

1 VILLAGE OF GREENPORT  
 2 COUNTY OF SUFFOLK : STATE OF NEW YORK  
 3 -----x  
 4 ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS  
 5 REGULAR SESSION  
 6 -----x

7 Station One Firehouse  
 8 Third & South Streets  
 9 Greenport, New York 11944  
 10 August 20, 2024  
 11 6:00 p.m.

12  
 13 B E F O R E:  
 14 JOHN SALADINO - CHAIRMAN  
 15 DINNI GORDON - MEMBER (Absent)  
 16 SETH KAUFMAN - MEMBER  
 17 DAVID NYCE - MEMBER  
 18 JACK REARDON - MEMBER (Absent)

19  
 20  
 21  
 22  
 23  
 24  
 25

1 (The Meeting was Called to Order at 6:04 p.m.)

2 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Good evening, folks. It's  
3 approximately 6 o'clock, close enough. This is the  
4 Village of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals Regular  
5 Meeting.

6 *Item No. 1 is a motion to accept the minutes of*  
7 *the July 16th, 2024 Zoning Board of Appeals meeting.*  
8 So moved.

9 MEMBER NYCE: Second.

10 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

11 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.

12 MEMBER NYCE: Aye.

13 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

14 Just as an explanation to the public, we have a  
15 member that's incapacitated, that couldn't make it,  
16 and we have another member that's in Europe, so it's  
17 the three of us tonight. We'll try to, we'll try to  
18 do the best we can.

19 *Item No. 2 is a motion to schedule the next*  
20 *Zoning Board of Appeals meeting for September 17th,*  
21 *2024, at 6 p.m., at Station One Firehouse, Third and*  
22 *South Street, Greenport, New York 11944. So moved.*

23 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.

24 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

25 MEMBER NYCE: Aye.

1 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.

2 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

3 *Item No. 3, this is a Public Hearing regarding*  
4 *the application of 181 Fifth Street, LLC. The*  
5 *Applicant proposes a 612 square foot, two-story*  
6 *addition with basement. This requires the following*  
7 *additional variance:*

8 *Side Yard Setback 150-12.*

9 *Minimum Side Yard Requirement: 10 feet.*

10 *This plan shows a side yard setback of 8.7 feet.*  
11 *This would require an area variance of 1.3 feet.*

12 *This property is located in the R-2 One and*  
13 *Two-Family District and is not located -- hello,*  
14 *Ellen. Is not located in the Historic District.*

15 *The Suffolk County Tax Map Number is*  
16 *1001-7-4-19.*

17 And, again, we're going to open the Public  
18 Hearing. The Building Department -- the Building  
19 Clerk is sick, also, so you're going to have to rely  
20 on us for the paperwork. I'm sure there's mailings  
21 someplace. I'm not sure I have them. We -- there  
22 was --

23 MR. MAZZAFERRO: Yeah, we handed them into you.

24 MR. BRIAN QUILLIN: About two weeks ago.

25 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So we have the mailings.

1 Okay, so we have the mailings.

2 (Mailings Attached to End of Transcript)

3 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is the Applicant here?

4 MR. MAZZAFERRO: Nick Mazzaferro, I'm the  
5 Engineer on the project, representing the Applicant.  
6 Applicant is here also, if you have any questions.

7 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Just for the record, Nick,  
8 and for the public that might not have been here the  
9 last time, could you just let us know what's going on?

10 MR. MAZZAFERRO: Basically, this is -- we're  
11 adding a two-story addition to the rear of a house  
12 that was, oh, constructed, I think, 1920s. The house  
13 has been in the same family for over 65 years or  
14 80 years? Sixty-five years.

15 MR. KEVIN QUILLIN: At least.

16 MR. MAZZAFERRO: It's a very straightforward  
17 renovation. We're matching the existing look of the  
18 house, we're matching the existing shape of the  
19 house. The existing house is actually very close to  
20 the south -- north side parking line. It has a main  
21 house.

22 At some point in time in the last 100 years  
23 there was an addition put on, that stepped in 8.7 feet.  
24 So, initially, we thought we would be able to get a  
25 nice design by stepping in 10 feet, not requiring a

1 variance, but it didn't work out. So our bump-out,  
2 which is 24 feet back, we want to align it with the  
3 rest of the existing structure, and we want to drop  
4 the roof line down so we have a nice step in it.

5 It should be noted that this extension does not  
6 require a variance for coverage, it does not require  
7 a rear yard setback, nor does it require the other  
8 side yard setback. So we're just looking to keep the  
9 alignment of the house to get a clean design on the  
10 inside and keep the look the same.

11 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. We kind of remember  
12 from last time that it kind of conformed, and then  
13 the calculations were wrong. And then you had to  
14 come back because we had to add this 1.3 feet --

15 MR. MAZZAFERRO: That's correct.

16 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- to the relief.

17 MR. MAZZAFERRO: That's when we originally  
18 started the design with a 10-foot setback. And so  
19 now, as we progress the design, we realize it really  
20 didn't come out that good.

21 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Has anything else changed?

22 MR. MAZZAFERRO: No. The house had -- we  
23 initially got a demo permit for the front of the main  
24 house, and then we were issued a building permit to  
25 restore the front part of the house, and now we're in

1 for the building permit to do the back part. This is  
2 the extension. All the other work that's going on  
3 there is internal to the existing structure and  
4 permitted.

5 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I'm seeing here on  
6 the file that you gave us last time, I had two  
7 questions about the EAF, simple stuff about the  
8 project being in the 100-year floodplain. You  
9 answered no. We kind of know it is, so --

10 MR. MAZZAFERRO: What's that?

11 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: On the EAF.

12 MR. MAZZAFERRO: Yeah.

13 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: One of the questions is,  
14 "Is the project located in the 100-year floodplain?"

15 MR. MAZZAFERRO: No.

16 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No? We kind of thought  
17 it was.

18 MR. MAZZAFERRO: Oh, it was?

19 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But --

20 MR. MAZZAFERRO: No.

21 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.

22 MR. MAZZAFERRO: It's possible, because, you know,  
23 it's, I don't know, maybe 1,000 feet from the bay.  
24 I mean, I don't know the exact elevation of the  
25 property.

