1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
2	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
3	
4	REGULAR MEETING
5	February 19, 2014
6	5:00 p.m.
7	
8	
9	Meeting held at the Greenport Firehouse
10	236 Third Street, Greenport, New York 11944
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	Douglas Moore - Chairman
14	David Corwin
15	Charles Benjamin
16	Ellen Neff (Absent)
17	
18	Joseph Prokop - Village Attorney
19	David Abatelli - Village Administrator
20	
21	

1	(The meeting was called to order at 5:05 p.m.)
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. I'd like to open
3	tonight's meeting. This is the regular session
4	of the Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals. It's
5	about five-after-five. We have three members
6	present, so we can have our meeting tonight.
7	We may have an additional member arriving a
8	little bit late, and the Village Attorney is
9	also on his way.
10	We have two public hearings tonight and
11	then our regular agenda. The first public
12	hearing is a public hearing for an application
13	for an area variance for Marc LaMaina, 119 Main
14	Street, Greenport, New York, Suffolk County Tax
15	Map 1001-5-4-34. The applicant proposes to
16	construct a restaurant sign, which is directly

- 17 illuminated, and flashing sign.
- 18 Section 150-15G(2) of the Village Code
- 19 prohibits directly illuminated and flashing
- 20 signs.
- 21 Just for the record, this was noticed in
- the Suffolk Times, along with the other public
- 23 hearing. The placard has been placed in the
- 24 store window. And the adjacent property owners
- 25 that were notified were Arlene Marvin; Harvey,

- 1 Joy; William Claudio, and Greenport Hedges is
- the name. I can't read what has been written
- 3 here.
- 4 MR. CLAUDIO: It's the Hedges Building.
- 5 MR. LA MAINA: It is Greenport Hedges.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: LLC, which is your
- 7 property? No.
- 8 MR. LA MAINA: No. I think it's right
- 9 directly across the street, the Gazebo.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Directly. It looks like
- it's on the same side.
- MR. ABATELLI: It was the --

- 13 MR. CLAUDIO: It's called the -- it used
- 14 to be called the Hedges Building.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The Hedges Building.
- 16 Oh, okay. And so it's Harvey, Joy; Arlene
- 17 Marvin, William Claudio and Greenport Hedges
- 18 LLC.
- 19 (Joseph Prokop entered the meeting.)
- 20 Good evening, Joe.
- MR. PROKOP: Hi.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And so we can proceed
- 23 with the public comments.
- 24 First of all, I'll give the opportunity
- 25 to the owner, the applicant or representative

1 to describe the project and to make any

- 2 comments, and then we'll take any public
- 3 testimony.
- 4 MR. LA MAINA: I put the sign --
- 5 MR. ABATELLI: Name, please.
- 6 MR. LA MAINA: Marc LaMaina.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And, if you would, name,

- 8 and address, and affiliation.
- 9 MR. LA MAINA: I'm the owner of
- 10 Lucharito's.

11 After the summer, we knew we had to do
12 something, because there was pretty much a
13 vacancy on South Main Street. Claudio's had
14 closed, and pretty much we were the only place
15 open to serve food. We were having trouble
16 attracting people to the store.

I took it upon myself, which I now know that I shouldn't have, to go out and get a sign. I was going after an old school looking sign to match the feel of my building and the feel of my store. We put it up and right away we saw an influx in traffic, and it is doing exactly what it's meant to do, bring people into my store, bring people into the Town to spend money.

I just ask you guys to remember that we are open all year long, 365 days, closed one day a week right now. And it is essential that

- 4 we bring people down to South Main Street when
- 5 there's no other business closed and
- 6 Scrimshaw's open two days a week.
- 7 It's not easy to operate a food business
- 8 in Greenport, especially when we're not making
- 9 deli sandwiches. We're different, and I think
- 10 that we have an appeal, and that I think that
- 11 that sign brings an attraction to our store
- 12 that I couldn't get from any other sign. And,
- again, I apologize for doing it without the
- 14 initial review committee. Thank you.
- MR. CORWIN: How do you pronounce the
- 16 name on the sign?
- 17 MR. LA MAINA: Lucharito's.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All right. If there's
- any members of the public that would like to
- 20 speak in regard to the sign? Yes, Mr. Claudio.
- 21 MR. CLAUDIO: Good evening, gentlemen.
- 22 Bill Claudio, 111 Main Street, Claudio's
- 23 Restaurant.
- 24 First of all, I want to say that what
- 25 Marc is trying to do that all of us -- all of

1	us are trying to do and that's attract business
2	down here. We are now a full blown tourist
3	town. All the industries that used to be here
4	are no longer here, no longer viable, not for
5	year-round, not even for summertime sometimes.
6	So the main influx of people is for tourism.
7	They're coming here to enjoy themselves.
8	Those of us that are in the food
9	industry, many of us consider ourselves
10	entertainment. Food is very important, but we
11	need to entertain the people, but you got to
12	get them here. I applaud Marc for at least
13	making an attempt to use a different
14	methodology to be able to do that. We've ofter
15	thought about doing the same thing.
16	When we look at the numerous signs that
17	we do have, that would lend itself to flashing,
18	to movement, to anything to attract the eye
19	down there at nighttime. My big wheel, which
20	is up on the restaurant in the summertime,

can't even be viewed anymore because it's

blocked by the trees as you come in that were
planted. When you drive down the street, you
have a difficult time seeing the sign. So
maybe turning that into a wheel that rotates,

flashing sign, flashing sign down at Crabby

Jerry's so that the lights are blinking. And

every time I thought about that and every time

we discussed it, what came to mind was Las

Vegas. Las Vegas is loaded with blinking

signs, hollering here, "Come here," and all

that jazz.

And I have to say that I've traveled all over this world, first as a fighter pilot flying in many countries, and then in business, traveling throughout the world, the Far East, South Africa, Africa, all throughout Europe, South America, Australia, and I've seen some very, very beautiful places in this world, yet, every single time, in looking at those places, one place kept cropping up and that was Greenport. Of course it holds a special place

- for us, for me, born and raised here, family
 had a business here, which I ended up coming
 back to.
- 21 Greenport is a beautiful, beautiful
 22 little town. It has an aura and a character
 23 all of its own, and that is what is attracting
 24 people to come here. It's a beautiful town,
 25 there are a lot of things to do, and it's

becoming a dining Mecca. People know Greenport now as a place, if you want to get something to eat, something good to eat, you're going to go to Greenport. Where else are you going to go?

There's a few places, but Greenport moves to the top of the pile any time somebody discusses that.

So what Marc is doing is what all of us try to do and that's attract people here. I personally do not have a strenuously strong opposition to the idea. However, I believe that once the door is open, the door cannot be

- 13 closed, and there'll be others coming along
- 14 behind that want to do the same thing. And I
- think the reluctance on the part of the Village
- 16 to not to allow would be exposing them to
- 17 potential court cases.
- 18 MR. CORWIN: Could you say that again? I
- 19 think you had a double negative in there.
- 20 MR. CLAUDIO: Which -- what was the
- 21 double negative?
- 22 MR. CORWIN: A reluctance on the part of
- the Village not to allow.
- 24 MR. CLAUDIO: All right. I'll take out
- 25 the "not".

1 MR. CORWIN: Pardon me?

- 2 MR. CLAUDIO: I'll take out the "not".
- 3 MR. CORWIN: So reluctance on the
- 4 Village --
- 5 MR. CLAUDIO: To continue, right.
- 6 MR. CORWIN: To deny.
- 7 MR. CLAUDIO: Right.
- 8 MR. CORWIN: Which I would interpret as

- 9 the Village should deny.
- 10 MR. CLAUDIO: Well, I think -- you mean
- in this particular case?
- MR. CORWIN: Right.
- MR. CLAUDIO: I merely offer it up to the
- 14 Board. You are the keepers of the key for this
- 15 Village. There are others here that are very
- 16 considerate of how this Village looks and how
- 17 it feels, the aura of the Village, the
- 18 comfortableness of the Village. It is what it
- is and people love it.
- 20 By opening up the door to allow flashing
- 21 signs, and I don't want to bring up Las Vegas
- 22 because that's a little bit on the ridiculous
- 23 side, but it's along that -- along that
- 24 mentality.
- 25 I would like to see Greenport continue to

- 1 maintain its reputation as a delightful,
- 2 beautiful local little village that the people
- 3 up west don't have anymore. They're crowded

out by traffic and getting run over in the

streets, buses, you know, all the activity

that's going. You can't drive down 25 anymore,

it's just one continuous mall. We're not that

and people appreciate it.

So I think the Board, in its

consideration as the keepers of the presence in

Greenport, should value what we have and find

another way for Marc, as we do with all kinds

of different things, web, advertising. We do

everything we can to get people here to spend a

lot of money in this Village. The commercial

establishments spend tens of thousands of

dollars to draw people down here.

