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## (*The meeting was called to order at 6:02 p.m. *)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Good evening, folks.
This is the Village of Greenport Zoning Board of
Appeals Regular Meeting. Tonight we're shorthanded but there's enough of us here to take care of this business.

Item No. 1 is a motion to accept and approve the minutes of the February 15th, 2022 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
(Approved - VOTE: 3-0-0-2 - Not Present: Member Reardon \& Member Soloman).

Item No. 2 -- and there's a little change with this. Item No. 2 is a motion to schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for April 19th, 2022 at 6:00 p.m. Folks, it's going to be at the School House on First and Front Street, Greenport, NY, 11944. The Fire Department has a little racket going on that night, so they need the firehouse. It's their house, they can do what they want.
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Item No. 3 is 145 Central Avenue. It's a motion to accept the findings and determinations for Tom Innamorato. The property is located in the R-2 (One and Two Family) District and is located in the Historic District. The Suffolk County Tax Map No. Isis 1001-5.-2-2. Everybody read the findings? So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye. (Approved - VOTE: 3-0-0-2 - Not Present: Member Reardon \& Member Soloman).

Item No. 4 is 302 Sixth Street. This is a motion to accept the application, schedule a public hearing and arrange a site visit for the application of Alex Bell for the property located at 302 Sixth Street, Greenport, New York, 11944. This property is located in the R-2 (One and Two Family) District, is not located in the Historic District. This property requires a use variance. I think -- I think that might be a mistake. Administrator?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, it is.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: He thinks it's a mistake and I think we're going to change that to an area variance. Dinny?

MEMBER GORDON: It says, "This would require an area variance of 12 feet on the north side".

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yeah, it's a scrivener's error.

MEMBER GORDON: So this should be correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's just a scrivener's error on the agenda. We'd have to --

MEMBER GORDON: But the scrivener should be more careful.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to put that in their efficiency report at the end of the month. Is the applicant here?

MR. PORTILLO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Name and address for the stenographer.

MR. PORTILLO: Good evening. Anthony Portillo, Architect.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do you want to give us your story?

MR. PORTILLO: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Give us your story.
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MR. PORTILLO: So we were here let's say about two months ago, we were asked to go back to the Building Department to revise the denial letter. We've also revised the design to fit the pool so that it was not in the front yard as in the plan. We've also provided calculations for the rear yard plot coverage which wasn't on the existing.

We originally were proposing a half bathroom for the current pool house; we've removed that so we're no longer asking for any plumbing, it would be a dry building.

We have a storage area behind the pool house that we would like to put the storage and it would be provided with sound insulation in that area to temper down the sound.

So, currently the ask from the Board is the 12-foot variance in case we are eight feet from that north front line.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. The first thing I would ask is I don't have -- I'm sure we might have, I don't have an authorization that you guys would be the agent for -- the signed authorization that you would be the agent for the applicant.

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service

MR. PORTILLO: Okay. I believe that was provided. If I have to I can --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't have it. Do we have it?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We have it.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. We're good with that, the Building Department has it.

The only other question I would have before my colleagues is I'm looking at your drawing; is there going to be a connection between the pool house and the storage? The east side of the pool house building, I see storage on the new drawing.

MR. PORTILLO: Yeah, there -- yes, that would be -- it would be one structure.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So it would be --
MR. PORTILLO: But there would be a devising wall between the storage and the pool house.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Will there be access from the pool house to the storage?

MR. PORTILLO: That's not the plan, just the doors in the rear would access the storage.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. And the other question I would have is I don't see it here on the line drawing; the present garage is staying,
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right?
MR. PORTILLO: That's correct. We -that's another revision, I apologize. That was something we were -- before proposing an increase, that is staying as an existing building.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. PORTILLO: No work to be done.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't see -- I see side yard and I see front yard and I see -- I don't see the dimensions between the existing framed garage and the pool house. Do you have that? Can you --

MR. PORTILLO: I don't have that.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It looks to be like a foot or two. If I'm using the scale, one inch is 15 feet.

MR. PORTILLO: I would say you're correct, two feet. But the frame garage is just a parking structure that they currently use for parking and driveway.

MEMBER GORDON: Is the garage going to remain?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes.
MR. PORTILLO: Yes, ma'am.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, the only reason we would ask is sometimes when it's inches or, you know, a foot, it kind of becomes easy to connect to.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And now --
MR. PORTILLO: It's not the intent.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not saying you guys would do that.

MR. PORTILLO: No, no.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As hard as it is for you to believe, we've seen that.

MR. PORTILLO: I believe it. I've seen it.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, maybe you could just those dimensions for us.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure. I mean, I don't think there would be any issue if we had to decrease the full house to be further away from the existing garage.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I'm not sure you would have to. All we would like to know before the public hearing is -- so the public could know also --

MR. PORTILLO: Of course.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is just that the
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business.
MR. PORTILLO: Sure. Not a problem.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm thinking that's all
I have. Do members have any questions? Diana?
MEMBER GORDON: Yeah. You know, we do have a provision, is it 153-13B I think, that on corner lots there are two front lots.