1 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right.

2 MR. MAZZAFERRO: But I know there's lowest  
3 areas over on Sixth Street that are down lower, but  
4 at the same proximity to the bay, but they're -- the  
5 grade level is lower.

6 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. And that's just  
7 something we raised. I'm guessing the Building  
8 Department would have more information. The Building  
9 Department's not here, so we're going to kind of skip  
10 over it.

11 And the other question on the EAF was about the  
12 stormwater discharge. "Will stormwater discharge  
13 flow to adjacent properties?" You answered no. Is  
14 there something on the property to mitigate that or  
15 just --

16 MR. MAZZAFERRO: Well, just the depth of the  
17 backyard. They're going to just naturally drain it  
18 through the backyard.

19 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.

20 MR. MAZZAFERRO: We don't have -- the roof area  
21 doesn't kick the threshold for a natural separate  
22 stormwater, but the backyard's extremely deep. It's  
23 what, 50 feet at least?

24 MR. KEVIN QUILLIN: At least 50 feet.

25 MR. MAZZAFERRO: Yeah, I think we have 50 feet

1 left after the extension.

2 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. Okay. That's all I  
3 have. You guys have any questions for Mr. Mazzaferro?

4 MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.

5 MEMBER NYCE: No.

6 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Is there anyone  
7 from the public that would like to speak? No?

8 (No Response)

9 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. I'm going to make a  
10 motion -- what's the pleasure of the Board, we close  
11 this Public Hearing?

12 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah.

13 MEMBER NYCE: (Nodded Yes).

14 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to make a motion  
15 we close the Public Hearing. So moved.

16 MEMBER NYCE: Second.

17 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

18 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.

19 MEMBER NYCE: Aye.

20 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

21 Item No. 4. I'm going to go out -- I'm going  
22 to go out of order here one second. We have Findings  
23 and Determinations from our -- for, for the last  
24 meeting. I just, I just want to let the Board vote  
25 to accept these findings, and this way we can get



1 that out of the way

2 MEMBER KAUFMAN: How is that out of order?

3 It's --

4 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is it?

5 MEMBER KAUFMAN: -- item 4, right?

6 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I thought it was --

7 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Just making sure.

8 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: No, you're right. I

9 thought it --

10 (Laughter)

11 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I thought it was to open

12 the Public Hearing for 218 Sixth Street.

13 *Item No. 4 is a Motion to accept the Findings*  
14 *and Determinations for Frank Uellendahl on behalf of*  
15 *Sandra Benedetto and Elizabeth Gertz. This property*  
16 *is located in the R-2 One and Two-Family District and*  
17 *is not located in the Historic District.*

18 *The Suffolk County Tax Map Number is 1001-7-2-4.*

19 So moved.

20 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.

21 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

22 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.

23 MEMBER NYCE: Aye.

24 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. The next thing,

25 the next item on the agenda is *218 Sixth Street, to*

1        *reopen the Public Hearing.* I just -- for the benefit  
2 of the public and benefit of the record, I want to  
3 explain what happened.

4                This application came in front of the Zoning  
5 Board last month, last month, and there was certain  
6 relief granted and there was certain relief that was  
7 denied. After we closed the Public Hearing and after  
8 the Board voted, this Board had offered the Applicant  
9 a compromise, how to get one of the variances -- a  
10 compromise on how to get one of the variances  
11 approved. In all fairness to the Applicant, they  
12 couldn't make that decision that night. One of the  
13 applicants were home with COVID, and it was an item  
14 for discussion, that the both of them had to decide  
15 if the compromise was beneficial to the both of them.  
16 The Board saw that and agreed unanimously to allow  
17 the Public Hearing to be reopened. So we voted for  
18 that portion, for the portion of the application that  
19 was denied, a rear yard deck.

20                We agreed unanimously to allow the Public  
21 Hearing to be reopened. The compromise that was  
22 offered was a linear distance from a neighbor's  
23 property, and we -- we're here tonight to reopen that  
24 Public Hearing.

25                I want to remind, I want to remind the

1 Applicant and their agent that tonight it doesn't  
2 make that big a difference, because there's only  
3 three members here. If one of the members dissent,  
4 the application will fail. But if this carries over  
5 to next month, I'm not sure why it would, but if it  
6 did carry over to next month and five members are  
7 present, that decision to reverse the original  
8 decision would have to be unanimous. So I wanted to  
9 get that out of the way.

10 Tonight we're going to discuss -- the only  
11 thing that we can discuss is if we can reverse the  
12 decision from last month. What was offered in the  
13 compromise -- do we have the public notice? What was  
14 offered in the compromise -- the rear deck was on the  
15 property line. The rear deck was on the property  
16 line, and the Zoning Board -- there was an original  
17 building permit. There was a building permit issued  
18 in, in 19 --

19 MR. UELLEND AHL: Ninety-five.

20 MEMBER NYCE: Ninety-five.

21 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Ninety-five. And the  
22 building permit added a covenant to the permit, and  
23 that was that the deck had to be built 5 feet from  
24 the property line.

25 Whoever built the deck, I'm not saying these

1 applicants, the Applicant before, the owners before,  
2 didn't comply with the building permit. There was no  
3 variance given by the Zoning Board. The Building  
4 Inspector took it upon himself to issue this building  
5 permit.

6 The compromise that was offered by the Zoning  
7 Board to the Applicant was to agree -- it was a  
8 10-foot deck with a 5-foot extension. Demolish the  
9 10-foot deck and build a bigger deck that would be  
10 instead of 10 feet wide, 15 feet wide.

11 The compromise that we offered, there was two,  
12 actually. One was to build it on the ground whatever  
13 size you want, however close to the property line you  
14 wanted as a patio, or to build the deck, as it's  
15 noticed, 5 feet from the property line. The  
16 Applicant decided not to take that option, that  
17 option, and the application was denied.

18 We're here tonight with a minor setback.  
19 Should we open the Public Hearing, or should we  
20 explain this, this drawing here first? We have no  
21 Attorney. The Attorney is sick, also.

22 MEMBER NYCE: Don't look to me as Attorney.

23 (Laughter)

24 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: What do you think, Seth?

