1	VILLAGE OF GREENPORT
2	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
3	
4	REGULAR MEETING
5	January 21, 2015
6	5:00 p.m.
7	
8	
9	Meeting held at the Greenport Firehouse
10	236 Third Street, Greenport, New York 11944
11	
12	APPEARANCES:
13	David Corwin — Acting Chairman
14	Charles Benjamin
15	Dinni Gordon
16	
17	Joseph Prokop – Village Attorney
18	Eileen Wingate - Village Building Inspector
19	
20	
21	

22232425

# ZBA 1/21/15

2	

1	(Whereupon, the meeting was called to order
2	5:00 p.m.)
3	MEMBER CORWIN: I think the first thing we
4	should do is elect a Chairman pro tem. Are there
5	any nominations?
6	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yes. I make a motion
7	that we make David Corwin Acting Chairperson.
8	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
9	MEMBER CORWIN: All in favor?
10	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
11	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
12	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Aye. The
13	motion is adopted.
14	So we will note that Member Neff is not
15	going to be here today, so we are a body of
16	three.
17	And I would like to change the agenda a

18	little bit. And just a couple of basic
19	bookkeeping things out of the way, and that would
20	be, first, Item #5, a motion to accept an appeal
21	for an area variance, public notice, and schedule
22	a public hearing for Robert Tapp and Nickolas
23	Ellis, 152 Central Avenue, Greenport, New York;
24	Suffolk County Tax Map 1001-5-1-14. The property
25	is located in the R-2 District. The applicant

3

1 proposes a rear addition for an existing single-family house, and reconfigure the existing 2 deck. 3 I'm not going to read this all, it's on the agenda, and we have a package of plans. And we 5 6 need to schedule a site visit and a public 7 hearing for this. So I would suggest we start at 8 Central Avenue at 4:20, if that's all right with 9 everyone. MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yes. 10 11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: And then --12 MEMBER GORDON: Are we talking about the February meeting? 13

14	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: The February
15	meeting, yes.
16	MEMBER GORDON: I am not going to be at the
17	February meeting. If this is if Ellen is
18	here, there are still three people.
19	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Yes.
20	MEMBER GORDON: But I just
21	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All right.
22	We'll see what happens in February.
23	MS. WINGATE: February should be fine,
24	because I've talked to Ellen about it. So you're
25	looking at February 18.

1	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: February 18
2	we'll have a site visit at 4:20, and then the
3	public hearing at 5:00. So I move we accept this
4	application and set a public hearing at 5:00 on
5	February 18.
6	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Second.
7	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All in favor?
8	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
a	MEMBER GORDON: Ave

LØ	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Aye.
l1	And then I'd like to go to Item #6, a
12	motion to accept an appeal for an area variance,
L3	public notice, and schedule a public hearing for
L4	Michael Combs, 516 Second Street; Suffolk County
L5	Tax Map 1001-2-6-24. The applicant seeks a
L6	building permit to construct an in-ground
L7	swimming pool and art studio/pool house.
L8	And I would like to propose that we set an
L9	inspection at 4:40, and a public hearing at 5:00.
20	And we will take the public hearings in order
21	after 5:00 on February 18.
22	So I make a motion that we schedule a
23	public hearing accept the application and
24	schedule a public hearing for 5:00 on February 18
25	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Second.

1	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN:	All in favor?
2	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.	
3	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.	
4	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN:	Aye.
5	The motion is approved.	

6	And then, I guess, just for bookkeeping
7	purposes, we'll get one more thing off the agenda
8	before we start, and that is Item #3,
9	continuation of discussion and possible decision
10	for an interpretation of Village of Greenport
11	Code from the Planning Board via Mary Bess
12	Phillips and Phil Karlin, North Fork Fish
13	Company, 200 Wilson Road, Cutchogue, New York.
14	And then there's an email that Mary Bess
15	Phillips sent to the ZBA, and she says:
16	"Good morning. Please accept this email
17	requesting to postpone the ZBA activity for the
18	North Fork Smoked Fish interpretation. There is
19	an amended Planning Board application that will
20	be placing a retail component for North Fork
21	Smoked Fish, as well as Alice's Fish Market, in
22	the building. Any questions, please call Mary
23	Bess Phillips."
24	And I also no note that I think we should
25	wait until we have more people preferably a

6

1 complete Board, a full Board, before we discuss

- this application. So we're going to postpone
- 3 Item #2, the Smoked Fish.
- 4 MS. WINGATE: Item #3.
- 5 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Item #3, I'm
- 6 sorry. Thank you.
- 7 Now let's go to the public hearing. I
- 8 guess what happened last month was the question
- 9 came up about the rear yard setback on this one,
- 10 because I guess what happened originally, and
- 11 correct me if I'm wrong, the Building Inspector
- measured the foundation the way she thought the
- foundation would be in a bow window, and that
- 14 changed the application a little. The question
- 15 came up, did the foundation extend to the edge of
- 16 the bow window, and should it be measured to the
- foundation of the bow window? And I guess this
- 18 was -- was this advertised again?
- 19 MS. WINGATE: We readvertised it, and we
- 20 reissued the placard, so every all the numbers
- jive now, everything is where it should be.
- 22 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Okay. That
- said, the public hearing is open. Anybody that
- cares to speak to issue, please.
- 25 MR. WERTHNER: Sure. Hi, good evening.