MR. PORTILLO: Understood.
MEMBER GORDON: And this really -- I understand why this is awkward because it's a long, thin lot. And to say that the part that goes east on Brown Street is still a front lot --

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
MEMBER GORDON: -- is sort of --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Front yard.
MEMBER GORDON: What?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A front yard.
MEMBER GORDON: Is stil1 a front yard.
On the other hand, that's what our Code says. So, what's your justification for -- is it the -with a corner lot there really essentially is nothing except front lots?

MR. PORTILLO: So, well, one thing was holding that third foot off that front property line on Brown Street.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinny, maybe -- here's a revised drawing; I don't know why you don't have it. Here's a revised drawing, it shows the defined rear yard, the front yard.

MEMBER GORDON: Okay. We11, this -- the plan for the pool shows still in what technically we are defining as a front yard, if this is the line, this is the pool.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, I think that this is the line.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: It's a 30 -foot setback.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This is coming from -that defines front yard and rear yard.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: That's why you're going 30 feet back, that's why you need the line. That's why you need the variance because you can't make a 2 -foot wide pool.

MR. PORTILLO: Yeah. And I think, you know, 12-foot is really minimal for the width, it's really going to be somewhat of a lap pool, essentially.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we could certainly talk about that at the public hearing.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
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MEMBER GORDON: Okay. I just wanted to be sure that I understood and that you understood that, you know, the Code does give us this provision which is a -- which is awkward in your situation --

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
MEMBER GORDON: -- where you have such a lengthy lot there. Okay.

MR. PORTILLO: One thing I mentioned, when you go to do the site visit you'11 notice already they have a pretty hedge blocking, just as a visual, that portion of the yard. Because, in a sense, it's the rear yard of the home. From my understanding, it's the front yard that --

MEMBER GORDON: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is it higher than four feet?

MR. PORTILLO: No, I think it's a little less than that. I mean, they keep it groomed. It's not like --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, on1y because you can't have the hedge height be --

MR. PORTILLO: Yeah. There's also fencing.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But we're not the Zoning police, you know (laughter).
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MR. PORTILLO: No, it's groomed and they're pretty up -- they keep up with their yard.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MEMBER GORDON: Did you say there's also a fence?

MR. PORTILLO: Yes, ma'am.
MEMBER GORDON: Behind the --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Hedges.
MR. PORTILLO: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think that's -that's all we have. Right now it's just about the application. I'll ask members of the public if they have a question about the application? Back there? No?
(*Laughter*)
Okay.
MR. PORTILLO: Thank you, Board.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
All right, I'm going to make a motion that we -- that we accept this application. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
(Approved - VOTE: 3-0-0-2 - Not Present: Member
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Reardon \& Member Soloman).
We'11 schedule a public hearing for -- I have it here, for Apri1 19th. We'11 set it for six o'clock; we set them all at six o'clock. We would ask you, as we do everyone, to stake out whatever you want us to see, the pool house, the pool. You know, perhaps you could just indicate front yard, rear yard with some stakes.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: It seems like it should be at five. You said six for the meeting, but five would be for the site visit?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Did we decide what time the site visit would be?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: We11, that's what I'm asking, yeah.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I was going to --
MEMBER GORDON: Five thirty would be okay because it's now light at 5:30.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah, sure. You just started to talk about stakes, so $I$ wanted to get a time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm getting beat up here (laughter). Whatever's convenient; five o'clock, 5:30?
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MEMBER KAUFMAN: Five thirty is fine.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Five thirty. We're going to set the site inspection for $5: 30$, the public hearing is at six o'clock. I'11 say it again about staking out the property, whoever wants to be there.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure. I'11 meet you there.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And we'11 see you at -on April 19th.

MR. PORTILLO: Great. Appreciate it.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: My colleagues hold me to task here.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Were you really getting beat up?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I was.
Item No. 5 is 440 First Street. This is a motion to accept the application, schedule a public hearing and arrange a site visit regarding the application of Eric Urban for the property located at 440 First Street, New York -Greenport, New York, 11944. This property is located in the R-2 (One and Two Family) District, is located in the Historic District, and this property requires a use variance. The Suffolk County Tax Map No. Is 1001-4-7-1. Is the
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applicant here? Name and address for the stenographer, please.

MR. BRESSLER: Mr. Chairman, members of the board, my name is Eric Bressler, the firm of Wickham, Bressler \& Geasa, and I'm here tonight on behalf of the applicants.

I understand that this matter, according to the agenda, is on for a motion to accept the application, set a date for inspection and, thereafter, set a date for the public hearing. I would urge the Board to grant that motion, accept the application and we can arrange for a time for inspection and respectfully suggest that the date that the Board gave to the last applicant be set for the date of our public hearing as well.

By way of -- by way of background, if you -- Mr. Chairman, if you'd like me to go into this a little bit?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MR. BRESSLER: Un1ess, of course, the Board wants to make a motion and vote on it and grant it, then I won't waste the Board's time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, as luck would have it, the Board has an extra few minutes, so
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you're more than welcome to describe the project, if you'd like.

