| 1 | VILLAGE OF GREENPORT |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2 | COUNTY OF SUFFOLK : STATE OF NEW YORK |
| 3 | ---------- $\boldsymbol{\chi}$ |
| 4 | ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS |
| 5 | REGULAR SESSION |
| 6 | ------------------------------------ |
| 7 | Station One Firehouse |
| 8 | $3 r d$ \& South Streets |
| 9 | Greenport, NY, 11944 |
| 10 |  |
| 11 | February 15, 2022 |
| 12 | 6:00 p.m. |
| 13 | BEFORE: |
| 14 | JOHN SALADINO - CHAIRMAN |
| 15 | DINNIE GORDON - MEMBER |
| 16 | SETH KAUFMAN - MEMBER |
| 17 | JACK REARDON - MEMBER |
| 18 |  |
| 19 | NOT PRESENT: |
| 20 | CONNIE SOLOMAN - MEMBER |
| 21 | ********* |
| 22 | ALSO IN ATTENDANCE: |
| 23 | PAUL PALLAS - VILLAGE ADMINISTRATOR |
| 24 | ROBERT CONNOLLY - ZONING BOARD ATTORNEY |
| 25 | AMANDA AURICHIO - SECRETARY TO THE BOARD |

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service (631) 727-1107

## (*The meeting was called to order at 6:01 p.m. *)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Folks, good evening.
This is the Village of Greenport Zoning Board of
Appeals Regular Meeting.
Item No. 1 is a motion to accept and approve -- oh, that's different. It's a motion to accept and approve the minutes of the January 18th, 2022 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
(January 18, 2022 Minutes Accepted \& Approved VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Soloman).

Item No. 2 is a motion to accept and approve the minutes of the December 21st, 2021 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting. So moved.

MEMBER REARDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye. (December 21, 2021 Minutes Accepted \& Approved VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Soloman).

Item No. 3 is a motion to schedule the next Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for March 15th, 2022 at 6:00 p.m. at the Station One Firehouse, Third and South Streets, Greenport, NY, 11944. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
(March 15, 2022 Meeting Scheduled - VOTE: 4/0/0/1

- Not Present: Member Soloman).

The next item is 440 First Street. We're going to put a pin in that and we're going to do the public hearing first.

Item No. 5 is 145 Central Avenue. It's a Public Hearing regarding the area variances applied for Tom Innamorato. Am I getting that right?

MR. SIDOR: Yep.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The applicant proposes
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to raise the roof and ceiling height to create appropriate inside footage for a second floor. This property is located in the R-2 (One and Two-Family) District and is located in the Historic District. This proposed renovation requires area variances; and if we have the agenda, the area variances are listed on the agenda.

I'm going to -- I'm going to ask the Clerk that this was -- the notice was published in the newspaper and --

MS. AURICHIO: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And we do have the form that the applicant filled out that his representative would represent him. I have the mailings. If it's okay we'11 read the mailings, or -- yeah, we'11 read the mailings.

Notified was Dennis McMahon, 133 Central Avenue; Robert Allen, 151 Central Avenue, Greenport, NY; David (sic) (Daniel) Hulsebosch -am I getting that right -- 99 James Street, New York, NY: Joseph Giacalone, 94-38 110th Street in Richmond Hill, NY; Pal-Singh Manmohan, 178 High Street, Hastings on Hudson, NY; Kae Lieblein, 141 Central Avenue, Greenport, NY; and 130 Bay

Property LLC, 201 Hedges Lane, Sagaponack, NY.
If there was -- we can open the Public Hearing. Is there anyone -- the applicant is here, we'11 let the applicant speak. Name and address for the stenographer.

MR. SIDOR: My name is Ryan Sidor
representing Robert I. Brown Architect; the address is 205 Bay Avenue.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there something you want to tell us about this property?

MR. SIDOR: Yeah, it's -- as you said, we're getting rid of two wings in the south -the rear of the house and constructing a more solid addition. We're building within the existing building footprint, we're actually shrinking the square footage and we're going to a second story above. The roof will have to be raised in the front and the side, and then the shed that's in the backyard will be moved to the appropriate setbacks.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we're not going to -- we're not going to consider a variance for the shed tonight. The applicant agrees to move the shed.

MR. SIDOR: Yes.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, that's good.
The only question $I$ would have for you, and I'm sure maybe my colleagues would have some, on your EAF, I see on your EAF you make -- it asks you about storm water runoff, that you say there won't be any. It's our experience we find that hard to believe. You know, the storm water is going to have to go someplace, it's got to be contained on the property.

MR. SIDOR: Uh-huh.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Can you kind of give us an idea how you're going to address that?

MR. SIDOR: As far as I know we're shrinking the square footage, so we wouldn't be increasing any runoff. I'm not sure what --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But you have no plans for dry wells.

MR. SIDOR: Oh, yeah, no, we do.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, you do?
MR. SIDOR: Yeah, we do. When we do the application for the Building Department we'11 put the dry well back.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, okay.
MR. SIDOR: Sorry about that.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's good. The only
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other question $I$ might have is there's three parking spaces required.

MR. SIDOR: Yes, yes, you had mentioned that.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And you'11 address that with --

MR. SIDOR: Yes, we'11 put two in the back.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Because I don't see it on the site plan. But as far as I'm concerned, that's all I have. Anybody?

MEMBER GORDON: I have a question.
MR. SIDOR: Yes.
MEMBER GORDON: Was this building a preexisting non-conforming? Was it --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I don't --
MEMBER GORDON: In the Village, was it listed as preexisting non-conforming?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: We don't have a list of preexisting non-conforming.

MEMBER GORDON: All right. It seemed -it's a very old building. Its violation of our regulations about setbacks are, it seems to me, attributable to its age.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yeah. We11, I mean, it -- yes, it would clearly be a preexisting
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non-conforming, but we don't have a list.
I don't have a list.
MEMBER GORDON: Okay. But if it's
preexisting non-conforming, does it really have to have these variances, or some of them? The ones that have to do with setbacks that were, you know, in -- it's very, very close to the road --

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure.
MEMBER GORDON: -- I acknowledge that, but it says 1838 which is very impressive.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure. I mean, there is substantial reconstruction of this building, house, and it's been our policy, as you know, that we bring in all the variances whenever there's an alteration or structural.

MEMBER GORDON: Okay. A11 right. We11, that -- so it's Building Department policy, essentially.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Correct.
MEMBER GORDON: Yeah. Okay, that's it.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Do you -- just to -- I apologize. Do you want the -- do you want -- do you have this list? Do you want -- the stenographer.

MS. MAHONEY: (Shook head yes).
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, good. Jack, anybody, questions for this guy? MEMBER REARDON: I'd like to tag along with what Dee said, is that there's a regular occurrence here of applicants that are required to apply for variances that they have no control over, they're purchasing or they're renovating a preexisting non-conforming piece of property. And bringing all that into perspective in an administrative way is a good idea. I think it's fine to make sure that all the t 's are crossed and the i's are dotted.

But looking at it from a homeowner perspective, I presume that there is a fee involved in applying for this variance and that is -- you know, to some people that's a substantial occurrence for things that have already been approved. Though administratively we seem to want to approve them again and make it right. Which, again, I agree with, but I don't think the customer in this case should incur a cost for something that they did not occur themselves.

And I just want to start that dialogue. I've mentioned it before, Dee has mentioned it
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before, and I just want to put that out there. Because I think at some point, you know, I'll probably be one of those homeowners that wants to apply for something and I already have something built and it's just preoccurring non-conforming, and to incur that cost might be a little subjective.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chairman, if I may; can I comment on that?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Just a
clarification. It wasn't approved ever. If there was any -- when we look at these policies, if there was any prior work that had been brought on variances in the past we would not be -- we would not do that, so. They have not been approved by any board.

MEMBER REARDON: Right. We're just giving it approval by virtue of its age. You know, nothing had to -- could have been approved, you know, back in those days.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right.
MEMBER REARDON: So they're coming to the game with that in tow and I just want to put that out there. Thank you.
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ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Sure.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I don't know -you know, maybe -- as a matter of fact, maybe if we have a couple of minutes, if this -- maybe Item 6 we can kind of --

MEMBER REARDON: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- discuss that a little bit. Me personally, I don't think we should be making policy for the Building Department, but, you know. We have our own water to carry, so let them do what they do and we do what we do. But we can discuss that after, after we deal with these agenda items. Seth, you got anything?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
MR. SIDOR: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone from the public that would like to speak? Name and address for the stenographer, please.

MR. MARTIN: My name is Eli Martin, 182 Central Avenue. I understand there's a height variance involved here; is that correct?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No.
MR. MARTIN: No?
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MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Side yard, front yard, accessory structure.

MR. MARTIN: Okay.
MEMBER REARDON: Are you concerned?
MR. MARTIN: I have a question, actually, for the Zoning Board.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MR. MARTIN: When do they go to the Historic Preservation Commission?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We -- we don't set their schedule.

MR. MARTIN: No, but the applicant is required to appear before the HPC, I'm assuming.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: My Notice of Disapproval -- I honestly don't know if that property is in the HPC.