That the Commercial District is important to the Village I think is pretty much accepted. The Commercial District generates nearly 40% of the tax of the Village. It pays for almost 40% of the electric plant, and perhaps rightfully so, because it's a big user, and something around 20% of the water usage. So it is paying significant amounts of money into the coffers

- of the Village to keep it operating.
- 2 I just want the Board to consider that
- 3 there may be other ways to do this so that we
- 4 can maintain the wonderful character of
- 5 Greenport and another way to get people in
- 6 here. I'll answer any questions, if you'd
- 7 like.
- 8 MR. CORWIN: What we're after here is
- 9 this is what is defined as a self-illuminated
- 10 sign.
- 11 MR. CLAUDIO: Self-illuminated as opposed
- 12 to?
- 13 MR. CORWIN: A sign that would have a
- 14 spotlight on it, just say a regular painted
- 15 sign with a spotlight. So do you make a
- 16 distinction between a neon sign and a
- 17 self-illuminated sign?
- 18 MR. CLAUDIO: I do not. The flashing is
- 19 what I'm talking about.
- MR. CORWIN: Okay.
- 21 MR. CLAUDIO: The flashing is -- having a
- sign up there that's illuminated, I don't think

- there's any problem with that, that's perfectly
- 24 fine. But to have a sign that's flashing, and
- 25 a lot of people are going to see that that are
- 12
- in the Business District, and they'll say,
- 2 "Well, gees, what a great idea," and they're
- 3 going to start applying to do the same thing if
- 4 you approve this one. And I think you would
- 5 recognize yourselves, as Board Members, you'd
- 6 be hard-pressed to deny. Once having allowed
- 7 it to occur, you're probably going to have to
- 8 continue to approve future signs like that.
- 9 Self-illuminated signs, perfectly fine.
- 10 MR. CORWIN: So, if --
- MR. CLAUDIO: Flashing sign, no.
- 12 MR. CORWIN: If Mr. LaMaina's sign didn't
- flash, but had the lights on it, you would be
- 14 comfortable with that?
- MR. CLAUDIO: I'd have no problems with
- it, of course not. I mean, there's lots of
- 17 signs throughout the Village like that. And

- 18 all the grandfathered neon signs, they're there
- 19 and they're lit, self-illuminated if you wish.
- 20 MR. CORWIN: Well, I think that's what
- 21 the original code was after, neon signs.
- MR. CLAUDIO: Why were they after neon
- 23 signs?
- MR. CORWIN: Well, they were -- they
- 25 defined it at self-illuminated signs. I'm not

- 1 arguing against neon signs, I'm just trying to
- 2 explore this a little, because, as you have
- 3 said, once you say yes to somebody, everybody
- 4 else wants it.
- 5 MR. CLAUDIO: It's highly likely that
- 6 will occur.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And we can have a
- 8 discussion with you, you know, after the public
- 9 testimony. Normally, we take testimony just
- 10 directly from anyone who wishes to speak and
- don't engage too much in conversation.
- MR. CLAUDIO: Fine.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I want to ask you,

- though, since I'm sure it will come up in our
 discussion, your sign, which is the ship's
 wheel, which is illuminated with neon, could
 you explain the process that occurred for that
 sign to be in place and to be relighted? I
 recall it was probably 10 or 12 years ago.

 MR. CLAUDIO: Oh, Lord, no.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Or more than that --
- MR. CLAUDIO: Oh, my gosh.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- that it was
- renovated, along with the store front.
- 25 MR. CLAUDIO: Well, I'd have to check in

with my father, who is no longer with us, and

14

2 let you know the exact -- that sign -- that

3 sign has been up there in one form or another.

4 I do have photos of the restaurant taken back

5 in the '30's and there was a neon sign hanging

6 from the side of the building in the same

7 location. It wasn't the same shape, it was a

8 vertical with an arm coming out. When it was

- 9 changed to the wheel, I don't know, but it's
- 10 been there for 70, 80 years.
- CHAIRMAN MOORE: I remember in more 11
- 12 recent times there was a restoration of the
- 13 sign, either to replace it or to reestablish
- 14 it, where it became lighted again. And I know
- 15 there was an official process, but I don't
- 16 recall what that process was with the Village.
- 17 MR. CLAUDIO: I don't recall.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
- 19 MR. CLAUDIO: All that was done to it is
- 20 to replace the neon bulbs, which had run out of
- 21 the argon, and to paint the sign, which had
- 22 started peeling. I mean, it was just a repair
- 23 job --
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
- 25 MR. CLAUDIO: -- not a rebuild job.

- CHAIRMAN MOORE: I just thought I'd ask 1
- 2 while you were up there.
- 3 MR. CLAUDIO: Sure.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you.

5	MR. CLAUDIO: Sure. Any other questions?
6	CHAIRMAN MOORE: No, I don't believe so.
7	MR. CLAUDIO: Okay. Thank you.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you. Is there
9	anyone else that would like to speak?
10	(No Response)
11	CHAIRMAN MOORE: With that in mind,
12	before closing the public hearing, I'd just
13	like to bring to your attention, there was a
14	letter filed, and it's not too long, so I'll
15	read it, and it's from Amy Martin, who lists
16	herself as a Village resident and taxpayer.
17	And she, I believe, is also a member of the
18	Historic Preservation Board, or has been, and
19	it's regarding application to install lighted
20	and flashing sign on Main Street.
21	"I am writing what I hope is an
22	unnecessary letter of opposition to this appeal
23	before you. The quality and appeal of the
24	Historic District are at stake. There is no
25	room for such precedent to be set. I was

1	seriously disappointed that the neon was
2	allowed to disfigure the antique barn location
3	at Scrimshaw, as I believe the whole Village is
4	only allowed to repair historic neon and not
5	add new, brand new sign, etcetera, building,"
6	and I'm just trying to follow this. "Building
7	or to windows. It was definitely not a loss
8	when the Blue closed and its signs no longer
9	radiated across the bay. There is no place in
10	our Village for this type of signage.

As a bit of history, North Fork Bank was required to replace its signage to reflect the character of the neighborhood. If allowed, there will be continued one-upsmanship of lighted signs and we will look no better than Riverhead in our lack of aesthetic appeal.

As another consideration, if any further needs be added is that many of the businesses rely on upstairs living areas to survive, and those residents should not be subjected to this type of signage. The sign at the Fire Department is a terrible example of what should

- 23 not be allowed within our Village or even town
- 24 limit.
- 25 Please do not consider this application

- 1 for approval. Thank you for your time and
- 2 consideration of my input. Respectfully, Amy
- 3 Martin."
- 4 Well, if there are no other members of
- 5 the public who'd like to speak, I'll entertain
- 6 a motion to close the public hearing.
- 7 MR. CORWIN: So moved.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And may I have a second?
- 9 MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
- MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 14 So the public hearing is closed.
- 15 We can now have our discussion about --
- actually, we should have our second public
- 17 hearing. I'm sorry.
- 18 Second public hearing is public hearing

L9	for an application for an area variance for
20	Steven Sommer, 423 New Rochelle Road,
21	Bronxville, New York, 10708, for a property
22	located at 216 North Street, Greenport, New
23	York, Suffolk County Tax Map 1001-2-6-8. The
24	owner proposes to construct a new two-family
25	dwellina on a nonconformina lot.

Section 150-12A of the Village of 1 2 Greenport Code requires a 25-foot combined side 3 yard setback. The proposed combined side yard setback for the new construction is 21.3 feet, 5 requiring a 3.7-foot combined side yard area variance. 6 7 I should point out that I believe one of 8 the original notices on this had two sections 9 of the code. One was a side yard setback, and 10 that was an error. It's not actually a 11 requirement, since the 10-foot setback does 12 meet the requirement.

MS. WARD: Could you repeat yourself,

15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: There in one of the
16	I believe in last month's agenda, when we
17	described the appeal for a variance, there was
18	the combined side yard requirement, which was
19	deficient. And it was also mentioned that the
20	single side yard setback was deficient and
21	needed a variance. And as it turns out, 10
22	feet is an allowable side yard setback, so that
23	was unnecessary. And this would be, of course,
24	a lesser variance, and so we can proceed with
25	that.

There may be a discussion, though, regarding the lot size itself, which was not posted as a variance requirement, and we'll need to, you know, clear that up before we move forward on that. But I think what we should do tonight is take the public testimony on the current variance and then proceed from there.

So we, first of all, should mention that

this was noticed in the Suffolk Times.

- 10 placard has been placed on the property, as
- 11 required. And the property owners notified is
- 12 Carolie Jenner; Jill Ward and Julia Dickey;
- 13 Sophie Latham and Priscilla Jamieson; Jon
- 14 Mattson and Norma Fraser, I believe. Is that
- 15 correct way around?
- MS. FRASER-MATTSON: Yes.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And we do have the
- 18 receipts for the mailings, and those were the
- immediate property -- adjacent property owners
- 20 who were notified on that matter.
- 21 And as we usually do, the applicant or
- 22 representative may give a description of the
- 23 project and any pertinent features they would
- 24 like to speak about.
- MR. SOMMER: So good evening. My name is

- 1 Steve Sommer, I'm the owner of the property.
- 2 I'm going to be very short. I have a
- 3 representative here that will effectively and
- 4 accurately present the project.

I just wanted to share with you that I've been vacationing in Greenport for about the last 10 or 12 years. As Mr. Claudio indicated very eloquently, I love this city. I'm actually planning to retire here, hopefully within the next 10 to 15 years. And it's kind of my future plans to have a little bit of equity at this particular point in time, so that when I can retire, I can retire comfortably here.

So I'm going to leave it to my representative to explain the project.

MR. PELLICANI: Good evening, Board

Members. Folks, thank you for all of your time
tonight. My name is Paul Pellicani and I am
with Architect's Loft. We're the design firm
working with Mr. Sommer on this project. And
as the Board just previously mentioned, we are
here tonight seeking relief for a combined side
yard setback, of which 25 feet is required.

We're proposing a combined side yard of 21.3

feet, which is approximately 3.7 feet deficient

of the zoning code.

I would like to mention before I present
the project that we had done some research down
at the Village and I can -- I do have a FOILed
search request here, which there are seven
homes within a two to three block radius of
this property which have been approved for a
similar variance within the past three years.
The majority of them are not only including a
combined side yard, but additional variances,
side yard variances, front yard lot coverage,
things of that nature.

And for the record, I would now like to read all 77 pages verbatim. Just kidding.

Sorry. Rough crowd. Whew.