25 MS. GERTZ: May I just --

1           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Not yet. Should we, should  
2 we address, should we --

3           MEMBER KAUFMAN: I would open it and do it in  
4 the context of a hearing. I don't think we should --

5           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay. All right.

6           MEMBER KAUFMAN: But I'm not a lawyer.

7           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You just play one on  
8 television?

9           MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah.

10          CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You just play one at the  
11 Zoning Board, right?

12                 We have a, we have a new applica -- we don't  
13 have a new application, we have a new drawing from,  
14 from the Applicant's Architect, and there's -- I'm  
15 going to -- we'll discuss this so it's on the record  
16 for the Public Hearing, so this way whatever happens  
17 at the end of this Public Hearing and at the end of  
18 our decision, it will be judicially reviewable,  
19 because it will be part of the Public Hearing.

20                 So we -- the original notice, public notice  
21 carried forward, because it was the same variance  
22 that was, that was originally asked for.

23                 I believe you've provided the mailings last  
24 time? You have the mailings? Or we should have  
25 them, not you, or she should have them.

1           MR. UELLEND AHL: Two were returned, most of  
2 them responded.

3           (Mailings Attached to End of the Transcript)

4           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So having said all that,  
5 we're going to, we're going to open the Public  
6 Hearing. Is the Applicant here? You want to --  
7 someone? Name and address for the Stenographer,  
8 please.

9           MS. GERTZ: Elizabeth Gertz, 218 Sixth Street,  
10 Greenport. Good evening, esteemed Board Members.  
11 Every time I come, I'm a little surprised by something.  
12 Tonight's surprise is that there are only three members  
13 and nobody else, so no, no Clerk or no Attorney  
14 either.

15           I do want to make a few comments. When we were  
16 here last on the -- in July, we requested various  
17 variances for extension of the house and extension of  
18 the deck, and as the Chairperson stated, those were  
19 partially granted and partially denied.

20           At the end of some discussions and negotiations,  
21 and I do want to point out that in addition to the  
22 compromise offers that the Board made, which was to  
23 have a patio and not a deck, and to move the deck  
24 5 feet away from the fence, we also made a compromise  
25 offer, which was to leave the deck the proportions

1       that it is. In other words, we would not extend it  
2       another 5 feet, but we would otherwise leave it along  
3       the fence.

4               I was a bit taken aback last time we were here  
5       by the adamant opposition to our request to extend  
6       the deck by only 5 feet. There's a deck that's  
7       existing there for 30 years. I was in particular  
8       somewhat surprised by one of the main issues that was  
9       brought up, which was the legality of the, of the  
10      existing deck, which was built by a prior owner some  
11      30 years ago, and this issue of the covenant, as  
12      so-called covenant in the building permit.

13              Now, at the end of the, of the hearing, it was  
14      reopened, and my recollection is that it was reopened  
15      for further information or further testimony, and I  
16      have both of those tonight on these issues. What I  
17      had that was not before the Board last time was a  
18      Certificate of Occupancy for the deck. Now -- which  
19      was issued by the same Building Inspector who issued  
20      the building permit. And the Certificate of Occupancy  
21      says very clearly that the deck conforms to all of  
22      the requirements of the applicable provisions of law.  
23      I don't know if you've seen that. If you haven't, I  
24      have a copy, I can share it with you.

25              But what that raises up for me is that there

1 was -- first of all, there was no reason when we  
2 bought the house, having that Certificate of  
3 Occupancy, for us to question the legality of the  
4 deck, there was no reason to think it wasn't okay.  
5 Thirty years later, when we were trying to do  
6 something, we're being told that we have to comply as  
7 a compromise with the 5 feet in the building permit.

8 Well, I'm not sure why we now have to consider  
9 this deck illegal under the circumstances when the  
10 building permit -- you know, whatever the building  
11 permit said, it is the Certificate of Occupancy that  
12 tells us that it is legal. And if it is legal, then  
13 we don't have to concern ourselves so much with  
14 what the -- the building permit is essentially  
15 expired under those circumstances. The construction  
16 was done, nobody said anything about it for 30 years.  
17 Nobody had the Certificate of Occupancy, apparently,  
18 but we do now.

19 And part of my question is if the Board was  
20 willing to accept the building permit with the 5-foot  
21 distance from the, from the fence, why would it not  
22 accept the Certificate of Occupancy by the same  
23 Building Inspector, who must have seen the deck, that  
24 says it's in compliance with all the laws?

25 So that, that raises a question for me, first



1 of all, as to whether there is even a covenant here  
2 that we have to be concerned with, because if the  
3 deck was certified as it is, and the building, the  
4 building permit is essentially done, expired.

5 So I would -- I will share with you the  
6 Certificate of Occupancy, if you'd like to see it,  
7 okay? I'll do that right now. Providing Certificate  
8 of Occupancy signed by Victor Lazar (phonetic),  
9 Superintendent of Buildings.

10 Secondly, though, I tried, in reviewing the  
11 minutes, to understand the general and specific  
12 objections to our moving forward with this project.  
13 This issue of the legality of the deck seemed to be  
14 one of them. Another big issue seemed to be the  
15 impact this deck would have on our next door neighbor.  
16 That, again, the deck has been there for 30 years.  
17 Nobody has complained or had any problems with this  
18 deck.

19 You know, it was -- the Board seemed to  
20 indicate that the neighbors should be entitled to  
21 some relief from that close distance of a deck, and  
22 that the fact that it was an open deck might cause  
23 more problems than an enclosed space. Well, tonight  
24 I actually -- two of our neighbors, the owners of the  
25 property directly next to us have come here in our

1 support, and have told us, and will tell you, that  
2 they have no objection to this deck being on the  
3 property, as it's not on the property line.

4 I'd like to correct one thing, that,  
5 originally, when we were talking about this last  
6 meeting, it was -- seemed to be two inches, or an  
7 inch or something from the property line.

8 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: One-point-three inches.

9 MS. GERTZ: Yeah. It's actually -- the  
10 proposed plan is a foot and an inch, so they're,  
11 you know, still very close, granted, but not quite as  
12 close as it, as it still -- as it seemed.

