
20	percentage as stated. I think we'd have to agree
21	that that's what our interpretation of that
22	section is. It's you know, the basic
23	interpretation is read it, and that's, you know,
24	what it says.
25	MR. BENJAMIN: Yeah, but you said

- 1 manufacturing, and packaging, and selling is
- 2 wholesale.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: That depends on the

4	cus comer.
5	MR. BENJAMIN: Yes.
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: That's why this new
7	wrinkle is coming in as to the customer base and
8	the type of sales that are occurring.
9	MR. BENJAMIN: So, again, what changes is
10	you can agree with 9, but making it plain is
11	where we have the problem here, making it plain,
12	because a traditional retail is 80/20, but it's
13	not necessary in this case. You see, it's not
14	necessary, it's just a matter of
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: This pretty well
16	specifically I mean, if it was all retail, it
17	would just be #1, retail stores and banks.
18	MR. BENJAMIN: Right.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: When you bring in the
20	manufacturing aspect, Section 9 limits it to 20%
21	of the square footage devoted to retail sales.
22	So you basically have an 80/20 split. So that,
23	regardless of the type of retail sales that are
24	occurring, acknowledging that they are selling to

25 retail customers.

You know, while the requirement is agreeable to maintain the nature of the Retail Commercial District and not have it be general commercial use, we would have to infer, I think, to the Planning Board that the applicant's operation doesn't meet that standard, and they would have to look at the site plan accordingly. It's — we're not judging the use variance here, we're just simply stating the code, and its in reference to an operation that's before the Planning Board.

And we're going to have to decide what to did with this, kick it down the road and wait, or make a decision. What would the Board want to do?

MS. GORDON: I don't even find the regulation as written very clear. The word "exclusively," does this apply as we, I think, have been saying it, to the phrase "on the premises"? Because if it's being done exclusively on the premises, that's quite different from a situation where the — some of the processing — some of the business aspect of the market would be done off the premises. Or is it to be sold at retail exclusively? Is

20

suggestion.

ZBA 12/17/14

95

1 there's a problem with the wholesale aspect of the business. 2 3 So, I mean, I would like to have an asterisk in whatever we say --4 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. 6 MS. GORDON: -- that says that the -- the 7 regulation is not really very clear. CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah. That may be poorly 9 written, but I think it refers to the fact that 10 the manufacturing relates to the retail sales 11 activity that occur at that particular location. 12 MS. GORDON: Right. 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And the difficulty I have 14 conceptually is there is not a retail sale 15 operation occurring directly from that building, but through indirect forms, which would be 16 17 typical of any manufacturing company that makes and ships things. 18 19 MR. CORWIN: Well, let me make the

22	MR. CORWIN: We're not getting anyplace.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: No.
24	MR. CORWIN: And we got to be out of here
25	at 7 o'clock.
	ZBA 12/17/14
	· ,
1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, we're going to have
2	dinner if we don't leave.
3	MR. CORWIN: So let's move this to the next
4	month.
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
6	MR. CORWIN: If anybody wants to have any
7	input, it's welcomed. We can all go home and
8	look on the website and see what we think of
9	that.
10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: I agree.
11	MR. CORWIN: And it's not going to hurt to
12	push it back for another month, that's for sure.
13	CHAIRMAN MOORE: In that case, I would make
14	a motion that we table this for an additional
15	month
16	MS. NEFF: Second.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.

17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: to consider, and
18	reconvene next month to continue the discussions.
19	May I have a second?
20	MS. NEFF: Second.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
22	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
23	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
24	MS. GORDON: Aye.
25	MS. NEFF: Aye.