What I would also like to say is that we tried every effort to avoid seeking any variance from the Board. Of course, as the design firm and as the owner, we want to try to blend in as best we can into the neighborhood and the new home feel as if it were always there.

I can tell that we did produce several
studies which would allow a two-family dwelling

22

1 on this property as of right without any variances, and the way that that would be 2 3 achieved would be a two-story structure in the 4 front of the property. This is North Street 5 down here for everyone to see, this is the 6 property. This would be a two-story structure, 7 approximately 1600 square feet, single-family 8 dwelling, and at the back of the property would 9 be an approximately 700 square foot single-family dwelling as a studio type unit. 10 That would be connected by a common corridor, 11 12 and, of course, parking in the back. 13 This proposed scheme meets all of the 14 zoning requirements for an as-of-right permit. So it was certainly an option that we could 15 16 have pursued and not even been here tonight. 17 But we would like to suggest to everyone here 18 tonight is that we felt that was not the best

option for this site in that, inevitably, this
would become a just about front-to-back looking
structure. If we were to look at these
elevations, this is the front of the house, and
with the required front yard setback, and all
the way down at the end of property about is
the back of the house within the required

setback for that piece.

So it's just something I wanted to express to the Board and everyone here tonight that may have an interest that we are trying to, you know, make this the best possible project for the neighborhood, as well as Mr. Sommer.

That being said, we are presenting a two-story dwelling, which we feel is very much in context with the homes in the neighborhood that appears it was always there, and essentially will be a two-family dwelling, as mentioned, with two two-bedroom apartments on each level, which will be in the back of the

home, then the required rear yard area parking
for the required number of automobiles, which
would have been either case in either scheme.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

And so we feel that the request that we are making tonight is not substantial in nature. We feel that it is in context with the spirit and harmony of the surrounding homes in the area, and that this project could have been achieved without variance in what we feel was a less appropriate design for the neighborhood, and, of course, that there are several homes in

- 1 the area that have received similar approval
- 2 from this Board within the past three years.
- 3 So, with that, I conclude my presentation
- 4 and welcome any questions you may have.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I would ask a question
- 6 about the plans for the front setback --
- 7 MR. PELLICANI: Yeah.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- whether that's based
- 9 on the adjacent properties' setbacks and the

- 10 allowances for that?
- 11 MR. PELLICANI: It is. The home, as you
- can see, has a covered front porch across the
- front of the home, again, a consistent feel
- 14 with the flavor of the rest of the area, and
- there is a 15-foot front yard setback to the
- 16 porch. The dwelling itself, the mass of the
- 17 house is a 20-foot front yard setback, both of
- 18 which, as we were told by the Building
- 19 Department, comply with the zoning requirement.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Normally it's 30, but
- 21 because of adjacent properties --
- MR. PELLICANI: That's correct.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- you can -- that's
- 24 allowable.
- 25 MR. PELLICANI: That's right.

- 1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So it does meet the
- 2 calculation, then.
- 3 MR. PELLICANI: That was their
- 4 determination.
- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: One of the questions

that obviously comes up is the three parking

places in the back, and this being may be more

a planning issue than a zoning issue. How are

you calculating the size of the parking places

and the accessibility for them?

MR. PELLICANI: I believe it was one-and-a-half spaces per dwelling unit.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: But, as far as the spaces, the dimension of the parking spaces.

MR. PELLICANI: Oh, as far as the spaces themselves? Yeah. That was based upon an industry standard, essentially. So 27 feet would allow for an approximate nine-foot stall width per vehicle, which is a common standard in most municipalities here in the Island, at least in Suffolk and Nassau County, as well as nationally, by a depth of approximately 20 feet, which would then also include a backup turnaround space to be able to navigate, back out the driveway facing forward, rather than

- 1 having to back the car out, you know, in
- 2 reverse all the way up the driveway.
- 3 MR. PROKOP: What is on this property
- 4 now?
- 5 MR. PELLICANI: It is currently vacant.
- 6 It was a dwelling that was there how long ago?
- 7 MR. SOMMER: It was a single-family
- 8 dwelling when I purchased it, I would say,
- 9 approximately four years ago.
- 10 MR. PROKOP: All right.
- 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: One of the questions
- 12 that comes up is that the lot size is
- 13 substandard.
- 14 MR. PROKOP: Well, you know, there's a
- couple of issues with this application. Do you
- 16 want to go into that now?
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Would it affect public
- 18 comment, do you believe, or should we continue?
- MR. PROKOP: Well, the thing is we
- 20 can't -- we can't really -- if you asked me the
- 21 question, my advice to you is that we can't
- 22 really proceed because there's at least two
- variances that are not included, one is the lot

- 24 size, and the second is the number of parking
- 25 spaces and --

- 1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Which is required to be
- 2 three.
- 3 MR. PROKOP: Which is required to be
- 4 three. And then I only see two in the plans.
- 5 I mean, I'm not sure if there's a third one,
- 6 but the -- and then the second, there has been
- 7 -- I'm sorry, I see three in your plans. I
- 8 apologize.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 10 MR. PROKOP: There has been an
- interpretation at a prior meeting, and I have
- to go back and find out where this was, but
- that you cannot go from zero to two-family.
- 14 You can go from one-family to two-family, but
- 15 you can't go from zero to two-family, but I
- 16 have to go back and figure out where that --
- 17 where that came up. It was a recent
- 18 application. But, definitely, aside from that,
- 19 there's definitely an issue with the lot size.

- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
- 21 MR. PROKOP: And you need 7500 square
- 22 feet.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: How would you propose
- we'd proceed, then, is to renotice?
- 25 MR. PROKOP: It would have to be

- 1 renoticed.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And then continued next
- 3 month?
- 4 MR. PROKOP: Yes. Did the Building
- 5 Inspector have a different interpretation on
- 6 this?
- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I don't believe it
- 8 came --
- 9 MR. PROKOP: I don't know how it got to
- 10 us without --
- 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It didn't come up. An
- 12 existing house would be able to be modified --
- 13 MR. PROKOP: No, but I mean the lot size.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- as a substandard lot,

- but it may still require a variance regarding
- 16 lot size. So this is one of the issues that's
- just come up.
- MR. PELLICANI: Are you referring to the
- 19 square footage of the lot size only?
- 20 MR. PROKOP: Yes.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 22 MR. PELLICANI: Is that the only
- 23 consideration, 7500?
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The required is 7500,
- 25 and this -- we were discussing this at the site

1 visit and that it has not come up in the

- 2 discussion.
- 3 MR. CORWIN: Probably 279.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It's fifty-two hundred
- 5 square feet, which is a substandard lot. So
- 6 that we would basically have to ask you to
- 7 refile your application for variance, or to
- 8 discuss it with the Building Inspector and
- 9 discuss whether that should have been denied on
- 10 that basis.

MR. PELLICANI: Well, I know we had 11 12 reviewed this application relatively well with the Building Department, only because we had 13 two different designs, as you can see, first 14 15 one again being an as-of-right structure, which 16 we could proceed with tomorrow, basically. I could finish my plans, file and get a building 17 permit and build a larger building, in effect. 18 19 With a larger footprint and a longer facade, it 20 would literally be a bigger building on that lot, as opposed to something we felt was more 21 reasonable. If it's the requirement of the 22 23 Board, then --24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Obviously, that wasn't 25 being proposed for a variance.

- 1 MR. PELLICANI: Right.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: But it would require a
- 3 30-foot rear yard setback.
- 4 MR. PELLICANI: On which one?
- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: On

- 6 your what-we-could-have-done plan.
- 7 MR. PELLICANI: That's not what we were
- 8 told as an accessory structure.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, really? Well, you
- 10 can't fill an accessory structure for
- 11 occupancy.
- MR. CORWIN: I don't want to hear that
- accessory structure anymore. We've been
- 14 through that and we said we're not going to do
- 15 that. And whoever put that in your mind, it
- just annoys me.
- 17 MR. PELLICANI: Good enough.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. I'm sorry.
- 19 MR. PROKOP: Unfortunately, you know,
- 20 you're in this situation. When you come before
- 21 this Board, it's basically a de novo review.
- 22 Unfortunately, this situation as it is now, we
- 23 get into this situation many times.
- MR. PELLICANI: Sure.
- 25 MR. PROKOP: So the problem we have now

- 2 trying to put something on it. So whatever you
- 3 wanted to put on it, it's a substandard lot.
- 4 Whether it's a one-family or two-family, it's a
- 5 substandard -- it's now a substandard lot. So
- 6 that's number one.
- 7 I don't know what the discussion was.
- 8 There's nothing -- so, basically, there's
- 9 nothing you can do as of right.
- 10 MR. PELLICANI: Okay.
- 11 MR. PROKOP: So I don't know -- I don't
- 12 know who gave you that information, but, you
- 13 know, as I said, this is basically a de novo
- 14 review when you get to this Board.
- MR. PELLICANI: Okay.
- MR. PROKOP: And it's just not the case.
- 17 So that's an issue, that all of that has to be
- worked out.
- 19 MR. PELLICANI: Okay. So we'll proceed
- 20 back to the drawing board and re-file, I guess,
- 21 with whatever the new determination is in terms
- of the deficiencies.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So what would be our
- 24 action at this point? Do we have an

1	MR. PROKOP: Well, what I would do is I
2	would adjourn the public hearing and for
3	proper notification, and when the Building
4	Inspector determines what variances are
5	necessary for the application, then we could
6	renotice it, if that's what the applicant wants
7	to do.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
9	MR. PROKOP: You know, maybe I would
10	so I would adjourn the public hearing, if
11	that's okay. That would be my recommendation.
12	CHAIRMAN MOORE: All right. So what I
13	will do is make a motion that we adjourn.
14	MR. CORWIN: Before you say it
15	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
16	MR. CORWIN: I have a problem with
17	putting stuff in the paper. All these people
18	showed up, they had something to say. I don't
19	know if they're going to want to show up next

- 20 month and the month after, but they had
 21 something to say. And my thinking would be,
 22 briefly, if they have something to say based on
 23 what was in the paper, they have the
 24 opportunity to say it.
- MR. PROKOP: Normally, what we do is we

1 -- the Board's procedure is that we take the 2 testimony and we make the record of this 3 hearing part of the next hearing. But we have 4 to inform everybody that there's going to be 5 another -- there will be at least one more 6 hearing with more, with more variances. 7 MR. CORWIN: That is fair enough. 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So you would say it 9 would be permissible to take public testimony 10 on the current requested variance? 11 MR. PROKOP: Right. Whatever they say tonight will go into the record of the next 12

CHAIRMAN MOORE: And I think, in

different hearing.

hearing, even though it will be technically a

13

14

addition, that with the request for an
additional variance, the public would once
again have the opportunity to comment on that
variance request, so you get two times.