MR. BRESSLER: Most people don't take advantage of their extra time that want to hear from me, but I'm happy to address the Board (7aughter).

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the more we know the better off it is for everybody.

MR. BRESSLER: Yeah, let me first -- let me first address the last portion of the notice.

The -- I think the Board dealt with a similar issue in number four when it was pointed out that the statement and the notice that a use variance was required for a swimming pool was not quite right and they were seeking an area variance.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It wasn't for the swimming pool.

MR. BRESSLER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just to correct the record.

MR. BRESSLER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It was for a second dwelling unit that was proposed for the property.

MR. BRESSLER: Oh, okay.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So they withdrew that, but the agenda wasn't updated. Sorry

MR. BRESSLER: Here, what's before the Board is not a use variance and we submit that we don't need a use variance. And I'm hoping that the Board, in printing up the notice for this particular hearing, just took the language off the building inspector's Notice of Disapproval. And it's not deemed to be a determination at this point that the building inspector was right because we don't think that the building inspector was right.

What is before this Board is an application for reversal. It's not a variance with respect to area, it's not a use variance. It's a reversal and it's based upon the fact that the building inspector was in error when he issued this. That's what's currently before the Board.

We have submitted our application and we have submitted the documents in support of the application. We have responded to staff by submitting additional documentation and we think that everything that the Board, at least in terms of setting it down for a hearing, the Board has what it needs.
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The basis for this particular application and why we've come to the Board and why we think the building inspector is wrong is because the building inspector determined that there's one lot here, but there's really -- there's really two lots here.

So I'm sure this Board is aware, having dealt with many similar applications, whether it be for reversal, use or area variances, and that particular area is aware of the filed map that governs the lots in that area. And there are two lots on the filed map that are an issue in this case. They have separate tax map numbers, they have separate deeds, they're in separate ownership.

So the issue that's presented to the Board by our appeal is whether or not there's one lot or whether there's two lots. The building inspector apparently determined that there's one, he used a tax map number and it no longer exists and he did not recognize the fact that the lots are in separate ownership off that filed map.

So, we urge the Board to go out and look at this. We think that the nature of the neighborhood, the character of the neighborhood

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
supports the conclusion that they're two lots. There are many, many dwellings there that are on the lots that are off the filed map.

So, that having been said, we urge the Board to grant the motion, put it down for public hearing and I'd be glad to come back before the Board and make a more formal presentation and address any sort of issues that may come up in the context of the public hearing. That, Mr. Chairman, is what this application is about.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Just --
MR. BRESSLER: Let me just add one other thing.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MR. BRESSLER: Obviously, and I think it can go without saying, certainly I didn't say it, but the reason that this becomes important is there is house in front of the lot and there is another structure, the carriage house, on the other one that wants to be converted to residential, that's why this matters.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. As much as we would like to accommodate you, we're going to give the building inspector at least the benefit of the doubt and just ask a couple of questions

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
here. We're not going to --
MR. BRESSLER: Yeah, I'm sure the Board -right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just throw the Notice of Disapproval out because that would be --

MR. BRESSLER: I'd like -- if I may, Mr. Chairman? I'd like to bring up one other thing.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You said one other thing before, but okay.

MR. BRESSLER: I would like to bring up one other thing because $I$ would like to preemptively address this issue. And that is we made our application, we filed it and paid the fees, we addressed the issue that the building inspector addressed in his Notice of Disapproval.

About a month ago we got a piece of -another piece of paper from the building inspector that purported to deny this application, a power that obviously rests with this Board rather than the building inspector. And he said it's -- we're denying it because I told you before, you need a use variance and there's several other things. I don't believe that's properly before this Board.

But before we move forward with this, I

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
wanted to direct the Board's attention so that we don't waste additional time should this matter come up at the public hearing. I don't know whether the Board has been provided with this, it's our position that it is not a disapproval in the first instance, that the building inspector had no power to disapprove this application before the Zoning Board of Appeals. That's the procedural issue I bring before you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So you -- just so it's clear in my mind. I have two Notices of Disapprova1, one issued November 15th, 2021 and another one issued February 11th, 2022.

MR. BRESSLER: That's what you're referring to --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Which one is --
MR. BRESSLER: I don't believe what you've characterized as a Notice of Disapproval is, in fact, a Notice of Disapproval. The language --

MS. WICKHAM: (Inaudib7e)
MR. BRESSLER: The language of that document says they are disapproving the application that was made to this Board in January; that's what it says. That is without the power of the building inspector. Only this
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Board can determine whether a grant and appeal --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, mine says -- mine says that this is an amended Notice of Disapprova1.

MR. BRESSLER: But it relates to something that he has no jurisdiction over. I'm saying that that is a nullity. If the building inspector wants to issue an amended Notice of Disapproval with respect to our application to the Building Department, he should do so. But he can't issue an amended Notice of Disapproval of an application before your Board, that's a no force and effect, only you have that power.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Where -- I don't understand why this can't be why this -- and I'm going to ask our attorney why this can't be an extension of the original Notice of Disapproval.

MR. BRESSLER: Because it doesn't say that it is, Mr. Chairman.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, it says amended, Notice of Disapproval that the application dated January 14th for the renovations.

