1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
2	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK : STATE OF NEW YORK
3	x
4	PLANNING BOARD
5	WORK SESSION, PUBLIC HEARINGS & REGULAR MEETING
6	x
7	June 20, 2025
8	4:00 p.m.
9	Station One Firehouse
10	236 3rd Street
11	Greenport, New York 11944
12	
13	Before:
14	
15	PATRICIA HAMMES - Chairwoman
16	DANIEL CREEDON - Member
17	FRANCES WALTON - Member
18	ELIZABETH TALERMAN - Member
19	SHAWN BUCHANAN - Member
20	
21	ALSO PRESENT:
22	
23	MICHAEL NOONE - Clerk to the Board
24	BRIAN STOLAR, ESQ Board Counsel
25	

1	CHAIRWOMAN HAMMES: Good afternoon, and
2	welcome to the Village of Greenport's Planning
3	Board meeting for Friday, June 20th, 2025. It
4	is currently 4:03 PM and I hereby call this
5	meeting to order.
6	This meeting is a public meeting and
7	includes both a public hearing in respect of
8	the site plan of the property located at 140
9	Main Street, in respect of the proposal to
10	construct a second and third floor on the
11	portion of the building and improvements
12	located at that property in order to create
13	two new apartments.
14	As a reminder to the applicants and
15	the public, if you are speaking today, please
16	start by slowly and clearly stating your full
17	name and address for the record.
18	In addition, please remember that all
19	comments should be addressed solely to the
20	Planning Board and not to any applicant or
21	other person in the audience. Thank you.
22	The first order of business today is
23	the public hearing in respect of the site plan
24	approval submitted by Robert I. Brown RA on

behalf of Mark & Patty Carlos in respect of

1	the property located at 140 Main Street.
2	This property is located at SCTM No.
3	1001-5-3-18 and it's also located in the C-R
4	Retail Commercial District and the Historic
5	District.
6	The Planning Board officially accepted
7	the site plan application for this property at
8	its meeting on April 28th, 2025, and it also
9	at that time declared itself as lead agency in
10	respect of the proposed development pursuant
11	to SEQRA Rules 6 NYCRR Section 617.(2)(b).
12	In accordance with applicable code we
13	are today opening the public hearing process
14	in respect of this application.
15	As I understand it, this is still
16	pending review and approvals from both the
17	Zoning Board of Appeals and the HPC. We are
18	thus going to limit the discussion to any
19	comments that the applicant wishes to make in
20	respect to the application as well as hearing
21	from anyone in the public that wishes to speak
22	in respect to the application.
23	So I'll first give the applicant, or
24	provide the opportunity to address this Board.
25	MR. BROWN: Robert Brown, Architect,

1	205 Bay Avenue, Greenport.
2	I think actually we kind have been
3	over this quite a bit. I don't really have
4	much more to add to our narrative and
5	commentary, but certainly if anybody has any
6	questions I would be happy to address them.
7	CHAIR HAMMES: Yes, I think this Board
8	at this time is going to reserve on questions.
9	We just got our final report from LKMA, or I
10	did. The full Board hadn't received it
11	yesterday, so I have to share that with them.
12	But we really need to see what happens with
13	ZBA and HPC and then we may have additional
14	questions.
15	I do note that you did get a copy of
16	an LKMA memo that raised a number of
17	documentary items that are going to have to be
18	addressed before the application can be
19	approved or denied, so I assume that you'll be
20	working on those at some point.
21	MR. BROWN: I would appreciate seeing
22	the most recent copy.
23	CHAIR HAMMES: Well, that one we won't
24	share with you. That's just for the Planning

Board. That just addresses issues we may want

1	to consider in approving or denying with
2	proposed mitigants. But I believe you have the
3	memo that came out back in April, I think it
4	was, April 9th, so my understanding is you
5	were holding off on addressing those things
6	pending the ZBA and stuff, which is fine, but
7	I'm just noting for the record that those
8	items will have to be addressed at some point.
9	MR. BROWN: For the record, I believe
10	most of the issues raised have been addressed
11	on the drawing.
12	CHAIR HAMMES: Okay, our consultant
13	says counsel will have to confirm that to us
14	at that time.
15	MR. BROWN: Sure.
16	CHAIR HAMMES: But I don't think,
17	unless any of my fellow Board members have
18	something they specifically, our view is we
19	would rather wait and see how things play out
20	with the Zoning Board and HPC. And obviously
21	there is nobody here from the public today,
22	but the public comment period will stay open
23	for this, so to the extent anybody from the
24	public has anything to say, and then once we
25	see what happens with the ZBA and HPC, if we

1	have any other issues we'll raise those at
2	that time with you, our concerns.
3	MR. BROWN: Understood.
4	CHAIR HAMMES: Does anybody have
5	anything else they want to add to that?
6	(Negative response).
7	So I'll make a motion to leave the
8	public hearing open. We'll have to leave it
9	open pending submission of all these items.
10	Do I have a second?
11	MEMBER WALTON: Second.
12	CHAIR HAMMES: All those in favor? Aye.
13	MEMBER WALTON: Aye.
14	MEMBER BUCHANAN: Aye.
15	MEMBER CREEDON: Aye.
16	MEMBER TALERMAN: Aye.
17	CHAIR HAMMES: Motion carries. Thank
18	you.
19	Seeing as there is no one else wishing
20	to discuss 140 Main, so we'll move on to our
21	next order of business, which I'll turn that
22	over to Elizabeth and Frances to kind of give
23	the Planning Board and the public an update on
24	the Planning Board survey. Maybe you can just
25	give a couple of comments about what it is

just so that that's on the record if there is anybody watching or in the public, and then talk about the update, and then we can see where we go from there.