So, anyway, we -- rather than adjourn the

21

22

23

24

25

1

9

about?

So, anyway, we -- rather than adjourn the public hearing at this point, we'll take public testimony based on your current request, with the understanding that it may not be sufficient to proceed with a decision until the we consult back with the Building Inspector.

MR. PELLICANI: We appreciate that.

- CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. Thank you. Any other comments?

 MR. PELLICANI: If I could just clarify, then the following hearing would be for two variances, one of which would be combined side yard, and the second potentially lot size insufficiency, and that's what we're talking
- 10 MR. PROKOP: With these plans, yeah.

- 11 MR. PELLICANI: Okay.
- 12 MR. PROKOP: If you didn't change
- anything else, that's --
- 14 MR. PELLICANI: All right. Okay, very
- 15 good. Thank you.
- MR. PROKOP: And that's based on a
- 17 30-second review, I mean, you know, whatever --
- 18 MR. PELLICANI: Sure.
- MR. SOMMER: We understand.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So, then, if the public
- 21 understands that we can take testimony tonight
- 22 based on the variance for a combined side yard
- 23 setback, and this is for construction of a
- 24 two-family house on that lot. So anybody wish
- 25 to speak? Just be sure to identify ourself,

1 name and address, and then say what you would

- 2 like.
- 3 MS. WARD: My name is Jill ward. I live
- 4 at 220 North Street, which is directly adjacent
- 5 to the west of the subject property.
- I would first like to take an opportunity

- to read into the record a letter written by

 Carolie Jenner, who owns the property at 208

 North Street. She is unable to be here tonight

 and she has asked me to read this letter for

 her. It's dated February 16th, 2014, to the

 Village of Greenport Zoning Board of Appeals.

 This letter is in response to a
- notification of a zoning variance application
 submitted by Steve Sommer, 423 New Rochelle
 Road, Bronxville, New York, 10708, for the
 property located at 216 North Street,
 Greenport, New York, 11944; Tax Map
 19 1001-005-03-12. Now to the text of her letter.

"I currently own and occupy the adjacent home located at 208 North Street, Greenport, New York, 11944. I'm sorry, I will be out of the town and not able to attend the public hearing on this matter. At this time, I want to go on record and notify all persons involved

- 2 strongly oppose and object to any and all
- 3 variances for the above-mentioned property.
- 4 Thank you." And it's signed Carolie Jenner.
- 5 I would like to offer that. Can I put it
- 6 up there or --
- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, we'll put it in the
- 8 record. That would be very good to submit
- 9 that. Okay. Thank you.
- 10 MS. WARD: Thank you. Now, as I
- 11 mentioned, my name is Jill Ward. Julia Dickey
- 12 and I are the owners at 220 North Street, where
- we've lived for some 30 years. Our property is
- 14 adjacent to the vacant lot that is the subject
- of this variance application. We live
- immediately to the west.
- I can't speak about Mr. Sommer, but I
- 18 will say that from what I have heard from
- 19 people around the Village, Tom Spurge,
- 20 Mr. Sommer's partner in this application, has a
- 21 fairly decent reputation. He apparently owns
- or is a joint owner of several properties in
- 23 Greenport. And while he has caused some
- 24 discontent perhaps and received opposition from

1	requests for his other properties, the
2	scuttlebutt around the Village, as well as the
3	view of some Village Hall personnel, is that as
4	a rental property owner and a landlord,
5	Mr. Spurge does decent renovations, maintains
6	his properties well, and rents only to good
7	tenants, that is to people who don't abuse his
8	properties or disturb their neighborhoods.
9	And I'm sympathetic to the fact that the
10	applicants bought this property in January of
11	2008, some six years ago, which was basically
12	near the top of the real estate market, and we
13	all know what transpired in the ensuing years
14	in that regard. That debacle, I assume, has
15	been a prime factor in their leaving the lot
16	undeveloped for so long.
17	Now, it is my understanding that a part
18	of the Village law says that in order to gain a
19	variance, an applicant must prove that current
20	zoning blocks a sufficient return on their

investment. I am sure, now that the real
estate prices and rents in Greenport have
recovered, the applicants would likely -- would
like to finally begin to realize the return on
that investment, and the obvious way, of

38

1 course, for them to do that is to get the most 2 bang for their buck. In other words, maximize 3 their rentable square footage in order to maximize the rent roll. The bigger the house, 4 5 the higher the rents, the greater their income, 6 which brings us to this variance hearing for a 7 multi-family house to be built on this nonconforming substandard 45-foot-wide lot that 8 9 lacks the appropriate side yard setbacks. First, I want to give a bit of 10 11 background. The house that was torn down in 2008 by the applicants, following their 12 13 purchase of the property, was an old, small, 14 two-story frame single-family dwelling. It had

a one-story rearward projection and that served

- as the kitchen. It also had an enclosed frontporch.
- The point that I want to make here is
 that the size of the first floor, its footprint
 was larger than the size of the second floor.

 Thus, the demolished house was totally in
 keeping, both in size and character, with the
 surrounding houses, as well as the
 neighborhood, and, I might point out, in

keeping with the houses that had to be noticed

39

for this hearing.

25

- Now, there are several houses situated on nonconforming substandard lots on North Street,
- 4 including ours. There are at least five on the
- 5 block. The house immediately to the east, the
- 6 Jenner house, you have her statement, sits on a
- 7 45-foot-wide lot. It's one story. It's a
- 8 1,000 square foot single-family Craftsman. Our
- 9 house to the immediate west, and built around
- 10 1915, also lies on a substandard 45-foot-wide
- 11 lot. It's a two-story, it's 20 feet wide, a

- single-family with 1500 square feet of living
 space, which includes a one-story addition off
 the back of the house that replaced an attached
 shack used as a summer kitchen.
- 16 Across the street is another 1,000 square foot one-story house. It used to be a 17 single-family, but it is now rented out to 18 multiple people. On Third Street, abutting the 19 20 subject property to the rear, that is to the 21 north, is yet another small single-family 22 house, again, comprising less than 1500 square feet, the house owned by Jon Mattson and Norma 23 24 Fraser.
- 25 MR. MATTSON: A thousand square feet.

- 1 MS. WARD: A thousand?
- 2 MR. MATTSON: One thousand.
- 3 MS. WARD: Thank you. Substandard lots
- 4 are endemic to this block, laid out many, many
- 5 years ago in what was, and for the most part
- 6 still is, a very working class area, and it is

7	a fragile area, I might add. Thirty years ago
8	all of the houses on the block were owner
9	occupied, but over the years many of them have
10	been converted to rentals. And, regrettably,
11	several of the houses on the block are poorly
12	maintained, compounding this fragility. A lot
13	width of 45 feet is not unusual here. As a
14	matter of fact, it is the norm. And the lot in
15	question, as I mentioned above, is another
16	substandard nonconforming lot, another
17	45-footer, a size I want to emphasize that is
18	just 70% the size of a conforming lot.
19	Now, when I look at the applicant's
20	plans, when I look at the plans filed with the
21	Building Department, my first reaction is that
22	I'm viewing a pleasing facade. From the front,
23	the aspect is of a pleasant Villagey looking
24	farmhouse type. However, behind that

The applicants are proposing to build a
two-family structure on this 45-footer, a

attractive facade I believe lurks a monster.

3 structure spec'd out at more than 2300 square 4 feet, wherein both the first floor and the 5 second floor would be of equal size, one stacked immediately atop the other, and thus 6 7 presenting a massive uninterrupted wall when 8 viewed from either side. And these side views, 9 of what I have to say are unremitted monolithic 10 walls, are exacerbated by the fact that the building's foundation runs up four feet above 11 12 grade before the two-story structure itself 13 even begins to rise, thus adding to its overall 14 enormity by virtue of this additional four 15 feet. This compares with much lower 16 above-grade foundations on which the adjacent 17 house is set. Our foundation on its east, 18 abutting the subject property, ranges from six 19 inches high in the rear to 16 inches at the 20 front corner of the house. Additionally, much 21 of our house lies only about two feet from that 22 property line. 23 I'm usually not one for hyperbole. 24 all may disagree about that. I feel like I'd

be looking at the Great Wall of China just 12

feet from my living room. That structure would
dwarf its neighbors, imposing its outsized bulk
on all of the adjacent properties, as well as
on the rest of the neighborhood. It would be
more than one-and-a-half times the size of our
house and two-and-one-third times the size of
the Jenner house to the east.

