

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
COUNTY OF SUFFOLK STATE OF NEW YORK
-----X
HISTORIC PRESERVATION COMMISSION
REGULAR SESSION
-----X

Third Street Firehouse
Greenport, New York

May 7, 2017
5:05 p.m.

- Before:
- STEPHEN BULL - CHAIRMAN (Not present)
 - DENNIS McMAHON - MEMBER
 - SUSAN WETSELL - MEMBER
 - CAROLINE WALOSKI - MEMBER
 - ROSELLE BORRELLI - MEMBER
- KRISTINA LINGG - BUILDING CLERK

I N D E X

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Item 1 - 211 Carpenter Street	3 - 24
Item 2 - 449 Main Street	24 - 31
Item 3 - 603 First Street	31 - 35
Item 4 - 101 Sterling Street	35 - 39
Item 5 - Motion to accept minutes 4/2/18	39 - 40
Item 6 - Motion to approve minutes 3/5/18	40
Item 7 - Motion to schedule next meeting 6-4-18	40 - 41
Item 8 - Motion to adjourn	41

1 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: We are
2 ready. We are ready. We are going to
3 open the meeting. HPC. And it is about a
4 little after five. And we have several
5 things on our agenda here. I will
6 introduce myself, Dennis McMahon. And to
7 my left.

8 MEMBER WETSELL: Susan Wetsell.

9 MEMBER BORRELLI: Roselle
10 Borrelli.

11 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: We have
12 a couple of things. I will start reading
13 them off.

14 Item number 1 - 211 Carpenter
15 Street. Discussion and possible motion on
16 the application submitted by Old Shipyard,
17 LLC. The applicant is proposing changes
18 to the plans previously approved by the
19 HPC for the location at 211 Carpenter
20 Street. SC Tax Map 1001-4-10-11.

21 Okay. Let's proceed. Is somebody
22 here?

23 MR. BERCOCIA: Yes, sir. Hello.
24 Hello there. Eric Nicosia, architect for
25 the applicant. I guess we are here today

1 -- regrettably there was a
2 miscommunication on -- as to how the
3 committee works in relation to the
4 Planning Board, etcetera. We have been
5 updating our changes through the Building
6 Department and the Planning Department.
7 But we are here today to hopefully clean
8 up the situation.

9 Some minor changes had been made.
10 Initially we started the project intending
11 to save a lot of what was there and the
12 stair locations and things like that. And
13 as with all renovations, once you get into
14 it we found some things that were
15 unworkable and through the course of that
16 we made changes to the door, door
17 locations and the front porch.

18 I believe the issue -- I have
19 pictures of what was there previously. I
20 guess some of the issue might have been
21 with the columns out front. There were
22 some brick pillars and -- over spindle
23 columns. But we found that not only was
24 the porch roof rotting, but there were
25 issues with the brick columns eliminating

1 the amount of space on the porch. So in
2 the course of that we took that -- we took
3 that out to make more space and to make
4 the door situation work. And I have
5 updated plans that reflect what was
6 constructed there.

7 And certainly if there are any
8 questions or concerns.

9 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes.
10 Everything has gone through Building
11 Department, though, Eric? They are all
12 onboard?

13 MR. NICOSIA: Yes. Yes.

14 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
15 That's good. That's good. I mean the
16 brick columns were never original to the
17 house itself anyway. But let's move past
18 that.

19 What was presented to us was what?
20 The location of the front door and the
21 steps?

22 MR. NICOSIA: Well the front door
23 --

24 MEMBER WETSELL: Everything.
25 Everything.

1 MR. NICOSIA: That is a picture
2 here.

3 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes.
4 This picture. Does everybody have this
5 picture?

6 MEMBER WETSELL: Yes.

7 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.

8 MR. NICOSIA: Right. The front
9 door in the middle. Originally we had an
10 apartment entrance. The apartment ended
11 up being eliminated. The stairway was too
12 narrow and numerous problems on the
13 existing stairs to the basement.

14 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.

15 MR. NICOSIA: So in the end it
16 ended up --

17 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Central.

18 MR. NICOSIA: Centering the door.
19 We still have the scallops. We still have
20 the shingle pipes that -- I have the
21 original --

22 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: So the
23 only thing that has changed is the
24 location of the -- pushing the door to the
25 center and eliminating the tenant access.