1	I'm Ed Werthner. And, basically, what we did
2	also, besides that, was I removed the deck to not
3	make it that encumbered on the rear part of the
4	south property. And I had the surveyor, of
5	course, do the surveying properly, so that we
6	knew exactly where there was everything, the
7	property lines would be. And I went after I
8	staked out and found the monuments in the front
9	of the yard on the north side. I found that
10	there was a consistency of about 14 foot of grass
11	from my monument to the asphalt on the road, the
12	beginning of the asphalt and the grass, which
13	would allow for maybe a future sidewalk or
14	something of that nature to be put in there. So
15	there's plenty of room, and things were
16	definitely more logical for you to make some kind
17	of a decision on that.
18	MR. PROKOP: Do we have a new survey? Did
19	you email it?
20	MR. WERTHNER: I think the I have a
21	stamped survey here, too. So the on the south
22	side, there was about 25.1 from the bow of the

23	window, which you probably saw. And the corner
24	of the house, the major concern was about
25	27-foot-eight, then the other corner was 26,

8

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

about 27 foot on the other side. So there's only a small request in a sense for the size of the house for a few extra feet for a variance, two or three feet for a variance. And the front is consistent at 20, 20 foot from the north side to the monuments to my property line, and then, of course, another 14 feet to the asphalt. And the house -- the house basically itself is about 25 feet from the -- the garage is on the left side facing north, and then the house on the right side, is about 25 foot from the front monuments of the property line. And, of course, I've got the 20 foot on each side of the house, as requested, for a clearance from the property line. And --ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: The question just came up by the Attorney, do we have a new survey on this or any changes?

19	MR. PROKOP: Well, there's one in the file.
20	I don't know who else got.
21	MS. WINGATE: Everybody should have gotten
22	that.
23	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I don't think
24	that I got it. I don't know.
25	MR. WERTHNER: Do you want to take a look
	ZBA 1/21/15
1	at mine?
2	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I would like to
3	take a look at whatever the Building Inspector
4	has on file.
5	MR. WERTHNER: Okay.
6	MS. WINGATE: Yeah, that's the current one,
7	because the deck is removed. And I also have
8	MR. PROKOP: Does the Chairperson have a
9	copy of this?
10	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: No.
11	MR. PROKOP: So I guess we only have one
12	survey.
13	MR. WERTHNER: Excuse me, if you want, I
14	have a stamped copy here, too.

15	MS. WINGATE: You should have a photograph
16	with the tape measure, and it was all attached to
17	that.
18	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I have the
19	photograph, but
20	MS. WINGATE: And you don't have the
21	survey.
22	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: unless I
23	misplaced it. I never got it.
24	MR. PROKOP: What's this, the tape measure?
25	MS. WINGATE: It's the distance from

10

10

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: He was just 1 2 explaining where the monument was. MS. WINGATE: -- the street to the property 3 line. 4 5 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: We had tried to look for the monument on the inspection visit and 6 7 we didn't have much luck. MR. PROKOP: We could just have the 8 applicants give us copies. I mean, I'm not 9 questioning Mr. Werthner. In the future, you

11	should just
12	MS. WINGATE: Here, here's another one. I
13	have a bunch of them. I just
14	MR. PROKOP: So you don't have to worry
15	about making copies, you just get the applicants
16	to give you several copies.
17	MS. WINGATE: Well, he did, and that's
18	what
19	MR. WERTHNER: I did, yes.
20	MS. WINGATE: He did.
21	MR. WERTHNER: And they were all stamped
22	for you.
23	MS. WINGATE: They were all stamped, and
24	you should all have them, because they were in
25	the boxes.

11

6

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: And where the
problem came in, as I recall last month, was
somehow the 10 and the 14 didn't add up to the
27.8, which was never dimensioned on the original
survey.

MR. WERTHNER: That's correct. I made them

go back and make corrections and give accurate
projections on this. And then I made them stamp
it to make sure that it was proper. The
monuments were missing. Somebody had actually
pulled them on the northeast side. And I
couldn't find the one on the northwest side of
the property line. So I had the surveyors go
back and give me correct figures, so we know what
proper distance would be so there would be no
more questions about this stupid thing. And the
front yard, like I said, with the picture shows
the 14-foot grass to front property line, so that
it would be clarified, so you didn't have to do
another inspection.
ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All right.
Just to sum up, we're working off of a survey,
dated December 30th, 2014, which shows some
changes from the one we were originally issued
September 15th.

