1	STATE OF NEW YORK VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
2	X
3	HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION REGULAR MEETING
4	XEGULAR MEETING
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	February 10, 2014 5:00 P.M.
10	3.00 1.14.
11	
12	
13	BEFORE:
14	
15	FRANK UELLENDAHL - CHAIRMAN
16	ROSELLE BORRELLI - MEMBER (Excused)
17	LUCY CLARK - MEMBER
18	DENNIS MCMAHON - MEMBER
19	CAROLINE WALOSKI - MEMBER
20	
24	

22	
23	
24	

	February	10,	2014	Regular	Meeting
--	----------	-----	------	---------	---------

1	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: I am going to get
2	started with the Historic Preservation
3	Meeting. It is now February 10, 2014. We
4	had a one week postponement because of a
5	snow storm. It is 5:12. There are two
6	items on the agenda, and we are waiting for
7	Matt Ritter who is the contractor on 449
8	Main Street, which is Item No. 1. So we
9	will see if he comes. So I guess we should
LØ	discuss Item No. 2. Discussion and
L1	possible motion on an application submitted
12	by Carlos and Patricia DeJesus, owners of
13	the residence located in the Historic
L4	District at 754 Main Street. The
L5	applicants would like to replace all
16	windows at the rear of the facade of their

home with vinyl replacement units (4

double-hung's, 1 awning, 2 casements).

SCTM# 1001-2-3-6. The Building Inspector,

Eileen Wingate told me the homeowners could

not be here tonight. They could have been

there last week. She submitted some photos

of the rear of the facade of the house, and

I visited the site this afternoon, and took

a lot of photos. They do have -- there are

February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting

many different types of windows. There are double-hung's. I couldn't really tell if the first and second double-hung windows are casements. They may be casements, but I really cannot tell exactly are to be replaced. There is also in the front some kind of porch. There are four windows that were boarded up, and I cannot really tell from the application whether those windows will be replaced as well. They are planning to replace the rear windows with vinyl windows. I do not know this company.

13	It's called Power Remodeling Group. I was
14	online this afternoon to see if I could get
15	some details about measurements, frames,
16	but there was nothing on the website that
17	would give me any more information. But I
18	would like to open up the discussion on
19	this project. We have been approving
20	windows in the Historic District that are
21	not wood windows, but some of the windows
22	that were approved where made out of
23	composite material. The Reese building was
24	one. I do not know about this company, so
25	that is why I would like to open up the

February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting

discussion on this project.

MEMBER WALOSKI: It's kind of important for us to know the extent of the replacement. If they're planning on restoring those porch windows also. This is not a complete application.

CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: It's

unfortunately not a complete application.
They did not submit any photos. They did
not submit a floor plan that would tell us
exactly which windows are affected here. I
also have a question, is it only rear
facing windows because there is also
windows on the sides, that are visible from
the street, and I do not know based on the
count of the windows that they submitted
here, if some of those windows were to be
included in this case.

MEMBER MCMAHON: My opinion is, they are on the back of the house and not facing the street. Since we have approved vinyl windows before, I have no problem. I do agree, that if they do extend this program to the side of the house they should give us more information. So based on that

February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting

decision, to do only the back of the house
then I would approve. If they go to the
side of the house then they should

4 probably give us a sample of the window.

is, as you can see from the photos from the Building Inspector, those existing windows have six over six double-hung's. The applicant's propose no grills at all facing the back of the house. This is something that we feel should be concerned about or do we maybe mention or ask them to have grills on them? Again, this is also facing the back, which has a long facade facing the rear yard. So we may have to actually take a walk and take a look.

MEMBER MCMAHON: These grills look like they are faked in anyway.

CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: No, not as far as I could tell. There was a lot of snow and ice.

MEMBER MCMAHON: If they are going to stick to the back of the house then I really don't have a problem with it. You can see that they were a hodge-podge

1	windows. There is nothing here that is
2	preserved or preservable.
3	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: You know, if you
4	walk into the rear yard, you feel, like,
5	"God, you have to clean this up." It's not
6	a beautiful site. There are a lot of
7	changes. Some of those windows are
8	preexisting. Some of them have been
9	replaced. I would just like to know if they
10	are going to include the porch windows or
11	not, or maybe can you?
12	MEMBER MCMAHON: No.
13	MEMBER WALOSKI: I have a question.
14	Who's facing the back? What property is
15	going to look at this?
16	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: This property is
17	facing east. There is nothing really back
18	there.
19	MEMBER WALOSKI: So it's not going to
20	impact anyone's view?
21	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Correct.