MR. MARTIN: It is.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But my notice says, "This property is located in the $R$-2, this property is not located in the Historic District."

MR. MARTIN: It says that? That's incorrect.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well --
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MR. MARTIN: This property is required to get HPC approval.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If it's in the Historic District, you're a hundred percent right.

MR. MARTIN: I am a hundred percent right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. MARTIN: I live on the block.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, we believe you.
MR. MARTIN: Okay. So my question is when does that approval process happen?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: After it --
MR. MARTIN: Before or after you hear the applicant?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: HPC -- Zoning comes first, HPC will come later.

MR. MARTIN: Okay.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And, again, we certainly take you at your word.

MR. MARTIN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But we have this Notice of Disapproval in front of us. Everybody makes a mistake at times.

MR. MARTIN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But not us.
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MR. MARTIN: Can that be corrected?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Oh, absolutely.
MR. MARTIN: So that it doesn't -- yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Absolutely.
MR. MARTIN: Okay. So --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If it's in the
Historic -- we're going to ask the Building Department now.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Yes, it is in the Historic District. Yes, there is every intention to direct them to once this process is concluded.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.
MR. MARTIN: Now, if you grant approval of the variances and the HPC does not approve the project, what happens then?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The HPC gives a
Certificate of Appropriateness. I don't know -I'm not positive what the appeals process with the HPC is. I'm going to ask either the attorney or the Building Department. I don't know if it goes to -- if it's an Article 78 or if it goes to the Village Board, I'm not sure.

I'm not sure what the HPC does, to be honest with you (laughter).

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: I think with an Article
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78 proceeding in Supreme Court.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: For a Certificate of Appropriateness?

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Yeah.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm not sure.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: To be honest, I'm not either. I can check the Code real quick.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you could give us a second.

MR. MARTIN: I did know that when I had to apply for a building permit for our home I had to first appear before the Historic Preservation Commission before -- before $I$ was able to submit a building permit. I'm assuming that's going to be the case here.

MEMBER REARDON: That's what it says in the Code.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: It certainly will, it certainly will. Because there's variances involved, it'll come to -- it'll always come to Zoning first. And then if the property is in the Historic District it'll go to HPC.

MR. MARTIN: Okay. So my response to this applicant is probably more appropriate to the HPC, but I do want to just voice my opinion.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
MR. MARTIN: I think -- I am very happy that the applicant wants to make improvements to a very -- maybe the oldest house on the block, and there are many old houses on Central Avenue if you walk down Central Avenue. And I think 1838 is the day it was built.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's the date that's on the front of the building.

MEMBER GORDON: There's a sign on the front.

MR. MARTIN: A Federal period frame house is pretty unique. Okay? I think by raising the roof line as they are, it is -- it is an inappropriate fix to that home. Okay? I think it would be more appropriate to construct something at the rear so that the front street-scape and elevation would remain in tact. Because I think you're ruining some original historic fabric which is irreplaceable. I think it's the volume and the scale of that front facade which is the most redeeming character of that building. I think that's critical here.

I have no problem whether you have a very deep lot, you can add on as much as you want to
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the rear of the building and it accomplishes the same thing. I think they need to go back to the drawing board and do something more appropriate and I think the HPC is going to feel the same way.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, that's certain1y their area of expertise. They would --

MR. MARTIN: Now, as far as -- let me just finish. As far as all the side yard and front yard setback requirements, it's a non-conforming building, obviously from 1838 it predates the zoning ordinance, and there are probably half, if not more, of the buildings on Central Avenue don't comply. So, you know, that's not an issue for me and it shouldn't be an issue for the Board.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just to explain our position.

MR. MARTIN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This Board, we respond to an appea1, we respond to a Notice of Disapproval. We don't make policy in the Building Department. The Building Department makes policy for the Village. We can voice our opinion, we can agree, we can disagree, but we
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don't make policy.
MR. MARTIN: I understand.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Our opinion -- our opinions are expressed in our vote. We get a Notice of Disapprova1, we weigh the facts. There's a balancing test that we have to follow for an area variance and that's what we do.

As far as preexisting side yard, rear-yard, front yard setbacks. Again, that's a policy that the Building Department has and that they use. And in all fairness to all the property owners in Greenport, in my tenure on the Zoning Board I can't remember ever --

MR. MARTIN: Not granting a variance, right.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: For a front yard that was like --

MEMBER GORDON: No, no. It's not that we don't grant variances, it's that it's very difficult. It feels foolish to be ruling on a variance when it's something that has been there for a hundred years.

MR. MARTIN: No, I agree with you. I'm a hundred percent yes, I agree. So it's an existing non-conforming use that doesn't comply
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with the current zoning, which is understandable. So it's the remodeling of a house that I'm against.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, as far as that, as far as that, again, that would be more the purview, that would be more with HPC.

The only thing -- we deal with 1 and use, you know, so -- so front yard, side yard, rear yard, square footage.

MR. MARTIN: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That's more in our area.

MR. MARTIN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But one of the questions of the balancing test is whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood, or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of these area variances.

MR. MARTIN: That is something you could rule on, yes.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But we have to look at -- we also have to look at it like we can't single one house out. If the house next door is two stories and the house next door on the other
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side is two stories and the house across the street is two stories, the Zoning Board has to look at the block in totality. The HPC could say, Well, no, we don't want to -- we don't want to, you know --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: So these variances alone are not causing a change to the neighborhood. But doing as-of-right raising the roof, that -we can argue whether that's changing the character of the neighborhood or not, but it's not on for review, they're doing that as-of-right.

MR. MARTIN: Okay.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: It's really an HPC --
MR. MARTIN: I understand your position.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah.
MR. MARTIN: I just want to make my position --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah.
MR. MARTIN: -- clear. Okay?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And if you talk -after what happens here tonight, if you talk to the building, if you talk to Mr. Pallas or Amanda, they'11 tell you when this will be on the HPC's agenda.
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MR. MARTIN: Okay. I just hope I've inspired the architect to reconsider the design. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, you can talk to him (laughter). You can talk to him later at your leisure.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you very much.
I appreciate your time
MEMBER REARDON: Can I ask you a couple of questions?

MR. MARTIN: Yes.
MEMBER REARDON: I did not get your name at the beginning.

MR. MARTIN: Eli, E-L-I; Martin, M-A-R-T-I-N.

MEMBER REARDON: Thank you. E1i, have you seen proposed elevations of the renovation?

MR. MARTIN: Right here.
MEMBER REARDON: Okay. And have you spoken to the homeowner or the architect --

MR. MARTIN: No.
MEMBER REARDON: -- about your concerns?
MR. MARTIN: No, I just saw this today.
MEMBER REARDON: Okay. A11 right. That was it. Thank you.

MR. MARTIN: Thank you very much.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.
Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? Please, name and address for the stenographer.

MS. SHANK: Ruth Shank, 320 Carpenter
Street. I live on the corner of Carpenter and Central and I have a house that's approximately 1810.

I feel like when I look at the plans that the second story addition totally -- I realize you're not the Historic Review Board, but it changes the whole character of that house. I don't care if every house around there is two story, my house is one story. And I don't think changing the character of an historic home in both the scale and the appearance is the right thing to do.

If the gentleman wants to add on to thbe back, like Eli said, where it does not show from the front, $I$ think that's acceptable. That's all I have to say. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Did you want to -- see, the problem -- just to respond, the problem that we have, we have a -- that's prescribed by law, we have a balancing test and
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these questions that we'll ask of each other is prescribed by law. And it doesn't say the character of the house, it says the character of the neighborhood; the character of the house would be the next board.

MR. MARTIN: It would be detrimental to the character of the neighborhood.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: It's also about the variances we're considering. And these variances are not about height, they're about the lot use. So we're not -- we can't really consider that. That's why HPC exists, for these things.

MR. MARTIN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? Name and address for the stenographer, please.

MR. LIEBLEIN: Will Lieblein, 141 Central Avenue. I just have one quick question. You said it was something -- you need three parking spaces and you were going to provide part of that in the rear of the house? Is that correct?

MR. SIDOR: Yes.
MR. LIEBLEIN: Okay. I just wanted to get that clear.
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MS. LIEBLEIN: Will, there are sewer 1ines --

MS. MAHONEY: State your name, please.
MS. LIEBLEIN: Kay Lieblein, Central Avenue. Um, the --

MR. LIEBLEIN: Yeah, the sewer line runs right down the property line that runs out to -you know, to connect both houses to the Greenport sewage. So, you know, the only thing that I'd be a little concerned about is, you know, how that's paved out to get to the parking spots in the back. That's why --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Isn't there a driveway there currently?

MR. LIEBLEIN: There is and it runs right down the middle of that. I'm just concerned about, you know -- when we put -- when that driveway got put in, all of that had to be, you know, very carefully considered when we poured the concrete. I was actually part of it, I helped pour it.

Further back, beyond where the driveway is, if you're going to go into the backyard, you're going to be on it, you're going to be right on it, and it gets closer to the surface
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the further back you go. That's my only consideration, is that once you pass where the driveway ends now, that's when I would be a little concerned. I'm not saying it can't be done, I'm just saying that that's --

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 we're saying is is that the property requires three parking spaces. We don't see them -- we don't see them on the site plan, but it's going to require three parking spaces.