13 Those, those are the two, two main points I  
14 wanted to add to the record. But I also want to say  
15 now that given the circumstances of no Clerk, no  
16 Lawyer, and missing two Members, I believe it might  
17 be best, and I would request, if possible, that this  
18 be adjourned, this hearing, to be continued next  
19 month, when, hopefully, you'll have a full Board.

20 And I will leave it at that for the moment. I  
21 might actually add some things at another time, if it  
22 does get continued. If not --

23 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Could I respond --

24 MS. GERTZ: Sure.

25 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- to just a couple of

1 things that you said?

2 MS. GERTZ: Sure.

3 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And then, perhaps, my  
4 colleagues will respond. The building permit --  
5 first of all, the Building Inspector doesn't have the  
6 authority to issue a variance.

7 MS. GERTZ: Right. No, I know, you mentioned  
8 that.

9 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, for the public.

10 MS. GERTZ: Yes.

11 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And for the record. The  
12 Building Inspector in 1995, there was a -- there was  
13 a Zoning Code in effect in 1995. The Building  
14 Inspector -- prior to that, you know, preexisting  
15 nonconforming stuff has a different -- you know, we  
16 handled it differently. In 1995 there was a Zoning  
17 Code, there was a code that said you need to build 10  
18 feet from the property line. The Building Inspector  
19 took it upon himself to make a decision that he would  
20 let you have the deck, let whoever built the deck  
21 have the deck, but it would have to be 5 feet from  
22 the property line.

23 The Certificate of Occupancy that you give --  
24 you gave us, issued by the same guy, he didn't know  
25 the rule in 1995, and in 1997 -- now we don't know if

1 the deck was built conforming to the building -- to  
2 the, to the -- to the building permit in 1995, and in  
3 1997 something else was there, and after that, this  
4 deck was built. We don't, we don't know any of that.  
5 We have no -- we haven't --

6 MS. GERTZ: Something else like what?

7 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm sorry?

8 MS. GERTZ: Something else was there?

9 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, the deck might have  
10 been built in conforming with the building permit in  
11 1995, we don't know. And in 1997, when the Building  
12 Inspector, two years later, when he eventually went  
13 back to the make the inspection, in his mind the deck  
14 conformed. We don't know what happened after 1997,  
15 if the deck was extended the additional 5 feet  
16 towards the property line, we have no way of knowing  
17 that.

18 MS. GERTZ: No. I could tell you, however,  
19 that we bought the house in 1999 and there was no  
20 evidence that that deck had been changed in any way  
21 between 1997 and 1999.

22 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, we have these two  
23 documents that say it's -- that say what you're  
24 contending and what's the reality is different.

25 MS. GERTZ: I guess my question, though, is

1 that if you accept the building permit, why wouldn't  
2 you accept the Certificate of Occupancy?

3 MR. UELLEND AHL: Right.

4 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: To be, to be honest with  
5 you, we thought, we thought that what you were asking  
6 for was reasonable, what you -- what we thought we  
7 offered you was a reasonable compromise. The reality  
8 is the variance should be for 10 feet. The deck, the  
9 deck as it is today is illegal.

10 MS. GERTZ: Well, that's a question, isn't it,  
11 if the Certificate of Occupancy says it's not?

12 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The -- once again, the  
13 Building Inspector doesn't have the right to issue a  
14 variance, only the Zoning Board does.

15 MS. GERTZ: Okay. So that should be thrown  
16 out, right? The building permit should not be  
17 considered at all?

18 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But then you have a deck,  
19 then you have a deck that's on -- that's 1.3 inches  
20 from the property line.

21 MS. GERTZ: Right. And we have a Certificate  
22 of Occupancy that says it complies with all the laws.  
23 I mean, I don't know how you can have both, saying  
24 that the building permit is okay and we should work  
25 with that.

1           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're not saying the  
2 building permit is okay.

3           MS. GERTZ: All right.

4           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're not saying that at all.

5           MS. GERTZ: So let's scratch it all, then.

6           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're saying as a courtesy  
7 we were willing to give you a 5-foot variance on your  
8 newly constructed deck. You rejected that compromise.

9           MS. GERTZ: I did, and we offered another,  
10 another variety of that. I mean, the impression I  
11 got, I will say, when I left the last meeting that  
12 there was no wiggle room at all for allowing that  
13 deck to be on -- not on the property line, a foot  
14 from the property line. There was no way that we  
15 were going to get a compromise that allowed any  
16 portion of that deck to be on that -- that close.  
17 And I'm -- you know, I -- and we're here to say that  
18 we need that. We need that at least some distance on  
19 the line of the house. Otherwise, we will have a  
20 5-foot gulch between our deck and the fence.

21           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But the new plan your  
22 Architect just offered us is 5 feet from the property  
23 line.

24           MS. GERTZ: That's right, he did offer that.  
25 And I am here, despite that, to reopen the question

1 of the original plan, which is really --

2 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So you're not in agreement  
3 with this?

4 MS. GERTZ: Pardon?

5 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You're not in agreement  
6 with this plan?

7 MS. GERTZ: I am here to try to reargue the  
8 original plan, because it is really the plan that we  
9 want. And my partner will speak to some of the  
10 issues, personal issues around that, having a deck  
11 that comes out from the back of the house without  
12 having, you know, an asymmetrical piece of it, and a  
13 5-foot approximate drop, or several foot drop that  
14 could cause problems. So for a number of reasons we  
15 are seeking still the original plan. And this  
16 hearing, in my understanding was, from reading the  
17 minutes, this hearing was reopened so that we could  
18 bring new information, and that's what I'm doing.

19 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, it was to bring new  
20 information.

21 MS. GERTZ: New information. The Certificate  
22 of Occupancy is new information.

23 MEMBER KAUFMAN: I would just say perhaps it's  
24 best to put this off next month when there's a full  
25 Board here and when there's the Lawyer here --

1 MS. GERTZ: Yeah.

2 MEMBER KAUFMAN: -- because you're just going  
3 to be telling us and you'll have to say it again next  
4 month.

5 MS. GERTZ: I would --

6 MEMBER KAUFMAN: So I agree with you, I think  
7 there's new information and I think the entire Board  
8 should hear it, that's my opinion. I don't see why  
9 we should make you say it twice, but --

10 MS. BENEDETTO: Let's adjourn it.

11 MS. GERTZ: I would -- yes, we would agree with  
12 that, to put it over another month.

13 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. This Public  
14 Hearing is still open.