1 Is there --

2 MR. NICOSIA: Correct.

3 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Whether
4 there is tenants to us, it doesn't matter.
5 That is not our department. I have no
6 problem with the fact that the door is
7 centered.

8 MEMBER WETSELL: I --

9 MR. NICOSIA: Well --

10 MS. LINGG: Please don't speak
11 over each other. If you are going to
12 speak go to the podium.

13 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes.
14 Please approach.

15 MS. LINGG: It is for our
16 stenographer.

17 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Frank.
18 Hello Frank.

19 MR. PURITA: Hi. The reason why
20 the columns were changed really had to do
21 with the door because --

22 MR. NICOSIA: The door and the
23 room on the porch.

24 MR. PURITA: And new building
25 codes and handicapped and everything else.

1 So trying to keep with the use we are
2 trying to have there.

3 MEMBER WETSELL: You came before
4 us wanting to leave two windows, change
5 one window to a door and leave the
6 existing door. And you took out all the
7 windows and put in this massive door. I
8 don't call that a small change.

9 MR. NICOSIA: Well, it is
10 relatively -- I mean it is -- to make the
11 use of the building work this is what
12 happened to pass. And we went through
13 that with the Planning and Building
14 Department. Obviously there was a
15 disconnect. But it is not a substantial
16 change from what the building was. And
17 based on, you know, what is surrounding
18 it, you know --

19 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Alright.
20 Yeah.

21 MR. NICOSIA: Things were
22 crumbling. The bricks were not usable.

23 MR. PURITA: It was never in our
24 budget also to take --

25 MEMBER WETSELL: My problem isn't

1 with the columns. It is with the windows.

2 MR. NICOSIA: So then by, you
3 know, with the window requirements, the
4 structural corner so, you know, trying to
5 add additional windows to the side of the
6 door there was not -- there wasn't enough
7 room for the curve. The windows
8 themselves all have blue storm windows.
9 It had blue aluminium trim around the
10 thing. I think we made a substantial
11 improvement to the building. It certainly
12 --

13 MEMBER WETSELL: Well, it could be
14 an improvement from a construction point
15 of view, but historically its --

16 MEMBER WALOSKI: Its not. Its
17 not.

18 MR. NICOSIA: There were numerous
19 --

20 MEMBER WETSELL: Historically it
21 is totally wrong.

22 MR. NICOSIA: -- requirements and
23 everything else. It is impossible to make
24 everybody happy in this situation. I
25 think we would have -- if we would have

1 come back here and presented it it would
2 have been --

3 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes. If
4 this -- yes.

5 MEMBER WETSELL: Perhaps, except
6 it wouldn't have been the same.

7 MR. NICOSIA: So unfortunately
8 maybe a liaison would -- between
9 Historical Committee and Planning
10 certainly would have helped to prevent
11 this situation from occurring. But we
12 have been in constant contact with Eileen
13 on a regular basis and they have been
14 fully updated along the way.
15 Unfortunately --

16 MEMBER BORRELLI: If I could just
17 say a couple of things. So the house as
18 it -- I'm looking at it right now. The
19 old house, right? Historically vinyl
20 siding is not historic. So the fact that
21 you took that off, that's great. The
22 columns are not original at all. They are
23 just spindles built on these brick things
24 that are not original bricks. The cones
25 on the right, I don't know. That might

1 be. The porch is not original. That is
2 all -- whatever that is with the other
3 stuff that you got going on. It is slate
4 or whatever.

5 MR. PURITA: It looked as if they
6 were rotting and they put bricks on the
7 bottom.

8 MEMBER BORRELLI: So knowing what
9 the house used to look like. Knowing that
10 whatever I see -- almost everything. And
11 that little thing that is up on that chair
12 rail thing that looks like a ladder that
13 somebody has strewn across the top of the
14 porch, that unfortunately is not original
15 either to that house. The fact that you
16 tried to respect the scallops up on the
17 top, that is a good deal. Because that
18 does make it look -- yeah. Like
19 originally the way it looked.

20 MR. PURITA: Eileen made sure that
21 happened.

22 MEMBER BORRELLI: So that looks
23 good.

24 MR. PURITA: She wanted it on the
25 back of the house. And we did that.

1 MEMBER BORRELLI: So knowing what
2 it looked like which was -- I don't even
3 know, with nothing -- almost nothing being
4 historical on it except maybe the
5 placement of the windows, to the way you
6 fixed it, congratulations.

