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## (*The meeting was called to order at 6:05 p.m. *)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Good evening, folks.
This is the Village of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals Regular Meeting.

Item No. 1 is a motion to accept the minutes of the August 17th, 2021 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.
MEMBER REARDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
(*Audience Member's Phone Rings*)
I'm going to silence my phone, folks, so maybe we can do ours, too. Okay? A11 in favor?

MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Item No. 2 is motion to approve the minutes of the -- (Gentleman speaking on his phone). Item No. 2 is motion to approve the minutes of the July 20th, 2021 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
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MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
Item No. 3 is a motion to schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for October 19th, 2021, at 6 PM at Station One Firehouse, Third and South Street, Greenport, New York, 11944.

So moved.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
Item No. 4 is 511 Carpenter Street. It's a motion to accept the findings and determinations for Jenna and Donald Williams. The property is located in the R-2 One and Two-Family District and is located in the Historic District. The Suffolk County Tax Map is 1001-4-3-32. So moved.

Folks, we got these -- because of a
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clerical thing, an Internet thing, we got these a little late today. If the members have read them we'll vote on them; if the members haven't read it and are uncomfortable voting, we could do it next month. I've read it. So, what's the consensus? Do we want to vote on it? Did we read the findings for 511 Carpenter?

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
TRUSTEE SOLOMON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. So, I'm going to make that motion; so moved. All in favor?

MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye. Jack?
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
Item No. 5 is 302 6th Street, it's a motion to accept the application, schedule a Public Hearing and arrange a site visit for the application of Alex Bell for the property located at 302 6th Street, Greenport, New York, 11944.
This property is located in the R-2 One and
Two-Family District, is not located in the
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Historic District. This property requires a use variance. The Suffolk County Tax Map is No. 1001-6-7-8. I'm going to -- is the applicant here and would like to --

MR. PORTILLO: I'm the architect.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Name and address for the stenographer.

MR. PORTILLO: Anthony Portil1o, 1075075 Franklinville Rd, Laure1, New York.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're the representative for the applicant?

MR. PORTILLO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't have -- we don't have in the application the notarized statement that you're representing the applicant.

MR. PORTILLO: Okay. The applicant's here, actual1y.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. PORTILLO: I can provide that to you guys for your office.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's okay. We're not going to -- if that was the only thing, we --

MR. PORTILLO: I apologize for that.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So, we're going to need that if this goes forward.
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MR. PORTILLO: That's fine.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there something you want to tell us about the application?

MR. PORTILLO: No, we're proposing a pool and a garage with a pool house. We are requesting some reliefs due to some of the site constraints on the property. The pool is currently -- the proposed pool is currently 16 feet from the property 1 ines. It is a corner lot.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Did you say how many feet?

MR. PORTILLO: Sixteen feet --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sixteen feet.
MR. PORTILL: -- from the rear and front property line. And we also need a relief on the proposed garage due to the front yard setback. Again, it's a corner lot, but we're within the setbacks on the side and rear yard five feet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well -- okay. The Notice of Disapproval and -- not the agenda, but the Notice of Disapproval says you need a use variance.

MR. PORTILLO: That was -- we moved it. We originally had his art studio, we turned it into
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a pool house. We reapplied, we needed to get the drawings and it should have been worked out through your office. That was done. We actually got set back a month because of that. We received the review comments like a few days before this hearing last month and then we made the revisions, I spoke with Greg and we resubmitted that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, what could -- why should this Board consider a pool house? My understanding is that because the addition of a bathroom makes it a second dwelling unit.

MR. PORTILLO: It's a half bath, actually.
It's just a toilet and --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Any sanitary facilities in the building would make it a second dwelling.

MR. PORTILLO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, I'm curious as to the difference between a pool house and anything else.

MR. PORTILLO: Like in living quarters or are you saying like a dwelling?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm saying any accessory building. What I'm saying is according to our Code, any accessory building that adds
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sanitary facilities to it becomes a second dwelling unit.

MR. PORTILLO: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not sure why a pool house is different. Do you have an interpretation?

MR. PORTILLO: It's unheated, we're only doing a seasonal type of use. It wouldn't have -- it wouldn't be a dwelling.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yeah, but the Code doesn't -- the Code doesn't provide for that.

MR. PORTILLO: So then we would be requesting a use permit if that was the case.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Have you --
MR. PORTILLO: I'm sorry. Just to be clear that the pool house would be considered a dwelling due to a bathroom and a sink and a toilet?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes.
MR. PORTILLO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Un1ess you can provide an interpretation.

MR. CONNOLLY: It's my understanding that it's an interior dwelling, there would have to be living quarters, like sleeping quarters and a
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kitchen.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Our interpretation is sanitary or the addition of cooking facilities. I mean, once you put -- the toilet is the big -is the big issue. I can't remember -- I'11 ask my colleagues. I can't remember ever adding a bathroom to an accessory building and it didn't need a use variance.

MR. CONNOLLY: The real property tax law considers a dwelling -- considers a structure a dwelling only if there's a kitchen in it. That's New York State Property Tax Law, and it might differ from what the Village Code says.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, I know the Village Code is different from that. But if -if there's a previous interpretation where pool house is exempt. I spoke to Diana today about that. She's on the Zoning -- I'm on the Zoning Board a few years, five or six years, Dinny's on the Zoning Board a little longer than me.

MEMBER GORDON: On1y a couple of years.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Neither one of us remember that. But if you have -- if you have an interpretation saying otherwise, we would -- we would take that into consideration.
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MR. PORTILLO: New York State Building Code does indicate a home to have a full kitchen, that's how they represent a 12 -unit. So, if I was using Building Code that's what that says.

Regarding the Village Code, I mean, really I have gone through it, I haven't found much of anything saying that the bathroom, or the half bathroom or the toilet and the sink would require a use variance. But if it does, I guess we're requesting a use variance if that's what the lawyer is saying.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. PORTILLO: I would say it's not a dwelling unit, it's not intended to be a dwelling unit by the applicants by any means.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, you have to understand this Board's position, that sometimes what things are intended to be --

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- and sometimes what a week or two down the road or a month down the road they turn into --

MR. PORTILLO: It's difficult to have a dwelling and taking a shower or cooking, it would be just requesting half a bathroom. I mean,
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there's been other jurisdictions that have half bathrooms in garages, it's not uncommon.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, unfortunately for this, this is not one of those jurisdictions. MR. PORTILLO: No, we'11 refine it. I'm just saying --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We'11 put it to him in a second.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're going to ask the attorney later about this. Someone had mentioned to me that there might have been an interpretation in the past. I couldn't find it. I looked at the disposition list in our Code and I couldn't find it. But there are a couple of other questions that we're going to have. I have one more also.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: According to your application, you intend to tear down the current garage?

