1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
2	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
3	
4	REGULAR MEETING AGENDA
5	January 15, 2014
6	5:00 p.m.
7	
8	
9	Meeting held at the Greenport Firehouse
10	236 Third Street, Greenport, New York 11944
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	Douglas Moore - Chairman
14	David Corwin
15	Ellen Neff
16	Charles Benjamin
17	
18	David Abatelli - Village Administrator
19	
20	
21	

1	(Whereupon, the meeting was called to
2	order at 5:00 p.m.)
3	CHAIRMAN MOORE: This is the regular
4	meeting of the Greenport Zoning Board of
5	Appeals. It's just about 5 p.m.
6	We have a Public Hearing tonight for a
7	request for a variance. It is a Public Hearing
8	for an application for an area variance for Jean
9	Stratton, 424D Fourth Street, Greenport, New
LØ	York, Suffolk County Tax Map 1001-6-6-16.
L1	The applicant proposes to construct an
L2	addition to a nonconforming building.
L3	Section 150-12A of the Village of
L4	Greenport Code requires a 30-foot rear yard
L5	setback in the R-2 District. The proposed
L6	second floor addition is 14.2 feet from the rear

- property line, requiring a 15.8-foot rear yard variance.
- Just to note that this was noticed in the
 Suffolk Times. The placard was also placed on
 the property. And the immediate adjoining
 properties were notified, and they are the Long
 Island Railroad Company; Karrie Robinson, 424
 Fourth Street; Laueen Miller, 424 Fourth Street;
 Walter and Candace Tilford. It's on Oak Place,

- 1 Bayville, New York, but one of the adjoining
- 2 properties along the water, it looks like. And
- 3 the previous owner of the subject property was
- 4 notified as Ann Shengold in Greenport.
- 5 As is customary, we usually let the
- 6 applicant or the agent for the applicant
- 7 describe the project, and if you would like to
- 8 do so.
- 9 MR. UELLENDAHL: Okay. Good evening. My
- 10 name is Frank Uellendahl, on behalf of Jean
- 11 Stratton, the owner who bought this house a few
- months ago.

She loves this beautiful private street.
They all have the same house number, 424, and
the because of the view, the view of Shelter
Island, the view of the water, and also because
it's quiet. The train only comes a couple of
times a day.

The house itself is a ranch, a one-story ranch building with three very small bedrooms, facing east, and it's relatively small, and it's not what the owner would like to maintain. She is thinking about opening up the first floor some more, and add her own master bedroom suite upstairs on the second floor.

The plans show where the bedroom is going		
to be. It has a sitting area. It opens up to		
the Shelter Island views with a small terrace on		
the second floor, which would be on top of the		
extended living room.		

The living room right now, there is an enclosed porch, which would be turned into an

- 8 extended living room with a small or9 six-foot-wide wood deck in front of that.
- 10 This is all within the buildable area, but the reason why we're here tonight is that the 11 12 rear yard is supposed to be -- is 30 feet, and 13 the structure is sitting partially in the rear 14 yard. It's only 10 feet off the rear lot line, 15 and so are the two neighbors to the east and to 16 the west. They're actually even closer to the 17 fence.

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And the design actually shows that we are going to set back the second floor another four feet on both sides, so it looks more like a dormer type second floor addition.

And the reason we're here is basically to ask for a variance, area variance, to allow us -- to give us a variance for building in the in the rear yard.

5

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: We'll have the
2 opportunity to speak with the applicant's
3 representative during discussion, but are there

4 any questions right now from the Board? 5 (No Response) 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Thank you. 7 MR. UELLENDAHL: Thank you. 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Any members of the public 9 who would like to speak, come forward. None, it 10 appears. And the -- oh, yes, okay. MS. MILLER: My name is Laueen Miller, and 11 12 I'm also at 424 Fourth street. We had a couple 13 of questions, and I actually spoke with Frank yesterday to get some clarification. Just, I 14 15 think, mostly he satisfied our concerns. 16 Just for your information, we were worried 17 that the intention might be to make a two-family 18 house out of the building, but we've been 19 assured that that's not the case. 20 We were also -- the design that we got by 21 mail differed a little bit, at least visually 22 from our point of view, to what Frank explained 23 to me yesterday in terms of what the intention 24 for the remodeling is. Basically, we don't

really see a problem with that.

