

PLANNING BOARD SITE PLAN REVIEW APPLICATION 236 Third Street, Greenport, New York, 11944 (631) 477-0248 **Targenport.org** **Targenport.org**

Date of Application

All information below is to be completed by the applicant. This completed application is to be accompanied by the proposed Site Plan, Copies of Covenants and/or Restrictions, where applicable, Environmental Assessment Form, building plans showing

elevations, setbacks, if	oor plans, room dimension	s, current survey and quality of n	iateriai, where applic	aoic.
THE OWNER O	F THE PROPERTY	IS: (PLEASE PRINT CL)	EARLY)	
				REALITY
MATT	MICHEL	MAIN \$	CENTRAL	r
First Name	Last Name		Business Name, it	
		Greenport	NY	11944 Zip
Mailing Address		City/ Town/ Village	State	Zip
631-	1 1 2 0		C 9	mail.com
Phone #		E-Mail Address	O	
				4 4 4 60
	SON (if different from ve all correspondence:	owner) SAME A	s About	2 ALSO
(VOAN	•	0 5		
First Name	CHAMBEI Last Name		Business Name, if	annlicable
1 inst tvaine	Edst I valide			1197/
		Southold	ru	11.00
Mailing Address		City/ Town/ Village	State	Zip
631			@9	mail.com.
Phone #		E-Mail Address	O	
		R COMPLETES THIS APP TED WITH THIS APPLICA		TEN CONSENT
Location:				
Suffolk County T	Tax Map Number: 1	001 Section:	Block: _7	Lot 24
Street Address:	400 MA	IN ST. Gre	enport, New Yo	ork, 11944
		2 [] PD MCR [] CG pric District? Yes []	No	



Project Information:

PLANNING BOARD SITE PLAN REVIEW APPLICATION

236 Third Street, Greenport, New York, 11944 (631) 477-0248

www.villageofgreenport.org

☐ Residential ► Commercial
Proposed Starting Date: JAN 2025
Project Description: Please describe project in detail. (Use an additional sheet if necessary) TO DEMOLISH EXIST. RESTAURANT ON PROPERTY & BUILD A NEW STRUCTURE TO INCLUDE A BAR & RESTAURANT ON THE IST PL. & APARTMENTS ON THE 2NO & 3º0 PLOORS.
Please check the following boxes for permits this project will require:
➤ Building Permit
☐ Wetlands Permit
☐ Suffolk County Planning Board
□ New York State D.E.C.
☐ United States Army Corps of Engineers
☐ Suffolk County Health Department
☐ New York State Department of State Coastal Flood Management
Does this application require a Zoning Board of Appeals Variance? Yes No

AFFIDAVIT

Village of Greenport)
Town of Southold)
County of Suffolk) ss
State of New York)

I swear that to the best of my knowledge and belief that the statements contained in this application, together with the plans and specifications submitted, are true and complete statements of proposed work to be done on the described premises and that all provisions of the Building Code, Zoning Code, and all other laws pertaining to the proposed work shall be complied with, whether specified of not, and that such work and inspections are authorized by the owner. The Village of Greenport is hereby granted permission to enter the property listed as the "Location" for the purposes of inspecting my property for a site visit. I understand that if approved, Site Plan approval will be granted and accepted on condition that the provisions of Federal, State and Local rules and regulations, and any additional requirements of the Site Plan are complied with. I understand that any approval granted will be deemed null and void if any information pertaining to restrictions and/or covenants prior to the date of this application are discovered after Site Plan approval is granted. Any violation of all applicable codes, or deviations from the approved Site Plan will result in the immediate revocation of this Site plan approval & legal action will be taken against me. No responsibility rests upon the Village of Greenport, Code Enforcement Official or the Fire Department by reason of this application and permit.