MR. PORTILLO: Yeah, we're going rebuild that garage space.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're going to take it down and rebuild it?
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MR. PORTILLO: And make and it larger.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Unfortunately, again, going back to the Code, if you tear it down it's preexisting non-conforming. If you tear it down you're going to have to comply with the Code.

MR. PORTILLO: To make it what?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And the Code says you can't have an accessory building in the front yard because it's a corner lot, you have two front yards.

MR. PORTILLO: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: One on 6th Street and one on Front Street.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we're going to need -- you're going to need relief from that.

Also, because this particular property has two front yards, so 30 feet from the property line on Brown Street would be your front yard.

MR. PORTILLO: Correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Code also -- and I've pulled the Code for you, it's 150-13 A1C. I computed the area of your rear yard and it's 600 square feet.

MR. PORTILLO: (Inaudib7e).
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
MR. PORTILLO: I'm sorry, can you repeat that?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The rear yard would be 600 square feet and our Code says that the accessory building can only occupy $30 \%$ of the rear yard area. That would mean the accessory building in the rear yard could only be 180 square feet. But the building, according to your plan, in that part of the yard would be 340 square feet, so you're going to need relief from that also. And there might be one or two other things my colleagues --

MR. PORTILLO: Can I just mention --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MR. PORTILLO: The existing building is 10.4 feet currently and we are requesting to move it back another foot, basically, on the rebuild. But anyway, we're not arguing the case now.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm just going by your plan. I'm just going by your drawing.

MR. PORTILLO: Right, but you're saying we would need relief on this.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The drawing says that the building is going to be -- the drawing says
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that the building is going to be six -- I have it here someplace.

MR. PORTILLO: Seven hundred and eighty square feet.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seven hundred and eighty square feet. Of that 780 square feet, 340 of those 780 square feet are going to be in the rear yard. The rear yard, you're only allowed $30 \%$ lot coverage for an accessory building in the rear yard. So $30 \%$ of 600 , if you do the dimensions of your rear yard, would be 180. So you would need relief from -- without us taking a pen to paper and doing the math.

MR. PORTILLO: Yeah. No, I guess -- I mean, the rear yard would start from the rear of the building back. Is that the way you're interpreting it?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, the rear yard would be 30 feet -- would be computed according to a previous interpretation by the Zoning Board. The rear yard would be computed as 30 feet from the east property line.

MR. PORTILLO: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And then 20 feet from the north property 1 ine, so 20 by 30 is 600
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square feet.
MR. PORTILLO: Okay. Yeah, we would be requesting relief from that as well. We would be requesting relief from that..

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. PORTILLO: Or I could discuss reducing the size of the garage, possibly. But I think to get any type of structure there, it sounds like we're going to need a relief on the -- I can't tell we are under total lot coverage of $33 \%$ the entire lot.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: At this point, at this point I don't think the total lot coverage is -we kind of haven't got to that yet.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Because total lot coverage comes out to $34 \%$ and lot coverage in the R-2 is $30 \%$. So, we might need a variance for total lot coverage, too.

MR. PORTILLO: Right, with the pool, the total lot coverage.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going by your numbers.

MR. PORTILLO: No, I --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't even -- we
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don't usually -- we don't consider the pool for lot coverage. I honestly don't understand that, why a pool shouldn't be considered lot coverage, but we don't. So, if you subtract whatever the pool is you might still come under the --

MR. PORTILLO: (Inaudible).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinny, did you have a question?

MEMBER GORDON: We11, I have concern and that is that there is a provision in the Code in the Definition Section that specifies that pools are to be in rear lots only, and you're asking for a pool that will face on one of the front lots. I realize there is an awkwardness -MR. PORTILLO: Sure. MEMBER GORDON: -- with the corner lot situation.

MR. PORTILLO: Right.
MEMBER GORDON: But it's pretty clear and I can't think of a Greenport pool that's in anybody's front yard, and I think for pretty good reasons that pools aren't -- are limited. So you'd need -- you still need to get a variance from the requirement that it be in the rear yard.

I have one other thing which is not really
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your concern, but I'm wondering if you -- if you have looked at and approved this Notice of Disapprova1. Because it has a number small errors, but when they get added up it doesn't project a picture that $I$ think we realize what your issues are.

One is that it still says artist studio, and we're supposedly relying on this Notice of Disapprova1. And another is that it refers to 157 R-1 looking at a provision for a one-family Residence District. Do you know why that is? Because it's not in the One-Family District.

And the third --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry, I have an answer to that.

MEMBER GORDON: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I asked about that, but you can ask your question.

MEMBER GORDON: Can I just finish?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MEMBER GORDON: The third thing is the setbacks listed here are for Section 150-8 rather than 7. I mean, as I said, these are little things.

MR. PORTILLO: Uh-huh.
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MEMBER GORDON: But I just wondered whether you've had a chance to really review the Notice of Disapproval, because it's what you have to deal with.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure. So I did go through it, I might have missed that one point. And we have it as R-2 and Item $C$ in the Zoning District on our drawings, but $I$ can review the approval -I mean disapproval.

MEMBER GORDON: I understand, yeah.
MR. PORTILLO: I'm not going to speak to that right now. I'11 get an answer, I could give you that answer another time.

MEMBER GORDON: Oh, I don't want to --
MR. PORTILLO: But I had requested a change from your office.

MEMBER GORDON: If you have --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I spoke to the -- I had spoken to the CEO about that, about why reference the One-Family, the R-1 as opposed to the R-2. Because it's listed in the R-1 and in the R-2 it says anything 1 isted in the $\mathrm{R}-1$ will be okay.

MEMBER GORDON: Why is it listed? What do you mean it's listed?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That no building
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premise shall be used and no building or part of a building shall be erected. It's one of the conditions, it's one of the provisions of the R-1 District. And the R-2 says that everything in the R-1 should be applied to the R-2 also. So he listed where it was listed in in the Code

MEMBER GORDON: It says any use permitted in the R-1 can be included in the R-2.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, are we talking about the one dwelling unit on the lot; is that what we're talking about here?

MEMBER GORDON: I guess so.
Okay, it's a small matter. I just -- I think that the notice -- you know, we have to rely on the Notice of Disapproval and so do you, so $I$ just think it should be --

MR. PORTILLO: We've actually requested it to be changed a few times.

MEMBER GORDON: It should be complete and correct, as my boss here would say.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, speaking of complete and correct, with the issues that were pointed out here tonight -- with the issues that were pointed out here tonight, if it was a singular issue like you didn't have the

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
authorization form, it's routine that we just give it to us next month.

MR. PORTILLO: Uh-huh
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But in the totality of all these other things, and then you're going to need relief from 150-2B, you're going to need relief from 150-13A, you're going to need relief from 150-13A1; the totality of it makes it that -- and also, until we get a decision about whether it's use or area.