As far as the sanitary system, that would be a Building Department -- they would -- that would be up to them to decide if -- if --

MR. LIEBLEIN: We11, there's already two as it stands right now. We've parked two cars on both sides of that, our side and their side, for years. So, going a little bit further isn't a huge big deal, just that it be done with care, that's all (laughter).

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the -- the thing -- the thing is you're only allowed to park one car in the driveway; the other two spaces have to be other than the driveway. It's going to be required by the applicant to show the Building Department where these two parking spaces are
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going to be. This is not for site plan approval, this is just --

MR. LIEBLEIN: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This is just -- so
they're going to have to show the Building Department that, yeah, we have a legal two-family house, we're required to have three parking spaces, we're going to use one space in the driveway and two spaces elsewhere, someplace else. If it runs over the sewer 1 ine and it's a safety hazard or a problem, that'11 be for the Building Department to decide.

MEMBER GORDON: This is also something you could discuss with the architect.

MR. LIEBLEIN: Yeah, yeah. I just -- I only raise it because I know what happens when sewer 1 ines break.
(*Laughter*)

Firsthand. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, sure. Thanks.
Is there anyone else from the public that would like to speak? No? I'm going to make a motion that we close this public hearing. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
(Public Hearing is closed - VOTE: 4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Soloman).

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Mr. Chairman, if I may.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure.
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I do apologize for interrupting. We inadvertently left off an agenda item which would have been the discussion and possible motion on this application; we typically have that on the agenda.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. We can adjust to that.

The next item, prior -- before we take up the discussion of this is 440 First Street, it's a motion to accept the application, schedule a public hearing and arrange a site visit regarding the application of Eric Urban for the property located at 440 First Street, Greenport, NY, 11944. This property is located in the $R-2$ (One and Two-Family) District and is located in the
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Historic District. This property requires a use variance. The Suffolk County Tax Map \# is 1001-4-7-1.

Is the applicant here? In the back over there? No? A11 right. I think we'11 -- since there's no one here, and there are some questions about this application, I'm thinking we'll put it on the agenda for next month since -- and since there are some questions about this application, maybe the Building Department can talk to the applicant before next month and square some of those things away.

A11 right. Moving on is -- what are we doing?

MEMBER REARDON: So we're not going to have a site visit yet on that?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, we're going to -we're going to table that.

MEMBER REARDON: Okay, fine.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: That application, I'11 make a motion that we table this until our next meeting.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
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MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Aye. (Tab7ed - VOTE:
4/0/0/1 - Not Present: Member Soloman).
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And our next item is a (Item No. 5) Discussion and possible vote on 145 Central Avenue and -- it's 145 Central Avenue and the Suffolk County Tax Map No. Remains the same, 1001-5-2-2. Comments, guys?

MEMBER GORDON: We11, looking at the -- it would be good if I had my correct -- looking at the individual variance requests, it seems to me we are -- we can't really -- we can't make a judgment on the side yard setback because that's fixed and preexisting and we can't on the front yard requirements. You know, that 1838 placement of the building is right up next to the front yard setback of 7.1 feet, but there's no way that could be changed.

The accessory structure is presumably easy to alter and the applicant has agreed to do that without any -- without any hesitation, as I understand.

MR. SIDOR: Uh-huh.
MEMBER GORDON: So, I'm not convinced we
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have anything to do here, but I could be persuaded otherwise. I think maybe --

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Is the new -- Paul, is the new construction part of the renovation going to be impacting the side yard setback?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Well, yeah, it follows the same lines.

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Right. It's the --
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: The distance would be the same and the variance would be identical, whether it's the addition or the existing structure it's the same dimension. I don't know, is that responsive?

MEMBER GORDON: There's actually a reduction in the square footage.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yeah, but the -- I'm sorry. But the side yards are going to be the same, they're going to tear off part of the building --

MEMBER GORDON: That's correct.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- and then --
MEMBER GORDON: The side is the same and the front is the same.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yeah, but they're going to tear off a part of the building, so now that
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part of the building that comes off, the land is fallow, the land is vacant. Now they're going to construct a new portion and that's going to approach on the side yards.

I understand what -- the discussion that we've been having for the last few months is that this is preexisting, it's non-conforming. My contention, though, was all we're doing is legitimizing what's there by voting on it. Some of us don't agree with that concept, but now it's -- there's going to be new construction. And if we followed the Code, that new construction would have to conform to side yard and rear yard setbacks, although we're not dealing with a rear yard. It would have to deal with a side yard setback.

MEMBER GORDON: Well, it's going to be identical in the dimensions of the building. It fits with the original front, you know, the front salt box building.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: But it isn't the same because they're taking those two additions off and putting on a straight. So, I mean, it is new construction; I mean, it depends how you want to parse it.
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MEMBER REARDON: It's a renovation. It's not new construction, new construction is new. This has an existing foundation, they're shortening it by a few feet but they're following everything that's existing; that's a definition of a renovation.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: But they're not. The back of the building is being -- those two extensions are being torn off and a new back of the building is being put up that is a different shape. In other words, it's a u-shaped now, it'11 be a (indiscernible word) after that. So, it depends on how you want to interpret it. I'm not convinced that what I'm saying is actually the way to look at it, but it is -- I could see the Chairman's ideas on this.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I just -- I don't know -- I'm looking at our concerns with this application and previous applications that were the same and future applications that are probably going to be the same. And I don't want to sound flippant about this, but I look at it as being overly concerned about this as an elaborate solution to an almost non-existent problem.

And without revealing anything here or

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
saying something out of turn, I'm pretty sure we're going to approve this. We always have. There's -- no one would ever suggest that we make them tear the front of the house down, no one would ever suggest that they should tear a portion of the side of the house down; at least I would never suggest that.

So, I think until the Building Department and the Village Attorney and the Zoning Board attorney come to some agreement on how to progress this policy, I think our opinions should be expressed in our vote. If -- and I don't see the problem that -- I don't have enough information or maybe foresight to see a problem that can be created by us taking this odd-line stand. I'm not sure.

I understand -- I understand we want to cross the t's, dot the i's and do everything by the book. And in a perfect world, that's a great -- that's a great thing. But --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: So what exactly are you saying? (Laughter)

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm saying we should vote on these variances. I'm saying we have this Notice of Disapproval in front of us, we either

Flynn Stenography \& Transcription Service
grant them or deny them.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: We11, yeah, but I think that's obvious. But, I mean, if they're going to bring up these variances to legitimize them, then clearly they're asking us to decide whether they're -- whether they should be allowed to continue to exist or not. Otherwise why would they be brought to us? So either they should be brought to us or we should look at it and say, you know, do we want to -- if we start changing the building then you do need to fix this. Now, do these things need fixing? That's a whole other ball game. But if you're going to ask us to actually look at this stuff, then maybe we should be looking at, you know, if you want to change the building you will need to resolve this.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11 --
MEMBER KAUFMAN: I'm saying in genera1, I'm not saying for this particular application.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think that's what I kind of said. I think --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah. No. Okay, I'm just making sure, because otherwise we're just rubber stamping stuff and what's the point of doing
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this? We're saying Yes, this is fine the way it is because it's old, then they should just be they're old and that's the way they are, not that the Zoning Board needs to say, Yes, it's fine now.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Again, how it gets in front of the Zoning Board is -- I'm not sure how -- I'm not sure -- I'm not sure what the Zoning Board's option is to say No, we're not going to address this Notice of Disapproval.

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I don't know if we have that option to say no, we're not --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I'm not saying you should.
I'm simply saying --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We11, that was Diana's suggestion, that these variances, you know, shouldn't be considered because they're pre-existing, they're non-conforming and they shouldn't be considered. I don't know if we have that option to not consider them.

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Right. The Zoning Board has to consider the application before them. What might be helpful is if in the future if an applicant who has these nonconforming and
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preexisting, instead of asking for a variance, asks -- comes to the Board for an interpretation and then the Board can rule on whether or not these preexisting non-conformings actually require variances and that would be precedent for the future.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Or, or the Building Department decides --

MEMBER GORDON: Isn't that the Building Department's job?

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: We11, the Building Department --

MEMBER GORDON: Writes them.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- writes the disapproval letter and if the applicant doesn't agree with that, then it's the Zoning Board's jurisdiction to rule on that. Or, I mean, it could be brought up at the Village Trustees, too.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: As a -- (laughter).
COUNSEL CONNOLLY: If they wanted to change policy.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: (Laughter). I -- I
think until -- until someone decides definitively, you know, what comes in front of us, we just -- we can talk about it, we can
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question it, but we can't ignore it, you know.
MEMBER GORDON: Right. Okay. So, are we going to vote on this?

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Are we done talking about it?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I already used more words than I meant to, so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: (Laughter) If we're done talking about it --

MEMBER REARDON: You both bring up good points.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- then we'11 do a little administrative stuff here. I'm going to make a motion that --

MEMBER GORDON: Did you do SEQRA?
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to make a motion that the Zoning Board declares itself Lead Agency for the purposes of SEQRA. So moved.