1	We were also concerned, since we own the
2	driveway, and the traffic, and we are planning
3	to redo the driveway, which we have maintained
4	over the last 40 years, to make sure that there
5	wouldn't be an inordinate amount of traffic.

And that also during the construction, that given the limited parking available at that particular house, that every effort be made to have the workers park on Fourth Street and not on the driveway, which we have to maintain for the usage of everyone.

So we welcome the new neighbor into the area, and I think, hopefully, what is intended in terms of the modifications will enhance the property, and certainly having a better view of Shelter Island will be, I'm sure, of benefit to the new owner.

Thank you very much. And if you need any further information from me, I'm happy to give it. Thank you.

21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Would there be

22	anybody else who would like to speak?	
23	(No Response)	
24	CHAIRMAN MOORE: If not, then, I would	
25	entertain a motion to close the Public Hearing.	
		7
1	MS. NEFF: So moved.	,
-	MS. NETT. So moved.	
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And a second, please.	
3	MR. BENJAMIN: Second.	
4	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Any discussion on the	
5	matter?	
6	(No Response)	
7	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?	
8	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.	
9	MR. CORWIN: Aye.	
10	MS. NEFF: Aye.	
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.	
12	The Public Hearing is closed, and we can	
13	go into the regular part of the agenda.	
14	I think I'd just like to start off by	
15	saying that this is very similar in principle,	
16	but larger in scale, than a similar variance	
17	that was issued or not issued but it was	

determined by the Zoning Board that an expansion of a house on Sandy Beach Road did not require a variance, because it actually did not increase the nonconformance of the house.

When we were looking at the requirements for setbacks, those are violated because the house already sits too close to the back property line, but this is a preexisting

nonconforming condition.

And I'd just like to read the section of the code that we referenced last time, and that is that it describes a nonconforming as any building which does contain a use permitted in the district in which it is located, but does not conform to the district regulations for lot area, widths or depths, front, side, or rear yards and maximum height, lot coverage or minimum livable floor area per dwelling unit.

That said, there's the next section, which is Part A, is, "Nothing in this article shall be

13	deemed to prevent normal maintenance and repair,
14	structural alteration, moving, reconstruction or
15	enlargement of a nonconforming building,
16	provided that such addition or such action does
17	not increase the degree or create any new
18	noncompliance with regards to the regulations."
19	Our interpretation last time was that
20	because the construction was within the same
21	footprint, that a variance was not required, and
22	I'd like to hear any comments from the Board

Because we've had a Public Hearing, we did

have the opportunity to hear any concerns from

9

- 1 neighbors about the building's increased height.
- 2 It is not going over the 35-foot limit in
- 3 height, and as Mr. Uellendahl said, the lot
- 4 coverage, I believe, is still within the
- 5 allowable amount.

23

6 MR. UELLENDAHL: Correct.

regarding that issue.

- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It is not changing, even
- 8 though the house is getting a bit larger to the

- 9 front, within the permitted building zone.
- 10 Any comments from the Board on that, that
- 11 would feel that that's not appropriate, and that
- 12 a variance would be required for this project?
- MR. CORWIN: I don't agree with you. I
- 14 think it is an increase in nonconforming use,
- just the same as I felt the way on the one on
- 16 Sandy Beach.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Other members of the
- 18 Board, your feelings on this?
- 19 (No Response)
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I think, you know, we
- 21 should try and be consistent. The principle is
- 22 that -- the question is whether an increase in
- volume of the building within the same footprint
- does constitute an increase in nonconformance.
- 25 Mr. Corwin has given the opinion that it does.

1 And how about the rest of the Board?

- 2 MS. NEFF: May I ask a question?
- 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.

- 4 MS. NEFF: I was reading something. In
- 5 the case on Sandy Beach, which I was present and
- 6 accounted for, did we decide it didn't need a
- 7 variance?
- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 9 MS. NEFF: That's what I thought.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: We returned --
- MS. NEFF: I wanted to make sure.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: We returned an opinion
- 13 that --
- MS. NEFF: Okay.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I don't know if I
- have the voting here, whether or not --
- MS. NEFF: That's okay.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- MS. NEFF: You don't have to go to the
- 20 voting. I think --
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: But it was supported, you
- 22 know, with a positive motion and vote as to not
- 23 require a variance.
- 24 MS. NEFF: Right. Okay. You don't --
- 25 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I don't have the