15 MS. GERTZ: Okay.

16 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You --

17 MS. GERTZ: Yeah, so -- yeah. So I think  
18 that's a reasonable suggestion, that we put it over  
19 for the entire Board, or hopefully the entire Board,  
20 to be here. I will present all of this again, and  
21 we'll go from there, I guess. We do, as I said, have  
22 neighbors here willing to speak on our behalf. I  
23 don't know if you want to put that in.

24 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're certainly willing to  
25 let them if you're done.



1 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yeah.

2 MS. GERTZ: Pardon? Yes.

3 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you're --

4 MS. GERTZ: I'm done for -- I'm done for  
5 now, yes.

6 MEMBER KAUFMAN: I would just add two things.  
7 One is it would be a good idea to have them speak  
8 now, because they'll be on the record. I don't think  
9 the Board necessarily needs to -- they can read the  
10 minutes. Secondly, there's no guarantee we'll have a  
11 full Board next month with COVID. But I already had  
12 my COVID, so I think I'll be here.

13 MS. GERTZ: Me, too.

14 (Laughter)

15 MEMBER KAUFMAN: I just want to, you know, just  
16 to state it's going around. It's --

17 MS. GERTZ: It is, I understand.

18 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is that it?

19 MS. GERTZ: Okay. I will cede the mic.

20 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you. Is there anyone  
21 else from the public that would like to speak?

22 MR. UELLEND AHL: Well, I would like to speak.  
23 Frank Uellendahl, 123 Central. I just -- since you  
24 granted the addition, which is the major part of this  
25 application, the 5-foot addition of the one-story

1 structure --

2 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Let's -- Frank, before you  
3 go any further, let's, let's clarify it, because the  
4 applications that we get from you are like a little  
5 ambiguous. It's not a 5-foot addition. You're  
6 tearing down a portion of the house and you're  
7 building a new addition onto the house. Can we get  
8 that straight for the, for the --

9 MR. UELLEND AHL: Well, I mean, we're reusing  
10 the existing foundation.

11 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And extending it.

12 MR. UELLEND AHL: Yes.

13 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And new walls, new roof,  
14 new ceiling.

15 MR. UELLEND AHL: Right, right.

16 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So you're building --

17 MR. UELLEND AHL: But it's a mud, it's a mud  
18 room, and it's now an extension for a garden room,  
19 correct.

20 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So let's just, let's just  
21 go over what it is. We're demolishing a portion of  
22 the house and we're building an addition --

23 MR. UELLEND AHL: Right.

24 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- to that house, and that  
25 variance was granted.

1 MR. UELLEND AHL: Right.

2 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Because we made a  
3 differentiate -- we differentiated between indoor  
4 space --

5 MR. UELLEND AHL: Right.

6 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- that would be on the  
7 property line --

8 MR. UELLEND AHL: We separated it.

9 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- as opposed to outdoor  
10 space --

11 MR. UELLEND AHL: Right.

12 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- that would be on the  
13 property line.

14 MR. UELLEND AHL: So my question is can we  
15 actually get under construction with the addition,  
16 set aside the deck, because this will be discussed  
17 next month? Can we get a building permit just for  
18 the addition?

19 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I just, I just signed the  
20 Findings and Determinations for your application.

21 MR. UELLEND AHL: Okay.

22 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I think once the Building  
23 Department gets it, they could issue a building  
24 permit --

25 MR. UELLEND AHL: Okay.

1           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- for the, for the  
2 addition.

3           MR. UELLEND AHL: Yes, good.

4           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: The deck would be --

5           MR. UELLEND AHL: That's what I wanted.

6           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- another, another issue,  
7 you know.

8           MR. UELLEND AHL: And then the deck will be a  
9 separate issue.

10          CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So let me ask you, since  
11 you drew these plans. These plans aren't going to be  
12 submitted? You're not going to submit these to the  
13 Zoning Board?

14          MR. UELLEND AHL: Well, as you heard from the  
15 owners --

16          CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We're okay with it. Whatever  
17 you guys -- it's your application. Whatever you want  
18 to give us, it's your application, we don't write the  
19 application.

20          MR. UELLEND AHL: Right.

21          CHAIRMAN SALADINO: If you tell us that this is  
22 not going to be part of the plan, we won't consider it.

23          MR. UELLEND AHL: Well, then I can change the  
24 plan and not even show the deck and we can move on  
25 with the addition.

1           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, I -- that would be a  
2 Building Department issue. I don't think we would  
3 have to --

4           MR. UELLEND AHL: Okay.

5           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- to see a plan --

6           MR. UELLEND AHL: All right.

7           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- for the addition,  
8 because it's already been approved.

9           MR. UELLEND AHL: Yeah, yeah.

10          CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Now it would be up to the  
11 Building Inspector --

12          MR. UELLEND AHL: Okay.

13          CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- to issue a building  
14 permit.

15          MR. UELLEND AHL: Okay, good. Thank you.

16          CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone else from  
17 the public that would like to speak. Mr. Mayor?

18          MR. KAPELL: Mr. Chairman, Members of the  
19 Board, good evening. My name is David Kapell,  
20 225 Fourth Street in Greenport. I'm acting here  
21 tonight as the agent for my wife, Eileen Kapell,  
22 who's sitting in the audience. Eileen is the  
23 Managing Member of Pipes Cove Greenport, LLC, which  
24 is the owner of property at 220 Sixth Street,  
25 immediately adjacent and contiguous to the

1 Applicant's property.

2 We have absolutely no objection whatsoever to  
3 their building a deck where the existing deck is,  
4 one foot off the property line. They're excellent  
5 neighbors, we've never had any problem with them. We  
6 feel it would be an improvement to the neighborhood.

7 I want to point out a couple of things. Number  
8 one is the discussion was all about, you know, when  
9 the deck got built, where it got built, what permit  
10 it got. The bottom line is the house was built  
11 100 years ago, effectively on the property line. The  
12 chimney, the chimney actually is on the property  
13 line, their chimney, which is outside the exterior  
14 wall of the house.