7 MR. PURITA: Thank you.

8 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I mean,
9 I think we have to take into practical
10 consideration of what we have to use this
11 building as now.

12 MEMBER BORRELLI: Exactly.

13 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
14 And take a little bit of the flavor, which
15 would be the columns, the scallop look,
16 the windows upstairs. I understand that
17 we lost the windows downstairs. But from
18 a practical standpoint, I also, you know,
19 it is a commercial spot now.

20 MEMBER BORRELLI: Exactly.

21 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: It is
22 not a home anymore.

23 MEMBER BORRELLI: And the front of
24 it is a shipyard.

25 MR. PURITA: And to make that

1 commercial use we had to abide by --
2 special doors, two egresses, sprinklers
3 and this --

4 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON:
5 Personally -- and I don't speak for
6 everybody here, but I don't see any loss
7 in regards to what was there and what is
8 now. I think the focus is on the top of
9 the building and what was original to it.
10 The fact that it still remains a porch. A
11 centered porch is just fine. That is
12 beautiful.

13 MEMBER BORRELLI: Yes.

14 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I mean
15 aesthetically it is. It is sad when we
16 lose a kind of home feel to it in regards
17 to windows and a home feel, but now we
18 have to understand that it is now a
19 commercial space. And you know what, and
20 you don't -- and you make the effort to
21 restore something like this and keep at
22 least some of the flavor. Especially
23 where it is and located in the back of the
24 back streets.

25 MR. PURITA: If you go inside the

1 house, by the way, we -- some of the
2 bricks that we -- we reused the bricks.
3 We reused the beams. Some of the beams we
4 took down. I made into flooring. I
5 invite you all to come in. I hope you
6 like it. We have been working at it for
7 two years almost.

8 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Right.
9 I understand that. I have been by.

10 Anybody else questions or
11 comments. I understand we have some
12 feelings about it. But are you --

13 MEMBER WETSELL: Well, I'm not
14 going to ask any questions.

15 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
16 Okay. Anybody want to make a motion to
17 pass and/or deny?

18 MEMBER BORRELLI: I'll make a
19 motion to approve the building by --
20 representing by Eric Nicosia on Old
21 Shipyard Lane, 211 Carpenter Street. I'm
22 sorry. Property owner. 211 Carpenter
23 Street, I make a motion to approve it.

24 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I will
25 second it.

1 MEMBER WALOSKI: I abstain.

2 MEMBER WETSELL: I vote no.

3 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Abstain.

4 For what reason? Let's get through this.

5 Let's get through this.

6 MEMBER WALOSKI: Well the windows

7 are two -- one over one. The front doors

8 are -- they are just --

9 MEMBER WETSELL: Ridiculous.

10 MEMBER WALOSKI: It is like the --

11 MR. NICOSIA: Well with what was

12 there and what we had to use it for, the

13 windows again had aluminium storm.

14 MEMBER WALOSKI: Yeah, but you

15 know we don't do this.

16 MR. NICOSIA: Wind was blowing

17 through --

18 MEMBER WALOSKI: Yeah, but when

19 you were ordering them they could have

20 been two over two. Which would have been

21 more in keeping with the area. And the

22 same thing with the doors downstairs.

23 They could have had -- we were -- on all

24 of the other buildings we have been --

25 MR. NICOSIA: The doors still have

1 grills.

2 MR. PURITA: The doors have

3 grills.

4 MEMBER WALOSKI: But they are snap
5 in grills. They are not --

6 MR. PURITA: They are what?

7 MEMBER WALOSKI: They are snap in.

8 MEMBER WETSELL: That is not
9 approved by our committee.

10 MR. NICOSIA: This is next to the
11 rusting out shipyard. There is not a
12 tremendous amount on that block.

13 MEMBER WALOSKI: It is still a
14 historic neighborhood.

15 MR. PURITA: Just back to the
16 commercial use. We need push bar -- there
17 are special doors that the Building
18 Department and the Fire Department and the
19 safety --

20 MR. NICOSIA: That is why we
21 installed --

22 MEMBER WALOSKI: Yeah, but the
23 panes don't affect --

24 MR. PURITA: With all due respect,
25 honestly, anyone that knows that house

1 over there -- I brought Vee because she
2 has been here a long time. She knows a
3 lot about the house. It is not very
4 historical. It's been -- it had vinyl
5 siding over vinyl siding over another
6 siding which we removed. It had different
7 windows from different time periods.
8 There was one window that was original
9 which I still have in there. And it is
10 stained glass. That was in the attic. I
11 have it downstairs.