MEMBER GORDON: Sorry.
MEMBER REARDON: Seconded.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 in favor?
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
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And I'm going to make a motion that this is a Type II Action. So moved

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.
Al1 right, we'11 go through these -- we'11 go through these -- we're going to take the architect at his word that they're going to move the shed so we're not going to -- do we have to deny that for them to move, or we just take him for his word that they're going to -- it's a tiny shed and it's vinyl.

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: Right, right. Are you withdrawing that request for that variance for the shed?

MR. SIDOR: Actually, that was not me. The Building Department brought that one up and put it on the variance list, but we will remove it. It's a vinyl shed, it's I'm assuming concrete block and I know our client has no problem bringing it to the appropriate setback which is five feet for a rear yard.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, it would work for
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us that you moved it, this way we don't legitimize a shed that's less than five feet from the property line. We care about the neighbors, the guy behind you, maybe the guy next to you. MEMBER KAUFMAN: Would it be appropriate to deny that variance and then they would have to rectify the situation?

MR. SIDOR: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Or they could --
MEMBER GORDON: Withdraw that part of the app1ication.

COUNSEL CONNOLLY: I think he's on the record stating that he's going to move the shed, so I don't think you really need to deny it. MEMBER KAUFMAN: Okay. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A11 right, we did SEQRA. We'11 go through these questions and then -- these five questions as a balancing test. Question No. 1 is whether an undesirable change will be produced in the character of the neighborhood or a detriment to nearby properties will be created by the granting of this area variance. Jack? MEMBER REARDON: No. CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinnie?

MEMBER GORDON: No.

## CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?

member kaufman: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote no.
Whether the benefits sought by the applicant can be achieved by some method feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance. Jack?

MEMBER REARDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Diana?
MEMBER GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
member kaufman: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote no.
Whether requested area variance is
substantial. Jack?
MEMBER REARDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinnie?
MEMBER GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
member kaufman: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And -- actually it'11
reduce and I'll vote no also.
Whether proposed variance will have an adverse effect or impact on the physical or
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environmental conditions in the neighborhood or district. Jack?

MEMBER REARDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinnie?
MEMBER GORDON: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
member kaufman: No.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no.
Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created which consideration shall be relevant to the decision of the Board of Appeals but shall not necessarily preclude the granting of an area variance. Jack?

MEMBER REARDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinnie?
MEMBER GORDON: No, because -- well, no.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You can explain.
MEMBER GORDON: No because -- because --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Never mind, you can't explain (laughter).

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes, and that was since 1838, so it's actually let's say about a hundred, whatever, seventy years old, it's self-created.

MEMBER GORDON: Right.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to vote no also.

I'm going to make a motion that we grant these two area variances. So moved. Jack? Oh, wait, wait, let me get a second.

MEMBER GORDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Jack?
MEMBER REARDON: I second and agree.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Dinnie?
MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote yes.
That's it; easy peasy.
Item number -- I'm not sure of the number any more. Item No. 6 is any other Zoning Board of Appeals business that might properly come before this Board.
(No Response)
Anybody? No. Maybe, should we -- should we make -- I have one thing for the Building Department, and the Village Administrator brought it up last month, that why do we accept minutes and then in a separate resolution approve minutes, and I didn't have an answer. I don't
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really know why we do that, so I'm going to defer to the attorney. Is there any reason we do that? COUNSEL CONNOLLY: No.
(*Laughter*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. So would we all be comfortable in just eliminating that practice?

MEMBER GORDON: Combining them.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Combining, accept and approve like every other.

MS. AURICHIO: That's what I did for this meeting.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?
MS. AURICHIO: That's what I did here.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I see that, but we're going to make it official.

MS. AURICHIO: Okay.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're going to make it official.

MS. AURICHIO: All right.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This way -- and just as an explanation to the public in the back there and stuff, we kind of inherited that, you know, so we just went with what we had.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I can't believe they all walked out, this is the best part.
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What?
MEMBER KAUFMAN: This is the best part of the meeting.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: (Laughter) And --
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I just want to say,
Mr. Chairman, it's a bold move.
(*Laughter*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I have to be honest with you, I never gave it a thought. I just thought that was the way it was and so shall it be and so shall it be forever. I don't know.

And are we going to make any suggestions to the -- do we have any suggestions for the Building Department about our concerns about --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: I was just saying, you know, what is the -- is the point for us to actually review these things and determine if allowing -- you know, using this as a trigger, the fact that they're changing the building to review if these things are actually detrimental, or are we just supposed to rubber stamp them? I mean, that's not the implications of them, or what's the implication of putting these in there?

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: Generally, it's to -- as the Chairman said, to legitimize the
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condition that it's in. For example, if this -I'm going to use this application as an example for two different reasons. If ten years from now none of us are in the same role we're in now and they want to make another change, someone would look at this and say, Yeah, but they never got disapproved in the first place. Now we're rolling the clock back to -- to that, so that's one of the issues.

But using, again, as a very specific example, the idea that a part of this building was removed, then that space that was there, it doesn't exist as part of the structure any 1onger. So now you're adding on to a structure, you are increasing the degree of non-conformity in this particular case.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: So then it follows that we should actually, you know, assess these things.

You don't have to, just because they're preexisting, say yes if in the course of changing that building we feel like it's going to exacerbate the problem by increasing the degree of non-conformance.

ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: I'm not going to speak for how you look at it. I'm just telling
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you --
MEMBER KAUFMAN: I'm saying to Jack --
ADMINISTRATOR PALLAS: (Inaudible).
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah, but that -- so again, then that makes sense to bring these things up and that's the reason why we're looking at it.

MEMBER REARDON: That's on a -- you know, and if that's on a line where construction is going on, but if work is going on in the back of the house and we're approving variances for the front stoop which isn't touched and it's not part of the project, that's --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: But that's -- to me that's the answer, though, which is like, Yeah, we are supposed to actually look at these, and even through most of the time they're fine, it's not always going to be the case. So then I think, to me at least, it's fine to do, keep on doing what you're doing.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I always kind of look at with the front yard it was always -- it was always a quandary. But with a side yard, especially with -- I mean, it would be -- it's the seeable that a different applicant, not this
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application, but an application like this on a different piece of property chose to just remove the wings in the back, and then a new owner would buy this house and decide, Well, I want to add on to this; he would have to comply, he would have to come and ask.

So I don't see the difference between that scenario and the scenario we just addressed. I think if it's new construction or a new addition, they're increasing the non-conformity by tearing down the old portion, so now it's that is not there anymore, and creating a new portion. So that increases the non-conformity.

MEMBER GORDON: How does it increase the non-conformity? It's the same number of feet and the purpose of the setback is to regulate the feet, so I don't really see how it's --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Because it depends on the project and I know keeping that length the same.

MEMBER GORDON: We11, then we would --
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Exactly, but that's the point.

MEMBER GORDON: Then if there were -- for instance, we've had a couple of recent ones where there was an old pre-existing non-conforming
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setback on the side, but the applicant was going to put a little bump out, you know, for a nice 1ittle window.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah, I remember.
MEMBER GORDON: And that's different, that does change.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: But I think --
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, let me ask -- I'm sorry, Seth.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Go ahead.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Let me ask you. Suppose -- and you hate to deal with hypotheticals, but they come up and it happens. Suppose this applicant -- well, this is closed this case, so we could say an applicant like this decided just to remove that portion of the house, those two wings in the back, just remove it. And a new owner decided in the future, Well, I want to put an addition on the back of the house. I don't see why he wouldn't have to conform, why he wouldn't have have to come to the Zoning Board to -- you know, even though the old portion --

MEMBER GORDON: Yeah, it doesn't exist anymore, yeah.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: But the question --
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CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, once they tear it down it doesn't exist anymore.

MEMBER KAUFMAN: But isn't the question we're trying to answer here why are we getting these variances this way, because that's what -and the answer is because sometimes they will have to be reviewed, they will -- there may well be a change. We may well turn them down, they're not just rubber-stamped. So that's what I'm saying. The policy makes sense to me, then. We have to review these things, even if most of the time there's nothing to do. That's enough for me.

MEMBER GORDON: The point that both Paul and John are making about the future is about --

MEMBER KAUFMAN: So there's nothing to complain about. In other words, I see the point of the process now, so.

CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So, what are you going to do about it?