15 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, they dispute that,  
16 but okay.

17 MR. KAPPELL: Excuse me?

18 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: They dispute that, but okay.

19 MR. KAPPELL: Well, whatever.

20 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We don't care about that,  
21 the house is done.

22 MR. KAPPELL: The point, the point I'm trying to  
23 make is that the conditions that you're dealing with  
24 have been in play for over 100 years. These people  
25 came along in 1997?

1 MS. GERTZ: '99.

2 MR. KAPELL: 1999, and bought the house with a  
3 Certificate of Occupancy, not only for the house, but  
4 for the deck, and relied on that in making an  
5 investment. So if you're suggesting that that deck,  
6 the CO should be revoked for that deck, you're  
7 actually taking away value from -- you're damaging  
8 them.

9 I don't understand the controversy. The line,  
10 the line was established 100 years ago, the house was  
11 placed on the line. The deck was, in a logical  
12 manner, actually, the deck was built as an extension  
13 of the north side of the house. That's what any  
14 rational person would choose. Why this Board would  
15 go against that when there's nobody being damaged by  
16 approving the application is beyond me. We're the  
17 only ones that would be adversely affected and we're  
18 in support of it.

19 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Well, Mr. Kapell, you know  
20 that any variance that this Board gives runs with the  
21 land. So today, tomorrow, when you're not the owner  
22 of that property, that variance is still in effect if  
23 we --

24 MR. KAPELL: I appreciate that. We're not  
25 going anywhere.

1 (Laughter)

2 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay.

3 MR. KAPELL: Yeah, we're not going anywhere.  
4 These are good people, they want to make an improvement  
5 to their property, they're our neighbors, we support  
6 them 100%. I don't understand. And I just don't see  
7 where the, where the Village or the public would be  
8 damaged by giving them the grant, by granting them  
9 the relief they've asked for.

10 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This Board operates by  
11 code, we read the code and we apply the code. A new  
12 portion of our code was just written dealing with  
13 preexisting nonconforming spaces. The old code dealt  
14 with it also.

15 MR. KAPELL: But you're --

16 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We keep, we keep referring  
17 back to the CO. Things sometimes are issued in  
18 error, number one. Building permits are issued in  
19 error. Sometimes people overstep their bounds.

20 MR. KAPELL: Excuse me, sir, I'm -- this is my  
21 chance to speak, isn't it? I'm not --

22 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I just thought it --

23 MR. KAPELL: I'm not looking to engage in a  
24 conversation. I'm trying to --

25 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Okay, that's fine.



1           MR. KAPELL: I'm trying to get something on the  
2 record, okay?

3           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I thought you were  
4 finished, I apologize.

5           MR. KAPELL: No. Your job is not to enforce  
6 the code. Your job is to consider whether, whether  
7 enforcement of the code imposes an unreasonable  
8 hardship on the property owner, and that's exactly  
9 what -- if you wanted a textbook example of a  
10 hardship that was not self imposed, this is it.

11           That line was established 100 years ago, the  
12 house was built on the line. It's your job to be  
13 reasonable and to interpret the code in a manner  
14 that's reasonable to the public, and I suggest that  
15 the way to do that is to grant them the requested  
16 relief. Thank you.

17           CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone else that  
18 would like to speak?

19           MS. BENEDETTO: Yes, I would. My name is  
20 Sandra Benedetto, 218 Sixth Street. Thank you for  
21 allowing us to appear before you today.

22           I wanted to speak a little because I was not  
23 here last month. I kind of was behind closed doors,  
24 because I, too, had COVID, Seth, and so I did not  
25 attend this meeting.

1           I just want to speak from a slightly different  
2 point of view in terms of mobility and ability. One  
3 of the key reasons we were trying to build this deck  
4 outside our backyard and not a downstairs patio is I  
5 have difficulty with a foot and a knee and in walking.  
6 And so when I -- and I've had this conversation  
7 multiple times. When I hear about a deck, and I open  
8 up, I open up glass doors that is part of the design  
9 of this back end of the house that we're trying to  
10 have, and to the left of that door is a gulch,  
11 because I don't have a deck that kind of goes across  
12 the entire back of the house, that is a hazard to me,  
13 and I am concerned about that.

14           I don't want to walk down to a patio, because I  
15 have difficulty doing that. And part of this design  
16 is also to raise the floor so that you may -- so that  
17 we don't have so many steps. There's always a small  
18 step down from your back door to the deck, yes, but I  
19 don't want to have to go down more steps, and that is  
20 partly why we are trying to design this.

21           So when I consider opening up my back deck  
22 doors and seeing empty space, that is a hazard to me.  
23 I have difficulty with balance and difficulty with  
24 mobility. So I think that should be part of this, to  
25 consider that personal hardship in terms of why we

1 want something like this. It's not just for  
2 aesthetics, it's not just to make sure that  
3 everything is lined up properly. There's a real  
4 issue here that I am concerned with if we are not  
5 allowed to extend the deck back around -- across the  
6 full back of the house.

7 So that's the only thing I want to say this  
8 evening. But I do think, you know, we're requesting  
9 an adjournment for next month to have a vote.

10 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Thank you.

11 MS. BENEDETTO: I'm sorry, did you have a  
12 question? Oh, I thought Seth did.

13 MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.

14 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Is there anyone else from  
15 the public that would like to speak? No?

16 (No Response)

17 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right. At the request  
18 of the Applicant, I'm going to make a motion that we  
19 adjourn this Public Hearing to next month. Hopefully,  
20 we'll, we'll have five members. Again, with COVID  
21 it's a crap shoot, we don't know, but we'll do our  
22 best, and -- but, once again, to remind the Applicant  
23 and their agent and the public, that to reverse the  
24 decision that the Zoning Board came to last month,  
25 the vote has to be unanimous. So all five

1 neighbors -- all five members would have to vote to  
2 reverse the decision. So having said that --

3 MS. BENEDETTO: Did we have a decision last  
4 month? I thought there was no decision last month,  
5 because --

6 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: There wasn't.