12 MEMBER WETSELL: What are you
13 going to do with it?

14 MR. PURITA: I was going to try to
15 save it. Hopefully she could help me
16 write something about the window as with
17 the floors --

18 MEMBER WETSELL: But it is not in
19 the house?

20 MR. PURITA: What's that?

21 MEMBER WETSELL: It is not in the
22 house?

23 MR. PURITA: No. It is broken.
24 Racoons had done -- had eaten -- there
25 were racoons living in this house.

1 MEMBER WALOSKI: I mean it is a
2 really nice job but we have ruled on other
3 buildings in the area and we have made
4 sure that they have done things to code in
5 the Historic District and it is hard for
6 us to pass just because it has been made
7 to look presentable.

8 MEMBER WETSELL: Our job is to see
9 if it is historically accurate.

10 MR. NICOSIA: It has never been
11 historically accurate. I think it already
12 has --

13 MEMBER WETSELL: As much as
14 possible.

15 MEMBER WALOSKI: As much as
16 possible.

17 MEMBER WETSELL: It is less
18 historically accurate than it was.

19 MR. NICOSIA: But I think it is
20 being a little unreasonable. Especially,
21 let's start with the circumstances. We
22 have been through a lot with the Building
23 Department. We lost the building
24 inspector. We didn't -- the liaison never
25 happened. This could have been taken care

1 of months and months ago. But now we are
2 now at, obviously, the crunch time, a
3 critical moment for the owner to operate
4 this facility. It is not a tremendous --

5 MR. PURITA: I would be -- I would
6 have been happy if what you wanted -- as
7 long as someone told me.

8 MEMBER WALOSKI: Why didn't this
9 come back to us?

10 MR. PURITA: I don't want to --I
11 will tell you that in private because it
12 had to do with an employee of the Village.
13 But we have records that show that we went
14 to the Building Department. He has got
15 e-mails, correspondence, updated plans.

16 MEMBER WALOSKI: I understand your
17 plight because you have already done the
18 work.

19 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Right.

20 MR. PURITA: My real plight right
21 now is if I don't open now, you know, I
22 might not open. I have exhausted
23 everything to try to finish it, including
24 one more -- here is another -- the
25 Building Inspector, along with someone

1 else from the Village had come over. And
2 there was something -- we have sprinklers
3 that are required. They said are
4 required. And we have an RPC valve. It
5 was originally put in in the front, in the
6 porch. It is a nine foot box by -- what
7 is it? Nine by --

8 MR. NICOSIA: I think it is more
9 like six feet. The original RPC was on
10 the front porch. It had --

11 MR. PURITA: No. No. My point is
12 -- I just want to make a point. They came
13 in and said, you know what, the Historical
14 Committee is not going to like this. This
15 is what I was told. I said, what do we
16 do? Well, it would be great if you put it
17 on the side. I called him. They were
18 there. He spoke to them. They figured it
19 out. It could go on the inside. I said,
20 okay. Do it. I am going to lose space
21 inside. It is a very small building.
22 Unbeknownst to me \$8500 later to move
23 that, to keep that porch open. So I have
24 done other things like that.

25 I keep saying, I have been in the

1 Village 20 years. And I have been -- this
2 house has been in back of me. I know
3 everything about this home.

4 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Can I --
5 can I ask a question? Not meaning to cut
6 you off. Are these Anderson windows?

7 MR. PURITA: Yes.

8 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Are
9 these Anderson windows? Could you --
10 could you -- at your expense -- and I am
11 sad to say, make those three top windows
12 true divided, two over twos or what they
13 were originally?

14 MR. NICOSIA: Well, what they were
15 is kind of --

16 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: They are
17 two over twos.

18 MEMBER WALOSKI: They were two
19 over twos.

20 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I see
21 two over twos. Would you go through that
22 expense to do two over twos? Would that
23 satisfy a portion --

24 MS. LINGG: If I may --

25 MEMBER WALOSKI: Can we have

1 another discussion because --

2 MS. LINGG: Excuse me. If I may,
3 you guys did already vote though. You
4 have three people vote. I believe that
5 would have passed then.