23	impact, if you have a house that is really
24	cared for and following all the codes and
25	restrictions and then you get somebody that
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting
1	just puts in whatever.
2	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: I did not see
3	that. As a matter of fact, I think if they
4	are willing to spend the money on
5	replacement windows and the windows on the
6	back would be an improvement on the
7	property.
8	MEMBER WALOSKI: Maybe we should table
9	it until we take a look at it and inspect
10	it and find out what is happening?
11	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Well, yes, this
12	is one way of going forward. There is also
13	the possibility that we can approve it
14	based upon what we are going to put in our
15	motion.
16	MEMBER MCMAHON: These would be an
17	improvement as well.

MEMBER WALOSKI: It will have an

18	MEMBER WALOSKI: I don't even know if	
19	that is even in their plan though. We need	
20	someone to come in and explain it. So I	
21	would table it.	
22	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: I have some	
23	questions too. Based on the agenda, there	
24	are seven windows. Dennis has a point,	
25	there are quite a few more windows. We	
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting	8
1	don't if they are going to be replaced.	
2	MEMBER CLARK: Your point being, you	
3	want them all replaced?	
4	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: No. No. I would	
5	like to know which windows are where.	
6	MEMBER MCMAHON: It's not terribly	
7	clear. To me, I am with them pulling out	
8	their windows and putting in new ones. I	
9	think what is going on back here is not	
10	affecting anybody. It's obviously going to	
11	be an improvement. I cannot imagine that	

these are not going to be replaced here.

13	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Well, it's a
14	porch. I just don't know why they're
15	boarded up. I can see that we can see that
16	we can make a motion of what they're asking
17	for. We may want to ask them to be more
18	specific on which ones are to be replaced
19	and which ones are not. And also exclude
20	any windows on this side, north and south
21	and the front.
22	MEMBER MCMAHON: That's fine.
23	MEMBER WALOSKI: Does that work for
24	everybody?
25	MEMBER CLARK: Yes.
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting 9
1	MEMBER WALOSKI: Okay. I would agree
2	of passing this as long because you said
3	
4	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Make a motion
5	then.
6	MEMBER WALOSKI: I make a motion that
7	we pass replacing the windows proposed on
8	the back of the property at 754 Main

9	Street, but only the back. Not the sides
10	or the front.
11	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: And continuing
12	the motion, we would like more
13	clarifications on which windows will be
14	replaced or are there any windows that
15	MEMBER CLARK: Will remain as is.
16	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Will remain as
17	is.
18	MEMBER MCMAHON: I will second that.
19	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: All in favor?
20	MEMBER CLARK: Aye.
21	MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
22	MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.
23	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Aye.
24	All in favor.
25	Okay. Matt Ritter is here. So we are
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting 10
1	moving onto Item No. 1, continued
2	discussion and possible motion on an

application submitted by Mathew Ritter on

4	behalf of Bennett Brokow (449 Main Holding,
5	LLC), the owner of the commercial property
6	located in the Historic District at 449
7	Main Street. The owner will present
8	samples for the front porch railings.
9	SCTM# 1001-4-7-18.
10	Okay, Matt, how are you doing?
11	MR. RITTER: I'm doing good. How are
12	you? Good to see you.
13	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: So before you get
14	started, this is a project that we reviewed
15	last month, and some details had been
16	approved. The owner was there.
17	MR. RITTER: Yes, he was.
18	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: This is a
19	beautiful historic structure on Main
20	Street. Everybody has seen the paint job
21	on the front elevation. And I have printed
22	out a photo of the historic map that the
23	owner has seen probably or not.
24	MEMBER MCMAHON: Have you seen that
25	map?