7 MS. BENEDETTO: -- there was a tie.

8 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: A tie. This Zoning Board  
9 doesn't have original jurisdiction. Ties matter in  
10 special use permits. We don't have that in this  
11 Village. Southold Town has that. So a two-two tie  
12 on a variance is a default, is a --

13 MS. BENEDETTO: Rejection.

14 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- default rejection. So  
15 did I make the motion?

16 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Make it again just to be sure.

17 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to make a motion  
18 that we, we adjourn this Public Hearing until next  
19 month at our --

20 MEMBER NYCE: September 17th.

21 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- September 17th meeting.  
22 It will be at 6 o'clock, it will be at the Firehouse.  
23 Okay.

24 MEMBER NYCE: I'll second the motion.

25 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

1 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.

2 MEMBER NYCE: Aye.

3 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye. We'll  
4 see you next month.

5 MEMBER NYCE: Take care.

6 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Good night.

7 MR. KAPPELL: Thanks very much.

8 MEMBER NYCE: Absolutely. Take care.

9 MR. UELLEND AHL: Thank you.

10 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Sure. Ellen's here, too.  
11 We have, we have one, we have one item in front  
12 of us, it's, it's a motion for a discussion and a  
13 possible vote on 181 Fifth Street. The Suffolk  
14 County Tax Map number remains the same at 1001-7-4-19.

15 What are we thinking hear, folks? We know how  
16 they vote, we know how they're going to vote.

17 (Laughter)

18 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: But I have to ask.

19 MEMBER NYCE: I think that it's -- I don't have  
20 a problem with it.

21 MEMBER KAUFMAN: I don't have a problem with it.

22 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I also. I think it's  
23 fairly routine. It's usual and customary, unlike the  
24 previous application that we handled.

25 So I'm going to, I'm going to make a motion

1 that the Zoning Board of Appeals declares itself  
2 Lead Agency for the purposes of SEQRA, so moved.

3 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Second.

4 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

5 MEMBER NYCE: Aye.

6 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.

7 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

8 We have a five-question balancing test, we're  
9 going to go through it, and we'll vote on the variance  
10 after we vote on -- I don't have it committed to  
11 memory, I have it -- I really should, but I don't.

12 MEMBER NYCE: No. I would worry about you if  
13 you had it committed to memory.

14 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All right.

15 MEMBER KAUFMAN: He's lying, by the way.

16 (Laughter)

17 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: So --

18 MEMBER KAUFMAN: You know it.

19 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: We'll do, we'll do this  
20 balancing test, then we'll vote on the variance. And  
21 just as an explanation, because we heard it from the  
22 previous Applicant, once -- I don't know how soon the  
23 findings or what the Building Department's procedure  
24 is, how soon they have to get the findings. I think  
25 Nick might know better than us.

1 MR. MAZZAFERRO: Yeah. They have 30 days, I  
2 think, calendar.

3 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: They have 30 -- we have 30  
4 days to get the findings.

5 MR. MAZZAFERRO: To them.

6 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: You have five days to get  
7 it to the Clerk and 30 days to sign it, but they  
8 might have a procedure where you could get the  
9 building permit --

10 MR. MAZZAFERRO: Yeah.

11 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: -- right away. I don't  
12 know. I don't want anybody angry at us. There's  
13 enough neighbors angry at us right now.

14 (Laughter)

15 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Question number one is  
16 whether an undesirable change will be produced in the  
17 character of the neighborhood or a detriment to  
18 nearby properties will be created by the granting of  
19 this area variance.

20 (Roll Call By Chairman Saladino)

21 MEMBER NYCE: No.

22 MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.

23 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no.

24 Whether the benefit sought by the Applicant can  
25 be achieved by some method feasible for the Applicant

1 to pursue other than an area variance.

2 (Roll Call by Chairman Saladino)

3 MEMBER NYCE: Yes.

4 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.

5 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Yes, I'll vote yes.

6 Whether the requested variance area variance is  
7 substantial.

8 (Roll Call by Chairman Saladino)

9 MEMBER NYCE: No.

10 MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.

11 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote no.

12 Whether the proposed variance will have an  
13 adverse effect or impact on the physical or  
14 environmental conditions in the neighborhood or  
15 district.

16 (Roll Call by Chairman Saladino)

17 MEMBER NYCE: No.

18 MEMBER KAUFMAN: No.

19 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Seth, no, and John will  
20 vote no.

21 Whether the alleged difficulty was self-created,  
22 which consideration shall be relevant to the decision  
23 of the Board of Appeals, but shall not necessarily  
24 preclude the granting of the area variance.

25 (Roll Call by Chairman Saladino)



1 MEMBER NYCE: Yes.

2 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Yes.

3 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote yes.

4 I'm going to make a motion that we grant this  
5 area variance. So moved.

6 MEMBER NYCE: Second.

7 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

8 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.

9 MEMBER NYCE: Aye.

10 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

11 MRS. QUILLIN: Thank you.

12 MR. KEVIN QUILLIN: Thank you.

13 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Easy-peasy. We have one  
14 other item here, that any other Zoning Board of  
15 Appeals business that might properly come before this  
16 Board. Anybody in the back, anybody got a question?  
17 No?

18 (No Response)

19 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: Then -- are we forgetting  
20 something here? I'm -- this is --

21 MEMBER KAUFMAN: No, we're not. There's a  
22 motion to adjourn.

23 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: This is too easy. All right.

24 (Laughter)

25 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: I'm going to make a motion

1 to adjourn. So moved.

2 MEMBER NYCE: Second.

3 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: All in favor?