MR. CONNOLLY: I just looked up the definition of a dwelling and the way that it's written, they use or instead of and, so it looks like they're saying that anything that has a sanitary -- it doesn't say a kitchen facility and sanitary, it just says a kitchen --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Or a sanitary.
MR. CONNOLLY: -- or a sanitary.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, that's the way we've always dealt with it in the past. I don't know. But like I said, if there's a previous interpretation that I'm not aware of that my colleagues were aware of, we're going to go on the assumption that the Code is as its written. If there's an interpretation, we would have to

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
see it, we would have to decide if that interpretation applies to this particular property.

MR. PORTILLO: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So the fact that we don't know -- we know there's going to be area variances. We don't know -- according to the Notice of Disapproval, it should be a use variance also. We're going to kind of take the Village's word for it.

So, in its totality, if it was one thing or something minor we would just accept the application and you would provide it for us at the Public Hearing next month.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But I'm going to make a recommendation that we not accept this application. We're -- our -- the training seminars that we go to from the State tell us for us to accept an application it has to be complete --

MR. PORTILLO: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- and it has to be correct.

MR. PORTILLO: Uh-huh.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This application is not complete and, in my opinion, it's not correct. So, but I'11-- I'm going to ask my colleagues, what are thinking here?

MR. PORTILLO: Can I ask you a question?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MR. PORTILLO: So if it's corrected and completed with the proper area variances requested and we would come back here to reopen the application?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yeah. If we -- yes. If we have an application that this Board decides is complete and correct, this is -- you can -when you get a Notice of -- and I'm sure you know this. When you get a Notice of Disapproval you have the right to appeal.

MR. PORTILLO: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And for us to accept that application for appeal it has to be complete and correct. If you give us an application that's complete and it's correct, we're obligated to accept it. But right now, my opinion -- and I'm only one vote. My opinion is that this application is not complete and --

MR. PORTILLO: And I'm not disagreeing
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based on what we just discussed. I'm just saying that things are a little bit different other places, you know, local places, not like outside of this area. I just didn't realize that we needed to -- you know, all those things were going to be put on there. Normally the Village or the Building Department would get back us --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, this stuff is --
MR. PORTILLO: -- on the application.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This stuff is --
MR. PORTILLO: Or they would --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I'd be glad --
MR. PORTILLO: On the determination.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- to provide you with the Code provisions.

MR. PORTILLO: I know exactly --
MS. MAHONEY: Can you please speak one at a time?

MR. PORTILLO: Oh, I apologize.
MS. MAHONEY: It's fine. I can't take down two people at one time.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm a blabber mouth, what can I say? I'm sorry.
(*Laughter*)
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MR. PORTILLO: Totally understand
CHAIRMAN SLADINO: You know, it's not -- I don't want to be flippant about it. It's not like we're making this stuff up. You know, it's coming right out of the book. So -- and the fact that sometimes we don't see it, sometimes the Building Department don't see it. We're all human.

MR. PORTILLO: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You know, we all sometimes overlook stuff. So part of a role here is to look at the application in its entirety. If we see something --

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- or if we overlook something, somebody else is going to point it out to us.

I'm going to ask my colleagues, what do we think? Should I make a motion? I'm going to make that motion that we not accept this application.

MR. PORTILLO: I'm sorry, Chairperson. Is there a way to basically table it and we'll come back next month and revise that issue?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, by not accepting
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it we're basically tabling it.
MR. PORTILLO: It's the same thing, tabling it to that month?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yeah.
MR. PORTILLO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we're not accepting this particular application.

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you submit a new application to the Building Department and you do that 10 days prior to -- Amanda, is it 14 days prior to the meeting, 10 days?

AMANDA AURORA: Two weeks.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Two weeks prior to the meeting --

MR. PORTILLO: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It'11 be on our next agenda.

MR. PORTILLO: You got it.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right? I'm going to make a motion that we not accept this application.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
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MEMBER SOLOMAN: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
MR. PORTILLO: Thank you. Appreciate it. Have a good night.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
And that was the easy one.
(*Laughter*)
Item No. 6 is 220 5th Avenue. This is continuation of a Public Hearing regarding the area variances applied for by Ian Crowley and Angelo Stepnoski. The applicants propose to subdivide the property located at 2205th Avenue, Greenport, New York, 11944.

The proposed subdivision would allow for the construction of a new 16 -- I'm reading from the agenda, but I'm going to take exception to that. A new sixteen -- 1,665 square foot house with a footprint of 838 square feet. This property is located in the R-2 One and Two-Family District and is not located in the Historic District.

The plans shows lot five width is 50 feet. Why can't it be lot one? Lot five width 50 feet,
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the minimum lot width is 60 feet, this would require an area variance of 10 feet.

The plan shows lot four is 50 feet, the minimum lot width is 60 feet, this would require an area variance of 10 feet.

The plan shows a lot area for the proposed lot four of 5,347.39 square feet. The minimum lot area is 7500 square feet, this would require an area variance of 2,152.61 square feet.

I'm guessing this shows the same; the plan shows a lot area for the proposed lot five of 5,347.39 square feet. The minimum lot area is 7500 square feet. This would require an area variance of 2,152.61 square feet.

This Public Hearing is continued from last month. The applicants asked us to adjourn this meeting till next month. I'm -- we kept it up. I -- I'm going to have to ask our attorney; is there a difference between adjourning a meeting and keeping it open?

MR. CONNOLLY: No, it's the same thing.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we decided to keep it open and -- but as a concession to the applicants, unless one of them -- is an applicant here this evening? (No Response) No?
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As a concession to the applicants, we agreed to keep it up open until next month. So, we're going to hold the Public Hearing, we're going to listen to what everybody has to say. But before we end this we're going to keep the Public Hearing open until next month also, at the request of the applicants.

So, I have one letter that -- from Ms. Carol Pollock. Pollack. Is she here?

UNKNOWN AUDIENCE MEMBER: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No? So I guess I'11 have to read it into the record?
"To be read into the minutes of the September 21st ZBA Public Hearing.

Dear Chairman Saladino, all ZBA Members, I am writing regarding the variances required for the proposed subdivision of 2205th Avenue," and she gives the Suffolk County Tax Map No.
"First, our Zoning Code requires the lot width to be a minimum 60 feet. The variances requested are not for a matter of a few inches" but a foot two -- "or even a foot or two. Combined, the variances for lot width amount to 20 feet which, in my opinion, is excessive.