MEMBER TALERMAN: Sure. We created a survey in order to gain an understanding, evidence, is what I call it, as a researcher, an understanding of the community's desires and priorities with regard to planning for the future of Greenport, specifically in terms of development.

The survey is in five pieces, and it asks questions about whether somebody's a resident, full-time, part-time, business owner or employee. And that is important so that when we are looking at the responses to the rest of the questions, we can look at these responses overall, all people that responded to the survey, and we can look at the responses based on whether you are a 12-month resident renter or a 12-month resident owner of a business or of a residential property.

So the idea is to understand the different kinds of folks that occupy the Village and what their concerns are.

1	The issues that we cover in this
2	survey include commercial and residential
3	property vacancy, mixed-use zoning within the
4	commercial-retail district, commercial ground
5	floors with residential second and/or third
6	floors, accessory dwelling units and parking.
7	Those are the things we covered.
8	And we also left space in each of
9	those sections for open-ended comments so that
10	we could understand nuance, and not whether
11	someone was indifferent or strongly opposed or
12	strongly in favor, but what specifically might
13	be of concern that we should note.
14	I can say that in a community of
15	around 2,000 folks, to be statistically
16	significant and projectable, to have results
17	that are projectable at a 95% rate of
18	accuracy, we need about 385 responses.
19	(Fire Alarm sounding).
20	CHAIR HAMMES: So one question I have
21	for you on that. I don't know enough about the
22	kind of asking the question. For 2,000, I know
23	that's generally what is used for the
24	full-time population of Greenport, but I think
25	that's not family use. I think that's

1	individuals.
2	MEMBER TALERMAN: I don't know the
3	answer to that. So let me figure that out.
4	CHAIR HAMMES: Because it might change
5	the threshold.
6	CHAIR HAMMES: That's what I was just
7	thinking as we're sitting here talking about
8	it. I don't know the answer to that either. I
9	don't know if it would 2,000 people are
10	referring to family units or referring to
11	total population. And if it's population,
12	then it may be that the sample size may be
13	smaller.
14	MEMBER TALERMAN: Yes.
15	MEMBER CREEDON: I thought it was total
16	population around 2,500.
17	CHAIR HAMMES: I think that's total
18	population.
19	MEMBER CREEDON: Yes, it is.
20	MEMBER TALERMAN: So we'll look at, if
21	I can get the census of 18 and above, we'll
22	figure that out.
23	What I also know, from my experience
24	as a professional researcher, is that at about
25	100 people or 25% of, a little more than 25%

of what I would love to get for a response, I
will have directional information. Meaning I
can't say that I've got a 95% confidence
rating that this is projectable across the
whole population, but more so or less so it's
giving us a good solid directional
understanding.

Right now we are at, literally, as of this moment, I'm looking at a screen, we are at 89 respondents. So we are really close to directional. And what I can tell you is of all the people that have responded to this survey, just over 52% are homeowners in the Village. 43% are not only homeowners but they are full-time residents where the address of Greenport is used for tax identification and voting.

About, just over 10% are business owners in the Village, and about 7% are employees. There's some -- and almost 5% are owners of commercial property.

We have had people say "other" and then there is an open-ended question do you want to explain who you are and why you are taking the survey. So there are some folks

1	from Greenport west, part-time resident in the
2	Village of Greenport. So we'll be able to cut
3	the data based on who people are.
4	In terms of the issues of importance,
5	we allowed people to rank from one to five
6	which were the most important issues. Right
7	now, this isn't even truly directional
8	(Siren sounding).
9	(Continuing) so far, and the top three
10	issues are very, very close together in terms
11	of level of importance. People can rank one to
12	five:
13	Commercial and residential property
14	vacancies are currently number one. But by a
15	very small margin.
16	Affordable Housing is number two.
17	And the third is mixed-use zoning for
18	commercial ground-floor with residential
19	second and third floors.
20	Accessory dwelling units and parking
21	were numbers four and five, by a much wider
22	margin. But the top three were really closely
23	clustered.
24	So that's all I can say with any
25	confidence in terms of the results of the