MEMBER KAUFMAN: Nothing.
(*Laughter*)
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. The last item on our agenda is Item No, I think No. 7, is a motion to adjourn. So moved.
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MEMBER REARDON: Second.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?
MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.
MEMBER REARDON: Aye.
CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'11 vote aye.
Thank you, folks. Guys in the back, thank you for coming. (*The meeting was adjourned at 6:53 p.m. *)
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| 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 48:19 } \\ & \text { additions [1] - 31:22 } \end{aligned}$ | appear [2]-12:14, | ATTENDANCE ${ }_{[1]}$ | bold [1] - 44: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathbf{3}_{[1]}-3: 4 \\ & \mathbf{3 2 0}_{[1]}-22: 5 \\ & \mathbf{3 r d}_{[1]}-1: 8 \end{aligned}$ | address [8] - 5:5, 5:8, | $15: 12$ | Attorney [1] - 33:9 | box [1] - 31:20 <br> break [1]-26:17 <br> bring [4]-8:14, 34:4, |
|  | 12, 7:5, 11:20 | 22:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { attorney [3] - 14:19, } \\ & 33: 10,43: 2 \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | 2:3, 23:16, 35:10 | icant |  |  |
|  | adjourn [1] - 49:25 | , 5:3, 5:4, 5:23, | ATTORNEY ${ }_{[1]}-1: 24$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bring }[4]-8: 14,34: 4, \\ & 37: 10,46: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | adjourned [1] - 50:9 | :2 | table [1] - 7:23 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { bringing [2]-9:9, } \\ & 38: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-7-1[1] -28:3 } \\ & \text { 4/0/0/1[5]-2:17, 3:3, } \\ & 3: 15,27: 6,29: 4 \\ & \mathbf{4 4 0}[3]-3: 17,27: 19, \\ & 27: 23 \end{aligned}$ | adjust [1] - 27:16 administrative [2] 9:10, 37:13 | $28: 11,29: 21,35: 25,$ | AURICHIO [6] - 1:25, <br> 4:12, 43:10, 43:13, |  |
|  |  | $36: 15,40: 6,40: 7$ | 4:12, 43:10, 43:13, $43: 16,43: 19$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { brought [6] - 10:14, } \\ 34: 8,34: 9,36: 18, \end{gathered}$ |
|  |  | $0: 25,48: 1,48: 14,$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avenue }[13]-3: 20, \\ 4: 19,4: 25,5: 8 \end{gathered}$ | 38:19, 42:22 |
|  | administratively ${ }_{[1]}$ - $9: 18$ | 48:15 |  | Brown $[1]-5: 7$ building $[25]-5: 14$ |
|  | Administrator ${ }_{[1]}$ - 42:22 <br> ADMINISTRATOR[22] | applicants [1]-9:5 | $11: 22,16: 5,16: 6$ | $5: 15,7: 13,7: 21,$ |
| 5 |  | $6: 21,27: 14,27: 20,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 13,23: 19,24: 5, \\ & 29: 7 \end{aligned}$ | 8:12, 15:11, 15:14, |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 27:22, 28:7, 28:9, } \\ & \text { 28:20, 32:19, 34:20, } \\ & \text { 35:23, 39:11, 45:2, } \\ & \text { 47:1 } \\ & \text { applications [2] - } \end{aligned}$ | aye [30]-2:12, 2:13, | 16:9, 16:23, 17:1,$17: 11,20 \cdot 23,29: 17$ |
| 5[2] - 3:20, 29:6 | ADMINISTRATOR ${ }_{[22]}$$\begin{aligned} & -1: 23,7: 15,7: 18, \\ & 7: 24,8: 8,8: 11,8: 19 \\ & \text { 10:8, 10:11, 10:22, } \\ & \text { 11:1, 13:17, 14:9, } \\ & \text { 15:6, 27:8, 27:11, } \\ & \text { FPl\&f:P, St:enog } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  | 2:14, 2:15, 2:23, <br> 2:24, 2:25, 3:1, 3:11, <br> 3:13, 3:14, 27:2, <br> 27:3, 27:4, 27:5, <br> 28:25, 29:1, 29:2, <br> ipkion 1878epvige. | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 19,30: 25,31: 1 \\ & 31: 18,31: 20,32: 8, \end{aligned}$ |
| 6 [2]-11:5, 42:16 |  |  |  | 32:9, 34:11, 34:16, <br> 44:19, 45:11, 45:21 |
| 6:00 [2]-1:12, 3:6 |  | phyd \& 子: |  | Building [20] - 6:21, |