97

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. 2 Thank you. So we can move quickly now, the next item is to approve a Findings, Determination 3 and Decision Document for an area variance 4 approved for Debra Riva, 433 Sixth Street, 5 Greenport, New York; Suffolk County Tax Map 6 7 1001-6-3-4. It's in the R-2 District. And the applicant was requesting setback variances on the 8 9 front and side of the property, which we granted, 10 and that document basically says that. There 11 were no conditions imposed by the Board on that. So I would make a motion that we approve 12

13	that document as presented. May I have a second?
14	MS. GORDON: Second.
15	MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
17	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
18	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
19	MS. GORDON: Aye.
20	MS. NEFF: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
22	Any opposed
23	(No response.)
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: The motion carries.
25	And a motion to approve the Findings,

98

8

Determination and Interpretation Document
representing a decision the ZBA made November
19th, 2014. The Planning Board of the Village of
Greenport requested the Zoning Board of Appeals
to interpret Village Code Section 150–9A(18)
regarding accessory apartment dwelling units over
retail businesses.

And just to abbreviate it slightly, the

9	Board found that the existence of the building
10	itself was the key to preexist before July 2002
11	and the Decision Document so reflects that, with
12	the voting of three-to-two to approve that
13	document.
14	So I make that motion to approve the
15	document. May I have a second?
16	MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
17	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
18	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
19	MS. GORDON: Aye.
20	MS. NEFF: Aye.
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. Opposed?
22	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN MOORE: So we have one opposed,
24	and four in favor, so that document is approved
25	A motion to accept the ZBA minutes for

- 1 November 19th, 2014. So moved. Second, please.
- MS. GORDON: Second.
- 3 MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?

5 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye. MR. CORWIN: Aye. 6 7 MS. GORDON: Aye. MS. NEFF: Aye. 8 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. 10 MS. NEFF: What was the date? 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: November 19th, the last month's meeting. We're just accepting the 12 13 minutes for --14 MS. NEFF: Yes, yes. I'm sorry. 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So that's accepted. 16 Motion to approve the ZBA minutes for October 15th, 2014. So moved. Second, please. 17 18 MS. NEFF: Second. 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor? 20 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye. 21 MR. CORWIN: Aye. 22 MS. GORDON: Aye. 23 MS. NEFF: Aye. 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. Motion carries. And then motion to schedule the next

- 1 regular ZBA meeting for January 15th, 2015. Any
- objections to that date? It's a typical
- 3 Wednesday. It should be the third. Is that
- 4 correct?
- 5 MS. NEFF: No, no, it's not.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: That's not correct.
- 7 MS. NEFF: It's not.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's the 21st.
- 9 MS. NEFF: The 21st is correct.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's the 21st. My
- 11 mistake.
- MS. NEFF: Right, right.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Of January, which is the
- 14 third Wednesday. So everybody's available for
- that meeting.
- I'd just like to make one announcement. I
- am not going to be here for the next several
- 18 meetings. And so the customary practice will be
- 19 that the Board will select an acting Chair at the
- 20 meeting, and that person will convene and run the
- 21 meeting.
- 22 Secondly, I have asked Mr. Corwin to sit in
- for me on the Code Committee for the next couple
- of meetings. There are some very important
- 25 discussions on rental and short-term rentals in

the Village, and he's very well versed and

101

	2	opinionated in that area, and I appreciate his
	3	participation there. So those are the things.
	4	Someone will have to get together with
	5	Eileen as far as the agenda. I'd be glad to help
	6	from a distance, but I won't be available to do
	7	that.
	8	With that mentioned, motion to adjourn.
	9	MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
	10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: May I have a second?
	11	All in favor?
	12	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
	13	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
	14	MS. GORDON: Aye.
	15	MS. NEFF: Aye.
	16	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
	17	The meeting is adjourned.
p.m.)	18	(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 7:03
	19	
	20	

	22	
	23	
	24	
	25	
102		ZBA 12/17/14
	1	CERTIFICATION
	2	
	3	STATE OF NEW YORK)
	4) SS:
	5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)
	6	
	7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and
	8	Notary Public for and within the State of New
	9	York, do hereby certify:
	10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a
	11	true and correct transcription of the proceedings
	12	taken on December 17, 2014.
	13	I further certify that I am not
	14	related to any of the parties to this action by
	15	blood or marriage, and that I am in no way
	16	interested in the outcome of this matter.

17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
18	set my hand this 2nd day of January, 2015
19	
20	
21	
22	 Lucia Braaten
23	Edela Bradten
24	
25	