MR. BRESSLER: But the application of the Building Department was not made in January. He cannot effect the application before you. If
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that purported to amend something, he should refer to it. That does not relate --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So -- so are you suggesting that we should grant the approval just because the building inspector didn't word the Notice of Disapproval to --

MR. BRESSLER: What I'm saying is that is not an amended Notice of Disapproval.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, how about this? How about this, to satisfy your concern we'11 postpone this till next month, we'11 let the building inspector issue a new Notice of Disapproval to your satisfaction and we'11 take it up again next month.

MR. BRESSLER: Well, if determined to do that, then what is this Board's procedure with respect to my application that was filed on his original notice? Is the Board going to require me to go back and pay additional fees and address the additional arguments?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't think so.
MR. BRESSLER: You don't think so? Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I'm going to ask my attorney, number one. But in my mind, if it's agreeable to the Board and it's agreeable to you,
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we'11 just put a pin in this. We'11 let him issue a new Notice of Disapproval, one that addresses the dates that you have concerns about, add that amended Notice of Disapproval to the original one and we'11 take it up again next month. Or --

MR. BRESSLER: Well, my -- my fear is this. That I have not filed with you an application that addresses all the grounds that are set forth in that piece of paper, whether the Board deems it an amended notice or not. We have not submitted an application that addresses those grounds and I don't want the record before the Board to be devoid of that application and those arguments.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, you have 30 days to make those arguments.

MR. BRESSLER: Because that would present a jurisdictional problem.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't think -- I don't think there would be an additional -- well, I'11 ask the Building Department if there's a fee you're concerned about, I don't think there'11 be an additional fee.

MR. BRESSLER: Okay.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But if we don't get the money so we don't make the price.
(*Laughter*)
We'11 ask the building inspector then we'11 ask the attorney.

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: I don't think that they would have to pay an additional fee.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, where -- but just so -- I don't even -- if we're going to table this until next month, I have concerns about -before we got this Notice of Disapproval, I have some concerns about the original application. You can choose to address them since we haven't accepted an application yet. It's about the application. I would ask you these questions or I could save my questions till next month.

MR. BRESSLER: We11, if you're going to have questions about all of the aspects of the application --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, only the application. In this -- I'm not sure if you know the process. In this municipality, the Building Department only collects the application, the Zoning Board accepts them. The Building Department, unlike other municipalities, they
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don't accept the application, all they do is collect the form. We accept the application. Whether that's a good thing, whether that's a bad thing, we didn't make the rules. That's how it is here, that's the process we follow.

So when we look at an application, the training seminars that we're forced to attend tells us --

MR. BRESSLER: Welll, is there --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Tells us -- just let me finish.

MR. BRESSLER: May I ask you then, Mr. Chairman, whether --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MR. BRESSLER. -- on the Notice of Disapproval, that you have received all of the documents that you need or you need something in addition? Since we did get a request from the Board to submit more things than we did; is there anything else that you need on the original so that -- so that we can address that?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As a matter of fact, there is.

MR. BRESSLER: There is?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There is.
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MR. BRESSLER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I kind of made a couple of notes here; should I go through them?

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: One is -- well, we've asked from the previous -- I don't have, perhaps my colleagues have it. I don't have a signed --

MR. BRESSLER: We11, okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's not enough to hold the application up under normal circumstances, but we don't have that, a notarized form saying that you can act as Mr. Urban's agent; we don't have that.

MR. BRESSLER: We11, may I make a suggestion then?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If perhaps you can hold your suggestions until $I$ read my concerns and then we can -- you could address them all at once.

MR. BRESSLER: Then could we have the next meeting date by which time we may assume that there will be an attempt to provide a proper amended Notice of Disapproval and then we will take up all the Board's issues at the next meeting?
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, if what you're asking is when the next meeting is and would you be on the agenda for that meeting, I would tell you the next meeting is Apri1 -- April 19th. And if you're submitting your forms -- if you submit your forms in time to get on that agenda, by all means, we'11 see you on April 19th at 6 p.m. at the School House.

MR. BRESSLER: So --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: First and Front.
MR. BRESSLER: So, when would -- when would this next appear?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I --
MS. WICKHAM: I have April 19th.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I thought I just said that.

MS. WICKHAM: You did. I think he couldn't hear you.

MR. BRESSLER: Apri1 19th?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Should I speak up? I'm sorry.

MR. BRESSLER: Yeah, it's a little echoey in here. April 19th?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Apri1 19th --
MR. BRESSLER: Yeah, okay.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- in Greenport, Apri1 19th at the 01d School House on First and Front Street. Our meeting starts at six o'clock. If you get the required information in -- I'11 ask the Building Department Clerk; Amanda, 10 days before? To get on the agenda?

SECRETARY AURICHIO: Two weeks at least.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Two weeks before?
SECRETARY AURICHIO: We11, is the deadline.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
SECRETARY AURICHIO: Two weeks is the deadline to go onto the agenda.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So if you submit all the information that you think you would need for this application to be accepted two weeks before the 19th, I'm guessing if we use the --

MEMBER GORDON: April 5th.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If we use a mathematical equation, that would be --

MEMBER GORDON: April 5th.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- Apri1 5th.
MR. BRESSLER: Is there other documents that you need on this portion of the application? If you could tell me what they are I could start looking for them.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I was going to do that but you -- okay.