- 1 paperwork here.
- 2 MS. NEFF: That's not necessary. But I
- 3 think that that is my recollection. I apologize
- 4 for not listening carefully, and that I think
- 5 that I also see this, since there -- the
- 6 setbacks are not changing; that I don't -- I
- 7 don't see it as an increase in the degree to
- 8 which the building is nonconforming. It is
- 9 nonconforming, but I don't see -- since we're
- 10 not talking about any changes to the rear lot
- 11 lines, I don't see how it is -- increases the
- 12 nonconformance.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mr. Benjamin, would you
- 14 care to comment?
- MR. BENJAMIN: Well, Section A says,
- 16 "Nothing in this article shall be deemed to
- 17 prevent normal maintenance, repair, structural
- 18 alteration, moving, reconstruction or
- 19 enlargement of a nonconforming building." I
- think that's what it means, nothing shall be
- 21 deemed to prevent enlargement of a nonconforming
- 22 building.

23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. And one thing we 24 have, that obviously there could be in some 25 cases a hypothetical expansion of the building

12

that could become very imposing on neighboring
properties. And I think, in that case, that
would be an issue of building permit as far as
whether there are any other codes violated, and
could be an option for reference to the Planning
Board regarding structural alterations.

So that with everybody having given
opinions, would it be the opinion of the Board

opinions, would it be the opinion of the Board that we have a motion to indicate that a variance is not required in this case, and that a building permit could be issued on the proposed project? Would that be an agreeable if I make that motion?

MR. CORWIN: I think there's two things I
would ask --

16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.

9

10

11

12

13

17 MR. CORWIN: -- before you make that

motion. One is there's no elevation on the

survey or the submitted documents. It's close

to the water. My guess would be that it's out

of the flood zones, but I don't know that for a

fact, so I'm wondering if that's been

investigated.

And the other thing is the question of the utilities. I think the applicant has to answer

13

somehow how they're going to handle the utility 1 2 poles on private property before we proceed, 3 because, as far as I understand, those are all 4 on private property and the Village won't pay 5 for new poles. So it may end up that a neighbor 6 has to pay for a new pole or the applicant has 7 to pay for a new pole and running new wires. 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. Now, when you indicated elevations, you mean the property 9 elevation? 10

MR. CORWIN: It would be the flood elevations, yes.

11

12

13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The flood elevation, as

- opposed to an elevation view of the property?
- MR. CORWIN: That's correct.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, correct. And
- that's something we perhaps, you know, could ask
- 18 you about.
- 19 MR. UELLENDAHL: I can look into this and
- 20 we'll report back to you. I mean, are you
- 21 talking about the grade elevation or the flood
- 22 zone?
- MR. CORWIN: The flood zone.
- MR. UELLENDAHL: Okay.
- 25 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And that would really

only apply to the fact that you're making a

2 modification on the front of the building. That

- 3 wasn't the issue here at ground level.
- 4 MR. UELLENDAHL: Yeah, it doesn't really
- 5 change -- I mean, still, we're not changing the
- 6 existing structure to less of --
- 7 MR. CORWIN: But you're making more
- 8 intense of a use, so I think it's something that

- 9 needs to be considered.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, we can check and
- 11 see what the elevations are.
- 12 The other issue is this whole small
- 13 neighborhood is private property, I understand.
- 14 The Village -- is not maintained by the Village,
- but privately
- MS. NEFF: Right.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And it's my understanding
- 18 that when utility changes are made, that it is a
- 19 cost to the property owners.
- 20 MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes. It has been
- 21 discussed with the owner. And we will bring
- this up again before we apply for a building
- 23 permit, so she understand what's involved. And
- the discussion, for example, with Karrie
- 25 Robinson, who is the owner to the west, has

1 already taken place.