| $\begin{aligned} & \text { January [2] }-2: 7,2: 16 \\ & \text { job }[1]-36: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { less }[1]-39: 2 \\ & \text { letter }[1]-36: 15 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 21:13 } \\ & \text { matter }[2]-11: 3, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { mentioned [3] - 7:3, } \\ & 9: 25 \end{aligned}$ | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| hn [1] - 49:15 | Lieblein [3] - 4:24 | 51:18 | hod [1] - 40:6 | name [8] - 5:4, 5:6, |
| JOHN [1] - 1:14 | 23:18, $24: 4$ | McMahon [1] | middle [1] - 24:1 | 19, 11:21, 21:11, |
| Joseph [1] - 4:22 | LIEBLEIN $[9]-23: 18$, | mean [8]-7:24, 8:11 | might [4]-7:1, 10:6, | 22:3, 23:16, $24: 3$ |
| [1] -29 | 23:24, 24:1, 24:4, | :24, 34:3 | 35:24, 42:17 | nearby [2] - 19:18, |
| jurisdiction [1] - 36:17 | 24:15, 25:1 | 6:17, 44:22, 46:24 | mind [1] - 41:19 | 39: |
|  | 26:3, 26:15 | meant [1]-37:7 | mi | necessarily [1] - 41:12 |
|  | line $[7]-16: 14,24: 6$ 24:7, $26: 10,33: 15$ | meeting [8]-2:1, $2: 8$ | 2:19, 11:4, 42:23, $42: 25$ | need [6] - 17:2, 23:20, |
| Kae [1] - 4:24 | 46:9 | 4.3, | utes [2] - 2:16, | 39:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KAUFMAN[50]-1:16, } \\ & \text { 2:12, 2:25, 3:11, } \\ & \text { 11:15, 12:1, 20:6, } \\ & \text { 20:14, 20:16, 20:19, } \\ & \text { 23:8, 27:4, 28:23, } \\ & \text { 28:25, 31:21, 32:7, } \\ & 33: 21,34: 2,34: 19, \\ & 34: 23,35: 14,37: 6, \\ & 37: 24,38: 3,39: 5, \\ & 39: 15,40: 3,40: 13, \\ & 40: 21,41: 7,41: 21, \\ & 41: 25,42: 12,43: 24, \\ & 44: 2,44: 15,45: 17, \\ & 46: 2,46: 4,46: 14, \\ & 47: 18,47: 21,48: 4, \\ & 48: 7,48: 10,48: 25, \\ & 49: 3,49: 16,49: 21, \\ & 50: 4 \\ & \text { Kay }[1]-24: 4 \\ & \text { keep }[1]-46: 19 \\ & \text { keeping }[1]-47: 19 \\ & \text { kind }[5]-6: 11,11: 5, \\ & 34: 22,43: 22,46: 21 \end{aligned}$$\mathbf{L}$land $_{[3]}-19: 7,31: 1$,$31: 2$Lane ${ }_{[1]}-5: 1$last $[3]-31: 6,42: 23$,$49: 23$laughter $[1]-37: 8$Laughter $[6]-26: 18$,33:22, 43:4, 44:4,44:7, 49:22laughter) $[5]-14: 24$,$21: 4,25: 19,36: 19$,$41: 20$Laughter) $[1]-36: 22$law $[2]-22: 25,23: 2$Lead $[1]-37: 17$least $[2]-33: 6,46: 19$left $[1]-27: 12$legal $[1]-26: 6$legitimize $[3]-34: 4$,39:2, 44:25legitimizing $[1]-31: 9$leisure $[1]-21: 5$length $[1]-47: 19$ | lines [3]-24:2, 26:17 | Meeting [2] - 2:4, | mistake [1] - 13:23 | needs [1] - 35:4 |
|  | $30: 7$ list [5] | MEMBER [137] - 1:15 | month [3]-28:8, | neighborhood [7] - |
|  | $3,38$ | $2: 10,2: 12,2: 1$ | months [1] - 31 |  |
|  | [2] - 4:7, | 4, 2:21, 2:23 | most [3] - 16:22, | 41:1 |
|  | [2] - 13:7, 22:6 | 4, 2:25, 3:9, 3:11 | 46:17, 49: | neighbors [1] - 39:3 |
|  | LLC ${ }_{[1]}-5: 1$ | 3:12, 3:13, 7:11, | motion [13]-2:5, 2: | ever [4] - 33:7, 41:19, |
|  | located [7] - 4:3, 4:4 | 13, 7:16, 7:20, $8: 3$ | 2:18, 3:4, 26:23, | 44:9, 45:6 |
|  | 12:20, 12:21, 27:23, | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 9,8: 16,8: 20,9: 3, \\ & \text { 10:18, 10:23, 11:6, } \end{aligned}$ | 7:14, 27:20, 28:21, | new [15]-30:3, 30:4, |
|  | look[13]-10:13 | 12 | $42: 3,49: 25$ | 23, 32:2, 32:9 |
|  | 9:23, | 16, 16:10, 18:18 | move [5]-5:23, 38:10 | 3, 47:9, 47:1 |
|  | 9, 32:15, 32:22 | 6, 20:14, 20:16 | 38:12, 39:13, 44:6 | 48:1 |
|  | 9, 34:14, 45:6 | 19, 21:8, 21:1 | moved [10] - 2:9, 2 | ew [2]-4:21, 51:8 |
|  | 45:25, 46:16, 46:21 | 15, 21:18, 21:2 | 3:8, 5:19, 26:24, | NEW ${ }_{[2]}-1: 2,51: 3$ |
|  | ng [6] - 9:13 | 21:23, 23:8, 26:13, | 38:2, 39:1 | newspaper ${ }_{[1]}-4: 11$ |
|  | 10, 29:11, 32:18, | 7:3 | 42:4, 49:25 | next [12] - 3:4, 3:17, |
|  | 34:15, 46:6 | 4, 28:15, 28:19, | moving [1]-28:13 | 24, 19:25, 23:5, |
|  |  | 28:23, 28:25, 29:1, | MR ${ }_{\text {[70] - }}$ 3:24, 5: | 28:8, $28: 11$ |
|  |  | 2, 29:10, 29:25, | :25, 6:10 | :21, 29:5, 29:17, |
|  |  | 14, 30:20, 30:22, | 6:13, 6:18, 6:20, | 39:4 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { M-A-R-T-I-N [1] - } \\ & \text { 21:14 } \\ & \text { Mahoney }[1]-51: 23 \\ & \text { MAHONEY }[3]-8: 25, \\ & \text { 24:3, 51:7 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 17,31: 21,32: 1 \\ & 32: 7,33: 21,34: 2 \\ & 34: 19,34: 23,35: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 24,7: 3,7: 7,7: 12, \\ & \text { 11:17, 11:21, 11:25, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { nice }[1]-48: 2 \\ & \text { non }[19]-7: 14,7: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 7: 19,8: 1,8: 4,9: 8, \\ & \text { 10:5, 17:10, 18:25, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | $36: 9,36: 13,37: 2,$ $37: 6,37: 10,37: 15,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:4, 12:6, 12:9, } \\ & \text { 12:13, 12:18, 12:23, } \end{aligned}$ |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 24:3, 51:7 } \\ & \text { mailings }[3]-4: 16, \\ & 4: 17 \end{aligned}$ | 37:6, 37:10, 37:15, <br> 37:19, 37:20, 37:22, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 13:9, 13:12, 13:16, } \\ & 13: 20,13: 24,14: 1, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36: 4,45: 15,45: 23 \\ & 47: 10,47: 13,47: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $4: 17$ <br> Manmohan [1] - 4:23 | 38:5, 38:6, 39:5,$39: 10,39: 15,39: 24$ | 14:3, 14:5, 14:13, | $47: 25$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Manmohan [1] - 4:23 } \\ & \text { Map [2]-28:2, 29:8 } \end{aligned}$ |  | 15:10, 15:23, 16:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { non-conformance }[1] \\ & -45: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | Map [2] - 28:2, 29:8 <br> March [2] - 3:5, 3:15 | 40:1, 40:3, 40:9, | 16:12, 17:8, 17:19, |  |
|  | marriage ${ }_{[1]}-51: 17$ | 40:13, 40:17 | 18:2, 18:14, 18:23 | non-conforming [12] - |
|  | MARTIN [46] - 11:21, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 40:19, 40:21, 41:3, } \\ & \text { 41:5, 41:7, 41:14, } \end{aligned}$ | 19:10, 19:13, 19:20, 20:13, 20:15, 20:17 | 7:14, 7:17, 7:19, 8:1, |
|  | $11: 25,12: 4,12: 6$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:4, 9:8, 10:5, 17:10, } \\ & \text { 18:25, 31:7, 35:19, } \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:23, 13:1, 13:5, } \\ & 13: 7,13: 9,13: 12, \end{aligned}$ | :24, 41:25, 42:6, | :10, 21:13, 21:1 | 47:25 |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 2: 8,42: 10,42: 12 \\ & 3: 7,43: 24,44: 2, \end{aligned}$ | $1: 20,21: 22,21: 25,$ | non-conformings [1]- 36:4 |
|  | 14:1, 14:3, 14:5, |  |  |  |
|  | 14:13, 15:10, 15:23, | 46:8, 46:1 | $: 15,25: 14,26: 3,$ | non-conformity [4] - <br> 45:15, 47:10, 47:13 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 16:2, 16:12, 17:8, } \\ & \text { 17:19, 18:2, 18:14, } \end{aligned}$ | 7:14, 47:18, 47:20 | 15, 29:24, 38:18, | 45:15, 47:10, 47:13, $47: 15$ |
|  |  | :23, 48:4 | 39:8 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { non-existent [1] - } \\ & 32: 24 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | 17:19, 18:2, 18:14, <br> 18:23, 19:10, 19:13, | 5, 48:7, 48:10 | MS ${ }_{[10]}-4: 12,8: 25$, |  |
|  |  | 8:23, 48:25, 49:3, | 22:5, 24:1, 24:3, | nonconforming [1] - |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 19:20, 20:13, 20:15, } \\ & 20: 17,20: 20,21: 1, \\ & 21: 6,21: 10,21: 13, \end{aligned}$ | 9:14, 49:16, 49:21, | 24:4, 43:10, 43:13, | $35: 25$ <br> none [1] - 45:4 |
|  |  |  | 3:16, 43:19 |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 21: 6,21: 10,21: 13, \\ & \text { 21:17, 21:20, 21:22, } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | NOT ${ }_{[1]}-1: 19$ |
|  |  |  |  | Notary ${ }_{[1]}$ - 51:8 nothing [4]-10:20, |
|  |  | an | iption Service |  |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Notice [6] - 12:15 |  |  |  | 21:23, 27:3, 28:15 |
| 13:21, 17:21, 18:5, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { p.m }[4]-1: 12,2: 1,3: 6, \\ & 50: 9 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 33:11, 36:21, 49:10 } \\ & \text { portion [6]-31:3, } \end{aligned}$ | Property [1] - 5:1 proposed [3] - 4:5, | 28:19, 29:1, 32:1, <br> 37:10, 37:20, 37:23, <br> 38:6, 39:24, 40:9, |