Second, the sought-after relief for the lot
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area requirement is nothing short of outrageous. Isn't a lot size of 7500 square feet already small enough in this already overcrowded Village?" I don't know. "Each of these proposed lots fall short, not by a few square feet, by a couple of hundred square feet, but by thousands of square feet. A variance should be granted to allow a bit of flexibility in our Zoning Code, not to render our Code utterly meaningless."
"Isn't our Village government supposed to be gradually reducing non-conforming? Granting these variances would increase non-conformity in ways not addressed in the disapproval letter. The combined side yards for the proposed lot five would be approximately six feet short of the 25 feet combined side yard requirement, and neither the site plan nor the survey indicate the three parking spaces required for lot 5 Two-Family residence. In fact, there is no space reserved for any on-site parking whatsoever.

I don't know understand why these requirements were ignored in the disapproval letter as they are clearly stated under paragraph 150-12.

Please deny these variances. They would
exacerbate our parking problem and greatly impact the quality of life of the surrounding areas. They would undoubtedly represent a significant opportunity for the property owner, but at the expense of the people who already live, work and pay taxes on 5th Avenue as well as the rest of Greenport. Thank you for your consideration. Karen Pollack, 630 First Street." And this -- we got it on September 21st, 2021.

Having read that, I'm going to open the Public Hearing. Is there anyone in the public that would like to speak? I see Joanne in the back, she would like to speak. Joanne Keh1.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure, you can come up
MS. KEHL: (Laughter) So this is just photographs that $I$ have and, from Chief Flatly, the accidents that have occurred on 5th Avenue. These are what I will be talking about.

So, first I -- can you hear me?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We can hear you.
MEMBER REARDON: What's your name?
MS. KEHL: Joanne Keh1, 242 5th Avenue.
So, first I have a concern, and I'd like to find out how can only part of the subdivision be used in this application and not the entire part
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of the subdivision including the existing house and its setback. Isn't this what a subdivision is all about?

The subdivision has an existing building plus the created lot. So, why isn't the -- the application including -- it's only including the separate lot, it's not including the house. Do we know that answer?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I do.
MS. KEHL: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I know the Village's reasoning. The Village's reasoning is, in reading 150-21, the Code -- that portion of the Code -- and I could get the big book and read it to you, but it says that -- it says the building, not the property, has to -- has to enlarge, grow, has to change.

The Village's reasoning is that it's not -it doesn't say property, it says building.

MS. KEHL: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If I understand.
Mr. Pallas is not here tonight.
MS. KEHL: Well, $I$ can bring a little light to this.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, just let me
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finish. I kind of happen to agree with you in how I think of what the Code says. But unless -I don't know how my colleagues feel. But unless somebody asks for an interpretation of that portion of the Code to distinguish property, building, how it should be read and how it should be interpreted, we're going to go with the Building Department's interpretation of that portion of the Code.

MS. KEHL: Okay. Fair enough.
So, let me read the Code. In 50-21, "The non-conforming building with conforming use is any building which does contain a use permitted in the district in which it is located but does not conform to the district regulations for lot area, width, depth, front, side, rear yards" -sorry, I get tongue-twisted here. "Maximum height, lot coverage or minimum" -- look at me, I'm shaking. "For a minimum livable floor area, upper dwelling unit."
"Such building have been legally" -- and this is where it all -- says it all. "Such buildings shall have been legally existing prior to the effective date of this chapter or any amendment hereto." So in other words, if --
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excuse me. Let's go back, let's go to A. So "Nothing in this article shall deem to prevent normal maintenance and repair, structural alteration, moving, construction or enlargement of a non-conforming building provided that such actions does not increase the degree of or create any non -- new non-compliance that regards to the regulations pertaining to such building."

So here's my go-to. Okay. As the lot stands today, how many feet is there on the south side yard setback today? Say 50,60 feet, would you say? Ten, 50, 60 feet on the south side. So, the Code we know is a combination of 25 , so on one side it's eight point -- 8-foot 7 inches, on the other side it's 10 . It only adds up to 18.7-- 18 feet, 7 inches. We need a combination of 25. This now is self-created. So now this is a new variance that is created. Do you follow what I'm saying?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I do.
MR. CONNOLLY: You're saying that the new lot land is going to make the existing structure non-conforming to the setback.

MS. KEHL: Yes, that is correct.
MR. CONNOLLY: I agree with that.
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MS. KEHL: (Inaudible)
(*Laughter*)
I'11 read it a little bit further, and here's one here as well.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, here you have a situation that the Chairman of the Zoning Board agrees with that logic and the Attorney agrees with that logic.

MS. KEHL: So, therefore --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Unfortunately, unfortunately the Building Department's policy --

MS. KEHL: Well, let me read a little further here. So, if we cannot go any further than this, because we are short with a combination of the two side yard setbacks of 6 feet 5 inches, I'd like to challenge the law and can the public -- as you say, I feel the public can ask for an interpretation of the law 150-21 and keep the hearing open until a discussion has been made, or a decision has been made until or unless our attorney is able to make that decision for us today.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not -- I don't think the Village's Attorney is prepared to make that decision today.
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MR. CONNOLLY: I can't.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't think he can.
You're certainly entitled to ask for an interpretation. There's an application, you fill it out, you ask for an interpretation of that particular portion of the Code. It costs $\$ 600$, I believe.

MS. KEHL: That's not fair to me, honestly. You know, when -- when I'm reading the law --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Joanne, we don't get the money. We don't get the money (laughter).

MS. KEHL: This is the law.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It's all about -- it's all about what one person believes how the law is read as opposed to what another person believes what the law says. The way you decide that is you ask the Zoning Board of Appeals for an interpretation. There is a fee involved. But I will say that if the Zoning Board rules in the person that's asking for the interpretation, if they rule in their favor they get their money back. So, it's like going to Vegas, you know?

MS. KEHL: Oh, interesting.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I -- we -- we don't
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have the right the waive the fee.
MR. CONNOLLY: No, we don't.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't have the right to waive the fee. But that would be the process; you would ask for an interpretation, the Zoning Board would hear what the people have to say as far as the Code. Not the validity of the project, only the written word of the Code and how it should be interpreted. They would make a decision and if they -- if they support it the person's decision, opinion of how it should be read, that would -- that would -- and actually, interpretations have the weight of precedence; it would carry forward to other applications as well.

I can understand -- I can understand the Building Department's point of view, since the building itself is not being altered, since the building itself is not creating any new non-conformity.

MS. KEHL: It is making a new non-conformity. It is because -- yes, on the south side yard setback --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is 10 feet.
MS. KEHL: -- is 10 feet. A combination of
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25. You can't say, Oh, back then we considered the north side to be 15 feet, because that's not true. It is existing at the eight point -- eight seven. So, this is 10 , we're still short. And a combination, the 1 aw says, is 25 feet. So now when you move over -- say there's a possibility of them moving over the division of the lot, now the other lot is out of Code. So -- so there's a problem. This is preexisting but on the north side, the south side is not at all, so that is created. And again, that does say this in here, in this 150-21

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, in 150-21 it also said, the last sentence of $150-21$ A says, "Such action shall not increase the degree of or create any new noncompliance" --

MS. KEHL: Right.
CHAIRMAN SSALADINO: Wait, wait. "With regards to the regulations pertaining to such buildings."