Sworn to be before this 6

Signature

Owner or Applicant

1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1

_20 <u>24</u>

Notary Public, Suffolk County, New York

Dawn Johnson

Notary Public, State of New York

No 01JO6349053

Qualified in Suffelk County Contraission Expire: 16/11/20_2

617.20 Appendix B Short Environmental Assessment Form

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information UNAMED RESTAURANT & BAR Name of Action or Project: ADD MAIN ST. GREENPORT Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): Brief Description of Proposed Action: TO DEMOUSH EXISTING RESTAURANT & BUILD NEW RESTAURANT & BAR W/ APAPTMENTS		
THE 2ND \$ 3RD PLOOR.	310	
Name of Applicant or Sponsor: MATT MICHEL / MAIN & CENTRAL E-Mail	e 900	nail. com
Address:	0.	
City/PO: State: Zip	p Code:	
Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.	NO X	YES
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency? If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval: GREENPORT UILLAGE HISTORIC REVIEW, PLANNING BOARD \$ BUILDING DEPT.	NO	YES
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?		
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action. ☐ Urban ☐ Rural (non-agriculture) ☐ Industrial ☑ Commercial ☑ Residential (suburban) ☐ Forest ☐ Agriculture ☐ Aquatic ☐ Other (specify): ☐ Parkland		

5. Is the proposed action,	10	YES	N/A
a. A permitted use under the zoning regulations?		X	MA
b. Consistent with the adopted comprehensive plan?	ᅱ		用
6. Is the proposed action consistent with the predominant character of the existing built or natural		NO	YES
landscape?	r	Ħ	X
7. Is the site of the proposed action located in, or does it adjoin, a state listed Critical Environmental Area	2	NO	YES
If Yes, identify:	. F		1 120
	_	X	
8. a. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels?		NO	YES
	Γ	5	
b. Are public transportation service(s) available at or near the site of the proposed action?	ŀ		
,	1		
c. Are any pedestrian accommodations or bicycle routes available on or near site of the proposed action	n?		X
9. Does the proposed action meet or exceed the state energy code requirements?		NO	YES
If the proposed action will exceed requirements, describe design features and technologies:			50
	-		X
10. Will the proposed action connect to an existing public/private water supply?		NO	YES
If No, describe method for providing potable water:			X
		-	
11. Will the proposed action connect to existing wastewater utilities?		NO	YES
TC NI. day ill and a Committee of the control of th			501
If No, describe method for providing wastewater treatment:	-	Ш	
	-	210	3/50
12. a. Does the site contain a structure that is listed on either the State or National Register of Historic Places?	-	NO	YES
b. Is the proposed action located in an archeological sensitive area?		X	
o. is the proposed action tocated in all archeological scristive area:		X	
13. a. Does any portion of the site of the proposed action, or lands adjoining the proposed action, contain		NO	YES
wetlands or other waterbodies regulated by a federal, state or local agency?		X	
b. Would the proposed action physically alter, or encroach into, any existing wetland or waterbody?	Ì	V	同
If Yes, identify the wetland or waterbody and extent of alterations in square feet or acres:			
	-		
14. Identify the typical habitat types that occur on, or are likely to be found on the project site. Check all		pply:	
☐ Shoreline ☐ Forest ☐ Agricultural/grasslands ☐ Early mid-succession	al		
☐ Wetland ☐ Urban ☐ Suburban VILLAGE COMMERCIAL			
15. Does the site of the proposed action contain any species of animal, or associated habitats, listed	$\neg \neg$	NO	YES
by the State or Federal government as threatened or endangered?		X	一
16. Is the project site located in the 100 year flood plain?	-	NO	YES
		X	
17. Will the proposed action create storm water discharge, either from point or non-point sources?	-	NO	YES
If Yes, a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties? NO TYES	1		1
a. Will storm water discharges flow to adjacent properties?	L	<u></u>	C 3
b. Will storm water discharges be directed to established conveyance systems (runoff and storm drains)	?		
If Yes, briefly describe:			
DRYWELLS ON PROPERTY &	_		
VILLAGE STORM DRAINS			