| otice | Pal ${ }_{[1]}-4: 23$ <br> Pal-Singh [1] - 4:23 | $\begin{aligned} & 33: 6,47: 11,47: 12, \\ & 48: 16,48: 22 \end{aligned}$ | 21:16, 40:24 <br> proposes [1]-3:25 |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 38: 6,39: 24,40: 9 \\ & 40: 17,41: 3,41: 14, \\ & 42: 8,46: 8,50: 1, \end{aligned}$ |
| Notified [1] - 4:18 | pallas [1]-20:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { position }[3]-17: 18, \\ 20: 15,20: 18 \end{gathered}$ | provide ${ }_{[1]}$ - 23:21 <br> public [8]-3:19, |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 42: 8,46: 8,50: 1 \text {, } \\ & 50: 5 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PALLAS }_{[22]}-1: 23, \\ & 7: 15,7: 18,7: 24,8: 8, \end{aligned}$ | positive [1] - 14:18 | 11:19, 22:2, 23:15, | reason [2] - 43:2, 46:6 |
| NY ${ }_{[10]}$ - 1:9, 3:7, 4:20 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8:11, 8:19, 10:8, } \\ & \text { 10:11, 10:22, 11:1, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { possible [2]-27:14, } \\ & 29: 6 \end{aligned}$ | 26:21, 26:23, 27:21, | reasons [1] - 45:3 <br> recent [1]-47:24 |
|  |  |  | 43:2 |  |
| 4:25, 5:1, 27:23 | 10:11, 10:22, 11:1, <br> 13:17, 14:9, 15:6, <br> 27:8, 27:11, 30:6, <br> 30:9, 44:5, 44:24, <br> 45:24, 46:3 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { pour }_{[1]}-24: 21 \\ & \text { poured }[1]-24: 19 \\ & \text { practice }[1]-43: 6 \\ & \text { pre }[2]-35: 19,47: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  | reconsider ${ }_{[1]}$ - 21:2 |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Public [4] - 3:21, 5:2, } \\ & 27: 6,51: 8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { reconstruction }[1] \text { - } \\ & 8: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  |  | published ${ }_{[1]}-4: 10$ |  |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { record }_{[1]}-39: 13 \\ & \text { rectify }_{[1]}-39: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
|  | park [1] - 25:21 <br> parked [1]-25:15 | pre-existing [2] - | purpose [1] - 47:16 |  |
|  |  |  | purposes [1] - 37:18 | redeeming ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:22 |
|  | $\text { parking }[7] \text { - 7:2, }$ | precedent ${ }_{[1]}$ - 36:5 | [ [1] - 40:7 | reduce [1] - 40:23 <br> reduction [1]-30:15 |
| occu | $\begin{aligned} & \text { parking }[7] \text { - } 7: 2, \\ & 23: 20,24: 11,25: 7, \\ & 25: 10,25: 25,26: 7 \end{aligned}$ | preclude [1]-41:12 | pursue [1] - 40.7 |  |
| oc |  |  | put [12]-3:18, 6:21, | $\begin{array}{r} \text { regarall } \\ \text { 27:21 } \end{array}$ |
| 9:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 25:10, 25:25, 26:7 } \\ & \text { parse }[1]-31: 25 \end{aligned}$ |  | 7:7, 10:1, 10:24, |  |
| odd [1] - 33:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { part [12] - 23:21, } \\ & 24: 20,30: 4,30: 18, \end{aligned}$ | preexisting [12] - <br> 7:14, 7:17, 7:19, | $\begin{aligned} & 24: 17,24: 18,28: 7, \\ & 32: 10,38: 19,48: 2, \end{aligned}$ | REGULAR $_{[1]}-1: 5$Regular $_{[1]}-2: 4$ |
| lin |  | 7:25, 8:4, 9:8, 18:8, |  |  |
| OF [6]-1:1, 1:2, | $\begin{aligned} & 30: 25,31: 1,39: 10 \\ & 43: 25,44: 2,45: 11 \end{aligned}$ |  | 48:19 putting [2]-31:23, | Regular [1]-2:4 <br> regular [1]-9:4 |
| 51:3, 51:5 |  | 29:15, 31:7, 36:1, $36: 4,45: 20$ |  | regulate [1] - 47:16 |
| official [2]-43 | $45: 13,46: 12$ | preoccurring [1] -10:5 |  | regulations [1] - 7:22 <br> related $[1]-51: 15$ |
| 43:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { particular [2] - 34:20, } \\ & 45: 16 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| old [8]-7:21, |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { prescribed [2]-22:25, } \\ & 23: 2 \end{aligned}$ | Q | relevant ${ }_{[1]}$ - 41:11 <br> remain [1] - 16:18 |
| 2, 35:3, 41:23 | parties [1] - 51:16 |  |  |  |
| 47:11, 47:25, 48 | pass [1] - 25:2 <br> past ${ }_{[1]}$ - 10:15 <br> PAUL[1] - 1:23 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Present }[5]-2: 17,3: 3, \\ 3: 16,27: 7,29: 4 \end{gathered}$ |  | remains [1]-29:8 <br> remember [2]-18:13, |
| est ${ }^{11}$ - 16:4 |  |  | questions [8]-9:2,19:15, $21: 9,23: 1$, |  |
| once [3] - |  | $\begin{gathered} 3: 16,27: 7,29: 4 \\ \text { PRESENT }[1]-1: 19 \end{gathered}$ |  | 48:4 |
| 49:1 | Paul [2] - 30:3, 49:14 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 19: 15,21: 9,23: 1, \\ & 28: 6,28: 9,39: 17, \end{aligned}$ | remodeling ${ }_{[1]}-19: 2$ |
| One [5] - 1:7, 3:6, 4:3, | Paul $_{[2]}-30: 3,49: 14$ Preservation $[2]-$ $28: 6,28: 9,39: 17$, <br> paved $[1]-24: 11$ $12: 10,15: 12$ $39: 18$ <br> peasy $[1]-42: 14$ presumably $[1]-$ quick $[2]-15: 7,23: 19$ |  |  | remove [4] - 38:20, |
| 27:24, 51:12 |  |  |  | 47:2, 48:16, 48:17 |
| one [13]-10:3, 19 $19: 24,22: 14,23$ | people [1] - 9:16 <br> percent [3]-13:4, | presume [1]-9:14 <br> pretty [2] - 16:13, 33:1 | R | removed [1] - 45:12 <br> renovating [1] - 9:7 <br> renovation [5] - 4:5, 21:16, 30:4, 32:1, <br> 32:6 |
| 21, 26:8, 28 |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 3: 3,33: 4,38: \\ & 2: 21,45: 9 \end{aligned}$ | perfect ${ }_{[1]}$ - 33:19 | previous [1] - 32:19 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-2 [3] - 4:3, 12:20, } \\ & 27: 24 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| ones [2] - 8:6, 47:24 |  |  | 4:1, 26:1 | Reporter [1] - 51:7 <br> represent $[1]$ - 4:15 |
| open [1] - 5:2 |  | 32:24, 33:13, 33:14 | ed [1] - 5:1 |  |
| opinion [3] - 15:25 | personally ${ }_{[1]}-11: 8$ <br> perspective [2] - 9:9, | proceeding $[1]$ - 15:1 | 20:8 |  |
| , |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { representative }[1] \text { - } \\ & 4: 15 \end{aligned}$ |
| opinions [2] - 18:4 | $9: 14$ | proceedings ${ }_{[1]}$ - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ead }[2]-4: 16,4: 17 \\ & \text { eal }[1]-15: 7 \end{aligned}$ | representing ${ }_{[1]}-5: 7$ <br> request ${ }_{[1]}$ - 38:16 |
|  | persuaded ${ }_{[1]}-30: 2$ |  |  |  |
| option [3]-35:9 35:13, 35:21 | physical [1] - 40:25 <br> piece [2] - $9: 8,47: 2$ | 51:11 <br> process [4]-13:10, | really $[7]-8: 4,20: 14$, | requested [1] - 40:15 requests [1]-29:12 |
| $\mathrm{r}_{[1]}$-2:1 | $\operatorname{pin}_{[1]}-3: 18$ | 14:11, 14:18, 49:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 23:11, 29:13, 39:14, } \\ & 43: 1,47: 17 \end{aligned}$ | requests [1] - 29:12 <br> require ${ }_{[2]}-25: 9,36: 5$ |
| ce ${ }_{[1]}-17: 12$ |  | produced [2]-19:16, $39 \cdot 20$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { required }[6]-7: 2,9: 5, \\ & 12: 14,13: 1,25: 24, \\ & 26: 7 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { original [2] - 16:19, } \\ & 31: 19 \end{aligned}$ | placement [1]-29:16 <br> plan [3]-7:9, 25:9, | 39:20 progress [1] - 33:11 | $\begin{gathered} \operatorname{rear}_{[9]}-5: 13,16: 17, \\ 17: 1,18: 8,19: 8 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| otherwise [3] - 30:2, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { plan }[3]-7: 9,25: 9, \\ & 26: 1 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 46: 13,47: 19 \\ \text { properly }[1]-42: 17 \\ \text { properties }[2]-19: 18, \\ 39: 21 \\ \text { property }[17]-4: 3, \\ 5: 10,6: 9,9: 8,12: 17, \\ \text { 12:20, 12:21, 13:1, } \\ \text { a pa:2y, \&\& 17,12a:SC\| } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23: 22,31: 14,31: 15, \\ & 38: 24 \\ & \text { rear-yard }[1]-18: 8 \\ & \text { REARDON }[35]-1: 17, \\ & 2: 14,2: 21,2: 24, \\ & 3: 12,9: 3,10: 18, \\ & 10: 23,11: 6,12: 5, \\ & \text { iptión 2Sepvite } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { requirements }[2]- \\ & \text { 17:10, 29:16 } \\ & \text { requires }[3]-4: 6, \\ & \text { 25:7, } 28: 1 \\ & \text { resolution }[1]-42: 24 \\ & \text { resolve }[1]-34: 16 \\ & \text { respond }[3]-17: 20, \\ & 17: 21,22: 23 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\text { 34:7, } 34: 24$ <br> outcome [1] - 51:18 |  |  |  |  |
| outcome [1]-51:18 overly ${ }_{[1]}-32: 23$ | point $[6]-10: 2,34: 25$ $44: 16,47: 22,49: 14$ |  |  |  |
| own [1] - 11:10 |  |  |  |  |
| owner [2]-47:3, | points [1] - 37:11 |  |  |  |
| 48:18 owners [1] - 18:11 | policies [1] - 10:13 poclicy 10 on sitbenog |  |  |  |