Moreover, this 2300 square feet of living space doesn't include the front porch, nor does it include the deck that juts off the back of the second story to the rear, and which extends beyond the building's footprint. That deck alone on the second floor measures eight feet deep by 23.8 feet wide, and it runs across the entire rear of the house from one side to the other.

Now talk about an imposition. That second story deck bumped up even higher going to the four-foot foundation is afforded a birds-eye view of all of its surroundings. It

would totally negate any hope of privacy in the adjacent backyards, and possibly any hope of peace and enjoyment in those yards as well.

Additionally, I fear that an outside staircase running up to that deck may be added

at some point, further reducing the west side setback, and further encroaching on our privacy and peaceful enjoyment in both our home and our yard.

Furthermore, the current specs call for a two bedroom, two bath apartment on each floor of the structure. Now, I wonder, how many adult drivers might such a structure legally contain? Four? Eight? Remember, this is four bedrooms. Two parking spots are spec'd out for the backyard. I can envision many more vehicles than that with no place to put them, and that doesn't even account for snow events.

And another point of concern that speaks to this type of high density is how many baths and showers? How many toilet flushes will

L7	emanate from those four bathrooms on a daily
L8	basis? The sewage pumping station at the
L9	corner of North and Third is frequently
20	overwhelmed by the load it is already
21	struggling to carry. A methane gas stench
22	emanates on a regular basis from that pump
23	house.
24	And as an aside, I am assuming that grass

pave or a similar substrate will be used in the

25

11

44

1	rear yard, as well as in the driveway, to
2	enhance drainage, and that any discharge of
3	water will not be allowed to leave the
4	property. Our own property lies at a much
5	lower elevation than the applicant's, and I
6	fear erosion and damage from runoff from such a
7	massive roof.
8	Now, I know a structure of this size on
9	this nonconforming substandard lot, whether it
10	be a one-family or a two-family, requires a

variance because of the side yard setback

12	issue. However, it is apparent that this
13	setback issue is caused only because the
14	applicants are causing it; it is self-created.
15	The setback issue could easily be remedied if
16	the applicant were to build a 20-foot wide
17	house, instead of one that is 23.8 feet wide.
18	Several houses in the neighborhood, including
19	ours, are just 20 feet wide, and they present a
20	much more suitable footprint on their
21	substandard lots.
22	Nevertheless, the other issues that I
23	have raised would not be would not be

have raised would not be -- would not be mitigated by such a remedy. However, if the applicants were also to minimize the

24

25

- massiveness, the obtrusiveness of the structure
 by making the second floor shorter in length
 than the first, and by eliminating the
 privacy-impinging second-story deck, as well as
 losing a toilet or two, then many of my
 grievances would be addressed.
- 7 Now, making the second floor shorter in

- 8 length than the first would probably 9 necessitate that the second floor apartment be just a one bedroom. This, too, would be a 10 benefit to the neighborhood in terms of 11 12 overcrowding, parking and toilet issues. Of 13 course, building a 20-foot-wide single-family dwelling that also encompassed all the 14 aforementioned changes I believe would be the 15 16 optimal answer and help anchor this fragile 17 block. Obviously, a smaller structure, whether 18 it were a one-bedroom apartment over a 19 two-bedroom apartment or a single-family house 20 would reduce the income generated to the 21 applicants by their property. However, as 22 rents have climbed once again in the Village, I 23 again say I believe the applicants could still 24 realize a more than adequate return.
 - So, how to weigh the benefit or harm that

- 1 accrues to all of the interested parties here,
- 2 including the Village, which would receive

reduced property taxes from such a smaller dwelling. I don't envy the Board in this task. But, please, consider what the presence, the impact of a multi-family building of that size on that little lot would be. We, as well as our neighbors, believe this structure would be totally out of character and harmony with the neighborhood and the nearby houses. The sheer immensity of it, its imposition on the privacy, peace and enjoyment of its neighbors, and the likelihood of creating both parking and sewage problems, all conflate to pose a severe and unnecessary harm to the surrounding properties. as well as a detriment to what is an already fragile neighborhood.

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

For these reasons, I urge the Zoning
Board to reject this variance request, and I
urge the Board to suggest that the applicants
come forward with something smaller in scale,
something that does not maximize what possibly
could be allowed. I hope the applicants will
submit a more harmonious plan, one in keeping
with the character of both the adjacent houses

- 1 and the neighborhood.
- 2 At this point, I would like to ask if all
- 3 the Board members have had a chance to view the
- 4 submitted plans? If not, I have copies of the
- 5 schematics, which I'd be happy to pass along.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: We have the plans.
- 7 MS. WARD: You have them, okay.
- 8 In closing -- I bet you're all happy to
- 9 hear that phrase. In closing, I want to say I
- 10 know you, the Board, recognize it is important
- 11 that all neighborhoods in our Village be
- 12 accorded respect, not just the financially
- 13 vibrant ones. I trust the Zoning Board will
- take all of the issues I have raised here today
- under consideration, and I hope Mr. Sommer and
- 16 Mr. Spurge will do so as well. I thank all of
- 17 you for that consideration, and I thank you for
- 18 your time.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
- 20 MR. CORWIN: Thank you.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I could ask, it looks

- 22 like a Fire Department member, too, is here, is
- there a meeting following this meeting tonight?
- 24 AUDIENCE MEMBER: Yes, there is a Wardens
- 25 meeting at seven o'clock.

- 1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: At seven o'clock, okay.
- 2 I'll take additional public testimony. I
- 3 would ask you to be as brief and concise as
- 4 possible.
- 5 MS. WARD: Sorry about that.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: No complaints about the
- 7 first, it was very well done, but if you could,
- 8 try and keep within, say, a five-minute period,
- 9 if possible.
- 10 MR. MATTSON: How about three?
- 11 CHAIRMAN MOORE: That is even better.
- 12 Thanks.
- 13 MR. MATTSON: My name is Jon Mattson. I
- 14 live at 512 Third Street with my wife, Norma
- 15 Fraser Mattson.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm sorry, I was busy

- doing something. Could you say that again?
- 18 MR. MATTSON: Jon Mattson.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Mattson, yes.
- 20 MR. MATTSON: Yeah, and Norma Fraser
- 21 Mattson.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: At?
- 23 MR. MATTSON: 512 Third Street.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: 512 Third.
- MR. MATTSON: It's adjacent to the

- 1 proposed property, it's in the back.
- 2 AUDIENCE MEMBER: North side.
- 3 MR. MATTSON: Okay. I have a letter I'll

- 4 read.
- 5 "To the Greenport Zoning Board of
- 6 Appeals. I, John Mattson, and my spouse,
- 7 Norma Fraser Mattson, residing at 512 Third
- 8 Street, New York, adjacent to the property of
- 9 Steve Sommer and Thomas Spurge, located at 216
- 10 North Street, Greenport, oppose the area
- variance requested for the Sommer/Spurge
- 12 property, located at 216 North Street,

Greenport, New York.

We feel a smaller structure be proposed for the proposed site or a new larger location found for the planned structure. Approval of this variance will be a step in changing the nature of Greenport Village as the Village of working family small residences.

In addition to looking at the proposed outside view of the proposed structure, one sees that the remaining property around the structure becomes mostly driveway and parking lot. Also, the rear elevated deck and lower back wall of the structure appear to afford

easily installing a back door in the lower

floor" -- "a back door in the lower floor, rear

wall and a stairway to the upper deck with a

door into the upper rear wall. This illegal

modification would enable the property owners

to turn the property into an illegal

multi-family rental of more than two units."

- 8 It's signed by myself and my wife.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. If you'd like to
- 10 leave a copy, I'd appreciate it. Great. Thank
- 11 you. Any additional comments from the public?
- 12 MS. WARD: I'll give you my treatise for
- the record.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Sure, thanks. I will do
- that.
- MS. WARD: Thank you.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you very much.
- 18 MR. CORWIN: You have one plan that shows
- three cars, and we have one plan that shows --
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Two cars.
- MR. CORWIN: Two cars.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. We'll have to
- 23 clarify. Just as a point, Mr. Corwin's
- indicating that some of your plan documentation
- 25 show two cars and some show three cars.

- 1 MR. PELLICANI: I can clarify that.
- 2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So you'll have to be
- 3 sure by next time around that we have one plan.

- 4 MR. PELLICANI: Yeah. No, I could
- 5 clarify it right now. The site plan is correct
- 6 in showing three. What's happening, in the
- 7 floor plan where you see two cars is the third
- 8 one is cut off by the size of the sheet. So
- 9 for the next submission, we'll just not show
- 10 cars there and we'll just show them on the site
- 11 plan --
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
- 13 MR. PELLICANI: -- which it does afford
- it to three cars.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Just so we know we're
- 16 looking --
- 17 MR. PELLICANI: Certainly.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- at one plan.
- 19 MS. BUTLER: I really don't want to talk.
- 20 I live at 514 Third Street in Greenport. My
- 21 husband and I oppose it. I have a written --
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Your name? Name?
- 23 MS. BUTLER: Oh, Butler, Elizabeth
- 24 Butler, 514 Third Street, Greenport.
- 25 And I know I will not be at a further

- 1 meeting because I travel a lot. So, if I can
- 2 give you my objections in writing now, I would
- 3 appreciate it.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, that would be
- 5 excellent. We'll file it with the --
- 6 MS. BUTLER: Thank you.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: With the request.
- 8 MR. CORWIN: Could you, please, read them
- 9 out loud?
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: What's that?
- MR. CORWIN: Could you, please, read them
- 12 out loud?
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. This is Michael
- 14 Butler, Elizabeth Butler at 514 Third Street.
- 15 "The proposed multi-family dwelling shall
- 16 be owner occupied with such owner possession at
- 17 least 25% share of ownership." And I should
- 18 indicate that it says they object to the
- 19 proposed two-family construction captioned
- above in the absence of the following, so these
- 21 are recommendations.