MS. KEHL: They're not touching the building. They're --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We understand that.
MS. KEHL: You can expand the building, you can do whatever you want to the building, but you
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also have to be -- so then you tell me, if that's the case, what other noncompliance could possibly be, otherwise they would have never written this.

In other words, there has to be -- so you're saying there wouldn't be any noncompliance issue coming on.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm -- what I'm saying is is -- actually, what I'm saying is I kind of agree with you. But what I'm also saying is is that this is the policy the Building Department chooses to use.

MS. KEHL: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't write the Notice of Disapproval.

MS. KEHL: Okay. Well, I mean, we can't go any further than this today, obviously, and Pallas is not here. So, he would have been able to have a discussion with us had he been here, right?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If he chose to, sure. He would -- you could certainly ask him the question and if --

MS. KEHL: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You know, the -- does anybody have any thoughts on this? Folks? You
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guys have any thoughts on this?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: I just think it's valid points and it needs to be explored further.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, it could be if somebody decides to pursue it. I'm not -- it's not up to us to pursue it. It's -- I'm not going to make any comments about the app -- I mean, the application $I$ think is -- there's enough going on with the application for this Board to deal with the application. If the neighbors, if Joanne or the rest of the neighbors want to -- the Public Hearing is going to be open for another month at least, that would be more than enough time to ask for a new interpretation.

MS. KEHL: Certainly.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Get it in front of this Board. Interpretations require a Pub1ic Hearing.

MS. KEHL: Does that leave this hearing open until resolved?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think -- I think the Board would be -- I think the Board would be inclined to not render a decision on the application before we did the interpretation. MS. KEHL: Uh-huh.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Right? I'm thinking.

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service

MS. KEHL: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We would need the interpretation --

MEMBER REARDON: I think that would be the appropriate thing to do.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So the interpretation requires a Public Hearing, so if you got it on the agenda for next month, we would schedule the Public Hearing for the month after. So in theory, we could actually close this particular Public Hearing next month. We have 62 days to make a decision. We could render a decision on the interpretation one way or the other and it still wouldn't effect putting this application, 220 5th Avenue, in jeopardy of violating the timeline, so.

MS. KEHL: A1though, it still would require -- if that was the case, it still would require a new filing, you know, notice, because it would have to go into the paper again and everybody wil1 have to be notified and --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There would be a new Notice of Disapproval, yes.

MS. KEHL: Right, so a new hearing.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If there's a new Notice

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
of Disapproval, there would be a new Public Hearing.

MS. KEHL: Okay. So shall I go on? (*Laughter*)

## CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.

MS. KEHL: Sorry. So, the Zoning Code was adopted in the interest of protecting public health, safety and welfare to include, in part, the following; privacy for family, prevention and reduction of traffic congestion. Promotion -and this is all from our Code, by the way; I do like to do some research here. So, prevention and reduction of traffic congestion, promote effective and safe circulation of vehicles and pedestrians, and the gradual elimination of the non-conforming uses.

Such -- excuse me. Subdivision Chapter 118-7 takes into consideration the impact of -on the subdivision -- of the subdivision on public health, safety and welfare, the impact of the neighbors, community, adjacent properties, traffic, views and other environmental considerations, the preservation of the aesthetic assets of the Village and the impact of the utilities and emergency and other services that
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are provided by the Village.
So the existing home that they have there is a concern because the existing home only has one parking spot, so our Code is three. So on the north side, of course we said it was 8.7, Code is 15 , combination should be 25 , I already discussed the 10 -foot on the other side.

The front yard setback, 16 -- this is just the house lot. The front yard setback is 16 feet 4 -inches and then the Code is 30 . We may have to take into some of these considerations because of the 150-21. So I'm not sure, but I will read them all.

The shed in the back, by the way, is 2 feet 9 inches on both sides, rear yards and north side, and the Code is five feet. Of course, we are -- all were noticed that the width of the front yard was 50 , the Code was 60 and that is the same for the proposed lot. And also, the lot area was 5347 and our Code of lot area should be 7500 square feet.

With this said, there are seven, eight, nine variances -- seven, nine variances or so and I feel that these are excessive. But again, I think it's important for the -- to know what that
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code is, the 150-21.
Based on the designs -- this is another issue. Based on the design plans, the new home can easily be converted into a two-family dwelling; just lay them one over the other, you'11 see that they're just very, very easy to convert into a two-family. I did it myself.

I would believe that the applicant is well aware of the design and that the conversion from one-family to a two-family is simple as applying at the Building Department level only; no Planning or ZBA approval is necessary.

I recall at a previous ZBA subdivision meeting that Mr. Prokop mentioned -- mentioned conditions. Should this subdivision be granted, I would request that the condition of this house remain as only a single-family home.

So I sent some paperwork and this was based on Chief Flatly's sending me information on a FOIL. I FOILed the last five years of the police reports and the car accidents on 5th Avenue which is heavily traveled, crowded with parked cars and vehicles of excessive speed. All the accidents were parked cars, parked vehicles. I handed -- so I handed it all to you. I think,
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John, you have the pictures of it, the photos, and -- so if you want to pass them around, I only made one set of that.

I also sent a diagram of where the accidents were and I think everybody got a copy of that. There were eight accidents reported with ten damaged vehicles, two were totaled. Eight -- four accidents without police reports and three accidents that were older than five years, 17 damaged vehicles on 5th Avenue. I'm sure there are more that were not even reported, that I am not aware of or just forgot about, but that is over 2.4 accidents and 2.8 damaged cars per year.

I would like to ask all of you, how many accidents have there been on any street that you've ever lived at? Zero? Two? Four? Seven? Really? This was 17 ; this is 17 in a short period of time. So 17 is a big deal for me.

5th Avenue -- so I'm going on to the next subject. 5th Avenue is 29 feet 4 inches wide and 1,065 feet long. Roads are generally 50 feet wide. The Town of Southold Code is 50 feet, I could not find the Village Code so I apologize for that. The same, we are 21 feet short on our
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road. This is the reason why we have a good amount of accidents.

When the car -- when two cars are parked on each side of the road, only one car can pass while the other one must stop. This happens all day long. It's a busy road, especially with the added traffic from the eight apartment building that we have on 5th Avenue and the extra 16 Lakeside Apartments on North Street. A11 of these cars use 5th Avenue as a pass-thru to Front Street and then they race down the road. So, of those pictures that I took, Monday morning I run out, on 9/6 and took pictures -- I did not take pictures Monday morning, they were Tuesday -there were 23 parked cars on 5th Avenue, nine were on South Street. Tuesday morning I went out, the next day, on 9/7, and there were 25 parked vehicles on 5th Avenue, again, nine on South Street.