6 MEMBER BORRELLI: It passes. One
7 person abstains, two vote yes. It passes.

8 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Oh, it
9 passes. Alright. You are out of town.
10 Alright. I'm sorry we had to divide the
11 Board on this.

12 MR. PURITA: For the future --

13 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes.

14 MR. PURITA -- in the Village to
15 save anyone else -- Eric's idea of a
16 liaison between the Building, Planning and
17 Historic.

18 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes.

19 MR. PURITA: To avoid these
20 situations.

21 MEMBER WALOSKI: I don't
22 understand what happened, the break down
23 --

24 MR. PURITA: If you would like to
25 talk to me in private.

1 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yeah.
2 Let's not use up the Committee's time at
3 this point. Yes. We need a lot of
4 improvement. That is just in regards
5 because we lost a value part of our team,
6 being Eileen. She was always here to
7 explain what did or didn't go down.

8 MS. LINGG: Try not to talk --

9 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I wish
10 we could have done more. We would have
11 pushed for those windows.

12 MR. PURITA: I am still opening --

13 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Well,
14 whatever.

15 MEMBER BORRELLI: If I could just
16 say the way you finished the porch with
17 the wood, the way that you did that and
18 the columns is much more historically
19 correct than the brick with slate, which I
20 have never, ever seen in a historic house.

21 MEMBER WALOSKI: It is remodeling.

22 MEMBER BORRELLI: That is more
23 historic. Correct.

24 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: That's
25 it. We have -- they have reached

1 approval. We are going to move onto the
2 next item 2. 449 Main Street. Discussion
3 and possible motion --

4 Excuse me. Do I have to go back
5 and -- that was approved? That's it. So
6 we are not going to re-muddle that.
7 Alright guys, good luck.

8 Item number 2 - 449 Main Street.
9 Discussion and possible motion on the
10 application submitted by Patricia
11 Liantonio. The applicant is before the
12 Board for sign approval for the store
13 located at 449 Main Street. SCTM number
14 1001-3-4-15.

15 MS. LIANTONIO: Hello. Patricia
16 Liantonio.

17 MEMBER WALOSKI: Hi Patricia.

18 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: What do
19 we have here? Where are we?

20 MS. LIANTONIO: A new sign that we
21 brought before the Historical Committee to
22 make sure it is in proper accordance with
23 the home, the 1800 home and the rest of
24 the neighborhood. So Murray's is above me
25 and we have used the same sign person,

1 North Fork Signs. And he duplicated the
2 coloring. The sign was the existing sign
3 that Crinoline had. We just changed the
4 wording. The color might have been
5 changed. I think she had a lights blue.
6 But it is the same exact sign you had
7 approved for her, the specs and how it was
8 going to be laid below Murray's building.

9 MEMBER BORRELLI: Because
10 Crinoline went to the corner across from
11 Crazy Beans?

12 MS. LIANTONIO: Yes.

13 MEMBER BORRELLI: Yes. Okay.

14 MS. LIANTONIO: Yes. And I moved
15 from Southold to Greenport.

16 MEMBER WETSELL: It's this one.

17 MEMBER BORRELLI: So it is going
18 to look just like Murray's sign?

19 MS. LIANTONIO: It is the exact
20 same size specs and hung on the same
21 wooden board. It was blue and white.
22 This is a --

23 MEMBER BORRELLI: Green and white.

24 MS. LIANTONIO: Yes.

25 MEMBER WALOSKI: This says

1 aluminium composite panels.

2 MS. LIANTONIO: I'm sorry?

3 MEMBER WALOSKI: It says aluminium
4 composite panels.

5 MS. LIANTONIO: Yes.

6 MEMBER WALOSKI: Over redwood
7 core. The Murray sign is a wooden sign.

8 MS. LIANTONIO: I'm sorry.

9 MEMBER WALOSKI: The Murray sign
10 is a wooden sign.

11 MS. LIANTONIO: They call that
12 something. When they -- not whitewashed.
13 It is on wood, yes.

14 MEMBER WALOSKI: Where is the
15 aluminium? Is that the paneling around
16 the side?

17 MS. LIANTONIO: I believe so. The
18 whole sign is aluminium, I believe.

19 MEMBER BORRELLI: No. It says
20 redwood core.

21 MS. LIANTONIO: So then he put the
22 aluminium over the wood. Painted, yes.
23 No?

24 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Is this
25 sign complete?