1 survey. What I can also say is we currently have a deadline of June 30th, and given that 2 3 that is ten days away, I want to wait another five days before we say should we extend this 4 5 for another week or two to try get the most 6 people possible. 7 We printed up postcards, these are in IGA and lots of other places around town. We 8 printed a little flier, it's in lots of the 9 10 businesses around town and Village Hall. 11 There are paper copies of the survey. Three of 12 of the responses are paper copies, which I'm 13 putting into Excel spreadsheets with the rest 14 of the results. 15 So I would like, before the end of 16 July, to have a formal report where we make 17 public the answers to all the questions, 18 giving everyone anonymity. There is no name 19 collected, there is no individual 20 identification of any survey response, but I 21 would like to provide a report on the 22 aggregated results. CHAIR HAMMES: So a couple of things 23 24 that I thought about, about this. The first is 25 depending on where we end up on the numbers,

and how much we really want to push on this, 1 and this would be a cost issue that I have to 2 3 take up with the Village. I was not really, I 4 hadn't really focused on this until I was 5 talking to somebody. There is, under the US Postal Service, you can do a direct mailing on 6 7 mailing routes, on the delivery routes within 8 the Village, on postcards, without actual 9 addresses on them, and it costs a couple hundred dollars. 10 11 So if we felt like we were not getting 12 the right outreach by posting this kind of, 13 you know, publicly around the village, we 14 could look into possibly doing that. That 15 would obviously delay us because we would have 16 to get more cards printed up and mail them. 17 But that's just I think depending on where we 18 end up and what what we think the numbers are 19 that we need for the survey, it might be something we should keep in mind if we want to 20 21 do any follow-up surveys. It seems to me that's maybe a good way to reach more deeply 22 23 within the community. So I just throw that out 24 there for people's consideration.

The other thing, in terms of timing,

1	is assuming we get to a point where we think
2	we can have kind of enough to talk about about
3	this, I would need, having looked at our
4	calendar and obviously we can probably have,
5	we can do a special meeting on this, but we
6	have a Planning Board meeting scheduled for
7	the 28th of July, which is a Monday.
8	I was thinking that that might be a
9	good meeting to have that conversation at, and
10	if the Board was willing, I was thinking I
11	might ask the new Affordable Housing Committee
12	to join us at the table for at least that
13	portion of the meeting since the number of the
14	top experts on affordable housing. So I don't
15	know how anybody would have any objection to
16	that.
17	Is there an issue to that from your
18	perspective?
19	MR. STOLAR: No.
20	CHAIR HAMMES: Dan, would you be okay
21	with that?
22	MEMBER CREEDON: I have no objection.
23	CHAIR HAMMES: Okay, so let's
24	tentatively plan, this will also depend on how
25	significant our regular agenda is, because I'm

1	not, unfortunately, I don't think we can push
2	this up over our applications, so if we ended
3	up with a whole bunch of stuff we had to do,
4	and applications and public hearings, we might
5	have to delay this. But let's shoot for the
6	28th, and I'll reach out to Dinni, she's the
7	Chair of the Affordable Housing Committee, and
8	see if she can circle up with her people and
9	we would probably, again, depending on our
10	agenda, we would maybe make that the first
11	thing since we would be having them come in to
12	join us.
13	So let's all tentatively plan on that
14	right now for the 28th. Okay?
15	So that's all I have on that. I don't
16	know if anybody else from the Board has any
17	questions for Elizabeth or anything.
18	MEMBER CREEDON: I have just one. Is
19	there anything that prevents somebody from
20	submitting multiple responses to the survey,
21	since it's anonymous?
22	MEMBER TALERMAN: Yes, it is anonymous.
23	The platform we are using says that it has
24	duplication control. So I picked a platform
25	that I have used professionally in the past so

Τ	that it can't be gamed. I can't tell you now
2	they do it. I can't tell you more than that.
3	But I chose a platform that I have gotten
4	great results from, and was one of the
5	features
6	MEMBER CREEDON: For digital.
7	MEMBER TALERMAN: For digital, yes.
8	But so far we only have three physical.
9	CHAIR HAMMES: But even physical you
10	wouldn't know, because I put physicals up and
11	gave them to Elizabeth, and two of them, when
12	I first looked at them, I thought they were
13	the same. Then I realized they were not.
14	But what I'm just saying is I don't
15	even know if physical copies can address that
16	issue, per se, Dan.
17	Other than giving everybody an
18	identifying number for a survey, which would
19	be frankly cumbersome and really difficult, I
20	think we have to hope that the people will act
21	in the right way, and that if somebody does
22	try to do it, they are not trying to do it ter
23	times and we have enough of a statistic that,
24	you know, I mean this is really more of
25	Elizabeth's area of expertise. I've thought

1	about this but I just, you know, at some point
2	you have to hope that people behave
3	appropriately. But it's a valid question.
4	MEMBER TALERMAN: It doesn't at the
5	moment seem, what do I know, seem like it's
6	creating any kind of agitated hot buttons.
7	There doesn't seem like there is any
8	politicized issues here. So I'm hopeful. I'm
9	hopeful.
10	The last time we did a survey for the
11	town was through the Communications Committee,
12	and it was Vision for Greenport, and we did
13	have someone stand up in the public reporting
14	of that, saying that their mother-in-law took
15	the survey multiple times. And I don't know to
16	what end.
17	So, yes, there are people that are
18	going to do silly things, but hopefully the
19	platform I've chosen mitigates that to some
20	degree.
21	CHAIR HAMMES: Shawn?
22	MEMBER BUCHANAN: No, that's it. I
23	think it will be really interesting. I'm
24	excited about this and I appreciate all the
25	work that you've put into this, and for all

the openness to -- it's exciting.