The other thing that I have a concern about is I have a big problem with your EAF, and I could go through it for you.

So, I mentioned the notarized written consent from the owner for you to act as his representative.

SECRETARY AURICHIO: Mr. Chair, we have that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, you do? I don't have it, but I believe you guys if you have it.

On your application, I have to ask, where did you get this form? We -- the Village of Greenport doesn't have this form.

MR. BRESSLER: Where did I get the form. That's a good question. When I went --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'm dying to hear the answer.

MR. BRESSLER: Okay. When I went to the appropriate sites and I looked and I talked to my other sources, I got -- I found two forms; I found a form for an area variance and I found a form for a use variance. I don't want either of those.
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MS. WICKHAM: He's talking about the EAF. Are you talking about the EAF?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No. Right now --
MR. BRESSLER: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The EAF -- I could address the things on the EAF that you want that I find efficient, but right now I think we're talking about the Zoning Board of Appeals app1ication.

MS. WICKHAM: I'm sorry.
MR. BRESSLER: Okay. So the answer to your question is there was no form for this specific type of application. Indeed, there's nothing in your fee schedule for this particular application.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So you made up a form?
MR. BRESSLER: So I took your form and I did what I've done in other jurisdictions, like the one right down the road in Southold,; they have no form for this and their fee schedule does not have anything for a reversal. So I made a form that reflects the legal relief that I'm looking for and we made a phone call to determine what the appropriate fee should be. Since it was unlisted, we paid that fee and we coddled up the
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form to address the issues raised by the application.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes. And you realize -- I'm not sure how things work in Southold. You know, Southold may be a little more sophisticated than us; maybe not as sophisticated, I don't know. But in Greenport, the Zoning Code says for anything that you want you have to submit it on the form that's -that's provide -- that's provided by, prescribed by the Village. This form, I'm here --

MR. BRESSLER: If you would --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I heard your explanation. This form, I've never seen -- I've never seen -- I've never seen an applicant make up his own form. I just -- you know, maybe that's commonplace elsewhere. It's never happened here.

MR. BRESSLER: If you have one --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The other thing -- the other thing I would add is I'm not sure why our forms wouldn't address your need. If you're asking for a reversal, you don't agree that the Building Department says you need a use variance. MR. BRESSLER: We11 --
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You would fill out a form, just in my mind --

MR. BRESSLER: Well --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You would fill --
MS. WICKHAM: Let him finish.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You would fill out a form for a use variance, on the form it says you're asking for a variance or an interpretation. You would ask for an interpretation contesting that the building inspector made a mistake as per whatever portion of the Code, 150-AA, 150-7, and you would present your exhibits to the Zoning Board, but you would use the form that's prescribed by the Village.

The training seminars that we go say that for us to accept an application it has to be complete and correct; this one is neither. So, I'm not sure -- I'm not sure how -- how the other members feel, but --

MR. BRESSLER: I would like to address that. If you look at the front page of the form, that is your form. The only thing that I changed was the line under Zoning Board of Appeals applications. And I can't remember which one I used, whether it was area or use, the front pages
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are the same; I used your form. Then when I got to the second page, your form for an area variance has the factors for an area variance; none of them apply because I'm not looking for one.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You could ask for an interpretation.

MR. BRESSLER: No, I'm not asking for an interpretation.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, perhaps you should.

MR. BRESSLER: I'm asking for a reversal. Your form for the use variance has all the use variance factors, none of which apply to me.

So, instead of giving you a page that said N/A, N/A, N/A and then adding my addenda, I took your first page, $I$ added my addenda and put the signature on it. If the Board feels that they would like a page from the use variance or the area variance that says N/A, N/A, N/A, this is not --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Al1 I'm asking you to do is respect our process.

MR. BRESSLER: I don't know what -- I don't know what to do. What are you asking me --
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I just explained to you how to follow process.

MR. BRESSLER: What are you asking me to do?

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Eric, aren't you really just asking for an interpretation from the Board for them to interpret what the building inspector -- aren't you challenging the building inspector's determination and asking the Board to interpret the section of the Code that the building inspector said you needed a variance for?

MR. BRESSLER: No, I'm not asking him to interpret it. I am asking the Board to reverse it. That -- there's a distinct difference between -- I don't need to tell you that. There's a distinct difference between an interpretation and a reversal and the Board has the power to do both.

So, in the absence of a form, I took as much of the form as I could possibly use and added the addenda from reversal.

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Right.
MR. BRESSLER: If the Board has a form that is more appropriate to this type of application
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that I didn't find, I'm happy to use it and I apologize for not finding it. Is there such a form?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You obviously know there's not.

MR. BRESSLER: Right. So I did -- I did the best I could.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But we can't -- our opinion -- I won't speak for my colleagues, I always get in trouble when I do.