2 MR. PROKOP: That's not the last one.

3	MS. WINGATE: This is the 18th. The 30th
4	is the last one that I have.
5	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: And if you
6	would just Mr. Werthner?
7	MR. WERTHNER: I'm sorry. Yeah?
8	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: If you would
9	just summarize. You gave us those dimensions
10	before and I wasn't following you.
11	MR. WERTHNER: Okay.
12	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: If you would
13	just summarize them again, please.
14	MR. WERTHNER: Sure, yeah. No problem,
15	yeah. Basically, what I did in the rear on the
16	south side was remove the deck. And I had them
17	remeasure from the edge of the bay window, which
18	was at 25.1. And then I told them I wanted of
19	course, I wanted to really know where the house
20	was going to be properly. I mean, why not, it's
21	supposed to be. So they got 27.8 there from the
22	southeast corner. And then the 26.6, I need
23	better glasses, maybe, but pretty close to that
24	on the southwest corner from the house to the
25	back property line. And, of course, we go

1	then, we go we have 20 and 20 on each side
2	east and west, and then we go up at 21.1 to the
3	front property line, and then, of course, 20, 20
4	foot to the front property line. And the basic
5	house itself, without the porch and all, is about
6	add another five feet, so it's like 25 feet
7	for the basic house, if you do that. So
8	that's — the setbacks are minimal that I'm
9	asking for.
10	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I think I
11	better read. I neglected to read what the
12	applicant is asking for.
13	The applicant requests a front yard setback
14	and a rear yard setback variance for the proposed
15	new house.
16	MR. WERTHNER: Correct.
17	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: The proposed
18	house is situated so the front of the house is
19	set back 20 feet from the north property line.
20	In fact, that should be 20.5 feet, as it's an
21	average of the two distances; am I correct in
22	that?

MR. WERTHNER: I think you might be, yes,

25 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Section ZBA 1/21/15 1 150-12-A of the Greenport Village code requires a 2 30-foot front yard setback in the R-1 District, 3 requiring a 10-foot front yard setback variance. So that's going to be at 10.5 foot, right? 4 5 MR. WERTHNER: Okay. 6 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: As we will take 7 averages. It would be 9.5. 8 MEMBER GORDON: No. ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: 9.5? I'm 9 10 sorry. 11 MR. WERTHNER: Yes. 12 MR. PROKOP: I'm sorry. What are you 13 taking? 14 MS. WINGATE: We usually don't take 15 averages. 16 MR. PROKOP: You can't take an average, it's the shortest distance. 17 MS. WINGATE: It's the closest point. 18

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: The shortest

yeah. I don't know why they didn't put that.

24

19

21	MR. PROKOP: Yeah. Excuse me, I'm sorry.
22	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I stand
23	corrected.
24	MEMBER GORDON: So then it would be 10.
25	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Requiring a
	ZBA 1/21/15
1	10-foot front yard setback variance. The house
2	is situated so that the rear of the house is set
3	back 25.1 feet from the south property line.
4	MR. WERTHNER: Correct.
5	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Section 150-12A
6	of the Greenport Village code requires a 30-foot
7	rear yard setback in the R-1 District, requiring
8	a 4.9-foot rear yard setback variance.
9	So, to go over this again, we're having a
10	10-foot variance on the front, and a 4.9-foot
11	variance on the back, and it meets the side yard
12	setbacks.
13	MR. WERTHNER: Right, that's correct.
14	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Okay.
15	MS. WINGATE: David, Marc Lacolla had asked

distance, okay.

16	that you read his letter into the record.
17	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I don't
18	believe I don't think I have his letter.
19	MEMBER GORDON: It's here.
20	(Whereupon, Member Gordon handed Acting
21	Chairperson Corwin the letter.)
22	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All right.
23	This is a letter, dated January 17th, 2015, from
24	Marc Lacolla, 213 Bridge Street, Greenport, New
25	York.

16

"Dear Eileen, thank you for providing the 1 2 necessary information concerning the Werthner 3 building permit application Planning Board 4 variance process. The Lacolla Family welcomes the Werthners to the neighborhood, and all the 5 6 success building their new residence. Earnestly, Marc Lacolla." So I guess that means he's in 7 8 favor of the request. 9 MR. WERTHNER: That's a good thing, yes. MRS. WERTHNER: That was nice. 10 11 MR. WERTHNER: Huh?

12	MRS. WERTHNER: That was very nice.
13	MR. WERTHNER: That was very nice, yes.
14	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Does anybody on
15	the Board want to ask Mr. Werthner anything?
16	MEMBER BENJAMIN: No, I'm good.
17	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Does anybody
18	else in the audience want to speak?
19	MR. TASKER: Arthur Tasker, 17 Beach Street
20	in Greenport. I want to speak more generally, I
21	think, but the nature of this particular
22	application affords the opportunity to afford
23	some comments that are of concern to me in
24	general.
25	When you it is the build-up of variances

17

7

property by property as they are developed, or modified, or changes. In the case of this, of this particular project, the lot is already substandard in terms of its overall area. I believe there was a variance issued some time ago with respect to that.

So here we have a request for two other

variances, and we've got another trifecta. I refer as comparable to that the variances that were issued to Hugh Prestwood and Judy Ahrens when they subdivided their property about three years, perhaps four years ago, time does fly, when there was an opportunity to create a fully conforming lot with — as to dimensions and area from the subdivision. And they, instead, were granted a variance to create two substandard lots, I believe both in area and width.