MEMBER TALERMAN: Yes, I'm excited to have this kind of information that we can share, to make our decisions, we can share with the Board of Trustees, the Zoning Board, the Historic Board and so that we can represent the community as opposed to pass judgment.

CHAIR HAMMES: Yes, I would just say,
everybody watching the tapes, we don't have much
public in here, we have some, but that we really
want people to take this as your opportunity to
speak on these issues. People complain all the
time they are not heard, and we are trying to do
the hearing up front before we start presenting
actual suggestions.

A lot of these topics in here have been going around for years, and, you know, I think we are all kind of tired of the Board of Trustees thinking about something and then somebody comes out of the woodwork against it or has this issue and that issue. And that still could potentially happen, but we would like to hear it now so that we don't do a whole bunch of work that doesn't make a lot of sense, right?

1	So, if you are watching this tape,
2	please fill out the survey, please get your
3	friends to fill out the survey. But do it only
4	once. And if you have an issue finding the
5	survey, stop by Village Hall and they can help
6	you, there are cards with QR code and/or
7	frankly e-mail the Planning Board and we can
8	send you the link, so.
9	MEMBER CREEDON: The QR codes are on
10	the Village website also.
11	MEMBER TALERMAN: There's the QR code
12	on the website, there was, there's this link
13	that you can type that in, it's easy to
14	remember, if anybody is watching wants the
15	link, I'll say it verbally, it's
16	BIT.LY/4JGZAND. That's it. BIT.LY/4JGZAND.
17	MEMBER CREEDON: Easy to remember.
18	CHAIR HAMMES: And, thank you to
19	everybody on the Board for their help. All of
20	you guys have been great in supporting us.
21	It's greatly appreciated.
22	All right, the next order of business.
23	So this is the first time we'll be discussing
24	this, and there is a lot to this. So let me
25	kind of frame this.

The next order of business is a

discussion among the Planning Board members in

respect of the Town of Southold proposed land

use and Zoning Code amendments.

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

As people may or may not be aware, hopefully everybody is aware by now, the Town of Southold is undergoing a comprehensive redraft of their Zoning Code. And there is a draft that is out there, they've been having a series of public meetings. The one for the Greenport hamlet, which is also known as greater Greenport, depending on what you want to call it, or Greenport West, is being held on the 25th, next Wednesday, at Peconic Landing, I believe, at 6:30, I believe, 6:00, 6:30. If you go, there is a website that's called Southold Zoning Update, you can find it that way. I encourage people attend that meeting. I believe that feedback is due relatively quickly on this. And I think they may be extending that in some way.

But in any event, I know that the Board of Trustees is eventually going to have a discussion on this. But I thought given it also fell within the planning area that it may

be worthwhile for the Planning Board to take a
look at those.

And so the idea is to kind of really focus, obviously the zoning code applies to all of the Town of Southold, and as residents out here we care about that, but the part I think that as the Planning Board we should be really most focused on is really the Greenport West or Greater Greenport area and adjacent areas that have a much more direct impact on us, depending on the uses in those areas.

key concerns that I think we all need to think about as we work through this. And when you are looking at it, there is first the question of a lot of the Village on the outskirts is residential in nature, so there is the compatibility of proposed adjacent areas with Greenport village residential areas. And that means looking at those zoning districts that are outside of the village but that apply to residential districts in the village and how compatible or transitional the land uses are. So that's one area to look at.

The next is kind of the

appropriateness of the proposed uses for the 1 2 zones. And there you want to kind of look at, 3 similar to what we done in our code, you have 4 permitted uses, in Southold instead of having 5 conditional uses they have special exceptions, but it's the same idea, and accessory uses. 6 7 And whether those uses seem appropriate or too intense, and if they seem too intense, is 8 9 there another zoning district that might make more sense for a particular piece of property. 10 11 Traffic and infrastructure impacts, I 12 think are a big one for the village to be 13 looking at. As we all know, seeing it today, 14 first day of summer, traffic in and out of the 15 village is tough. We have truck traffic, we 16 have congestion, we have spill-over parking 17 issues. So we are looking again at the 18 proposed zones that are adjacent to the 19 Village and what that potentially might impact 20 in terms of traffic flow. 21 Impacts on local economy. Hopefully, frankly, I think this is the BID, something 22 23 the BID should be taking a serious interest in 24 as well, if I was the BID, because a number of 25 the areas that are adjacent to the Village are zoned either in some form or another as

commercial or marine industry-type uses. And

so you want to look at those, you want to

consider the potential economic impacts of

businesses located outside of the Village on

businesses that are within the Village.

I mean, there's a longterm discussio

I mean, there's a longterm discussion about the area in particular up at the blinking light, which there was a housing and an office project proposed for a few years back. That's so transitional, there's a lot that can go in up there, I think that's changed somewhat, I'm still working through all the changes myself, but that's versus an area where I think if they put a strip mall, I'm not sure that the businesses in downtown Greenport would be really happy. And so hopefully the BID is also looking at this and is thinking about participating.