My opinion is -- my opinion is that there is a form for you to use, and the form is a use variance form and you would ask for an interpretation. Exactly what the -- if this Board decides that the building inspector, after we review all the exhibits and photographs that you provided us, after we hear from the Village, therefore their opinion of what should be, their side of the story, we decide that that portion of the Code was incorrectly applied. Wouldn't the reversal come from that?

MR. BRESSLER: I'm not asking the Board to interpret the section --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, you're asking for a reversal of the Building Department.
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MR. BRESSLER: I am asking for the -CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The denial of it. MR. BRESSLER: Yes, I'm asking for the reversal of the denial.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But I'm telling you the process that we think, that I think you have to go through to get that. If you keep insisting that this is the road you want to follow -- Mr. Bressler, all I'm going to tell you is we're not going to accept an application that you wrote on a cocktail napkin or a form that you made up. I mean, there is a process involved here. Whatever you think of that process, you can consult with the Village Attorney, you can consult with the Building Department. But me personally, I'm not prepared to accept this application the way it's presented to us.

MR. BRESSLER: But there is no form for a reversal which is not an interpretation.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This is getting circular. We're -- I'm trying to explain to you that -- how you proceed to get what you're looking for and you just -- you insist -- only because in my mind, only because you feel it makes your appeal more focused and more valid
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than following the process that's here; and that's in your mind, not in my mind.

MR. BRESSLER: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, so al1 I'm prepared to tell you right now is you can address my concerns. And unfortunately there's only three members here, but there is a quorum, and for one member to dissent means the application doesn't get accepted.

We're willing to -- we listened to your concern, we're willing to, at your suggestion, put this off till next month to address what you feel is a deficient Notice of Disapproval; you can get that taken care of. You can listen to the rest of my concerns about the EAF and the site plan, or you can forward with what you have and next month perhaps hear the same argument from the Chairman of the Zoning Board.

MR. BRESSLER: Mr. Chairman, the section that was cited by the building inspector is that you can't have --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're not having the public hearing tonight. We're not going to discuss this tonight, this is not the public hearing. This is about an application and how
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you should progress the application; that's what this is about. It's strictly about the application, not about the public hearing, not about the merits of your appeal.

MR. BRESSLER: In the absence of an application for the relief that I want, and which is authorized by 1 law and which is separate and distinct from an interpretation, I'm not sure what I'm going to do about that except to speak to your Village Attorney about it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That would be my suggestion. You know, work it out, work it out with the attorney. Other than that, I mean, you certainly -- I mean, if you're dissatisfied with what you hear tonight and our attorney can't satisfy you, then -- you know, then you have legal, then you have judicial review.

MR. BRESSLER: I do.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's fine, that's fine. And trust me when I say, it's not that we welcome it, but we're not afraid of it either.

MEMBER GORDON: Could I say something?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Could my colleague speak? (Laughter).

MEMBER GORDON: It seems to me that you're
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here prematurely. And I realize that's a little unfair because you were here last month, but it seems to me that --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, they weren't here. COUNSEL CONNOLLY: They weren't here last month.

MEMBER GORDON: Or two months ago.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: No one showed up to represent them.

MEMBER GORDON: Okay. The application was here --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: The application was here but no one showed up.

MEMBER GORDON: -- not the human being, okay. But it seems to me that this is premature because there's an underlying dispute here about the nature of the property and that that has to be -- that has to be settled between you and the Village, you and the -- and the Building Department before we can make a decision about whether it's a use variance or an area variance or -- because there are some very nefarious questions here, too.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11 --
MEMBER GORDON: So I don't quite understand
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why the question of whether it's one lot or two lots hasn't been definitively settled between you and the Village, whether it's you and Mr. Pallas or you and the lawyers.

MR. BRESSLER: Because the building
inspector issued a Notice of Disapproval. When you don't like a Notice of Disapproval, you have to, within 60 days, go to the Zoning Board of Appeals. The Zoning Board of Appeals determines on all the evidence whether the building inspector was right or whether he was wrong. Whether this is one lot or two lots is in the first instance after the building inspector's issuance is a matter to this Board. It is not an interpretation of the section that says one dwelling structure per lot; there's nothing ambiguous about that.

The question is whether it's one lot or two lots and that calls for the expertise of this Board to be applied to the determination of the building inspector. I don't think I'm premature, I think I had to come here. I had no other remedy. I couldn't go to court, it's premature. You are the appellate body with respect to the decisions of the building inspector.
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MEMBER GORDON: That is certainly true.
MR. BRESSLER: So I'm going to take the Chairman's suggestion and I'm going to talk to Counsel about this. Quite frankly, I don't understand, you know, having brought any number of these reversals in the past and where there's no form for it, it's provided by -- it's provided by law. That's one of the rights of an applicant to seek a reversal, not a variance and not an interpretation but a reversal. So I'm going to take that up with the town -- with the Village Attorney and see if we can't reach some sort of philosophical agreement on this.

MEMBER GORDON: I think the whole thing would be much easier if the question of whether it's one lot or two had been determined with all the parties agreeing before -- really before we have a Notice of Disapproval to deal with. Because that -- the Notice of Disapproval is what we're supposed to be working with.