And at the time, I commented at the time that that was being done, that what's going to result on this is going to be a year now — a year or two from now, somebody's going to be in here with side yard variance applications. And sure enough, just about a year ago, the first one of such went through. The second of the two lots is now offered for sale. And you can bet that

### ZBA 1/21/15

you're going to do the same thing here.

2 So we get these — keep building these

3 trifecta variance lots and construction in the

4	Village to the extent that it amounts to a change
5	in the zoning code, because to put it only
6	somewhat facetiously, I don't think I've seen, in
7	the observations that I've been making in the
8	meetings that I've attended over recent years, I
9	don't see I don't think I've seen this Board
10	turn down a side yard variance or a front yard
11	variance that I don't think they've seen one
12	they didn't like, frankly.
13	So I would like to have this cautionary
14	note before the Board, because either the zoning
15	code means something or it doesn't. Thank you.
16	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Thank you.
17	MR. WERTHNER: May I respond to that? I'd
18	just like to say I understand his point, but the
19	variance that was put there, you know, with the
20	16 foot back in 2004, that they came in and
21	shortened that property, it was still a building

lot. I'm building a house, I'd like to move

there, okay? And I understand his point, but

I'm putting a house on what should have been a

there's -- theoretically, there's no real change.

22

23

24

- building lot to begin with. And I guess mistakes
- 2 are made sometimes, but that's what I'm
- 3 requesting.
- 4 MR. TASKER: Well, this isn't about this
- 5 isn't about our project.
- 6 MR. WERTHNER: Oh, okay.
- 7 MR. TASKER: This isn't about your project.
- 8 This about the generality of these things as they
- 9 occur. And yes, you're right, you picked up a --
- 10 you picked up a lot that already had one --
- 11 MR. WERTHNER: Right, exactly.
- MR. PROKOP: Do we know what the setbacks
- of the adjoining properties are?
- 14 MS. WINGATE: I do.
- 15 MR. PROKOP: The front yard setbacks of the
- adjoining properties, do you know what they are,
- 17 the front yard setbacks of the adjoining
- 18 properties?
- 19 MS. WINGATE: The immediate house to the
- 20 east has a 30-foot setback. The one to the east
- 21 has a 30-foot setback, and we gave her a front
- yard variance for an entrance deck, so she's down
- 23 to 24 feet. The house exactly due west is a very
- old house that has a setback of about, because we

1	MEMBER BENJAMIN: So now what?
2	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All right. If
3	there's no other input for a public hearing,
4	we'll close the public hearing.
5	MR. BENJAMIN: I second.
6	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All in favor?
7	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
8	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Aye.
10	Now we can discuss it as a Board. I will
11	note that I was not thrilled the last time we
12	discussed this. I was not thrilled with the
13	front yard setback, because this house does
14	appear to be require more of a variance;
15	appears to be set closer to the road than the
16	neighboring houses. And I did bring that up at
17	the last meeting and it really didn't go
18	anyplace. So my attitude was, well, I'm not
19	going to argue with anybody over this variance
20	for the front yard, but I think it is

	·
22	And the thing about it is the front yard
23	there is —— the variance is mostly required for a
24	garage rather than for the house, the front of
25	the house itself. So probably a different

21

21

questionable.

1 structure could be accommodated on the house, a 2 different layout. I assume this was out of a 3 book someplace, rather than designed by an architect, that was trying to fit it into the 4 existing lot. So that's where it stands. 5 6 Anybody else on the Board have any input? 7 MEMBER GORDON: I have a -- would you 8 repeat the setback variance? You said there was 9 a small variance for the house to the west? 10 Because when I was looking at it, it seemed to me 11 that these houses were quite similar, at least 12 aesthetically, in looking at what the setback 13 would be. 14 MS. WINGATE: The two houses to the east were both built post code, so they were set back 15 30 feet. 16

17	MEMBER GORDON: Right, right.
18	MS. WINGATE: The two
19	MEMBER GORDON: The one to the west?
20	MS. WINGATE: The one to the west is a very
21	old house and virtually has zero, three feet or
22	four feet as a front yard, but it's a
23	100-year-old house.
24	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: That's not the
25	immediate west, is it?
	ZBA 1/21/15
	251( 1, 21, 15
1	MS. WINGATE: The immediate west, yes, that
2	old red house with the barn in the back.
3	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yeah. Also, the one
4	south of it has a 10-foot set back, because
5	that's on this piece of paper, the house that was
6	part of that lot.
7	MEMBER GORDON: You mean the house behind?
8	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yeah.
9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: That's the
10	house behind, which that really
11	MEMBER BENJAMIN: That's an old house, too.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: The moving of

the plot line, the rear yard property line on
that house made the problem in the first place.

If that had not been granted, we wouldn't be here
discussing this now.

MEMBER BENJAMIN: Also, we didn't expect

MEMBER BENJAMIN: Also, we didn't expect her to die so soon, you know. So, on the other hand, we're dealing with an old town, an old — you know, lot sizes. And we're not here to change the law, we're just here to give relief from the law, you know. What do you do, say, "Well, you can't go that close to the road, so you have to go back and have a bigger" — so we give as little as we can give, you know.