And then, you know, again, I don't think we are looking at this in any respect in terms of walking development per se, we just want to make sure that there is the correct types of development going on that interface with the Village.

1	So that's kind of an overview at least
2	how I'm looking at this. I'm still kind of
3	getting my arms around it. There's a lot here,
4	I've been trying to do kind of lists if I
5	can borrow this, it's much better than mine
6	I haven't been focused so much on the
7	residential areas that are in greater
8	Greenport, but I've been looking at the M-2
9	districts, the R-L, which is I believe resort.
10	There is a lot of resort areas, there's
11	commercial businesses, there's transition. And
12	so those are the ones at least that I have
13	been looking at. And as I said, I'm still kind
14	of working through my thoughts on this. I
15	think this is an the initial opportunity for
16	all of us to talk about this.
17	So I thought we can just kind of work
18	our way down the row and see what people's
19	initial thoughts are, to the extent they've
20	had a chance to take a look at this at this
21	point, or if they have thoughts about any
22	specific items they think we should be focused
23	on or concerned about.
24	So maybe, Shawn, I'll start with you.
25	MEMBER BUCHANAN: Sure. So, full

transparency, I picked this up a little late
this week, mid-week, and I have not had a ton
of time to spend on it.

But one of the questions I was curious beyond these open sessions is, is there an opportunity where we could come together in a smaller group with their Board and come to a better understanding. I don't know if that's something they would do or we could do, or if that's even legal. But I think some of it could be helpful just in sort of thinking how we are navigating this as neighbors.

CHAIR HAMMES: Well, this is all being handled by their Planning Department and I think their view is the outreach they're doing is the outreach that they're doing. I suspect they are not going to want to — they've had a whole bunch of these sessions including with the businesses and things like that.

We can think about it, you know,
there's a special Board meeting next week,
Board of Trustees and Southold Town Council,
so that might be a valid point to raise at
that meeting as to whether or not there is an

1 ability for us to meet or some subset of us to 2 meet, or Heather can come and speak to that. 3 MR. STOLAR: Technically, since there 4 is nothing that you would be approving, you 5 can meet as a group, you could meet as a full Board if you wanted to, as outsiders that are, 6 7 that have nothing to do with it, but don't 8 relate to your specific jurisdiction. But you can get together as a group, as a Board, 9 10 discuss non-village items, and it's not called 11 a meeting. 12 CHAIR HAMMES: So, it's worth raising. 13 Again, my sense is that they are juggling a 14 lot over there, and I'm not sure we'll need 15 the full Board. But I will put it kind of on 16 my radar screen. 17 MR. STOLAR: Sure. 18 CHAIR HAMMES: Okay, Frances? 19 MEMBER WALTON: In addition to the 20 items that you've outlined, or perhaps related 21 in some cases, one of the things that comes to 22 mind is that there are entryways, that this 23 impacts the entryways into the village, the 24 gateways into the Village, and so I think we 25 should, and again, I also cannot completely

1 wrap my brain around all of the changes that 2 they are making, some of which are name 3 changes, some of which are more substantive 4 changes with respect to zones, and what 5 implications that has for us as a village. But certainly, as you pointed out, you 6 7 know, the gateway into the Village from the 8 North Road, was an issue a few years ago. The gateway into the Village on 25 is something 9 10 that they actually specifically mentioned. 11 So that was top of my, for me, in 12 terms of -- and they are looking at signage 13 and they are looking at buffering and 14 landscaping and things like that, and all of 15 which could have an impact as people come into 16 the Village, you know, give them an impression 17 of the Village. 18 Another one that came to mind that was 19 not, I don't think was included in your list, 20 was the focus on coastal resiliency and what 21

was the focus on coastal resiliency and what
impact, what they're thinking and doing and
will be doing in that respect as information
and potentially guidance for us, but also what
impact that may have on the village waterfront
and coastal access.

1 CHAIR HAMMES: So just on that point, I 2 have to go back and look, but I think they are 3 somewhat punting on that, is my understanding. MEMBER WALTON: I think they are, too, 4 5 but it is part of, they do mention is one of the items that will be addressed later on. I 6 feel strongly that we as a village need to 7 address it sooner rather than later because I 8 9 have witnessed firsthand municipalities that build out, you know, infrastructure along the 10 11 waterfront just to tear it up a few years 12 later because they have to put in coastal 13 resiliency or coastal hardening. And we, as a 14 village, don't want that to happen. And which 15 is in large part why, or I think significant 16 part of why we have been stressing with the 17 Trustees that we need an update, sooner rather 18 than later. 19 Again, it doesn't make sense to do a 20 lot of infrastructure work if it's going to need to be changed, based on those types of 21 issues. In anticipation of instead of reaction 22 23 to. 24 So those were two items, in addition, 25 sewer, and also any potential impact on sewer,