MR. BRESSLER: They've already said no. If you're suggesting that no doesn't mean no, I will take that up with the Village Attorney.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: (Inaudible)
MR. BRESSLER: But if no means no, I have
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to come to you, that's what the law says. That's why I'm here.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think what Dinny's trying to say is that this is an issue. I mean, this is an issue that should have been squared away. I'm not -- and I'm not saying you don't have the right to be here. All I'm saying is in a perfect world, or even a semi-perfect world, this is deciding whether it's one lot or two lots, it could have been squared away between the applicant's attorney, the Village Attorney, the Zoning Board's attorney, the Building Department before it got to this. But since you're content to be here, since you feel this is your only recourse, then so be it.

MR. BRESSLER: I've got to know. I'll talk to the Village Attorney and see if we can resolve it. I have to tell you that I don't hold a lot of hope, but I am willing to try. And if the answer is no --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Hope springs eternal.
MR. BRESSLER: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Right? Hope springs eternal.

MR. BRESSLER: And if the answer is still
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no, we'11 be -- you know, we will be in front of you as the appellate body.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So I'm just not sure where -- where we've leaving this. Do we want to hear the rest of my concerns before next month or --

MS. WICKHAM: (Nodded head yes)
MEMBER KAUFMAN: No. I think you're going to go, you're going to come back next month. So, you already said we're going to deal with it, I don't think you need to get into it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, only because if we let -- not to the case. On1y because with the physical application, I had some problems with the EAF. If you'd rather hear them next month, that's fine.

MS. WICKHAM: Eric, now; I want to hear them now.

MEMBER GORDON: We have our own dilemma here which --

MR. BRESSLER: Yes, if there's something specific that you want on the EAF, we'd be happy to address it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I think -- I think there's four or five questions that were
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answered wrong. I'11 read them to you; if those are your answers and those are the ones you want to put forward, that's fine, too. But right now I kind of dispute some of these answers.

Is the proposed action a permitted use under zoning regulation. The notice of disapproval is for the use variance; you said yes; it's not?

MR. BRESSLER: We11, that has yet to be determined. We're taking the position that it is. We don't concede that the building inspector is right, that's why we're before you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yeah, but the Notice of Disapproval is what we're looking at. The application -- the appeal, as far as we're concerned at this moment in time is for a use variance.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We're taking the position that it is permitted.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. BRESSLER: That's why we answered it that way.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. And question number 12, does the site contain a structure that's listed on either on the State Register of
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Historic Places. The site is in the Historic District, it is registered, so the answer to that should have been yes, in my opinion.

Another question is -- had to do with the non-point source of storm water. It doesn't -it doesn't give me any indication where that might happen.

Also, about prior appeal, you answered no, there was no prior appeal. I think we were on the Zoning Board when the applicant came before us on prior appeal for the subdivision for this property in 2016.

MR. BRESSLER: I wasn't aware that that went to determination.

MS. WICKHAM: Well, you'll look into it.
MR. BRESSLER: Yeah, I'11 look into it. I thought the purpose of the question was if there is a determination from the Zoning Board that affected the property --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, it doesn't say that.

MR. BRESSLER: -- you would want to know about it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What it says is was there a prior appeal.
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MR. BRESSLER: The appeal never went through, so that's why we answered it.

And let me make one other comment about what you said about is this permitted in the zoning. If we were to answer that question no, then would we not, by coming before the Board and arguing that it is, have put ourselves in an inconsistent position?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, you could have clarified it.

MR. BRESSLER: I can't put myself in an inconsistent position.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Then --
MR. BRESSLER: That's why it was answered that way.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the other appea1 was in front of -- was in front of the HPC, I believe, in 2000 and -- there was another appeal in front of the HPC about this property. It doesn't say what --

MR. BRESSLER: Was there a determination issued?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes, there was.
MR. BRESSLER: HPC?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Historic Preservation
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Commission.
MR. BRESSLER: I'11 speak to my ciient, he was not aware of it.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I believe -- if the Zoning Board was 2016, I believe the HPC was 2017 or '18, I believe. You know, so there's only 24 meetings. I'm sure it would be easy enough to see that property on their agenda. I'm sorry I don't have the date, but $I$ remember the --

MR. BRESSLER: Well, I'm aware --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And it went to a determination.

MR. BRESSLER: Right. I'm aware of what went on. Of course, as you say, it did not go to any sort of determination, so.

MS. WICKHAM: No, it did.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It did.
MR. BRESSLER: It did?
MS. WICKHAM: He said it did.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The HPC refused the request; they came to a decision and refused the request.

MR. BRESSLER: The HPC?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes.
MR. BRESSLER: Oh, the HPC. I was talking
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about the Zoning Board. I'm not aware of the prior --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Zoning Board -- the Zoning Board -- if -- I'm just responding to the way the question's been written.

MR. BRESSLER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Was there a prior appeal. It doesn't say was there an appeal and a determination, just was there a prior appeal.

MR. BRESSLER: My understanding was that the purpose of that question is to determine whether their Zoning Board of Appeals set a precedent with respect to this property.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I can only read the words that are on the form. So -- and in zoning, you know for yourself as being a land use attorney, we can't add or subtract any words.