#### ZBA 1/21/15

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: My feeling would be it would be a better application if it was moved back. And the problem was in the backyard setback, because that was kind of a — what caused the problem in the first place.

But, anyway, we've got to move forward one way or another.

MR. PROKOP: Excuse me for one second.

9 What is the rear yard, the required rear yard setback? 10 11 MS. WINGATE: Thirty-five. 12 MR. PROKOP: And they have 30 feet in the 13 back? 14 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: No. 15 MS. WINGATE: They don't have. 16 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: No, they don't 17 have. 18 MS. WINGATE: They don't have the front and they don't have --19 20 MR. PROKOP: Oh, so we're doing the rear 21 yard? I thought somebody said we're doing the 22 side. I'm sorry, you're right, it's the front. 23 Okay. Excuse me, I'm sorry. 24 MEMBER GORDON: But it's hard to figure out

#### ZBA 1/21/15

how you would get a house that would give you any

24

- backyard whatsoever, given the strange shape of
- this lot, if you moved the house back. I mean,
- 3 hardship is one of the elements we consider,
- 4 right?

5	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: You're correct.
6	Well, what's the Board's feeling? Do you want to
7	move ahead and vote on this variance?
8	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yeah, move ahead.
9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Move ahead.
10	All right. In that case, the first thing I guess
11	we have to do is the State Environmental Quality
12	Review Act.
13	MS. WINGATE: There's a cheat sheet in the
14	back for you.
15	MR. PROKOP: The motion is to adopt Lead
16	Agency status and determine that this application
17	for area two area variances is a Type II
18	Action for purposes of SEQRA, and, therefore, no
19	further SEQRA review is required.
20	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I make the
21	motion as the Attorney just said. Is there a
22	second?
23	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
24	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All in favor?
25	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.

1	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
2	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Aye.
3	So the next thing we would have to do is go
4	through the questions. It's someplace. I
5	printed them out, because I figured things were
6	going to go this way. And the five questions we
7	always ask, and I'll just read the whole thing as
8	it is in the code. Area variances:
9	The Zoning Board of Appeals shall have the
10	power upon an appeal from a decision
11	determination and administration official charged
12	with the enforcement of such Local Law to grant
13	area variances, as defined therein. In making
14	its determination, the Zoning Board of Appeals
15	shall take into consideration the benefit of the
16	applicant, if the variance is granted, as weighed
17	against the detriment to the health, safety and
18	welfare of the neighborhood or community by such
19	grant. In making such a determination, the Board
20	shall also consider:
21	So question number one, whether an
22	undesirable change will be produced in the
23	character of the neighborhood, or a detriment to
24	nearby properties will be created by the granting

of the area variance?

1	So I will ask Mr. Benjamin.
2	MEMBER BENJAMIN: No.
3	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: No. Myself, I
4	will say no. And I note the neighbor,
5	Mr. Lacolla, didn't have any objection to the
6	project.
7	And Ms. Gordon?
8	MEMBER GORDON: No.
9	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: No. So that's
10	three nos on that one.
11	Whether the benefit sought by the applicant
12	can be achieved by some method feasible for the
13	applicant to pursue other than an area variance?
14	Mr. Benjamin?
15	MEMBER BENJAMIN: No.
16	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I say no.
17	Ms. Gordon?
18	MEMBER GORDON: No.
19	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: No. Whether
20	the requested area variance is substantial?
21	Mr. Benjamin?

23	MR. PROKOP: So the front yard variance
24	I just want to point out to the Board, the front
25	yard variance is a one-third right, one-third
	ZBA 1/21/15
1	anthonic 220.2
1	setback, 33%?
2	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yeah.
3	MR. PROKOP: And the rear yard is
4	MEMBER BENJAMIN: A little less.
5	MR. PROKOP: So like 16%.
6	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Yeah. So it's kind of —
7	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: You don't have
8	to answer yes or no, you can say, "I don't know.
9	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Well, I would say no.
10	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I say yes.
11	MEMBER GORDON: I say yes.
12	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Number four,
13	whether the proposed variance will have an
14	adverse effect or impact on the physical or
15	environmental conditions in the neighborhood or
16	district? Mr. Benjamin?
17	MEMBER BENJAMIN: No.

MEMBER BENJAMIN: That question again.

	19	MEMBER GORDON: No.
	20	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: And five,
	21	whether the alleged difficulty was self-created,
	22	which consideration shall be relevant to the
	23	decision of the Board of Appeals, but shall not
	24	necessarily preclude the granting of the area
	25	variance?
		ZBA 1/21/15
28		
	1	MEMBER BENJAMIN: No.
	2	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Mr. Benjamin
	3	says no. I say no.
	4	MEMBER GORDON: No.
20	5	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Ms. Gordon says
no.	6	Contract of the way I make a metion
	U	So, that out of the way, I make a motion
	7	that we approve the variance of Mr. Werthner for
	8	the proposed front yard setback of 20 feet from
	9	the north property line, and the proposed rear
	10	yard setback of 4.9 feet variance.
	11	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
	12	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All in favor?
	13	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I say no.