22 "The number of occupant families shall be 23 limited to two related families. The building 24 shall be limited to two kitchens with 25 appropriate certificates of occupancy.

1	The off-street parking on the land
2	surrounding the proposed structure shall not
3	exceed two vehicles, with appropriate setbacks
4	from all adjacent property lines. The plans
5	and construction shall preclude any stairways
6	leading up to the rear of the proposed
7	structure or any other installations or points
8	of entry, so as to provide additional access to
9	any apartments.
10	The square footage of the improved
11	property shall not vary or exceed that
12	permitted by existing Village Code."
13	And that was the written comment from the
14	Butlers.
15	Would someone else care to speak
16	regarding this application? Yes. Be sure to
17	give your name and address when you reach the

- 18 podium.
- 19 MR. LEHMAN: I do apologize. I know Dave
- 20 is the only gentleman --
- MR. CORWIN: Your name. Name, please.
- 22 CAPTAIN LEHMAN: Captain Robert H.
- 23 Lehman, L-E-H-M-A-N, U.S. Coast Guard. My name
- 24 would also be Ex-Captain Robert H. Lehman,
- 25 Greenport Fire Department. My name would also

- 1 be Robert H. Lehman, Suffolk County Building
- 2 Inspector. I'm, unfortunately, unemployed at
- 3 the moment, but I did take the test and pass
- 4 it. I live at 535, I repeat, 535 Third Street
- 5 in Greenport.
- 6 I live in Greenport since 1964. I beg
- 7 your pardon, I first seen Greenport and fell in
- 8 love with it in 1964. As I was driving down
- 9 Main Street looking to the left, I saw, and I
- 10 quote, the mansions. Millionaires must live in
- 11 this town in 1964. I could not believe the
- 12 size of those structures.

- 13 Greenport is a town I love. It's my
 14 Village, and I can prove that only by 27 years
- in the Greenport Fire Department, soon to be,
- and I pray to God, on the third Thursday of
- 17 April to be Second Assistant Chief.
- MR. CORWIN: Bob.
- 19 CAPTAIN LEHMAN: A little loud.
- 20 MR. CORWIN: You're loud enough. Most
- 21 people aren't loud enough, but --
- 22 CAPTAIN LEHMAN: Thank you.
- MR. CORWIN: You're pretty loud.
- 24 CAPTAIN LEHMAN: Thank you very much. I
- 25 hope to be Second Assistant on the third

1 Thursday in April, going up through the ranks

- , 5, 5, 5, 7
- 2 of Second Lieutenant, of course, First
- 3 Lieutenant and Captain. My children are grown
- 4 up now, all who have grown up in Greenport, all
- 5 who have graduated from Greenport High School.
- 6 I love Greenport
- 7 MR. CORWIN: Excuse me. I don't want to
- 8 cut you short, Bob, but I know we're going to

- 9 run into time problems.
- 10 CAPTAIN LEHMAN: Well, he said five
- 11 minutes. Dave, he said five minutes. I think
- 12 I'm up to about three in four or five seconds.
- MR. CORWIN: Well, I'm just -- if you got
- to the nut of comments --
- 15 CAPTAIN LEHMAN: I will go on. I love
- 16 Greenport. I do not want Greenport to be
- 17 Southampton, where I worked, East Hampton,
- 18 where I worked, Westhampton, where I worked,
- 19 Montauk, where I worked. The whole South
- 20 Shore, from Shirley to Montauk, I do not want
- 21 Greenport like that. I do not want a
- 22 McMansion, okay? I don't want to be a Second
- 23 Assistant Chief and running up to the scene
- when there's 14 cars there. I'm exaggerating,
- of course, but at a party, there possibly could

- 1 be 14 cars, trying to push cars away to get a
- 2 line into that structure, not knowing how many
- 3 people are in that structure because there's a

- party going on. I don't want that. It would
 break my heart.
- 6 We do not need McMansions. We do not
- 7 need the inconsideration of somebody with
- 8 enough money to put up a two-and-a-half, almost
- 9 three story, structure next to that beautiful
- 10 little cottage that's been there for 200 years.
- 11 It's inconsiderate blocking that sunrise,
- 12 blocking that sunset, and blocking that
- southwest wind and the summer wind that they
- 14 count on to cool down.
- I do not -- I am adamantly opposed to
- this. I will do everything within my power to
- 17 stop it. Put it up by the Sound. The
- 18 McMansions are up there now. There's
- 19 McMansions up there now at a quarter of a
- 20 million and a quarter-and-a-half when the
- 21 market goes up. Two million dollars they're
- 22 selling for. Put them up there. Do not put
- them in my Village, please, because I will
- 24 continue to fight and I will continue to scream
- 25 when I still can. Thank you.

- 1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you, sir.
- 2 MR. CORWIN: Thank you.
- 3 MS. RUFFNER: I am Ruth Ruffner, 224
- 4 North Street.
- 5 MR. ABATELLI: You could use the mic.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. In this case, if
- 7 you could --
- 8 MR. ABATELLI: Use the mic.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- tip up the mic.
- 10 MS. RUFFNER: Ruth Ruffner, 224 North
- 11 Street. I've lived on that block my whole
- 12 life. I lived at 206 -- 200 North Street.
- Now, I oppose because it's too big of a
- 14 place for that area we have. We have no
- parking as it is. The neighbor across the
- 16 street has -- you park on the street. You have
- 17 no place for two building -- two-family
- 18 building house, it's too big. Please oppose,
- 19 please.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you.
- MR. CORWIN: Thank you.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Would anyone else care

23 to speak? 24 (No Response) 25 With no one immediately asking, I think 1 the procedure now would be to adjourn the 2 public hearing, leaving it open until a 3 subsequent meeting, when we anticipate a refiling of the request for a variance, when 5 that issue is clarified. And so the hearing 6 will be continued next month, and there may be 7 additional variance notifications during the 8 next intervening period, for which the public 9 would be welcome to comment on any additional

58

- So, with that, I would make the motion to
 adjourn the public hearing while leaving it
 open to a future meeting. So moved. May I
 have a second?
- MR. BENJAMIN: Second.

variance issues.

- 16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
- 17 MR. CORWIN: Aye.

- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye. So the public --
- 20 MR. MATTSON: Would we --
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Sir?
- 22 MR. MATTSON: Would we get a certified
- 23 mailing again if there's another --
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It will be renoticed if
- 25 there is an additional variance required. And

- 1 appropriate notifications will be an
- 2 advertisement in the newspaper, a placard on
- 3 the property, and adjacent property owners
- 4 would be notified.
- 5 MR. Mattson: But we wouldn't necessary
- 6 get a certified letter again?
- 7 MR. PROKOP: Whatever the notice is.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Whatever the notice
- 9 requirement, it will be the same.
- 10 MR. PROKOP: Could I just say something?
- 11 MS. WARD: I might add --
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 13 MS. WARD: -- the placard is unreadable

- 14 because the sidewalk has never been shoveled
- 15 all winter long, so you really can't get over
- 16 there to read it.
- 17 MR. PROKOP: Can we just -- can we ask --
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 19 MR. PROKOP: -- the applicant to indicate
- 20 the owners of the property on the application?
- 21 The owner.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Oh, the owner.
- 23 MR. PROKOP: The owner, the full --
- 24 whoever the owners are.
- 25 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The full ownership

1 you're requesting.

2 The other issue, too, is it may have been

- 3 neglected to request it, but if you would,
- 4 prior to the next meeting, stake out the
- 5 corners of the dimensions of the proposed
- 6 structure.
- 7 MR. PELLICANI: Okay.
- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And also, hopefully,

- 9 snow will not still be on the lot next month,
- that we could have access to the property, if 10
- the fence could be opened. I didn't really 11
- 12 look today to see if that's possible.
- MR. SOMMER: The four corners? 13
- 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The corners of the
- 15 building, to show its dimension and size on the
- 16 lot.
- 17 One question, too, is you mentioned that
- 18 you would be coming out to retire in the area.
- 19 Do you intend to occupy the house?
- 20 MR. SOMMER: I hope not to retire for the
- 21 next 10 or 15 years.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So it will be a rental,
- 23 it's not owner occupied?
- MR. SOMMER: Right now, right. 24
- 25 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.

- MR. PELLICANI: Okay? Thank you. 1
- 2 MR. SOMMER: Thank you.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All right. Thank you.