There are 28 residents, and this is also on your chart that you can see; 28 residents, residential homes on 5th Avenue, nine are two-family which equals 18 families. One eight apartment building, eight families; eighteen single homes, eighteen families; a total of 44
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homes on 1,065-foot street. So think about this; 26 families on 10 lots, 44 families on 28 properties. This is why too many -- it's too many families, it's overcrowded. I assure you that there are plenty more families living on this street in these two-family homes. I kind of know that they are.

This is another -- this will be another property with another absentee landlord. Twelve properties on 5th Avenue out of 28 residential properties all have absentee landlords; that is 42.8\%; to me, that's very 1 arge.

So let's talk about the cast iron water mains. So the 4 -inch cast iron water mains were installed between 1890 -- and I believe I sent you those as well, so they were these type of diagrams. So if you see in the 1890, there were no water pipes on that map. By nine -- 1897, there was a 4-inch water pipe that you could see. Well, since then nothing has been done. But we have one of the oldest water mains on 5th Avenue, and, of course, like I say, there are no updates.

We've had a lot of issues with each
particular home and they had to do their own maintenance and at their expense, but the Village
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has never taken into consideration fixing our water pipe -- our water main.

So today, and here's something that's very interesting. Today water main standard requirement -- requirements are a minimum of six inches for fire code water. 5th Avenue, 4-inch pipes are corroded and clogged. There is a danger of a 4 -inch water pipe when there is a fire.

We recently had this happen to a home on Kaplan Avenue which is only about a hundred feet away from 5th Avenue. The giant fire pumper trucks that we have today were able to pull water from one fire hydrant at the corner of Kaplan and West Street, but they could not pull water from a second hydrant with the pumper north of Kaplan. The fire hydrants have low flow and these giant pumper trucks can suck everything dry.

The end of -- the end result was the house burnt to the ground, basically; I shouldn't say completely to the ground, but it was pretty charred. This is why the water mains are now required to have a minimum of six inches. We're not back into the late 1800 s anymore. Like everything else, the water main on 5th Avenue
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needs to be updated just like the pumpers were; they went from 4 -inch to 6 -inch and this needs to be done on 5th Avenue. This is a big reason why 4-inch mains are a safety. There simply is not enough water volume for fire flow.

5th Avenue has three fire hydrants with two having low flow; again, not enough to sustain a house fully engulfed with fire.

I will also add that the Kaplan Avenue neighboring home, a two-family home, the roof was watered down by the fire department to save that home which is on the property line. And what I mean it's on the property 1 ine, it is exactly on the property line; right, Jim? (Laughter).

MR. OLINKIEWICZ: Right, six inches away.
MS. KEHL: Yes. So, the end result was there was damage, smoke damage, obviously, to that neighboring home, but it was saved because there was enough water to get to it. This is why it is so important to adhere to the side yard setbacks. Setbacks are there for safety of fire spreading.

5th Avenue sewers, so, are made of clay pipe. The last -- the last time work was done on the sewer pipes was around 1920 when the sewer
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plant and the pump stations were built. It is a known fact that tree roots grow right through them. The last two Summers, while sitting on my porch, quite often the smells of rotten sewers just breeze by in the air. The Village has done nothing to repair the 5th Avenue sewer 1 ines in years.

The new owners of this property purchased this as an investment. Make no mistake, this is not for their family or themselves. This subdivision is self-created. They are taking one property to make two non-conforming properties; I I don't believe this is what our Forefather's intent was when the Code was written.

You as the Board may not see what the neighbors see. We are your ears and eyes. We hope -- we hope today you hear us, our concerns and have a clearer understanding of 5th Avenue issues. We have -- we have put our hearts and souls into our neighborhood. Should the subdivision be approved, the upcoming application of 221 5th Avenue may be approved; this would be -- this would add even more density to this already congested accident-prone street and another absentee landlord. Please protect the
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quality of $1 i f e$ and the privacy of us, our neighbors and the surrounding community.

I am asking the Zoning Code to be enforced for maximum protection of residential property and to eliminate non-conforming uses.

One last little thing. With this said, I strongly disagree to the subdivision of these two non-conforming properties and a -- and any other proposed subdivision in the Village of Greenport and would ask that the subdivision be denied tonight. 5th Avenue is over developed.

Thank you for listening to me tonight.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. We -before I -- if anyone else from the public would like to speak. Before they come up, at the beginning of this public hearing we didn't put a time limit on the time at the microphone.
(*Laughter*)

We're kind of hoping a lot of what Joanne said reflects the attitude of the people on the block. So maybe the -- having said that, is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? Name and address for the stenographer, please.

MS. ALLEN: Chatty Allen, Third Street.

I strongly, strongly object to this application; $99 \%$ of my reasons Joanne already covered.

And one reason is she's absolutely right. You're going to take a lot, which is conforming right now, and turn it into two non-conforming lots; that makes no sense at all.

You're also adding more density, more vehicles in an area. I thank God my school line doesn't use that road, because it is a mess on a daily basis. And the expression an accident waiting to happen, unfortunately the accidents happen way too much on that particular stretch.

When you're going to subdivide your property, it should come down to do you have the space. They should not have to be getting variances to subdivide a lot, because that means you don't have enough space to cut your lot in half.

I would have no objection if this was enough where they would all be what they were supposed to be, I would not as strongly object as I am, I would think a little bit more. I'm a former 5th Avenue resident and I used to go the opposite direction because of -- especially the top of the road. Now that we have a house on the
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corner, the opposite corner, which is basically a $B \& B$, and there's so many vehicles there and when they have their wedding --

MEMBER GORDON: Can you be specific about what house you're talking about? I'm not following.

TRUSTEE SOLOMON: Front Street, right?
MS. ALLEN: On the northwest corner, the great big house. I don't know -- it's the one -it's where they had those big hedges which they cut back.

MEMBER GORDON: Oh, okay.
MS. ALLEN: Or pulled back so that you can see better. But it's on --

MEMBER GORDON: The northwest corner of 5th Avenue and Front Street.

MS. ALLEN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: 201.
MEMBER GORDON: Okay.
MS. ALLEN: Yeah, I don't know what the -I don't know what the house number is.

MEMBER GORDON: Right. No, I understand.
MS. ALLEN: I'm old, I go by remember that that place there? Those are my directions. But when they have -- they rent that out all the

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
time. And I've gone past where the traffic -the cars are parked all the way up by Front Street down the whole block.