1	MR. RITTER: I have not seen that map.
2	I have seen some details but unfortunately
3	have been lost. I have seen some details
4	of the trim on the south side, but only one
5	side. After this application, I was going
6	to actually ask for the Board's
7	recommendation if there is any grants or
8	any funding, to restore the gable trim.
9	Mr. Brokow is highly interested in
10	restoring this. He's a very generous man.
11	This will be a copious amount of work and
12	money, and I would like to know if the
13	Village could assist?
14	MEMBER MCMAHON: There used to be a
15	program. Mr. Abatelli knew more about
16	that. We don't handle that.
17	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: We don't have the
18	funds. You can go to the Village and ask
19	Mr. Abatelli about it. But tonight, Matt
20	Ritter, we are here to discuss those items,
21	the gable or
22	MR. RITTER: It was something that I

23	wanted to ask about.	
24	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Okay. So we're	
25	only talking about the railing?	
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting	12
1	MR. RITTER: Yes.	
2	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: So what is the	
3	owner planning to do as far as the porch	
4	railings?	
5	MR. RITTER: This is my design here.	
6	Going to paint it white obviously. This	
7	design replicates the window trim. Comes	
8	down just like this in the middle.	
9	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: So we have a	
10	pre-base in front and this central element	
11	will be one	
12	MR. RITTER: Yes, per bay.	
13	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: And on both	
14	sides?	
15	MR. RITTER: Correct. And the stairs.	
16	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Stairs going	
17	down. Okay. Now, what is the height of	

18	this?	
19	MR. RITTER: 36.	
20	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: I don't think you	
21	actually have to make it 36" in height	
22	because the historic building had very low	
23	railing. I was talking to the Building	
24	Inspector and she would grandfather this	
25	in. I would like to know from you what the	
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting 1	.3
1	distance is from the top of the porch to	
2	the not really our concern, but the	
3	Building Department's concern. Is it more	
4	than 30" from the top of the porch to the	
5	grade? As per building code, you don't	
6	need a 36" rail if you are closer to the	
7	grade. We would like to get as closely to	
8	the original, as far as the height is	
9	concerned. This may be 20-21" high.	
10	MR. RITTER: I see the original. Very	
11	nice. I had not seen this before.	
12	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Matt, so what it	
13	was before, this is a little bit out of	

14	proportion. This is not the historical
15	look of a porch. These homes, like mine
16	and Dennis' home, our railings are a little
17	bit lower to the ground. We would like you
18	to keep that in mind. I personally think
19	that it should be lowered.
20	MR. RITTER: So do I. Obviously there
21	is a cap that comes on the columns for
22	detail. That is where this railing stops,
23	at that cap.
24	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: This is an
25	indication that the railing would be a
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting 14
1	couple of inches lower. Question is, can
2	you shorten this?
3	MR. RITTER: Sure.
4	MEMBER WALOSKI: How would this look
5	if shortened?
6	MEMBER MCMAHON: You can take the
7	centers our. The square ones are easier.

The decorative ones become shorter.

9	MR. RITTER: It's a great idea. I
10	would love to do it.
11	MEMBER MCMAHON: If you can get it
12	down to that height and squeeze it
13	MR. RITTER: Okay. Done deal.
14	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: We are talking
15	about historical railings. So I feel, as
16	we discuss it now, we have to bring it down
17	so that it works with the columns.
18	MR. RITTER: It would look very nice.
19	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: So this design
20	will be a departure of the historical
21	design but let's discuss this?
22	MEMBER MCMAHON: They have the same
23	sort of details on my windows. The fact
24	that you drag that same sort of elements to
25	the windows, that is what you want to do.
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting 15
1	I think too many of those, it's too busy.
2	The fact that he made it lighter, it's
3	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Could we have
4	basically the distance of the two styles,

5	of the spindles, could we move the frame
6	closer?
7	MEMBER MCMAHON: You are looking at
8	the space in the center?
9	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Yes. I don't
10	care so much about the bottom. We are now
11	looking at the entire place. So we have
12	like six or seven spindles on the right
13	hand side.
14	MEMBER WALOSKI: To me it doesn't
15	matter.
16	MEMBER MCMAHON: Those are all equal
17	spaces. I agree.
18	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: I feel that this
19	should be the same in space. I don't care
20	so much about this I mean, that is my
21	opinion. You may want to widen this
22	another half an inch, I don't know. As
23	long as the voids are basically the same,
24	then it would flow together.
25	MR. RITTER: Okay. Interesting. I