4 Thank you for coming

- 5 MR. PELLICANI: Thank you.
- 6 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So now we'll go into our
- 7 regular meeting agenda. Obviously, Item #2
- 8 we'll be postponing for a future date.
- 9 But on the discussion for Item #1 is Marc
- 10 LaMaina, a sign request.
- 11 MR. CORWIN: Could I just say --
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- MR. CORWIN: -- before we start on that,
- 14 Item #3, Costello, I abstained when we voted
- 15 the last time, so we're not going to have a
- quorum to discuss that, so maybe we should take
- 17 that off the agenda.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right, that would be a
- 19 good point. And I have a question for the
- 20 Attorney --
- MR. PROKOP: Yes, sir.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- regarding a variance
- 23 issued. Mr. Costello is asking for an
- 24 extension of the variance. Is it within our
- 25 authority to extend a variance beyond the

period required by the code, because that's not 1 2 really part of an area --MR. PROKOP: Well, you're actually --3 4 it's actually a request for a variance of the 5 code, because, you know, it really is itself a request for a variance. 6 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So would that need 8 noticing and --9 MR. PROKOP: Yes CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- discussion? So then 10 11 we can't take the issue up tonight anyway, so 12 we'll --13 MR. PROKOP: I would do the notice procedure for the next one. 14 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- send that message 16 back to the Building Inspector that this would be a variance request. A building permit had 17 been issued, so that's as well and extension of 18 that building permit, if necessary, so that we 19 20 can place Item #3 on hold pending that issue. 21 So, as far as the discussion is

concerned, Mr. LaMaina, you had -- is it

- 23 LaMaina?
- 24 MR. LAMAINA: Yup.
- 25 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. You have -- I

- believe you provided some pictures --
- 2 MR. LAMAINA: Yes.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: -- of some surrounding
- 4 properties. Obviously, you've sent a picture
- of your sign as well, which pretty well depicts
- 6 it. And we've all visited the site, so we know
- 7 what it looks like.
- 8 The code is quite complete on what it
- 9 prohibits. It's almost any kind of -- you
- 10 know, a sign is almost anything, and that the
- 11 strict prohibition is a directly illuminated,
- which is typically a box sign, those plastic
- 13 things that have fluorescent bulbs inside, but
- they also include neon signs, which are
- self-illuminated. And in your case, the sign
- 16 lettering itself is not internally illuminated,
- 17 but the border of the sign is illuminated. So
- it's more or less decorated by lights. And

- that's the issue which falls also within the restriction on the code.
- Now you've presented some pictures of
 different buildings. Would you like to comment
 on those?
- MR. LAMAINA: Those are just the illuminated signs that I saw throughout the

- 1 Village.
- 2 One thing about my sign is you can only
- 3 see it from a 55-degree angle from either side,
- 4 you can't see it from down the block, which
- 5 hurts my business. But then the flashing of
- 6 the lights, that's how people know that we're
- 7 open when they pull up to the stop sign on
- 8 Front Street. So, I mean, it does help that
- 9 they're flashing.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah. And is it
- 11 actually visible from Front Street?
- MR. LAMAINA: Not really.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Not really? Had you

- 14 considered using -- it is permitted, with issuance of a permit, a bracket sign, which can 15 16 be illuminated, externally illuminated, which 17 could actually project out into the street. It 18 does require a permit to be issued for that, 19 and, apparently, appropriate insurance and 20 things like that. 21 MR. LAMAINA: Yeah. I didn't do too much
- thinking going into this whole process, I just kind of shot from the hip.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay. And one of the 25 issues, too, that's been pointed out is

1 Mr. Claudio had indicated that he was concerned

- 2 about the snowballing, and comment from Amy
- 3 Martin about one-upsmanship. There are other
- 4 signs clearly in the Village that are
- 5 illuminated, mostly involve neon signs. I
- 6 believe some of them, and I don't have the
- 7 statistics on this, some of them are
- 8 preexisting signs --
- 9 MR. BENJAMIN: Right.

10	CHAIRMAN MOORE: which are
11	grandfathered in. Others may have been
12	permitted through a special process. I'm aware
13	it was before, I believe, my time on the Board.
14	Mr. Benjamin, I believe, was on the Board for
15	Scrimshaw. It was a long process to actually
16	issue a variance for their sign.
17	MR. LAMAINA: I know Bill had a problem
18	with that sign as well.
19	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yeah.
20	MR. LAMAINA: I think that's why they had
21	to move it the second time.
22	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And what I think the
23	data is lacking here for fairness is what is

data is lacking here for fairness is what is
the status of the various signs around the
Village? I've had a couple of verbal responses

- 1 from Eileen Wingate, the Building Inspector, on
- 2 the status of some signs. I believe some signs
- 3 may not be preexisting, grandfathered in, and
- 4 are illuminated, perhaps even more so than

5 yours and --

6 MR. LAMAINA: I believe Crabby Jerry's
7 has illuminated LED lights around one of their
8 signs and a big lobster on the side of their
9 building. I don't know if they went through
10 approval for that.

CHAIRMAN MOORE: I'm not familiar with that. I was just thinking, for the benefit of the Board, whether we might, you know, reserve decision pending possibly some more specific information from the Building Inspector on more or less an inventory of signs that may be in compliance due to being grandfathered, and others which may not be in compliance. And the question would be why they are not also seeking variances to clean up the issue, because it would -- you know, it could be claimed to be unfair to this applicant if others are allowed to exist without any authorization as well.

MR. LAMAINA: Well, I don't want to get tarred and feathered here by my fellow business

1 owners.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Right. Well, it's just a -- it's a point of fairness and whether you 3 4 had considered any other options. One of the 5 questions that's asked when we consider a 6 variance, and I don't know if you had looked at 7 them as far as what -- you know, the test questions is, you know, whether the benefit 8 9 sought by the applicant can be achieved by some 10 method feasible for the applicant to pursue other than an area variance, which means a 11 12 compliant sign, something that's permitted. 13 I'm not sure that part would pass a test, 14 because there's clearly other ways that it 15 could be done.

So what I think I would suggest to the Board is that we reserve judgment at this point that we could, you know, table. I don't know if that's the appropriate term, but to not make a decision tonight, not go through the process, ask the Building Inspector to provide us with some additional information on inventory of current signs and their status that we can

- actually review one by one. And perhaps, in
- 25 that period of time, you could consider what

- 1 your options might be and you might be able to
- 2 propose.
- The sign, obviously, may have some use to
- 4 you as, you know, decoration within your
- 5 business as well, so that if we were to, you
- 6 know, consider the application and reject it,
- 7 perhaps not all is lost, but that's still to be
- 8 determined.
- 9 So I would like to suggest to the Board
- 10 that we do that. And perhaps if one of you
- 11 would like to make a motion that we table
- decision pending some more information, I would
- 13 accept that.
- 14 MR. CORWIN: Well, my feeling is I'd
- 15 like to let Mr. LaMaina know what my thinking
- is. I have no objection to what you're saying,
- it makes sense, but people get stuck here and
- they get held up for month after month.

19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Well, I would hope that
20 we could come to a decision next month. What's
21 your feeling, Mr. Benjamin, regarding tonight?
22 I mean, we could go through the process.
23 MR. BENJAMIN: Well, there's two things,

one about what you said, and one about what's going on here with the application. And I

69

1 think that if you're going to apply for a sign, 2 you have to check the laws and then apply 3 accordingly. And if you can't for some reason 4 meet the requirements, then you apply for a 5 variance. But it seems that it was done a 6 different way, put up the sign and then apply 7 for them. So I really have no way of dealing 8 with that, but only give you relief from the 9 law.

10

11

12

13

14

So, as far as the other signs in town where there are not the laws enforced or not -- I don't -- it's not my jurisdiction. But I understand what you need, and I understand that there's, you know, a problem this time of the

15 year and you're trying to, you know, make a 16 living. So our code says that you should be 17 probably fined for having a sign up every day you have it up, or whatever, you know, because 18 19 it's a violation. So it's not a good thing, 20 it's not a good thing to do. But, you know, we might have to address that issue. 21 22 And the other thing is, is there any way

that sign could exist the way it is without

flashing lights and without -- you know, that's

70

- 4 MR. LAMAINA: Off constant?

another question.

- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It would have to be --
- 6 MR. LAMAINA: It kind of defeats the
- 7 purpose of the sign.

23

24

- 8 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It would have to be
- 9 externally illuminated, in other words, with

- the typical lights that are extended out beyondthe sign.
- 12 MR. BENJAMIN: The other issue is that historic. You know, I mean history is made one 13 14 time or another, you know. I mean, yesterday 15 history was made, so you might be making 16 history now. But, in the meantime, we have to 17 make a decision based on what we have for law. 18 You can't have a relief from the law if you 19 don't have it, you know, so --

CHAIRMAN MOORE: Now -- yes. One of the points I'd like to make, as far as the current number of votes we have tonight, if I were to move that we table the discussion pending more information until next month, any one of us can say no and continue the process, and then we

71

could be here all night.

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

5

And I also think that when an applicant

is before the Board, what you're looking for is

enough support from the Board where you would

need three votes. Whether the Board has three

- 6 members, four members or five members present,
- 7 you need three votes, and the situation is that
- 8 anybody opposed tonight essentially has veto
- 9 power to reject an application for variance.
- 10 And I would encourage that we would have more
- 11 members present when we make a vote of
- 12 significance other than an administrative
- 13 matter, so that if --
- 14 MR. CORWIN: I agree with that.
- 15 CHAIRMAN MOORE: With that in mind, I
- 16 would then like to make a motion that we table
- our proceedings to a future meeting where we
- 18 get a little more information, and that you may
- 19 consider any options you have. With that in
- 20 mind, I would make that motion, that we
- 21 postpone --
- 22 MR. CORWIN: Before you make that motion,
- 23 can I say --
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 25 MR. CORWIN: -- that I think the lights

- 1 should be turned off until this is resolved,
- because, as it stands now, it's an illegal
- 3 sign. So it's kind of saying to the Village,
- 4 "I'm doing what I want." And we're trying to
- 5 accommodate this gentleman and maybe he can
- 6 accommodate us and just turn the lights off
- 7 until we can straighten this out.
- 8 MR. LAMAINA: (Nodded yes).
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Not that you can't shine
- 10 a light on it, if you wish to light it up with
- some other means.
- 12 MR. LAMAINA: Yeah.
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: But with that in mind, I
- 14 would like to, you know, postpone decision to a
- future meeting, and I would make that motion
- and ask for a second.
- 17 MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And any discussion,
- 19 further discussion? All in favor?
- MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 23 So the motion is passed and we'll be

- 24 discussing it again next month.
- 25 MR. CORWIN: Let me just ask you one more