1 MS. LIANTONIO: Yes.

2 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON:

3 Composite, I'm not familiar with that.
4 Aluminium composite. I'm not familiar
5 with it. I'm sorry that I'm not familiar
6 with it. I might be able to just say, hey
7 that is like Azek or that is like any of
8 the plastic other products. But I don't
9 know anything about it.

10 MEMBER WALOSKI: I don't know what
11 it is.

12 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yeah.

13 MEMBER BORRELLI: So I'm just --
14 the sign is already a sign that was
15 already in the district already?

16 MS. LIANTONIO: Correct.

17 MEMBER BORRELLI: So you just took
18 the sign and had the guy make it to the
19 same size so that it can fit in the little
20 housing that we got here --

21 MS. LIANTONIO: Literally --
22 literally -- Carrie left us the sign that
23 she had up and we just covered it, yes.

24 MEMBER BORRELLI: Okay.

25 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: There is

1 absolutely nothing wrong with the wording,
2 the sign, the color, the size, anything.

3 MEMBER WALOSKI: I don't see
4 anything wrong with it, no. I am just
5 considering that aluminium, how that
6 shows. Does that show or you don't see
7 it?

8 MEMBER WETSELL: Does it look like
9 plastic or does it look --

10 MS. LIANTONIO: No. It doesn't.
11 It is very clean. It does not look like
12 plastic. I am not even sure you can tell
13 it is aluminium. But it doesn't look like
14 plastic. It is heavy. It is very heavy.

15 MEMBER WALOSKI: It is a sign and
16 it is not going to make too much
17 difference.

18 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Right.

19 MEMBER WALOSKI: It is not going
20 to be there forever.

21 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: It is
22 not a huge impact.

23 MEMBER WALOSKI: I mean it is not
24 something that changes the structure of
25 anything.

1 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: No.

2 MEMBER WALOSKI: That's what I
3 meant.

4 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Going by
5 -- I'm with you.

6 MS. LIANTONIO: I hope I'm there.

7 MEMBER WALOSKI: I hope you are
8 there a very long time and are successful.

9 MS. LIANTONIO: Thank you.

10 MEMBER WALOSKI: I'm sorry.

11 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I don't
12 think it has enough impact in regards to
13 what we are talking about to make a
14 difference.

15 MEMBER BORRELLI: And it is also a
16 sign that has been previously used in the
17 same area and it had to be approved prior.

18 MS. LIANTONIO: That's why I guess
19 she left it.

20 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Anybody
21 want to make a motion?

22 MEMBER WALOSKI: I make a motion
23 that we approve the sign.

24 MS. LIANTONIO: Thank you.

25 MEMBER BORRELLI: I second.

1 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All aye?

2 MEMBER WETSELL: Aye.

3 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All
4 right.

5 MS. LIANTONIO: Thank you. Is it
6 appropriate to say please come in for an
7 organic tea?

8 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: No, it
9 isn't.

10 MEMBER BORRELLI: What is it?

11 MS. LIANTONIO: It is a wellness
12 treatment center that also has a boutique.
13 It has retail. All the products are
14 organic. So there is health products.
15 There is organic skin care. And there is
16 home goods that are all aligned with
17 nature and Greenport and --

18 MEMBER BORRELLI: So it is just
19 products that you are selling? You are
20 not doing massage, spa and --

21 MS. LIANTONIO: Absolutely.
22 Licensed medical massage and treatment and
23 organic skin care.

24 MS. LINGG: It is currently before
25 the Planning Board for approval.

1 MS. LIANTONIO: Yes. That is June
2 7th. Thank you.

3 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: You are
4 welcome. Moving along.

5 Item number 3 - 603 First Street.
6 Discussion and possible motion on the
7 application submitted by Alexandra
8 Simmonds. The applicant is proposing to
9 construct a fence along the property line
10 at 603 First Street. SCTM 1001-2-6-30.