- 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, and it's
- 3 interesting. Many times, if an improvement has

15

4 to occur, I understand, and I can't speak for

- 5 the Village, but sometimes cost has been
- 6 negotiated because it may actually improve the
- 7 electric service. And I notice that the main
- 8 factor that Mr. Corwin pointed out on the site
- 9 visit was that the pole in the neighbor's yard
- 10 very likely is affected by the movement of the
- 11 wires, and that pole would either have to be
- moved or another pole added to extend the
- 13 electric service.
- 14 MR. UELLENDAHL: Correct. And there is
- also the option to possibly bring the service
- down one pole and bring it to the other pole
- 17 underground, so we don't have the issue of
- dangling wires close to the second floor
- 19 addition.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. So, anyway, I
- 21 don't --
- MR. UELLENDAHL: So there is a cost
- involved, which the owner is aware of.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. We might wish to,
- in documenting a decision, should we make that,

1	that it's not necessary for a variance, that it
2	be referred back to the Building Department that
3	the proper, you know, reimbursement, or
4	whatever, would be necessary to in other
5	words, responsibility for the utilities would be
6	on the property being improved.
7	MR. UELLENDAHL: Yes, we will take this up
8	with the Building Department.
9	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So, with those two
10	issues in mind, I would then make a motion that
11	we would like the information regarding the
12	flood zone, that it be supplied to the Building
13	Department, and that the utilities, necessary
14	cost of utilities be discussed, but that the
15	Zoning Board of Appeals has interpreted that a
16	variance is not required for this project. And
17	I would make that motion and ask for a second.
18	MS. NEFF: Second.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And any other discussion?
20	(No Response)
21	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
22	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.

- MS. NEFF: Aye.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 25 Mr. Corwin, opposed?

- 1 MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So we have three in favor
- 3 and one opposed. I'm sorry, I forget to ask
- 4 both sides of the question.
- 5 So we'll prepare a document back to the
- 6 Building Department, that it is our
- 7 interpretation that a variance is not required
- 8 for this project, but there will be some issues
- 9 to discuss with the Building Department.
- 10 MR. UELLENDAHL: Thank you very much.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Thank you.
- 12 So the next item is Item #2, and that is a
- 13 motion to accept an application for an area
- 14 variance, schedule a site visit, and schedule a
- 15 Public Hearing for Steven Sommer, 423 New
- Rochelle Road, Bronxville, New York, 10708, for
- 17 a property located at 216 North Street,
- 18 Greenport, New York, Suffolk County Tax Map

- 19 1001-2-6-8.
- The owner proposes to construct a new
- 21 two-family dwelling on a nonconforming lot.
- 22 Section 150-12A of the Village of
- 23 Greenport Code requires a 15 side yard --
- 24 15-foot side yard setback. The proposed side
- 25 yard setback for the new construction is 11.3

- 1 feet, requiring a 3.7 foot side yard area
- 2 variance.
- 3 The same section of the Village of
- 4 Greenport Code requires a 25-foot combined side
- 5 yard setback. The proposed combined side yard
- 6 setback for the new construction is 21.3 feet,
- 7 requiring a 3.7 combined side yard area
- 8 variance.
- 9 I make that motion to accept the
- 10 application. It does look in order. May I have
- 11 a second, please?
- MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?

- MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- MS. NEFF: Aye.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Charlie, did you say aye?
- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So that
- 20 application is accepted.
- 21 Item #3 is a motion --
- MS. NEFF: Can I ask you a question about
- 23 this?
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- MR. NEFF: Are the requirements any

- 1 different for the setbacks if it's a two --
- 2 remember, this is a -- really, the first thing

- 3 it is is to request that a two-family dwelling
- 4 be constructed on a lot that, if I can read, it
- 5 says it's 45-by-117, the lot itself.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The lot is a substandard
- 7 lot, I believe.
- 8 MS. NEFF: Yes.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.

- 10 MS. NEFF: Yes. Is that -- and the --
- 11 MR. CORWIN: Less than 279 square feet.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The lot.
- MR. CORWIN: And the standard lot would be
- 14 at this point in time 7500 square feet.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Seventy-five hundred
- 16 square feet.
- MS. NEFF: So it's less than half as much.
- 18 MR. BENJAMIN: So it needs two -- three
- 19 variances.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, the variances for
- 21 the footprint of the house, whether one-family
- or two-family, needs a variance --
- MS. NEFF: Are the same.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- for the side yard. I
- 25 believe the front yard setback, even though it's