14	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
15	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Aye.
16	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Did you say the first one
17	right? You said
18	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Probably not.
19	MEMBER BENJAMIN: You had a 10-foot
20	setback. He wants a 10-foot setback on the front
21	yard, and a 2 4.9 setback on the rear yard?
22	MEMBER GORDON: Variance.
23	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I stand
24	corrected. It's a 10-foot setback variance of
25	the front yard, and a 4.9 rear yard setback

l	variance.
2	MR. PROKOP: This is a one-story house,
3	right?
1	MS. WINGATE: Yes.
5	MR. PROKOP: Okay. I'd just like to point
5	out
7	MS. WINGATE: No, it's a two-story house.
3	MR. PROKOP: Is it two stories?
<b>3</b>	MS WINGATE: It's a Cape You know it's

10	got a big roof and there's a small
11	MR. PROKOP: Okay. I'd just like to point
12	out to the applicant on the record that the
13	approval of these setbacks is based on the plans
14	that you submitted, which I understand is a
15	one-and-a-half story Cape-style house. And any
16	further building within the setbacks of the front
17	or the back requires you to come back to this
18	Board for further relief, just so there's no
19	confusion in the future.
20	MR. WERTHNER: No. Thank you very much.
21	That's why I made sure that we got the right
22	MR. PROKOP: And thank you for submitting
23	the new survey, that was a big help.
24	MR. WERTHNER: Yeah. That was ridiculous,
25	the first, I have to admit. I don't need any

30

1 problems down the road.

2 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All right.

3 That goes — the official survey that we worked

4 off of for this particular variance.

5 So we did the public hearing, we did #1.

- 6 We have granted the variance for #2 for
- 7 Mr. Werthner.
- 8 MEMBER GORDON: Congratulations.
- 9 MR. WERTHNER: Thank you.
- 10 MRS. WERTHNER: Oh, thank you. I can walk
- 11 to work.
- 12 MEMBER BENJAMIN: Go get some nails. Go
- 13 get some nails.
- 14 MRS. WERTHNER: Oh, yeah.
- 15 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: We are not
- going to deliberate on Item #3 until, hopefully,
- 17 we have a full Board, or it disappears.
- 18 We've set up a public hearing for Item #4
- 19 and #5, which brings us to --
- 20 MR. PROKOP: Number four is just to approve
- 21 your decision.
- MS. WINGATE: Yes.
- 23 MEMBER GORDON: Right, that's the Edwards
- swimming pool.
- 25 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: The Edwards,

- 2 copy of that either, so I don't know if the rest
- 3 of the Board did.
- 4 MR. BENJAMIN: I read it online.
- 5 MS. WINGATE: Yeah, it's been online.
- 6 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Okay. I did
- 7 not read it online. I'm not going to try to read
- 8 it now.
- 9 MS. WINGATE: You could take it with you,
- 10 and sign it and bring it back to the office.
- 11 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Is this holding
- anything up if we don't vote for it today?
- MS. WINGATE: No, because you gave me
- 14 permission to issue a building permit.
- 15 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All right. So
- let's do that in February, then. Have you read
- it, Mrs. Gordon?
- 18 MEMBER GORDON: Yes.
- 19 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: You did.
- 20 Unless either one of you would like to vote on it
- 21 tonight, I would just assume hold off until
- 22 February.
- MS. WINGATE: That's fine.
- 24 MEMBER BENJAMIN: We'll read it again.
- 25 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All right. We

1	did #6.
2	Number 7 is a motion to accept the ZBA
3	minutes for December 17th, 2014. I so move.
4	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
5	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All in favor?
6	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
7	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
8	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Aye.
9	The motion carries.
10	Number 8, a motion to approve the ZBA
11	minutes of November 19th, 2014. I so move.
12	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Second.
13	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All in favor?
14	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
15	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
16	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Aye.
17	The motion carries.
18	Number 9, a motion to schedule the next
19	regular ZBA meeting for February 18th, 2015.
20	so move.
21	MEMBER GORDON: Second.
22	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Second.

24	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Aye.
25	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
	ZBA 1/21/15
1	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Aye.
2	MR. PROKOP: Could I just say something
3	before we adjourn?
4	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Yes. I have
5	two things I want to go over, too, before we
6	adjourn.
7	MR. PROKOP: Oh, so go ahead.
8	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: One, the Code
9	Committee had a meeting Monday, and one of the
10	things they're going to do, I guess the Village
11	Board is going to vote on next week, is to ask
12	the Zoning Board, the Planning Board, and the

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All in favor?

23

13

14

15

16

17

33

18 about signs, I guess now is the time to do it.