11 Hello.

12 MS. SIMMONDS: Hello. I am
13 Alexandra Simmonds. And we purchased the
14 old Caster house at 603 First Street in
15 2003. It has been lovingly restored since
16 then. But the fence that was up at the
17 time has now severely deteriorated and is
18 falling down. So are just proposing to
19 replace the fence exactly as it is. The
20 same height, the same sections. Just a
21 different design. We are mindful that we
22 are a corner property. So the fence to
23 the south side of the house will only be
24 four feet high. And it is actually just
25 two sections because we have privet. And

1 you will see that on the survey. We have
2 privet all along the property.
3 Which the library is very happy about
4 because they didn't ever want a fence
5 here.

6 MEMBER WALOSKI: So you are using
7 two different styles? One is with more
8 open slats and then one that is more
9 stockade, closed in with the openings on
10 the top?

11 MS. SIMMONDS: Yes. That is the
12 way it is now. The tongue and groove is
13 going to be varied between our neighbor's
14 property and ours. And as it comes around
15 we will put the same to give us privacy
16 and protection. But then to the north
17 side of the property -- we were not able
18 to put a four foot fence that replicated
19 what we were doing, whatever it is, six
20 feet. It would look puny. We were told
21 to do something that is similar but, you
22 know --

23 MEMBER WETSELL: I'm confused.
24 This is the old fence?

25 MS. SIMMONDS: No. Actually that

1 -- my apologies. I was having a lot of
2 difficulty getting Internet photos from
3 Riverhead Fence Company. And so finally
4 when we came through on Saturday from
5 Washington DC -- he never gave me a
6 brochure. So he gave me a brochure and
7 those photographs to your right on the
8 table there are the actual photographs of
9 what we are doing.

10 MEMBER WETSELL: So this is
11 somebody else's house.

12 MS. SIMMONDS: It is somebody
13 else's house. It was just an example of
14 tongue and groove.

15 MEMBER BORRELLI: Can you just
16 tell me which house it is?

17 MS. SIMMONDS: It is a brick house
18 on the corner across from the library.

19 MEMBER WETSELL: Oh, okay. It is
20 nice.

21 MS. SIMMONDS: It is nice. We
22 love it.

23 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Well, we
24 all love wood fences. We really never
25 have a problem with whatever style you

1 pick. You know and -- the consideration
2 is more so towards your neighbors. And
3 what the Village code determines in
4 regards to height is allowed in certain
5 sections. It is sort of a sunlight law.
6 And this doesn't appear -- again, I was
7 looking at this picture as well.

8 MS. SIMMONDS: When he said that
9 to me I said, this is not going to work.
10 They are not even the same height. So
11 those photographs are exactly what we are
12 doing and the neighbor is very happy with
13 what we are doing. And the library is
14 very happy because we are not putting a
15 fence there. We just have privet. We
16 have two little sections with a pedestrian
17 gate.

18 MEMBER WETSELL: Where you checked
19 it?

20 MS. SIMMONDS: Yes. But the
21 heights are all the same. It is a wood
22 fence. Everything is the same it is just
23 newer and a slightly different design.

24 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Lovely.
25 All lovely. I think it is a no brainer

1 and I would like to make a motion to
2 approve.

3 MEMBER BORRELLI: Second.

4 MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.

5 MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.

6 MS. SIMMONDS: Thank you.

7 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Thank
8 you. Making it easy for us.

9 MEMBER WETSELL: That was easy,
10 yes.

11 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
12 We are down to item 4.

13 Item number 4 - Discussion and
14 possible motion on the application
15 submitted by Judith Segal and James
16 Kelley. The applicants are proposing to
17 construct a wooden fence at the property
18 located at 101 Sterling Street.

19 Do we have anybody here? We
20 don't. Can we look this over and possibly
21 make a decision. Is there anything in
22 front of us?

23 MEMBER BORRELLI: Yes.

24 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Let's
25 take a peek at what we have. Replace

1 existing door and install new door.

2 MS. LINGG: We are just here for
3 the fence today.

4 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
5 We are just doing the fence today. Cool.

6 MEMBER WETSELL: They didn't give
7 the stuff in.

8 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Is there
9 any fence information? It is just specs.

10 MS. LINGG: As far as I understand
11 from the applicant it is a custom fence
12 that is being made. There is a drawing
13 included towards the back of your package.

14 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Got ya.
15 I am missing a drawing.

16 MS. LINGG: I have an extra.

17 MEMBER WALOSKI: And it is cedar.

18 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
19 Now I understand it. I know the diamond
20 concept. They are diamond shaped cuts and
21 invert boards. That's fine. We have no
22 problem with that.