1 not as deep as the code normally requires, is

2 calculated based on the adjoining properties and

- 3 is permitted.
- 4 Now, the lot coverage, I did not see the

- 5 figures on lot coverage. That may be another
- 6 issue. I'm not sure whether that exceeds the
- 7 allowable amount, but we can research that, and
- 8 we'll have to check and see if that would be a
- 9 required variance as well. Did anyone --
- 10 MS. NEFF: I would think that that
- 11 particularly -- all of that needs to be looked
- 12 at before we say, as is, these are the variances
- we are reviewing.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So perhaps,
- 15 Mr. Abatelli, could we find out by next month
- about the lot coverage, as far as the
- 17 construction, because that may just exceed --
- 18 MR. ABATELLI: Yes. I don't -- I can't
- swear to it, but I remember discussing that with
- 20 Eileen back a ways, you know, early, and I think
- 21 -- I'm pretty sure it was okay. So I don't know
- if we want to completely put this off, or if we
- 23 just want to condition it going forward on if
- there's a problem with the lot coverage.
- MR. SOMMER: So I'm the owner of the

- 1 property.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, okay.
- 3 MR. SOMMER: If I may.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. We're not taking
- 5 public testimony, but if you have any
- 6 information that you could clarify that --
- 7 MR. CORWIN: I don't think we should be
- 8 taking information. I think --
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Maybe we need to
- 10 find out by next month.
- 11 MR. SOMMER: I completely understand that.
- MR. CORWIN: Just the time for a Public
- Hearing is the only thing we need to do.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So we can -- is it
- 15 the opinion of the Board that we should or
- shouldn't accept the application, then, because
- we're going to schedule a Public Hearing?
- 18 MR. CORWIN: I think we should, yes.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah. I think we can
- 20 clear that up. And, if necessary, the Building
- 21 Inspector could repost the information, and we
- 22 can modify the application, should there be an
- 23 additional variance requested.

- 24 So, in that case, we will plan on setting
- 25 up a Public Hearing for the next month, which
- 22
- will be -- February 19th is the normal meeting,
- 2 and that would be at 5 p.m. And we would
- 3 schedule a site visit on the property at, say,
- 4 4:20 for that property? Would that be
- 5 acceptable?
- 6 MS. NEFF: Uh-huh.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And we have accepted the
- 8 application for consideration.
- 9 MR. CORWIN: I think, logistics-wise, if
- 10 we went to lower Main Street first --
- 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
- 12 MR. CORWIN: -- and then to North Street
- 13 second --
- 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So let's --
- MS. NEFF: We're in the neighborhood,
- 16 right.
- 17 MR. CORWIN: -- we would be a little more
- 18 efficient. So why don't we do it that way?

- 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, I agree, that's a
- 20 good idea. So we'll make it 4:40 at North
- 21 Street. We usually take about 20 minutes for
- 22 each site visit.
- 23 Okay. So I think that business is
- completed. We'll move on to Item #4. No, I'm
- 25 sorry, Item #3. Motion to accept an application

- 1 for an area variance, schedule a site visit and
- 2 schedule a Public Hearing for Mark LaMaina, 119
- 3 Main Street, Greenport, New York, Suffolk County
- 4 Tax Map 1001-5-4-34.
- 5 The Applicant proposes to construct a
- 6 restaurant sign, which is directly illuminated,
- 7 and flashing sign.
- 8 Section 150-15G(2) of the Village Code
- 9 prohibits directly illuminated and flashing
- 10 signs.
- 11 This is a sign which is already in
- 12 existence, so this is an "I'm sorry, may I have
- 13 a variance" application.
- 14 Mr. LaMaina, at the restaurant on South

- 15 Main Street, has installed this sign, and we
- 16 will take a look at it next month. And, again,
- 17 we would schedule that site visit for 4:20.
- 18 And so I would make that motion to accept
- 19 this application. It does look in order.
- There's a fair amount of documentation supplied.
- 21 May I have a second, please?
- MR. CORWIN: Second.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- MR. CORWIN: Aye.

- 1 MS. NEFF: Aye.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 3 So that's accepted. And we will --
- 4 MR. CORWIN: Just one note.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 6 MR. CORWIN: The sign should be turned on
- 7 when we visit.
- 8 MS. NEFF: So we're -- but --
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, yes. It is turned

- on currently, so I expect it probably will be
- 11 when we visit.
- MS. NEFF: But, by the time we --
- 13 MR. CORWIN: It's not on now during the
- 14 day.
- MS. NEFF: Well, it might be now because
- it's dark.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, I drove by it this
- 18 afternoon and it was on.
- MR. CORWIN: Okay.
- MS. NEFF: Okay.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So I think that we will
- ask that it be turned on, though, so that we can
- see it when we're there.
- MS. NEFF: Okay, good.
- 25 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So that will be at

1 4:20 next month.