1	Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)? Yes, explain represented in the impoundment of the proposed action.		NO	YES
-	Yes, explain purpose and size:		X	
19	. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?	d	NO	YES
If	Yes, describe:			
_				
1	. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing completed) for hazardous waste?	ing or	NO	YES
-	Yes, describe:		X	
IA	AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO	OTHER	CST O	FMV
KI	NOWLEDGE			
A _I	pplicant/sponsor name: JOAN CHAMBEES Date: FE	B.62	20	24
	- O'			
oth	estions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the projective available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by apponses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"	No, or small impact may	Moo	derate large pact
1.	Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	occur	00	cur
2.	Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?			
3.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?			
4.	Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?			
5.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?			
6.	Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?			
7.	Will the proposed action impact existing: a. public / private water supplies?			
	b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?			
8.	Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?			
9.	Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?			

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
0. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?		
Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?		
Part 3 - Determination of significance. The Lead Agency is responsible for the completion of Paquestion in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explement of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determinated or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probably provided in the projects. Also consider the potential for short-term, sumulative impacts.	plain why a lease comp have been i nined that th bility of occ	particular lete Part 3. included by ne impact curring,
Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any su	innorting do	Noumantation
that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse important that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse important that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse important that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse important that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse important that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse important that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse important that the proposed action is a significant adverse important that the proposed action is a significant adverse important that the proposed action is a significant that the proposed action is a sig		
environmental impact statement is required. Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any su that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.	apporting do	ocumentation,
Name of Lead Agency Date		
Dame of Lead Agency		

PRINT

Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency

Title of Responsible Officer

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

The Building Department has determined that your project requires a pre-submission conference. We refer you to Village Code §150-31 for a detailed description of the pre-submission conference procedure and the documents to be submitted to the Planning Board as part of the process. To assist in the timely consideration or the pre-submission application by the Planning Board and Planning Board consultants, it is recommended that the required documents, including the documents listed below, be filed at least 30 days prior to the conference.

The following are answers pertaining to the pre-submission document numbered accordingly:

- 1. The proposed project for 400 Main Street will feature an approximate 6,000 sq ft restaurant which includes 2233 sq ft of kitchen space, 900 sq ft of bar space, 1900 sq ft of indoor patron seating and 1200 sq ft of outdoor patron seating. There is also a 1,000 sq ft garage which will house two food trucks for the business. The restaurant will engage in the on premise and take out sales of Italian food, craft cocktails, Beer and wine. Operations for outside catering related to this business will be run out of this location as well.
- 2. This proposed "conditional use" can be justified in multiple ways. This location has existed as a restaurant for at least the last 20 years. The substantial kitchen space proposed will provide adequate storage and prep space ensuring kitchen safety and prompt service to customers. Not having adequate kitchen space is a safety concern for patrons as can be seen in the village currently in the form of kitchen staff prepping outside, sheds being used for prep areas and kitchen storage.

The parking area proposed will provide more parking than 90% of businesses in Greenport. This area will be landscaped with privacy hedging to add beauty to the surrounding area. Currently, parking spaces intersect what should be a sidewalk and cars back out directly into traffic. This plan will not only offer a parking solution but will create additional street parking and will allow the construction of a proper sidewalk.

The proposal of five 2-bedroom apartments will provide the village with much needed year-round housing.

The height and aesthetic of this structure takes into account the surrounding neighborhood and adjacent commercial structures by providing a substantial and thoughtful landscape plan and by matching the height of surrounding structures on Main Street.

- 3. As this is not a change of use, we question whether a community impact report is necessary or not. As this is not a change of use for the property we question the necessity of a community impact report. This is a continuation of the historic use rather than a reformed development project.
- 7. The proposed project would require roughly 50 parking spaces per the new code. This number greatly exceeds the parking provided by nearly every business in the village and is unrealistic in relation to the precedent set within Greenport. Many businesses have no parking whatsoever. We will be adding a significant amount of parking relative to our footprint, creating a safe and reasonable situation, increasing accessibility to town while still being able to use the property for its intended historic purpose. A requirement of nearly 50 parking spaces would render the property non viable as a restaurant, essentially turning into a paid parking lot.