MR. BRESSLER: Wel1 --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- so we go by the written word.

MR. BRESSLER. Like I said, my understanding is since the appeal did not go anywhere that it's not precedent and it's not anything that the Board would be governed by.

So, we will take a look at the EAF and I
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will talk to the -- I will talk to the Village Attorney and try to straighten this thing out.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So --
MEMBER GORDON: I think you attribute a broader power to us than we have. And that, therefore, we really only have the authority to determine the correctness of that Notice of Disapproval. And yet you're asking us also to deal with the question of whether it's one lot or two lots.

I was prepared. You know, I heard you say the various pieces of the evidence that support the two-lot position, but there are -- there are pieces of evidence on the other side. I have the zoning map in front of me and it shows a block that is one lot. It's a big lot, it's bigger than 12,000, I think something like 12,000 square feet which is bigger than an ordinary lot.

So, I think that the -- that basic question has to be decided elsewhere and that our role is a pretty narrow one, narrower than you think. But I think it would be good to get --

MR. BRESSLER: May I inquire where you think elsewhere might be if not in this Board charged by law with reviewing the building
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inspector's determinations? Where would I go if not to you?

MEMBER GORDON: We11, I don't think we're supposed to be evaluating the buildings -- the building inspector's determination with respect to the dimensions of the lots, that's not our role. Our role is really quite narrow. It's -the applicant asks for a building permit and the building inspector says no and we say whether that's right or wrong. That does not, I don't think, include an evaluation of the property divisions that are here.

So, to get that settled with the lawyers, with the Building Department before you come to us, to me makes sense given what $I$ understand to be our role. I think it's narrower than you think it is.

MR. BRESSLER: Well, with all due respect,
I think that is an unduly narrow view of your jurisdiction. And it's not often that you will hear me say that about a municipal board, but I think you are in error. I think you have much more jurisdiction than you think you do because the Statute says any determination of the building inspector that you don't like comes to
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you, and I can't go any place else in the first instance. I can't go to the Planning Board, I can't go to the Supreme Court. I can't go -CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But you can enter into a negotiated process to forward your opinion to the Zoning Board Attorney, to the Village Attorney, to the Building Department instead of -- instead -- and deal lawyer-to-1awyer-to-1awyer as opposed to coming in front of a board of laymen and decide on a land use case that -- I agree with Dinny, that $I$ don't really think we should be deciding about the validity of your claim that there's two lots as opposed to the Building Department's claim that it's only one lot.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: And I have a question for our attorney which is -- what essentially is a tax map question, right; that's what this is?

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Yeah.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: In terms of whether it's one lot or two. Is that a zoning issue?

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: We11, I mean, there's
a -- there's two tax map numbers now, there was one that's not used anymore, correct? Or is that - -

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I'm not trying to get -CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We would have to ask the Building Department that.

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Oh, yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have one tax map number.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I'm not trying to get to figuring this out. A11 I'm asking is is a tax map issue, which is what this sounds like to me, is that a zoning issue?

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: We11, no, that's -- the tax map is assigned by the County.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: But it's interpreting --
COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Right, so I don't think we can have -- the Zoning Board can't interpret whether or not the County issued the tax map.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: But isn't that -- you know, ultimately that's at the root of this.

MEMBER GORDON: That's what we're being asked to do.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: And that doesn't seem to be covered by zoning laws, it's a complete -it's real estate law but it's not zoning. You know, just the Village -- you know, ultimately, we don't get to decide, you know, who owns a
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property and where the boundaries are, correct? I mean --

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: No, that's not --
MEMBER KAUFMAN: I'm not a lawyer, that's why I'm asking. And that's -- ultimately that's -- I agree with you as well, I don't see how a Zoning Board of Appeals is supposed to adjudicate what amounts to a tax map question.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Could I just ask, did you have these conversations with the -- this particular conversation? Not our conversation but the question of one lot, two lots, three lots, one lot, 1884 tax map. Did you have that conversation with the Village Attorney or the Zoning Board's attorney. Did you's try to reach a decision?

MR. BRESSLER: I have not yet spoken to the Village Attorney.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. BRESSLER: While I take your point that you would like a negotiated settlement, I will try to get a negotiated settlement. But if I don't, I'11 just conclude by saying you're the Board that I must go to as a matter of law.

So I will speak to the attorney, we'11 see
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you on the 19th at a different location, maybe I'11 get something more from the Building Department and we'11 move forward.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just to remind you, she'11 need the information to get on the agenda two weeks before, two weeks before the 19th to be on schedule for that agenda. And if all that happens, we'll see you on the 19th. If not --

MR. BRESSLER: Okay. Thank you, Mr.
Chairman and the Board for your attention.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
Item No. 6, is any other Zoning Board of Appeals business that might properly come before this board? Anybody, question? This is the time to ask. Guys in the back over there? No? Okay.

Item No. 7 is a motion to adjourn.
So moved
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
Jay, roll the credits.
(*The meeting was adjourned at 7:01 p.m. *)
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