| response [1] - 15:23 | 28:20, 28:24, 29:3, | setbacks [5] - 5:20, | sorry [4]-30:17, | SUFFOLK [2]-1:2, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Response [1] - 42:19 | 29:5, 30:16, 30:21 | :22, 8:6, 18:9, | 7:19, 43:12, 48:9 | 51:5 |
| responsive [1] - 30:13 | 30:24, 32:17, 33:23, | 31:1 | Sorry [1] - 6:24 | Suffolk [2] - 28:2, 29:8 |
| revealing ${ }_{[1]}-32: 25$ | 34:18, 34:21, 35:6, | seth [4]-11:13, 40:2, | sought [1] - 40:5 | suggest [3]-33:3, |
| review [4]-20:11, | 35:12, 35:16, 36:7 | 40:12, 42:1 | sound [1] - 32:22 | 33:5, 33:7 |
| 44:17, 44:20, 49:11 | 36:14, 36:19, 36:22, | Seth [3] - 40:20, 41:6, | South [3] - 1:8, 3:7, | suggestion [1] - 35:17 |
| Review [1] - 22:11 | 37:4, 37:8, 37:12, | 48:9 | 51:13 | suggestions [2] - |
| reviewed [1] - 49:7 | 37:16, 37:21, 37:25, | SETH [1] - 1:16 | south [1] - 5:12 | 44:12, 44:13 |
| Richmond [1] - 4:23 | 38:4, 38:7, 38:25, | seventy [1] - 41:23 | space [2] - 26:8, 45:12 | suppose [2] - 48:12, |
| rid ${ }_{[1]}-5: 12$ | 39:9, 39:16, 39:25, | sewage [1]-24:9 | spaces [8] - 7:2, | 48:14 |
| road [1]-8:7 | 40:2, 40:4, 40:10, | sewer [4]-24:1, 24:6, | 23:21, 25:7, 25:10, | supposed [2] - 44:21, |
| ROBERT ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:24 | 40:12, 40:14, 40:18, | 26:10, 26:17 | 25:22, 25:25, 26:8, | 46:1 |
| Robert [2] - 4:19, 5:7 | 40:20, 40:22, 41:4, | shall [4]-41:10, | 26:9 | Supreme ${ }_{[1]}$ - 15:1 |
| role [1] - 45:4 | 41:6, 41:8, 41:15, | 41:12, 44:10, 44:11 | specific [1] - 45:10 | surface [1]-24:25 |
| rolling [1] - 45:8 | 41:17, 41:19, 42:1, | SHANK ${ }_{[1]}-22: 5$ | spoken ${ }_{[1]}$ - 21:18 | system [1] - 25:11 |
| roof $[4]-4: 1,5: 17$, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 42:7, 42:9, 42:11, } \\ & 42: 13,43: 5,43: 8, \end{aligned}$ | Shank ${ }_{[1]}$ - 22:5 | spots [1] - 24:11 |  |
| 16:14, 20:8 | 42:13, 43:5, 43:8, <br> 43:12, 43:14, 43:17, | shape [1] - $32: 10$ | square $[5]-5: 16$, | T |
| 44:21, 49:9 | 43:20, 44:1, 44:4, | shed [9]-5:19, 5:23, | 30:15 | t's [2] - 9:11, 33:18 |
| rubber-stamped [1] - | 44:8, 46:21, 48:8 | 5:24, 38:11, 38:14, | SS [1] - 51:4 | table [2]-28:18, 28:21 |
| 49:9 | 48:11, 49:1, 49:19 | 8:17, 38:21, 39:2, | stamp [1] - 44:21 | Tabled [1] - 29:3 |
| ruining ${ }_{[1]}-16: 19$ | 49:23, 50:2, 50:6 | 39:13 | stamped [1] - 49:9 | tact ${ }_{[1]}-16: 18$ |
| rule [3]-19:21, 36:3, | salt [1] - 31:20 | Shook [1] - 8:25 | stamping [1] - 34:25 | $\boldsymbol{t a g}[1]-9: 3$ |
| 36:17 | sanitary [1]-25:11 | shortening [1] - 32:4 | stand ${ }_{[1]}$ - $33: 16$ | Tax[2]-28:2, 29:8 |
| ruling [1] - 18:20 | saw [1]-21:22 | show [3] - 22:19, | stands [1]-25:15 | tear [5] - 30:18, 30:25, |
| runoff [2] - 6:5, 6:15 | scale [2]-16:21 | 25:24, 26:5 | start [2]-9:24, 34:10 | 33:4, 33:5, 49:1 |
| runs [4]-24:6, 24:7, |  | shrinking [2]-5:16 | State [1] - 51:8 | tearing ${ }_{[1]}-47: 10$ |
| 24:15, 26:10 | scape ${ }^{11]}$ - 16:18 | 6:14 | STATE ${ }_{[2]}-1: 2,51: 3$ | ten [1]-45:3 |
| Ruth [1] - 22:5 | scenario [2] - 47:8 | sic [1]-4:20 | state [1]-24:3 | tenure $\left.{ }^{1}\right]-18: 12$ |
| Ryan [1] - 5:6 | schedule [3] - 3:4, 12:12, 27:20 | $\begin{gathered} \text { side }[18]-5: 18,12: 2, \\ 17: 9,18: 8,19: 8, \end{gathered}$ | stating ${ }_{[1]}-39: 13$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { test }[4]-18: 6,19: 15 \text {, } \\ & 22: 25,39: 18 \end{aligned}$ |
| S | Scheduled [1] - 3:15 second [14]-2:10, | $20: 1,25: 16,29: 1$ | 51:12 | THAT [1] - 51:10 |
| safety ${ }^{[1]}$ - $26: 11$ | , 4:2, 5:17 | 1:4, 31:13, 31:16 | 5:5, 8:24, 11:20, | be [1] - 22:18 |
| Sagaponack [1]-5:1 | 15:9, 22:10, 26:25 | 33:6, 46:23, 48:1 | 22:4, 23:16 | HE [1] - 1:25 |
| SALADINO ${ }_{[143]}$ | 28:23, 38:3, 42:5, | sides [1] - 25:16 | stoop [1] - 46:12 | themselves [1] -9:23 |
| 1:14, 2:2, 2:11, 2:15, | 42:6, 42:8, 50:1 | Sidor [1] - 5:6 | stories [3] - 19:25 | inking ${ }_{[1]}-28: 7$ |
| 2:22, 3:1, 3:10, 3:14, | se | SIDOR [17] - 3:24, 5:6, | 20:1, 20:2 | Third [2]-3:7, 51:13 |
| 3:25, 4:13, 5:9, 5:21, | SECRETARY ${ }_{[1]}$ | 5:11, 5:25, 6:10, | storm [2] - 6:5, 6:7 | three [5]-7:1, 23:20, |
| 6:1, 6:11, 6:16, 6:19, |  | 6:13, 6:18, 6:20, | story [4]-5:17, 22:10, | 25:7, 25:9, 26:7 |
| 6:23, 6:25, 7:5, 7:8, | see [13] - 6:4, 7:8, | 6:24, 7:3, 7:7, 7:12, | $22: 14$ | tiny ${ }_{[1]}-38: 13$ |
| 8:21, 9:1, 10:10, | 22:23, 25:8, 32:15, | 11:17, 23:23, 29:24, | straight ${ }_{[1]}-31: 23$ | TO ${ }_{[1]}$ - 1:25 |
| 11:2, 11:7, 11:16, | 33:12, 33:14, 43:14, | 38:18, 39:8 | street $[2]$ - 16:18, 20:2 | today [1]-21:22 |
| 11:18, 11:24, 12:2, | 47:7, 47:17, 48:20, 49:17 | $\boldsymbol{\operatorname { s i g n }}[1]-16: 10$ | Street [7] - 3:17, 4:21, | Tom [1] - 3:22 |
| 12:8, 12:11, 12:15, | seeable [1]-46:25 | simply [1] - 35:1 | 4:22, 4:24, 22:6, | tonight [2]-5:23, |
| 12:19, 12:25, 13:3, | seem [1] - 9:19 | Singh [1]-4:23 | 27:19, 27:23 | 20:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 13: 6,13: 8,13: 11, \\ & 13 \cdot 1413 \cdot 18 \text { 13.2 } \end{aligned}$ | self [2]-41:10, 41:23 | single ${ }_{[1]}-19: 24$ site $[5]-7 \cdot 9,25: 9$ | street-scape [1] - | rn [1] - 32:9 |
| 13:25, 14:2, 14:4, | self-created [2] - | $\begin{gathered} \text { site }[5]-7: 9,25: 9, \\ 26: 1,27: 21,28: \end{gathered}$ | 16:18 | tality [1] - 20:3 |
| 14:6, 14:12, 14:16, | 41:10, 41:23 | situation [1] - 39:7 | Streets [3] - 1:8, 3:7, $51: 13$ | $\text { tally }[1]-22: 10$ |
| 15:2, 15:5, 15:8, | sense [2]-46:5, 49:10 | solid [1] - 5:14 | structural ${ }_{[1]}-8$ : | $\mathbf{w}[1]-10: 24$ |
| 15:18, 16:1, 16:8, | separate [1] - 42:24 | $\text { SOLOMAN }_{[1]}-1: 20$ | structure [5]-12:3, | transcription [1] - |
| 17:6, 17:17, 17:20, | SEQRA [3] - 37:15, | Soloman) [5]-2:17, | $29: 20,30: 12,45: 13,$ | 51:11 |
| 18:3, 18:16, 19:4, | 37:18, 39:17 | 3:3, 3:16, 27:7, 29:4 | 45:14 | $\text { trigger }[1]-44: 18$ |
| 19:11, 19:14, 19:22, | SESSION [1] - 1:5 | solution [1] - 32:24 | stuff [4]-34:14, | true [1] - 51:11 |
| 20:21, 21:3, 22:1, | set [2] - 12:11, $51: 19$ | someone [2]-36:23, | $34: 25,37: 13,43: 22$ | ustees [1] - 36:18 |
| 22:22, 23:14, 24:13, | setback [8]-17:10, | 45:5 | subjective ${ }_{[1]}-10: 7$ | ying [1] - 49:4 |
| 25:6, 25:20, 26:4, | 29:14, 29:18, 30:5 | someplace [2] - 6:8, | submit [1] - 15:13 | turn [2] - 33:1, 49:8 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 26: 20,27: 1,27: 5, \\ & 27: 10,27: 16,28: 1 \end{aligned}$ | 31:16, 38:23, 47:16, |  |  | Two [2] - 4:4, 27:25 |