- 1 thing, is this has -- my understanding is this
- 2 has to go to the Historic Preservation
- 3 Commission; you're aware of that?
- 4 MR. LAMAINA: I wasn't.
- 5 MR. CORWIN: Mr. Abatelli, would you fill
- 6 him in on that?
- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Didn't you say there was
- 8 an issue with the Historic Review?
- 9 MR. ABATELLI: Yeah. Eileen never
- 10 mentioned it. I mean, it really would be after
- 11 this, depending on what this Board decided. If
- 12 this Board decided the sign -- couldn't have
- the sign, you know, then it wouldn't matter.
- 14 But if they approve -- if they resolve the
- 15 lighting problem, the sign itself would also
- need to go. And, actually, the other two signs
- in the window as well would go before Historic.
- 18 I'm actually surprised you didn't know that,
- 19 but it is a step. I mean, you don't do them

- 20 both at the same time.
- 21 MR. PROKOP: You could ask the Historic
- 22 Board for their input for the decision, because
- 23 the way that he just described it, it's sort of
- 24 backwards, you know, because we -- you know,
- 25 for us to approve it without input from the

- 1 Historic Board doesn't seem to make sense,
- 2 then.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes. And to avoid
- 4 dragging things out, I think what we would do
- 5 is in the intervening period, ask them for
- 6 their input. Whether they would have to have a
- 7 formal meeting, I guess they would have to
- 8 gather and discuss it. If we wait until next
- 9 month, then there would be an additional delay.
- 10 MR. ABATELLI: Well, they meet at the
- beginning of the month, and they don't need to
- 12 have hearings, so we could --
- 13 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 14 MR. ABATELLI: You know, we already have

- 15 the information on the signs.
- 16 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Perhaps they could take
- it up next week.
- 18 MR. ABATELLI: It could be dealt with
- 19 before your next variance -- before your next
- 20 meeting.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: It would be in about a
- 22 week or so. That would be good. Would we need
- 23 a motion to do that or --
- 24 MR. PROKOP: Yes, I think it's a good
- 25 idea.

1 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.

- 2 MR. PROKOP: It's a resolution motion.
- 3 CHAIRMAN MOORE: So then I would like to

- 4 make a motion before the Board that we refer
- 5 this application to the Historic Review Board
- 6 for their input. And with that in mind, I make
- 7 that motion.
- 8 MR. CORWIN: Second.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
- 10 MR. CORWIN: Aye.

- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 13 So that we get additional input and that
- 14 actually may be helpful to you.
- MR. LAMAINA: I guess I'll get notice
- 16 about the next meeting?
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes.
- 18 MR. LAMAINA: All right.
- 19 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Yes, certainly.
- 20 MR. LAMAINA: Thank you very much.
- 21 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you. I'm sorry
- for the delay, but it may be beneficial
- 23 overall.
- 24 MR. PROKOP: Well, the next meeting is
- 25 March 19th or something. You're not going to

1 get a notice.

2 MR. CORWIN: It's going to be the third

- 3 Wednesday. It's always the third Wednesday,
- 4 unless something --
- 5 CHAIRMAN MOORE: I believe it's the 19th.

- 6 Since this is February, it will be the same
- 7 day.

- 8 MR. LAMAINA: Thank you.
- 9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Thank you. All right.
- 10 Now we can move along.
- 11 Item 2, obviously, has been postponed
- 12 until next month, when we perhaps will have a
- 13 new application, that new public hearing.
- 14 Item #3 we have to delay, because we
- don't have sufficient votes to make a decision
- on that. And we also have to refer this back
- 17 to the Building Inspector, so that an
- 18 application for a variance in the code can be
- 19 filed, because this was simply filed by a
- 20 Letter of Request for an extension.
- 21 Item #4 is interesting. It's a motion to
- 22 accept a request from the Planning Board,
- 23 publicly notice and schedule a hearing for an
- interpretation of Section 150-9 A & B,
- 25 permitted and conditional uses in the Retail

- 2 considering an application for establishment of
- 3 a car service, a taxi service, proposed to be
- 4 located on a parcel designated as Retail
- 5 Commercial.
- 6 This is the property which is across from
- 7 the Greenporter. And is it pronounced Layla
- 8 (phonetic)?
- 9 MR. KAHN: Layyah.
- 10 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Layyah?
- 11 MR. KAHN: Yes.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: The retail convenience
- 13 store currently. And they've asked us for
- input, because they are concerned that the code
- for Retail Commercial does not currently
- support this activity. So that we'll take that
- 17 up next month and have a public hearing for any
- 18 public input, and, obviously, input from the
- 19 applicant before the Planning Board. And then
- 20 we'll make that consideration an issue of -- an
- 21 opinion back to the Planning Board regarding
- 22 that section of the code.
- 23 So, with that, I make a motion to accept
- the request from the Planning Board. May I

1	MR. CORWIN: Second.
2	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And is there any further
3	discussion on that?
4	(No Response)
5	CHAIRMAN MOORE: If so, all in favor?
6	MR. CORWIN: Aye.
7	MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
8	CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
9	So that request is accepted.
10	I have a motion to approve the Findings,
11	Determinations and Decision Document approving
12	area variances for Eastern Long Island
13	Hospital, 201 Manor Place; Suffolk County Tax
14	Map 1001-2-3-2.
15	The property is located in the Waterfront
16	Commercial District. Variances were
17	conditionally approved to construct two
18	detached and illuminated hospital signs.
19	Just to recap the Decision Document,

there are conditions in that document which
regard the height of the signs. We have
reduced the allowable size of the signs from
what was proposed. And we also have imposed an
inspection following the construction to
determine whether the illumination of the sign

is appropriately bright and can be reduced, if so requested.

And then, lastly, while it's not a requirement for the approval of variances for the current two proposed signs, the ZBA is recommending that the Eastern Long Island Hospital revise their facility site plan to identify signage requirements. Should there be additional appeals for variances regarding additional signs at the facility, the ZBA will not consider such additional requests until the Planning Board reviews such revised site plan regarding signage.

The Planning Board may then refer any plans for new or modified signs to the ZBA for

variances, as required by the Village code.

The reason for that requirement is to avoid a

one-by-one variance request for any signs at

the facility that may be needed in the future,

at least the foreseeable future.

They are actually having an additional

addition to the hospital. I believe there's
some requests coming in, so it seemed like an
appropriate time, since the Planning Board will
be reviewing this additional expansion plan for

80

1 the hospital.

So has the Board had the opportunity to
review the document? And if so, I would make a
motion that we accept the Decision Document as
presented, and I would ask for a second.

6 MR. BENJAMIN: Second.

7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And any discussion?

8 (No Response)

9 CHAIRMAN MOORE: If not, all in favor?

10 MR. CORWIN: Aye.

- 11 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 12 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- So that document is approved. And I'll
- 14 sign that and we'll let the Hospital know that
- 15 they can proceed.
- 16 Next is a -- #6, a motion to accept the
- 17 ZBA minutes for January 15, 2014. So moved.
- 18 May I have a second?
- MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
- MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 23 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 24 And the motion carries.
- 25 Motion to approve the ZBA minutes for

1 December 10 2012 New Theorems accord

- 1 December 18, 2013. May I have a second,
- 2 please?
- 3 MR. BENJAMIN: Second.
- 4 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
- 5 MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- 6 MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.

- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 8 Motion carries.
- 9 And then motion to schedule the next
- 10 regular ZBA meeting for March 19, 2014. I,
- 11 myself, will not be able to be at that meeting.
- I hope that our other member, Ellen Neff, will
- be. And if the other members of the Board are
- 14 available, we can schedule it for that day, but
- it will likely be a three-member meeting again.
- MR. ABATELLI: I also won't be here, not
- 17 that matters too much.
- 18 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Okay.
- 19 MR. PROKOP: I'll be here.
- 20 CHAIRMAN MOORE: If we don't have a
- 21 meeting -- I would not want to suspend the
- 22 meeting for another month, because we do have
- business before us.
- 24 So we are short a member. Denise Rathbun
- 25 has indicated that she's no longer able to

- 2 So the Village Board will be at some point
- 3 nominating a new member, but we don't know at
- 4 what time.
- 5 MR. ABATELLI: I doubt it could happen
- 6 before the next meeting.
- 7 CHAIRMAN MOORE: No. So we're very
- 8 likely to have a three-member Board again next
- 9 month.
- 10 So, anyway, I will make the motion that
- 11 we schedule it for March 19, 2014. I need a
- 12 second, please.
- 13 MR. CORWIN: Second.
- 14 CHAIRMAN MOORE: And all in favor?
- MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- MR. BENJAMIN: Aye.
- 17 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.
- 18 So it's scheduled for March 19.
- 19 And then I'd make a motion to adjourn.
- 20 Second, please.
- MR. CORWIN: Second.
- 22 CHAIRMAN MOORE: All in favor?
- MR. CORWIN: Aye.
- 24 CHAIRMAN MOORE: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN MOORE: And the meeting is
2	adjourned. Thank you.
3	(Whereuupon, the meeting was adjourned a
4	6:36 p.m.)
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
LØ	
L1	
L2	
L3	
L4	
L5	
L6	
L7	
L8	
L9	
20	

22		
23		
24		
25		
		84
1		
2	CERTIFICATION	
3		
4	STATE OF NEW YORK)	
5) SS:	
6	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK)	
7		
8	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and	
9	Notary Public for and within the State of New	
10	York, do hereby certify:	
11	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a	
12	true and correct transcription of the	
13	proceedings taken on February 19, 2014.	
14	I further certify that I am not	
15	related to any of the parties to this action by	

16	blood or marriage, and that I am in no way
17	interested in the outcome of this matter.
18	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
19	set my hand this 27th day of February, 2014.
20	
21	
22	
23	 Lucia Braaten
24	Lucta Braaten
25	