4 MEMBER KAUFMAN: Aye.

5 MEMBER NYCE: Aye.

6 CHAIRMAN SALADINO: And I'll vote aye.

7 Thank you, folks, thanks for coming.

8 (The Meeting was Adjourned at 6:50 p.m.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25



**CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT**  
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Certified Mail Fee \$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$

Return Receipt (electronic) \$

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$

Adult Signature Required \$

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$

Total Postage and Fees \$

Sent To **Fredric Hulse**

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. **212 6th ST**

City, State, ZIP+4® **GREENPORT, NY 11944**

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

**CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT**  
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Certified Mail Fee \$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$

Return Receipt (electronic) \$

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$

Adult Signature Required \$

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$

Total Postage and Fees \$

Sent To **Pipe's Cove BPRE LLC**

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. **215 4th ST**

City, State, ZIP+4® **GREENPORT, NY 11944**

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

**U.S. Postal Service™**  
**CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT**  
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Certified Mail Fee \$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$

Return Receipt (electronic) \$

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$

Adult Signature Required \$

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$

Total Postage and Fees \$

Sent To **Kara & Philip Letts**

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. **POB 323**

City, State, ZIP+4® **Breiter Island Heights NY**

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

**U.S. Postal Service™**  
**CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT**  
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Certified Mail Fee \$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$

Return Receipt (electronic) \$

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$

Adult Signature Required \$

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$

Total Postage and Fees \$

Sent To **Peter Luke**

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. **215 Fifth St**

City, State, ZIP+4® **GRPT, NY 11944**

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

**U.S. Postal Service™**  
**CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT**  
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Certified Mail Fee \$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$

Return Receipt (electronic) \$

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$

Adult Signature Required \$

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$

Total Postage and Fees \$

Sent To **GAIL CAMELA**

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. **212 6th ST**

City, State, ZIP+4® **GREENPORT, NY 11944**

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

**U.S. Postal Service™**  
**CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT**  
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Certified Mail Fee \$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$

Return Receipt (electronic) \$

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$

Adult Signature Required \$

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$

Total Postage and Fees \$

Sent To **Ruby & Emil Lanne**

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. **46 DuBois Ave**

City, State, ZIP+4® **Sea Cliff, NY 11579**

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

**U.S. Postal Service™**  
**CERTIFIED MAIL® RECEIPT**  
Domestic Mail Only

For delivery information, visit our website at [www.usps.com](http://www.usps.com)

**OFFICIAL USE**

Certified Mail Fee \$

Extra Services & Fees (check box, add fee as appropriate)

Return Receipt (hardcopy) \$

Return Receipt (electronic) \$

Certified Mail Restricted Delivery \$

Adult Signature Required \$

Adult Signature Restricted Delivery \$

Postage \$

Total Postage and Fees \$

Sent To **PETER JARQUET**

Street and Apt. No., or PO Box No. **211 Fifth St**

City, State, ZIP+4® **GREENPORT, NY 11944**

PS Form 3800, April 2015 PSN 7530-02-000-9047 See Reverse for Instructions

1. Article Addressed to:

Alex Luke  
215 5th ST.  
Greenvort, NY 11944



9590 9402 6301 0274 5132 40

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below:  No

3. Service Type  
 Adult Signature  
 Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
 Certified Mail®  
 Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
 Collect on Delivery  
 Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
 Priority Mail Express®  
 Registered Mail™  
 Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
 Signature Confirmation™  
 Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 2729 6222

Delivery

PS Form

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF ENVELOPE TO THE RIGHT OF THE RETURN ADDRESS. FOLD AT DOTTED LINE

Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Peter Jaquet  
221 Fifth ST.  
Greenvort, NY 11944



9590 9402 6301 0274 5132 33

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature  
X *Peter Jaquet*  Agent  
 Addressee  
B. Received by (Printed Name)  
C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below:  No

3. Service Type  
 Adult Signature  
 Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
 Certified Mail®  
 Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
 Collect on Delivery  
 Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
 Insured Mail  
 Priority Mail Express®  
 Registered Mail™  
 Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
 Signature Confirmation™  
 Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 2729 6215

Delivery

PS Form 38

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF ENVELOPE TO THE RIGHT OF THE RETURN ADDRESS. FOLD AT DOTTED LINE

Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Gail Chumela  
217 6th ST  
Greenvort, NY 11944



COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature  
X *Gail Chumela*  Agent  
 Addressee  
B. Received by (Printed Name)  
C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below:  No

3. Service Type  
 Adult Signature  
 Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
 Priority Mail Express®  
 Registered Mail™  
 Registered Mail Restricted Delivery

RETURNED

1. Article Addressed to:

Fredrick Hutse  
214 6th St.  
Greenvort, NY 11944



9590 9402 6301 0274 5129 91

B. Received by (Printed Name)

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below:  No

3. Service Type  
 Adult Signature  
 Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
 Certified Mail®  
 Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
 Collect on Delivery  
 Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
 Priority Mail Express®  
 Registered Mail™  
 Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
 Signature Confirmation™  
 Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 2729 6246

Delivery

PS Form 3

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF ENVELOPE TO THE RIGHT OF THE RETURN ADDRESS. FOLD AT DOTTED LINE

Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Emil Lanne  
46 Dubois Ave  
Sea Cliff, NY 11579



9590 9402 6301 0274 5132 19

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature  
X *Emil Lanne*  Agent  
 Addressee  
B. Received by (Printed Name)  
C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below:  No

3. Service Type  
 Adult Signature  
 Adult Signature Restricted Delivery  
 Certified Mail®  
 Certified Mail Restricted Delivery  
 Collect on Delivery  
 Collect on Delivery Restricted Delivery  
 Priority Mail Express®  
 Registered Mail™  
 Registered Mail Restricted Delivery  
 Signature Confirmation™  
 Signature Confirmation Restricted Delivery

2. Article Number (Transfer from service label)

7020 2450 0002 2729 6192

Delivery

PS Form 38

PLACE STICKER AT TOP OF ENVELOPE TO THE RIGHT OF THE RETURN ADDRESS. FOLD AT DOTTED LINE

Domestic Return Receipt

SENDER: COMPLETE THIS SECTION

- Complete items 1, 2, and 3.
- Print your name and address on the reverse so that we can return the card to you.
- Attach this card to the back of the mailpiece, or on the front if space permits.

1. Article Addressed to:

Philip & Kara Letts  
POB 323  
Shelter Island Heights  
NY 11965

COMPLETE THIS SECTION ON DELIVERY

A. Signature  
X *Philip & Kara Letts*  Agent  
 Addressee  
B. Received by (Printed Name)  
C. Date of Delivery

D. Is delivery address different from item 1?  Yes  
If YES, enter delivery address below:  No

RETURNED

3. Service Type

Priority Mail Express®