Historic Preservation Commission to submit any

ordinance might be changed. So I just mention

coming, and if you want to spend time thinking

considerations they have of how the sign

that so that you are all aware that that's

19	And I guess they'll give us a month or two to
20	think about it and make any concerns we have
21	about signs known to the Village Board, so they
22	may or may not change the code.
23	And then what I want to bring up is there
24	was a fence built on a piece of property west of
25	the library, a six-foot-high fence. It's not

right on the property line, it is on the line of

34

2	the house, the north edge of the house. It
3	carries the north edge of the house. And that
4	would be the southeast corner of the intersection
5	of North and Second Street. So it's a
6	six-foot-high fence on a corner lot, which, by
7	the code, would be certainly in my
8	interpretation, a four-foot-high fence would only
9	be allowed without a variance.
10	I spoke to the Building Inspector about
11	this and she indicated that, well, it was past
12	practice, and that was done before. And I looked
13	around Fourth, Fifth, and Sixth Street, and Third
14	Street, and I didn't I saw a couple of

15	six-foot fences on side yards on corner lots, but
16	I question whether any of them got permits to put
17	the fence there in the first place.
18	So what happened is the Building Inspector,
19	after the fact the fence was put in, and
20	correct me if I'm wrong. The fence was put in,
21	then the Building Inspector, I assume, went to
22	the property owner and said, "You need a permit."
23	MS. WINGATE: She took a permit out and I
24	permitted that fence.
25	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: You permitted

35

10

the fence, prior to her --1 2 MS. WINGATE: Prior to her putting it up. 3 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Okay. MS. WINGATE: It's behind the house. The 4 5 definition of a front yard is from the property 6 line to the line of the house. That's Federation Plan -- National Planning Federation, that's what 7 it is. 8 9 MR. PROKOP: Well, the front line -- the front yard of the house ends at that line that's

parallel to the front of the house that's
extended to the side yards. So, if you take the
two front corners of the house and you extend a
line that's parallel to the front of the house
and perpendicular to the sides of the house, from
those corners to the side yards, that
everything behind that line is in a side yard,
everything in front of that line is in a front
yard. So is it whatever we're talking about,
if the fence is supposed to be not in the front
yard, then it would have to be behind the front
corners of the house.
MS. WINGATE: It's this much
(demonstrating). It's a corner board off of the
edge of the house.

MR. PROKOP: And I don't know anything about this particular situation. I'm just saying that that's traditionally what is considered the front yard of the house, and especially with the application to fences, is that extension of the front lines of the house perpendicular to the

_			- 1		
/	ς	1	n	es	_
,	J	_	u	-	

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All right. At
any rate, I wrote a letter to the Village
Administrator asking that — indicating that I
felt it was the building permit was issued in
error, and that the applicant, if the applicant
wanted a six-foot-high fence, they should come
into the Zoning Board of Appeals.

And the Village Administrator — we had a very brief conversation, because we didn't have time, and he indicated pretty much what the Building Inspector said, somehow it was behind the north side of the house, so it was okay. And I took it from him, he was saying that was in Village Code, which it's not that I can see.

So my position remains that that fence, that six-foot-high fence was issued in error, and they should come to the ZBA, and I bring that to your attention.

#### ZBA 1/21/15

I would ask that you — everybody on the ZBA go by there and look at that, and maybe we can discuss it some more in February. I don't
think the fence there is appropriate or within
the definitions that are in our Village Code,
notwithstanding what the National Planning Board

or anybody else may have defined.

7

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

- 8 MS. WINGATE: Our Village Code language is 9 very peculiar, it talks about the sky. So maybe 10 it's one of those things you want to put into the 11 Code Committee to define the yards better. But 12 it is within — it is within our code.
- MR. PROKOP: Well, does it say the rear
  yard? Does it say -- where's the six-foot-fence
  allowed?
  - MS. WINGATE: Anyplace other than a required front yard, and 30 feet off of the corner, which is also part of the Planning Federation.
  - MR. PROKOP: But, you know, I'm concerned about I don't know what the relevance is to this particular situation, but I'm concerned about the past practice statement that you made. I'm not concerned that you made it, but I'm concerned that somebody else may have raised

1	that, only because we've gone through this in
2	four or five different areas. There's no such
3	thing as past practice, only and I say that,
4	because every time somebody says something is a
5	past practice, there's at least two, or three, or
6	four different versions of what that past
7	practice was. It has nothing to do with our
8	code. The code should be applied regardless of
9	what anybody thinks past practice is. It's just
LØ	not even relevant.
L1	And, you know, to the extent that you
12	pointed that out, I appreciate that and I'll take
13	a look at the code. But if you ever hear that
L4	word mentioned, I mean, we've gone through this,
15	Eileen and I, many times together, trying to
<b>L</b> 6	straighten this out, and there really should not
L7	be any discussion about past practice anymore.
18	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Well, I don't
<b>L</b> 9	go for past practice for a second. That's what ]
20	was told when I first brought this up with the
21	Building Inspector, that it was past practice.
22	MR. PROKOP: Any part of the code, there's
)3	no such thing as past practice