23 Where does it go on the property?
24 Do we know that? Any idea and how much
25 fence? Oh, you have all the info.

1 MEMBER BORRELLI: This is the
2 house -- do you know which house this is,
3 Dennis?

4 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I am not
5 --

6 MEMBER BORRELLI: It is the one at
7 the very end of Sterling that hits the
8 dock. There is a dock in front of it.

9 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Oh, yes.

10 MEMBER BORRELLI: A private dock.
11 And that is the one that Owen Construction
12 built. The new house.

13 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Oh,
14 okay. So what are they doing? The
15 backyard?

16 MEMBER BORRELLI: No. I think
17 this is the house that -- they are putting
18 it all around the perimeter?

19 MS. LINGG: I don't believe so.

20 MEMBER BORRELLI: The four foot in
21 the front is going down the front street
22 on Sterling. The other street is the
23 side. The backyard is here. It is going
24 around the -- what is that?

25 MEMBER WALOSKI: There is a fence

1 here and a fence there. It is only in two
2 places.

3 MEMBER BORRELLI: This is not the
4 fencing, this black stuff?

5 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yep.
6 Okay. I'm on board now.

7 MEMBER BORRELLI: I mean, it seems
8 fine to me.

9 MEMBER WALOSKI: Yes.

10 MEMBER BORRELLI: What that mark
11 is in there is the driveway. That's where
12 they pull in. That is where they put
13 their cars, I think.

14 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yes.

15 MEMBER BORRELLI: That is a little
16 square.

17 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Yeah. I
18 mean that is the sort of fence we like.

19 MEMBER WALOSKI: Yeah.

20 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: The
21 design is very familiar. It is very
22 common actually. As long, again, as they
23 abide to the rules of the height and their
24 neighbors. They can't be six feet totally
25 across the side. I do not believe anyway.

1 You can go to a certain point. As long as
2 that is all taken care of I think we're --
3 we got a winner.

4 MEMBER BORRELLI: I think it is
5 fine.

6 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: I'll
7 make a motion to approve the application.

8 MEMBER WETSELL: I second.

9 MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.

10 MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.

11 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Alright.

12 All approved. Thank you very much for
13 your time.

14 So again, I guess we move on.

15 Motion to accept the minutes of
16 the April 2nd meeting. Any second on that
17 motion?

18 MEMBER BORRELLI: I second.

19 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Alright.

20 All in favor?

21 MEMBER WETSELL: Aye.

22 MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.

23 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.

24 Motion to schedule the next HPC meeting
25 for 5:00 --

1 MEMBER WETSELL: You have to do
2 March too.

3 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Oh,
4 motion to approve the minutes of March. I
5 am moving way too quickly. March 5, 2018
6 meeting. Anyone want to second?

7 MEMBER WETSELL: I'll second.

8 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: All in
9 favor?

10 MEMBER WALOSKI: Aye.

11 MEMBER BORRELLI: Aye.

12 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Aye.

13 Now motion to schedule the next HPC
14 meeting 5:00 p.m. June 4th, 2018 at the
15 Third Street Firehouse. As far as I know
16 I will be able to make it.

17 MEMBER WETSELL: As far as I know
18 I will.

19 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Alright.
20 Approve it. All ayes on that.

21 MS. LINGG: I'm sorry. Did we
22 have a second on that?

23 MEMBER BORRELLI: Second.

24 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Okay.
25 Thank you. Without Steve we are --

1 MS. LINGG: Is there a motion to
2 adjourn?

3 MEMBER BORRELLI: I make a motion
4 to adjourn the meeting.

5 MEMBER WALOSKI: I second.
6 Anybody else to say aye to adjourn the
7 meeting.

8 ACTING CHAIRMAN McMAHON: Aye.

9 MEMBER WETSELL: Aye.

10 (Meeting adjourned 5:42 p.m.)

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

19
20
18
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T I O N

STATE OF NEW YORK

COUNTY OF SUFFOLK

I, Barbara D. Schultz, a Notary
Public within and for the State of New
York, do hereby certify:

That the within proceedings is a
true and accurate record of the
stenographic notes taken by me.

I further certify that I am not
related to any of the parties to this
action by blood or marriage; and that I am
not in any way interested in the outcome
of this matter.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have here
unto set my hand.



Barbara D. Schultz