To me, this application is adding more danger to an already dangerous situation, and I strongly object to this being passed. Plus the fact that, if I'm not mistaken, we already denied an application across the street to subdivide. So, take that as you want. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thanks, Chatty.
Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? And just -- Jack, just before you start. It's a matter of semantics, but the Zoning Board doesn't grant subdivisions. The Planning Board grants subdivisions.

You know, it's kind of crazy, we're here for side yard, rear yard, front yard, whatever, setbacks, but we don't actually grant -- if those variances are approved, this application -- and I'm sure you's all heard this before. This application would go to the Planning Board and they would have the final say on the subdivision. So, having said that, name and address.

MR. WEISKOTT: Jack Weiskott, 2295th Avenue, Greenport, New York. One thing that we
would just like to clarify; you said that we could get an interpretation of that code, 512 or whatever it is.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: 150-21 B --
MR. WEISKOTT: Yes, 150-21.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Would -- if you ask for an interpretation of that, we --

MR. WEISKOTT: Who do we ask?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Building Department; you would go to Village Hall.

MR. WEISKOTT: And then who makes the final decision on --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Zoning Board.
MR. WEISKOTT: -- on the --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Interpretation.
MR. WEISKOTT: -- interpretation?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Zoning Board.
MR. WEISKOTT: Because does the Building Department ask the Village Attorney?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The Village -- the Zoning Attorney is our attorney.

MR. WEISKOTT: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If we had -- if we had questions we would go to him.

MR. CONNOLLY: Right.
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MR. WEISKOTT: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So --
MR. WEISKOTT: So there's a different Village Attorney?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Joe Prokop is the Village Attorney.

MEMBER REARDON: Oh, I see. So he would be the one advising the Building Department, or would they ask him or --

MR. CONNOLLY: No, you would just fill out the application for an interpretation and then it would have to go to the Zoning Board and the Zoning Board would rule on the application.

MR. WEISKOTT: Oh, I see. So the application would go to the Building Department who then forwards it to --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: They would process it. They would process the application, they would give it to us to either accept or reject.

MR. WEISKOTT: They're not the arbiters of the interpretation.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, no, we are.
MR. WEISKOTT: You guys are the arbiters.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We are.
MR. WEISKOTT: Okay. Because I do have to
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say, I would agree with Joanne and probably most of you that subdividing that lot would create, from a house that is already conforming into a property that is non-conforming. It's obviously creating two non-conforming properties. I just wanted to make that clear.

I'm not going to go over everything that everyone said.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, no, we --
MR. WEISKOTT: Joanne said everything that needed to be said, pretty much. The safety and the accidents are all true. I've been woken up twice in my house by really loud crashes and you go outside and there's some car that ran into a car that's parked in the street and the car parked in the street is totaled and pushed up on the sidewalk. That happened this past Fall, I believe, or Winter and it happened a couple of years before that, and these are people who have had to park in the street because there's not enough parking on the properties that they live on.

Anyway, again, so these are not people that can afford to fix those cars, they're old cars and they're totaled.
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I just -- I wanted to mention just the slice of life on 5th Avenue, a quick little story illustrating. I'm getting -- trying to get out of my driveway one morning, I'm backing out of my driveway, because we have a driveway and we have two cars and I had to go out. But my wife's car is behind me and she is not there, so, therefore, I had to pull her car out and park it on the street. But I couldn't park in front of my house because there were already two cars parked there, and I couldn't park next to my house because there were already two cars parked there; I had to go across the street to park. But I couldn't pull out of my driveway right away because first there's a car coming down the road. After that car's coming down the road, there's someone coming from one of the the Air B\&Bs with a stroller and he's walking his kid to the beach, so I had to wait for him to clear out of the way. Then I still had to wait because there was a guy coming from 7-11 with his coffee.

Finally, I was able to back my wife's car out of the driveway and park across the street from my house, since I couldn't park next to my driveway. Then I had to take my truck out of the
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driveway and find another spot further away from my house and then go back to my wife's car to get that back into my driveway. And then I had to carry the things from my house, which takes two trips, that I needed for work that day, to the truck that was now parked about, you know, a house-and-a-half away from me.

So, I'm just telling you that our street is too crowded already, overly crowded, overly vehicle'd and too much activity already.

And as I've said before, I am opposed to a subdivision that make -- that is extreme in its nature. If it was a couple of feet, a couple of dozen feet, but thousands of feet less than the requirement is asking a lot.

Thank you for your time. I know you've heard all these things before.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. You were lucky that somebody didn't -- while you were waiting to pull your wife's car out, take the spot across the street.
(*Laughter*)
MR. WEISKOTT: I was hoping that someone next to my house would drive away, but I --

```
(*Laughter*)
```
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Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? Name and address for the stenographer.

MS. JAEJER: Mary Ann Jaejer, 14 Front Street, Greenport; previous1y 430 Front Street.

I, for one, will vouch for what they're saying. Being on the Front Road, I was like the town crier who screams every time there was an accident.

Let's back get to the sewers. Years ago I couldn't -- or I've asked them to fix the sewers, they tried it one time. You know what I got for it? They black topped the road. I thought, Gee, how wonderful. Now the sewers a little bit deeper, right?
(*Laughter*)
But it still backs up. I was calling two, three times. Every time you smell an odor in the house you had to call them; you didn't know if it was going to come through your house or what. I even hired someone to come check the sewer. It wasn't me, but yet when $I$ called the Village, oh no, it wasn't them. Next to Mike Grossman's house; remember that? The sewer backed up in the
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street. So I called them and I said, Now, let me explain something. Did $I$ or did $I$ not come here and tell you there was a problem with the sewer? Yes, you did. I said, You told me I should have it checked. I did. So it cost me money and now your sewers are all backed up there? He said, Oh, just give me the bill. Well, as luck turned out, a friend of mine owned it so he wouldn't charge me because there was nothing wrong. But had that sewer come into my house, I think I'd be owner of part of this Village, and I think some of these people right on that street would probably have joined me in that suit.

I mean, things are getting ridiculous. You don't have the capacity to handle the sewer, that road cannot handle capacity of the cars that come through. And the day I saw a bus come down, I said now I've seen it all. And he's sitting there holding his breath waiting. It's not fair to the people that live on that street. Put yourself in that spot; how would you like it if everything came plowing down in your house? Oh, I put a property -- oh, let's see move the things over here. That's kind of ridiculous. You can only put so much in one space. And you have to
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stop trying to appease people.
I don't care if they're your friends or enemies, I don't care. If you have a code written down, that code should be honored and by that means something you should follow. And like this lady said something she was told, the pool type things had to go back to that one, the pool had to be in the back of the yard. That was a crock, too, because when we went to get our pool they tried to convince me I should put it out in the front yard, and my answer to them was if I wanted to have a public pool I'd open a public area for it.