2 And then Item #4 is motion to accept the

- 3 ZBA minutes for December 18th, 2013. So moved.
- 4 Do I have a second?
- 5 MS. NEFF: Second.

- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
- 7 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 8 MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- 9 MS. NEFF: Aye.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. Motion carries.
- 11 Motion to approve the ZBA minutes for
- 12 November 20th, 2013. So moved. May I have a
- 13 second, please?
- 14 MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
- MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 19 MS. NEFF: Excuse me, aye.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Motion carries.
- 21 And now the motion is to schedule the next
- regular ZBA meeting for February 19th, 2014.
- That would be at 5 p.m. So moved. Second,
- 24 please.
- MS. NEFF: Second.

- 1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
- 2 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 3 MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- 4 MS. NEFF: Aye.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. Motion carries.
- 6 And then -- yes?
- 7 MR. ABATELLI: I just want to -- I was
- 8 reading the plan before, so it does look like
- 9 the light coverage, according to the plan there,
- 10 is like 29. something, like one something
- 11 percent.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
- MR. ABATELLI: And I'm pretty sure what's
- 14 required is 30.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thirty.
- MR. ABATELLI: It might even be 35.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. If you would check
- on that so we can modify the application before
- 19 the next meeting
- 20 MR. ABATELLI: So, if it is under, then we
- 21 we'll just still go forward with the Public
- Hearing, but in the notice, we'll include that's
- 23 what it is.

- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah, yeah. It will have
- 25 to be -- the application would have to be

- 1 modified and the public notice would have to be
- 2 appropriate as well.
- 3 MS. NEFF: If I just may inquire.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 5 MS. NEFF: Are the lot -- if there was a
- 6 one-family house, would there be a different set
- 7 of numbers for --
- 8 MR. ABATELLI: There might be. It might
- 9 be 30 and 35. It might be 35 for two-family, 30
- 10 for one.
- 11 MS. NEFF: That's what I --
- MR. ABATELLI: But I'm not sure, because I
- 13 know it was changed, but I'm not sure if I'm
- remembering the old one or the new one.
- MS. NEFF: But we are talking about a lot
- 16 45-by-117, I think, and we're talking about they
- 17 need a variance to build a one-family house,
- 18 much less a two-family house.
- 19 MR. ABATELLI: It's possible.

- 20 MS. NEFF: I think it has to be very
 21 carefully looked at what the setbacks and area
 22 issues are for each scenario.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 24 MS. NEFF: Even though the applicant is
- asking for a two-family.

- 1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. Yeah, 30% for one
- 2 family --
- 3 MS. NEFF: That's what I thought.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- 35% for two-family.
- 5 So it actually gives additional leeway. But the
- 6 setbacks are all exactly the same. And, of
- 7 course, the parking requirement would be for
- 8 three vehicles for this application.
- 9 MS. NEFF: Is there anything about the
- 10 area of the parking area? In other words, what
- are we construing as the width of a car or the
- 12 width of a -- you know, those kinds of things?
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Those are standard.
- 14 MS. NEFF: Well, okay.

- 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I don't know if they're
- 16 code, but they're --
- 17 MR. ABATELLI: They're in the code as
- 18 10-by-20.
- MS. NEFF: For each car?
- 20 MR. ABATELLI: Yes, in a different part of
- the code.
- 22 MS. NEFF: Okay. Again, I think all those
- things need to be looked at.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. So, with that
- 25 discussed, Item #7 is motion to adjourn. So

- 1 moved. May I have a second?
- 2 MR. CORWIN: Second.
- 3 MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
- 5 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 6 MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- 7 MS. NEFF: Aye.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. The meeting is
- 9 adjourned.
- 10 (Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at 5:30

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)

7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and
8	Notary Public for and within the State of New
9	York, do hereby certify:
10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a
11	true and correct transcription of the
12	proceedings taken on December 18, 2013.
13	I further certify that I am not
14	related to any of the parties to this action by
15	blood or marriage, and that I am in no way
16	interested in the outcome of this matter.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
18	set my hand this 27th day of December, 2013.
19	
20	
21	
22	 Lucia Braaten
23	Euctu bi uuteii
24	