1	I'm not sure if that was on your list or not.
2	CHAIR HAMMES: I didn't mention it
3	before, but it's on my list.
4	MEMBER WALTON: Okay. And I'll leave it
5	at that.
6	CHAIR HAMMES: I think on the sewer
7	point, before I move down to Elizabeth, I mean
8	you have to look at, just taking a step back,
9	I mean, we are not going to be in a position
10	where we can say, well, yes, that should be
11	zoned Marine Two, but we don't like these
12	uses.
13	So what we really need to look at is
14	is this appropriate zoning. The zoning
15	district applies to the full Town of Southold.
16	And so they are not going to tailor it to a
17	specific piece of property, right?
18	But I think, when I think about the
19	sewer, because there are areas adjacent that
20	hook into Greenport sewer, the perfect
21	example, I believe the KOA campground is
22	attached to the Village sewage system.
23	And as we all know, the KOA campground
24	is no longer a KOA campground, it is now the
25	North Fork Cottages and RV park. And they are

1	now selling the cottages up there. And
2	obviously that results in greater use of the
3	sewer than what was originally anticipated.
4	And we have other issues with our sewer, but
5	it's my understanding there is capacity in the
6	sewers subject to infrastructure challenges
7	with it, but at some point the Village Board
8	will have to make some judgment calls about
9	how they want to allocate that relief capacity
10	and whether it should support housing and the
11	like, and this was a big topic about the
12	transitional zone and the like.
13	Another example is I don't think you
14	are going to change the zoning of the Marine
15	Two, but you need to look at that, because if
16	you look at what we did with working
17	waterfront, we took certain uses out, because
18	we really wanted to retain our working
19	waterfront.
20	The Marine Two District for the Town
21	of Southold I believe has some fairly
22	expansive provisions relating to yacht clubs
23	and even restaurants.
24	So that's just, again I don't know if
25	I want to change the zoning or change the

uses, but it's something to think about. And 1 2 to look at the other zones and see is this the 3 right placement for that, are those, or is there some place that might better. 4 5 Again, I don't think we're going to create a new zone, I don't think we'll have 6 much impact on the uses for a particular zone. 7 But really looking as to whether or not the 8 9 piece of property seems to fit within the proposed zone itself. 10 11 And a number of these, by the way, 12 they just change the name largely, and so 13 that's an uphill battle to some extent, too. 14 But I think it's a worthwhile exercise, so we 15 all understand, because I do believe people 16 tend to think that is Southold, this is 17 Greenport, and never the twain shall meet. And 18 the thing is what happens around the Village, 19 how it impacts the village, and vice versa. 20 MEMBER WALTON: There was one other thing that I wanted to mention. And this is 21 also one that they are punting on, which is 22 23 the Historic Preservation Overlay District. 24 So, something for us to be still 25 asking questions about and watching out for as

1	that could impact our thinking as to what we
2	do in the Village.
3	CHAIR HAMMES: Liz, I'll turn it over
4	to you.
5	MEMBER TALERMAN: I don't have much to
6	add other than what has been said. I, in full
7	disclosure, live in proximity to the
8	transitional space off of the North Road, and
9	am vehemently opposed to that being
10	potentially commercial. That, across the way,
11	which is limited business, depends on which
12	map you're looking at and limited business is
13	smack dab in the middle of a residential area
14	And why that is not zoned for residential, I
15	just don't understand it.
16	It seems like it was spot-zoned to
17	accommodate development at one point.
18	Yes, and so, anyway, it is
19	nerve-wracking to me because everything else
20	sort of, other than the marinas that cut down
21	towards Norman Klipp beach, is heavily,
22	heavily residential. So.
23	CHAIR HAMMES: I mean, I would argue
24	that area should have been zoned Hamlet
25	Residential. But that's one of the areas I'm

Τ	very locused on. I'm also locused on even into
2	the Village on the west side, because I think
3	that there's expanded use for hotels and the
4	like there, which I'm not sure I'm against,
5	but you need to think it through.
6	For instance, in the Village now,
7	hotels are a conditional use. So we can review
8	them on a case-by-case basis. And again I
9	don't have things written on my hand to the
10	extent a hotel is a permitted use, for
11	instance, means much less ability to kind of
12	make sure that it really makes sense at the
13	time.
14	So these are just, I think, so we all
15	just need to kind of do more thinking about
16	this and keep thinking about it. This was kind
17	of, again, an initial conversation.
18	Dan, I don't know if you have anything
19	you want to add?
20	MEMBER CREEDON: Not much. The areas
21	around the Village I think are largely
22	wetlands or, are they marked already? And I do
23	share the same concern with that parcel at the
24	corner of 48 and 25.
25	And the other one which not adjacent

to a corporate, but it is in the entryway I 1 2 think to the Village on the North Road, and 3 that's a parcel that has a sign up, on the 4 southwest corner of Albertson and 48, County 5 Road, and I think, forget if it's 56 acres subdivided or 56 units, but it's those two 6 parcels, both on the North Road, neither one 7 8 adjacent to the Village, but at the two of the 9 three entryways into the Village. So I'm concerned with those parcels 10 11 along 25. I don't know that there is a lot of 12 things. That's all knew. 13 CHAIR HAMMES: You can always tear 14 things down. But, yes. 15 MEMBER CREEDON: You can. 16 CHAIR HAMMES: All right, well I would 17 just encourage people to think about it, as I 18 work through it, they send some stuff around 19 for us to talk about at the next meeting. I 20 plan on raising at the Board meeting next week 21 with the Board of Trustees, the question of what their plan is, if any, of addressing this 22 23 with the Town of Southold. And I will pass on 24 any response I get on that at that time. 25 MEMBER TALERMAN: Question. While we