- will just re-proportion these setbacks.
- 2 CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: This gets a
- 3 little bit closer. You an widen this a
- 4 little more.
- 5 MEMBER MCMAHON: The cadence always
- 6 changes. Matt's giving us a simple here.
- 7 CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: That is my
- 8 opinion.
- 9 MR. RITTER: Okay. You have a valid
- 10 point.
- 11 CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: I would have
- 12 approved the spindles continuously but I
- 13 kind of like it.
- 14 All right.
- MR. RITTER: I wish I had seen these
- 16 pictures prior.
- 17 CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: These pictures
- 18 are available at Village Hall to the
- 19 public.
- 20 Okay. So may I have a motion.
- 21 MEMBER MCMAHON: I will make a motion
- to approve the sample railing. Matt's

23	going to shorten it and make it a little
24	more appropriate of what is there, and
25	adjust the spacing and try and shorten it.
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting 17
1	MR. RITTER: Do you need me to bring
2	another sample?
3	MEMBER MCMAHON: No, we are approving
4	it.
5	MEMBER CLARK: I second it.
6	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: All in favor?
7	MEMBER CLARK: Aye.
8	MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
9	MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.
10	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Aye.
11	Are you planning to come back next
12	month to discuss other details?
13	MR. RITTER: I didn't plan to.
14	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: I don't need to
15	see another sample. I would rather take a
16	look at it in the field.
17	MEMBER WALOSKI: Yeah, we can stop by,
18	an not hold you up.

19	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Matt, thank you
20	very much for your presentation.
21	So moving right on. Item No. 3,
22	motion to approve the minutes of December
23	2, 2013.
24	MEMBER WALOSKI: I make a motion to
25	approve the minutes of December 2, 2013.
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting 18
1	MEMBER CLARK: I second.
2	
3	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: All in favor.
4	MEMBER CLARK: Aye.
5	MEMBER MCMAHON: Aye.
6	MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.
7	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Aye.
8	Motion to accept the minutes of last
9	month, January 6, 2014.
10	MEMBER CLARK: I will make a motion to
11	accept them. I actually have corrections.
12	Do you want to do it next month?
13	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: No, I think we

14	can do it now and we can approve it. You
15	have some comments?
16	MEMBER CLARK: Yes.
17	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Please. Go
18	ahead.
19	MEMBER CLARK: Page 31, Line 12. It
20	states that it's Mr. Brokow but it's
21	actually Mr. Van Eiff from the Baptist
22	Church. And also Line 17, the same thing.
23	Also Page 32, Line 3 and Line 9. For some
24	reason, Mr. Brokow's name got into where
25	Van Eiff should have been.
	February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting 19
1	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: That's important.
2	So basically replacing the name Brokow with
3	Van Eiff. Thank you for that, Lucy.
4	MEMBER CLARK: You're welcome.
5	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: Item No. 5,
6	motion to schedule the next HPC Meeting for
7	March 3, 2014.
8	MEMBER CLARK: I will be here. I make

a motion to schedule the next HPC Meeting

1	10	for March 3, 2014. Is everyone available?	
1	11	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: I am not. I am	
1	12	in Germany. Would you like to Chair the	
1	13	meeting?	
1	14	MEMBER CLARK: I would love to Chair	
1	15	the meeting.	
1	16	CHAIRMAN ULLENDAHL: So then I will	
1	17	appoint Lucy to Chair the meeting next	
1	18	month. Thank you very much.	
1	19	Motion to adjourn. It is now 5:46.	
2	20		
2	21		
2	22	(Whereupon, the meeting concluded.)	
2	23		
2	24		
2	25		
		February 10, 2014 Regular Meeting	20
	1	CERTIFICATION	
	2		
	3		
	4	I, Jessica DiLallo, a Notary Public for and	

5	within the State of New York, do hereby certify:
6	THAT, the witness(es) whose testimony is
7	herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me, and
8	THAT the within transcript is a true record of
9	the testimony given by said witness(es).
10	I further certify that I am not related either
11	by blood or marriage to any of the parties to
12	this action; and that I am in no way interested
13	in the outcome of this matter.
14	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
15	hand this day, February 24, 2014.
16	
17	
18	
19	(Jessica DiLallo)
20	
21	* * * *
22	
23	
24	
25	