## (*Laughter*)

PS, the pool was put in the back.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you came before this Zoning Board the pool would have been in the back.
(*Laughter*)
MS. JAEJER: It's crazy, they keep changing all these rules to suit people.

Now, you said no before to a house on that lot. What's the difference with that house and this one? You're still lacking space. I mean, let's face it, that's the answer, you don't have
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adequate space. It should be a simple decision; if you don't have enough you call it quits.

Thank you
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak about this application?
(No Response)
No? Nobody? All right. By previous agreement, we agreed that we were going to keep the Public Hearing open to accommodate the applicants. So, we're going to adjourn this -we're going to keep this public hearing open and take it up again next month. So we want to thank everybody that showed up to speak.

Well, we're still -- the Public Hearing is still open so we can't comment. So, having said that, I have an agenda here.

Item No. 7 is 220 5th Avenue, that's moot.
Item No. 8 is any other Zoning Board of Appeals business that might properly come before this Board. This is your chance, folks. Any questions, any -- now's the time to ask them

MS. ALLEN: Can we make a comment, or does it have to be a question?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you pose it as a
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question.

> (*Laughter*)

MS. ALLEN: My question is an accessory building being anything other than an accessory building. Because you did have an application where somebody wanted to put it in, they wanted a toilet in there and they were denied, so when you were discussing earlier. So just -- it's in the records to go back and check that out with accessory buildings. I don't remember the ruling off the top of my head, but there is somewhere on the books that says you cannot have the toilet and sink in an accessory building.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think the question arose -- actually, there's been a lot of applications where we said no, you can't have a bathroom --

MS. ALLEN: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- in an accessory
building. I think the question with this was -I think the Building Department's logic with this was, or is -- I don't know if they've changed their mind since Mr. Pallas is not here -- is that pool house somehow is different than artist studio or garage or --
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MEMBER GORDON: Garden shed.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Garden shed, you know.
MS. ALLEN: Well, I know we have had applications that had a pool house that did not have any plumbing inside of it, it was to store the things for the pool and the mechanisms for the pools. (Indiscernible) comes to mind.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yeah. We don't want to get into testimony about that.

MS. ALLEN: No, no, no, I just want to say because I've been here where it has been denied, so it is somewhere; I'm not sure what the code was used to deny that. And also, I just think, too, this property has also been already denied a pool. I know it is, it's a tiny property and to me personally --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, to set the record straight, it was never denied a pool because the people withdrew the application, so.

MS. ALLEN: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So it was never denied.
MS. ALLEN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And as far as -- and we're going to rely on the Building Department or the applicant to bring this Board something that
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says there was an interpretation where pool houses were allowed to have bathrooms.

MS. ALLEN: Right, as long as he brings it within the Village Code.
(*Laughter*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anyone else?
MS. PETERSON: Diane Peterson, 228 6th Avenue which is one lot to the west of 226 . I just -- I just want to clarify the point of what needs to be done for the application on 2205th Avenue. You would rule on the variances and then it would go to the Planning Board to be ruled for a subdivision?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes. The --
MR. CONNOLLY: On1y if the variances are granted.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: On1y if they're uphe1d. They can't -- you can't subdivide the property without these variances.

MS. PETERSON: So if you guys -- you rule against variances they can --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It stops there.
MS. PETERSON: Okay, that's all.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Right?
MR. CONNOLLY: Correct.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Anybody else? Anybody else?

Al1 right. Having said that, Item No. 9 is a motion to adjourn. So moved

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.
MEMBER REARDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Guys. Guys, guys. Dinny, are you voting? Just say yes. Motion to adjourn.

MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're adjourned.
Thank you, folks.
(*The meeting was adjourned at 7:28 p.m. *)
(*The following letter was
Recorded into the record*)
"Mr. Saladino,
I had planned on attending this evening's meeting to voice my concerns and ask questions regarding this application, however I have a family conflict that precludes my attendance. I am hoping this gets to you for entry as my comments on the application and request they be entered into the record.
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My initial concerns really have to do with the nature of variances in general and why we must appear as a neighborhood regarding the extreme and increasing use of variances to bypass the zoning codes which, I presume were thoughtfully created with the forward trajectory of Village life in mind. Myself, I live in a house that was built under smaller lot sizes and can attest to the difficulties including safety between houses, space for children, adequate on-property parking, sunlight and fresh air penetration.

Specifically with regards to the existing structure, it currently has on7y a single parking space (and from the plat drawings online it is unclear if that is even part of the property). As this unit is currently a multi-unit dwelling, I know you are aware of the required parking allowances. These have been understood to be in compliance as there is a curb cut and access to the lawn from the road, therefore "creating" parking and has been used extensively during snow emergencies to safely remove the multiple cars from street-side parking. No matter how you proceed with this subdivision request, it is my
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opinion that no variance should be given to the required parking areas. Therefore, the existing structure needs at least parking for 3 to 4.5 cars as per the units involved. Any changes to the property at all should trigger adherence to existing code. As I imagine that this property must be registered with the Village under the Rental Permit Law, I assume you may properly assess how many spots are required. If this means that an actual driveway must be added to the existing structure as well as create one for the new structure, I have concerns over the additional curb cuts required as on-street parking is at critical mass on our street as it is.

While I routinely disagree with the parking concerns over downtown, we have a density problem on our street which as resulted in multiple accidents over the past few years. We are near enough to a permanent issue stemming from number of cars per lot that we should begin discussions regarding turning the street into a one-way street for safety.

As to the new structure and the reduction of lot sizes required to build it, I believe we
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opened up lot sizes and setbacks to avoid this type of building. If the Village is changing direction regarding planning and zoning, I believe it needs to be a plan, not an ad-hoc reduction of space. If this means that a smaller house needs to be build on the resulting subdivision or if it means that it simply cannot be divided, then we must face this as a longer term bit of planning.

As I sit in my own living room getting high off of my neighbors' pot smoking or listen to another neighbor work through what I assume was a particularly rough bowel movement, I must firm7y state that skinny lots, overbuilt and crammed in, make for angry neighbors and therefore an angry Village.

Lastly, I was told that it was said that if nobody showed up to oppose then you would proceed. This is not my understanding of the role of the Boards, nor the nature of variances which, by definition are a departure from the agreed upon and expected nature. I must assume that this was a misunderstood message I received and not, in fact, your belief.
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I, ALISON MAHONEY, a Court Reporter and Notary Public for and within the State of New York, do hereby certify:

THAT, the above and foregoing contains a true and correct transcription of the proceedings taken on September 21, 2021, at Station One Firehouse, Third \& South Streets, Greenport, NY 11944.

I further certify that $I$ am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that $I$ am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.
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