1	are a rianning Board, we are also residents,
2	and so is there any issue in our commenting or
3	do we have to disclose?
4	MR. STOLAR: If you are commenting as a
5	Board member, as authorized by the Board, you
6	have to identify that you are doing so. If
7	not, you are appearing just as a resident.
8	CHAIR HAMMES: There is on the Southold
9	Zoning update website, there is the ability to
10	submit comments. If I hear what you are
11	talking about. And so as I understand your
12	question to be, if you as a resident are
13	concerned about a particular thing, whether or
14	not you can you support that without
15	disclosing that you are a member of the
16	Planning Board, the answer is yes, because you
17	are doing that in your personal capacity.
18	MEMBER TALERMAN: Fantastic.
19	CHAIR HAMMES: The next item up is
20	Business well, one last pitch, if you are
21	around please go to the meeting on the 25th at
22	Peconic Landing.
23	And then the next item of business is
24	an opportunity for anyone in the public to
25	address the Planning Board in respect of any

Τ	other planning of zoning matters in the
2	Village more generally.
3	Does anyone wish to speak at this
4	time?
5	(No response).
6	CHAIR HAMMES: Before I make a motion
7	to adjourn, do any Planning Board members have
8	any other business they wish to raise for
9	discussion at this time?
10	MEMBER BUCHANAN: I did have a
11	question, because as I was reviewing the
12	entertainment permits versus the mass assembly
13	permits, I noticed what I was thinking was
14	the Trustees a little bit, and it seems to be
15	there are more mass public assembly permits
16	coming up, and uses in the parks and things.
17	And looking at the process that the businesses
18	have to go through for entertainment permits,
19	and one of the lines in that talks about if
20	you are using any sort of amplification
21	device, it can't be in the direction, facing
22	the direction of residences.
23	There are multiple parks within this
24	space where that is impossible. And there are
25	applicants who, their applications are going

1	through, without that being addressed, even
2	with that being
3	CHAIR HAMMES: This provision you are
4	talking about is in the mass assembly charter?
5	MEMBER BUCHANAN: Yes, in the mass
6	assembly. Because it doesn't exist in there.
7	And when I brought it up at the last meeting
8	with the Trustees, it just seems to be that it
9	was, maybe just an oversight. But not
10	necessarily thinking about this sort of thing,
11	how to manage it, but my question is with the
12	number of these coming up, and I would have to
13	go back and look at the entertainment permits,
14	I don't remember if that says that whether you
15	are having somebody, if you're having
16	entertainment one day or 365 days. I think
17	either way you are supposed to have the
18	entertainment permit, right?
19	CHAIR HAMMES: I mean, there are some
20	exceptions to the entertainment permit.
21	MEMBER BUCHANAN: So I guess just in
22	general, if this is something that is coming
23	up, is this something that we should be
24	handling both? Is this something we should be
25	looking at? Is it fair to be having

businesses in the C-R, which is the way I read 1 it, primarily, versus, you know, anybody off 2 3 the street, if they get approved, can they do 4 anything without limitation? 5 And I guess I just want to make sure when we are making applicants do sound 6 7 studies, to, you know, appease neighbors. And 8 then, you know, things like that, that we're just, it's consistent. I don't know if anyone 9 10 has any thoughts on that. 11 CHAIR HAMMES: I think mass assembly 12 permits, my understanding, is those are for a 13 specific event, whereas the entertainment 14 permit is good for two years, and frankly you 15 come in the first time and as long as nothing 16 changes, it gets renewed, and it's a mechanism 17 for the Village to control noise. 18 The mass assembly permit is for 19 one-off events, and those are usually handled 20 by the Board. And the Board handles it in 21 Southold as well. 22 And, I mean, so if there is something 23 specific you want to propose for this Board to 24 consider, then we'll go propose it to the