25	in the code. I'll look again to try to find
	ZBA 1/21/15
1	something that defines a side yard to the corner,
2	and a house, and where a fence could be. But, as
3	I see it, that fence would need a variance from
4	the Zoning Board of Appeals. And I ask the
5	Zoning Board of Appeals to go by and take a look
6	and make their own decision on that, and we could
7	discuss it again in February.
8	MEMBER GORDON: As the newest member of the
9	Board and still learning the basics, does the
10	Zoning Board have the authority to, for instance,
11	require that somebody take down a fence that we
12	determined was out of compliance?
13	MS. WINGATE: Sure.
14	MEMBER BENJAMIN: The Zoning Board?
15	MS. WINGATE: Well, yeah, you could
16	challenge any of my decisions, absolutely. And
17	if it's determined
18	MR RENIAMIN: I did not know that

MS. WINGATE: And if it's -- wouldn't you

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I see nothing

24

19

21	MR. PROKOP: Well, it has to come before us
22	somehow. Any decision that she makes is
23	reviewable by
24	MEMBER BENJAMIN: I have to see it on paper
25	to discuss it in public. I guess we can't
	ZBA 1/21/15
	ZDA 1/21/13
1	discuss it behind
2	MS. WINGATE: No, it would have to be a
3	public hearing, absolutely.
4	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: A permit that's
5	issued in error, and the question now becomes,
6	was it issued in error? And as I read the code,
7	it was a permit issued in error. Doesn't stand
8	if somebody questions it, as I understand.
9	MR. PROKOP: That's right. There's a
10	famous case called the Parkview Associates case,
11	Parkhurst or Parkview in New York City, where an
12	owner was required to remove the top 10 stories
13	of a 70-story building because the building
14	permit had been issued in error. And what that

case stood for is that no rights are given to an

think, Joe?

20

15

16	owner by a building permit that was issued in
17	error, or other approval, actually, that was
18	issued in error. So any time a building permit
19	it's actually meant to protect building
20	inspectors, you know, because, you know, we're
21	all just doing the best job that we can, so
22	and with a lot of work going through our offices
23	and but, anyway, so right, a building
24	nobody can say, "Well, I have a building permit,
25	so leave me alone." It's all subject to review.

1	MEMBER GORDON: Thank you.
2	MR. PROKOP: I'm sorry it was such a long
3	story, but that's it.
4	MEMBER BENJAMIN: Okay.
5	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Okay. That's
6	my new business. Mr. Prokop?
7	MR. PROKOP: I just wanted to say, you
8	know, I think it was at the last meeting, there
9	was a picture of the Board in the paper, and at
10	the time, I was trying to concentrate on my
11	agenda, because I had just sat down. All of a

12	sudden, right next to me, there was a flash. And
13	someone I didn't notice realize the
14	reporter had come over. And my family got you
15	know, thought it was a funny picture, and I
16	really haven't mentioned it to any of the other
17	Boards, but I never wanted it to be a reflection
18	on this Board or your meeting, because I thought
19	it was a great meeting. We really got there
20	was you know, that was a trying time for
21	everybody, that meeting, but I think I think
22	the intention of the picture was to make a
23	reflection on the meeting. And I'm sorry for
24	that if it was, because I think for all of you,
25	it was actually a really good meeting with a lot

42

4

5

6

1 of work that got done. And, you know, I hope you had a laugh at it, you know, if you did. 2 3

ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I saw the picture and I didn't take it that way at all. least you weren't asleep, Joe. You weren't asleep.

MEMBER BENJAMIN: I didn't even know you 7

ŏ	were in the picture.
9	MR. PROKOP: No. I was trying to read my
10	agenda and concentrate for a minute.
11	MS. WINGATE: It was not flattering.
12	MR. PROKOP: It was definitely a good one.
13	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: And there's
14	more to come after the Code Committee yesterday.
15	MS. WINGATE: I almost sent it to you as a
16	Christmas card.
17	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All right. Is
18	there any other business anyone wants to bring
19	before the ZBA?
20	MR. BENJAMIN: I think we should have our
21	next meeting with Douglas. Maybe we should come
22	see
23	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: I don't think
24	we can do that.
25	I make a motion to adjourn the meeting.

1	MEMRED	GORDON:	Second.
1	PICPIDER	GURDUN:	Secona.

- 2 MEMBER BENJAMIN: Second.
- 3 ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: All in favor?

4	MEMBER DENJAMIN: Aye.
5	MEMBER GORDON: Aye.
6	ACTING CHAIRPERSON CORWIN: Aye.
7	The motion is carried.
8	(Whereupon, the meeting was adjourned at
9	5:52 p.m.)
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	CERTIFICATION
2	
3	STATE OF NEW YORK )
4	) SS:
5	COUNTY OF SUFFOLK )
6	
7	I, LUCIA BRAATEN, a Court Reporter and
8	Notary Public for and within the State of New
9	York, do hereby certify:
10	THAT, the above and foregoing contains a
11	true and correct transcription of the proceedings
12	taken on January 21, 2015.
13	I further certify that I am not
14	related to any of the parties to this action by
15	blood or marriage, and that I am in no way
16	interested in the outcome of this matter.
17	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto
18	set my hand this 6th day of February, 2015.
19	
20	
21	
22	 Lucia Braaten
23	Lucia Diaateli
24	