Board of Trustees, and give it all those

1	chapters that have recently been replaced, I
2	doubt there will be much appetite, although I
3	do believe there is potentially going to be
4	some amendments to the mass assembly permit in
5	Chapter, I think Lilly had that, I don't know
6	if they got to it the other night, because the
7	rest of the meeting was not made available
8	online. But only the first part is available
9	online right now. Or at least as of this
10	afternoon. So I don't know if that came up at
11	the meeting, but.
12	MEMBER BUCHANAN: There was a question
13	about that, and there was the but, I don't
14	know, I left around I think 9:45.
15	CHAIR HAMMES: Yes, there was only two
16	hours ten minutes of the meeting before they
17	went into executive session.
18	MEMBER BUCHANAN: Yes. But I think
19	there are some that are annual, there are
20	things that are more than just one day. So, I
21	guess in general it's just understanding and
22	making sure that if we are requiring this of
23	businesses for certain things, that we have
24	some consistencies across-the-board. Because,
25	you know, maybe nobody else feels this way,

but I don't know. 1 MEMBER CREEDON: I'm more inclined to 2 3 agree with what Tricia presented, as the 4 one-off versus permit use, whatever day you 5 feel like it. "Feel like it" sound flippant. I don't mean to say "feel like it." Whatever 6 7 day business is open, I believe they are 8 permitted to. It's a lot of days, probably 9 several days a week for most places. And often times perhaps most business owners are not 10 11 residents. And I'm guessing that the people 12 took care of the mass assembly permits, 13 probably neighbors. 14 CHAIR HAMMES: Not not always 15 MEMBER CREEDON: Not always? 16 CHAIR HAMMES: I think having -- I 17 mean, I don't have the mass assembly permit 18 section here. But whether or not, there was a 19 lot of work done on that last year by the code 20 committee, and there were a lot of things 21 added to that that were not in there, but 22 whether or not those things are being followed 23 through by the Board of Trustees, I can't 24 speak to, but I know it was modeled after 25 other mass permits that apply.

I would say my personal view on the mass assemblies, is I don't actually think that there are that many more than prior years. That still begs the question whether the standards and analysis are being applied or not. And I think that's one of the reasons why there are maybe some changes being made because I think they recognize there may be some things in there they may want to actually, which is counter to what you would want, potentially, but loosen up.

So, I personally think that the Board of Trustees needs to do its job in the mass assembly permit, as well as, you know, sound, and I believe there is some mention of the entertainment permit in there but again, I have not looked at it in a while.

And since it was just redone, and the Board had discussions about it, and they've had discussions about it over a couple of months, I'm not sure they proposed any wholesale changes to it. I most certainly do not want to be in the business of approving mass assembly permits. I mean, we are not actually in the business of approving

Т	entertainment permits, so.
2	MEMBER BUCHANAN: Right.
3	MEMBER CREEDON: Would I be correct in
4	assuming anybody who requires a mass assembly
5	permit, even though there is nothing, I'm not
6	looking at the permit, I haven't looked at the
7	permit, but just based on the conversation we
8	just had, they would still be subject to the
9	noise limits.
10	CHAIR HAMMES: Yes, they are. I mean,
11	there is one example of two years ago where
12	the Board did turn down an application for a
13	mass assembly permit for a block party on the
14	basis it would be too disruptive for the
15	neighborhood. So they are supposed to be doing
16	that analysis when they grant them. But I
17	think that's the judgment of the Board.
18	MEMBER BUCHANAN: I remember it.
19	CHAIR HAMMES: It it was Frances'
20	fault.
21	(Participants laughing).
22	MEMBER WALTON: I was not the
23	applicant, but yes, it was my block.
24	But I think, Shawn, if I understand
25	you, you're saying that we should, or

1	somebody, not certainly the Planning Board,
2	because I tend to agree that those should not
3	come to the Planning Board. But you are
4	saying are there provisions in one
5	MEMBER BUCHANAN: Yes, that could make
6	sense in the other, based on, like for me what
7	I'm not understanding is you can't have
8	amplification 360 to your entertainment
9	permit, but if you are anybody off the street
10	you can have 360 with basically whatever you
11	want, facing residential. And it's just a
12	question. And is it an unfair disadvantage to
13	the business community or is it, I don't know,
14	maybe it's not. But it's a question based on
15	some conversations that we have been having,
16	not people here, but specifically in my
17	neighborhood. But it's just a question.
18	MEMBER WALTON: So you're just looking
19	for sort of consistency
20	MEMBER BUCHANAN: Consistency of
21	process, right.
22	MEMBER WALTON: And, I guess, one of
23	the factors that might make them less, not
24	completely consistent, is the fact that the
25	entertainment permit, as we said, is an

1	ongoing thing versus a one-time of. But I
2	guess the question is if they are going to
3	amend that, are there provisions in one that
4	should be in the other. It's a fair question.
5	MEMBER BUCHANAN: That's all.
6	CHAIR HAMMES: Anything from anybody
7	else?
8	(No response).
9	CHAIR HAMMES: Okay, motion to adjourn
LO	the meeting. Is there a second? .
11	MEMBER WALTON: Second.
L2	CHAIR HAMMES: All in favor?
L3	(ALL AYES).
L 4	Motion carries. Thank you everybody.
L5	(The time noted is 4:55 p.m.)
L 6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)
5) SS:
6	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)
7	
8	I, WAYNE GALANTE, a Notary Public in and for
9	the State of New York, do hereby certify:
LO	THAT the within transcript is a true record
L1	of the proceedings taken on June 20th, 2025.
L2	I further certify that I am not related
L3	either by blood or marriage, to any of the parties
L 4	in this action; and
L5	THAT I am in no way interested in the
L 6	outcome of this matter.
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	
21	
22	Wayne Galante
23	WAYNE GALANTE
24	